

# Advances in characterization of the soil clay mineralogy using X-ray diffraction: from decomposition to profile fitting

Fabien Hubert, Laurent Caner, Alain Meunier, Bruno Lanson

## ▶ To cite this version:

Fabien Hubert, Laurent Caner, Alain Meunier, Bruno Lanson. Advances in characterization of the soil clay mineralogy using X-ray diffraction: from decomposition to profile fitting. European Journal of Soil Science, 2009, 60, pp.1093-1105. 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01194.x. insu-00433245

# HAL Id: insu-00433245 https://insu.hal.science/insu-00433245v1

Submitted on 18 Nov 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Advances in characterization of the soil clay mineralogy using X-ray
- 2 diffraction: from decomposition to profile fitting

3

4 F. Hubert<sup>a</sup>, L. Caner<sup>a</sup>, A. Meunier<sup>a</sup> & B. Lanson<sup>b</sup>

5

- <sup>a</sup>HydrASA, University of Poitiers, INSU-CNRS, 40 avenue du Recteur Pineau, F-86022
- 7 Poitiers cedex, France and <sup>b</sup>Mineralogy & Environments Group, LGCA, Maison des
- 8 GéoSciences, Grenoble University, CNRS, F-38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.

9

10 Running head: Advances in soil clay mineral characterization

11

12 Correspondence: F. Hubert. E-mail: <a href="mailto:fhubert@etu.univ-poitiers.fr">fhubert@etu.univ-poitiers.fr</a>

## Summary

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Structural characterization of soil clay minerals often remains limited despite their key influence on soil properties. In soils, complex clay parageneses result from the coexistence of clay species with contrasting particle sizes and crystal-chemistry and from the profusion of mixed layers with variable compositions. The present study aimed at characterizing the mineralogy and crystal chemistry of the < 2 µm fraction along a profile typical of soils from Western Europe and North America (Neo Luvisol). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were nterpreted using i) the combination of XRD pattern decomposition and indirect identification from peak positions commonly applied in soil science and ii) the multi-specimen method. This latter approach implies direct XRD profile fitting and has recently led to significant improvements in the structural characterization of clay minerals in diagenetic and hydrothermal environments. In contrast to the usual approach, the multi-specimen method allowed the complete structural characterization of complex clay parageneses encountered in soils together with the quantitative analysis of their mineralogy. Throughout the profile, the clay paragenesis of the studied Neo Luvisol systematically includes discrete smectite, illite and kaolinite in addition to randomly interstratified illite-smectite and chlorite-smectite. Structural characteristics of the different clay minerals, including the composition of mixed layers, did not vary significantly with depth and are thus indicative of the parent material. The relative proportion of the  $< 2 \mu m$  fraction increased with increasing depth simultaneously with smectite relative proportion. These results are consistent with the leaching process described for Luvisols in the literature.

## Introduction

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

The < 2 µm fraction of soils is commonly dominated by clay minerals which control, to a large extent, important soil chemical and physical properties such as cation exchange capacity and surface area (Dixon & Weed, 1989). In addition, clay minerals record the pedogenetic history of soils (see the review of Wilson, 1999). An accurate determination of clay mineralogy and of its changes along the soil profile is thus essential for both purposes. Two main factors impede such a precise identification: first, soil clay parageneses are most often mixtures of clay species with a variety of particle sizes (50 nm – 5 µm), and crystal-chemistry. Second, soil clay minerals are often mixed layers with variable compositions (Righi & Elsass, 1996). Over the last decade, the combined use of DecompXR (Lanson, 1997) and Newmod (Reynolds, 1985) has improved the interpretation of X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns in soils. DecompXR allows the decomposition of complex diffraction maxima into elementary peaks characterized by their positions, full width at half maximum intensity (FWHM) and intensities. This approach thus reveals the phase heterogeneity of samples and allows quantifying compositional changes within a series of samples, for example in a soil profile. However, the decomposition by itself does not allow the identification of mixed layers that is the determination of the different layer types coexisting within crystallites, of their proportion and stacking sequences. Mixed layer identification is routinely performed from the comparison of experimental peak positions with those calculated, commonly using Newmod, for mixed layers whose composition (nature and proportion of the different layer types) and stacking parameters are optimized. Such a combination of XRD pattern decomposition and Newmod calculations has been successfully applied to samples from diagenetic or hydrothermal geological settings (Lanson & Besson, 1992). It has been for soils to i) evaluate the effect of time on soil formation (Righi & Meunier, 1991; Righi et al., 1995; Hardy et al., 1999; Egli et al., 2001, 2008; Velde et al., 2003; Vingiani et al., 2004; Montagne et al., 2008), ii) investigate the role of vegetation cover (Barré et al., 2007a) and of macrofauna (Jouquet et al., 2007) on clay mineralogy, and iii) characterize the interactions between clay minerals and organic matter in in relation to carbon sequestration (Fontaine et al., 2007). However, this dual procedure allows only an approximate characterization of the mixed layers as the identification relies essentially on peak position without fitting the complete reflection profiles including asymmetries and shoulders. Consistently, profile fitting results in a more reliable identification of mixed layers (Drits, 2003). Fitting simultaneously the profiles of various basal reflections provides additional constraints.

To overcome the intrinsic limitations of the previous approaches, the profile fitting method calculates a complete XRD pattern from a structural model optimized for each clay species present (Drits & Sakharov, 1976; Drits & Tchoubar, 1990). Drits *et al.* (1997a) and Sakharov *et al.* (1999a,b) further improved the approach as several structural models may fit a given experimental pattern equally well. In the multispecimen method, the optimized structural model should describe all XRD patterns obtained for a given sample following different treatments such as saturation by different interlayer cations, ethylene glycol solvation, heating, etc equally well. The multi-specimen method can be applied to mixed layers with more than two layer types whatever the layer stacking sequences, and there is no *a priori* limitation to the nature of identified species. It provides also quantitative phase analysis of complex clay parageneses (Drits, 2003).

Over the last decade, the multi-specimen method has been widely used to characterize clay mineralogy and its evolution in diagenetic and hydrothermal series (Drits *et al.*, 1997a, 2002a, b, 2004, 2007; Sakharov *et al.*, 1999a, b, 2004; Lindgreen *et* 

al., 2000, 2002; Claret et al., 2004; McCarty et al., 2004, 2008; Inoue et al., 2005; Aplin et al., 2006; Lanson et al., 2009). Compared with diagenetic and hydrothermal clay pargeneses, soil clay species are poorly crystallized and numerous randomly interstratified mixed layers could coexist. To our knowledge, this method has never been applied to soil samples before the present study which investigates the < 2 μm fraction mineralogy of a Luvisol typical of Western Europe and North America (Jamagne et al., 1984; Velde, 2001). We aimed to demonstrate that, compared with the common identification approach using decomposition and indirect comparison with calculated patterns, profile fitting provides new insights into soil clay mineralogy allowing a more reliable and more complete identification of clay species and the quantification of their relative proportions. This is essential for the understanding of soil genesis and dynamics. A second aim was to investigate whether, the redistribution of clay species between soil horizons and the limited changes of clay crystal structures were consistent with a leaching process.

## Materials and methods

102 Soil samples

The studied soil is a "Neo Luvisol" according to the World reference base (IUSS

working group WRB, 2006). It is developed on loess deposits from the Closeaux Field

Experiment, at the Experimental Station of the Institut National de la Recherche

Agronomique (INRA – Château de Versailles, France).

On the basis of field observations, five horizons were sampled from the soil profile.

Noticeable marks of hydromorphy were observed in the E1g, E2g, Bt and Bt/C

horizons, together with accumulation of clays in the pore system of the Bt/C horizon.

