

# **Effects of fro and HzO on andesite phase relations between 2 and 4 kbar**

Caroline Martel, Michel Pichavant, François Holtz, Bruno Scaillet, Jean-Louis Bourdier, Hervé Traineau

# **To cite this version:**

Caroline Martel, Michel Pichavant, François Holtz, Bruno Scaillet, Jean-Louis Bourdier, et al.. Effects of fro and HzO on andesite phase relations between 2 and 4 kbar. Journal of Geophysical Research : Solid Earth, 1999, 104 (B12), pp.29,453-29,470.  $10.1029/1999J\text{B}900191$ . insu-00688901

# **HAL Id: insu-00688901 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-00688901v1>**

Submitted on 18 Apr 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Effects of  $f_{O2}$  and  $H_2O$  on andesite phase relations **between 2 and 4 kbar**

# Caroline Martel,<sup>1</sup> Michel Pichavant, Francois Holtz, and Bruno Scaillet

Centre de Recherches sur la Synthèse et la Chimie des Minéraux, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, **Orléans France** 

# **Jean-Louis Bourdier**

Département des Sciences de la Terre and UMR 6530, Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France

### **Herv6 Traineau**

Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, Orléans, France

**Abstract. Experimental phase equilibria have been investigated on three medium-K silicic**  andesite (60-61 wt  $\%$  SiO<sub>2</sub>) samples from Mount Pelée at 2-4 kbar, 850-1040<sup>o</sup>C, under both vapor-saturated CO<sub>2</sub>-free and vapor-saturated CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing conditions. Most experiments **were crystallization experiments using dry glasses prepared from the natural rocks. Both**  normal- and rapid quench experiments were performed. Two ranges of oxygen fugacity  $(f<sub>0</sub>)$ **were investigated: NNO (Ni-NiO buffer) to NNO + 1 and NNO + 2 to NNO + 3. At 2 kbar for moderately oxidizing conditions, plagioclase (pl) and magnetite (mt) are the liquidus phases, followed by low-Ca pyroxene (opx); these three phases coexist over a large**  temperature (T)- $H_2O$  range (875-950°C and 5-7 wt %  $H_2O$  in melt). Amphibole (am) is stable under near vapor-saturated CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions at 876°C. At 900°C, ilmenite (ilm) is found **only in experiments less than or equal to NNO. Upon increasing pressure (P) under vapor**saturated  $CO_2$ -free conditions,  $pl + mt$  is replaced by am  $+ mt$  on the liquidus above 3.5 kbar. **For highly oxidizing conditions, mt is the sole liquidus phase at 2 kbar, followed by pl and**  opx, except in the most H<sub>2</sub>O-rich part of the diagram at 930°C, where opx is replaced by Ca**rich pyroxene (cpx) and am. Compositions of ferromagnesian phases systematically correlate**  with changing  $f_{02}$  Experimental glasses range from andesitic through dacitic to rhyolitic, **showing systematic compositional variations with pl + opx + mt fractionation (increase of**   $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $K<sub>2</sub>O$ , decrease of  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ , CaO, FeO', and MgO). FeO\*/MgO moderately increases with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub>. For  $f_{02}$  conditions typical of calk-alkaline magmatism (approximately **NNO + 1), magnetite is either a liquidus or a near-liquidus phase in hydrous silicic andesite magmas, and this should stimulate reexamination for the mechanisms of generation of andesites by fractionation from basaltic parents.** 

## **1. Introduction**

**Arc magmatism, volumetrically second to ocean floor magmatism, is the key for understanding the formation of**  continents and material recycling into the mantle. Andesites **form an important fraction of arc igneous rocks, and much effort has been devoted to elucidate their petrogenesis. Andesites have been considered to represent primary magmas, generated by melting of either basalts from the subducting slab [Green and Ringwood, 1968; Kay, 1978; Defant and Drummond, 1990; Yogodzinski et al., 1994] or peridotite from the mantle wedge [e.g., Kushiro et al., 1972; Nicholls and** 

**Copyright 1999 by the American Geophysical Union.** 

**Paper number 1999JB900191. 0148-0227/99/1999JB 900191 \$09.00**  **Ringwood, 1973; Mysen et al., 1974; Green, 1976]. A second group of models interprets andesites and the felsic igneous rocks of the calc-alkaline series as fractionation products of**  primitive, mantle-derived, basaltic magmas [e.g., *Stern*, 1979; **Gill, 1981; Sisson and Grove, 1993a, b]. Magma mixing [e.g., Eichelberger, 1975] and assimilation are also known to play important roles in the genesis of andesites.** 

**A limited number of phase equilibrium studies on andesites have been performed under simultaneously controlled melt**  H<sub>2</sub>O content and  $f_{O_2}$  [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, **1973; Maksimov et al., 1978; Ritchey and Eggler, 1978; Sekine et al. 1979; Baker and Eggler, 1987]. The influence of H20 on liquidus and solidus temperatures of andesitic magmas and on crystallization sequences and the conditions of amphibole stability have been determined up to 10 kbar and above. However, the compositions of coexisting minerals and melts have remained largely unknown, in part because most of these studies were performed before routine use of the electron microprobe and also because of iron loss and quenching** 

<sup>1</sup> Now at Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, Bayreuth, **Germany** 

		1929 Dome (D29)		P1 Fallout (P1R)	P1 Surge (P1D)			
	<b>WR</b> <sup>a</sup>	Glassb	<b>WR</b> <sub>a</sub>	Glassb	<b>WR<sup>a</sup></b>	Glassb		
SiO <sub>2</sub>	61.11	62.19	60.75	62.06	60.97	63.17		
TiO,	0.48	0.50	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.46		
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	17.74	17.49	17.48	17.63	17.47	17.76		
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.10		2.11		1.97			
FeO MnO MgO CaO Na <sub>2</sub> O $K_2O$ H <sub>2</sub> O Total	3.26 0.18 2.29 6.24 3.53 1.05 0.37 99.35	6.39 <sup>c</sup> 0.14 2.28 6.35 3.59 1.06 ND 100.00	4.04 0.17 2.17 6.16 3.45 0.97 1.68 99.41	6.21 <sup>c</sup> 0.14 2.27 6.50 3.67 1.08 ND 100.00	4.19 0.18 2.20 6.15 3.53 1.03 0.77 98.91	5.47° 0.13 1.98 6.27 3.67 1.14 ND 100.00		

**Table 1. Composition of Starting Materials** 

**aWhole-rock analysis (wet chemical technique; FeO by titration).**  <sup>b</sup>Average of electron microprobe analyses, recalculated to 100%. **cTotal Fe as FeO.** 

**ND, not discernible** 

**problems [Sisson and Grove, 1993a]. These earlier studies**  were all carried out under relatively reducing conditions (Fayalite-Magnetite-Quartz  $\leq f_{02} \leq NNO$ ), now known to be **inappropriate to the crystallization of typical island arc**  andesites  $(f_{O_2}$  around NNO + 1 [see *Gill*, 1981]). There is **clearly a need for additional experiments on andesites under**  carefully controlled  $f_{O_2}$  conditions above NNO.

**In addition to being of fundamental petrological interest, andesites commonly erupt from island arc volcanoes, such as**  at Mount Pelée (Martinique), one of the active volcanoes of **the Lesser Antilles island arc. For understanding volcanic processes and better evaluating the potential associated hazards, knowledge of the preeruptive conditions of equilibration of andesitic magma bodies is a prerequisite.**  Conditions of magma storage, in particular, melt H<sub>2</sub>O contents, **have a profound influence on eruptive style (e.g., explosive versus effusive). Studies of phase equilibria at low pressures, appropriate for the storage of the magmas in crustal reservoirs, constitute one of the best approaches for the determination of preeruptive conditions, as first demonstrated on the Mount St. Helens dacites [Rutherford et al., 1985; Rutherford and Devine, 1988].** 

**In order (1) to provide new experimental data applicable to the petrogenesis of andesites and (2) to determine the preeruptive storage conditions of silicic andesite magmas**   $(SiO<sub>2</sub> ~ 60$  wt %) tapped by eruptions of Mount Pelée **eruptions, an experimental phase equilibrium study has been performed on three Mount Pelée andesites at pressure (P)**  $\leq$  **4** kbar. In this paper the effects of  $f_{O_2}$  and melt  $H_2O$  content on **andesite phase relations are detailed, with emphasis placed on the stability of ferromagnesian phases and on compositional variations. The application of the experimental data to the**  recent Mount Pelée eruptions forms the subject of C. Martel et **al. (manuscript in preparation, 1999).** 

# **2. Experimental and Analytical Methods**

#### **2.1. Experimental Methods**

**2.1.1. Starting materials and charges. The experiments were performed in parallel on three medium-K silicic andesite samples with nearly identical compositions (Table 1), typical** 

**of compositions erupted during the recent period of activity at Mount Pe16e [Fichaut et al., 1989; Smith and Roobol, 1990]. The selected samples, however, have different textural characteristics. One is a pumice from the 650 years B.P. Plinian fallout (P1 fallout), whereas the other two are lithic juvenile rocks from the P1 basal surge and the 1929-1932 dome, respectively (the latter is subsequently referred to as the 1929 dome). Modal proportions and phase compositions are fully detailed in the companion paper (C. Martel et al., manuscript in preparation, 1999). The three samples have the same phenocryst assemblage (plagioclase, orthopyroxene, magnetite, rare clinopyroxene, trace ilmenite, and resorbed amphibole) and modal proportions (-45 vol % phenocrysts on a vesicle-free basis). There are minor but detectable**  differences in the phenocryst compositions (C. Martel et al., manuscript in preparation, 1999). Plagioclase rim 1999). Plagioclase **compositions range from An55 to An60 in the two P1 samples and from An50 to An55 in the 1929 dome sample. In the latter, orthopyroxenes tend to be more Mg-rich than in the P1 samples, the result of near-surface oxidation that is also**  apparent in the whole rock FeO/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> data (Table 1).

**Most experiments were crystallization experiments starting from glasses. However, during the initial stages of the study, melting experiments were also performed directly using**  andesite powders ground to <50 pm, run together with the **crystallization experiments (Table 2). In spite of the relatively**  long run durations (typically 1-2 weeks at 850-900°C), we **experienced difficulty in distinguishing between the newly formed phases and the residual, inherited crystals. When refractory phases such as plagioclase are involved, bulk equilibrium probably can not be attained in melting experiments of any reasonable durations. Consequently, crystallization experiments were preferred. The experimental strategy followed one established previously for phase equilibrium studies of felsic magmas [e.g., Pichavant, 1987; Holtz et al., 1992; Scaillet et al., 1995; Scaillet and Evans, 1999]. Dry glasses were used as starting materials. In so doing,**  during the heating up period, melts are forced to cross a T-H<sub>2</sub>O domain of high  $\Delta T$  and intermediate H<sub>2</sub>O contents where **crystal nucleation is promoted [e.g., Fenn, 1977]. Each rock**  was ground in an agate mortar to  $\sim 50$   $\mu$ m, loaded in a Pt **capsule, and then fused twice (with grinding in between) for 4**  hours at 1400°C and 1 atm. The glasses obtained were **analyzed by electron microprobe and their compositions compared with the whole rock wet chemical data (Table 1). Relative differences (average values of 0.8% (SiO2), 1%**   $(A1<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO), 2\% (Na<sub>2</sub>O), 5\% (FeO<sup>t</sup>, MgO), and 6\% (K<sub>2</sub>O))$ **are within analytical errors. The electron microprobe analysis of Fe in both Pt capsules and glasses before and after fusion showed that Fe loss during fusion is negligible. The three**  glasses were ground to <20  $\mu$ m.

