



HAL
open science

Seismic noise-based methods for soft-rock landslide characterization

Ombeline Méric, Stéphane Garambois, Jean-Philippe Malet, Héroïse Cadet,
Philippe Gueguen, Denis Jongmans

► **To cite this version:**

Ombeline Méric, Stéphane Garambois, Jean-Philippe Malet, Héroïse Cadet, Philippe Gueguen, et al..
Seismic noise-based methods for soft-rock landslide characterization. Bulletin de la Société Géologique
de France, 2007, 178 (2), pp.137-148. 10.2113/gssgfbull.178.2.137 . insu-00196643

HAL Id: insu-00196643

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-00196643>

Submitted on 13 Dec 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **SEISMIC NOISE-BASED METHODS FOR SOFT-ROCK LANDSLIDE**
2 **CHARACTERIZATION**

3
4
5 **Ombeline Méric^{1,2}, Stéphane Garambois¹, Jean-Philippe Malet³, Héloïse Cadet^{4,5},**
6 **Philippe Guéguen⁴ and Denis Jongmans¹**

7
8
9 ¹ LIRIGM-LGIT, Maison des Géosciences, Université Joseph Fourier,

10 BP 53, F-38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.

11 Tel: +33 (0)476 828 046

12 e-mail: ombeline.meric@ujf-grenoble.fr

13
14 ² SAGE, ZI de Mayencin, BP 17 – F-38610 Gières, France, Tel: +33 (0)476 447 572

15 ³ Faculty of Geosciences, UCEL, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 2, Po.Box 80.115, NL-

16 3508 TC Utrecht, The Netherlands

17
18 ⁴ LGIT, Maison des Géosciences, Université Joseph Fourier,

19 BP 53, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.

20
21 ⁵ CETE Méditerranée, Laboratoire Régional de Nice

22 56, Boulevard Stalingrad, F-06359 Nice Cedex 4, France

1 **Keywords:** seismic noise, soft rock landslide, *in-situ* characterization, 3D geometry

2

3

ABSTRACT

4

5 In order to better understand the mechanics and dynamic of landslides, it is of primary interest
6 to image correctly their internal structure. Several active geophysical methods are able to
7 provide the geometry of a given landslide, but were rarely applied in 3 dimensions in the past.
8 The main disadvantages of methods like seismic reflection or electrical tomographies are that
9 there are heavy to set up, require for some heavy processing tools to implement, and
10 consequently are expensive and time consuming. Moreover, in the particular case of soft-rock
11 landslides, their respective sensitivity and resolution are not always adequate to locate the
12 potential slip surfaces. The passive methods, which require lighter instrumentation and easier
13 processing tools, can represent an interesting alternative, particularly for difficult accessible
14 landslides. Among them, the seismic noise based methods have shown increasing applications
15 and developments, in particular for seismic hazard mapping in urban environment. In this
16 paper, we present seismic noise investigations carried out on two different sites, a mudslide
17 and a translational clayey landslide where independent measurements (geotechnical and
18 geophysical tests) were performed earlier. Our investigations were composed of H/V
19 measurements, which are fast and easy to perform in the field, in order to image shear wave
20 contrasts (slip surfaces), and seismic noise array method, which is heavier to apply and
21 interpret, but provides S-waves velocity profile versus depth. The comparisons between
22 geophysical investigations and geotechnical information proved the applicability of such
23 passive methods in 3D complexes, but also some limitations. Indeed interpretation of these
24 measurements can be tricky in rough and non-homogeneous terrains.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**CARACTERISATION DE GLISSEMENTS ARGILEUX PAR DES METHODES DE BRUIT
DE FOND SISMIQUE**

Mots clés : bruit de fond sismique, glissement argileux, caractérisation in-situ, géométrie 3D

RESUME

Afin d'identifier les mécanismes de contrôle et de caractériser la dynamique de glissements de terrain, il est impératif d'imager correctement leur structure interne. Plusieurs méthodes géophysiques actives sont utilisables pour identifier la géométrie d'un glissement de terrain, mais leurs potentialités ont été rarement testées dans des environnements fortement 3D. Les principaux inconvénients de méthodes géophysiques telles que la sismique réflexion ou la tomographie électrique sont la difficulté de mise en œuvre et la complexité des traitements de données, ce qui les rend chères et consommatrices en temps. De plus, pour le cas particulier de glissements argileux, leur sensibilité et résolution ne sont pas toujours adaptées à la détection des surfaces de glissement. Les méthodes géophysiques passives, qui offrent l'avantage d'une instrumentation légère et d'un traitement des données plus simple, représentent ainsi une alternative intéressante, particulièrement pour des sites difficiles d'accès. Parmi celles-ci, les méthodes de bruit sismique ambiant connaissent depuis quelques années des développements et applications intéressants, notamment pour le zonage de l'aléa sismique en milieu urbain. Nous présentons dans ce papier des investigations par bruit de fond sismique effectuées sur deux sites instables, un glissement-coulée et un glissement translationnel, où des investigations géotechniques et géophysiques indépendantes ont été réalisées. Nos investigations se composent (1) de mesures H/V, simples, légères et rapides à

1 installer sur site, afin de cartographier des contrastes de vitesse d'onde S (surface de
2 glissement) et de (2) de mesures de bruit de fond réseau, plus délicate et complexe à déployer
3 sur site et à interpréter, mais qui permet d'accéder à des profils de vitesses d'ondes S en
4 fonction de la profondeur. Les comparaisons entre nos investigations géophysiques et les
5 sondages géotechniques prouvent l'applicabilité des méthodes géophysiques passives dans
6 des environnements 3D, mais indiquent également certaines limites. En effet, l'interprétation
7 des données peut s'avérer difficile dans des terrains hétérogènes et à forte rugosité.

8

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The authorities with the responsibility of protecting livelihood and infrastructure from the
4 threat of landslide hazard must be particularly concerned with three critical aspects: (1) the
5 spatial distribution of the processes, (2) the understanding of their mechanisms, (3) their
6 magnitude and temporal frequency. These purposes imply to accurately assess the
7 characteristics of the landslides and to evaluate their controlling factors (climate, seismology)
8 in a dynamic dimension. For these reasons, 2D and 3D mathematical models have been
9 developed during the last decade in order to simulate the complexity of landslide mechanisms
10 [Commend et al., 2004; Malet et al., 2005; Tacher et al., 2005]. At this time, one of their main
11 weaknesses lies in the large uncertainty of parameters describing the unstable area. For
12 example, critical information, such as the 3D geometry of a landslide and of its surrounding
13 geology, its geomechanical and hydrological properties, or its internal discontinuities, as well
14 as the uncertainties associated to these parameters are rarely available.