The relative proportion of the  $< 2~\mu m$  fraction steadily increased with increasing depth from 18% in the surface horizon to 27% in the deeper ones (Table 1). In addition, CEC at the soil pH increased with the increasing content of the  $< 2~\mu m$  fraction from 11.2 cmol<sub>C</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> in Ap to 16.7 cmol<sub>C</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> in Bt/C. The content of organic carbon decreased from 1.6% in the surface horizon to 0.2% in the Bt/C horizon. Finally, the carbonate content was negligible throughout the soil profile, and the cation exchange complex was predominantly saturated with calcium (Ca) (Moni, 2008).

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

116

110

111

112

113

114

115

Separation of the  $< 2 \mu m$  fraction for X-ray diffraction analysis

No chemical treatments were applied to the raw samples as routine removal of organic matter by using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or of iron and aluminium oxy-hydroxides by using the dithionitecitrate-bicarbonate protocol (Mehra & Jackson, 1960; Moore & Reynolds, 1997) may alter the clay minerals and more especially mixed layer species (Velde et al., 2003). Samples from each soil horizon were first air-dried and sieved to < 2 mm; 100 g of the sieved sample was then mixed with deionized water and disaggregated by using agitation with glass balls. The < 50 µm fraction was separated next by wet-sieving and dispersed using ultrasonic treatment (20 minutes at 600 W for 400 ml of suspension: Balesdent et al., 1998). The < 2 µm fraction was subsequently isolated from the silt (2-50 µm) by using repeated siphoning of the dispersed material (settling for 18 hours at 20° C and removal of the upper 22 cm). The extracted suspension was centrifuged, and the remaining supernatant was filtered to 0.45 µm and added to the centrifugation 'residue', which was then freeze-dried. The clay minerals were studied in their natural state. Consistent with their natural saturation by Ca, a repeated Ca-saturation test (five repeats) did not reveal any difference between natural and Ca-saturated samples (XRD data not shown).

Oriented mounts of the  $<2~\mu m$  fraction were prepared by using the filter transfer method (0.2  $\mu m$  Nucleopore® polycarbonate filters), as recommended by Moore & Reynolds (1997) for quantitative XRD analysis. Aliquots of 50 mg were deposited on a silicon wafer to avoid scattering from glass. XRD patterns were obtained using a Panalytical X'pert Pro diffractometer equipped with an X'celerator detector (CuK $\alpha_{1+2}$  radiation) in the air-dried state (AD) at room humidity (approximately 35%) and after solvation with liquid ethylene glycol (EG). The size of the divergence, two Soller and antiscatter slits were 0.5°, 2.3°, 2.3° and 0.5°, respectively. Diffraction data were recorded in a scanning mode and converted to step patterns (with a step of 0.017°20 from 2.5 to 35°20, using a 200- second counting time per step).

145 Decomposition of XRD patterns

Decomposition of AD and EG patterns was performed as recommended by Lanson (1997) over the 3 – 14°2 $\theta$  range. Over this angular range, the resolution of the K $\alpha_{1+2}$  doublet is low enough to allow using the Fityk 0.8.2 peak fitting software (Wojdyr, 2007). Following background stripping, XRD patterns were fitted with Gaussian elementary curves whose number was steadily increased until a satisfactory fit to the data was obtained. The initial parameters (position and FWHM) of elementary curves were derived from previous studies on similar soil clay parageneses (Righi *et al.*, 1995; Pernes-Debuyser *et al.*, 2003) and optimized with the Levenburg-Marquardt algorithm. When compared, the results obtained were identical to those of DecompXR (data not shown).

*X-ray profile modelling method* 

XRD patterns of the five samples were modelled, in both AD and EG states, with the Sybilla© software developed by Chevron<sup>TM</sup> (Aplin *et al.*, 2006). This program provides

a graphic user interface to the algorithm developed initially by Drits & Sakharov (1976) and used recently by Drits *et al.* (1997a) and Sakharov *et al.* (1999a, b). It allows the direct comparison between experimental and calculated XRD profiles, the latter being the sum of all elementary contributions which have been identified.

Instrumental and experimental parameters such as horizontal and vertical beam divergence, goniometer radius and slide length were introduced and not further refined. The sigmastar parameter ( $\sigma^*$ ) which characterizes the distribution of particle orientation was set for each clay mineral phase as recommended by Rüping *et al.* (2005). For all layer types *z* atomic coordinates proposed by Moore & Reynolds (1997) were used after modification to fit the layer thickness values used for simulation; thermal motion parameters (B) were also set as proposed by Moore & Reynolds (1997). The position and amount of interlayer species (H<sub>2</sub>O and EG molecules in particular) were considered as variable parameters and varied about the values proposed by Moore & Reynolds (1997) during the fitting process. In bi-hydrated smectite layers (2W), a single plane of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules was assumed to be present on each side of the interlayer mid-plane as proposed by Ferrage *et al.* (2005a, b). Illite and smectite structural formulae were similar to those proposed by Laird *et al.* (1991) from the ICP-AES elemental analysis of the < 2  $\mu$ m fraction from similar soils (Table 2).

For each mixed layer, the number, nature, proportion and stacking sequences of the different layer types were considered as adjustable parameters. In the AD state and under room humidity conditions, expandable layers may be dehydrated (S0w:  $d_{001} \sim 1.00 \text{ nm}$ ), mono-hydrated (S1w:  $d_{001} \sim 1.25 \text{ nm}$ ), or bi-hydrated states (S2w:  $d_{001} \sim 1.50 \text{ nm}$ ) (Ferrage *et al.*, 2005b). Illite and S0w layers cannot be differentiated in the AD state, but smectite layers expand following EG solvation to incorporate one or two sheets of EG molecules in their interlayers (S1eg:  $d_{001} \sim 1.30 \text{ nm}$ , and S2eg:  $d_{001} \sim 1.30 \text{ nm}$ 

1.68 nm, respectively; Table 2). Finally, the distributions of coherent scattering domain sizes (CSDSs) were assumed to be lognormal and characterized by their mean value (Drits *et al.*, 1997b). The quality of the fit was estimated with the unweighted R parameter (Howard & Preston, 1989) over the 4 – 35°2θ and the 3.5 – 35°2θ ranges for AD and EG patterns, respectively, to minimize the influence of the low-angle region where the effect of X-ray scattering becomes significant. The 19 – 22°2θ and 26.5 – 27.0°2θ ranges were excluded for the calculation of R as they contains peaks other than clay 00*l* reflections. For practical reasons, optimization was performed using a trial-and-error approach without automatic refinement of the parameters. To ensure the reliability of the model, both AD and EG patterns of a given sample were fitted with a unique set of structural parameters. The relative proportions of the different clay species in these complex parageneses were also optimized with Sybilla. The multi-specimen approach requires that these proportions to be similar in both AD and EG states.

## Results

201 Qualitative description of experimental XRD patterns

XRD patterns obtained on the < 2  $\mu$ m fraction (AD and EG) of the five soil horizons are shown in Figure 1. All samples contained quartz (0.426 and 0.334 nm peaks), feldspars (0.325 and 0.320 nm) and poorly crystallized goethite (0.418 nm). The clay paragenesis is similar for all horizons including kaolinite (rational series of peaks at 0.716 and 0.358 nm in AD and EG states), illite-mica (rational series of peaks at 1.01, 0.498 and 0.334 nm in AD and EG states). In addition, the presence of broad and irrational peak series whose position shifts between AD and EG treatments suggests the presence of mixed layers containing expandable layers. Specifically, the 1.47 nm peak observed on

the AD pattern shifted to approximately 1.75 nm following EG solvation. Such behaviour is characteristic of randomly interstratified illite-smectite (Moore & Reynolds, 1997). The steady intensity increase of the 1.47 nm peak with increasing depth suggests an increasing proportion of this mixed layer from Ap to Bt horizons. Finally, the presence of a maximum peaking at 0.485 nm, and its behaviour following EG solvation, supports the presence of a mixed layer containing both chlorite and expandable layers.

