

Mercury speciation in a tropical soil association; Consequence of gold mining on Hg distribution in French Guiana

Stéphane Guédron, Sylvain Grangeon, Bruno Lanson, Michel Grimaldi

► To cite this version:

Stéphane Guédron, Sylvain Grangeon, Bruno Lanson, Michel Grimaldi. Mercury speciation in a tropical soil association; Consequence of gold mining on Hg distribution in French Guiana. Geoderma, 2009, 153 (issues 3-4), pp.331-346. 10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.08.017. insu-00424551

HAL Id: insu-00424551 https://insu.hal.science/insu-00424551v1

Submitted on 16 Oct 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

2

Mercury speciation in a tropical soil association;

Consequence of gold mining on Hg distribution in French Guiana.

3

4

Stéphane GUEDRON^{a,*}, Sylvain GRANGEON^b, Bruno LANSON^b, and Michel
 GRIMALDI^c.

7

^a Environmental Geochemistry Group - LGIT, Observatory of Earth and Planetary
 Science (OSUG), University of Grenoble / CNRS - Maison des Géosciences, 1381,
 rue de la Piscine - Domaine Universitaire - 38400 Saint-Martin-D'Hères, France.
 ^b Mineralogy & Environments - LGCA, Observatory of Earth and Planetary Science
 (OSUG), University of Grenoble / CNRS - Maison des Géosciences, 1381, rue de la
 Piscine - Domaine Universitaire - 38400 Saint-Martin-D'Hères, France.

^c UMR Bioemco- Biogéochimie et Ecologie des Milieux Continentaux, UMR211,
 Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, 32 avenue Henri Varagnat, 93143
 Bondy, France.

17

^{*} Corresponding author – Present address: Institut F.A.-Forel, route de Suisse 10,

19 CP416, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland.

20 E-mail: <u>stephane.guedron@unige.ch</u>

21 Tel: +41-223-790-311

22 Fax:+41-223-790-329

23 Abstract.

Mercury (Hg) speciation was compared in French Guiana pristine soils and in 24 Hg contaminated soils impacted by former (~1950's) gold-mining activities which 25 used Hg for gold amalgamation. Four selective extractions were performed on soil 26 samples to assess the fraction of Hg present as Hg(II) and bond to organic matter 27 (extracted by NH₄OH and KOH), to amorphous iron oxides (ascorbate) and to soil 28 components other than refractory minerals (HCl/HNO₃). In addition, pyrolysis was 29 used to guantify the content of elemental Hg in contaminated soils. X-ray diffraction 30 (XRD) and X-ray fluorescence micro-mapping (µXRF) were used in combination to 31 selective extractions to assess the nature of targeted components, the possible 32 overlaps between the different extraction procedures and the spatial correlation 33 between Si, K, Fe, Au and Hg. 34

In soil profiles from pristine toposequences, Hg concentrations (0.01-35 0.49 µg.g⁻¹) decreased with increasing depth in soil matrix. Hg concentrations also 36 decreased from ferralsols to acrisols and further to gleysols. In pristine soil matrix, Hg 37 was mainly associated to the clay size fraction (< 2 µm) which was mainly constituted 38 of amorphous and crystalline Fe oxides (Al-substituted goethite and hematite), 39 gibbsite and fine organic matter (OM), whose relative abundances vary along the soil 40 association. Total Hg concentration was positively correlated with sulfurs and organic 41 carbon suggesting the association of Hg with OM sulfur-bearing functional groups. 42 Gleysols were depleted in Hg because of the prevailing reducing conditions that lead 43 to the dissolution of iron oxides. In the same soil profiles, Hg concentrations in 44 ferruginous nodules, which make up most of the soil coarse fraction (> 2 mm), were 45 similar to those reported in the pristine soil matrix. These nodules mainly contained 46 Al-substituted hematite and goethite and were especially abundant upslope in 47

ferralsols and acrisols. Gold-mined gleysols were strongly disorganized by former 48 activities as neither the original structure nor the texture was preserved. Soil 49 granulometry was dominated by gravels, sands and silts. Hg concentrations (0.09-50 9.22 µg.g⁻¹) largely exceeded those in pristine soils. µXRF allowed the identification 51 of Au-amalgamated Hg and of elemental Hg droplets. Pyrolysis confirmed Hg to be 52 mainly present in its elemental form in contaminated soils. Selective extractions 53 showed additional minor contributions of Hg(II) associated to OM, and to AI or Fe 54 oxides. 55

The combination of selective extractions with XRD and μ XRF data showed that extraction efficiency is strongly dependent on the soil type, and that this efficiency needs to be determined on a soil-by-soil basis for Hg speciation studies. KOH extraction was especially delicate as crystalline and amorphous oxides were extracted together with organic matter.

Key-words: Mercury, Tropical soils, French Guiana, gold-mining, XRD, selective
 extraction, speciation.

63 **1. Introduction**

Tropical soils from the Amazonian basin are known to have accumulated 64 atmospheric Hg over millions of years, thus inducing geochemical backgrounds 65 higher than those reported in boreal and temperate climate soils (Carmouze et al., 66 2001). In addition, past and current gold mining activities, which represent the main 67 contribution to anthropogenic emissions (Lacerda, 1997; Roulet et al., 1998; Guedron 68 et al., 2006), contribute to increase further the total Hg concentrations in these soils. 69 Small-scale mining activities have increased significantly during the worldwide gold 70 rush, created by the gold price increase in the 1980's. UNIDO (United Nations 71 Industrial Development Organization) estimates that in 2004 10 to 15 million people 72 were working in small-scale gold mines releasing 650-1000 tons of Hg annually 73 (Veiga and Baker, 2004). In addition to this major atmospheric emission, gold mining 74 activities release large amounts of Hg-rich particles into hydrosystems where 75 methylmercury (MMHg) production has been clearly identified in anoxic and suboxic 76 areas (Benoit et al., 2003; Coquery et al., 2003). In turn, MMHg accumulation along 77 the aquatic food chains is a threat to Amerindian populations whose diet relies mainly 78 on fish (Lebel et al., 1996; Frerv et al., 2001; Barbosa et al., 2003). To assess Hg 79 mobility and its availability to methylating microorganisms, it is thus crucial to 80 determine how Hg is bond to soil particles. 81

The retention capacity of tropical soils varies as a function of soil components which depends on soil types and horizons (Charlet and Sposito, 1987; Charlet and Sposito, 1989; Fontes and Gomes, 2003). In particular, the relative proportions of soil components responsible for Hg retention [clay minerals, Fe-, Al-, and Mn-(oxyhydr)oxides, organic matter] vary with the geochemical conditions which in turn

depend on the topographic position along the soil association (Boulet et al., 1993; do
Valle et al., 2005; Fritsch et al., 2005; Fritsch et al., 2006).

Hg has a high affinity for soil organic matter, especially for reduced sulfur 89 groups such as thiol ligands (Schuster, 1991; Skyllberg et al., 2000; Khwaja et al., 90 2006; Skyllberg et al., 2006). High Hg levels can also be associated to Fe-91 (oxyhydr)oxides. In particular, amorphous or poorly crystalline oxides are known as 92 sinks for metal contaminants owing to their large surface area and/or microporous 93 structure (Trivedi and Axe, 2001). Ferruginous nodules, formed during the lateritic 94 weathering process, also contain elevated Hg contents (Roulet and Lucotte, 1995; 95 96 Roulet et al., 1998; De Oliveira et al., 2001; Brabo et al., 2003).

Solid-phase chemical speciation has been widely used on sediment samples 97 to decipher metal distribution in contaminated systems (Wallschlager et al., 1998; 98 99 Rodriguez Martin-Doimeadios et al., 2000; Beldowski and Pempkowiak, 2003; Bloom et al., 2003; Boszke et al., 2006). Most of the recent studies of Hg speciation in 100 101 natural or contaminated sediments, soils and tailings used sequential extraction procedure (SEP) or Hg pyrolysis procedure following published recommendations 102 (Eagenhouse et al., 1978; Di Giulio and Ryan, 1987; Biester and Scholz, 1997; 103 Bloom et al., 2003). While some studies strictly follow the described SEP (Sanchez et 104 al., 2005; Beldowski and Pempkowiak, 2007), many authors adapt it to the studied 105 matrix. Each extraction step of the SEPs for soils and sediments is critical, as it is 106 challenging to obtain an adequate recovery by preventing losses, contamination or 107 speciation changes, and limiting the interferences (Leermakers et al., 2005). 108

In the present study, the speciation of Hg among soil components was determined in soil profiles along pristine soil toposequences and in soils contaminated with Hg by former gold mining in a French Guiana watershed.

Separate selective extractions were used to quantify Hg distribution between soil carrier phases, in order to avoid cumulative errors intrinsic to SEPs. In addition, the combination of X-ray diffraction (XRD) and chemical analysis allowed the identification of the extracted phases and of possible overlaps between selective extractions (cross recoveries). Intrinsic limitations of the selective extraction procedure are discussed in the light of these results.

118 **2. Materials and methods.**

119 2.1. Research areas

The former gold mine flat is located in French Guiana (Fig. 1) on the Combat Creek watershed (52°23'W, 4°35'N), a small catchment of ~1 km² covered by tropical rain forest. Except for the two hilltops and for the lowland, the relief is characterized by steep slopes (15-30%). The climate is tropical humid, with an annual average rainfall of ~4000 mm (Barret, 2004).

The Combat Creek watershed is located on the 'Amina series' of the Guiana Proterozoic shield consisting primarily of darkschist and thin sandstones (Milési et al., 1995). Large gravel deposits from ancient rivers contain large amounts of gold, resulting from the weathering of auriferous quartz veins from the Proterozoic shield (Milési et al., 1995).

Soil distribution within the Combat Creek watershed is typical of French Guiana
and is related to soil position along the slopes (Boulet et al., 1979; Grimaldi et al.,
2001; Guedron et al., 2006). Ferralsols dominate upslope (Deckers et al., 1998),
having typically a high clay (< 2 µm size fraction) content and a micro-aggregated
structure extending over 1 m depth, which allows a good vertical water drainage
(Guehl, 1984). Ferruginous nodules are present throughout the entire ferralsol

profiles. Halfway down the slopes, ferralsols steadily evolves to acrisols, a massive 136 137 alteritic horizon with a high content of fine silts at shallow depth (< 1 m). During rainfalls, a perched aquifer forms on the slope above this massive alteritic horizon. 138 Following rainfalls, the aguifer is evacuated laterally, exporting preferentially clay-size 139 particles and dissolution products (Molicova et al., 1997; Grimaldi et al., 2004). 140 Downslope, the soils become hydromorphic, with dominant sands. A permanent 141 aquifer is present and slowly drained by the river, thus imposing reducing conditions. 142 Whatever the soil type, field observations have shown that guartz, kaolinite, and Fe-143 Al-(oxyhydr)oxides dominate mineralogy, quartz being the sole remnant from the 144 145 primary rock-forming minerals. In the massive alteritic horizon, a few muscovite crystals were also observed. Soil pH ranged from 4.2 to 4.9. The upper part of the 146 studied watershed (ferralsols and acrisols) was considered to be pristine based on 147 148 the soil structure and texture.

In the lowland, ancient 'Long Tom' sluices and gold-bearing gravel heaps attest 149 of former gold mining activities dated from the early 1950's. These activities involved 150 the removal of fine particles with water, in order to concentrate heavier gold-rich 151 particles. Soils are thus strongly disorganized, and neither original structure nor 152 texture is preserved. Present day soil granulometry reflects the former activity as 153 gravels, sands and silts are dominant, while the clay-size fraction is almost absent. 154 Hg droplets were also observed in these gold-mined gleysols during a prospective 155 "panning" campaign. These soils can be described as disorganized gleysols 156 according to their present geochemical characteristics. 157

158 2.2. Sampling collection and analysis

159 2.2.1 Soil collection

Three toposequences were sampled in the pristine part of the watershed, (i) the first in the upper part of the basin, (ii) the second on the west (mountain) side and (iii) the third next to the outlet of the basin (Fig. 1). Toposequences II and III reached the gold-mined flats (profiles II-0 and III-0 in Fig. 1).

Thirteen profiles were collected in the former gold-mined flat. SL0 to SL9 profiles were mesh-sampled over a restricted 250 m² area in the flat zone (Fig. 1). G5 profile was sampled on a gravel heap. Soil profiles were sampled systematically every 10 or 20 cm, down to 1-2 m depth, using an auger and collected in sterile polyethylene bags.

169 2.2.2 Soil conditioning, granulometry and chemical analysis

Granulometry was determined with standard sieving and sedimentation 170 procedures (Avery and Bascomb, 1974; Rowell, 1994). Identification of the various 171 fractions was performed according to the Udden-Wentworth classification scheme 172 (Wentworth, 1922), and "gravel", "sand", "silt" and "clay" thus refer to particle 173 diameters (x) of x > 2 mm, $2 \text{ mm} < x < 50 \mu\text{m}$, $50 \mu\text{m} < x < 2 \mu\text{m}$ and $x < 2 \mu\text{m}$, 174 respectively. Total soil organic carbon ([C]), sulfur ([S]), and nitrogen ([N]) 175 concentrations were determined from the dry combustion of soil sample aliquots 176 crushed and sieved to 63 µm, using a Fisons 1500CHNS auto-analyzer. 177

Soil samples aliquots used for Hg analysis, selective extractions and XRD analysis were freeze-dried and sieved to x < 2 mm. This size fraction was subsequently crushed to $x < 63 \mu$ m. Ferruginous nodules were crushed also to x $< 63 \mu$ m and subsequently freeze-dried. Total Hg concentrations ([HgT]) were

determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry after dry mineralization and gold 182 amalgamation with an automatic mercury analyzer (Altec, Model AMA 254). All 183 analyses were duplicated. The relative error was routinely ±5% and always 184 under ±10% (Roos-Barraclough et al., 2002). Detection limit (defined as three times 185 the standard deviation (SD) of the blank) is 0.005 µg g⁻¹. Concentrations obtained for 186 repeated analyses of certified reference materials (CRMs) never exceeded the 187 published range of concentration (0.090 \pm 0.012 µg g⁻¹ and 0.091 \pm 0.008 µg g⁻¹ for 188 CRMs 7002, and MESS-3, respectively). Total dissolved Hg concentration [(HgT)_D] 189 were analyzed by cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS) after 190 conversion of all mercury species to Hg⁰ (Bloom and Fitzgerald, 1988), using a 191 Tekran[®] instrument (Model 2500). Additional information on the method is available 192 elsewhere (Bloom and Fitzgerald, 1988). (HgT)_D concentrations obtained for 193 194 repeated analyses of CRMs never exceeded the published range of concentration (12.6 \pm 1.1 ng L⁻¹ for ORMS-3). The detection limit is 0.05 ng L⁻¹ (Bloom and 195 196 Fitzgerald, 1988).