15

16 Landslides are generally studied using geotechnical investigations (boreholes,
17 penetrometric tests, etc), local instrumentation placed in boreholes (piezometers,
18 inclinometers), as well as detailed geomorphological observations [Giraud et al., 1991;
19 Flageollet et al., 2004]. Even if these studies are essential because they provide direct
20 information of the landslide material, their cost and limited spatial representativeness hinder
21 their use for 3D studies. In particular, except by multiplying the number of tests, these
22 methods are not able to image the lateral variability of landslide characteristics. To address
23 this problem, a large choice of geophysical methods is available on a broad spatial scale. An
24 increasing trend to apply geophysical studies for landslides characterization has been recently
25 observed, mainly thanks to the improvement of data acquisition systems and of data inversion

1 softwares. A critical review of these methods is discussed by Jongmans and Garambois [2006,
2 this issue], who point out the need of combining geophysical methods [see also Israil and
3 Pachani, 2003] and of validating geophysical data by geotechnical information [Maquaire et
4 al., 2001; Flageollet et al., 2004]. In practise, the high cost, time consuming efforts and
5 difficulties in implementing 3D geophysical investigations can only be considered for active
6 landslides presenting a high level of risk or for research purposes. Consequently, fast
7 methods, easy to deploy and presenting lower costs have to be developed in the future, at least
8 to locate the slip surfaces and characterize bedrock geometries in 3D. Methods based on
9 seismic noise measurements, which were extensively applied for seismic hazard mapping in
10 the recent years, fill close criteria [Asten, 2004].

11

12 In this paper, we present two examples of seismic noise investigations performed on two
13 soft-rock landslides of the South French Alps (fig. 1), *ie.* the Super-Sauze mudslide in the
14 black marls of the Ubaye valley and the Saint-Guillaume translational landslide in the varved
15 clays of the Trièves region. At both sites, the slip surfaces are located within a homogeneous
16 clay formation. The purposes of our studies are to evaluate the potential of seismological data,
17 first to detect the slip surfaces using the H/V spectral ratio and second, to derive S-wave
18 velocity profiles using seismic noise networks. To our knowledge, only a few seismic noise
19 investigations were performed in the past on landslides. Galippolli et al. [2000] briefly
20 mentioned the use of H/V methods on the large Giarossa landslide (southern Italy) which
21 helped to interpret electrical tomography images. Recently, Méric et al [2005] failed to
22 identify the slip surface of the large rocky landslide of Séchilienne using H/V measurements.
23 They however noted a clear correlation between amplitude of the seismic noise and the
24 landslide displacement rate explained by fracture density.

25

1 As both Super-Sauze and Saint-Guillaume landslides were characterized and monitored
2 using geotechnical and geophysical measurements, a critical discussion of results provided by
3 seismic noise methods is thus possible.

4 5 **SEISMIC NOISE THEORY**

6
7 The H/V method consists in computing the spectral ratio between horizontal and vertical
8 components of the seismic noise recorded simultaneously at a given location with a 3D
9 seismometer placed at the ground surface. Nogoshi & Igarashi [1972] first proposed the use
10 of the H/V method as a tool for the estimate of the seismic response of the surface layers. This
11 method has since been widely diffused around the world by Nakamura [1989]. Since 1989,
12 because of its low-cost and its fast deployment, the use of the H/V method has become
13 widespread, mainly with the objective of detecting the sedimentary zones that could amplify
14 seismic ground motion. The validation of the H/V spectral ratio using noise has been since
15 confirmed both experimentally [Lermo and Chávez-García, 1993; Field and Jacob, 1995;
16 Guéguen et al., 2000; Lebrun et al., 2001] and from theoretical and numerical studies [Field
17 and Jacob, 1993; Lachet and Bard, 1994; Cornou et al., 2004; Bonnefoy-Claudet, 2004]. In
18 the case of a stratified soil profile composed of a soft layer at the top of a stiffer layer, the 1D
19 amplified frequency f_0 may be estimated according to the equation $f_0 = V_s / 4H_s$, where H_s is the
20 thickness and V_s the shear wave velocity of the topmost layer, respectively and when the S-
21 wave contrast is sufficiently large [Bonnefoy-Claudet, 2004]. As outlined by the equation
22 linking f_0 to H_s , knowing the shear wave velocity V_s of the upper layer is fundamental to
23 deduce the thickness of this layer. This information can be derived from S-wave refraction or
24 surface wave analyses. Nevertheless, the simple relation linking fundamental frequency, shear
25 wave velocity and depth is valid according to a 1D model assumption that is not the geometry

1 of most valleys. For example, while Bard & Bouchon [1985] discussed the 2D model shapes
2 of a theoretical valley, Steimen et al. [2003] and Roten et al. [2004] recently showed
3 experimentally the strong 2D effect of valley shape on the resonance frequency deduced from
4 ambient seismic noise.

5 The shear wave velocity profile can also be obtained from seismic noise measurements
6 recorded by an array of seismometers. This configuration allows to define the dispersion
7 curve of the Rayleigh-wave phase velocity [for example, Tokimatsu, 1997; Satoh et al., 2001;
8 Scherbaum et al., 2003].

9 In the following, all dispersion curves deduced from active surface wave or from seismic
10 noise network analyses, were computed using the conventional semblance-based frequency-
11 wavenumber method [Lacoss et al., 1969; Kvaerna and Ringdahl, 1986; Ohrnberger, 2001],
12 which provides a semblance map of the velocity (or slowness) and frequency of the waves
13 travelling with the highest energy. The maximum of each semblance map has been picked.
14 The obtained dispersions curves were then inverted to obtain the S-wave vertical velocity
15 profile (and eventually VP). For this, we used a neighbourhood algorithm inversion method
16 where the computation time has been optimized [Wathelet et al., 2004]. The method is a
17 stochastic direct search method for finding models of acceptable data fit within a
18 multidimensional parameter space [Sambridge, 1999a & 1999b]. Four parameters were
19 investigated, *ie.* thickness, density, P-wave velocities and (above all) S-wave velocities of
20 each layer. Thousands of direct models were tested and only those exhibiting lower RMS
21 errors were kept. It should be noted that to reduce the number of models, the H/V resonance
22 frequency of the central station was added as *a priori* information during the inversion
23 process.

24

25 **INVESTIGATION OF THE SUPER-SAUZE MUDSLIDE**

1

2 Geological, geomorphological and geotechnical setting of the mudslide

3

4 The Super-Sauze mudslide (Ubaye valley) is one of the persistently active landslide (since the
5 1970's) occurring in black marls [Malet and Maquaire, 2003]. Its geological environment is
6 very complex and is the consequence of the geological history of this alpine zone
7 characterized by an overthrust of allochthonous sandstone and limestone formations over the
8 autochthonous black marl bedrock. From the highest to the lowest elevations, the geological
9 levels comprise: (1) the calcareous Klippe of Lan which overhangs the mudslide, (2) the
10 moraine deposited by the Ubaye glacier during the Quaternary age, (3) the autochthonous
11 bedrock consisting of Callovo-Oxfordian black marls with a grey clayey schist facies, very
12 finely laminated and highly tectonized.