## XRD pattern decomposition results

The number of elementary contributions (6 and 7 in AD and EG states, respectively) necessary to fit the data was remarkably similar for all samples, as are their positions, FWHMs, and relative intensities (Figure 2). This overall similarity supports the hypothesis of a constant composition for all clay minerals along the soil profile. The illite-mica peak at approximately 1.00 nm was fitted by using a broad band at 1.020 nm and a sharp one at 1.000 nm, most probably accounting for a broad CSDS distribution. Similarly, the kaolinite peak at 0.716 nm was fitted with broad and sharp maxima peaking at 0.730 and 0.716 nm, respectively. The broad contribution at approximately 1.47 nm was fitted also using two elementary contributions. The broad contribution at 1.500 nm (1.550 nm for the Bt/C horizon) sharpens, shifted to 1.750 nm and presents an additional peak at 0.930 nm after EG solvation. The sharp peak at 1.460 nm (AD) broadened and shifted to 1.580 nm after EG solvation.

In their study of a similar soil, Pernes-Debuyser *et al.* (2003) used NEWMOD to identify the clay minerals present in surface samples. These authors attributed the two bands at 1.450 nm (broad) and 1.540 nm (sharp) to two randomly interstratified illitesmectite having similar contents of illite and S2w layers (50:50) but different CSDS

distributions (1-4 and 3-6 layers, respectively). The XRD pattern corresponding to this clay paragenesis was calculated using Sybilla and compared with the data (Figure 3) to assess the validity of the identification proposed by Pernes-Debuyser *et al.* (2003). The profiles of the peaks corresponding to discrete kaolinite and illite were satisfactorily reproduced. The overall profile of the low-angle data, especially that of the EG patterns, was also approximately reproduced. Significant mismatches were, however, observed, especially over the 6-11, 14-19 and  $26-35^{\circ}2\theta$  ranges, that resulted in an overall poor fit (Figure 3; R=23.6% and 22.7% for AD and EG states, respectively) and therefore refute the identification proposed by Pernes-Debuyser *et al.* (2003). In particular, the chlorite-expandable mixed layer whose presence was hypothesized from the maximum peaking over the  $18-19^{\circ}2\theta$  range at 0.485 nm (AD) has a probable contribution over the low-angle region.

#### Full pattern fitting

To overcome the limitations of an indirect identification illustrated above, XRD patterns recorded on the oriented clay separates preparations of all horizons were all fitted in both AD and EG states. The optimum fit was obtained systematically with randomly interstratified illite-smectite and chlorite-smectite, in addition to discrete smectite, illite and kaolinite as illustrated for the Bt horizon (Figure 4). The structural characteristics of the optimal models are listed in Tables 2 and 3, and Table 4 contains the relative proportions of the different contributions. Discrete illite contributed to the three reflections at 1.006, 0.499 and 0.333 nm (AD) and 1.001, 0.501 and 0.333 nm (EG). These reflections were sharp, indicative of a large mean CSDS (18 layers – Table 3). Two populations of kaolinite having contrasting CSDS (6 and 20 layers on average) were necessary to fit the low-angle asymmetry of the 0.716 and 0.358 nm reflections. At

1.554 nm, the 001 reflection of discrete smectite contributed significantly to the overall intensity of the broad 1.47 nm peak. The 005 reflection (0.305 nm) also accounted for the high-angle tail of the peak at 0.334 nm (AD). Following EG solvation, the first smectite reflection shifted to 1.808 nm accounting for the low-angle asymmetry of the peak at 1.750 nm. The 005 reflection at 0.337 nm contributed to the large 'background' intensity between the 0.358 and 0.334 nm peaks. Discrete smectite has a small mean CSDS (3 layers) to match the width of experimental maxima. The heterogeneous hydration and swelling behaviours (36:64 S1w:S2w ratio in the AD state, and 24:76 S1eg:S2eg ratio after EG salvation: Table 3) also contributes to line broadening. The 003 reflection of smectite has a low intensity compared with that of 001 because of the large content of octahedral iron (1.2 atoms by unit formula: Table 2, Laird et al., 1991). A randomly interstratified illite-smectite with a large illite content (63:37 illite:smectite ratio) was also identified in the clay paragenesis. This mixed layer has a small CSDS (six layers) and its smectite layers exhibited a heterogeneous hydration and swelling behaviour (Table 3). As a result, its first order reflection (at approximately 1.27 nm in the AD state) allowed he high-angle asymmetry of the 1.47 nm peak to be fitted, whereas the 0.503 and 0.322 nm maxima contributed to the low- and high-angle tails of the complex maxima at 0.498 and 0.334 nm, respectively (Figure 4a). Following EG solvation, this randomly interstratified illite-smectite exhibited only weak and poorly-defined modulations over the low-angle region, which make it essentially undetectable using a decomposition approach. This mixed layer thus contributed to the diffracted intensity mostly on the high-angle side of the 0.498 nm peak, and in the complex band at 0.334 nm. A randomly interstratified chlorite-smectite (52:48 chlorite:smectite ratio: Table 3) completed the clay paragenesis accounting in particular

for the high angle shoulder of the 0.498 nm peak (AD) and for the sharp maximum of

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

the broad 1.47 nm band (Figure 4a). After EG solvation, this mixed layer contributed mostly to the large 'background' intensity between the 0.358 and 0.334 nm peaks, and to the complex 1.75 nm band.

The same clay paragenesis with discrete kaolinite, illite and smectite, and randomly interstratified illite-smectite and chlorite-smectite was used to fit XRD patterns from all five horizons (Figure 5, Tables 3 and 4) with R factor values ranging from 8.7 – 12.8% and from 9.3 – 12.6% for AD and EG patterns, respectively. The structural characteristics of discrete illite and kaolinite were essentially constant over the entire soil profile. Similarly, discrete smectite was systematically dominated by S2w layers (AD), the relative proportion of which was at a minimum in E1g and E2g horizons at approximately 55%, and increasing to 77% in the Bt/C horizon. Following EG solvation, most smectite layers incorporated two sheets of EG molecules. Consistent with the hydration behaviour, the proportion of S1eg layers was minimal in the E1g horizon (72%) and maximal in Bt/C (94%).

The composition of the two mixed layers was also more or less constant along the soil profile with 63% illite in the illite-smectite and 52% chlorite in the chlorite-smectite, except in the most superficial horizon (62% chlorite). In both mixed layers, the hydration behaviour of expandable layers was heterogeneous with 4 – 35% of smectite layers having only one sheet of interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. Following EG solvation, swelling heterogeneity was reduced, especially in the chlorite-smectite (Table 3). In the illite-smectite, swelling heterogeneity was more pronounced with 8 – 30% of smectite layers incorporating a single sheet of EG molecules, the proportion of S1eg steadily decreasing with increasing depth.

Relative proportions of the various clay species are listed in Table 4. Discrete illite and kaolinite represent approximately 20% of the  $< 2 \mu m$  fraction each, their proportion

being constant throughout the profile. The proportion of discrete smectite increased from the Ap (18%) to the Bt horizon (33%) in which it was the dominant clay species. At greater depth in the Bt/C horizon, the proportion of discrete smectite decreased to 23%. The randomly interstratified illite-smectite was the dominant clay species throughout the soil profile, except in the Bt horizon, despite its diffuse contribution to the low-angle intensity (Figure 4b). From E2g to Bt horizons, the proportion of this mixed layer decreased from approximately 30 to approximately 25%, increasing again to approximately 30% in the Bt/C horizon. Finally, the chlorite-smectite accounts for 5 - 8% of the < 2  $\mu m$  fraction along the soil profile, without any significant change with depth.