Dissolved Fe and Al were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) using a Perkin-Elmer optima 3300DV. Calibration was performed with using standard solutions for Fe and Al (Fluka[®] 44903 for Fe and Fluka[®] 06155 for Al). Relative analytical errors were estimated for each sample from triplicate measurements and were lower than 10%.

202 2.3. Selective extraction procedure for Hg and major element analysis

203 2.3.1 Sample selection

Along soil profiles, samples were selected on the basis of characteristics such as granulometry, C and/or Hg contents, reflecting the textural and structural

variations along soil profiles. In pristine soils, the selection criteria were extreme
contents of C, Hg, clay-size fraction, and fine-silt fraction which increased in alterites.
In contaminated gleysols from the gold-mined flat, the selection relied mainly on the
abundance of the clay- and sand-size fractions, as well as on C and Hg
concentrations.

In addition to selected samples, selective extractions were performed for 211 quality assurance/quality controls (QA/QC) on 2 CRMs, namely a light sandy soil 212 (CRM 7002 - Czech Metrological Institute) and a marine sediment (MESS-3 -National 213 Research Council of Canada - Ottawa) and on two natural samples. The latter two 214 samples [acrisol I-1 (0-10 cm), and contaminated gleysol SL6 (30-50 cm)] were 215 chosen as models for the pristine and contaminated parts, respectively, of the 216 watershed. On all samples [HgT] was measured on both the supernatant and the 217 solid residue to assess extraction recovery. In addition, XRD data was systematically 218 collected on solid residues to identify dissolved mineral species. 219

220 2.3.2 Selective extraction materials and procedure

Four chemical extractions were chosen to selectively extract the main Hg carrier phases reported in the literature for tropical soils: organic matter, amorphous Fe oxides, and crystalline Fe/Al oxides.

For a given sample, selective extractions were performed on separate aliquots of dry soil (~ 40 mg). All analytical procedures were conducted using ultra clean sample handling to avoid laboratory contamination of low-level sample extracts and a cross-contamination of high-level samples (Cossa and Gobeil, 2000). Specifically, all materials in contact with the samples were previously acid washed (1 week in HNO₃ 20%, 1 week in HCl 10%). All solutions were prepared from reagent grade chemicals and supra-pure acids. Selective extractions were performed under a laminar hood in

8 ml Teflon[®] vessels at a 1:100 solid-to-liquid ratio (Bloom et al., 2003). At the end of the extraction time, samples were centrifuged to 3000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was analyzed for major elements. The solid residue was rinsed 3 times with 4 mL MilliQ[®] water to obtain a final volume of ~16 mL, which was subsequently freeze-dried before Hg analysis. The Hg content extracted by a given extraction was calculated as:

[Hg]_{extracted} (ng g⁻¹) = ([HgT] × $M_{sample} - M_{Hg in residue}$) / M_{sample} (1) where M_{sample} is the mass of the aliquot used (in g) and $M_{Hg in residue}$ the mass of Hg measured in the residue (in ng).

To extract Hg bond to fulvic and humic compounds, a sample aliquot was 240 digested in NH₄OH (1M) for 1h (Di Giulio and Ryan, 1987; Rodriguez Martin-241 Doimeadios et al., 2000; Veiga and Baker, 2004). Another extraction focused on 242 organo-chelated Hg was performed by a 18h KOH digestion (1M) (Bloom et al., 243 2003). Extraction of Hg bond to amorphous Fe(III) oxide minerals and ferrihydrite 244 was performed by adding ascorbate to a sample aliquot and allowing it to react at 245 room temperature for 24h (Kostka and Luther III, 1994). Finally, extraction of Hg 246 associated to all soil components except refractory minerals (i.e., silicates, primary 247 minerals) was determined after the digestion of soil sample aliquot in a Suprapur 248 HCI/HNO₃ solution (1/9 v/v ratio) for 10h at 70°C (Coquery et al., 1997; Bloom et al., 249 2003). All species associated to a specific extractant are labeled as follow: (i)_i where i 250 is the element and the subscribe j describes the extractant (i.e., (i)_{NH4OH} for NH₄OH, 251 (i)_{KOH} for KOH, (i)_{Asco} for ascorbate and (i)_{HCI/HNO3} for HCI/HNO₃) and square brackets, 252 [i], are used for calculated concentrations (1). The concentration of Hg related to 253 crystalline and refractory minerals (RC) is calculated as the difference between [HgT] 254 and ascorbate plus NH₄OH extractions: 255

[Hg]_{RC} (ng g⁻¹) = (([HgT] × M_{sample})– M_{Hg Asco} – M_{Hg NH4OH}) / M_{sample} (2) This should be equivalent to a complete HF/HCl/HNO₃ extraction. Similar calculations were made to estimate the fraction of Hg bond to crystalline Fe and Al oxides ([i]_{Cryst}). For this purpose, concentrations obtained after HCl/HNO₃ extraction were considered as related to amorphous and crystalline Fe and Al oxides but not to refractory minerals. Thus, [i]_{Cryst} was calculated as the difference between HCl/HNO₃ and ascorbate extraction :

[i]_{Cryst} (mg g⁻¹) = ([i]_{HCI/HNO3} × M_{HCI/HNO3} – [i]_{Asco} × M_{Asco}) / M_{sample} (3) A complementary pyrolysis procedure was used to assess the amount of Hg⁰ present in the soils (Biester, 1994; Biester and Scholz, 1997; Bollen et al., 2008). After heating a ~1g sample aliquot for 48 hours at 180°C in a porcelain crucible, the content of Hg⁰ was determined from the difference in Hg concentrations between raw and treated samples.

269 2.4. Physical methods

270 2.4.1 X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on selected samples [Nodules I-2 (1020 cm), I-1 (30-40 cm) and I-1 (180-200 cm)] for routine mineralogical identification,
but also to identify the minerals targeted by the various selective extractions on CRM
7002, and MESS-3 standards, as well as on samples I-1 (0-10 cm) and SL6 (3050 cm). For this purpose, XRD patterns were collected on solid residues of the
different extractions, and compared to those obtained on the untreated samples.

277 XRD patterns were recorded on randomly oriented powders using a Bruker 278 D5000 powder diffractometer equipped with a Si(Li) solid-state detector from Baltic 279 Scientific Instruments and CuK α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). Intensities were recorded

from 5 to 80°2θ, using 0.04°2θ steps, and 40 s counting time per step.
 Measurements were duplicated on the CRM 7002 set of samples to ensure sampling
 homogeneity and selective extraction reproducibility (data not shown).

283 Mineralogical identification and unit-cell refinement

Basic data processing operations (e.g. background removal, diffractogram normalization) and mineralogical identification, based on peak positions and relative intensities, were performed using the Bruker Diffrac^{plus} EVA software and the ICDD database.

Relative intensities of XRD lines varied between raw and treated samples as 288 the result of specific mineral dissolutions. To overcome the intensity variations due to 289 the amount of measured sample, all XRD patterns were normalized to a selected 290 guartz peak, as guartz was present in all samples and not dissolved by the reagents 291 used. The peak used for normalization was selected so that (i) there is no peak 292 overlap with other minerals and (ii) that its intensity is statistically meaningful. For iron 293 oxides (hematite and goethite) present in Al-rich environments, experimental peak 294 positions may differ significantly from theoretical ones as the result of isomorphic Al-295 for-Fe substitutions. In such case, theoretical unit-cell parameters of iron oxides were 296 refined using the U-Fit program (Evain, 1992) to assess their Al-contents. 297

298 2.4.2 Micro X-ray fluorescence mapping and elemental analysis.

SL6 (30-50 cm) sample was freeze-dried, sieved to 300 μ m and used for elemental mapping focused on Hg, Au, Al, Cl, Fe, Cr, Si, Ti, Mn, Ni, Ca and K. X-ray fluorescence yields (XRF) were measured with an Eagle III μ -XRF spectrometer (Röntgenanalytik Messtechnik GmbH) equipped with a Rh anode and a 40 μ m polycapillary. XRF was measured for 300 s per point over a 1.28×1.00 mm² surface area. The spectrometer was operated under vacuum at 25 kV and 500 μ A so as to

maximize the fluorescence yield at the Hg L_{α} edge (9.99 keV) while keeping a statistically significant signal on light elements (down to Al K_{α} radiation - 1.49 keV). Further details on the method are given elsewhere (Doering et al., 2004).

308 2.5 Statistical treatment.

Since geochemical data were not normally distributed, the following 309 parameters are reported: mean, standard error on the mean (SEM), median, 25th and 310 75th percentiles (25th perc. and 75th perc., respectively) and the number of 311 observations (N - Webster, 2001). In addition, non parametric Mann-Whitney rank 312 sum test (U test) and Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance on ranks (H test) 313 were used to compare two or more than two data sets, respectively. Pearson 314 correlations were applied to compare multiple data set pairs. Correlation coefficient 315 (CC) and P values (P) are reported. 316

317 3. Results

318 3.1 Blanks and CRMs

319 3.1.1 Mineralogy (QA/QC)

XRD patterns obtained on treated CRM samples indicate that halite was 320 dissolved by all reagents (Supplementary data - Figs E1b. to E1e. and E2b to E2e). 321 For CRM 7002, the chlorite component was partly dissolved by both NH₄OH and 322 KOH. Exception made of halite, the effect of ascorbate was negligible. Most of the 323 minerals identified by XRD were thus only affected by HCI/HNO₃: clinochlore, calcite 324 and dolomite were totally dissolved, and an intensity decrease was observed for 325 hornblende and, to a lesser extent, for kaolinite (Supplementary data – Figs E1e. and 326 E2e.). 327

328 3.1.2 Extraction recoveries (QA/QC)

For NH₄OH, KOH and HCI/HNO₃ extractions, summation of M_{Hg} in both the supernatant and the solid residue led to total Hg concentration consistent with those of CRM 7002, MESS-3 and I-1 (10-20cm) sample. Relative error was routinely less than ±10% (Tab. E1). Hg recovery was lower with ascorbate extraction, possibly due to the loss of Hg⁰ in the supernatant following Hg^{II} reduction (Battke et al., 2008). Consistent with this hypothesis, additional measurements of [(Hg)_D] in the supernatant confirmed a steady decrease with time at the end of the extraction.

336 **3.2.** *Pristine soils*

337 3.2.1 Granulometry, chemistry and mineralogy

In the pristine area, the relative proportion of the clay-size fraction (< 2μ m) 338 decreased from ~90% in ferralsols to less than 30% in acrisols and gleysols (Fig. 2). 339 Ferruginous nodules made up most of the abundant gravel size fractions (x > 2 mm) 340 in ferralsols and acrisols, whereas for gleysols vegetal debris prevailed in this size 341 fraction. In the < 2 mm fraction, total C and S contents in superficial horizons 342 decreased from ferralsols and acrisols to gleysols while the C/N ratios were similar 343 for all soils, thus indicating an homogeneous mineralization of the fine organic matter 344 (OM - Bravard and Righi, 1991). 345

Minerals extracted by HCl/HNO₃ in sample I-1 (0-10cm) were identified as Alsubstituted iron oxides (goethite and hematite) and gibbsite (Fig. 3). Quartz, anatase, kaolinite, and muscovite being essentially unaffected by the HCl/HNO₃ extraction (Supplementary data – Fig. E3e.).

³⁵⁰ For HCl/HNO₃ and ascorbate extractions, Fe and Al concentrations were ³⁵¹ correlated to the content of the clay size fraction (Tab. 1) showing that crystalline and

amorphous Fe and Al oxides were central in this fine fraction. Large Al and Fe 352 concentrations were measured in supernatants following KOH, ascorbate and 353 NH₄OH extractions. XRD confirmed that no crystalline Fe or AI oxides were dissolved 354 by NH₄OH, thus supporting the dissolution of amorphous (or poorly crystalline) Fe 355 and/or AI minerals. In addition, goethite was partially dissolved by ascorbate and 356 hematite by KOH, as indicated by the ~10-20% intensity decrease of their 357 characteristic XRD lines after these chemical extractions (Fig. 3). For all pristine soil 358 samples, partial dissolution of these well-crystallized species is supported by the 359 higher AI and Fe concentrations measured in KOH (median = 52.6% and 12.1% of 360 [AI]_{HCI/HNO3} and [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}) and ascorbate (median = 24.7% and 21.7% of [AI]_{HCI/HNO3} 361 and [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}) extracts compared to NH₄OH extracts (median=9.1% and 3.6% of 362 $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$ and $[Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}$) (*H* test, P < 0.001). 363

[Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}, [AI]_{HCI/HNO3}, [Fe]_{Asco} and [AI]_{Asco} decreased steadily along the slopes from 364 ferralsols to gleysols (Fig. 4), [Fe]_{Asco} and [Al]_{Asco} being commonly lower than [Fe]_{Crvst} 365 and [Al]_{Cryst} for all profiles (Fig. 4). In ferralsols and acrisols, amorphous Fe and Al 366 oxides were most abundant in the A horizon and in the top 50 cm of the B horizon, 367 where weathering was most intense and granulometry dominated by clays. In the C 368 horizon, the relative proportion of amorphous oxides decreased while well 369 crystallized oxides and residual primary minerals became predominant, more 370 especially in the fine silt size fraction. Al-for-Fe substitutions were identified in 371 goethite (~33 Al mol%) and hematite (~10 Al mol%) from XRD unit-cell 372 determinations and confirmed by the positive correlations between [Fe]_{Crvst} and 373 [Al]_{Crvst} in B and C horizons (Fig. 4 - CC = 0.76; P < 0.01). Similar correlation was 374 observed between [Fe]_{Asco} and [Al]_{Asco} (CC = 0.98; P < 0.01) for the whole profiles of 375 pristine soils. The better correlation found between [Fe] and [Al] for ascorbate than 376

for crystalline oxide is suggestively due to an increase in [AI] related to AI extraction from gibbsite and in a lesser extent from kaolinite during HCI/HNO₃ extraction.