**Charges consisted of the glass powder (-30 mg) plus water**  (distilled and deionized) and silver oxalate, as source of CO<sub>2</sub>. Both CO<sub>2</sub>-free and CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing experiments were carried out. **All experiments were performed in presence of a fluid phase and are vapor-saturated. The vapor phase consists essentially**  of either pure H<sub>2</sub>O (experiments subsequently referred to as vapor-present  $CO_2$ -free or more simply by  $CO_2$ -free) or  $H_2O$ -**CO2 fluid mixtures (experiments subsequently referred to as**  vapor-present and  $CO_2$ -bearing or more simply by  $CO_2$ -<br>bearing). Initial fluid compositions (XH<sub>2</sub>Oin. = **bearing). Initial fluid compositions (XH2Oin. =**   $XH_2O/(XH_2O+XCO_2)$  in moles) were 1 (CO<sub>2</sub>-free system), 0.9, 0.8, and 0.7 (H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> mixtures). The fluid/silicate ratio (mass **of fluid components/(mass of fluid components + glass** 

Charge	$XH_2O$ in.	$_{\rm H_2O}$ in Glass, wt %	$a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ bars	$\log f_{\rm O_2}$ bars	<b>ANNO</b>	Results <sup>a</sup>	$\Sigma R^2$	Fe Loss,b %
						Run X1, 2028 bars, 850°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 1.0 bars, 235 hours		
D29/1	0.79	5.5c	0.82	$-11.0$	$+1.8$	gl(47), pl(40), opx(8), mt(5)	0.43	< 1
D29/2	1	6.9	1	$-11.4$	+0.9	Run X5, 2130 bars, 876°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 3.5 bars, 322 hours gl(59), pl(27), opx(5), mt(3), am(6)	0.23	< 1
D <sub>29</sub> /3	0.89	6.5	0.94	$-11.4$	$+0.9$	$gl(54)$ , pl(33), opx(7), cpx(3), mt(3)	0.07	< 1
D <sub>29</sub> /4 P1R/1	0.81 1	6.15 6.9	0.88 1	$-11.5$	$+0.8$	$gl(44)$ , $pl(42)$ , $opx(11)$ , $mt(3)$	0.15	< 1
PIR/2	0.90	6.45	0.94	$-11.4$ $-11.4$	$+0.9$ $+0.9$	$gl(60)$ , $pl(29)$ , $opx(7)$ , $mt(3)$ , am(1) $gl(53)$ , pl $(36)$ , opx $(9)$ , mt $(2)$	0.36 0.12	< 1
P1R/3	0.80	6.15	0.89	$-11.5$	$+0.8$	$gl(45)$ , pl(44), opx(8), cpx(1), mt(2)	0.07	< 1 < 1
P1D/1 P1D/2	$\mathbf{1}$ 0.90	6.9/7.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$-11.4$	$+0.9$	$gl(57)$ , $pl(32)$ , $opx(7)$ , $cpx(1)$ , $mt(3)$	0.20	< 1
P1D/3	0.79	6.6 6.3	0.96 0.93	$-11.4$ $-11.4$	$+0.9$	$gl(54)$ , pl(33), opx(6), cpx(4), mt(3)	0.10	< 1
						.93 - 11.4 + 0.9 $\bar{gl}(46)$ , pl(41), opx(10), mt(3) Run X2, 2150 bars, 902°C, $f_{H_2} = 3.6$ bars, 270 hours	0.15	< 1
D <sub>29</sub> /5	0.79	5.5c	0.81	$-11.0$	$+0.8$	gl(55), pl(33), opx(9), mt(3)	1.03	< 1
P1D/4	0.82	5.5¢	0.80	$-11.0$	$+0.8$	$gl(48)$ , $pl(40)$ , $opx(9)$ , $mt(3)$	0.38	< 1
P1D/5d	1	6.9	1	$-11.3$	$+0.6$	Run X17, 1980 bars, 900°C, $f_{H_2} = 5.5$ ° bars, 123 hours		
P1D/6d	0.88	6.1	0.89			gl(68), pl(23), opx(5), cpx(1), mt(3) $gl(57)$ , pl $(32)$ , opx $(9)$ , mt $(2)$	0.04 0.21	<1 < 1
						.89 - 11.4 + 0.5 g((57), pi(32), o Run X4, 2205 bars, 903°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 5.9 bars, 302 hours		
D29/6 D <sub>29</sub> /7	1	6.9	$\mathbf{1}$	$-11.2$	$+0.6$	gl(66), pl(22), opx(8), mt(3), ame	0.45	< 1
D <sub>29</sub> /8	0.89 0.79	6.2 5.6	0.90 0.81	$-11.3$ $-11.4$	$+0.5$ $+0.4$	$gl(55)$ , pl $(33)$ , opx $(9)$ , mt $(3)$ , ame	0.26	<1
D <sub>29</sub> /9	0.69	5.5	0.79	$-11.4$	$+0.4$	gl(49), pl(38), opx(10), mt(3), ame $gl(45)$ , $pl(42)$ , $opx(11)$ , $mt(2)$ , ame	0.10 0.18	<1 < 1
PIR/4	1	6.9	1	$-11.2$	$+0.6$	$gl(72)$ , $pl(21)$ , $opx(7)$ , $mt(<1)$ , am <sup>e</sup>	0.60	$+1$
P1R/5 P1R/6	0.90 0.77	6.3 $5.5\,$	0.95	$-11.3$	$+0.5$	gl(61), pl(29), opx(6), cpx(2), mt(2), ame	0.39	< 1
P1R/7	0.70	5.4	0.83 0.78	$-11.4$ $-11.4$	$+0.4$ $+0.4$	$gl(49)$ , $pl(39)$ , $opx(10)$ , $mt(2)$ , am <sup>e</sup>	0.21	< 1
P1D/8	1	6.9	1	$-11.2$	$+0.6$	$gl(46)$ , $pl(43)$ , $opx(10)$ , $mt(1)$ gl(68), pl(21), opx(7), mt(4)	0.17 0.48	< 1 < 1
P1D/9	0.90	6.1	0.88c	$-11.3$	$+0.5$	$gl(57)$ , $pl(31)$ , $opx(9)$ , $mt(3)$ , ame	0.63	<1
P1D/10 P1D/11	0.80 0.71	5.6 5.5	0.81 0.79	$-11.4$ $-11.4$	$+0.4$	$gl(46)$ , pl(41), opx(11), mt(2), ame	0.23	< 1
					$+0.4$	gl(46), pl(42), opx(10), mt(2), ame Run X3, 2112 bars, 902°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 9.8 bars, 327 hours	0.37	- 1
D <sub>29</sub> /10	1	6.9	1	$-11.7$	$+0.1$	$gl(65)$ , $pl(23)$ , $opx(9)$ , $mt(3)$ , ame	0.79	< 1
D <sub>29</sub> /11	0.88	6.2	0.89	- 11.8	0.0	$gl(55)$ , pl(32), opx(11), mt(2), ilm(tr), am <sup>e</sup> 0.28		< 1
D29/12 D <sub>29</sub> /13	0.80 0.71	5.8 5.1	0.84 0.74c	$-11.9$ $-12.0$	$-0.1$	$gl(48)$ , pl(38), opx(12), mt(2), ilm(tr), ame 0.08		< 1
P1R/8	1	6.9	$\mathbf{1}$	- 11.7	$-0.2$ $+0.1$	$gl(35)$ , $pl(47)$ , $opx(17)$ , $mt(1)$ , ame $gl(70)$ , $pl(20)$ , $opx(8)$ , $cpx(tr)$ , $mt(2)$ , am <sup>e</sup> 0.44	0.17	$+1$
P1R/9	0.91	6.2	0.89	$-11.8$	0.0	gl(57), pl(34), opx(9), mt(tr), ame	0.17	- 1 $\leq 1$
P1R/10 PIR/11	0.79 0.70	5.8 5.7	0.83	- 11.9	- 0.1	$gl(48)$ , $pl(41)$ , $opx(10)$ , $mt(tr)$ , ilm(tr)	0.25	<1
P1D/12	$\mathbf{1}$	6.9	0.83 1	$-11.9$ $-11.7$	$-0.1$ $+0.1$	$gl(40)$ , pl $(48)$ , opx $(10)$ , mt $(2)$ , ilm $(tr)$	0.10	< 1
P1D/13	0.90	6.2	0.90	$-11.8$	0.0	gl(63), pl(26), opx(9), mt(2), ame $gl(51)$ , $pl(37)$ , $opx(10)$ , $mt(2)$ , $ilm(tr)$	0.76 0.43	- 1 < 1
P1D/14	0.80	5.6	0.80	$-11.9$	- 0.1	$gl(44)$ , $pl(44)$ , $opx(11)$ , $mt(1)$ , $ilm(tr)$	0.19	< 1
P1D/15	0.70	5.5	0.79			P.19 - 11.9 - 0.1 gl(38), pl(49), opx(12), mt(1), Run X10, 2265 bars, 930°C, $f_{H_2} = 1.0$ bars, 216 hours	0.11	< 1
P1R/12	$\mathbf{1}$	6.9	1	$-9.2$	$+2.2$			
P1R/13	0.89	6.1	0.91	$-9.2$	$+2.1$	gl(87), pl(8), cpx(1), mt(2), am(2) $gl(74)$ , $pl(20)$ , $opx(3)$ , $mt(3)$ , ame	0.21 0.52	<1 < 1
P1R/14 P1R/15	0.80 0.72	5.7	0.85	$-9.3$	$+2.1$	$gl(67)$ , pl $(26)$ , opx $(4)$ , mt $(3)$ , ame	0.40	<1
		5.3	0.78			1.18 - 9.4 - 2.0 $\frac{g}{5}$ = 1.37), opx(6), mt(2) Run X9, 2126 bars, 930°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 3.0 bars, 238 hours	0.27	$\leq 1$
P1R/16	1	6.9	$\mathbf{1}$	$-10.1$	+ 1.2	gl(77), pl(15), opx(6), mt(1), ame		
PIR/17	0.89	6.45	0.90	- 10.2	+ 1.1	gl(74), pl(18), opx(6), mt(2), ame	1.93 0.76	$\leq 1$ $\leq 1$
P1R/18 P1R/19	0.80 0.72	6.0 5.6	0.84c	$-10.3$	+ 1.1	gl(64), pl(29), opx(6), mt(1), ame	0.27	$\leq 1$
			0.77	$-10.4$	$+1.0$	gl(58), pl(35), opx(6), mt(1), ame Run X6, 2210 bars, 930°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 4.4 bars, 256 hours	0.17	$\leq 1$
D29/14	1	6.9	1	- 10.4	$+0.9$	gl(67), pl(21), opx(8), mt(4), am <sup>e</sup>		
D29/15	0.90	6.4	0.92	$-10.5$	$+0.8$	$gl(64)$ , pl $(24)$ , opx $(9)$ , mt $(3)$ , ame	0.84 0.62	$\leq 1$ $\leq 1$
D29/16 D <sub>29</sub> /17	0.79 0.71	6.05	0.87	- 10.6	$+0.8$	gl(55), pl(34), opx(9), mt(2), ame	0.30	< 1
P1R/20	1	5.5 6.9	0.78 1	$-10.7$ $-10.4$	+ 0.7	gl(51), pl(37), opx(10), mt(2)	0.29	< 1
PIR/21	0.90	6.4	0.92	- 10.5	$+0.9$ $+0.8$	$gl(72)$ , $pl(18)$ , $opx(7)$ , $mt(3)$ , am <sup>e</sup> $gl(68)$ , $pl(22)$ , $opx(8)$ , $mt(2)$ , ame	0.98	< 1
P1R/22	0.81	5.8	0.83	$-10.6$	$+0.8$	$gl(61)$ , pl(29), opx(8), mt(2), ame	0.41 0.14	< 1 < 1
P1R/23 P1D/16	0.70 1	5.4	0.79	- 10.6	$+0.7$	$gl(55)$ , pl $(34)$ , opx $(9)$ , mt $(2)$ , ame	0.76	< 1
P1D/18	0.79	6.9 5.6	0.88 0.79	- 10.6 - 10.6	$+0.8$ + 0.7	$gl(63)$ , $pl(25)$ , $opx(9)$ , mt(3), ame	0.37	< 1
P1D/19	0.70	5.5	0.77	$-10.7$	+ 0.7	$gl(54)$ , $pl(35)$ , $opx(9)$ , $mt(2)$ , am <sup>e</sup> gl(48), pl(40), opx(11), mt(2), ame	0.17 0.30	< 1
						Run X7, 2117 bars, 950°C, $f_{H_2}$ = 0.9 bars, 184 hours		< 1
D29/18 D29/19	$\mathbf{1}$ 0.89	6.9	1	- 8.7	$+2.3$	$gl(94)$ , pl(1), mt(5), am <sup>e</sup>	3.96	$+1$
<b>D29/20</b>	0.80	6.7 6.3	0.96 0.90	$-8.8$ 8.8	$+2.2$	$gl(75)$ , pl(16), opx(6), mt(3), ame	0.21	$\leq 1$
D29/21	0.72	6.2	0.88	- 8.9	$+2.2$ $+2.2$	$gl(66)$ , $pl(24)$ , $opx(7)$ , $mt(3)$ , ame	0.63	< 1
P1R/24	1	6.9	1	- 8.7	$+2.3$	gl(60), pl(30), opx(7), mt(3), ame $gl(95)$ , pl $(1)$ , mt $(4)$ , ame	0.12 2.75	< 1 < 1
P1R/27 P1D/20	0.71	5.5	0.77	9.0	$+2.0$	gl(63), pl(29), opx(6), mt(2), am <sup>e</sup>	0.15	< 1
P1D/21	0.80 0.72	6.3¢ 6.0¢	0.91 0.87	- 8.8 - 8.9	$+2.2$	gl(62), pl(28), opx(7), mt(3), ame	1.03	< 1
					$+2.2$	$gl(59)$ , pl(31), opx(5), cpx(3), mt(2), ame	0.57	< 1