# **Discussion**

In the present work, pattern fitting led to an innovative interpretation of XRD patterns, providing detailed information on the different phases present in the soil horizons, on their structural evolution and on their relative abundances. In the following discussion the fitting approach will first be compared with numerical tools commonly used for the interpretation XRD patterns (DecompXR, Newmod). The results in terms of pedogenesis will then be discussed.

## Limitations of the decomposition-XRD calculation approach

The present study allows us to question the combined use of XRD pattern decomposition and indirect identification from elementary peak positions (± FWHM) to describe complex clay parageneses commonly encountered in soils, although it has been widely used for this purpose over the last decade (Righi *et al.*, 1995; Righi & Elsass,

1996; Velde, 2001; Pernes-Debuyser *et al.*, 2003; Velde *et al.*, 2003; Vingiani *et al.*, 2004; Jouquet *et al.*, 2005, 2007; Fontaine *et al.*, 2007; Barré *et al.*, 2007a, b, 2008a, b; Montagne *et al.*, 2008). In particular, the clay mineralogy deduced from such a data processing (illite, kaolinite and two randomly interstratified illite-smectite, Pernes-Debuyser *et al.*, 2003), did not permit the reproduction of XRD data for the samples investigated. Several hypotheses can be proposed to account for this inadequacy.

The first is the specific diffraction fingerprint, without any significant peak in the low-angle region, of the randomly interstratified illite-smectite which is the main clay mineral phase in the soil profile. This mixed layer is characterized by a broad and poorly defined contribution to the diffracted intensity. As a consequence, this major contribution is stripped during the initial background removal. This key drawback of the decomposition approach is especially noteworthy for soil clay minerals, as most of them combine small CSDS and complex interstratification, thus giving rise to weakly modulated XRD patterns.

The second hypothesis, that indirect identification of mixed layers from their peak position is essentially inadequate, is discussed in detail by Lanson (2005). Specifically, the heterogeneous nature of expandable interlayers hampers the use of simplified peak migration identification techniques based on two-component mixed layers (Drits, 1997; 2003). Such hydration/swelling heterogeneity has been found in most natural samples, whatever their origin and mineralogy (Drits *et al.*, 1997a; Sakharov *et al.*, 1999a; Lindgreen *et al.*, 2000; Drits, 2003; McCarty *et al.*, 2004; Inoue *et al.*, 2005; Ferrage *et al.*, 2005b, 2007). Again, this drawback is especially important for soils where heterogeneity is expected to be even greater than in other geological settings.

359 New contributions from XRD profile-fitting for interpreting complex clay mineral 360 assemblages 361 The overall agreement, both visually (Figure 5) and quantitatively with R values 362 systematically being < 13%, demonstrates the ability of the multi-specimen approach to 363 provide a good quality fit to experimental data obtained on polyphasic soil samples: this 364 is consistent with previous studies in other geological settings (Drits et al., 1997a, 365 2002a, b, 2004, 2007; Sakharov et al., 1999a, b, 2004; Lindgreen et al., 2000, 2002; 366 Claret et al., 2004; McCarty et al., 2004, 2008; Inoue et al., 2005; Aplin et al., 2006; 367 Lanson et al., 2009). This approach can thus be used to determine accurate structural 368 characteristics for the phases present in a given sample, as well as their relative 369 proportions. The sensitivity of the approach to structural characteristics and phase 370 heterogeneity has been discussed previously (Drits et al., 1997a, 2002b, 2007; Sakharov 371 et al., 1999a; Drits, 2003; Lanson et al., 2009). However, the actual sensitivity of the 372 calculated XRD patterns to key structural characteristics needs to be illustrated. The 373 actual presence of the different contributions is the first of these. In the present work, 374 mixed layers are introduced only if they allowed fitting specific angular ranges without 375 significant overlap with other phases as illustrated in Figure 4. The absence of the small 376 CSDS kaolinite contribution leads, for example, to a significant misfit over the 11 – 377 12°2θ range (Figure 6a). The influence of CSDS is illustrated next. Compared with the 378 optimal fit to the data (Figure 5), increasing the CSDS of discrete smectite from three to 379 five layers leads to evident misfits over the  $4-6^{\circ}2\theta$  and  $29.5-31.5^{\circ}2\theta$  ranges, whereas 380 decreasing the CSDS of discrete illite from 18 to 13 layers decreased the resolution of 381 the peak at 17.5°20 (002 reflection: Figure 6b). Finally, sensitivity of calculated profiles 382 to smectite hydration behaviour may be assessed by considering, for example, that all 383 layers are bi-hydrated in discrete smectite. As a result, the 001 reflection was shifted

towards ssmaller angles thus inducing a major misfit over the  $4 - 6^{\circ}2\theta$  range (Figure 6c).

384

385

386 The next section focuses on the implications of the original description of clay 387 paragenesis obtained from profile fitting for clay pedogenetic processes and more 388 especially for three major issues. 389 Clay paragenesis in Luvisols. From XRD results, Jamagne et al. (1984) claim that the 390 clay mineralogy is similar in the different horizons of soils formed on loess deposits, 391 even in the case of intense clay illuviation, and indicate that the < 2 µm fraction 392 includes "kaolinite, mica and a complex group of other layer silicates, including 393 smectite and mixed layers of chloritic, micaceous, vermiculitic and smectitic layers in 394 random interstratification". Using XRD profile fitting, the present study confirms the 395 interpretation of Jamagne et al. (1984) for discrete clay species with the presence of 396 kaolinite, illite and smectite. It also allows an improved description of the "complex group of other silicates" which includes two randomly interstratified illite-smectite 397 398 (63:37) and chlorite-smectite (52:48). Direct profile fitting thus confirms that in 399 Luvisols the clay paragenesis is stable along the soil profile as proposed by Jamagne et 400 al. (1984). 401 Structural characteristics of clay species and their development along the profile. Even 402 when looking at a more detailed level, clay mineralogy was remarkably constant along 403 the soil profile. Structural parameters of discrete kaolinite and illite and of illite-smectite 404 and chlorite-smectite phases were similar in the different horizons (Table 3). Some 405 differences were, however, observed at the soil surface. In particular, the chlorite 406 content of the chlorite-smectite increased from 52 to 62% in the topsoil Ap horizon, 407 simultaneously with a decrease of its mean CSDS from nine to seven layers. On the 408 contrary, the mean CSDS of the illite-smectite increases from six to seven layers in the lower horizons to nine layers in the uppermost one (Table 3). The parent material, that is the loess deposit, was thus probably homogeneous. In addition, kaolinite, illite, illitesmectite and chlorite-smectite species did not present significant structural changes along the soil profile compared with discrete smectite. The hydration and swelling (S1w:S2w and S1eg:S2eg ratios, respectively) properties of smectite varied from one horizon to the other, however, without any significant trend with depth. In addition, the relative proportions of S1w and S1eg layers were not strictly correlated, the latter being usually smaller than the former. Except in the Bt/C horizon where smectite was almost fully expanded after EG solvation, the proportion of S1eg layers ranged from 17 to 28% (Table 4). Consistent with our observations, Velde (2001) reported that approximately one third of expandable layers incorporated a single sheet of EG molecules in the surface horizons of cultivated soils, and thus deduced the presence of a similar proportion of high-charge expandable layers. However, in our case the heterogeneous swelling observed for discrete smectite is possibly induced by sample preparation artefacts. No sample pre-treatments were performed to remove organic matter and iron oxy-hydroxides prior to size fractionation. Such pre-treatments were performed on sample aliquots to extract the < 0.05 µm size fraction. These fractions, which concentrate discrete smectite, were X-rayed following solvation by EG vapour (16 hours at 40°C under vacuum). The results obtained (not shown) showed no difference between Ap and Bt horizons with 100% of S2eg layers in both cases. The intimate mixing of organic matter and/or of iron oxy-hydroxides with clays is thus likely to be responsible for the observed reduced expandability of smectite, and for the observed variation of smectite swelling behaviour along the profile. Quantitative phase analysis. Direct profile fitting method overcomes the intrinsic limitations of the decomposition approach, which was restricted to peak intensity ratios