379 **3.2.2** *Hg in pristine soils*

Total mercury concentrations measured in pristine soils matrix (0.01-0.49 μ g.g⁻¹ - Fig. 2) were consistent with those recently reported for French Guiana soils (0.09-0.50 μ g.g⁻¹ - Richard et al., 2000; Grimaldi et al., 2001; Guedron et al., 2006), the highest concentrations being measured in ferralsols. [HgT] decreased along the slope in acrisols and in gleysols (Fig. 2).

[HgT] were positively correlated with [Hg] extracted by HCl/HNO₃, KOH, NH₄OH and ascorbate (Tab. 1). In turn, [Hg] extracted by the four reagents were positively correlated to the content of the clay-size fraction, [S] and [C]. The clay-size fraction, which is composed of OM (S-groups) and Fe and Al minerals, thus represents the main Hg reservoir (Tab. 1).

In general, [Hg] extracted by all four reagents decreased with depth and from 390 ferralsols to gleysols. However, Figure 5 shows that Hg extraction yields can be 391 separated in two sets: (i) ferralsols and superficial acrisols and (ii) deep acrisol 392 alteritic (C) horizons and gleysols. In both sets, NH₄OH extraction yields were 393 significantly larger than ascorbate ones but followed the same trend. (Hg)_{NH4OH} and 394 (Hg)_{Asco} extraction yields were highest in superficial horizons of both ferralsols and 395 acrisols and steadily decreased with depth. Only in the upstream profiles I-1 and I-2 396 (Hg)_{NH4OH} and (Hg)_{Asco} extraction yields were constant with depth. In gleysols and 397 deep alteritic horizons of acrisols, both (Hg)_{NH4OH} and (Hg)_{Asco} decreased rapidly with 398 depth, whereas (Hg)_{RC} extraction yields increased strongly with increasing depth. 399 (Hg)_{RC} decreased with increasing depth in the B horizons of ferralsols and acrisols, 400 then increasing steadily in the ferralsol C horizons. (Hg)_{KOH} extraction yields were 401

highly variable with highest values in ferralsol and acrisol B horizons. In pristine gleysols (profile II-1), (Hg)_{KOH} extraction yields increased with increasing depth over the first 50 cm, while decreasing in the C horizon.

405 **3.3 Ferruginous nodules in pristine soils**

406 **3.3.1** Chemistry and mineralogy

Ferruginous nodules (> 2 mm) were abundant (mean \pm RSD = 45.8 \pm 28.6% 407 408 total weight), especially in superficial horizons of the pristine toposequences, their relative abundance decreasing from ferralsols to glevsols. Nodules from samples I-2 409 (10-20 cm), I-2 (30-40 cm) and I-2 (180-200 cm) contained guartz, Al-substituted 410 hematite and goethite, gibbsite and kaolinite. Figure 6 shows the steady increase of 411 muscovite (Mu), paragonite (Pa), gibbsite (Gb) and rutile (Ru) contents from surface 412 (sample I-2, 10-20 cm) to depth (sample I-2, 180-200 cm - Fig E4). This 413 mineralogical evolution is consistent with chemical data as [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3} and [AI]_{HCI/HNO3} 414 steadily decreased with increasing depth. 415

Position of the iron oxide peaks (goethite and hematite) shifted to lower 416 angular values with increasing depth (Fig. 6, inset), thus indicating the lengthening of 417 unit-cell parameters, and thus the probable decrease of Al-for-Fe substitution, since 418 the ionic radius of AI is smaller than Fe (Shannon, 1976). The extent of this 419 substitution was assessed for the two oxides by refinement of the unit-cell 420 parameters and empirical relations between the AI content and the lattice parameters 421 (Schulze, 1984; Stanjek and Schwertmann, 1992). Al substitutions in hematite 422 decreased from 17 mol% (surface) to 10 mol% (deep alteritic horizons) and were 423 almost constant (~20 mol%) over the entire profile for goethite. Uncertainty on lattice 424 parameters (and therefore on AI substitution quantification) was low for hematite 425

owing to the sharp and numerous diffraction peaks, but higher for goethite. Indeed,
few isolated diffraction peaks were available for the determination of the unit-cell
parameters and peaks were broadened.

The intensity of hematite diffraction lines increased with decreasing depth, 429 thus indicative of a higher proportion of hematite towards the surface, consistent with 430 its status of final alteration product of iron-rich phases (Schwertmann and Latham, 431 1986). Hematite and goethite are indeed the most thermodynamic stable iron oxides 432 in surface conditions. Amorphous oxides were present as minor constituents since AI 433 and Fe extracted by ascorbate and NH₄OH never exceeded 7% of their HCI/HNO₃ 434 435 counterparts (Fig. E5). As in pristine soils matrix, KOH extraction likely induced the partial dissolution of gibbsite as $[AI]_{KOH}$ was large (median = 51.5% of $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$). 436

437 **3.3.2** Hg in ferruginous nodules of pristine soils

For surface horizons, [HgT] were much lower in nodules than in the soil matrix. [HgT] increased with increasing depth, similar values being obtained for nodules and soil matrix in the deepest sample of acrisol I-1 profile. [HgT] were even larger in nodules than in soil matrix for ferralsol I-2 samples below 50 cm depth (Fig. E5).

[HgT] was positively correlated with $[Hg]_{HCI/HNO3}$ and $[Hg]_{KOH}$ (P < 0.05) and 442 with $[Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}$ and $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$ (P < 0.05). (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3} extraction yields were larger 443 in ferralsol nodules from deep horizons compared to surface ones. Conversely, in 444 acrisols they were larger for surface horizons. For all nodules these yields scattered 445 about 80%. (Hg)_{Asco} and (Hg)_{NH4OH} extraction yields rarely exceeded 10% and rapidly 446 decreased with increasing depth in both acrisols and ferralsols. (Hg)_{KOH} (44.8 447 $\pm 30.2\%$) extractions yields were systematically larger than the sum (Hg)_{Asco} + 448 (Hg)_{NH4OH}, and progressively decreased downwards in I-1 and I-2 profiles. 449

450 **3.4** Contaminated gold-mined soils

451 **3.4.1** Granulometry, mineralogy and chemistry

In the gold-mined flat, contaminated gleysols contained a large proportion of gravel size fractions (mainly quartz gravels and stones, mean \pm RSD = 42.7 \pm 24.6% total weight) and the < 2 mm fraction was dominated by sands in contrast with pristine soils (Fig. 2b). Total organic C largely exceeded the concentrations determined in pristine soils and elevated C/N ratios were characteristic of poorly mineralized OM (data not shown). Leaves and wood debris were visible in the litter.

458 Minerals present in sample SL6 after HCI/HNO₃ extraction were quartz, 459 kaolinite, muscovite, diaspore, rutile and microcline. Gibbsite was for the most part 460 dissolved by HCI/HNO₃, which also possibly partially dissolved kaolinite. The 461 absence of Fe oxides is consistent with the low [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3} values (0.35 mg.g⁻¹).

Amorphous and crystalline Fe and Al oxides were scarce in contaminated soils as shown by the low Al and Fe concentrations extracted by any reagent compared to pristine soils. For all soil profiles, $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$ and $[Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}$ were maximum in surface horizons, and sharply decreased to ~5 mg.g⁻¹ at ~40 cm depth, except for II-0, SL0 and G5 profiles.

As for pristine soils, partial gibbsite dissolution by KOH was evidenced by chemistry data as $[AI]_{KOH}$ (median = 76.3% of $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$) were larger than $[AI]_{Asco}$ (median = 55.7% of $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$) and $[AI]_{NH4OH}$ (median = 28.5% of $[AI]_{HCI/HNO3}$) (*U* test, P < 0.05). Fe oxides were also more attacked by ascorbate ([Fe]_{Asco} median = 31.1% of [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}) than by KOH and NH₄OH ([Fe]_{KOH} : median = 17.3% of [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3} and [Fe]_{NH4OH} : median = 14.8% of [Fe]_{HCI/HNO3}).

473 **3.4.2** Hg in contaminated gold mined soils

[HgT] values measured in gleysols from the gold-mined flat (up to ~10 μ g.g⁻¹ in 474 SL6 profile) were up to 5 times higher than those determined in gleysols from the 475 pristine area (Fig. 2b). Although extremely variable, even over very short distances, 476 these values were consistent with those determined in Hg-contaminated soils from 477 different gold-mining regions (Lechler et al., 1997; Lechler et al., 2000; Richard et al., 478 2000; Sladek and Gustin, 2003), and positively correlated with [Hg] extracted by all 479 four reagents (Tab. 2a and 2b). Contrarily to the pristine area, where glevsols were 480 the pole of lowest [HgT], the only significant correlation of [HgT] with other soil 481 parameters was observed with the sand-size fraction (P < 0.05). 482

µ-XRF map (Fig. 7) shows that Hg was not homogeneously distributed in 483 sample SL6 (30-50cm). Indeed, Hg is essentially present as "hot-spots" of 30 to 484 100 µm in diameter. In these hot-spots, Hg concentration is not correlated with any 485 element (data not shown), except for a covariation with Au (Fig. 7). These spots are 486 thus likely Hg⁰ droplets, possibly amalgamated with Au. Consistently, pyrolysis 487 measurements showed that almost 60% of total Hg was desorbed at 180°C. 488 However, lower percentages of desorbed Hg were determined for SL8 and SL7 489 samples, thus suggesting that Hg⁰ was not systematically the main Hg form over the 490 entire former gold-mined flat (Fig. 8). Consistently, selective extractions have shown 491 that Hg was partially associated to OM and amorphous oxides for some samples as 492 shown by the NH₄OH and ascorbate extraction yields. Such associations remained 493 however minor as $(Hg)_{NH4OH}$ (median = 28.4%) and $(Hg)_{Asco}$ (median = 14.7%) 494 extraction yields only seldom exceeded 50 and 30%, respectively (Fig. 8). Relative 495 proportion of Hg associated to refractory minerals was negligible as (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3} 496 extraction yields were systematically ~100%. In this case, large $(Hg)_{RC}$ reflect the 497

498 proportion related to Hg^0 (Fig.8). KOH extraction yields also were high (median = 499 86.5%), being always higher than 80% in the uppermost 40 cm.

500 **4. Discussion**

501 4.1 Selective extractions

Further investigation was performed to unravel the reactions leading to Hg 502 reduction and loss during ascorbate extraction, although [Hg]_{Asco} were not biased, 503 being calculated from the analysis of the solid residue. Results of the pyrolysis 504 procedure were also questionable as the pyrolysis (180°C) of marine sediment 505 MESS-3 has shown no significant desorption of Hg, while almost 70% of total Hg 506 desorbed from light sandy soil CRM 7002 under the same conditions. It is thus likely 507 that in addition to Hg⁰, Hg bond to OM was partially desorbed during pyrolysis. 508 Pyrolysis temperature (180°C) was indeed close to the temperature range reported 509 by Biester and Scholz (1997) for the thermal release of Hg bound to organic 510 compounds (200-350°C). This is especially relevant for the assessment of the 511 different Hg-compartments in organic-rich contaminated soils. 512

513 Cross recoveries between selective extractions were observed for both CRMs and natural samples, but it was also possible to attribute selective mineral extractions 514 515 to each reagent. The selective character of a given extraction depends on the considered soil matrix, as reagent selectivity depends both on the nature of 516 components present and on their reactivity with respect to a given reagent. 517 Overlapping extractions further increase the uncertainty on the relative proportion of 518 Hg associated to any carrier phase. Although chemical data may help to assess 519 cross recoveries, the restricted and variable selectivity of the different reagents 520 precludes the use of selective extractions as standardized procedures for 521

environmental studies. Specifically, the use of selective extractions needs preliminary
 investigation to assess reagent selectivity for a given soil matrix.

524 4.2 Hg distribution in pristine soils

The study of Hg distribution in a soil has to take into account the original soil cover formation, its current evolution and its present topographic position, that is its position in the soil association (Fritsch et al., 2006). These factors are indeed responsible, at least partially, for the relative abundance and the reactivity of soil organic and mineral components, including Hg carriers, thus providing additional insight in the Hg natural equilibrium and contents.

531 4.2.1 Hg carrier phases in soil profiles

A positive correlation between the relative amount of the clay-size fraction and 532 C, S, [Fe] and [AI] extracted by HCI/HNO₃, NH₄OH and ascorbate is shown in Table 533 2. Hg is thus likely associated with finely divided Fe and Al organic complexes and/or 534 with organic coatings on Fe/Al oxides. Several authors have already shown that in 535 Amazonian micro-aggregated horizons, micropeds develop from the bonding 536 between clays, organic matter and iron oxides through the formation of carbon-537 coatings on mineral surfaces (Tandy et al., 1990; Malengreau and Sposito, 1997; Do 538 Nascimento et al., 2004). 539

Specific bonding of Hg with sulfur groups from finely divided organic matter may be inferred from the above described correlations for all pristine soils. This is consistent with previous reports of organics, and more especially of reduced sulfur groups, being the most effective sorbents for Hg in acidic environments (pH < ~4.5-5.0), compared to iron oxides and clay minerals (Andersson, 1979; Schuster, 1991; Roulet and Lucotte, 1995; Skyllberg et al., 2000; Skyllberg et al., 2006; Manceau and

546 Nagy, 2008).

In addition to this essential organic contribution, Hg contents in soils from 547 French Guiana are also controlled by the actual nature of Fe and AI (oxyhydr)oxides 548 (Roulet and Lucotte, 1995; Roulet et al., 1998). In pristine soil matrix, large [Hg]_{Asco} 549 and [Hg]_{HCI/HNO3} values were indeed attributed to Al-substituted amorphous and 550 crystalline Fe oxides. As a result of their larger surface areas compared to their 551 crystalline counterparts, amorphous or poorly crystalline species have an increased 552 adsorption capacity, (Schwertmann and Latham, 1986; Schwertmann and Cornell, 553 2000). The positive correlation between $[Hg]_{KOH}$ and $[AI]_{KOH}$ supports also the 554 importance of AI oxides as a reservoir for Hg. This reservoir is accessible through 555 556 KOH and, to a lesser extent, through ascorbate extractions, thus the large [AI]_{Asco} plead for the partial dissolution of (amorphous) AI (oxyhydr)oxides (Schwertmann 557 and Latham, 1986). 558

In nodules, as in soil matrix, Hg is bond to Al and Fe oxides. Ferruginous 559 nodules are abundant in ferralsols and acrisols, where they represent ~50% of total 560 soil materials and thus represent large Hg reservoirs, [HgT] being similar in nodules 561 and soil matrix. In addition to Al-substituted hematite and goethite, gibbsite 562 contributes significantly to Hg retention in soil nodules, especially in surface horizons, 563 as suggested by the large $(Hg)_{KOH}$ extraction yields associated with large $[AI]_{KOH}$ 564 values. This observation is consistent with the strong affinity of Hg(II) for gibbsite 565 (Kim et al., 2004a; Kim et al., 2004b; Weerasooriya et al., 2007). 566

567 Finally, the contribution of refractory minerals (identified as muscovite, 568 anatase, rutile and kaolinite) is non negligible, although minor, in pristine soils as the 569 median (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3} extraction yield is only 89.8%.

