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Abstract

Sun et al. (2007) constructed a magnetostratigraphic record of the Kuitun River section (Xinjiang Province, China) and reinterpreted a magnetostratigraphic record from the same section previously published by Charreau et al. (2005). In this paper, we show that Sun et al. miscorrelated their column with respect to that of Charreau et al. A compatible correlation, recognized by both sides, is presented.

1. Introduction

Numerous studies have been carried out to understand the Tertiary exhumation history of the Tian Shan Mountains in central Asia. One commonly used tool is magnetostratigraphy, which can decipher uplift rates from changes in the deposition rates of the sediments shed from the mountains and deposited in the adjacent foreland basins [e.g., Zheng et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2004; Charreau et al., 2005, 2006; Huang et al., 2006]. At the Dushanzi section on the northern flank of the Tian Shan, Sun et al. [2004] collected ~1500 samples over a 1200-m-thick profile and dated the section from ~2.5 to ~8.5 Ma. In the Kuitun section, 5 km east of the Dushanzi section, Charreau et al. [2005] collected 801 samples from a 1559-m-thick section, where 29 magnetic polarity intervals were correlated between ~3.1 and ~10.5 Ma.

Recently, Sun et al. [2007] reinterpreted the published magnetostratigraphic correlation from Kuitun by Charreau et al. [2005]. Sun et al. [2007] collected 176 samples within a ~450 m
2. Stratigraphic Correlation

On 27 September 2007, both groups visited the Kuitun section together. This visit lead both sides to recognize that (1) the limit between the Xiyu conglomerate and Dushanzi sandstone formation is marked by a change in lithology (Figure 1, top) [see also Sun et al., 2007, Figure 5], (2) the samples collected by Charreau et al. [2005] began at a position 400 m above this limit then proceeded down section in the Dushanzi sandstones, and (3) the samples collected by Sun et al. [2007] began at a position 50 m above this limit then proceeded down section. The common observation would therefore introduce a renewed stratigraphic correlation as described below.

The upper 400 m of the Charreau et al. [2005] section is dominated by coarse conglomerates, interpreted by Charreau et al. [2005, Figure 1] and Sun et al. [2007, Figure 5] to be part of the Xiyu Formation. The massive conglomeratic nature of the sediments severely limited the number of possible horizons for Charreau et al. [2005] to collect oriented samples, which is why their sampling density is so low there (~0.3 samples/m) (Figure 1). Sun et al. [2007, paragraph 17 and Figure 5] collected their samples, “near the base of the Xiyu Formation down to the Pliocene Dushanzi Formation.” Both studies assign the intercalation of conglomerate, yellow sandstone and brown mudstone to the Dushanzi Formation. This means that the uppermost first 400 m of Charreau et al.'s section should not be correlated with the Sun et al. [2007] column (Figures 1 and 2). Appropriately placed in this way, two magnetostratigraphic columns match perfectly (Figure 2).

3. Discussion

It remains an open question how to correlate the upper part of Kuitun and Dushanzi magnetostratigraphic column to the reference scale (GPTS) that may introduce an important consequence on climatic and tectonic implications. Two distinct ages are attributed to the basal of the Xiyu Formation, 2.58 Ma by Sun et al. [2004, 2007] and 4.8 Ma by Charreau et al. [2005], respectively. This addendum is only intended to clarify sample collection and stratigraphic correlation on the Kuitun section, rather than to settle all disagreements between the two research groups. The Xiyu conglomerate plays a very important role in understanding Cenozoic tectonic and climatic evolution of central Asia. This addendum brings our two groups to the same cornerstone, and we will continue our effort in study the important issue, although we hold different academic opinion at present. The well-established Kuitun section is potentially an international nature laboratory for Cenozoic tectonic and climatic study, and we have carried out multidisciplinary studies including magnetostratigraphy, sedimentology, U-Th-He dating, organic matters analyses as well as geomorphology and neotectonics. We may offer all detailed differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) measurements to all who wish to study this section.
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Figure 1. Photograph of the limit between the Xiyu and Dushanzi formations in the Kuitun section with location of our sampling [Charreau et al., 2005] and new sampling of Sun et al. [2007]. The Xiyu/Dushanzi limit lies at ~400 m in depth on the magnetostratigraphic column of Charreau et al. [2005] (see Figure 2). Above this limit (to the south), in the massive conglomerate, sampling was only possible by hand in select fine-grained lenses, thus giving a lower sampling density. Below this limit (to the north), rocks were amenable for drilling. Enhanced EPS
Figure 2. Renewed correlation between the Kuitun magnetostratigraphy column of Sun et al. [2007] and that of Charreau et al. [2005] section. Enhanced EPS