**Table 2. Experimental Conditions and Results** 

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ 





**Table 2. (continued)** 

**XH2Oin., initial H20 / (H20 + CO2) in the charge; H20 in glass by difference (see text); second number by Karl-Fischer titration;**   $a_{H_2O}$  calculated from  $H_2O$  in glass using the model of *Burnham* [1979] (see also text); log  $f_{O_2}$  calculated from experimental  $f_{H_2}$  (see text) and calculated  $f_{H_2O}$  (obtained from  $a_{H_2O}$ );  $\Delta NNO = \log f_{O_$ 

**c Estimated from the other experiments (see text).** 

**d Rapid-quench experiment.** 

**e quench phase.** 

**powder)) was kept constant, around 10 wt %, to avoid significant changes of the silicate composition by incongruent dissolution in the fluid phase [Holtz et al., 1992]. Because of the small fluid/silicate ratios and the initially dry starting glasses, the equilibrium fluid phase composition differs from XH2Oin., reflecting the markedly different solubilities of H20**  and CO<sub>2</sub> in silicic liquids at low pressures [see *Holtz et al.*, **1992, Figure 1]. For most runs, Au capsules were used as •ontainers (25 mm long, 2.5 mm ID, 2.9 mm OD). Ag70Pd30**  capsules were also used, both in the 1040°C run (Table 2) and **in duplicate experiments performed to test for Fe loss. The Au capsules were sealed by arc welding and the AgPd capsules were sealed with a small torch. They were checked for leaks by**  immersion in an oil bath at 120°C and weighed. Runs were **performed with up to 12 capsules (four charges with different XH2Oin. for each of the three starting samples) kept together**  under the same  $P$ -T-hydrogen fugacity  $(f_{H_2})$  conditions and **durations (Table 2).** 

**2.1.2. Equipment and run procedure. All experiments were performed in an internally heated pressure vessel (IHPV) working vertically. Ar-H2 mixtures were used as pressurizing media in all experiments except for the most oxidized (ANNO > 2), where pure Ar was used. Total pressure was continuously recorded by a transducer calibrated against a Heise Bourdon tube gauge (uncertainty +40 bars, including daily fluctuations).**  For temperatures below 950°C, a double winding Khantal **furnace was used, allowing a quasi-isothermal hot spot 8 cm**  long [Roux and Lefevre, 1992]. Above 950°C another furnace **setup [Roux et al., 1994] was used. Temperatures were measured by two to four sheathed chromel-alumel thermocouples (depending on the furnace setup) and recorded**  continuously. Thermal gradients were mostly within 2<sup>o</sup>C (up to 8°C for the experiment performed at 1040°C). Typical **uncertainties on temperature are**  $\pm$ **5°C.** 

Oxygen fugacity is related to hydrogen fugacity and H<sub>2</sub>O fugacity  $(f_{H2O})$  through the  $H_2O$  dissociation reaction. In our experiments,  $f_{H2}$  is imposed (at given P and T) and is a function of the proportion of H<sub>2</sub> initially loaded into the vessel **[Egglet, 1972; Egglet and Burnham, 1973; Scaillet et al.,**  1992, 1995]. For  $T < 930^{\circ}$ C,  $f_{H_2}$  was measured directly and continuously by a Shaw-type semipermeable H<sub>2</sub> membrane **located in the hot spot of the furnace [Scaillet et al., 1992].**  Uncertainties on  $H_2$  pressure measurements are  $\pm 0.5$  bar, corresponding to uncertainties on  $\log f_{02}$  of  $\pm 0.05$ -0.15 for the  $f_{\text{H}_2}$  range in Table 2. For  $T > 930^{\circ}$ C, Ni-Pd-O sensors were used to determine  $f_{\text{H}_2}$  [*Taylor et al.*, 1992]. From other studies **performed in this laboratory [Scaillet and Evans, 1999],**  $f_{H_2}$ measurements with the H<sub>2</sub> membrane and the Ni-Pd-O sensors agree within  $2\%$  for  $f_{H_2}$  in the 1-5 bar range. For three runs (Table 2),  $f_{H_2}$  was not measured but was estimated from the initial  $H_2$  loaded into the vessel and from the  $f_{H_2}$  measurements **in other experiments at the same P and T.** 

**Experimental durations varied between 2 and 14 days, decreasing generally with increasing temperature (Table 2). Runs were normally stopped by switching off the power,**  inducing an averaged temperature drop of 100°C/min. Three **experiments were performed with a rapid quench device modified after Roux and Lefevre [1992]. A thin alumina tube holding the capsules was hung in the furnace hot spot by two Khantal wires (0.2 mm diameter). At the end of the experiment the Khantal wires were fused electrically, allowing the sample** 

holder to fall instantaneously into the cold part  $(-50^{\circ}C)$  of the **vessel** (temperature drop of ~100°C/s) under quasi-isobaric **conditions. After the experiment each capsule was weighed to check for leaks and then opened. For each charge a clast of run product was embedded in an epoxy resin, polished, then studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and analyzed by electron microprobe.** 

### **2.2. Analytical Methods**

**2.2.1. Major elements. The electron microprobe analyses were performed with either a Cameca Camebax or a Cameca SX 50 (Services Communs BRGM-CNRS-Universit6, Orléans**), under an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and a **counting time of 10 s. Silicate minerals were used as standards. Sample currents of either 6 or 12 nA and beam sizes**  of 1-2  $\mu$ m were employed for mineral phases and 6 nA and either 10 or 5  $\mu$ m for glasses. In the latter, the measured alkali **concentrations were corrected using secondary standards [e.g., Pichavant, 1987], which were three bubble-free hydrous rhyolitic glasses, nearly identical in composition to the experimental glass samples, containing 2.0, 4.6, and 6.3 wt %**  H<sub>2</sub>O. They were synthesized by hydration, at 1300°C, 4 kbar **for 3 days of matrix glass fragments separated from a pumice sample of the P1 eruption from Mount Pe16e, then analyzed for H20 by Karl-Fischer titration [Behrens et al., 1996] and for the alkalies by wet chemical techniques. Correction factors varied**  from 0.95 to 1.2 for  $Na<sub>2</sub>O$  and from 0.95 to 1.1 for  $K<sub>2</sub>O$ , depending on the glass H<sub>2</sub>O content. For the different oxides analyzed, the analytical errors are 1% (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO), 3% (FeO, MgO, TiO<sub>2</sub>), and 5% (MnO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O). Mass balance calculations [Albarède, 1995] were performed on all major **oxides except MnO and H20 to estimate the proportions of phases coexisting in a given charge and to evaluate the extent of Fe loss.** 

**2.2.2. H<sub>2</sub>O.** The H<sub>2</sub>O content of the experimental glasses **was systematically measured since it could not be estimated from the composition of the fluid phase [e.g., Eggler and Burnham, 1973], which is unknown. Because most charges contain a significant proportion of crystals homogeneously distributed in the glass (Table 2), infrared spectroscopy could**  not be used as a routine method for H<sub>2</sub>O analysis. The glass **H20 contents were thus measured using the "by-difference" method [Devine et al., 1995]. The difference from 100% of electron microprobe analyses (after correction of the alkalies)**  was calibrated against the dissolved glass H<sub>2</sub>O content by **using the three hydrous glasses described above as standards, analyzed together with the experimental glasses during each microprobe session.** 

Despite the use of the secondary standard glasses, H<sub>2</sub>O **contents measured with our by-difference method were anomalously too high (by several weight percent) in a number of glasses (but not all) from the 2 kbar experiments. This problem was not encountered in the rapid quench experiments and is therefore not of analytical nature. In the normal-quench experiments, quenching is not isobaric and glasses could either microvesiculate or hydrate locally (since a fluid phase is always present), while temperature drops, leading in both cases**  to higher apparent H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations. Therefore the anomalously high H<sub>2</sub>O contents found in all the 2 kbar normal**quench experiments were corrected against the data for the**  rapid quench experiments. H<sub>2</sub>O contents of glasses from the 900 and 951°C, 2 kbar, CO<sub>2</sub>-free rapid quench experiments are **identical (6.9 wt %, Table 2) and this value was taken as the** 

 $H_2O$  content for all the  $\sim$ 2 kbar  $CO_2$ -free experiments (Table 2). Analysis by Karl-Fischer titration of the 951°C, 2 kbar, CO<sub>2</sub>-free rapid quench charge and of one 876°C, 2 kbar, CO<sub>2</sub>free charge (Table 2) yielded  $6.2 \pm 0.2$  and  $7.3 \pm 0.2$  wt % H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. For the CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing experiments the **corrections were performed by using the dependence between XH2Oin. and glass H20 content established from the rapid**  quench experiments. Uncertainties of our glass H<sub>2</sub>O content determinations are  $\pm 0.5\%$ .

**2.2.3.** Calculations of  $f_{02}$ . For each charge the  $H_2O$ dissociation reaction was used to compute  $f_{02}$  from experimental  $f_{\text{H}_2}$  and calculated  $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  [*Scaillet et al.*, 1995].  $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ was obtained from the H<sub>2</sub>O activity  $(a_{H20})$ , which was **calculated from the glass H20 contents using a thermodynamic**  model [Burnham, 1979]. Because our CO<sub>2</sub>-free rapid quench experiments yield analyzed glass H<sub>2</sub>O contents slightly higher than the thermodynamic model used (e.g.,  $6.9$  wt  $%$  H<sub>2</sub>O at 951°C, 2.12 kbar (Table 2) versus 6.0 wt % H<sub>2</sub>O for the model),  $a_{H<sub>2</sub>O}$  values from the model were normalized so as to give unity for glass H<sub>2</sub>O contents corresponding to our CO<sub>2</sub>**free rapid quench experiments. This procedure maintains the**  internal consistency of our H<sub>2</sub>O concentration data, avoids handling values of  $a_{H_2O} > 1$  (Table 2), and affects the  $f_{O_2}$ **calculations by < 0.1 log unit. Overall uncertainties on**  individual log  $f_{02}$  determinations are  $\pm 0.25$  log units. For a given experiment (constant  $P - T - f_{H_2}$ ), the average variation of  $f_{O_2}$  between charges with different XH<sub>2</sub>Oin. (and consequently  $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ ) is 0.3 log unit, the maximum being 0.6 log unit (run X12, **Table 2). For comparison, compositions of three pairs of coexisting magnetites and ilmenites in run X3 (2.1 kbar, 902 +**   $5^{\circ}$ C, Tables 2 and 3) yield  $\Delta NNO/T(^{\circ}C)$  values of -0.3/849, -**0.6/875, and -0.2/934 using the solid solution model for Fe-Ti oxides of Ghiorso and Sack [ 1991] and -1.2/858, -0.4/809 and -0.1/840 using the model of Andersen et al. [1993], whereas experimental ANNO values are 0.0, 0.0, and -0.1, respectively (Table 2).** 