4.2.2 Influence of soil structure on Hg distribution in soil profiles

571 From the above description, it appears that the vertical distribution of OM, 572 Al/Fe (oxyhydr)oxides and ferruginous nodules in the soil matrix rules Hg distribution. 573 In the following section, pedological and chemical characteristics responsible for the 574 spatial distribution of these components in soil profiles will be discussed.

Upslope, large [HgT] have been measured in the matrix of the ferralsol micro-575 aggregated horizons (i.e., A and B horizons). The micro-aggregated structure confers 576 a good water drainage capacity to ferralsols, favoring water flow and oxidizing 577 conditions. As a result, Hg is spread throughout these micro-aggregated horizons as 578 the result of both significant leaching and migration of atmospheric inputs (Guedron 579 580 et al., 2006). Plant litter is rapidly mineralized in surface horizons, and the rapid 581 turnover of humic substances leads to the migration of fulvic acids in deeper organomineral horizons where they are complexed to Fe and Al oxides (Roulet and Lucotte, 582 1995). Thus, Hg originating from plant litter decomposition and/or from atmospheric 583 fallouts can migrate into the organo-mineral horizons as organic-Hg complexes and 584 progressively adsorb onto Al/Fe (oxyhydr)oxides. Soil ecosystem engineers (soil 585 invertebrates and roots) also play an important role in the particulate transfer of Hg 586 by enhancing aggregation (Velasquez et al., 2007) and soil hydraulic conductivity 587 (Grimaldi et al., 2008) through biogenic soil organization. In the micro-aggregated B 588 horizon of ferralsols, the distribution of amorphous Fe oxides is positively correlated 589 to [HgT], although their relative abundance is lower than or similar to that of the 590 crystalline varieties. The comparison of [Fe]_{Cryst} and [Fe]_{Asco} indicates that the relative 591 proportion of crystalline Fe oxides is increased further in the superficial layer and in 592 the deep alteritic horizons compared to the micro-aggregated B horizon of ferralsols. 593 In addition, the positive correlations between [Hg]_{RC} and [Fe]_{Cryst} or [Al]_{Cryst} 594

throughout the entire soil profile suggest that [Hg]_{RC} is partially associated to Alsubstituted crystalline Fe oxides. In this case, the sum of [Hg] adsorbed onto amorphous and crystalline Fe oxides would be comparable or larger than the part related to organic compounds.

599 The micro-aggregated structure of ferralsols disappears progressively with increasing depth, giving rise to deep mineral horizons with a continuous structure 600 composed of large mineral relics. Parental material relics "dilute" AI/Fe oxides and 601 thus reduce the overall sorption capacity of deep horizons. Another essential factor is 602 the lower permeability of deep horizons which limits the downward migration of Hg 603 (Guedron et al., 2006). Hg content thus mainly results from rock weathering and 604 pedogenesis (Guedron et al., 2006), [HgT] being mainly associated with coarse-605 606 grained goethite and hematite.

In ferralsol nodules, Hg is mainly bond to Al-substituted hematite and goethite, and to gibbsite. As in ferralsol matrix, highest [HgT] values were measured in the micro-aggregated horizon. Indeed, the downward decrease of $(Hg)_{HCI/HNO3}$ extraction yields and the mineralogical evolution suggest that A and B horizons nodules are primarily composed of neo-formed minerals, thus indicating an advanced stage of pedogenesis and weathering in superficial horizons. Mineral neoformation also appears to favor the incorporation of atmospheric Hg inputs.

Halfway down the slope, in acrisols, a similar mineralogical and structural contrast is observed between superficial (A and B horizons) and alteritic horizons. This transition is sharp as evidenced by the increase of the silt fraction and by the decrease of [HgT]. As for ferralsol, the increase of refractory minerals with increasing depth is most likely responsible for the observed decrease of [HgT].

Downslope, in gleysols, [HgT] were lower since fine organic fraction, S and 619 amorphous oxides are less abundant than upslope, even though carrier phases were 620 similar to those identified in ferralsols and acrisols. Gleysols are depleted in iron 621 oxides and the main minerals are kaolinite, gibbsite, muscovite and guartz. Hg 622 extracted by NH₄OH never exceeds 40% of [HgT] in gleysol surface horizons and 623 rapidly decreases with depth. Hg bond to amorphous oxides never exceeds 20% of 624 [HgT], and almost 50% of [HgT] is likely associated to Al-substituted crystalline Fe 625 oxides, gibbsite and to refractory minerals. Consistently, Hg, Fe, and Al extracted by 626 HCI/HNO₃ are together positively correlated in the gleysol profile. In depth, Hg is less 627 628 abundant and mainly associated to refractory minerals since (Hg)_{RC} extraction yields increase with increasing depth. 629

4.2.3 Influence of soil formation on the distribution of Hg over the soil association

The soil association results from the ferralsols current imbalance, which leads to temporal and spatial evolutions (Boulet et al., 1993; Grimaldi et al., 2004). Because of their major role as Hg reservoirs, the following description of Hg distribution along the toposequence will thus focus on OM and Fe oxides behavior in the soil association.

In organic horizons, the [HgT] decrease along the slopes correlates with the 636 decrease of their C and S contents. However, organic horizons in gleysols are 637 characterized by an increased proportion of OM in gravel size fractions (> 2 mm) and 638 thus by a lower degree of mineralization of coarse OM because of hydromorphy. 639 Consequently, the overall reactivity of coarse OM towards Hg (including the reactivity 640 of S groups) decreases when all soil fractions are considered even if C and S remain 641 abundant (Andersson, 1979; Kerndorff and Schnitzer, 1980; Schnitzer and Kerndorff, 642 643 1981; Ochs et al., 1994; Gasper et al., 2007).

The decreasing proportion of Fe and AI (oxyhydr)oxides down the slope 644 results from the combined effect of diffuse erosion and leaching due to the lateral 645 drainage of horizons overlying the alteritic horizons of acrisols and of the progressive 646 occurrence of hydromorphy (Boulet et al., 1979; Fritsch et al., 1986; Fritsch et al., 647 2006; Grimaldi et al., 2004; Lucas et al., 1996). Drainage induces a texture 648 coarsening from the original clavey texture of ferralsols to the sandy-loam texture of 649 gleysols, whereas hydromorphy induces the dissolution of crystalline and amorphous 650 Al-bearing Fe oxides and the export of the reduction products through the 651 hydrographic network (Grimaldi et al., 2008). Both processes result in the relative 652 increase of coarse fractions composed mainly of quartz and neoformed kaolinite 653 (Boulet, 1978; Roulet et al., 1998) which possess a low affinity for Hg (Sarkar et al., 654 1999; Sarkar et al., 2000). 655

4.3 Influence of former gold mining activities on anthropogenic Hg pool in soils

In contrast to pristine soils, Hg appears to be associated mainly with the 657 prevailing sandy fraction. In highly contaminated sites Hg has been identified as Hg⁰, 658 insoluble cinnabar and metacinnabar (Lechler et al., 1997; Slowey et al., 2005; 659 Slowey and Brown, 2007). In the present study, mercury sulfides (cinnabar and 660 metacinnabar) are most likely insignificant as no positive correlation was found 661 between Hg and S. (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3} extraction yields are systematically close to 100% 662 and support further the low amount of mercury sulfides which are essentially 663 insoluble in concentrated HCI/HNO₃ (Mikac et al., 2002; Mikac et al., 2003)... 664

The probable presence of Hg^0 is supported by the observation of macroscopic Hg⁰ droplets during a prospective "panning" in the sandy horizon of gold-mined gleysols (50-100 cm depth) next to profile III-0. It was however impossible to assess

the distribution of the Hg⁰ droplets along vertical profiles. µXRF confirmed the 668 presence of isolated Hg⁰ droplets (~100 µm in diameter) in sample SL6 (30-50cm), 669 possibly amalgamated with micrometric gold particles. Consistently, pyrolysis 670 measurements have shown that Hg⁰ generally prevail in the former gold-mined flat 671 soils, with some exceptions (e.g., SL7 and SL8 profiles). In the latter profiles, the 672 reduced contribution of Hq⁰ can result from its oxidation, known to be fast in tropical 673 environments (Dominique et al., 2007). If geochemical conditions are not 674 permanently reducing, as in the surface horizons, Hg⁰ can be oxidized, allowing 675 adsorption onto OM and minerals. The relative proportion of Hg bond to OM is 676 however lower than in pristine soils, (Hg)_{NH4OH} extraction yields rarely exceeding 677 50%. As previously discussed, the overall limited mineralization of OM is most likely 678 responsible for the low reactivity of OM for Hg. Similarly, the relative proportion of Hg. 679 680 bond to amorphous Fe oxides is low (up to 15%), these oxides being scarce in hydromorphic environments. Finally, the part related to refractory minerals (i.e., 681 quartz, kaolinite and muscovite) appears to be negligible since (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3} extraction 682 yields are always close to 100%. 683

684 **5. Conclusion**

The combination of selective extractions with physical techniques (XRD and μ XRF) significantly improved the determination of Hg speciation by allowing the identification of Hg carrier phases targeted by the different extractants. However, the quantification of Hg associated to a given carrier phase is affected by intrinsic bias, especially because of probable overlaps between the different extraction procedures. Thus, selective extractions can not be used as a standard procedure for natural

samples since the use of selective extractions requires the preliminary assessment ofreagent selectivity for a given soil matrix.

By coupling XRD and selective extractions, the main Hg carrier phases in 693 pristine soils were identified as sulfur groups from organic matter, amorphous and 694 crystalline Al-substituted Fe oxides and, to a lower extent, Al oxides. The relative 695 contribution of these carriers to the overall Hg concentration was dependent on their 696 relative abundance which is controlled by the pedogenetic evolution along the slopes, 697 and more especially by redox conditions, hydric properties, and ecosystem 698 engineers. In the former gold-mined flat, Hg was found mainly as Hg⁰, possibly 699 amalgamated with Au, and as oxidized Hg^{II} associated with organic matter, Fe and/or 700 Al oxides in surface horizons. 701

702 5.1 Concluding Remarks

These findings have major implications on the contamination of hydrosystems 703 in tropical regions, where soil erosion due to deforestation, gold mining and 704 agricultural processes are intense and lead to the release of Hg-rich clay-size 705 particles as shown by the low proportion of clay-size fractions in gold-mined gleysols. 706 When entering suboxic and anoxic environments (e.g. river or dam sediments), Hg 707 bond to clay-size particles indeed becomes accessible to ferri- and sulfato-reducing 708 bacteria that are the main factors of Hg methylation (Fitzgerald and Lamborg, 2003; 709 710 Fleming et al., 2006). Hg bound to OM and Fe oxides is specifically concerned as these major components of the clay-size fraction are essential nutriments for 711 methylating micro-organisms and final electron acceptors of their metabolism. In 712 addition, former gold mined soils are potential important sources of Hg for 713 methylating bacteria. Indeed, Dominique et al. (2007) have shown that anthropogenic 714

Hg⁰ from former gold-mining activities also promotes the production of MMHg in the
Amazonian hydrosystems and its availability to trophic webs.

717 Acknowledgments

This research was supported mainly by the CNRS through its "Mercury in French Guiana" research program and by the Boulanger Mine Company (CMB – Cayenne) through a Ph.D. granted to Stéphane Guédron. The authors thank Manuel Munoz and Mathieu Corazzi (LGCA – Grenoble) for the assistance with µXRF mapping, Vincent Perrot and Nicolas Geoffroy (LGIT – Grenoble) for technical support during the project, and Max Sarrazin (IRD – Cayenne) who performed soil granulometry and C, N and S analyses.

725 **References**

- Andersson, A., 1979. Mercury in soils. In: J.O. Nriagu (Editor), The biogeochemistry
 of mercury in the environment. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 80-111.
- Avery, B.W. and Bascomb, C.L., 1974. Soil survey laboratory methods. Technical
 Monograph, 6. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.
- Barbosa, A.C., Souza, J.D., Dorea, J.G., Jardim, W.F. and Fadini, P.S., 2003.
 Mercury Biomagnification in a Tropical Black Water, Rio Negro, Brazil. Arch.
 Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 45(2): 235-246.
- Barret, J., 2004. Illustrated Atlas of French Guyana. French Guyana Publications,
 Cayenne, 219 pp (in French).
- Battke, F., Ernst, D., Fleischmann, F. and Halbach, S., 2008. Phytoreduction and
 volatilization of mercury by ascorbate in Arabidopsis thaliana, European beech
 and Norway spruce. Appl. Geochem. 23(3): 494-502.

Beldowski, J. and Pempkowiak, J., 2003. Horizontal and vertical variabilities of
 mercury concentration and speciation in sediments of the Gdansk Basin,
 Southern Baltic Sea. Chemosphere. 52: 645-654.

Beldowski, J. and Pempkowiak, J., 2007. Mercury transformations in marine coastal
sediments as derived from mercury concentration and speciation changes
along source/sink transport pathway (Southern Baltic). Estuarine Coastal Shelf
Sci. 72(1-2): 370-378.