13

14 It is a clayey flow-like landslide characterized by a complex vertical structure associating a
15 slip surface and a viscoplastic plug. Multidisciplinary observations (geology, geomorphology,
16 geotechnics, hydrology) carried out since 1991 [Weber and Herrmann, 2000; Flageollet et al.,
17 2004] provide numerous information about its geology and geometry. The mudslide material
18 consists of a silty-sand matrix mixed with moraine debris. It extends over an horizontal
19 distance of 850 m and occurs between an elevation of 2105 m at the crown and 1740 m at the
20 toe with an average 25° slope. Its total volume is estimated at 750,000 m³ and velocities range
21 from 0.01 to 0.4 m.day⁻¹ [Malet and Maquaire, 2003]. A detailed morphological description of
22 the mudslide since its genesis can be found in Weber and Herrmann [2000]. The bedrock
23 topography corresponds in the upper part to a succession of more or less parallel crests and
24 gullies and in the medium and lower parts to a narrow and deeply incised channel.
25 Consequently its thickness is highly variable and varies between 0 and about 20 m. This

1 geometrical scheme plays an essential role in the dynamics of the landslide by delimiting
2 preferential water and material pathways and creating sections with differing kinematical,
3 mechanical and hydrological characteristics. Its geotechnical structure consists in two
4 superimposed units [Flageollet et al., 2004; Malet and Maquaire, 2003]. The topmost unit, 5
5 to 9 m thick, is a very wet muddy formation, whereas the lowermost unit, with a maximum
6 thickness of 10 m, is a stiff compact, relatively impervious and apparently stable formation.
7 The hillslopes delimitating the lateral extension of the mudslide are characterized by moraine
8 deposits, 3 to 15m thick, especially on the eastern flank.

9

10 Electrical Tomographies

11

12 Six electrical tomographies were carried out on the mudslide allowing a pseudo-3D view of
13 its internal structure (fig. 2, white lines). The tomographies were acquired using a Wenner
14 configuration chosen for its high signal to noise ratio property and its sensitivity to horizontal
15 contrasts, although the configuration is not adapted to precisely image lateral contrasts
16 [Dahlin & Zhou, 2004]. Transverse profiles A1, A2 and A3 were acquired using 64 electrodes
17 spaced every 4 m in the upper part of the mudslide. Transverse profiles C1 (80 electrodes, 4
18 m spacing) and C2 (48 electrodes, 5 m spacing) were acquired in the medium part of the
19 mudslide. Finally, the longitudinal CA profile (64 electrodes, 5 m spacing) was acquired
20 between transects C1 and A1. The raw data were inverted separately in 2D using the
21 RES2DINV inversion software [Loke and Barker, 1996], considering an L2-norm for
22 optimisation. Derived resistivity images present RMS errors lower than 3% after 5 iterations.

23

24 These electrical images (fig. 3) show a clear contrast between the mudslide material with
25 low resistivity values (less than 90 Ω .m) and the stable black marls bedrock with higher

1 resistivity values (more than 150 Ω .m). These resistivity values are comparable to those
2 obtained by Schmutz et al. [2000] from joint-inversion of VES and TDEM data, who found a
3 resistivity range of 2 to 50 Ω .m for the active unit and more than 400 Ω .m for the substratum.
4 The increase of resistivity values with depth observed in the mudslide material can be
5 explained by a decrease of the hydraulic conductivity with depth due to both the presence of
6 water-saturated cracks in the topmost layer and compaction of the lowermost layer. On the
7 eastern part of profiles C1 and C2, higher resistivity values (more than 700 Ω .m) are
8 observed; they correspond to stable hillslopes formed of moraine deposits. These electrical
9 tomographies correctly image the transverse and to a lesser degree the longitudinal thickness
10 changes of the mudslide, underlying its complex bedrock topography.

11
12 In the medium part of the mudslide, the electrical images indicate a total thickness ranging
13 from 24 m to less than 5 m along the C1 and C2 profiles; this geometry is consistent with the
14 depth variations proposed by Malet & Maquaire [2003] for this section on the basis of
15 geotechnical tests. In the upper part of the mudslide, a more or less constant thickness of 18 m
16 is found along the A1 profile, while the A2 and A3 profiles indicate strong lateral changes
17 due to the presence of in-situ buried bedrock crests. These profiles point out a deeper bedrock
18 (more than 30 m) than in the medium part. Although geotechnical in this part of the mudslide
19 are more scarce, the resistivity variations seem in accordance with the combined
20 geomorphological-geotechnical interpretation suggested by Malet [2003]. As these
21 tomographies were acquired in the autumn season, the surface formations present larger
22 resistivity values probably due to dryer hydrological conditions. Finally, the longitudinal
23 electrical image indicates smooth vertical variations, with a maximum depth reaching 18 m at
24 the intersection with the C1 profile.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

To summarize, the tomography profiles provided valuable and continuous information about the pseudo-3D geometry of the mudslide. These data will be used in zones lacking of geotechnical measurements for interpreting seismic noise interpretation.

H/V method

Three H/V seismic noise profiles were performed on the mudslide (fig. 2). Two of them (C and B) were acquired in the transverse direction, along the C and B geotechnical cross-sections, considering 15 m and 10 m spacing between each seismometer, respectively. A longitudinal profile (CA) was acquired between the A and C geotechnical cross-sections, with a 10 m spacing. In our experiments, we used six 3D Lennartz sensors (5 seconds), which gave flat responses in the [0.2-50 Hz] frequency range. They were connected to a CityShark™ II acquisition system developed for noise measurement [Chatelain et al., 2000]. Ambient vibration data were sampled at 200 Hz and recorded for 30 minutes. Among these 30 minutes, a maximum of short time windows (about 40 seconds) are selected through an anti-trigger process in order to select the more stable signal of noise ratio, *ie.* without transient high-frequency waves. Finally, Fast Fourier Transforms were processed for the three components and the spectral ratio was computed for each horizontal component (*ie.*, $H1/V$ and $H2/V$) as well as the quadratic average of the spectral ratio (H/V). Interesting recommendations for acquisition and processing tools dedicated to H/V measurements can be found in the conclusions of the SESAME European Research Project [2005]. To investigate complex and irregular structures, Uebayashi [2003] suggested orientating one component of the seismometer parallel to the structure variations because the frequency peak amplitude is sensitive to the orientation of the horizontal component. Therefore, on profiles CA and C the

1 spectral ratio was computed using the E-W component, as the most important change is
2 expected to occur along the longitudinal axis of the mudslide. On profile B, the spectral ratio
3 was computed using the N-S components the geotechnical investigations indicate large lateral
4 variations in the bedrock depth.