# **3. Experimental Results**

**Since the objectives of the study are to provide experimental information on the phase equilibria of silicic andesite magmas under relatively oxidizing conditions and at low pressures, most of the experiments were performed at -2 kbar, with a few additional ones at 3 and 4 kbar (Table 2). T**  was varied between 850 and 1040°C to cover most of the crystallization interval of the magmas. Two ranges of  $f_{02}$  were **investigated (Figure 1): NNO to NNO + 1 (subsequently**  termed "moderately oxidizing" or "low"  $f_{O_2}$ ) and NNO + 2 to **NNO + 3 (subsequently termed "highly oxidizing" or "high"**   $f_{02}$ ), so that the effect of varying  $f_{02}$  above the NNO buffer **(calculated at the pressure of interest from Chou [1987]) can**  be determined. Although H<sub>2</sub>O contents were varied from CO<sub>2</sub>free to CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing conditions, it was not considered relevant **to study nearly dry conditions since andesitic magmas from**  island arcs are known to be distinctly  $H_2O$ -rich. At  $\sim$ 2 kbar the investigated melt  $H_2O$  contents range from  $-4$  to  $-7$  wt %  $H_2O$ . **Experimental conditions and results are detailed in Table 2.** 

#### **3.1. Run Products and Attainment of Equilibrium**

**Run products consisted of fluid, glass, and mineral phases. Plagioclase, low-Ca pyroxene, and magnetite were found systematically in most experiments, whereas Ca-rich pyroxene,** 

**Table 3. Selected Experimental Compositions** 

Charge	Phase	SiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	AI <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeO	MnO	<b>MgO</b>	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	$K_2O$	Total	Mole Percent
Run X1: 2028 bars, 850°C, $f_{1/2} = 1$ bar, $\triangle NNO = +1.8$ , 235 hours												
D29/1	"gl(4)"	78.13(32)	0.30(6)	12.21(8)	1.35(15)	0.10(8)	0.41(3)	2.09(12)	2.90(6)	2,52(17)	92.35	
	pl(1)	53.65	0.09	28.78	0.87	0.00	0.05	12.39	4.28	0.19	100.32	An61Or1
	opx(3)	54.23(70)	0.13(5)	1.72(29)	16.95(27)	1.58(8)	24.14(10)	1.43(13)	0.04(3)	0.05(4)	100.24	En68Wo3
	mt(1)	156	3.96	2.35	83.28	0.69	1.84	0.37	0.12	0.00	94.18	Mt89
				Run X5, 2130 bars, 876°C, $f_{H2}$ =3.5 bars, $\triangle NNO = +0.8/+0.9$ , 322 hours								
D29/2	gl(4)	72.66(72)	0.32(6)	15.03(27)	2.36(41)	0.20(8)	0.32(12)	3.57(22)	3.60(21)	1.93(5)	89.60	
	pl(2) opx(2)	52.54(77) 53.22(10)	0.02(0) 0.16(5)	28.95(17) 1.53(30)	0.96(13) 20.78(2)	0.04(4) 1.07(9)	0.19(12) 21.93(35)	13.08(18)	3.40(12)	0.23(2)	99.43	An67Or1
	mt(2)	0.24(5)	7.03(13)	2.92(5)	81.72(1)	0.51(3)	1.57(13)	1.66(34) 0.11(2)	0.09(3) 0.01(1)	0.04(0) 0.02(0)	100.48 94.12	En62Wo3 Mt79
	am(6)	47.08(91)	1.31(14)	9.24(30)	13.90(72)	0.45(5)	13.22(49)	10.13(25)	1.75(16)	0.22(6)	97.31	
P1D/1	gl(2)	71.45(36)	0.42(8)	14.81(1)	3.46(8)	0.09(9)	0.64(21)	3.81(3)	3.76(5)	1,56(3)	90.64	
	pl(2)	54.48(46)	0.03(1)	27.87(56)	0.90(10)	0.13(3)	0.07(1)	12.35(25)	3.53(3)	0.19(5)	99.56	An65Or1
		opx(4) 52.60(25)	0.20(3)	1.71(13)	20.66(62)	1.04(10)	21.58(27)	1.87(22)	0.03(1)	0.01(1)	99.68	En61Wo4
	cpx(4)	51.11(6)	0.43(11)	3.00(40)	11.67(30)	0.74(8)	13.63(27)	18.99(48)	0.35(10)	0.03(1)	99.69	En40Wo40
D29/3	mt(3) gl(4)	0.17(1) 73.31(12)	7.64(8) 0.36(6)	2.89(9) 14.08(11)	81.61(14) 2.68(10)	0.58(3) 0.17(8)	1.62(3) 0.58(6)	0.11(2)	0.00(0)	0.02(2)	94.64	Mt78
	pl(1)	52.38	0.02	28.34	1.05	0.00	0.12	3.11(14) 11.52	3.53(9) 4.32	2.18(9) 2.18	90.62 97.95	An59Or1
	opx(4)	51.77(22)	0.19(4)	1.64(7)	22.66(25)	0.97(8)	20.39(32)	1.60(15)	0.03(3)	0.00(0)	99.26	En59Wo3
	cpx(1)	51.2	0.41	2.49	13.67	0.87	13.37	17.25	0.44	0.05	99.76	En39Wo36
	mt(2)	0.28(12)	9.06(23)	2.72(3)	78.41(49)	0.61(10)	1.29(7)	0.20(5)	0.03(3)	0.00(0)	92.61	Mt73
P1R/2	gl(4)	73.67(49)	0.32(4)	14.47(30)	2.41(13)	0.04(4)	0.28(6)	2.93(13)	3.57(24)	2.30(6)	89.97	
	pl(1)	54.05	0.08	27.25	1.34	0.04	0.08	11.72	4.39	0.29	99.25	An57Or2
	opx(3) mt(1)	52.42(36) 0.25	0.21(2) 8.48	1.80(29) 2.77	23.10(48) 77.31	0.84(9)	20.55(9)	1.81(33)	0.05(2)	0.01(1)	100.79	En58Wo4
D <sub>29</sub> /4	gl(1)	74.41	0.36	13.32	2.55	0.34 0.13	1.29 0.34	0.08 2.39	0.07 4.09	0.02 2.42	90.59 92.31	Mt74
	pl(2)	55.63(92)	0.12(6)	26.72(93)	1.11(21)	0.05(5)	0.11(0)	10.89(66)	4.55(12)	0.24(2)	99.43	An56Or1
	opx(2)	51.66(11)	0.23(3)	1.15(1)	24.82(40)	1.26(15)	18.96(29)	2.17(11)	0.05(5)	0.01(1)	100.31	En54Wo4
	mt(1)	0.22	10.39	2.36	76.25	0.57	1.44	0.14	0.00	0.04	91.41	Mt69
				Run X2, 2150 bars, 902°C, $f_{H2} = 3.60$ bars, $\triangle NNO = +0.8$ , 270 hours								
P1D/4	gl(4)	73.06(21)	0.36(4)	14.22(13)	3.30(26)	0.12(7)	0.55(7)	2.99(12)	3.26(9)	2.14(11)	92.28	
	pl(2)	55.24(34)	0.04(4)	26.70(18)	0.69(13)	0.02(2)	0.11(2)	11.08(35)	4.38(6)	0.26(6)	98.53	An60Or1
	opx(1 mt(2)	51.83(77) 1.90(4)	0.16(8) 8.96(19)	1.5(88) 4.18(5)	23.92(54) 0.98(20) $72.68(16)$ 0.58(4)		19.44(51) 1.67(11)	1.15(22) 0.20(14)	0.02(3) 0.17(3)	0.01(1) 0.07(2)	99.03 90.42	En59Wo3 Mt71
				Run X17, 1980 bars, 900°C, $f_{H2}$ = 3.80 bars, $\triangle NNO = +0.5/+0.6$ , 123 hours								
P1D/5 <sup>ª</sup>	gl(2)	69.69(8)	0.37(1)	15.19(3)	3.74(1)	0.18(4)	1.07(0)	4.29(5)	4.05(0)	1.42(2)	91.97	
	pl(3)	50.71(27)	0.02(1)	30.00(13)	1.08(24)	0.04(3)	0.06(2)	13.69(27)	3.37(6)	0.06(2)	99.02	An69Or0
		орх(3) 52.03(38)	0.18(4)	2.05(37)	20.36(44) 0.92(12)		22.52(31)	1.43(19)	0.14(10)	0.02(3)	99.65	En63Wo3
	cpx(2)	51.03(18)	0.38(1)	2.56(31)	11.87(36)	0.63(19)	13.73(41)	18.98(88)	0.25(1)	0.01(1)	99.44	En40Wo40
P1D/6 <sup>4</sup>	mt(5)	0.31(8)	6.66(14)	3.08(8)	80.14(58)	0.52(11)	1.74(6)	0.17(3)	0.01(2)	0.01(2)	92.65	<b>Mt80</b>
	gl(7) pl(1)	71.57(35) 53.27	0.37(4) 0.00	14.52(30) 28.98	3.53(20) 0.87	0.13(8) 0.08	0.79(4) 0.02	3.51(24) 12.16	3.81(7) 4.40	1.76(4) 0.16	92.40 99.95	An60Or1
		орх(6) 51.42(26)	0.19(3)	1.65(29)	21.83(69)	1.19(13)	20.46(43)	1.82(23)	0.04(2)	0.02(4)	98.61	En59Wo4
	mt(2)	0.51(9)	7.98(8)	2.73(1)	80.53(42) 0.34(19)		1.47(4)	0.22(3)	0.06(6)	0.02(2)	93.87	Mt76
				Run X4, 2205 bars, 903°C, $f_{H2} = 5.85$ bars, $\triangle NNO = +0.4/+0.6$ , 302 hours								
D29/6	gl(4)	71.75(54)	0.45(4)	15.14(65)	3.02(50)	0.16(4)	0.24(8)	4.21(8)	3.50(16)	1,53(5)	92.40	
	pl(1)	48.3	0.00	31.38	0.68	0.00	0.07	14.81	2.81	0.06	98.11	An $74Or0$
	opx(2) mt(1)	52.28(9) 0.33	0.16(1) 7.46	2.57(35) 4.05	18.83(37) 79.16	0.96(1) 0.35	22.87(79)	1.74(15)	0.06(3)	0.01(0)	99.48	En65Wo4
P1R/5	gl(2)	72.23(6)	0.43(1)	15.01(9)	2.79(12)	0.16(2)	1.89 0.35(5)	0.17 3.57(5)	0.00 3.27(5)	0.00 2.21(6)	93.42 89.51	Mt77
	pl(1)	52.42	0.07	28.70	1.76	0.00	0.08	12.33	3.77	0.16	99.30	An64Or1
	opx(2)	52.30(32)	0.17(2)	1.93(4)	19.48(21)	0.96(7)	22.32(24)	1.66(14)	0.04(4)	0.01(1)	98.87	En64Wo3
	cpx(1)	52.41	0.36	2.15	14.00	0.68	15.84	14.05	0.17	0.04	99.69	En46Wo29
	mt(2)	0.36(13)	9.74(3)	3.16(1)	76.24(3)	0.37	1.48	0.36	0.04(3)	0.04(3)	91.80	Mt70
D <sub>29</sub> /7	gl(1)	71.71	0.53	14.94	3.06	0.24	0.21	3.55	3.64	2.11	90.64	
	pl(2)	54.66(43) 52.42(33)	0.08(8)	27.66(55)	1.21(13)	0.02(2)	0.10(4)	11.70(17)	3.84(1)	0.25(2)	99.52	An62Or2
	opx(2) mt(2)	0.89(14)	0.18(1) 10.12(9)	2.15(12) 3.16(1)	19.15(75) 75.77(40)	0.98(3) 0.39(0)	21.94(16) 1.49(1)	2.36(86) 0.25(4)	0.08(2) 0.07(3)	0.01(1)	99.29 92.19	En63Wo5 Mt69
D <sub>29</sub> /9	gl(4)	73.59(49)	0.51(3)	13.55(12)	3.30(30)	0.06(5)	0.40(8)	2.68(14)	3.46(2)	0.04(2) 2.46(2)	92.33	
	pl(1)	56.25	0.09	27.19	1.00	0.00	0.10	10.93	4.51	0.26	100.34	An56Or2
	opx(4)	50.97(15)	0.22(2)	1.30(21)	26.49(11)	0.91(7)	17.28(35)	1.70(26)	0.02(1)	0.01(1)	98.89	En51Wo4
	mt(2)	0.29(3)	14.09(73)	2,58(3)	73.88(66)	0.52(3)	1.50(9)	0.14(5)	0.06(4)	0.02(1)	92.73	Mt57
P1D/11	gl(2)	72.95(53)	0.45(10)	13.61(9)	3.34(48)	0.27(0)	0.48(2)	2.85(8)	3.54(11)	2,51(13)	93.10	
	pl(2)	55.71(29)	0.07(3)	26.93(28)	0.83(5)	0.03(1)	0.10(1)	10.80(13)	4.25(17)	0.33(4)	99.05	An57Or2
	opx(1) 50.77 mt(1)	0.27	0.20 14.21	1.15 2.74	25.67 74.49	1.01 0.40	19.54	1.45	0.09	0.00	99.90	En55Wo3
							1.52	0.15	0.03	0.00	93.82	Mt57