Benoit, J.M., Gilmour, C.C., Heyes, A., Mason, R.P. and Miller, C.L., 2003.
Geochemical and biological controls over methylmercury production and
degradation in aquatic ecosystems. In: Y. Cai and O.C. Braids (Editors),
Biogeochemistry of Environmentally Important Trace Elements. Oxford
University Press, pp. 262-297.

Biester, H., 1994. Moglichkeiten der anwendung eines temperaturgesteuerten
 pyrolyseverfahrens zur bestimmung der bindungsform des quecksilbers in
 boden und sedimenten. Heidelberger Geowiss. Abh. 75, 156 pp.

Biester, H. and Scholz, C., 1997. Determination of mercury binding forms in
 contaminated soils: Mercury pyrolysis versus sequential extractions. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 31: 233-239 (in German).

Bloom, N.S. and Fitzgerald, W.F., 1988. Determination of volatil mercury species at
 the picogram level by low-temperature gas chromatography with cold-vapor
 atomic fluorescence detection. Anal. Chim. Acta. 208: 151-161.

Bloom, N.S., Preus, E., Katon, J. and Hiltner, M., 2003. Selective extractions to
 assess the biogeochemically relevant fractionation of inorganic mercury in
 sediments and soils. Anal. Chim. Acta. 479: 233-248.

- Bollen, A., Wenke, A. and Biester, H., 2008. Mercury speciation analyses in HgCl₂ contaminated soils and groundwater Implications for risk assessment and
 remediation strategies. Water Res. 42(1-2): 91-100.
- Boszke, L., Kowalski, A., SzczuciÅ, ski, W., Rachlewicz, G., Lorenc, S.A. and Siepak,
 J., 2006. Assessment of mercury mobility and bioavailability by fractionation
 method in sediments from coastal zone inundated by the 26 December 2004
 tsunami in Thailand. Environ. Geol. 51(4): 527-536.
- Boulet, R., 1978. Existence of high lateral differentiation systems in French Guiana's
 ferrallitic soils: a new example of an imbalanced pedological cover. Soil Sci. 2:
 771 75-82 (in French).
- Boulet, R., Grugiere, J.M. and Humbel, F.X., 1979. Relationships between soil
 organization and water dynamics of septentrional French Guiana. Agronomic
 consequences of an evolution controlled by a mainly tectonic desequilibrium.
 Soil Sci. 1: 3-18 (in French).
- Boulet, R., Lucas, Y., Fritsch, E. and Paquet, H., 1993. Landscape geochemistry:
 role of soil cover. In: French. Acad. Sci. (Editor), Sedimentology and surface
 geochemistry, Paris, pp. 55-76 (in French).
- Brabo, E.S., Angelica, R.S., Silva, A.P., Faial, K.R.F., Mascarenhas, A.F.S., Santos,
 E.C.O., Jesus, I.M. and Loureiro, E.C.B., 2003. Assessment of mercury levels
 in soils, waters, bottom sediments and fishes of the Acre state in brazilian
 amazon. Water Air Soil poll. 147: 61-77.
- Bravard, S. and Righi, D., 1991. Characterization of fulvic and humic acids from an
 oxisol-spodosol toposequence of Amazonia, Brazil. Geoderma. 48(1-2): 151162.

- Carmouze, J.P., Lucotte, M. and Boudou, A., 2001. Le Mercure en Amazonie Rôle
 de l'homme et de l'environnement, Risques sanitaires. IRD Editions, Bondy,
 494 pp (in French).
- Charlet, L. and Sposito, G., 1987. Monovalent ion adsorption by an oxisol. Soil Sci.
 Soc. Am. J. 51: 1155-1160.
- Charlet, L. and Sposito, G., 1989. Bivalent ion adsorption by an Oxisol. Soil Sci. Soc.
 Am. J. 53(5): 691-695.
- Coquery, M., Cossa, D. and Sanjuan, J., 1997. Speciation and sorption of mercury in
 two macro-tidal estuaries. Marine Chem. 58(1-2): 213-227.
- Coquery, M., Cossa, D., Azemard, S., Peretyazhko, T. and Charlet, L., 2003.
 Methylmercury formation in the anoxic waters of the Petit-Saut reservoir
 (French Guiana) and its spreading in the adjacent Sinnamary river. J. Phys.
 IV. 107: 327-331.
- Cossa, D. and Gobeil, C., 2000. Mercury speciation in the Lower St. Lawrence
 estuary. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 138-147.
- De Oliveira, S.M.B., Melfi, A.J., Fostier, A.H., Forti, M.C., Favaro, D.I.T. and Boulet,
- R., 2001. Soils as an important sink for mercury in the Amazon. Water Air Soil
 Poll. 26: 321-337.
- Bost Deckers, J., Nachtergaele, F. and Spaargaren, O., 1998. World reference base for
 soil resources. ACCO Publishers, 165 pp.
- ⁸⁰⁶ Di Giulio, R.T. and Ryan, E.A., 1987. Mercury in soils, and clams from a North ⁸⁰⁷ Carolina peatland. Water, Air and soil poll. 33: 205–219.
- Bos Do Nascimento, N.R., Bueno, G.T., Fritsch, E., Herbillon, A.J., Allard, T., Melfi, A.J.,
 Astolfo, R., Boucher, H. and Li, Y., 2004. Podzolization as a deferralitization

process: a study of an Acrisol-Podzol sequence derived from Palaeozoic
sandstones in the northern upper Amazon Basin. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 55: 523-538.
Do Valle, C.M., Santana, G.P., Augusti, R., Egreja Filho, F.B. and Windmoller, C.C.,
2005. Speciation and quantification of mercury in Oxisol, Ultisol, and Spodosol
from Amazon (Manaus, Brazil). Chemosphere. 58(6): 779-792.

- ⁸¹⁵ Doering, E.R., Havrilla, G.J. and Miller, T.C., 2004. Disilicide Diffusion Coating
 ⁸¹⁶ Inspection by Micro X-Ray Fluorescence Imaging. J. Nondestr. Eval., 23(3):
 ⁸¹⁷ 95-105.
- Dominique, Y., Muresan, B., Duran, R., Richard, S. and Boudou, A., 2007. Simulation
 of the Chemical Fate and Bioavailability of Liquid Elemental Mercury Drops
 from Gold Mining in Amazonian Freshwater Systems. Environ. Sci. Tech.
 41(21): 7322 -7329.
- Eagenhouse, R.P., Young, D.R. and Johnson, J.N., 1978. Geochemistry of mercury in Palos Verdes sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 12: 1151–1157.

Evain, M., 1992. U-FIT: A Cell Parameter Refinement Program. IMN Nantes.

- Fitzgerald, W.F. and Lamborg, C.H., 2003. Geochemistry of mercury in the environment. In: B. Sherwood Lollar (Editor), Treatise on Geochemistry. Elsevier, pp. 107-148.
- Fleming, E.J., Mack, E.E., Green, P.G. and Douglas, C.N., 2006. Mercury methylation from unexpected sources: molybdate-inhibited freshwater sediments and iron-reducing bacterium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72(1): 457-464.
- Fontes, M.P.F. and Gomes, P.C., 2003. Simultaneous competitive adsorption of
 heavy metals by the mineral matrix of tropical soils. Appl. Geochem. 18(6):
 795-804.
Frery, N., Maury-Brachet, R., Maillot, E., Deheeger, M., Merona de, B. and Boudou,
A., 2001. Goldmining activities and mercury contamination of native
Amerindian communities in french Guiana: key role of fish in dietary uptake.
Environ. Health Persp. 109: 449-456.

Fritsch, E., Bocquier, G., Boulet, R., Dosso, M. and Humbel, F.X., 1986.
Transforming systems of ferrallitic cover in French Guiana, structural analysis
of a supergene formation and mode of representation. ORSTOM notebook,
Pedol. Ser. 12(4): 361-395 (in French).

- Fritsch, E., Morin, G., Bedidi, A., Bonnin, D., Balan, E., Caquineau, S. and Calas, G.,
 2005. Transformation of haematite and Al-poor goethite to Al-rich goethite and
 associated yellowing in a ferralitic clay soil profile of the middle Amazon Basin
 (Manaus, Brazil). Eur. J. Soil Sci. 56(5): 575-588.
- Fritsch, E., Herbillon, A.J., doNascimento, N.R., Grimaldi, M. and Melfi, A.J., 2006.
 From Plinthic Acrisols to Plinthosols and Gleysols: iron and groundwater
 dynamics in the tertiary sediments of the upper Amazon basin. Eur. J. Soil Sci.
 58: 989-1006.
- Gasper, J.D., Aiken, G.R. and Ryan, J.N., 2007. A critical review of three methods
 used for the measurement of mercury (Hg²⁺)-dissolved organic matter stability
 constants. Appl. Geochem. 22(8): 1583-1597.
- Grimaldi, C., Grimaldi, M., Millet, A., Bariac, T. and Boulègue, J., 2004. Behavior of
 chemical solutes during a storm in a rainforested headwater catchment.
 Hydrol. Process. 18: 93-106.
- Grimaldi, C., Guedron, S. and Grimaldi, M., 2008. Mercury distribution in tropical soil
 profiles related to origin of mercury and soil processes. Sci. Total Environ.
 401: 121-129.

Grimaldi, M., Gaudet, J.P., Grimaldi, C., Melieres, M.A. and Spadini, L., 2001.
Sources, budget and transfers in soils and sediments. In: CNRS (Editor),
Mercury in French Guiana research Program. Final report, part one: the region
of Saint Elie and the Petit Saut reservoir. CNRS-PEVS, pp. 5-15 (in French).

- Guedron, S., Grimaldi, C., Chauvel, C., Spadini, C. and Grimaldi, M., 2006.
 Weathering versus atmospheric contributions to mercury concentrations in
 French Guiana soils. Appl. Geochem. 21: 2010-2022.
- Guehl, J.M., 1984. Water dynamic in French Guiana tropical humid forest soil.
 Influence of the pedological cover . Ann. For. Sci. 41(2): 195–236 (in French).
- Kerndorff, H. and Schnitzer, M., 1980. Sorption of metals on humic acid . Geochim.
 Cosmochim. Acta. 44: 1701-1708.
- Khwaja, A., Bloom, P.R. and Brezonik, P.L., 2006. Binding constants of divalent
 Mercury (Hg²⁺) in soil humic acids and soil organic matter. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 40: 844-849.
- Kim, C., Rytuba, J. and Brown, J.G.E., 2004a. EXAFS study of mercury (II) sorption
 to Fe- and Al- (hydr)oxides I. Effect of chloride and sulfate. J. Colloid Interface
 Sci. 270: 9-20.
- Kim, C., Rytuba, J.J. and Brown, J.G.E., 2004b. EXAFS study of mercury (II) sorption
 to Fe- and Al- (hydr)oxides I. Effect of pH. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 271: 1-15.
- Kostka, J.E. and Luther III, G.W., 1994. Partitioning and speciation of solid phase
 iron in saltmarsh sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 58(7): 1701–1710.
- Lacerda, L.D., 1997. Global mercury emissions from gold and silver mining. Water
 Air Soil Poll. 97: 209-221.
- Lebel, J., Mergler, D., Lucotte, M., Amorin, M., Dolbec, J., Miranda, D., Arantes, G.,
 Rhéault, I. and Pichet, P., 1996. Evidence of early nervous system disfunction

in Amazonian populations exposed to low levels of methylmercury.
 Neurotoxicol. 17: 157-168.

- Lechler, P.J., Miller, J.R., Hsu, L.-C. and Desilets, M.O., 1997. Mercury mobility at the Carson River Superfund Site, west-central Nevada, USA: Interpretation of mercury speciation data in mill tailings, soils, and sediments. J. Geochem. Explor. 58(2-3): 259-267.
- Lechler, P.J., Miller, J.R., Lacerda, L.D., Vinson, D., Bonzongo, J.-C., Lyons, W.B.
 and Warwick, J.J., 2000. Elevated mercury concentrations in soils, sediments,
 water, and fish of the Madeira River basin, Brazilian Amazon: a function of
 natural enrichments? Sci. Total Environ. 260: 87-96.
- Leermakers, M., Baeyens, W., Quevauviller, P. and Horvat, M., 2005. Mercury in environmental samples: Speciation, artifacts and validation. Trends Anal. Chem. 24(5): 383-393.
- Lucas, Y., Nahon, D., Cornu, S. and Eyrolle, F., 1996. Soil genesis and dynamics in
 equatorial areas. C. R. Acad. Sci. 322(1): 1-16 (In French).
- Malengreau, N. and Sposito, G., 1997. Short-time dissolution mechanisms of
 kaolinitic tropical soils. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta; 61(20): 4297-4307.
- Manceau, A. and Nagy, K.L., 2008. Relationships between Hg(II)–S bond distance
 and Hg(II) coordination in thiolates. Dalton Trans. 11: 1385–1508.
- Mikac, N., Foucher, D., Niessen, S. and Fischer, J.-C., 2002. Extractability of HgS
 (cinnabar and metacinnabar) by hydrochloric acid. Anal. Bioanal. Chem.
 374(6): 1028-1033.
- Mikac, N., Foucher, D., Niessen, S., Lojen, S. and Fischer, J.-C., 2003. Influence of chloride and sediment matrix on the extractability of HgS (cinnabar and metacinnabar) by nitric acid. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 377(7): 1196-1201.

910	Milési, J.P., Egal, E., Ledru, P., Vernhet, Y., Thiéblemont, D., Cocherie, A., Tegyey,
911	M., Martel-Jantin, B. and Lagny, P., 1995. Mineralizations of the northern
912	French Guiana in their geological setting. Mining Res. Chron. 518: 5-58 (in
913	French).