5
6 Figures 4a, 4b and 4c present H/V spectral ratios calculated in the [0.2-20 Hz] frequency
7 range for the 3 profiles. The frequency is represented on the vertical axis, point numbers are
8 indicated on the horizontal axis and colours represent the Napierian logarithm of the horizontal
9 to vertical spectral ratio. The black dot points correspond to the picked frequency peaks and
10 the white dot lines represent the intersections with other profiles. Figure 4d maps the spatial
11 variation of the picked frequency (colour scale) including those concerning the seismic noise
12 network.

13
14 On profile CA, a clearly distinguishable dominant frequency can be easily picked; this
15 dominant frequency varies smoothly along the profile. For some points, higher frequencies
16 exhibiting higher H/V amplitudes could have been wrongly picked (*eg.*, like for point 7, with
17 two major frequencies around 10 Hz and 15 Hz; but also lower frequencies at some points).
18 Even if the structure along this profile is 3D, the dominant frequency varies continuously and
19 this feature can be explained by the smooth variations of the thickness along the profile, and
20 by a well-adapted spatial sampling (10 m). In this case, no 3D effects significantly disturb
21 both data acquisition and data processing, implying that surface waves propagation can be
22 considered as 1D [Bonnetoy-Claudet, 2004]. On the contrary, it is clear that problems arise
23 for profile B, where different resonance frequencies can be picked for a single point
24 measurement, probably generated by different layers. In this case of large lateral thickness
25 variations at a small-scale, the chosen spatial sampling (every 10 m) is too large to identify

1 continuous variations of the suitable frequency. A blind frequency picking performed
2 considering the maximum H/V amplitudes resulted in too large heterogeneities.
3 Consequently, we decided to pick two frequencies on some points, and propose a non-unique
4 interpretation (fig. 5), considering the presence of several layers. To overcome this problem, a
5 more adapted sampling scheme may improve the interpretation. In our case, the low-
6 frequencies appearing mainly on the flanks of the mudslide must be related to a deeper
7 interface (probably linked with the presence of former coarse mudslide), which was identified
8 on electrical images. Other points (11, for example), exhibited unexplained low frequency
9 amplification, probably due to acquisition problems linked with coupling effects or local
10 subsurface heterogeneities, disturbing the surface wave propagation. Profile C1 is a mix
11 between the two previous examples. The observed variations are smoother, and the pickings
12 appear realistic (fig. 5) except in the eastern part of the profile (from tests 1 to 5, where tests 1
13 to 3 are located outside of the mudslide). Again, this ambiguity must come from the presence
14 of former coarse mudslide. Finally, the seismic noise measurements recorded for the network
15 experiment (fig. 4d) exhibit consistent frequencies with those deduced from the profiles,
16 underlying a smooth geometry in this part of the mudslide.

17

18 Figure 5 presents the interpretation of the picked frequencies in term of thickness (red
19 points). The thickness was computed by using the formula $f_0 = V_s / 4H_s$ and considering a
20 constant shear velocity $V_s = 260 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ in the mudslide. This velocity was deduced from the
21 surface waves and from the seismic noise network analyses (fig. 6, fig. 7). The presence of
22 two peaks in some H/V ratios (fig. 4b, fig. 4c) resulted in two possible interpretations,
23 although lower frequencies are expected to be due to the presence of deeper interfaces (former
24 coarse mudslide), acquisition problems or local heterogeneity. The bedrock geometry was
25 deduced from geotechnical data when available (black points), photogrammetric stereo-

1 restitution of the topography before and after the mudslide [Weber and Herrman, 2000], and
2 H/V interpretation. The surface topography changes between 1996 (when the geotechnical
3 investigation was carried out) and 2005 (when the geophysical acquisition was carried out)
4 were taken into account. Finally, the blue dashed line corresponds to the interpretation of
5 electrical tomographies (fig. 3). The limit was adjusted using geotechnical measurements.
6 These results show that the interpretation of the picked frequency in term of thickness is
7 particularly consistent with other measurements, even if the structure is 3D. However, it is
8 clear that when H/V spectral ratios exhibit more than one peak in the interesting frequency
9 range, interpretation is more doubtful and a spatial over-sampling is needed. Variability of the
10 mudslide shear wave velocity can also explain the small differences between the known
11 geometrical model and the estimated thickness. It should be noted that electrical interpretation
12 is well consistent with the deduced interface on the bottom of the CA profile, but less on the
13 C profile. These differences must be due to the lack of precision of smooth electrical
14 tomography, particularly when lateral variability is present for the used Wenner
15 configuration.

16

17 Seismic noise network

18

19 An array noise experiment was performed in the middle part of the C cross-section (fig. 2),
20 consisting of three circle arrays (radius of 10, 25 and 50 m) each composed of 6 seismic
21 stations. To compare the dispersion curve derived from these measurements, a longitudinal
22 active seismic line was recorded along the CA profile (fig. 2) using 24 4.5 Hz-vertical
23 geophones placed every 10 m.

24

1 Figure 6e displays the dispersion curve computed from the passive seismic noise arrays
2 and associated error) and the semblance map of the energy of the active surface waves. It
3 must be underlined that only two seismic noise arrays (radius of 10 and 25 m) were used
4 (transition frequency around 7 Hz on the dispersion curve), the larger one (50 m radius)
5 presenting no dispersion effect (certainly due to 3D effects for these wavelengths). These
6 dispersion curves are well consistent and display the same variations except for low
7 frequencies. This demonstrates that the seismic noise measurements are mainly composed of
8 surface waves which is one of the major assumptions for seismic noise interpretation (with the
9 1D hypothesis).

10

11 The inversion results are displayed on figures 6c and 6d for the seismic noise and figure 6a
12 and 6b for the active surface waves. During the inversion process, the H/V peak was added as
13 a priori information only for the seismic noise inversion. On figures 6b and 6d, the
14 experimental inverted dispersion curve computed from real data are superimposed to the
15 models derived after inversion, the low RMS errors being presented in red. For both
16 inversions, best models show S-waves velocity ranging between 260 and 300 m.s⁻¹ for the
17 topmost layer. They also show a well-defined thickness between 19-22 m for the noise and
18 not well defined thickness between 16 and 20 m for the surface waves. All these results are
19 consistent with other data acquired on this smooth area, which was densely imaged from
20 electrical measurements and H/V data. It is noticeable that both results also showed thin
21 contrasts inside the mudslide, which could be related to the observed superimposed units
22 discussed before. The shear wave velocity of the bedrock is not so well retrieved. It varies
23 between 600-700 m.s⁻¹ for the surface waves and between 1100-1300 m.s⁻¹ for the seismic
24 noise. Considering the large uncertainty on the dispersion curve of the seismic noise at low
25 frequencies, it may be reasonable to keep the velocity deduced from surface waves.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

To summarize, combined seismic noise arrays were able (1) to derive consistent surface wave dispersion curves compared to those provided from active surface wave analysis, and (2) to propose inversion models constrained with H/V information which retrieved precisely S-wave velocity and thickness of the mudslide. With lower frequencies (network radius >20m), thickness and S-wave velocity of the bedrock may have been more precisely investigated.