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

between similar clay species, and provides reliable estimates of the phase composition for complex clay parageneses (Drits et al., 1997a; Lindgreen et al., 2002; Claret et al., 2004; McCarty et al., 2008; Lanson et al., 2009). Jamagne et al. (1984) concluded that the relative contents of mica and trioctahedral chlorite increase close to the soil surface as the result of the physical breakdown of coarse particles and/or of the preferential migration of other minerals as reported also in Belgian soils (Van Ranst et al., 1982). In our work, we show that the relative proportion of discrete smectite increases from 18% (Ap horizon), to approximately 25% (E1g and E2g horizons), and to 33% (Bt horizon – Table 4). This proportion decreases to 25% in the Bt/C horizon. The contribution of discrete smectite increases essentially at the expense of illite-smectite, which dominated the clay paragenesis in all horizons but B. Discrete kaolinite and illite and chloritesmectite were also affected but to a lesser extent because of their smaller abundances. In addition, the increase in the < 2 µm fraction content with increasing depth may further attenuate the impact on these clay species. The present results are thus consistent with the leaching process described for Luvisols, and more especially with undisturbed column leaching experiments performed with the same soil (Rousseau et al., 2004).

450451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

### **Conclusions**

The present study demonstrates that the combined use of XRD pattern decomposition and indirect identification from peak positions does not allow a complete identification of complex clay parageneses such as those commonly encountered in soils. However, when carefully used (see recommendations of Lanson, 1997), this approach can be a relevant preliminary step in the study of clay mineral evolution in soils formed on homogenous parent materials.

A complete, accurate and quantitative mineralogical characterization of complex clay parageneses requires fitting the data with a pattern calculated for a hypothesized mineral assemblage. Additional constraints can be obtained for a given sample by fitting various XRD patterns obtained after different treatments. Using this multi-specimen approach, structural characteristics and relative proportions of both discrete and mixed layer clays are obtained. The present study demonstrates that, although time-consuming, the multi-specimen approach can be applied to soil samples. The resulting mineralogical characterization of clays can then serve as the basis for studying their individual structural evolution, and that of their relative abundances along the profile.

In particular, it is shown in the present Luvisol profile that the structural characteristics of all clay minerals are essentially constant over the entire profile, thus reflecting the mineralogy of the parent material. As reported in the literature (Duchaufour & Lelong, 1967; Jamagne, 1973; Pedro et al., 1978; Jamagne et al., 1984), pedogenesis ongoing in Luvisols affects, essentially, the vertical distribution of the different clay species as the result of particle migration. Our results indicate that the relative increase of smectite has a major contribution to the overall increase of the < 2 µm fraction with increasing depth. This interpretation of the mineralogical data is in agreement with the leaching process described for Luvisols in the literature and may be valid for other soils formed on loess deposits which are common in North America and Western Europe and used to grow crops. As the proposed approach allows us to gain detailed information on the structural evolution of individual clay species, further research could aim at determining the impact of fertilizers, human activities or plant nutrient uptake on the development of soil minerals. In addition, the complete and quantitative mineralogical characterization allows the comparison of clay parageneses in soils derived from different parent materials.

| 48 | 84 |
|----|----|
|----|----|

**Acknowledgments**487 Financial support from the ANR ECCO PCBB 'Carbone profond' (ANR-05-ECCO488 011-04) program and HydrASA is acknowledged. The authors are grateful to Chevron
489 Energy Technology Company, a division of Chevron U.S.A. Inc., which freely allowed
490 using the Sybilla® software for academic purposes. The authors are especially indebted
491 to Dr. Doug McCarty for its sustained help with Sybilla®. Dr. C. Moni and Professor C.
492 Chenu (BioEMCO, France) kindly provided the soil samples.

- 493 **References**
- 494 Aplin, A.C., Matenaar, I.F., McCarty, D.K. & van der Pluijm, B.A. 2006. Influence of
- mechanical compaction and clay mineral diagenesis on the microfabric and pore-
- scale properties of deep-water Gulf of Mexico mudstones. Clays & Clay Minerals,
- **54,** 500-514.
- 498 Balesdent, J., Besnard, E., Arrouays, D. & Chenu, C. 1998. The dynamics of carbon in
- particle-size fractions of soil in a forest-cultivation sequence. *Plant & Soil*, **201**, 49-
- 500 57.
- Barré, P., Velde, B. & Abbadie, L. 2007a. Dynamic role of "illite-like" clay minerals in
- temperate soils: Facts and hypotheses. *Biogeochemistry*, **82**, 77-88.
- Barré, P., Velde, B., Catel, N. & Abbadie, L. 2007b. Soil-plant potassium transfer:
- Impact of plant activity on clay minerals as seen from X-ray diffraction. *Plant &*
- 505 *Soil*, **292**, 137-146.
- Barré, P., Montagnier, C., Chenu, C., Abbadie, L. & Velde, B. 2008a. Clay minerals as
- a soil potassium reservoir: observation and quantification through X-ray diffraction.
- 508 Plant & Soil, **302**, 213-220.
- Barré, P., Velde, B., Fontaine, C., Catel, N. & Abbadie, L. 2008b. Which 2:1 clay
- minerals are involved in the soil potassium reservoir? Insights from potassium
- addition or removal experiments on three temperate grassland soil clay assemblages.
- 512 *Geoderma*, **146**, 216-223.
- 513 Claret, F., Sakharov, B.A., Drits, V.A., Velde, B., Meunier, A., Griffault, L. & Lanson,
- B. 2004. Clay minerals in the Meuse-Heute Marne underground laboratory (France):
- Possible influence of organic matter on clay mineral evolution. Clays & Clay
- 516 *Minerals*, **52**, 515–532.