- Molicova, H., Grimaldi, M., Bonell, M. and Hubert, P., 1997. Using TOPMODEL
 towards identifying and modelling the hydrological patterns within a headwater
 humid, tropical catchment. Hydrolog. Process. 11: 1169-1196.
- Ochs, M., Cosovic, B. and Stumm, W., 1994. Coordinative and hydrophobic
 interaction of humic substances with hydrophilic Al₂O₃ and hydrophobic
 mercury surfaces. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 58(2): 639-650.
- Richard, S., Arnoux, A., Cerdan, P., Reynouard, C. and Horeau, V., 2000. Mercury
 levels of soils, sediments and fish in French Guiana, South America. Water Air
 Soil Poll. 124: 221-244.
- Rodriguez Martin-Doimeadios, R.C., Wasserman, J.C., Garcia Bermejo, L.F.,
 Amouroux, D., Berzas Nevado, J.J. and Donard, O.F.X., 2000. Chemical
 availability of mercury in stream sediments from the Almaden area, Spain. J.
 Environ. Monit. 2: 360-366.
- Roos-Barraclough, F., Givelet, N., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Goodsite, M.E., Biester, H.
 and Shotyk, W., 2002. An analytical protocol for determination of total mercury
 concentration in solid peat samples. Sci. Tot. Environ. 292: 129-139.
- Roulet, M. and Lucotte, M., 1995. Geochemistry of mercury in pristine and flooded
 ferralitic soil of a tropical rain forest in French Guiana, South America. Water,
 Air, Soil Poll. 80: 1079-1088.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Saint-Aubin, A., Tran, S., Rheault, I., Farella, N., Da Silva,
 E.D., Dezencourt, J., Passos, C.J.S., Soares, G.S., Guimaraes, J.R.D.,

Mergler, D. and Amorim, M., 1998. The geochemistry of mercury in central
Amazonian soils developed on the Alter-do-Chao formation of the lower
Tapajos River Valley, Para state, Brazil. Sci. Total Environ. 223(1): 1-24.

Rowell, D.L., 1994. Soil Science : methods and applications. British Library, Harlow
(England).

- Sanchez, D.M., Quejido, A.J., Fernandez, M., Hernandez, C., Schmid, T., Millan, R.,
 Gonzalez, M., Aldea, M., Martan, R. and Morante, R., 2005. Mercury and trace
 element fractionation in Almaden soils by application of different sequential
 extraction procedures. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 381(8): 1507-1513.
- Sarkar, D., Essington, M.E. and Misra, K.C., 1999. Adsorption of mercury(II) by
 variable charge surfaces of quartz and gibbsite. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63:
 1626-1636.
- Sarkar, D., Essington, M.E. and Misra, K.C., 2000. Adsorption of mercury(II) by
 kaolinite. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64: 1698-1975.
- Schnitzer, M. and Kerndorff, H., 1981. Reactions of fulvic acid with metal ions. Water,
 Air, Soil Poll. 15: 97-108.
- Schulze, D.G., 1984. The influence of aluminium on iron oxides. VIII. Unit-cell
 dimension of Al-substituted goethites and estimation of Al from them. Clays
 Clay Miner. 32(1): 36-44.
- Schuster, E., 1991. The behavior of mercury in the soil with special emphasis on
 complexation and adsorption processes- a review of the literature. Water Air
 Soil Poll. 56: 667-680.
- Schwertmann, U. and Latham, M., 1986. Properties of iron oxides in some new
 caledonian oxisols. Geoderma. 39: 105-123.

- Schwertmann, U. and Cornell, R.M., 2000. Iron oxides in the laboratory : preparation
 and characterization. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 188 pp.
- Shannon, R., 1976. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic
 distances in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallogr. 32(5): 751-767.
- Skyllberg, U., Xia, K., Bloom, P.R., Nater, E.A. and Bleam, W.F., 2000. Binding of
 mercury(II) to reduced sulfur in soil organic matter along upland-peat soil
 transects. J. Environ. Qual. 29: 855-865.
- Skyllberg, U., Bloom, P.R., Qian, J., Lin, C.-M. and Bleam, W.F., 2006. Complexation
 of Mercury(II) in Soil Organic Matter: EXAFS Evidence for Linear Two Coordination with Reduced Sulfur Groups. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40(13):
 4174-4180.
- Sladek, C. and Gustin, M.S., 2003. Evaluation of sequential and selective extraction
 methods for determination of mercury speciation and mobility in mine waste.
 Appl. Geochem. 18(4): 567-576.
- Slowey, A.J., Rytuba, J.J. and Brown, J.G.E., 2005. Speciation of mercury and mode
 of transport from placer Gold Mine Tailings. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39(6):
 1547-1554.
- Slowey, A.J. and Brown, J.G.E., 2007. Transformations of mercury, iron, and sulfur
 during the reductive dissolution of iron oxyhydroxide by sulfide. Geochim.
 Cosmochim. Acta. 71(4): 877-894.
- Stanjek, H. and Schwertmann, U., 1992. The influence of aluminium on iron oxides.
 Part XVI: hydroxyl and aluminium substitution on synthetic hematites. Clays
 Clay Miner. 40(3): 347-354.
- Tandy, J.C., Grimaldi, M., Grimaldi, C. and Tessier, D., 1990. Mineralogical and textural changes in French Guiana oxisols and their relation with

microaggregation. In: L.A. Douglas (Editor), Soil micromorphology. A basic
and applied science. 8. International Working Meeting of Soil
Micromorphology. Developments in Soil Science. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp.
191-198.

Trivedi, P. and Axe, L., 2001. Ni and Zn Sorption to Amorphous versus Crystalline
Iron Oxides: Macroscopic Studies. J. Colloid Interface Sci., 244: 221-229.

Veiga, M. and Baker, R., 2004. Protocols for environmental and health assessment
 of mercury released by artisanal and small scale miners. Report to the Global
 mercury project: removal of barriers to introduction of cleaner artisanal gold
 mining and extraction technologies, GEF/UNDP/UNIDO; 2004. p. 170.

Velasquez, E., Pelosi, C., Brunet, D., Grimaldi, M., Martins, M., Rendeiro, A.C.,
 Barrios, E. and Lavelle, P., 2007. This ped is my ped: Visual separation and
 near infrared spectra allow determination of the origins of soil
 macroaggregates. Pedobiologia. 51(1): 75-87.

Wallschlager, D., Desai, M.V.M., Spengler, M. and Wilken, R.-D., 1998. Mercury speciation in floodplain soils and sediments along a contaminated river transect. J. Environ. Qual. 27: 1034-1044.

- Webster, R., 2001. Statistics to support soil research and their presentation. Eur. J.
 Soil Sci. 52(2): 331-340.
- Weerasooriya, R., Tobschall, H.J., Seneviratne, W. and Bandara, A., 2007.
 Transition state kinetics of Hg(II) adsorption at gibbsite-water interface. J.
 Hazard. Mater. 147(3): 971-978.

Wentworth, C.K., 1922. A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. J.Geol. 30: 377-392.

1008

Table 1: Pearson correlation matrix (correlation coefficient (CC), P value (P) and number of samples (N)) of pristine soils extracted Hg, Hg extraction yields, Fe, Al, Clay size fraction, Fine silts, C and S for total and (a) HCl/HNO₃ (labeled HCl) and ascorbate (labeled Asco) selective extractions, and (b) KOH and NH_4OH selective extractions.

Table 1a

	Stati stics	[Hg] _{HCI} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{нсі} (%)	[Fe] _{HCl} (mg g⁻¹)	[Al] _{HCI} (mg g ⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Silt (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)		[Hg] _{кон} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{кон} (%)	[Fe] _{кон} (mg g⁻¹)	[Al] _{кон} (mg g ⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Silt (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)
[HgT]	CC (1.00	0.45	0.19	0.15	0.59	- 0.60	0.42	0.62	[HgT]	0.89	0.32	0.33	0.37	0.59	- 0.60	0.42	0.62
(µg g ⁻¹)	Р	1.E-41	3.E-03	2.E-01	3.E-01	9.E-05	7.E-05	7.E-03	2.E-05	(µg g ⁻¹)	7.E-14	5.E-02	5.E-02	3.E-02	9.E-05	7.E-05	7.E-03	2.E-05
		40	40	40	40	38	38	40	40		38	38 0.62	30	30	38	38	40	40
[Hg] _{нсі} (µg g ⁻¹)			0.40 2 E-03	0.10 3 E-01	0.13 4 E-01	3 E-04	- 0.57 2 E-04	0.40 1 E-02	9 E-05	[Hg] кон		2 E-05	0.21 2 E-01	0.15 4 E-01	0.40 3 E-03	- 0.42 1 E-02	0.20 1 E-01	1 E-03
	N		2.L-03 40	3.Ľ-01 40	4.0	38	38	1.Ľ-02 40	3.Ľ-03 40	(µg g⁻¹)		2.⊑=00 38	36	36	36 36	36	38	38
<i></i>	CC		10	0.25	0.16	0.38	- 0.44	0.31	0.28	(11m)		00	- 0.02	- 0.10	0.38	- 0.28	0.15	0.33
(Hg) _{нсі}	Р			1.E-01	3.E-01	2.E-02	6.E-03	5.E-02	8.E-02	(Н g) кон			9.E-01	6.E-01	2.E-02	1.E-01	4.E-01	4.E-02
(%)	Ν			40	40	38	38	40	40	(%)			36	36	36	36	38	38
[Felue:	CC				0.69	0.34	- 0.33	0.16	0.24	[Fe]				0.60	0.62	- 0.38	- 0.15	0.48
(ma a ⁻¹)	Р				8.E-07	4.E-02	4.E-02	3.E-01	1.E-01	(ma a ⁻¹)				1.E-04	1.E-04	2.E-02	4.E-01	3.E-03
(1199)	Ν				40	38	38	40	40	(ingg)				36	34	34	36	36
[AI] _{HCI}	CC					0.57	- 0.42	0.12	0.39	[Al] _{кон} (mg g ⁻¹)					0.68	- 0.48	0.16	0.50
(ma a ⁻¹)	P					2.E-04	9.E-03	5.E-01	1.E-02						1.E-05	4.E-03	4.E-01	2.E-03
(55 /	N					38	38	40	40	(33 /					34	34	36	36
Clay							- 0.80 2 E 00	0.37	0.80 2 E 00	Clay						- 0.80 2 E 00	0.37	2 5 00
(g/100g)	г N						38 38	2.E-02 38	2.E-09 38	(g/100g)						3.⊑-09 38	2.E-02 38	2.E-09 38
	CC						00	- 0.57	- 0.70							00	- 0.57	- 0.70
Silt	P							2.E-04	1.E-06	Silt							2.E-04	1.E-06
(g/100g)	Ν							38	38	(g/100g)							38	38
C	CC								0.47	C								0.47
C (g/100g)	Р								0.00251	(a/100a)								3.E-03
	Ν								40	(9,1009)								40

Table 1b

	Stati stics	[Hg] _{Asco} (µg g⁻¹)	(Hg) _{Asco} (%)	[Fe] _{Asco} (mg g⁻¹)	[Al] _{Asco} (mg g⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Silt (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)		[Hg] _{№H4OH} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{NH4OH} (%)	[Fe] _{NH4OH} (mg g⁻¹)	[AI] _{NH4OH} (mg g⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Silt (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)
[HaT]	CC	0.77	0.21	0.40	0.35	0.59	- 0.60	0.42	0.62	[HaT]	0.90	0.45	0.27	0.08	0.59	- 0.60	0.42	0.62
(uq q ⁻¹)	Р	1.E-08	2.E-01	1.E-02	3.E-02	9.E-05	7.E-05	7.E-03	2.E-05	(uq q ⁻¹)	2.E-15	4.E-03	1.E-01	6.E-01	9.E-05	7.E-05	7.E-03	2.E-05
(1997	Ν	39	39	40	40	38	38	40	40	(1997	40	40	39	40	38	38	40	40
[Hg] _{Asco}	CC		0.73	0.68	0.60	0.64	- 0.66	0.56	0.59	[Hg] _{NH4OH}		0.74	0.31	0.08	0.70	- 0.70	0.65	0.71
$(uq q^{-1})$	Р		2.E-07	2.E-06	5.E-05	2.E-05	9.E-06	2.E-04	8.E-05	(ua a ⁻¹)		4.E-08	6.E-02	6.E-01	1.E-06	9.E-07	7.E-06	3.E-07
(-33/	N		39	39	39	37	37	39	39	(1-99)		40	39	40	38	38	40	40
(Hg) _{Asco}	CC			0.62	0.51	0.39	- 0.35	0.37	0.32	(Hg) _{NH4OH}			0.23	0.06	0.68	- 0.68	0.67	0.67
(%)	Р			3.E-05	9.E-04	2.E-02	4.E-02	2.E-02	4.E-02	(%)			2.E-01	7.E-01	3.E-06	3.E-06	2.E-06	2.E-06
. ,	N			39	39	37	37	39	39				39	40	38	38	40	40
[Fe] _{Asco}					0.98	0.42	- 0.52	0.30	0.37	[Fe] _{NH4OH}				0.64		- 0.24	0.23	0.15
(mg g ⁻¹)	P				3.E-27	8.E-03	7.E-04	6.E-02	2.E-02	(mg g⁻¹)				1.E-05	7.E-01	2.E-01	2.E-01	4.E-01
,					40	38	38	40	40					39	37	37	39	39
[AI] _{Asco}						0.37	- 0.47	2 E 01	0.31	[AI] _{NH4OH}						- 0.22 2 E 01	0.00 7 E 01	- 0.07 7 E 01
(mg g ⁻¹)						2.0-02	3.⊑-03 20	2.E-01	3.E-02	(mg g⁻¹)					9.E-01	2.E-01	7.E-01	1.E-01
						30	- 0.80	40	40						30	- 0.80	40	40
Clay	P						- 0.00 3 E-09	2 E-02	2 E-09	Clay						- 0.00 3 E-09	2 E-02	2 E-09
(g/100g)	N						38	38	38	(g/100g)						38	38	38
	CC						00	- 0.57	- 0.70							00	- 0.57	- 0.70
Silt	P							2.E-04	1.E-06	Silt							2.E-04	1.E-06
(g/100g)	N							38	38	(g/100g)							38	38
	CC								0.47									0.47
C (g/100g)	P								3.E-03	C (q/100a)								3.E-03
	N								40	- (3 3)								40

Table 2: Pearson correlation matrix (correlation coefficient (CC), P value (P) and number of samples (N)) of contaminated soils extracted Hg, Hg extraction yields, Fe, Al, Clay size fraction, Fine silts, C and S for total and (a) HCI/HNO₃ (labeled HCl) and ascorbate (labeled Asco) selective extractions, and (b) KOH and NH₄OH selective extractions.