INVESTIGATION OF THE SAINT-GUILLAUME TRANSLATIONAL LANDSLIDE
Geological, geomorphological and geotechnical setting of the translational landslide

The Saint-Guillaume landslide is located in the Trièves basin (fig 1) where many clayey landslides have occurred in the past in the so-called varved clays; these clays are finely laminated glacio-lacustrine deposits dating from the Pleistocene (Wurmenien) period [Antoine et al., 1981; van Asch et al., 1996]. The observed landslides present slip surfaces at different depths [Antoine et al., 1981; Nieuvenhuis, 1991] from almost shallow ones (4 to 8 m) to more deeper ones (20 to 40 m).

The investigated landslide is a slow (1-5 cm.year⁻¹) translational landslide affecting the small village of Saint-Guillaume. The geological basement is composed of Oxfordian marly limestone covered with 40 to 50 m of varved clays. The landslide is limited to the South by a limestone cliff overhanging a scree-covered hillslope (fig. 7). A umbilical zone of limestone is also visible to the East sub-dividing the clay formation in two parts. To the North the landslide is limited by the Gresse torrent which has incised a narrow channel in the varved

1 clays. In the Western part of the landslide a geomorphological survey (geomorphological
2 map, topographic control points) and a geotechnical investigation (three inclinometers, one
3 piezometer) have been carried out in the late nineties. The boreholes have pointed out the
4 existence of an interface between the uppermost varved clay and the marly limestone bedrock
5 at 38.5 m, 61.7 m and 33.1 m depths at I1, I3 and I4 boreholes respectively (fig. 7). The
6 inclinometers detected sometimes several slip surfaces inside the clay formation; the main slip
7 surfaces were located at 34.5 m, 37.0 m, and 27.0 m depth, respectively. This monitoring
8 system has been complemented since 2003 by geophysical investigations. Those are described
9 in Garambois et al. (submitted). As a consequence, only a sum up of the main characteristics
10 of the landslide is developed hereafter. As for the Super-Sauze mudslide, seismic noise
11 measurements (H/V and seismic noise network) were tested (fig. 7). The comparison with
12 Super-Sauze is interesting because Saint-Guillaume presents smoother bedrock geometry and
13 thinner S-wave contrast.

14

15 H/V method

16

17 The H/V profile was carried out along an East-West direction perpendicular to the main
18 displacement of the landslide. Measurements were made every 20 m, including in the village
19 and on the marly limestone bedrock arising at the surface (tests 70 to 80). Figure 8a highlights
20 the presence of two resonance frequencies, respectively around 1.3 Hz and 2 Hz. They are
21 joined between tests 55 and 76 (high single frequency) and on the edges of the profiles (low
22 single frequency). When the bedrock arises to the ground surface, both frequencies vanished.
23 Considering S-wave refraction [Garambois et al., 2006, submitted] and surface wave analysis
24 (fig. 9), the S-wave velocity of the upper layer is around $260 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. We consequently
25 interpreted the higher frequency in term of thickness with this velocity (fig. 8b). The interface

1 lies between 0 (where the bedrock reaches the surface) and 50 m. Black dots located on
2 test 32 represent the known slip surface and bedrock depth in the I3 inclinometer. The lower
3 frequency is more difficult to interpret. As it vanishes when bedrock reaches surface, it may
4 correspond to the signature of the clay/marly limestone interface located at 61 m at
5 inclinometer I3 (fig. 8b). For the frequency to depth conversion, we used a velocity profile as
6 a function of depth, which was deduced from S-wave refractions analysis and surface waves
7 analysis (fig. 9). Both showed that the undisturbed clays exhibit a velocity around 550 m/s.
8 Using an equivalent velocity ($V_{\text{equivalent}} = (V_{\text{Sdisturbed clays}} * H_{\text{disturbed clays}} + V_{\text{Sundisturbed clays}} * H_{\text{undisturbed clays}}) / (H_{\text{disturbed clays}} + H_{\text{undisturbed clays}})$), the low frequency was picked and converted
9 into depth, allowing to obtain an image of the bedrock geometry. The derived curve is
10 relatively well consistent with the I3 deduced bedrock depth and remarkably with an electrical
11 tomography image reaching 80 m depth [Garambois et al., 2006, submitted]. All the results
12 suggest that the landslide develop first at the clay/bedrock interface when bedrock reaches the
13 surface, and then within the clay layer (as confirmed by inclinometer monitoring). Compared
14 to the Super-Sauze mudslide, frequency picking was easier certainly because the structures
15 are less 3D and present less heterogeneity.

17

18 Seismic noise network

19

20 Seismic noise arrays, performed around inclinometer I3, are composed of three arrays,
21 with radius of 20, 40 and 60 m (fig. 7). Again, this investigation was combined to an active
22 seismic experiment using 24 4.5 Hz-vertical geophones. All data were processed in the same
23 way than in the Super-Sauze investigation. Figure 9 presents the results derived from both
24 passive and active seismic surveys. The frequency range of the dispersion curves largely
25 differs depending on the method. Indeed, the passive method provided useful information in

1 the [2.5-6.5 Hz] frequency range and the active method in the [4-12 Hz] frequency range. In
2 the [4-6.5 Hz] frequency range, both dispersion curves are remarkably consistent, as
3 displayed on figure 9c. This observation shows that the larger part of the energy contained in
4 the seismic noise is made of surface waves. The inversion process was performed using the
5 H/V constrain for the passive network.

6 Both best models (low RMS) are consistent with the geotechnical and geophysical data.
7 The S-wave velocity of the topmost layer is well constrained in both experiments, ranging
8 from 260 to 280 m.s^{-1} , although seismic noise dispersion curve exhibited few high
9 frequencies. It corresponds to the unstable clayey zone highly affected by deformation. Its
10 thickness is also relatively well-defined, ranging from 31 to 34 m for the passive seismic
11 noise method and from 28 to 30 m thick for the active method. The difference may arise from
12 the 3D homogenisation property of the array, which integrates the information on a larger
13 zone and includes variability in landslide thickness. Moreover, it must be noted that the
14 surface wave acquisition was performed on the N-W edge of the array.

15 As discussed before, S-wave velocity varies around 550 m.s^{-1} ($V_p=1800 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$) in the
16 stable part of clays, and reaches more than 800 m.s^{-1} ($V_p > 2500 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$) in the marly limestone
17 bedrock. Figure 9 clearly outlines that both methods found best models displaying S-wave
18 velocity around 600 m/s for the second layer (the stable clays). However, they were unable to
19 detect and characterize the bedrock interface, although there is a large increase of Rayleigh
20 velocity at low frequencies for the seismic noise network (but with a large uncertainty).