- 517 Dixon, J.B. & Weed, S.B. 1989. Minerals in Soil Environments. Soil Science Society of
- 518 America Inc., Madison.
- 519 Drits, V.A. 1997. Mixed-layer minerals. In: EMU Notes in Mineralogy, Volume 1 (ed.
- S. Merlino), pp. 153-190. Eötvös University Press, Budapest.
- 521 Drits, V.A. 2003. Structural and chemical heterogeneity of layer silicates and clay
- 522 minerals. *Clay Minerals*, **38**, 403-432.
- 523 Drits, V.A. & Sakharov, B.A. 1976. X-ray Structural Analysis of Mixed-layer Minerals.
- Nauka, Moscow. (In Russian).
- 525 Drits, V.A. & Tchoubar C. 1990. X-ray diffraction by disordered lamellar structures:
- 526 Theory and applications to microdivided silicates and carbons. Springer-Verlag,
- 527 Berlin.
- 528 Drits, V.A., Lindgreen, H., Sakharov, B.A. & Salyn, A.L. 1997a. Sequential structure
- transformation of illite-smectite-vermiculite during diagenesis of Upper Jurassic
- shales, North Sea. *Clay Minerals*, **33**, 351-371.
- Drits, V.A., Srodon, J. & Eberl, D.D. 1997b. XRD measurement of mean crystallite
- thickness of illite and illite/smectite: reappraisal of the kubler index and the scherrer
- 533 equation. *Clays & Clay Minerals*, **45**, 461-475.
- Drits, V.A., Lindgreen, H., Sakharov, B.A., Jakobsen, H.J., Salyn, A.L. & Dainyak,
- 535 L.G. 2002a. Tobelitization of smectite during oil generation in oil-source shales.
- Application to North Sea illite-tobelite-smectite-vermiculite. Clays & Clay Minerals,
- **50,** 82-98.
- 538 Drits, V.A., Sakharov, B.A., Dainyak, L.G., Salyn, A.L. & Lindgreen, H. 2002b.
- 539 Structural and chemical heterogeneity of illite-smectites from Upper Jurassic

- mudstones of East Greenland related to volcanic and weathered parent rocks.
- 541 *American Mineralogist*, **87**, 1590-1607.
- Drits, V.A., Lindgreen, H., Sakharov, B.A., Jacobsen, H.J. & Zviagina, B.B. 2004. The
- detailed structure and origin of clay minerals at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary,
- Stevns Klint (Denmark). *Clay Minerals*, **39**, 367-390.
- Drits, V.A., Lindgreen, H., Sakharov, B.A., Jakobsen, H.J., Fallick, A.E., Salyn, A.L.,
- Dainyak, L.G., Zviagina, B.B. & Barfod, D.N. 2007. Formation and transformation
- of mixed-layer minerals by Tertiary intrusives in Cretaceous mudstones, West
- Greenland. Clays & Clay Minerals, 55, 260-283.
- 549 Duchaufour, P. & Lelong, F. 1967. Entraînement et destruction d'argile dans les
- 550 horizons éluviaux des sols lessivés. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences
- *série D*, **264**, 2884-2887.
- 552 Egli, M., Mirabella, A. & Fitze, P. 2001. Clay mineral formation in soils of two
- different chronosequences in the Swiss Alps. *Geoderma*, **104**, 145-175.
- Egli, M., Nater, M., Mirabella, A., Raimondi, S., Plötze, M. & Alioth, L. 2008. Clay
- minerals, oxyhydroxide formation, element leaching and humus development in
- volcanic soils. *Geoderma*, **143**, 101-114.
- 557 Ferrage, E., Lanson, B., Malikova, N., Plançon, A., Sakharov, B.A. & Drits, V.A.
- 558 2005a. New insights on the distribution of interlayer water in bi-hydrated smectite
- from X-ray diffraction profile modeling of 00l reflections. Chemistry of Materials,
- **17,** 3499-3512.
- Ferrage, E., Lanson, B., Sakharov, B.A. & Drits, V.A. 2005b. Investigation of smectite
- hydration properties by modeling of X-ray diffraction profiles. Part 1.
- Montmorillonite hydration properties. *American Mineralogist*, **90,** 1358-1374.

- Ferrage, E., Lanson, B., Sakharov, B.A., Geoffroy, N., Jacquot, E. & Drits, V.A. 2007.
- Investigation of dioctahedral smectite hydration properties by modeling of X-ray
- diffraction profiles: Influence of layer charge and charge location. American
- 567 *Mineralogist*, **92**, 1731-1743.
- 568 Fontaine, S., Barot, S., Barré, P., Bdioui, N., Mary, B. & Rumpel, C. 2007. Stability of
- organic carbon in deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply. *Nature*, **450**,
- 570 277-280.
- Hardy, M., Jamagne, M., Elsass, F., Robert, M. & Chesneau, D. 1999. Mineralogical
- development of the silt fractions of a Podzoluvisol on loess in the Paris Basin
- 573 (France). European Journal of Soil Science, **50**, 443-456.
- Howard, S.A. & Preston, K.D. 1989. Profile fitting of powder diffraction patterns. In:
- 575 Modern Powder Diffraction (eds D.L. Bish & J.E.Post), pp. 217-275. Mineralogical
- 576 Society of America, Chantilly.
- Inoue, A. et al. 2005. Illite-smectite mixed-layer minerals in the hydrothermal alteration
- of volcanic rocks: I. One-dimensional XRD structure analysis and characterization of
- 579 component layers. Clays & Clay Minerals, **53**, 423-439.
- 580 IUSS working group WRB. 2006. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. World Soil
- Resousrces Reports N° 103. FAO, Rome.
- Jamagne, M. 1973. Contribution à l'étude pédogénétique des formations loessiques du
- Nord de la France. Ph.D. thesis, Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Gembloux.
- Jamagne, M., De Coninck, F., Robert, M. & Maucorps, J. 1984. Mineralogy of clay
- fractions of some soils on loess in northern France. *Geoderma*, **33**, 319-342.

- Jouquet, P., Barré, P., Lepage, M. & Velde, B. 2005. Impact of subterranean fungus-
- growing termites (Isoptera, Macrotermitiane) on chosen soil properties in a West
- African savanna. *Biology & Fertility of Soils*, **41**, 365-370.
- Jouquet, P., Bottinelli, N., Lata, J.C., Mora, P. & Caquineau, S. 2007. Role of the
- fungus-growing termite *Pseudacanthotermes spiniger* (Isoptera, Macrotermitinae) in
- the dynamic of clay and soil organic matter content. An experimental analysis.
- 592 *Geoderma*, **139**, 127-133.
- 593 Laird, D.A., Barak, P., Nater, E.A. & Dowdy, R.H. 1991. Chemistry of smectitic and
- illitic phases in interstratified soil smectite. Soil Science Society of America Journal,
- **55**, 1499-1504.
- 596 Lanson, B. 1997. Decomposition of experimental X-ray diffraction profile (profile
- fitting): A convenient way to study clay minerals. Clays & Clay Minerals, 45, 132-
- 598 146.
- 599 Lanson, B. 2005. Crystal structure of mixed-layer minerals and their X-ray
- identification: New insights from X-ray diffraction profile modeling. Clay Science,
- 601 **12** (suppl. 1), 1-5.
- 602 Lanson, B. & Besson, G. 1992. Characterisation of the end of smectite-to-illite
- transformation: Decomposition of x-ray patterns. *Clays & Clay Minerals*, **40**, 40-52.
- Lanson, B., Sakharov, B.A., Claret, F. & Drits, V.A. 2009. Diagenetic smectite-to-illite
- transition in clay-rich sediments: A reappraisal of X-ray diffraction results using the
- multi-specimen method. *American Journal of Science*, **309**, 476-516.
- 607 Lindgreen, H., Drits, V.A., Sakharov, B.A., Salyn, A.L., Wrang, P. & Dainyak, L.G.
- 608 2000. Illite-smectite structural changes during metamorphism in black Cambrian
- Alum shales from the Baltic area. *American Mineralogist*, **85**, 1223-1238.