Table 2a

	Stati stics	[Hg] _{HCI} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{HCI} (%)	[Fe] _{HCI} (mg g⁻¹)	[Al] _{HCI} (mg g ⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Sand (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)		[Hg] _{кон} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{кон} (%)	[Fe] _{кон} (mg g ⁻¹)	[Al] _{кон} (mg g⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Sand (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)
[HgT]	CC	1.00	0.22	- 0.29	- 0.34	- 0.52	0.50	- 0.09	- 0.08	[HgT]	0.88	0.22	- 0.21	- 0.38	- 0.52	0.50	- 0.09	- 0.08
(µg g⁻¹)	Р N	4. ⊑- 00 37	2.E-01 37	o.⊑-02 37	4.⊑-02 37	1.E-03 37	2.E-03 37	0.⊑-01 37	7.E-01 37	(µg g⁻¹)	35	2.E-01 35	2.E-01 37	2.E-02 37	1.E-03 37	2.E-03 37	0.⊑-01 37	7.E-01 37
[Ha] _{HCI}	СС		0.22	- 0.30	- 0.35	- 0.52	0.50	- 0.09	- 0.08	[На]кон		0.55	- 0.20	- 0.29	- 0.46	0.52	- 0.27	- 0.25
(µg g ⁻¹)	P		2.E-01	8.E-02	4.E-02	1.E-03	2.E-03	6.E-01	7.E-01	(µg g ⁻¹)		7.E-04	3.E-01	9.E-02	6.E-03	1.E-03	1.E-01	1.E-01
(11-1)	CC		57	- 0.50	- 0.80	- 0.14	0.22	0.21	0.19	(11)		55	0.01	0.18	- 0.04	0.26	- 0.40	- 0.34
(нд) _{нсі} (%)	Р			2.E-03	3.E-09	4.E-01	2.E-01	2.E-01	3.E-01	(нд) _{кон} (%)			9.E-01	3.E-01	8.E-01	1.E-01	2.E-02	4.E-02
(,	N			37	37	37	37	37	37	(14)			35	35	35	35	35	35
[Fe] _{HCI}	P				8.E-12	3.E-02	5.E-02	- 0.14 4.E-01	- 0.08 6.E-01	[Fe] _{кон}				6.E-04	3.E-02	- 0.29 8.E-02	1.E+00	8.E-01
(mg g ˈ)	Ν				37	37	37	37	37	(mg g⁻')				37	37	37	37	37
[AI] _{нсі}						0.42	- 0.41	- 0.11	- 0.07 7 E 01	[АІ] кон					0.57	- 0.49	0.09 6 E 01	0.22 2 E 01
(mg g⁻¹)	Р N					1.E-02 37	1.E-02 37	5.E-01 37	7.E-01 37	(mg g⁻¹)					2.⊑-04 37	2.E-03 37	0.⊑-01 37	2.E-01 37
Clav	СС						- 0.85	0.05	0.09	Clav						- 0.85	0.05	0.09
(g/100g)	P						4.E-11	8.E-01	6.E-01	(g/100g)						4.E-11	8.E-01	6.E-01
. .	CC						37	- 0.38	- 0.41							37	- 0.38	- 0.41
Sand (g/100g)	Р							2.E-02	1.E-02	Sand (g/100g)							2.E-02	1.E-02
(9, 9)	N							37	37	(3,							37	37
C (g/100g)	P								0.94 2.1E-18	C								0.94 2.E-18
	Ν								37	(g/100g)								37

Table 2b

	Stati stics	[Hg] _{Asco} (µg g⁻¹)	(Hg) _{Asco} (%)	[Fe] _{Asco} (mg g ⁻¹)	[Al] _{Asco} (mg g ⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Sand (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)		[Hg] _{№H4OH} (µg g ⁻¹)	(Hg) _{NH4OH} (%)	[Fe] _{NH4OH} (mg g⁻¹)	[AI] _{№H4OH} (mg g ⁻¹)	Clay (g/100g)	Sand (g/100g)	C (g/100g)	S (g/100g)
[HaT]	CC	0.89	- 0.23	- 0.27	- 0.40	- 0.52	0.50	- 0.09	- 0.08	[HaT]	0.96	0.10	- 0.15	- 0.27	- 0.52	0.50	- 0.09	- 0.08
(ua a ⁻¹)	Р	1.E-13	2.E-01	1.E-01	2.E-02	1.E-03	2.E-03	6.E-01	7.E-01	(ua a ⁻¹)	7.E-21	5.E-01	4.E-01	1.E-01	1.E-03	2.E-03	6.E-01	7.E-01
(1997	Ν	37	37	36	36	37	37	37	37	(1997)	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
[Hg] _{Asco}	CC		0.12	- 0.34	- 0.45	- 0.53	0.48	0.04	0.02	[Hg] _{NH4OH}		0.31	- 0.18	- 0.25	- 0.50	0.53	- 0.15	- 0.13
(ug g ⁻¹)	Р		5.E-01	4.E-02	6.E-03	8.E-04	3.E-03	8.E-01	9.E-01	(µa a ⁻¹)		6.E-02	3.E-01	1.E-01	1.E-03	8.E-04	4.E-01	4.E-01
(1997	N		37	36	36	37	37	37	37	(1997)		37	37	37	37	37	37	37
(Hg) _{Asco}	CC			- 0.26	- 0.00	0.25	- 0.25	0.39	0.35	(Hg) _{NH4OH}			- 0.08	0.14	- 0.02	0.18	- 0.13	- 0.05
(%)	P			1.E-01	1.E+00	1.E-01	1.E-01	2.E-02	3.E-02	(%)			7.E-01	4.E-01	9.E-01	3.E-01	5.E-01	8.E-01
	N			36	36	37	37	37	37				37	37	37	37	37	3/
[Fe] _{Asco}						0.30	- 0.32	- 0.10	- 0.03	[Fe] _{NH4OH}				0.41	0.37	- 0.32	0.47	0.43
(mg g ⁻¹)	P				8.E-11	8.E-02	0.E-U2	6.E-01	9.E-01	(mg g⁻¹)				1.E-02	2.E-02	5.E-02	3.E-03	7.E-03
					30	30 0 56	30	30	30 0.17	[AI] _{№H4OH} (mg g ⁻¹)				37	37 0.46	37	3/ 0.22	3/
[AI] _{Asco}						4 E-04	- 0.55 8 E-04	7 E-01	0.17 3 E-01						0.40 4 E-03	- 0.44 7 E-03	0.23 2 E-01	0.33 5 E-02
(mg g ⁻¹)	N					4.Ľ-04 36	0.⊑-04 36	36	36						4.Ľ-03 37	7.⊑-05 37	2.01	37
						50	- 0.85	0.05	0.09						57	- 0.85	0.05	0.09
Clay	P						4 F-11	8 F-01	6 F-01	Clay						4 F-11	8 F-01	6 E-01
(g/100g)	N						37	37	37	(g/100g)						37	37	37
	CC						•	- 0.38	- 0.41								- 0.38	- 0.41
Sand	Р							2.E-02	1.E-02	Sand							2.E-02	1.E-02
(g/100g)	Ν							37	37	(g/100g)							37	37
•	СС								0.94									0.94
C (g/100g)	Р								2.E-18	C (g/100g)								2.E-18
	Ν								37									37

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Top left: french Guiana map with the studied site location (closed circle). Right and bottom: detailed map of the studied site, including general watershed scheme with soil sampling locations, and associated legend.

Fig. 2: Evolutions with depth of total Hg, C and C/N ratio for (a) pristine and (b) contaminated soils. Evolutions with depth of the clay- and sand-size fractions are reported for pristine and contaminated soils, respectively.

Fig. 3: XRD patterns normalized on quartz peak (refer to the text for details) for raw samples, and following ascorbate, KOH, NH₄OH and HCI/HNO₃ extractions.

Fig. 4: Top : Evolutions with depth of Fe and AI concentrations extracted by ascorbate and corresponding AI/Fe ratio. Bottom: same graphs as top, focused on calculated crystalline + residual fraction in pristine soils.

Fig. 5: Evolutions with depth of $(Hg)_{HCI/HNO3}$, $(Hg)_{CR}$, $(Hg)_{Asco}$, $(Hg)_{NH4OH}$, and $(Hg)_{KOH}$ extraction yield (%) in pristine soils. Triangles, circles and squares refer to toposequence I, II and III, and white, grey and black filled colours refer to ferralsols, acrisols and gleysols, respectively.

Fig. 6: XRD patterns of nodules from pristine soils I-2 (10-20cm), I-2 (30-40cm), and I-2 (180-200cm).

Fig. 7: μ XRF mapping of Si, K, Fe, Au and Hg of SL6 (30-50 cm) sample and counts measured on L α edge fluorescence of Hg and Au versus K α radiation of Si, K and Fe, and comparison on counts measured for Hg (Y axis) versus Au (X axis).

Fig. 8: Evolution with depth of $(Hg)_{HCI/HNO3}$, $(Hg)_{CR}$, $(Hg)_{Asco}$, $(Hg)_{NH4OH}$, $(Hg)_{KOH}$ and (Hg^{0}) pyrolysis extraction yields (%) in contaminated soils.

Fig. 1.





Fig. 2.a.





Fig. 3.







Fig. 4.



Fig. 5.

Fig. 6.



Fig . 7.



















Supplementary data 2

(Electronic table)

Table E1: Percent recoveries of Hg in supernatant and solid residue for Certified materials CRM 7002, MESS-3 and sample I-1 (0-

10 cm) for ascorbate, $\rm NH_4OH$, KOH and HCl/HNO_3 selective extractions.

		Ascorba	te	NH	₄OH		KOH		HCI/HNO ₃			
Sample	CRM 7002	MESS- 3	I-1 (0-10 cm)	CRM 7002	MESS- 3	CRM 7002	MESS- 3	I-1 (0-10 cm)	CRM 7002	MESS- 3	I-1 (0-10 cm)	
Mean	98.8	68.7	60.4	102.1	93.5	106.5	96.4	87.9	101.0	114.9	109.5	
Std. Error	12.3	13.4	5.31	9.57	10.9	13.6	5.45	3.27	6.46	19.1	9.70	
Median	89.9	62.9	60.4	99.0	88.2	94.5	96.5	90.4	96.7	95.6	108.5	
0.25 Perc.	75.5	55.6	53.5	90.3	80.4	90.5	89.3	83.7	96.7	91.0	95.7	
0.75 Perc.	116.2	77.8	67.3	114.8	107.9	117.8	103.5	91.5	110.7	127.6	123.3	
Size (N)	6	5	3	3	3	5	3.0	3	7	5	4	

Supplementary Figure captions

- Fig. E1a: X-ray diffraction pattern of CRM Mess-3 (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E1b:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E1c:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- Fig. E1d: Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E1e:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after HCI/HNO₃ extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- Fig. E2a: X-ray diffraction pattern of CRM 7002 (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E2b:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E2c:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)

- Fig. E2d: Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E2e:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after HCI/HNO₃ extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E3a:** X-ray diffraction pattern of sample I-1(0-10m) (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E3b:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E3c:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- Fig. E3d: Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E3e:** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after HCI/HNO₃ extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- **Fig. E4:** X-ray diffraction pattern of nodules from sample I-1(180-200m) (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)
- Fig. E5: Total Hg, (Hg)_{HCI/HNO3}, (Hg)_{residual}, (Hg)_{Asco}, (Hg)_{NH4OH}, and (Hg)_{KOH} extractions extraction yield (%) vertical profiles in nodules of pristine soils. Triangles refer to toposequence I, triangles up refers to surface nodules, and

triangle down to deeper horizons III. white and grey filled colours refer to oxisol and ultisol, respectively. Filled triangles refer to sol matrix and haircrossed symbols refer to nodules. Fig. E1a. X-ray diffraction pattern of CRM Mess-3 (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Meas3 non attaque - batch 1 - File: BT20_SYLSTEPH.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 Operations: X Offset 0.000 | X Offset -0.033 | Background 0.021,1.000 | Import

III 00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 66.86 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344
 III 00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 27.87 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344
 III 00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 1.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 III 00-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 2.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - III 00-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 2.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/L PDF 2.1 III 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02AI0.98)O10(- Y: 2.75
 III]01-074-1137 (C) - Clinochlore - Al2Mg5Si3O10(OH)8 - Y: 2.09 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/L PDF 1. -

1.01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 2.14 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 1.1 1.00-036-0426 (*) - Dolomite - CaMg(CO3)2 - Y: 6.26 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.8092 - b
 1.01-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 6.09 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.64000 - b 5.64000 - c 5.64000
 1.00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 1.92 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520 -

Fig. E1b. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Meas3 non attaque - batch 1 - File: BT20_SYLSTEPH.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 Operations: X Offset 0.000 | X Offset -0.033 | Background 0.021,1.000 | Import

N1 (true) batch1 - File: BT26_SylSteph_1scan.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

LL00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 56.62 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344 LL00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.60 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.9134

00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 1.04 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 -

1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1 - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1 -

 ↓ 101-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 2.33

 ↓ 01-074-1137 (C) - Clinochlore - Al2Mg5Si3O10(OH)8 - Y: 1.77 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 1.

 ↓ 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 1.81 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 1.

 ↓ 00-036-0426 (*) - Dolomite - CaMg(CO3)2 - Y: 5.30 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 1.