21

22 **CONCLUSIONS**

23

24 Two seismic noise experiments were performed on two landslides presenting different
25 characteristics: (1) a mudslide characterized by abrupt 3D variations in the bedrock geometry

1 and large S-wave velocity contrasts, and (2) a translational landslide where the slip surface
2 geometry as well as the S-wave contrasts are smoother. H/V measurements showed their
3 efficiency in characterizing the contact between the stable and unstable material for both
4 landslides, as well as the bedrock interface for the translational landslide. Difficulties arise on
5 the mudslide, as multiple resonance frequencies appeared. Some of them may be due to
6 deeper interfaces, heterogeneities or bad coupling effects during the acquisition. To increase
7 interpretation confidence, an adapted spatial sampling must be adapted to the 3D structure
8 effect.

9 Inversions of dispersion curves obtained from seismic noise arrays on both sites were
10 compared to geotechnical data, as well as to results provided by active surface wave
11 investigations. First, a clear correlation between active and seismic dispersion curves
12 indicated that in both cases seismic noise was mainly composed of surfaces waves. Second,
13 large arrays are not always efficient. For the Super-Sauze mudslide, the larger array enabled
14 to record consistent dispersive waves at low frequencies.

15 In soft-rock landslides, slip surfaces appear to generate large S-wave velocity contrasts that
16 seismic noise methods are able to detect and characterize properly. Consequently, combined
17 to theoretical studies, seismic noise methods may be of high interest to identify and map slip
18 surfaces in 3D.

19

20

21 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

22 This work was supported by grants from the French Ministry of Research within the ACI project SAMOA
23 ‘Surveillance et Auscultation de MOuvements gravitaires Alps’ (Coordinators: C. Delacourt, O. Maquaire and
24 D. Amitrano) and the ACI project GACH2C ‘Glissements Alps à Contrôle Hydrologique et Changement
25 Climatique’ (Coordinators: O. Maquaire and J.-P. Malet). The investigation carried out at Saint-Guillaume was
26 supported by grants from the Conseil Général de l’Isère within a ‘Pôle Grenoblois des Risques Naturels’ project.

1 We express our gratitude to the RTM38 and particularly to Mrs. Catherine Moulin for providing geotechnical
2 data on the Saint-Guillaume landslide. We also thank all colleagues who helped us during the field investigation,
3 as well as the people from the city office of Saint-Guillaume.

7 REFERENCES

8 ANTOINE P., GIRAUD A. & MONJUVENT G. (1981) - Les argiles litées du Trièves
9 (Isère), conditions de glissement de terrain et exemples de propriétés géotechniques - *Bulletin*
10 *de la Société Géologique de France*, **23**, 117-127.

11 ASTEN M. W. (2004). - Passive seismic methods using the microtremor wave field for
12 engineering and earthquake site zonationEds., *74th SEG Annual Meeting*. Denver, USA. 10-
13 15 October 2004.

14 BARD, P.-Y., & M. BOUCHON (1985) - The two-dimensional resonance of sediment-filled
15 valleys - *Bull. seism. Soc. Am.*, **75**, 519-541.

16 BONNEFOY-CLAUDET S. (2004) - Nature du bruit de fond sismique : implications pour les
17 études des effets de site. - PhD thesis, Grenoble University, France, 241 p.

18 CHATELAIN J. L., GUÉGUEN P., GUILLIER B., FRECHET J., BONDOUX F.,
19 SARRAULT J., SULPICE P. & NEUVILLE J. M. (2000) - CityShark: A user-friendly
20 instrument dedicated to ambient noise (microtremor) recording for site and building response
21 studies. - *Seismological Research Letters*, **71(6)**, 698-703.

22 COMMEND S., GEISER F. & TACHER L. (2004). - 3D numerical modelling of a landslide
23 in Switzerland,, Proceedings of *NUMOGIX*, Ottawa, 2004.

24 CORNOU C., KRISTEK J., OHRNBERGER M., DIGUILIO G., SCHISSELE E.,
25 GUILLIER B., BONNEFOY-CLAUDET S., WATHELET M., FAEH D., BARD P.-Y. &

1 MOCZO P. (2004). - Simulation of seismic ambient vibrations – II: H/V and array techniques
2 for real sites Eds., *13th World Conf. Earth. Engng.* Vancouver, BC Canada, 1130.

3 DAHLIN, T & B. ZHOU (2004) - A Numerical Comparison of 2D Resistivity Imaging with
4 Ten Electrode Arrays - *Geophysical Prospecting*, 52, 379-398.

5 FIELD E. & JACOB K. (1993) - The theoretical response of sedimentary layers to ambient
6 seismic noise - *Geophysical Research Letters*, **20**, 2925-2928.

7 FIELD E. & JACOB K. (1995) - A comparison and test of various site-response estimation
8 techniques including three are not reference-site dependent. - *Bulletin of the Seismological*
9 *Society of America*, **85**, 1127-1143.

10 FLAGEOLLET J.-C., MALET J.-P., SCHMUTZ M. & MAQUAIRE O. (2004). - Integrated
11 investigations on landslides: example of the Super-Sauze earthflow. In CASALE R. &
12 MARGOTTINI C., Eds., *Natural Disaster and Sustainable Development*. Springer - Verlag,
13 Berlin., 213-238.

14 GALLIPOLI, M., V. LAPENNA, P. LORENZO, M. MUCCIARELLI, A. PERRONE, S.
15 PISCITELLI, AND F. SDAO (2000) - Comparison of geological and geophysical prospecting
16 techniques in the study of a landslide in southern Italy - *European Journal of Environmental*
17 *and Engineering Geophysics*, **4**, 117–128.

18 GARAMBOIS S., GUÉGUEN P. & CRAVOISIER S. (2006) - A comparative study between
19 geophysical and seismic noise measurements for clayey soil landslides characterization -
20 *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, submitted.

21 GIRAUD A., ANTOINE P., VAN ASCH T. W. J. & NIEUWENHUIS J. D. (1991) -
22 Geotechnical problems caused by glaciolacustrine clays in the French Alps - *Engineering*
23 *Geology*, **31**, 185-195.