# **Table 3. (continued)**



**Table 3. (continued)** 

Charge	Phase	SiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO,	AI. O <sub>1</sub>	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	$K_2O$	Total	Mole Percent
Run X12, 2170 bars, 1041°C, $f_{H2} = 0.40$ bar, $\Delta NNO = +2.6/+3.2$ , 53 hours												
P1D/32	gl(3)	62.34(34)	0.47(4)	17.20(4)	6,50(5)	0.12(9)	2.21(19)	6.45(11)	3.77(8)	0.98(4)	92.75	
	mt(2)	0.24(1)	1.15(0)	5.80(1)	77.74(81)	0.35(2)	3.50(12)	0.16(2)	0.05(5)	0.02(2)	89.03	Mt97
				Run X16, 3125 bars, 925°C, $f_{H2} = 6.80$ bars, $\Delta NNO = +0.6/+0.8$ , 71 hours								
P1D/33 <sup>4</sup>	gl(7)	66.31(27)	0.53(6)	16.00(13)	5.65(14)	0.16(8)	1.26(4)	4.99(14)	3.76(13)	1.33(7)	91.78	
	pl(2)	50.85(49)	0.03(3)	30.04(20)	0.76(4)	0.06(6)	0.07(1)	14.05(2)	3.36(32)	0.12(0)	99.35	An69Or1
	opx(6)	51.29(81)	0.20(4)	2.35(56)	23.03(46)	0.79(11)	19.34(46)	1.97(24)	0.13(12)	0.02(2)	99.12	En57W <sub>04</sub>
	mt(5)	0.52(25)	10.65(26)	3.71(7)	75.67(80)	0.49(9)	1.68(5)	0.21(8)	0.09(7)	0.05(2)	93.15	Mt67
P1D/344	gl(8)	69.89(29)	0.56(2)	14.60(14)	4.74(22)	0.13(6)	0.84(6)	3.91(9)	3.61(4)	1.70(11)	93.07	
	pl(1)	53.90	0.09	27.50	0.91	0.04	0.11	11.36	4.45	0.23	98.60	An58Or1
	opx(8)	51.57(65)	0.21(4)	1.55(45)	26.47(40)	1.02(10)	16.34(46)	2.97(21)	0.18(9)	0.04(5)	100.32	En48Wo6
	mt(1)	1.41	14.09	3.21	71.87	0.47	1.47	0.36	0.09	0.06	93.03	Mt56
Run X11, 4160 bars, 925°C, $f_{12}$ = 9.8 bars, $\Delta NNO = +0.4/+0.8$ , 170 hours												
P1D/35 <sup>ef</sup>	am(6)	42.60(52)	1.01(30)	12.46(48)	17.94(79)	0.41(11)	11.17(41)	9.01(53)	1.66(12)	0.26(7)	96.49	
$P1D/37 -$	pl(2)	53.08(64)	0.04(4)	28.64(41)	0.96(5)	0.00(0)	0.10(2)	12.78(32)	4.15(4)	0.13(0)	99.88	An63Or1
	opx(2)	50.87(82)	0.22(4)	2.89(19)	24.55(72)	0.78(11)	18.86(0)	1.82(9)	0.06(6)	0.03(3)	100.09	En55Wo4

**Abbreviations as in Table 2; Number in parentheses in the second column gives the number of analyses; Numbers in parentheses inthe oxide columns are the standard deviation\*100.** 

**a Glass analyses normalized to 100% anhydrous, with all Fe as FeO. Unnormalized total is reported.** 

**b Number of microprobe analyses.** 

**c One standard deviation in terms of least unit cited.** 

**a Rapid-quench experiment.** 

**c Phase assemblage uncompletely analyzed.** 

**f Glass composition not given (quench modifications).** 

**ilmenite, and amphibole were less frequently encountered.**  Apatite was found in some CO<sub>2</sub>-free charges below 900°C. **The experimental phase assemblages and calculated mass proportions are listed in Table 2.** 

Plagioclase is of relatively small size  $(-5-10 \mu m)$ . It is **euhedral, mostly tabular, less frequently with an equant shape. Low-Ca pyroxene is the largest crystalline phase present, with**  lengths sometimes reaching  $\sim 100 \mu$ m. It is euhedral and often **contains melt inclusions. In some cases, low-Ca pyroxene is**  rimmed by a quench phase  $\left(\langle 2 \mu m \rangle\right)$ , either amphibole or **clinopyroxene, as observed in previous studies [Eggler, 1972]. Ca-rich pyroxene is difficult to distinguish optically from either low-Ca pyroxene or amphibole. It is usually smaller in size than low-Ca pyroxene and also lacks the distinctive poikilitic texture. Magnetite, present in all experiments, is characterized by an euhedral, equant habit and a small size (5 !am on average), which makes its analysis by electron microprobe difficult. Ilmenite is very rare and coexists with magnetite in a few experiments (Table 2). Amphibole is present as a stable phase in only a few charges. In these run products, amphibole appears as euhedral stubby prisms 10-15 !am in size.** 

**Most experiments (except the rapid quench) contain quench phases, mainly amphibole (Table 2), yet never occur in greater abundance than a few weight percent. We initially had considerable difficulty in distinguishing between quench and stable amphiboles [Helz, 1973, 1976]. However, the rapid quench experiments proved decisive in establishing undoubtly the morphological criteria for identification. Quench amphiboles appear generally as hollow needles whose sizes**  vary with experimental conditions (~5-10 µm long at 2 kbar, **coarser at 4 kbar). Needles of a K-bearing phase, possibly quench biotite, were identified by SEM in the charge P1R/12 (Table 2).** 

**Because the experiments in this study are mostly crystallization experiments starting from glass, equilibrium can**  **not be rigorously demonstrated. However, crystallization experiments are less prone to equilibration problems than melting experiments, as discussed above [e.g., Green, 1976; Pichavant, 1987' Holtz et al., 1992; Sisson and Grove, 1993a;**  Scaillet et al., 1995; Scaillet and Evans, 1999]. The main **advantage of crystallization experiments is that most mineral phases grow under the imposed conditions, therefore enabling bulk crystal/liquid equilibrium to be approached, provided that there are no nucleation difficulties. In the early stages of this study we checked that crystallization experiments yielded the same phase assemblage as melting experiments starting from partially crystalline rock powders. Other indications suggesting the absence of nucleation problems in our experiments include textural and morphological criteria (homogeneous crystal distributions, euhedral shapes, plagioclase habits consistent**  with growth at low  $\Delta T$ ). Mineral phases crystallized in this **study are homogeneous, and their compositions vary regularly and smoothly with the experimental conditions (see below and C. Martel et al., manuscript in preparation, 1999). Calculated crystal-liquid exchange coefficient (Kd) are in good agreement with accepted values (see below), suggesting that chemical equilibrium was closely approached.** 

**The presence of quench phases can be taken as another indication that crystals readily nucleated and grew in our experiments. This, however, necessitates an examination of the effect of quench crystallization on the composition of experimental glasses. In all but the rapid quench experiments, glass major element compositions are affected by quench crystallization, but the magnitude of the effects depends on the T-H20 conditions. Quench crystallization is severe in the high**temperature ( $T \ge 930^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), H<sub>2</sub>O-rich charges, where glasses are enriched in SiO<sub>2</sub> and depleted in Na<sub>2</sub>O, FeO<sup>t</sup>, and MgO (MgO **is especially affected because it is often present in concentrations <1 wt % in the experimental glasses). Mass**  balance calculations for H<sub>2</sub>O-rich charges above 930°C **commonly have residuals >1 (Table 2). Charges having glass** 



Figure 1. T-f<sub>o2</sub> conditions of the Mount Pelée experiments. Oxygen buffer curves calculated for 2 kbar total pressure. Range of  $f_{02}$  for a given run indicated by error bars. See Table 2 for details.

**compositions severely modified because of quench crystallization are specified in Table 3, and the corresponding glass analyses are not considered further.** 

**Calculated mass proportions of run products show that, on average, plagioclase is the most abundant crystalline phase present, followed by low-Ca pyroxene and magnetite. Clinopyroxene, amphibole, and ilmenite rarely exceed 1-3 wt % (see charges D29/2, P1D/2, and P1D/35 in Table 2 for exceptions). For a given run, proportions of glass**  monotonously decrease with decreasing H<sub>2</sub>O content of the melt (melt H<sub>2</sub>O). Under comparable T-H<sub>2</sub>O melt conditions, **increasing pressure causes the proportions of glass to decrease. The proportions of low-Ca pyroxene and magnetite decrease**  and increase as  $f_{02}$  increases from NNO/NNO + 1 to NNO + **2/NNO + 3, respectively (Table 2).** 

**Capsules have Fe concentrations below detection (about 500 ppm under our analytical conditions). The Fe content of near-liquidus experimental glasses (P1D/32, Table 3) are identical within error to the starting composition (Table 1). In addition, the mass balance calculations (Table 2) always yield a loss/gain of Fe < 1% relative. This shows that Fe loss to the capsules was not significant in this study.** 

#### **3.2. Phase Relations**

The phase relations are represented in T-H<sub>2</sub>O melt diagrams **(Figure 2) constructed from the experimental data in Table 2. These diagrams can be considered as isobaric and isooxibaric,** 

despite small pressure and  $f_{\text{O}2}$  variations between experiments. **Initially, phase diagrams were constructed separately for each of the three samples investigated. However, the experimental results do not change significantly from one starting sample to another, and the resulting diagrams were almost identical. Therefore the phase diagrams presented here combine the experimental data obtained on the three starting samples. In most cases, mineral saturation curves are drawn directly from experimental results. Others are estimated from proportions of mineral phases and their variations with experimental conditions. No attempt was made to locate solidus curves.** 

The phase relations for moderately oxidizing  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  (NNO to **NNO + 1) at -2 kbar are shown on Figure 2a. Plagioclase and magnetite are the liquidus phases, followed by low-Ca pyroxene, these three phases crystallizing together within 20- 30øC of the liquidus. The relative order of crystallization between magnetite and plagioclase is unknown, since data**  above 950 $\degree$ C are lacking for this  $f_{O_2}$  range. However, judging **from their respective mass proportions, the plagioclase saturation curve is probably at a slightly higher temperature than the magnetite (Figures 2a and 3a). Plagioclase, low-Ca pyroxene, magnetite, and liquid coexist over a large T-H20**  range (875-950 $^{\circ}$ C and 5-7 wt % H<sub>2</sub>O in melt). Amphibole is stable under near  $CO_2$ -free conditions at 876 $\degree$ C (D29/2 and P1R/1, Table 2). Ca-rich pyroxene is present in H<sub>2</sub>O-rich **charges <\_ 900øC (D29/3, P1R/3, P1R/5, P1R/8, P1D/1, P1D/2, and P1D/5, Table 2). Because Ca-rich pyroxene occurs** 



Figure 2. T-H<sub>2</sub>O melt sections showing the experimentally determined phase equilibria for Mount Pelée andesites **at 2 kbar for (a) moderately oxidizing conditions and (b) highly oxidizing conditions. Experiments on different samples indicated by squares, D29; circles, P1R; triangles, P1D (see Table 1). Saturation curves indicated as dashed lines when estimated. L, melt; pl, plagioclase; opx, low-Ca pyroxene; cpx, high-Ca pyroxene; mr, magnetite; ilm, ilmenite; am, amphibole. No saturation curve is drawn for ilmenite because charges less than or equal to NNO are only available for 902øC (see Table 2).** 

**sporadically and in low amounts in run products, the saturation curve drawn for it is approximate. At 2 kbar, ilmenite was**  found only in the most reduced experiments (run  $X3$ ,  $\triangle NNO \sim$ **0, Table 2). No saturation curve is drawn on Figure 2a for ilmenite because experiments with less than or equal to NNO**  are available for 902°C only.