- 610 Lindgreen, H., Drits, V.A., Sakharov, B.A., Jakobsen, H.J., Salyn, A.L., Dainyak, L.G.
- & Krøyer, H. 2002. The structure and diagenetic transformation of illite-smectite and
- chlorite-smectite from North Sea Cretaceous-Tertiary chalk. Clay Minerals, 37, 429-
- 613 450.
- McCarty, D.K., Drits, V.A., Sakharov, B., Zviagina, B.B., Ruffell, A. & Wach, G. 2004.
- Heterogeneous mixed-layer clays from the Cretaceous, Greensand, Isle of Wight,
- southern England. Clays & Clay Minerals, **52**, 552-575.
- McCarty, D.K., Sakharov, B.A. & Drits, V.A. 2008. Early clay diagenesis in gulf coast
- sediments: new insights from XRD profile modeling. Clays & Clay Minerals, 56,
- 619 359-379.
- 620 Mehra, O.P. & Jackson, M.L. 1960. Iron oxide removal from soils and clay by
- dithionite-citrate system buffered with sodium bicarbonate. Clays & Clay Minerals,
- **7,** 317-327.
- Moni, C. 2008. Stabilisation physique et physico-chimique de la matière organique
- dans les horizons profonds du sol. Ph.D. thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,
- 625 Paris 6.
- Montagne, D., Cornu, S., Le Forestier, L., Hardy, M., Josière, O., Caner, L. & Cousin, I.
- 627 2008. Impact of drainage on soil-forming mechanisms in a French Albeluvisol: Input
- of mineralogical data in mass-balance modelling. *Geoderma*, **145**, 426-438.
- Moore, D.M. & Reynolds, R.C., Jr. 1997. X-Ray Diffraction and the Identification and
- 630 Analysis of Clay Minerals. . Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pedro, G., Jamagne, M. & Begon, J.C. 1978. Two routes in genesis of strongly
- differentiated acid soils under humid, cool-temperate conditions. *Geoderma*, **20**, 173-
- 633 189.

- Pernes-Debuyser, A., Pernes, M., Velde, B. & Tessier, D. 2003. Soil mineralogy
- evolution in the INRA 42 plots experiment (Versailles, France). Clays & Clay
- 636 *Minerals*, **51**, 577-584.
- Reynolds, R.C., Jr. 1985. NEWMOD: A Computer Program for the Calculation of One-
- dimentional Patterns of Mixed-layered Clays. Reynolds, R.C., Jr, Hanover.
- Righi, D. & Elsass, F. 1996. Characterization of soil clay minerals: decomposition of X-
- ray diffraction diagrams and high-resolution electron microscopy. Clays & Clay
- 641 *Minerals*, **44**, 791-800.
- Righi, D. & Meunier, A. 1991. Characterization and genetic interpretation of clays in an
- acid brown soil (Dystrochrept) developed in a granitic saprolite. Clays & Clay
- 644 *Minerals*, **39**, 519-530.
- Righi, D., Velde, B. & Meunier, A. 1995. Clay stability in clay-dominated soil systems.
- 646 *Clay Minerals*, **30**, 45-54.
- Rousseau, M., Di Pietro, L., Angulo-Jaramillo, R., Tessier, D. & Cabibel, B. 2004.
- Preferential Transport of Soil Colloidal Particles: Physicochemical Effects on
- Particle Mobilization. *Vadose Zone Journal*, **3**, 247-261.
- Rüping, K., Dohrmann, R., Jahn, R. & Kleber, M. 2005. Texturmessungen an
- Tonmineralen in orientierten Präparaten Eine kritische Diskussion zur
- Tonmineralquantifizierung. In: *DTTG 2005* (eds R. Dohrmann. & S. Kaufhold.), pp.
- 56-72. Deutsche Ton-und Tonmineralgruppe e.V., Celle.
- 654 Sakharov, B.A., Lindgreen, H., Salyn, A.L. & Drits, V.A. 1999a. Determination of
- illite-smectite structures using multispecimen XRD profile fitting. Clays & Clays
- 656 *Minerals*, **47**, 555-566.

- 657 Sakharov, B.A., Lindgreen, H. & Drits, V.A. 1999b. Mixed-layer kaolinite-illite-
- vermiculite in North Sea shales. *Clay Minerals*, **34**, 333-344.
- 659 Sakharov, B.A., Dubinska, E., Bylina, P., Kozubowski, J.A., Kapro, G. & Frontczak-
- Baniewicz, M. 2004. Serpentine-smectite interstratified minerals from Lower Silesia
- 661 (SW Poland). Clays & Clay Minerals, **52**, 55-65.
- Van Ranst, E., De Coninck, F., Tavernier, R. & Langohr, R. 1982. Mineralogy in silty
- to loamy soils of central and high Belgium in respect to autochthonous and
- allochthonous materials. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, **91,** 27-44.
- Velde, B. 2001. Clay minerals in the agricultural surface soils in the Central United
- 666 States. *Clay Minerals*, **36**, 277-294.
- Velde, B., Goffé, B. & Hoellard, A. 2003. Evolution of clay minerals in a
- chronosequence of poldered sediments under the influence of a natural pasture
- development. Clays & Clay Minerals, **51**, 205-217.
- Vingiani, S., Righi, D., Petit, S. & Terribile, F. 2004. Mixed-layer kaolinite-smectite
- minerals in a red-black soil sequence from basalt in Sardinia (Italy). Clays & Clay
- 672 *Minerals*, **52**, 473-483.
- Wilson, M.J. 1999. The origin and formation of clay minerals in soils: Past, present and
- future perspectives. *Clay Minerals*, **34**, 7-25.
- 675 Wojdyr, M. 2007. Fityk 0.8.2 free software. Wojdyr, M. (At:
- http://www.unipress.waw.pl/fityk. Accessed: 12/05/2009).

## 677 FIGURE CAPTIONS

| 678<br>679 | <b>Figure 1.</b> Experimental XRD patterns obtained for the < 2 μm fraction of the five soil      |
|------------|---|
| 680        | horizons. Black and gray solid lines represent XRD patterns recorded in AD and EG                 |
| 681        | states, respectively. Dashed lines indicate the positions of the main reflections (peak           |
|            |   |
| 682        | positions in nm).   |
| 683        | Figure 2. Decomposition of XRD data. The experimental XRD patterns and the best fit               |
| 684        | are shown as grey crosses and as solid lines, respectively. Elementary Gaussian                   |
| 685        | contributions are shown as solid grey lines.  |
| 686        | Figure 3. Comparison between experimental (grey crosses) and calculated (solid lines)             |
| 687        | XRD patterns for the Ap horizon. (a) AD pattern; (b) EG pattern. The calculated pattern           |
| 688        | corresponds to the clay paragenesis determined by Pernes-Debuyser et al., (2003) for a            |
| 689        | similar Ap horizon. The broken x-axis indicates a modified scale factor (× 3.5) for the           |
| 690        | high-angle region. The grey boxes correspond to angular ranges excluded for the                   |
| 691        | calculation of the quality-of-fit estimate (R parameter). 679                                     |
| 692        | Figure 4. Elementary contributions to the diffracted intensity for the Bt horizon.                |
| 693        | Patterns as for Figure 3. The broken x-axis indicates a modified scale factor ( $\times$ 3.0) for |
| 694        | the high-angle region. (a) AD pattern; (b) EG pattern. The positions of the main maxima           |
| 695        | are given in nm. The kaolinite contribution includes the two sub-populations having               |
| 696        | different CSDS. The structural parameters for the optimal models are given in Tables 2            |
| 697        | and 3, the relative proportions of the different contributions in Table 4.                        |
| 698        | Figure 5. Comparison between experimental XRD patterns (grey crosses) and                         |
| 699        | optimum multi-specimen fits (solid line) for the 5 horizons (Ap, E1g, E2g, Bt and Bt/C)           |
| 700        | of the Luvisol. (a) Air-dried and (b) EG solvated samples. Difference plots are shown at          |

the bottom of the Figure. The structural parameters used for these fits are provided in Tables 2 and 3, the relative proportions of the different contributions in Table 4.