- 1 GUÉGUEN, P., J.-L. CHATELAIN, B. GUILLIER & H. YEPES (2000) - An indication of
2 the soil topmost layer response in Quito (Ecuador) using H/V spectral ratio - *Soil Dynamics
3 and Earthquake Engineering*, 19, 127-133.
- 4 ISRAIL M. & PACHAURI A. K. (2003) - Geophysical characterisation of landslide site in
5 the Himalayan foothill region - *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, **22**, 253-263.
- 6 JONGMANS D. & GARAMBOIS S. (2006) - Surface geophysical and monitoring of
7 landslides: a review - *Bull. Soc. Géol. France*, submitted.
- 8 KVAERNA T. & RINGDAHL F. (1986). - Stability of various f-k estimation techniques. -,
9 Report 1-86/87, NORSAR Scientific Report, Kjeller, Norway.
- 10 LACHET C. & BARD P. (1994) - Numerical and theoretical investigations on the possibilities
11 and limitations of the "Nakamura's" technique. - *Journal of Physics of the Earth (Japan)*,
- 12 LACOSS R. T., KELLY E. J. & TOKSÖZ M. N. (1969) - Estimation of seismic noise
13 structure using arrays - *Geophysics*, **34**, 21-38.
- 14 LEBRUN B., HATZFELD D. & BARD P.-Y. (2001) - A site effect study in urban area:
15 experimental results in Grenoble (France) - *Pageoph.*, **158**, 2543-2557.
- 16 LERMO J. & CHÁVEZ-GARCÍA F. J. (1993) - Site effect evaluation using spectral ratios
17 with only one station - *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **83**, 1574-1594.
- 18 LOKE M. H. & BARKER R. D. (1996) - Rapid least-squares inversion of apparent resistivity
19 pseudosections by a quasi-Newton method. - *Geophysical Prospecting*, **44**, 131-152.
- 20 MALET J.-P. (2003) - Les 'glissements de type écoulement' dans les marnes noires des Alpes
21 du Sud. Morphologie, fonctionnement et modélisation hydro-mécanique. - *Institut de
22 Physique du Globe*, 331 p. - Thèse, Strasbourg, 2003.
- 23 MALET J.-P. & MAQUAIRE O. (2003) - Black marl earthflows mobility and long-term
24 seasonal dynamic in southeastern France. In PICARELLI L., Eds., *International Conference*

1 on *Fast Slope Movements: Prediction and Prevention for Risk Mitigation*. Bologna. Patron
2 Editore, 333-340.

3 MALET J.-P., VAN ASCH T. W. J., VAN BEEK L. P. H. & MAQUAIRE O. (2005) -
4 Forecasting the behaviour of complex landslides with a spatially distributed hydrological
5 model - *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, **5**, 71-85.

6 MAQUAIRE O., FLAGEOLLET J.-C., MALET J.-P., SCHMUTZ M., WEBER D., KLOTZ
7 S., ALBOUY Y., DESCLOÎTRES M., DIETRICH M., GUÉRIN R. & SCHOTT J.-J. (2001) -
8 Une approche multidisciplinaire pour la connaissance d'un glissement coulée dans les marnes
9 noires du Callovien-Oxfordien (Super-Sauze, Alpes de Haute Provence, France) - *Revue*
10 *Française de Géotechnique*, **95/96**, 15-31.

11 McCANN D. M. & FORSTER A. (1990) - Reconnaissance geophysical methods in landslide
12 investigations - *Engineering Geology*, **29**, 59-78.

13 MERIC O., GARAMBOIS S., JONGMANS D., WATHELET M., CHATELAIN J. L. &
14 VENGEON J. M. (2005) - Application of geophysical methods for the investigation of the
15 large gravitational mass movement of Séchilienne, France - *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*,
16 **42**, 1105-1115.

17 NAKAMURA Y. (1989) - A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface
18 using microtremor on ground surface - *QR of RTRI*, **30**, 25-33.

19 NIEUWENHUIS J. D. (1991). - The lifetime of a landslide: investigation in the French Alps.
20 - *FRANCIS T.*, London, p. 160

21 NOGOSHI, M., & T. IGARASHI, (1971) - On the amplitude characteristics of microtremor
22 (part 2) - *Journal of Seismological Society of Japan*, **24**, 26-40.

23 ROTEN, D., C. CORNOU, S. STEIMEN, D. FAEH & D. GIARDINI (2004) - 2D resonances
24 in Alpine valleys identified from ambient vibration wavefield, in *Proc. 13th World Conf. on*
25 *Earthq. Engng.*, Vancouver, Canada BC, August 2004.

- 1 SAMBRIDGE M. (1999b) - Geophysical inversion with a neighbourhood algorithm-II.
2 Apprising the ensemble - *Geophys. J. Int.*, **138**, 727-746.
- 3 SAMBRIDGE M. (1999a) - Geophysical inversion with a neighbourhood algorithm- I.
4 Searching a parameter space - *Geophys. J. Int.*, **138**, 479-494.
- 5 SATOH T., KAWASE H. & MATSUSHIMA S. (2001) - Estimation of S-Wave Velocity
6 Structures in and around the Sendai Basin, Japan, Using Array Records of Microtremors -
7 *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **91**, 206-218.
- 8 SCHERBAUM F., HINZEN K.-G. & OHRNBERGER M. (2003) - Determination of shallow
9 shear wave velocity profiles in the Cologne/Germany area using ambient vibrations. -
10 *Geophysical Journal International*, **152**, 597-612.
- 11 SCHMUTZ M., ALBOUY Y., GUÉRIN R., MAQUAIRE O., VASSAL J., SCHOTT J.-J. &
12 DESCLOÎTRES M. (2000) - Joint electrical and time domain electromagnetism (TDEM) data
13 inversion applied to the Super Sauze earthflow (France) - *Surveys in Geophysics*, **21**, 371-390.
- 14 SESAME EUROPEAN RESEARCH PROJECT (2005) - Guidelines for the implementation
15 of the H/V spectral ratio technique on ambient vibrations measurements, processing and
16 interpretation, report, http://sesame-fp5.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr/SES_TechnicalDoc.htm, 62 p.
- 17 STEIMEN, S., D. FÄH, F. KIND, C. SCHMID & D. GIARDINI (2003) - Identifying 2-D
18 Resonance in Microtremor Wave Fields - *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **93**, 583 – 599.
- 19 TACHER L., BONNARD C., LALOUÏ L. & PARRIAUX A. (2005) - Modelling the
20 behaviour of large landslide with respect to hydrogeological and geomechanical parameter
21 heterogeneity - *Landslides*, **2**, 3-14.
- 22 TOKIMATSU K. (1997). - Geotechnical site characterization using surface waves. In
23 ISHIHARA, Eds., *Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering*. Rotterdam. Balkema, 1333-1368.