**The phase relations for strongly oxidizing conditions (NNO**   $+ 2$  to NNO  $+ 3$ ) at  $-2$  kbar are shown on Figure 2b. The

**diagram differs from Figure 2a in several aspects. Magnetite**  crystallizes as the liquidus phase at  $T > 1041^{\circ}$ C, followed by **plagioclase and low-Ca pyroxene, except in the most H20-rich part of Figure 2b, where low-Ca pyroxene is replaced by Ca**rich pyroxene and amphibole (930°C CO<sub>2</sub>-free charge P1R/12, Table 2). Ca-rich pyroxene is also present in a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -bearing charge at 950°C (P1D/21, Table 2). Amphibole is stable at 930°C under CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions.



Figure 3. Phase relations for Mount Pelée andesites under CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions: (a) moderately oxidizing **conditions and (b) highly oxidizing conditions. Saturation curves are indicated as dashed lines when estimated. pl, plagioclase; opx, low-Ca pyroxene; cpx, high-Ca pyroxene; mr, magnetite; ilm, ilmenite; am, amphibole.** 

**In Figure 2, mineral saturation curves show the expected slopes in T-H20 melt space, i.e., negative for the anhydrous phases and positive for amphibole [Eggler and Burnham, 1973; Merzbacher and Eggler, 1984; Rutherford et al., 1985; Rutherford and Devine, 1988]. It is stressed that amphibole**  crystallization is restricted to CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions both at 2 kbar for the two  $f_{O_2}$  ranges investigated and at higher pressures **for moderately oxidizing conditions (P1D/35, Table 2). Note**  also that amphibole is absent from the  $CO_2$ -bearing  $850^{\circ}$ C **experiment (D29/1, Table 2 and Figure 2b). Therefore the amphibole saturation curves must be relatively steep (i.e., with**  elevated  $dT/dH_2O$  melt).

The CO<sub>2</sub>-free phase relations are represented as functions of **pressure and temperature on Figure 3 for both series of**  $f_{02}$ **.** Thus melt H<sub>2</sub>O contents progressively increase with increasing **pressure on Figure 3. Melt H<sub>2</sub>O contents are 7.6 wt % at 3.1 kbar and 10.8 wt % at 4.2 kbar (Table 2). Increasing pressure does not change the stable phase assemblage. Ilmenite was**  found in the 3.1 kbar, 925°C CO<sub>2</sub>-free charge (P1D/34, Table **2) and also in one CO2-bearing charge at 4.2 kbar, 925øC (P1D/38, Table 2). However, liquidus assemblages vary with** 

pressure. Under moderately oxidizing  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  (Figure 3a) the **relative order of crystallization between plagioclase and low-Ca pyroxene remains unchanged with increasing pressure (Figure 3a). Saturation curves for plagioclase, low-Ca pyroxene, and magnetite all have negative slopes in P-T space, whereas the slope of the amphibole saturation curve is positive, in agreement with previous experimental studies [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, 1973; Rutherford et al., 1985]. Consequently, amphibole + magnetite replaces plagioclase + magnetite on the liquidus above 3.5 kbar. Under**  strongly oxidizing  $f_{O_2}$ , data at pressures higher than 2 kbar are **lacking. However, given the wide temperature interval separating the crystallization of magnetite from other phases (Figure 3b), amphibole is not expected to join magnetite on the CO2-free liquidus before pressures >> 3.5 kbar.** 

The effect of  $f_{02}$  on phase equilibria can be illustrated by comparing Figures 3a and 3b. Increasing  $f_{O_2}$  from NNO/NNO **+ 1 to NNO + 2/NNO + 3 leads to: (1) an important decrease**  in the saturation temperature of low-Ca pyroxene under CO<sub>2</sub>free conditions  $(-40^{\circ}C)$  if extrapolated to  $CO_2$ -free conditions), **concomittant with the appearance of Ca-rich pyroxene and**  **amphibole, and (2) an important expansion of the amphibole**  stability field toward higher temperatures (~60<sup>o</sup>C at 2 kbar). In **contrast, the plagioclase saturation temperature is little affected. The magnetite stability field is shown markedly enlarged towards higher temperatures on Figure 3b, although**  magnetite saturation temperatures at both  $f_{02}$  are defined only **on the basis of their proportions in the different charges.** 

#### **3.3. Phase Compositions**

**Phase compositions are given on Table 3. The data have**  been selected to cover all the investigated  $P-T-f_{\text{H}_2}$  conditions **and the different phase assemblages encountered. However, since the experimental data do not depend on the starting sample, compositions from any of the three samples are given.** 

Experimental plagioclases have compositions ranging<br>154-84Ab15-45Or0-2 ( $\pm 2\%$ ) and average FeO<sup>1</sup> **An54-84Ab 15-45Or0-2 (+2%) and average FeO t concentrations between 0.5 and 1.0 wt % (Table 3). They show systematic variations with the experimental parameters. All**  other parameters being equal, increasing temperature or H<sub>2</sub>O **content raises An in plagioclase coexisting with low-Ca pyroxene, magnetite, and melt. In contrast, increasing pressure causes a decrease of the plagioclase An content (C. Martel et al., manuscript in preparation, 1999). Plagioclase composition**  shows no dependence on  $f_{O_2}$ . The 2 kbar  $CO_2$ -free exchange **Kd Ca-Na for plagioclase, defined as (Ca/Na)pl/(Ca/Na)liq, is**  3.8 at 900°C and 4.8 at 950°C (calculated from the rapid **quench charges P1D/5 and P1D/22, Table 3), in good agreement with values for high-alumina basalts [Sisson and**  Grove, 1993a] under comparable  $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ -T conditions (4 at 925°C, 5.1 at 950°C, respectively).

**Low-Ca pyroxenes have compositions of En45-76Fs18-**  53Wo2-6 ( $\pm$ 2%). Their Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is <3 wt %, with average **values between 1.5 and 2 wt % (Table 3). The En contents of low-Ca pyroxenes in equilibrium with plagioclase, magnetite,**  and melt systematically vary with melt  $H_2O$  content,  $f_{O2}$ , T, and **P (Figure 4) (see also C. Martel et al., manuscript in preparation, 1999). All other parameters being equal,**  increasing temperature, melt  $H_2O$ , or  $f_{O_2}$  causes En in low-Ca **pyroxene to increase, whereas increasing P has the opposite effect. Low-Ca pyroxene-liquid Fe-Mg exchange Kd ranges from 0.27 to 0.26 under CO2-free conditions at 2 and 3 kbar (charges P1D/5 and P1D/33, Table 3, calculated with total Fe**  as Fe<sup>2+</sup>), in good agreement with other experimental data **[Grove et al., 1997].** 

**Ca-rich pyroxenes are augites with compositions of En38- 46Wo29-42Fs13-25 (Table 3). In only one charge (P1D/21), augite has a subcalcic composition (En33Wo24Fs43, Table 3).**  Augites have 0.17-0.44 wt % Na<sub>2</sub>O, 2.15-3.0 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 0.32-0.51 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub>, reaching 6.16 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 0.83 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub> in the subcalcic augite (Table 3). At equilibrium with plagioclase, orthopyroxene, magnetite, melt  $\pm$  amphibole, the **Wo content of Ca-rich pyroxenes decreases with decreasing**  melt H<sub>2</sub>O content, in agreement with other studies [Gaetani et **al., 1993]. Ca-rich pyroxenes become less Fs-rich with**  increasing  $f_{O_2}$ , the smallest Fs (and the highest Wo content, i.e., En45Wo42Fs13, Table 3) being for the 930°C, highly oxidizing, CO<sub>2</sub>-free charge P1R/12. The Fe-Mg exchange Kd **between Ca-rich pyroxene and liquid is 0.25 at 2 kbar CO<sub>2</sub>-free** (P1D/5, Table 3, calculated with total Fe as  $Fe<sub>2+</sub>$ ) close to 0.23 **as determined by Sisson and Grove [ 1993a].** 

Magnetites are titanomagnetites with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  up to  $\sim$ 14 wt % **in the less oxidizing experiments (Table 3). Using the projection scheme of Andersen et al. [1993], magnetites range** 

between Mt55-98Usp2-45  $(+2\%)$ . They have significant  $Al_2O_3$ **and MgO concentrations and detectable MnO (Table 3), similar to those from calc-alkaline volcanic and plutonic rocks [Gill, 1981]. Magnetite composition is very sensitive to**  variations in both  $f_{O_2}$  and melt  $H_2O$  content and less so to **variations in temperature. Compositions of magnetite in the moderately and highly oxidizing experiments are markedly different, i.e., less Ti-rich and more magnesian with increasing**   $f_{02}$  (Figure 5). Ilmenites contain MgO, MnO and  $Al_2O_3$  in **decreasing order of abundance; they range between Ilm87- 89Heml 1-13 (Table 3). Coexisting magnetites and ilmenites in run X3 (Tables 2 and 3) satisfy the equilibrium Mg/Mn partitioning criterion of Bacon and Hirschmann [ 1988].** 

**Experimental amphiboles are hornblendes with 0.3-0.7 cations per formula unit (pfu) in the A site and 1.0-1.7 A1 pfu in tetrahedral site (Table 3). Their compositions divide into three groups, each corresponding to the different experimental conditions of amphibole stablility (Figure 6). Amphiboles from**  the P1D/35 experiment (4.2 kbar,  $925^{\circ}$ C) have the highest  $Al<sup>IV</sup>$ **and number of cations in the A site. At -2 kbar, amphiboles**  with lower  $AI<sup>IV</sup>$  for a given number of cations in the  $\overline{A}$  site are obtained. Decreasing temperature from 930 to 876<sup>o</sup>C strongly **reduces the number of cations in the A site and, to a lesser**  extent, Al<sup>IV</sup>. Amphibole Fe/(Fe+Mg), calculated assuming all Fe as Fe<sup>2+</sup>, is strongly dependent on  $f_{O_2}$ . Amphiboles from the high  $f_{02}$  experiment P1R/12 (930°C, 2.3 kbar) have Fe/(Fe+Mg) of  $-0.25$ , markedly lower than for all the other **amphiboles crystallized either at the same pressure under less oxidizing conditions (0.35-0.40) or at 4.2 kbar (0.45-0.55, Figure 6).** 

**Glasses have compositions ranging from andesitic through dacitic to rhyolitic (Figure 7), depending on experimental conditions. They show systematic compositional variations with progressive crystallization (i.e., with either decreasing melt H20 content or temperature, or with increasing pressure,**  all the other parameters being equal):  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $K<sub>2</sub>O$  increase while  $Al_2O_3$ , CaO, FeO<sup>t</sup>, and MgO decrease. Na<sub>2</sub>O shows little variation. FeO\*/MgO increases with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure **7). However, the rate of increase of FeO\*/MgO, as defined by the rapid quench experiments, is moderate. The data points straddle the reference boundary between calk-alkaline and tholeiitic suites [Miyashiro, 1974], as do whole rock compositions for most volcanic centers from the Lesser Antilles arc [Smith and Roobol, 1990, p. 74]. In detail, the**  FeO\*/MgO data reflect differences in the experimental  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$ : **data from the moderately oxidizing and highly oxidizing experiments plot on/above and below, respectively, the**  reference line (Figure 7). The scatter of the 876°C data points **can be partly attributed to compositional modifications during quench.** 

#### **4. Discussion**

## **4.1. Phase Assemblages, Crystallization of Andesitic Magmas, and Liquid Line of Descent**

**The present work is in good agreement with previous phase equilibrium studies on andesites [Eggler, 1972; Egglet and Burnham, 1973; Maksimov et al., 1978; Ritchey and Eggler, 1978; Sekine et al., 1979; Baker and Eggler, 1987] and**  extends their conclusions toward higher  $f_{Q2}$ . By putting **together these different studies, a general model for the crystallization of silicic andesite magmas at low pressures can be proposed.** 



**Figure 4.** Composition of experimental low-Ca pyroxenes for different temperatures,  $f_{02}$ , and melt H<sub>2</sub>O at 2 kbar. Solid symbols, CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions; open symbols: CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing conditions. Data points (average values for a given charge) are labeled with  $f_{02}$  expressed as  $\triangle NNO$ . Numbers in parentheses for the 950°C data points represent the melt H<sub>2</sub>O content. Low-Ca pyroxenes become more En-rich when  $f_{02}$  is increased at a given temperature and melt H<sub>2</sub>O content and when melt H<sub>2</sub>O content is increased at a given temperature and  $f_{\alpha}$ . Analytical errors are 2%.