**Figure 6.** Sensitivity of calculated XRD patterns to the mineralogical composition and structural characteristics. Arrows indicate significant misfits compared with the optimum fit shown in Figure 5a (Bt horizon). The optimum structural characteristics and mineralogical composition are given in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. (a) XRD pattern calculated without the contribution of kaolinite having low CSDS. (b) CSDS of discrete smectite are increased from three (optimum) to five layers whereas that of discrete illite is decreased from 17 (optimum) to 13 layers. (c) All layers are considered to be bi-hydrated in discrete smectite.

**Table 1** Main chemical and physical features of the soil profile studied (adapted from Moni, 2008)

|         | _         | Particle size fraction /µm |                |         |       |                       |     |      | Exchangeable cations |                          |                 |                |
|---------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|-----------------------|-----|------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Horizon | Depth /cm | 0-2                        | 2-50<br>— /% — | 50-2000 | OC /  | CaCO <sub>3</sub> eq. | рН  | CECe | Ca <sup>++</sup>     | Mg <sup>++</sup> - /cmol | Na <sup>+</sup> | K <sup>+</sup> |
| Ap      | 0-30      | 18                         | 57             | 25      | 16.10 | < 1                   | 6.5 | 11.2 | 9.73                 | 0.90                     | 0.03            | 0.57           |
| E1g     | 30-45     | 19                         | 61             | 20      | 6.36  | 1.8                   | 7.2 | 12.1 | 11.50                | 0.86                     | 0.04            | 0.18           |
| E2g     | 45-80     | 21                         | 50             | 29      | 3.95  | < 1                   | 7.5 | 12.8 | 11.27                | 0.92                     | 0.04            | 0.18           |
| Bt      | 80-100    | 24                         | 47             | 29      | 3.38  | 1.1                   | 7.7 | 14.6 | 11.50                | 1.35                     | 0.05            | 0.20           |
| Bt/C    | 100-135   | 27                         | 48             | 25      | 1.80  | < 1                   | 7.9 | 16.7 | 12.03                | 1.81                     | 0.08            | 0.27           |

<sup>2</sup> OC: organic carbon

<sup>3</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub> eq.: calcium carbonate equivalent

<sup>4</sup> CECe: cation exchange capacity at soil pH

 Table 2 Structural parameters of the different clay layers.

| layer type                   | Layer thickness<br>/nm | Interlayer cation content <sup>a</sup> | Octahedral iron content <sup>a</sup> |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| discrete illite              | 1.000                  | 1.5                                    | 0.0                                  |  |
| illite in mixed layers       | 1.000                  | 1.0                                    | 0.5                                  |  |
| smectite (S1w) <sup>b</sup>  | 1.250                  | 0.5                                    | 1.2                                  |  |
| smectite (S2w) <sup>b</sup>  | 1.500                  | 0.5                                    | 1.2                                  |  |
| smectite (S1eg) <sup>b</sup> | 1.300                  | 0.5                                    | 1.2                                  |  |
| smectite (S2eg) <sup>b</sup> | 1.680                  | 0.5                                    | 1.2                                  |  |
| chlorite                     | 1.420                  | -                                      | 0.0                                  |  |
| kaolinite                    | 0.716                  | -                                      | -                                    |  |

<sup>2</sup> a number of atoms per formula unit

1

<sup>3</sup> b S1w: smectite with 1 sheet of interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O molecules; S2w: smectite with 2 sheets

<sup>4</sup> of interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O molecules; S1eg: smectite with 1 sheet of interlayer EG molecules;

<sup>5</sup> S2eg: smectite with 2 sheets of interlayer EG molecules

## 1 Table 3 Composition and structural parameters of clay minerals in the different soil

## 2 horizons

| Phases                  | Horizon   | Ap                             | Elg                            | E2g                            | Bt                             | Bt/C                          |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | σ*  | 17                             | 17                             | 17                             | 17                             | 17                            |
|                         | CSDS  | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                            |
| illite                  | I/S2w <sup>a</sup>  | 98/2                           | 97/3                           | 97/3                           | 95/5                           | 97/3                          |
|                         | I/S2eg <sup>a</sup>   | 98/2                           | 97/3                           | 97/3                           | 97/3                           | 97/3                          |
| kaolinite               | σ*  | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                            |
|                         | CSDS  | 20                             | 20                             | 20                             | 20                             | 20                            |
| kaolinite               | σ*  | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                             | 18                            |
|                         | CSDS  | 6                              | 6                              | 6                              | 6                              | 6                             |
| smectite                | $\sigma^*$ CSDS S1w/S2w <sup>a</sup> S1eg/S2eg <sup>a</sup>                       | 21<br>3<br>33/67<br>21/79      | 21<br>3<br>46/54<br>28/72      | 21<br>3<br>47/53<br>17/83      | 21<br>3<br>36/64<br>24/76      | 21<br>3<br>23/77<br>6/94      |
| illite-smectite<br>(R0) | σ*<br>CSDS<br>I/S1w/S2w <sup>a</sup><br>I/S1eg/S2eg <sup>a</sup>                  | 17<br>9<br>63/6/31<br>57/13/30 | 17<br>6<br>63/13/24<br>63/7/30 | 17<br>6<br>63/11/26<br>63/3/34 | 17<br>6<br>63/13/24<br>63/8/29 | 17<br>7<br>63/7/30<br>63/3/34 |
| chlorite-smectite (R0)  | $\begin{array}{c} \sigma^* \\ CSDS \\ Ch/S1w/S2w^a \\ Ch/S1eg/S2eg^a \end{array}$ | 17<br>7<br>62/13/25<br>62/0/38 | 17<br>9<br>52/11/37<br>52/0/48 | 17<br>9<br>52/6/42<br>52/6/42  | 17<br>9<br>52/2/46<br>52/0/48  | 17<br>9<br>52/8/40<br>52/0/48 |

<sup>3</sup>  $\sigma^*$ : Parameter characterizing the orientation of particles on the X-ray slide

<sup>4</sup> CSDS: Coherent scattering domain size expressed in layers

<sup>5</sup> a S1w: smectite with 1 sheet of interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O molecules; S2w: smectite with 2 sheets

<sup>6</sup> of interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O molecules; S1eg: smectite with 1 sheet of interlayer EG molecules;

<sup>7</sup> S2eg: smectite with 2 sheets of interlayer EG molecules; I: illite layers both in discrete

<sup>8</sup> illite and in mixed layers

## 1 Table 4 Relative proportions (in weight percent) of the different contributions to the

## 2 diffracted intensity

| Sample |    | illite | kaolinite<br>(high<br>CSDS) | kaolinite<br>(low<br>CSDS) | smectite | illite-<br>smectite | chlorite-<br>smectite |
|--------|----|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Δ      | AD | 20     | 12                          | 9                          | 18       | 33                  | 8                     |
| Ap     | EG | 18     | 9                           | 10                         | 18       | 38                  | 7                     |
| E1 a   | AD | 22     | 11                          | 9                          | 24       | 28                  | 6                     |
| Elg    | EG | 21     | 9                           | 9                          | 27       | 29                  | 5                     |
| E2g    | AD | 18     | 11                          | 9                          | 25       | 31                  | 6                     |
| E2g    | EG | 17     | 11                          | 8                          | 26       | 33                  | 5                     |
| Bt     | AD | 18     | 11                          | 7                          | 33       | 24                  | 6                     |
| Бι     | EG | 17     | 10                          | 7                          | 32       | 26                  | 8                     |
| Bt/C   | AD | 21     | 12                          | 8                          | 23       | 30                  | 6                     |
|        | EG | 18     | 11                          | 9                          | 23       | 32                  | 7                     |

<sup>3</sup> CSDS: Coherent scattering domain size

<sup>4</sup> AD: Results obtained on the air-dried preparation

<sup>5</sup> EG: Results obtained after ethylene glycol solvation