- 1 UEBAYASHI H. (2003) - Extrapolation of Irregular Subsurface Structures Using the
2 Horizontal-to-Vertical Spectral Ratio of Long-Period Microtremors - *Bulletin of the*
3 *Seismological Society of America*, **93**, 570-582.
- 4 VAN ASCH T. W. J., HENDRICKS M., HESSEL R. & RAPPANGE F. E. (1996) -
5 Hydrological triggering conditions of landslides in varved clays in the French Alps -
6 *Engineering Geology*, **42**, 239-251.
- 7 WATHELET M., JONGMANS D. & OHRNBERGER M. (2004) - Surface wave inversion
8 using a direct search algorithm and its application to ambient vibration measurements - *Near*
9 *Surface Geophysics*, **2**, 221-231.
- 10 WEBER D. & HERRMANN A. (2000) - Contribution de la photogrammétrie numérique à
11 l'étude spatio-temporelle de versants instables : l'exemple du glissement de terrain de Super-
12 Sauze (Alpes-de-Haute-Provence, France) - *Bull. Soc. Géol. France*, **6**, 637-648.
- 13 YAMAMOTO H. (1998). - An experiment for estimating S-wave velocity structure from
14 phase velocities of Love and Rayleigh waves in microtremors. Eds., *Second International*
15 *Symposium on the Effect of Surface Geology on Seismic Motion*. Yokohama, Japan. 1-3
16 December 1998. Balkema, A.A./Rotterdam/Brookfield, **2**, 705-710.
- 17

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Locations of the two test sites: translational landslide of Saint-Guillaume and mudslide of Super-Sauze, South French Alps.

Figure 2: 3D topography image of the Super-Sauze mudslide and locations of the geophysical and seismic noise measurements. White lines: electrical tomography; black dots: seismic noise measurements (H/V); black triangles: seismic noise network.

Figure 3: Electrical tomography images derived after inversion of data acquired using a Wenner acquisition at the Super-Sauze mudslide.

Figure 4: H/V and seismic noise network results obtained at the Super-Sauze mudslide along the B profile (a), the C profile (b) and the CA longitudinal profile (c). The picked frequency is displayed in colour (d), including H/V measurements acquired during the seismic noise network experiment.

Figure 5: Interpretation of H/V picked frequencies of the Super-Sauze mudslide considering a mean S-wave velocity of 260 m.s^{-1} . It was compared to geotechnical and electrical data. The interface was drawn considering all the results, except electrical tomography.

1 Figure 6: Inversion results of the dispersion curve obtained from the seismic noise network
2 and the surface wave measurements at the Super-Sauze mudslide. Vs models as a function of
3 depth and of RMS error (colour scale) for the SW (a) and the seismic noise (c).
4 Superimposition of the dispersion curve with the derived models for the SW (b) and the
5 seismic noise (d). (e) Superimposition of the seismic noise dispersion curve and the
6 semblance map of surface waves.

7

8 Figure 7: Aerial photograph of the Saint-Guillaume landslide, including locations of the three
9 inclinometers, the seismic noise measurements and a schematic view of the geology (a).
10 Enlargement of the seismic noise investigation zone (right).

11

12 Figure 8: H/V results obtained at Saint-Guillaume landslide (a) and interpretation of the
13 picked frequencies in term of sliding surface and bedrock geometries. The black dot
14 represents depths deduced from the inclinometer and borehole measurements (b).

15

16 Figure 9: Inversion results of the dispersion curve obtained from the seismic noise network
17 and the surface wave measurements at the Saint-Guillaume landslide. Vs models as a function
18 of depth and of RMS error (colour scale) for the SW (a) and the seismic noise (c).
19 Superimposition of the dispersion curve with the derived models for the SW (b) and the
20 seismic noise (d). (e) Superimposition of the seismic noise dispersion curve and the
21 semblance map of surface waves.

LEGENDE DES FIGURES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Figure 1: Localisation des deux sites tests : Le glissement translationnel de Saint-Guillaume et le glissement-coulée de Super Sauze, Alpes du sud de la France.

Figure 2: Image 3D de la topographie du glissement-coulée de Super-Sauze et positionnement des mesures géophysiques et de bruit de fond sismique. Lignes blanches : tomographies électrique ; points noirs : points de mesures du bruit de fond sismique (H/V) ; triangles noirs : capteurs du bruit de fond réseau.

Figure 3: Image de tomographies électrique déduites après inversion de données acquis en mode Wenner sur le glissement-coulée de Super-Sauze.

Figure 4: Résultats des mesures H/V obtenues sur le glissement-coulée de Super-Sauze le long du profil B (a), du profil C (b) et du profil longitudinal CA (c). Le pic de fréquence est représenté par un code de couleur (d), incluant les mesures H/V obtenues lors de l'acquisition du bruit de fond réseau.

Figure 5: Interprétation des fréquences pointées des mesures H/V sur le glissement-coulée de Super-Sauze considérant une vitesse de 260 m.s^{-1} . Ces interprétations sont comparées aux données géotechniques et électriques. L'interface a été dessinée considérant tous les résultats, exceptés ceux de la tomographie électrique.

1 Figure 6: Résultats de l'inversion de la courbe de dispersion du réseau de bruit de fond
2 sismique et des mesures d'onde de surface sur le glissement-coulée de Super-Sauze. Modèles
3 de Vs en fonction de la profondeur et de l'erreur (échelle de couleur) pour les ondes de
4 surface (a) et pour le bruit sismique (c). Surimposition de la courbe de dispersion avec les
5 modèles dérivée des ondes de surface (b) et du bruit de fond sismique (d). (e) Surimposition
6 de la courbe de dispersion issue du réseau de bruit de fond sismique et de la carte de
7 semblance des ondes de surface.

8


9 Figure 7: Photographie aérienne du glissement de Saint-Guillaume avec la localisation des
10 trois inclinomètres, des mesures de bruit de fond et une vue schématique de la géologie (a).
11 Agrandissement de la zone d'investigation pour le réseau de bruit de fond sismique (b).

12

13 Figure 8: Résultats du H/V mesuré sur le glissement de Saint-Guillaume (a) et interprétation
14 des fréquences pointées en termes de géométrie surface de glissement et de substratum. Les
15 points noirs représentent les profondeurs déduites de l'inclinomètre I3 et des mesures en
16 sondage (b).

17

18 Figure 9: Résultats de l'inversion de la courbe de dispersion du réseau de bruit de fond
19 sismique et des mesures d'onde de surface sur le glissement de Saint-Guillaume. Modèles de
20 Vs en fonction de la profondeur et de l'erreur (échelle de couleur) pour les ondes de surface
21 (a) et pour le bruit sismique (c). Surimposition de la courbe de dispersion avec les modèles
22 dérivée des ondes de surface (b) et du bruit de fond sismique (d). Surimposition de la courbe
23 de dispersion issue du réseau de bruit de fond sismique et de la carte de semblance des ondes
24 de surface.




1

2

3 **Figure 1**


4



1


2

3 **Figure2**



- 1
- 2
- 3


Figure 3



1

2


3 **Figure 4**



1

2


3 **Figure 5**



1


2

3 **Figure 6**




1
2
3
4

Figure 7



1

2 **Figure 8**



1

2 **Figure9**