**1. Plagioclase, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, amphibole, and Fe-Ti oxides are the main phases stable in andesitic magmas at crustal pressures (<10 kbar). Olivine (not encountered in this study) can be present on the liquidus but quickly disappears by reaction as temperature is lowered [Eggler, 1972; Grove et al., 1997]. Therefore olivine is not a**  stable phase in relatively cool ( $\langle$ 950°C) and wet ( $H_2O$  melt  $> 5$ **wt %) silicic andesite magmas. Quartz saturation in andesite bulk** compositions requires temperatures below 850°C **according to our 2 kbar experimental results (Table 2) and**  most probably around 800°C [Scaillet and Evans, 1999].

2. In silicic andesite bulk compositions and for  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  between **FMQ and approximately NNO + 1.5, plagioclase is the liquidus phase at 1 atm total pressure [Eggler and Burnham,**  1973; Ritchey and Eggler, 1978; Sekine et al., 1979]. Upon

increasing pressure in this  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  range and for conditions close to **H20 saturation, plagioclase is either replaced or joined on the liquidus by either orthopyroxene [e.g., Eggler, 1972; \$ekine et al., 1979], clinopyroxene [Maksimov et al., 1978; Sekine et al., 1979], or magnetite (this study, Figure 3), depending on bulk**  composition and  $f_{O_2}$ . For  $f_{O_2}$  progressively increasing above **approximately NNO + 1.5, the replacement of plagioclase by magnetite on the liquidus is expected to occur at progressively**  lower pressures. At sufficiently high pressures (close to CO<sub>2</sub>**free conditions), amphibole becomes either the liquidus or a near liquidus phase for all compositions studied [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, 1973; Maksimov et al., 1978, this study, Figure 3].** 

**3. Modal data (this study) show that plagioclase is by far the most abundant crystalline phase at 2-3 kbar, being followed by** 



**Figure 5.** Composition of experimental magnetites for different temperatures and  $f_0$  at 2 kbar. Magnetite structural **formulae calculated using the procedure of Andersen et al. [ 1993]. Data points (average values for a given charge)**  include both CO<sub>2</sub>-free and -bearing charges and different phase assemblages. Analytical errors are 2%.

**orthopyroxene and magnetite in decreasing order of abundance. Even for the most oxidizing experiments, modal proportions of magnetite never exceed 5 wt % (Table 2), which places a limit on the extent of Fe depletion that can be achieved by magnetite fractionation. In this pressure range (presumably also at lower pressures and at higher pressures if**  the melt is too  $H_2O$ -poor for amphibole to crystallize),  $pl + opx$ **+ mt fractionation essentially controls liquid compositions in silicic andesite magmas. In this study, clinopyroxene, amphibole, and ilmenite were rarely found to be present in proportions higher than a few weight percent, and there is no indication that the major mineral and melt compositions are significantly affected by the presence of these minor phases (compare, for example, compositions of the D29/2 and P1D/1 charges, Table 3). Our data demonstrate that liquids at equilibrium with pl + opx + mt evolve continuously from andesitic to rhyolitic with progressive crystallization. At**  900°C, ~40% crystallization (in wt %) is required to generate a **liquid composition with about 70 wt % SiO<sub>2</sub> (anhydrous basis) from a silicic andesite magma (Tables 2 and 3). In contrast, at higher pressures (above 3.5 kbar in this study, Figure 3) and for H20-rich conditions, amphibole crystallizes in elevated proportions on the liquidus (Table 2), and, therefore, am + mt fractionation controls liquid compositions during crystallization. Fractionating assemblages in silicic andesite magmas might therefore strongly change with pressure,**  provided that melt H<sub>2</sub>O contents are high enough to stabilize **amphibole. Since it is likely that liquids at equilibrium with am + mt will differ from those at equilibrium with pl + opx + mt, it can be expected that pressure will also influence significantly the major and trace element compositions of residual liquids.** 

4. An isobaric reduction of the melt H<sub>2</sub>O content causes **saturation temperatures for plagioclase, the two pyroxenes, and Fe-Ti oxides to increase. For a reduction of the first 2 wt % H20 at 2 kbar, saturation temperatures increase by about 50øC (Figure 2), the same as in previous studies [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, 1973], and are relatively uniform whatever the phase considered. In contrast, amphibole saturation curves show some disagreement. According to Eggler and Burnham [1973], amphibole saturation is almost**  independent of the melt H<sub>2</sub>O content between 4.5 and 9.5 wt **% H20. Our results show a positive dependence of amphibole**  saturation temperature with melt H<sub>2</sub>O content, both at 2 and 4 kbar and for the two  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  ranges investigated. Such contrasted **results might be caused by different experimental procedures and conditions. Eggler and Burnham [1973] used a crystalbearing lava as starting material, and their melting experiments were of shorter durations than ours. They studied the**  dependence of amphibole stability with the melt H<sub>2</sub>O content **at 5 kbar and above, i.e., at higher pressures than in this study.**  amphibole stability is influenced by **compositional factors: the two andesite compositions used by Egglet [1972] and Egglet and Burnham [1973] are more**  Na<sub>2</sub>O- and MgO-rich than those from this study.

# **4.2. Fe-Ti Oxides, Amphibole, and Pyroxene**  in Andesitic Magmas: The Role of  $f_{02}$

**Earlier studies on silicic andesite bulk compositions were**  all carried out under relatively reducing conditions (FMQ  $\leq f_{02}$ ) **< NNO). Results from these studies are typically characterized by (1) the common presence of two Fe-Ti oxide phases (ilmenite and magnetite) and (2) the late appearance of Fe-Ti** 



**Figure 6.** Compositions of experimental amphiboles (individual analyses). (a) Tetrahedral aluminium (Al<sup>IV</sup>) versus number of cations in the A site; (b)  $Al^{IV}$  versus Fe / (Fe + Mg), with total Fe as FeO. Amphibole compositions from the Mount St. Helens experiments at 2.0-2.2 kbar, 875-922°C, and  $f_{02}$  between GCH (graphite + **methane) and NNO+I.2 taken from Rutherford et al. [1985] and Rutherford and Devine [1988]. Amphibole structural formulae calculated on a 23 O basis using the procedure of Richard and Clarke [ 1990]. Analytical errors are 2%.** 

**oxides in the crystallization sequence [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, 1973]. Data from those studies, in particular concerning the stability of Fe-Ti oxides, have been influential in the development of objections against fractional crystallization for the origin of andesires [e.g., Eggler, 1972; Eggler and Burnham, 1973]. Subsequently, itwas pointed out by several authors that additional experiments above FMQ could well lead to magnetite crystallization closer to the**  liquidus [e.g., Arculus and Wills, 1980; Gill, 1981]. Sekine et **al. [1979] found no magnetite in experiments performed at NNO on andesites but estimated that**  $f_{02}$  **conditions about 1 log unit above the NNO buffer might have been sufficient to cause precipitation of titanomagnetite on the liquidus.** 

The influence of  $f_{02}$  has been systematically studied **between approximately NNO and NNO + 3 in this study and found to be more important than previously considered.**  Changes in  $f_{O_2}$  affect not only the stability of Fe-Ti oxides **[Rutherford et al., 1985' Rutherford and Devine, 1988] but also that of amphibole and pyroxenes. Variations in compositions of ferromagnesian phases are correlated with the**  evolution of their respective stability fields with changing  $f_{\text{O}_2}$ .

**4.2.1. Fe-Ti oxides.** At ~2 kbar and 900°C, both ilmenite and magnetite crystallized when  $f_{O_2}$  was  $\leq$  NNO, whereas **magnetite is the sole Fe-Ti oxide phase from**  $\triangle NNO = +0.4$  **to + 0.6. Ilmenite also coexists with magnetite in some charges at 3.1 and 4.2 kbar under conditions slightly more oxidizing than** 



Figure 7. Plot of FeO\*/MgO versus SiO<sub>2</sub> for the 2 and 3 kbar experimental glasses. Data (average values for a **given charge) are from Tables 1 and 3 and Martel [1996]. See Table 2 for experimental conditions. Data points from the rapid-quench experiments labeled with asterisks. The reference boundary between calk-alkaline and tholeiitic suites is from Miyashiro [ 1974]. Analytical errors are 2%.** 

NNO (Table 2). This suggests that at ~900°C, the coexistence of magnetite and ilmenite in andesitic magmas requires  $f_{O_2} \leq$ **approximately NNO, consistent with the data of Eggler and**  Burnham [1973]. Above  $\Delta NNO = +0.4$ , the thermal stability of **magnetite is strongly fo2-dependent. At 2 kbar, magnetite and plagioclase are the liquidus phases between NNO and NNO +**  1, and magnetite alone is on the liquidus in the range NNO + 2 Burnham, 1973, Maksimov et al., 1978]. The possibility that  $f_{02}$  also has some influence on amphibole stability has been to NNO + 3, crystallizing from temperatures above  $1040^{\circ}C$   $J_{02}$  also has some influence on amphibole stability has been<br>(Figure 3) This stresses the sensitivity of magnetite stability on investigated more recently. (Figure 3). This stresses the sensitivity of magnetite stability on  $f_{02}$  conditions above NNO and demonstrates that for  $f_{02}$ **conditions typical of calk-alkaline magmatism (-NNO + 1 [Gill, 1981]), magnetite will be either a liquidus or a nearliquidus phase for silicic andesite compositions. However, the 1 atm experimental data [Eggler and Burnham, 1973; Ritchey**  and Eggler, 1978; Sekine et al., 1979] show that even under **strongly oxidizing conditions (ANNO - 3), plagioclase**  assumes the liquidus for either H<sub>2</sub>O-poor or dry conditions. **Therefore, for magnetite to be a liquidus/near-liquidus phase in**  silicic andesite magmas, both relatively high  $f_{O_2}$  and  $H_2O$ -rich **conditions are needed.** 

**Compositions of magnetite show important variations with fo2 and temperature (Figure 5). Magnetites crystallizing in the moderately oxidizing experiments have lower**   $(Mg*100)/(Mg+Fe<sup>2+</sup>)$  and higher Ti than those from the highly

**oxidizing experiments. Therefore magnetite composition alone**  is a sensitive indicator of  $f_{02}$  in andesite magmas.