 ↓ 01-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 5.16 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.8092 - b

 ↓ 01-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 5.16 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.64000 - b 5.64000

 ↓ 00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 1.63 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520

Fig. E1c. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Meas3 non attaque - batch 1 - File: BT20_SYLSTEPH.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 Operations: X Offset 0.000 | X Offset -0.033 | Background 0.021,1.000 | Import

MESS3 KOH - MESSK2 Batch1 - File: BT22_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.00 Operations: Y Scale Mul 1.000 | Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

LII00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 56.62 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344 III00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.60 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.9134 Uncounter of the state of the st

1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - 1. - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 1.04 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0

1. 00-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAlSi3O8 - Y: 2.10 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1 -

III 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 2.33
 III 01-074-1137 (C) - Clinochlore - Al2MgSSi3O10(OH)8 - Y: 1.77 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/c PDF 1. III 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 1.81 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/c PDF 1.1 III 00-036-0426 (*) - Dolomite - CaMg(CO3)2 - Y: 5.30 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/c PDF 1.1 III 01-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 5.16 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.64000 - b 5.64000 - c 5.64000
 III 00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 1.63 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520 -

Fig. E1d. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Coperations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 56.62 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

LI]00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.60 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.9134 LI]00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 1.04 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 -

100-019-0932 (I) - IVICrocline, Intermediate - KAISI308 - Y: 1.04 % - d x by: 1. - VVL: 1.5406 - 0 -

101-062-2430 (c) - Miscovite - (NaUO NO:906a0.0) (A1.84 110.04Fe0.07Mg0.04) (SI3.040.98)O10 (- 1.2.35 101-074-1137 (C) - Clinochlore - Al2Mg5Si3O10(OH)8 - Y: 1.77 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/lc PDF 1. -101-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 1.81 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/lc PDF 1.1 -100-036-0426 (*) - Dolomite - CaMg(CO3)2 - Y: 5.30 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.8092 - b 101-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 5.16 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.64000 - b 5.64000 - c 5.64000 100-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 1.63 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520 - **Fig. E1e.** Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM Mess-3 before and after HCI extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Meas3 non attaque - batch 1 - File: BT20_SYLSTEPH.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 Operations: X Offset 0.000 | X Offset -0.033 | Background 0.021,1.000 | Import

MESS3 H1 batch1 - File: BT21_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.0 ____Operations: Y Scale Mul 0.750 | Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

...]00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 56.62 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344 ...]00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.60 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.9134

1.00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 1.04 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 -

1. I OO-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAlSi3O8 - Y: 2.10 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1 -

III 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 2.33
 III 01-074-1137 (C) - Clinochlore - Al2Mg5Si3O10(OH)8 - Y: 1.77 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/L PDF 1. III 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 1.81 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/L PDF 1. III 00-036-0426 (*) - Dolomite - CaMg(CO3)2 - Y: 5.30 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/L PDF 1. IIII 01-075-0306 (C) - Halite - NaCl - Y: 5.16 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.64000 - b 5.64000
 IIII 00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 1.63 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406

Fig. E2a. X-ray diffraction pattern of CRM 7002 (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Match3 - CRM 7002 non attaqué - File: BT3_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000
 Operations: Background 0.120,1.000 | Import

...]00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 68.14 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1. - WL: 1.54439 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 - 0 - 0 - 1/Ic PDF 3.4 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 1/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1.100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAlSi3O8 - Y: 8.34 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1 -

1-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 0.44

🛄 01-082-0038 (C) - Clinochlore IIb-4 (Cr-, Mg-rich) - (Mg0.99Al0.01)5(Al0.67Fe0.33)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(OH)8 - Y:

 ↓↓
 01-071-1060 (C) - Hornblende - Na.9K.4Ca1.6Mg2.9Fe1.4Ti.5Al2.4Si6O24 - Y: 0.65 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406

 ↓↓
 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.88 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.98276 - b 4.

 ↓↓
 00-005-0628 (*) - Halite, syn - NaCl - Y: 1.05 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.63080 - b 5.63080 - c 5.63

Fig. E2b. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Match3 - CRM 7002 non attaqué - File: BT3_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000
 Operations: Background 0.120,1.000 | Import

N2 - Batch1 - File: BT23_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.040 ° -Operations: Y Scale Mul 1.458 | Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 68.14 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1. 00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 33.87 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1.5406 - 0 - 0 - 1.5406 - 0 - 1

1. 00-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAlSi3O8 - Y: 8.34 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1

 11
 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 0.44

 11
 01-082-0038 (C) - Clinochlore IIb-4 (Cr-, Mg-rich) - (Mg0.99Al0.01)5(Al0.67Fe0.33)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(OH)8 - Y:

 11
 01-071-1060 (C) - Hornblende - Na.9K.4Ca1.6Mg2.9Fe1.4Ti.5Al2.4Si6O24 - Y: 0.65 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406

 11
 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.88 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.98276 - b 4.

 11
 00-005-0628 (*) - Halite, syn - NaCl - Y: 1.05 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.63080 - b 5.63080 - c 5.63

Fig. E2c. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Match3 - CRM 7002 non attaqué - File: BT3_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000
 Operations: Background 0.120,1.000 | Import

Sample F batch1 - File: BT12_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.04
Operations: Y Scale Mul 1.125 | Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

- 00-046-1045 (*) Quartz, syn SiO2 Y: 68.14 % d x by: 1. WL: 1.5406 0 I/Ic PDF 3.4 -
- LI 00-046-1045 (*) Quartz, syn SiO2 Y: 33.87 % d x by: 1. WL: 1.54439 0 I/Ic PDF 3.4 -
- 1.00-019-0932 (I) Microcline, intermediate KAISi3O8 Y: 12.51 % d x by: 1. WL: 1.5406 0 -
- 1. 00-009-0466 (*) Albite, ordered NaAlSi3O8 Y: 8.34 % d x by: 1. WL: 1.5406 0 I/Ic PDF 2.1

 11
 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 0.44

 11
 01-082-0038 (C) - Clinochlore IIb-4 (Cr-, Mg-rich) - (Mg0.99Al0.01)5(Al0.67Fe0.33)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(OH)8 - Y:

 11
 01-071-1060 (C) - Hornblende - Na.9K.4Ca1.6Mg2.9Fe1.4Ti.5Al2.4Si6O24 - Y: 0.65 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406

 11
 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.88 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.98276 - b 4.

 11
 00-005-0628 (*) - Halite, syn - NaCl - Y: 1.05 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.63080 - b 5.63080 - c 5.63

Fig. E2d. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Batch3 - CRM 7002 non attaqué - File: BT3_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 Operations: Background 0.120,1.000 | Import

Sample A3 - Batch3 - File: BT16_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0. Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 68.14 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 33.87 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1. 00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 12.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 -

 ↓ 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 0.44

 ↓ 01-082-0038 (C) - Clinochlore IIb-4 (Cr-, Mg-rich) - (Mg0.99Al0.01)5(Al0.67Fe0.33)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(OH)8 - Y:

 ↓ 01-071-1060 (C) - Hornblende - Na.9K.4Ca1.6Mg2.9Fe1.4Ti.5Al2.4Si6O24 - Y: 0.65 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406

 ↓ 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.88 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.98276 - b 4.

 ↓ 00-005-0628 (*) - Halite, syn - NaCl - Y: 1.05 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.63080 - b 5.63080 - c 5.63
Fig. E2e. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of CRM 7002 before and after HCI extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Match3 - CRM 7002 non attaqué - File: BT3_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000
 Operations: Background 0.120,1.000 | Import

Batch 2 Extraction HG - CRM + HCl - File: BT2_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80. Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 68.14 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

LI 00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 33.87 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.54439 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 3.4 -

1.00-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 12.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 -

1. 00-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAlSi3O8 - Y: 8.34 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - 0 - I/Ic PDF 2.1

III 01-082-2450 (C) - Muscovite - (Na0.07K0.90Ba0.01)(Al1.84Ti0.04Fe0.07Mg0.04)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(- Y: 0.44
 III 01-082-0038 (C) - Clinochlore IIb-4 (Cr., Mg-rich) - (Mg0.99Al0.01)5(Al0.67Fe0.33)(Si3.02Al0.98)O10(OH)8 - Y:
 III 01-071-1060 (C) - Hornblende - Na.9K.4Ca1.6Mg2.9Fe1.4Ti.5Al2.4Si6O24 - Y: 0.65 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406
 III 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.88 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.98276 - b 4.
 III 00-005-0628 (*) - Halite, syn - NaCI - Y: 1.05 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Cubic - a 5.63080 - b 5.63080 - c 5.63

Fig. E3a. X-ray diffraction pattern of sample I-1(0-10m) (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Batch 7 -- M1 sans attaque - File: BT7_SylSteph_bl.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

 ↓ 100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 57.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 ↓ 100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 23.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.560

 ↓ 100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 10.22 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.7

 ↓ 100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 10.22 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.7

 ↓ 101-080-0742 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - (K0.82Na0.18)(Fe0.03AI1.97)(AISi3)O10(OH)2 - Y: 25.54 % - d x by: 1. - WL:

 ↓ 101-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - AI2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 59.38 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.

 ↓ 100-05-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 1.31 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.988 - b 4.98

100-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 15.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monoclinic - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800
 00-033-0664 (*) - Hematite, syn - Fe2O3 - Y: 3.03 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 5.0356 - b 5.
 00-029-0713 (I) - Goethite - Fe+30(OH) - Y: 27.70 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Orthorhombic - a 4.56000 - b 9.

Fig. E3b. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after NH₄OH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



Batch 7 -- M1 sans attaque - File: BT7_SylSteph_bl.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

MN1-batch1 - File: BT25_BL.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.040 ° - Step tim Operations: Y Scale Mul 1.000 | Y Scale Mul 1.500 | Background 0.000,1.000 | Import

LI 00-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 42.31 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344 100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 17.09 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.560 -100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 7.52 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.78 101-080-0742 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - (K0.82Na0.18)(Fe0.03AI1.97)(AISi3)O10(OH)2 - Y: 18.79 % - d x by: 1. - WL 1. 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 43.69 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.
 1. 00-005-0586 (*) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 0.97 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.989 - b 4.98
 1. 00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 11.39 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 1. 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 51.13 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monotchinc - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800
 1. 00-033-0664 (*) - Hematite, syn - Fe2O3 - Y: 2.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 5.0356 - b 5.
 1. 00-029-0713 (I) - Goethite - Fe+30(OH) - Y: 20.38 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Orthorhombic - a 4.56000 - b 9.

Fig. E3c. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after KOH extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



 Match 7 -- M1 sans attaque - File: BT7_SylSteph_bl.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

Batch 8 -- Ech H - File: BT8_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.040 Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

 L100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 57.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 L100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 23.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.560

 L100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 10.22 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.7

 L101-080-0742 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - (K0.82Na0.18)(Fe0.03AI1.97)(AISi3)O10(OH)2 - Y: 25.54 % - d x by: 1. - WL

III 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 59.38 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.
 III 00-005-0586 (⁺) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 1.31 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.989 - b 4.98
 III 00-021-1272 (⁺) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 15.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monoclinic - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800
 III 00-033-0664 (⁺) - Hematite, syn - Fe2O3 - Y: 3.03 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 5.0356 - b 5.
 III 00-029-0713 (I) - Goethite - Fe+30(OH) - Y: 27.70 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Orthorhombic - a 4.56000 - b 9.

Fig. E3d. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after ascorbate extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



 Match 7 -- M1 sans attaque - File: BT7_SylSteph_bl.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

Sample A8 - Batch1 - File: Bt18_BL.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.040 ° -Operations: Background 0.000,1.000 | Import

 L100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 57.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 L100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 23.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.560

 L100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 10.22 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.7

 L101-080-0742 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - (K0.82Na0.18)(Fe0.03AI1.97)(AISi3)O10(OH)2 - Y: 25.54 % - d x by: 1. - WL

III 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 59.38 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.
 III 00-005-0586 (^{*}) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 1.31 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.989 - b 4.98
 III 00-021-1272 (*) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 15.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 59.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monoclinic - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800
 III 00-033-0664 (*) - Hematite, syn - Fe2O3 - Y: 3.03 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 5.0356 - b 5.
 III 00-029-0713 (I) - Goethite - Fe+30(OH) - Y: 27.70 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Orthorhombic - a 4.56000 - b 9.

Fig. E3e. Normalized X-ray diffraction patterns of sample I-1(0-10m) before and after HCI extraction (black and red traces, respectively) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



 Match 7 -- M1 sans attaque - File: BT7_SylSteph_bl.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

Batch 8 -- Ech H - File: BT8_SylSteph.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Step: 0.040 Operations: Background 0.014,1.000 | Import

 L100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 57.51 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 L100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi3O8 - Y: 23.23 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.560

 L100-009-0466 (*) - Albite, ordered - NaAISi3O8 - Y: 10.22 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 8.144 - b 12.7

 L101-080-0742 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - (K0.82Na0.18)(Fe0.03AI1.97)(AISi3)O10(OH)2 - Y: 25.54 % - d x by: 1. - WL

III 01-080-0886 (C) - Kaolinite - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 59.38 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.
 III 00-005-0586 (⁺) - Calcite, syn - CaCO3 - Y: 1.31 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 4.989 - b 4.98
 III 00-021-1272 (⁺) - Anatase, syn - TiO2 - Y: 15.48 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Tetragonal - a 3.7852 - b 3.78520
 III 01-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al(OH)3 - Y: 69.49 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monoclinic - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800
 III 00-033-0664 (⁺) - Hematite, syn - Fe2O3 - Y: 3.03 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Rhombo.H.axes - a 5.0356 - b 5.
 III 00-029-0713 (I) - Goethite - Fe+30(OH) - Y: 27.70 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Orthorhombic - a 4.56000 - b 9.

Fig. E4. X-ray diffraction pattern of nodules from sample I-1(180-200m) (black trace) showing identified minerals (colored ticks)



MM1 180-200 Batch1 - File: BT34_SylSteph_uni.RAW - Type: 2Th/Th locked - Start: 5.000 ° - End: 80.000 ° - Ste Operations: Background 0.000,0.000 | Import

 ↓ 100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.52 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 ↓ 100-046-1045 (*) - Quartz, syn - SiO2 - Y: 23.52 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a 4.91344 - b 4.91344

 ↓ 101-086-1384 (C) - Muscovite 2M1 - K0.894Al1.93(Al0.943Si2.829010)((OH)1.744F0.256) - Y: 20.84 % - d x by:

 ↓ 101-083-2129 (C) - Paragonite 3T - NaAl2(Si3Al)010(OH)2 - Y: 18.76 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Hexagonal - a

 ↓ 100-019-0932 (I) - Microcline, intermediate - KAISi308 - Y: 20.84 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.560 - intermediate - Al2(Si2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 48.56 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Triclinic - a 5.15770 - b 8.

 ↓ 101-070-2038 (C) - Gibbsite - Al2(Gil2O5)(OH)4 - Y: 49.73 % - d x by: 1. - WL: 1.5406 - Monoclinic - a 8.68400 - b 5.07800