**4.2.2. Amphibole. Previous studies have recognized the strong influence of pressure and H20 melt on amphibole stability in andesitic magmas [Eggler, 1972; Eggler and extended the experiments of Rutherford et al. [1985] on the**  Mount St. Helens dacites toward higher  $f_{O_2}$ , between the NNO **and MNO buffers. The thermal stability of amphibole was not significantly dependent on fo2 between FMQ and**  approximately NNO + 1.5. Amphiboles in this  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$  range were **found to have compositions very close to each other (Figure 6). Above NNO + 1.5, Rutherford and Devine [1988] obtained more magnesian amphiboles (Fe/(Fe+Mg) = 0.15), but their maximum thermal stability was not determined systematically.**  Our study shows that increasing  $f_{02}$  above NNO + 1 promotes amphibole stability. At 2 kbar under CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions the maximum thermal stability of amphibole increases by 60°C when  $f_{02}$  is raised from NNO/NNO + 1 to NNO + 2/NNO + 3 **(Figure 3). This effect is accompanied by marked changes in amphibole composition. Amphiboles stable under wet,**  **conditions.**  4.2.3. Pyroxenes. Except for experiments at near CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions, increasing  $f_{O_2}$  from NNO/NNO + 1 to NNO + **2/NNO + 3 does not significantly affect low-Ca pyroxene stability (Figure 2). However, differences exist between studies. In the Paricutin andesite, low-Ca pyroxene is the**  liquidus phase for melt H<sub>2</sub>O contents ranging from saturation to  $a_{H_2O} \sim 0.2$  at 5 kbar [*Eggler*, 1972]. In the Mount Hood **andesite, low-Ca pyroxene is a near-liquidus phase, being**  second in the sequence after plagioclase [*Eggler and* **Burnham, 1973]. In contrast, low-Ca pyroxene in this study appears relatively late. It follows plagioclase and magnetite at 2 kbar in both series of experiments and amphibole, magnetite, and plagioclase at 4 kbar for moderately oxidizing conditions. The contrasted behavior of low-Ca pyroxene between these studies probably reflects differences in bulk composition. There is a negative correlation between low-Ca pyroxene saturation temperature and the FeO\*/MgO of the starting**  andesite. Compositions from Mount Pelée have FeO\*/MgO of **2.7-2.8 (Table 1), significantly higher than the Mount Hood andesite (2.1 [EggIer and Burnham, 1973]) and nearly twice the value for the Paricutin andesite (1.4 [Egglet, 1972]). Low-Ca pyroxene stability is enhanced in magnesian andesites probably because En-rich compositions are stabilized.** 

At near  $CO_2$ -free conditions,  $f_{O_2}$  strongly affects the stability of low-Ca pyroxene (Figure 2). Increasing  $f_{O_2}$  from NNO/NNO **+ 1 to NNO + 2/NNO + 3 decreases the low-Ca pyroxene**  saturation temperature by  $\sim 40^{\circ}$ C (if extrapolated to  $CO_2$ -free **conditions). The ferromagnesian silicate phase assemblage in**  the CO<sub>2</sub>-free 930°C highly oxidizing charge P1R/12 consists **of high-Ca pyroxene + amphibole instead of low-Ca pyroxene, suggesting a reaction relation between low-Ca pyroxene and high-Ca pyroxene + amphibole. Similar reaction relationships between low-Ca pyroxene and amphibole have been found previously in intermediate to silicic compositions [Naney, 1983; ScaiIIet and Evans, 1999]. Resorption of orthopyroxene and crystallization of amphibole is usually attributed to an**  increase of melt H<sub>2</sub>O content during crystallization [Naney, 1983]. Our data show that under near CO<sub>2</sub>-free conditions the reaction is promoted by an increase of  $f_{O<sub>2</sub>}$ . This effect could result from the preferential stabilization of Fe<sup>3+</sup>-bearing assemblages (i.e., am + cpx) upon increasing  $f<sub>0</sub>$ , and melt  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ .

**By comparison to low-Ca pyroxene, the high-Ca pyroxene saturation temperature slightly shifts toward higher**  temperatures (~20-40°C) under highly oxidizing conditions. **Note, however, that high-Ca pyroxene saturation curves in this study are approximate because augires are present sporadically in the run products. Nevertheless, high-Ca pyroxenes from the**  CO<sub>2</sub>-free highly oxidizing 930°C charge (P1R/12, Table 2) **have compositions differing from those crystallizing under moderately oxidizing conditions, the compositional changes (i.e., higher En and Wo) being consistent with their enhanced thermal stability. The only experimental study involving andesites that documented the occurrence of high-Ca pyroxene**  on the liquidus above 3 kbar CO<sub>2</sub>-free is that of *Maksimov et* 

**aI. [1978], performed on an andesire having 7.6 wt % CaO (6.1-6.2 in this study, 6.3 for the Paricutin andesite), suggesting that elevated CaO contents favor crystallization of high-Ca rather than low-Ca pyroxene for equivalent MgO contents.** 

# **5. Concluding Remarks**

**The present work extends the experimental database for silicic andesite magmas toward higher**  $f_{02}$  **(greater than NNO), compatible with conditions typical of calk-alkaline** with conditions typical of calk-alkaline **magmatism. The main result is the demonstration of an**  important influence of  $f_{O_2}$  on phase assemblages and **compositions crystallizing from silicic andesites below 5 kbar. In particular, magnetite is a liquidus or a near-liquidus phase in**  hydrous silicic andesite magmas for  $f_{O_2}$  conditions more **oxidizing than NNO + 1. Magnetite compositions (Ti and Mg**  #) are strongly dependent on  $f_{O_2}$  above NNO and constitute a sensitive indicator of  $f_{02}$  in andesite magmas. Magnetite **fractionation exerts control of the FeO\*/MgO of the residual**  liquids. At ~900°C the coexistence of magnetite and ilmenite in silicic andesite magmas requires  $f_{O_2} \leq NNO$ . Redox **conditions also play an important role on the stability of amphibole and low-Ca and high-Ca pyroxenes, in addition to**  magma composition. Compositions of **ferromagnesian phases are systematically correlated with**  changing  $f_{O_2}$  conditions. The demonstration that magnetite can be a liquidus phase for  $f_{O_2} \geq NNO + 1$  should stimulate **reexamination for the mechanisms of generation of andesites by fractionation from hydrous and oxidized basaltic parents.** 

**Acknowledgments. This paper forms part of the Ph.D. thesis of C. Martel and has benefited from discussions with Malcolm Rutherford. We acknowledge John Eichelberger, Jim Luhr, and James Gardner for reviews. This study was supported by PNRN.** 

### **References**

- Albarède, F., Introduction to Geochemical Modelling, Cambridge **Univ. Press, New York, 1995.**
- **Andersen, D.J., D. H. Lindsley, and P.M. Davidson, QUILF: A Pascal program to assess equilibria among Fe-Mg Ti oxides, pyroxenes, olivine, and quartz, Comput. Geosci., 19, 1333-1350, 1993.**
- **Arculus, R. J., and K. J. A. Wills, The petrology of plutonic blocks and inclusions from the Lesser Antilles, J. Petrol., 21, 743-799, 1980.**
- **Bacon, C. R., and M. M. Hirschmann, Mg/Mn partitioning as a test for equilibrium between coexisting Fe-Ti oxides, Am. Mineral., 73, 57-61, 1988.**
- **Baker, D. R., and D. H. Eggler, Compositions of anhydrous and hydrous melts coexisting with plagioclase, augite, and olivine or low-Ca pyroxene from 1 atm to 8 kbar: Applications to the Aleutian volcanic center of Atka, Am. Mineral., 72, 12-28, 1987.**
- **Behrens, H., C. Romano, M. Nowak, F. Holtz, and D. B. Dingwell, Near-infrared determination of water species in glasses of the**  system  $MAISi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  ( $M = Li$ , Na, K): an interlaboratory study, *Chem.* **Geol., 128, 41-63, 1996.**
- **Burnham, C. W., The importance of volatile constituents, in The Evolution of Igneous Rocks, edited by H.S. Yoder, pp. 439-482, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1979.**
- **Chou, I. M., Oxygen buffer and hydrogen sensor technique at elevated pressures and temperature, in Hydrothermal Experimental Techniques, edited by H. L. Barnes and G. C. Ulmer, pp. 61-99, John Wiley, New York, 1987.**
- **Defant, M. J., and M. S. Drummond, Derivation of some modern arc magmas by melting of young subducted lithosphere, Nature, 347, 662-665, 1990.**
- **Devine, J. D., J. E. Gardner, H. P. Brack, G. D. Layne, and M. J. Rutherford, Comparison of microanalytical methods for estimating H20 contents of silicic volcanic glasses, Am. Mineral., 80, 319- 328, 1995.**
- **Egglet, D. H., Water-saturated and undersaturated melting relations in a Paricutin andesite and an estimate of water content in the natural magma, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 34, 261-271, 1972.**
- **Eggler, D. H., and C. W. Burnham, Crystallization and fractionation**  trends in the system andesite-H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> at pressures to 10 kb, **Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 84, 2517-2532, 1973.**
- **Eichelberger, J.C., Origin of andesite and dacite: evidence of mixing at Glass Mountain in California and at other Circum-Pacific volcanoes, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 86, 1381-1391, 1975.**
- **Fenn, P.M., The nucleation and growth of alkali feldspars from hydrous melts, Can. Mineral., 15, 135-161, 1977.**
- **Fichaut, M., R. C. Maury, H. Traineau, D. Westercamp, J.-L. Joron, A. Gourgaud, and C. Coulon, Magmatology of Mount Pe16e (Martinique, F.W.I), III, Fractional crystallisation versus magma mixing, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 38, 189-213, 1989.**
- **Gaetani, G. A., T. L. Grove, and W. B. Bryan, The influence of water on the petrogenesis of subduction-related igneous rocks, Nature, 365, 332-334, 1993.**
- **Ghiorso, M. S., and R. O. Sack, Fe-Ti oxide geothermometry: thermodynamic formulation and estimation of intensive variables in silicic magmas, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 108, 485-510, 1991.**
- **Gill, J. B., Orogenic Andesires and Plate Tectonics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.**
- **Green, D. H., Experimental testing of "equilibrium" partial melting of peridotite under water-saturated, high pressure conditions, Can. Mineral., 14, 255-268, 1976.**
- **Green, T. H., and A. E. Ringwood, Genesis of the calc-alkaline igneous rock suite, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 18, 105-162, 1968.**
- **Grove, T. L., J. M. Donnelly-Nolan, and T. Housh, Magmatic processes that generated the rhyolite of Glass Mountain, Medecine Lake volcano, N. California, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 127, 205- 223, 1997.**
- Helz, R. T., Phase relations of basalts in their melting range at  $P_{H2O} =$ **5 kb as a function of oxygen fugacity, I, Mafic phases, J. Petrol., 14, 249-302, 1973.**
- Helz, R. T., Phase relations of basalts in their melting range at  $P_{H2O}$  = **5 kb as a function of oxygen fugacity, II, Melt composition, J. Petrol., 17, 139-193, 1976.**
- Holtz, F., M. Pichavant, P. Barbey, and W. Johannes, Effects of H<sub>2</sub>O **on liquidus phase relations in the haplogranite system at 2 and 5 kbar, Am. Mineral., 77, 1223-1241, 1992.**
- **Kay, R. W., Aleutian magnesian andesites: melts from subducted Pacific Ocean crust, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 4, 117-132, 1978.**
- **Kushiro, I., N. Shimizu, Y. Nakamura, and S. Akimoto, Compositions of co-existing liquids and solid phases formed upon melting of natural garnet and spinel lherzolites at high pressures: A preliminary report, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 14, 19-25, 1972.**
- **Maksimov, A. P., A. A. Kadik, E. Y. Korovushkina, and B. V. Ivanov, Crystallization of an andesite melt with a fixed water content at pressures up to 12 kbar, Geochem. Int., 15, 20-29, 1978.**
- Martel, C., Conditions pré-éruptive et dégazage des magmas **and6sitique de la Montagne Pe16e (Martinique): Etude p6trologique et exp6rimentale, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Orl6ans, Orl6ans, France, 1996.**
- **Merzbacher, C., and D. H. Eggler, A magmatic geohygrometer: Application to Mount St. Helens and other dacitic magmas, Geology, 12, 587-590, 1984.**
- **Miyashiro, A., Volcanic rock series in island arcs and active continental margins, Am. J. Sci., 283, 993-1033, 1974.**
- **Mysen, B. O., I. Kushiro, I. A. Nicholls, and A. E. Ringwood, A possible mantle origin for andesitic magmas: Discussion of a paper by Nicholls and Ringwood, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 21, 221-229, 1974.**
- **Naney, M.T., Phase equilibria of rock-forming ferromagnesian silicates in granitic systems, Am. J. Sci., 283,993-1033, 1983.**
- **Nicholls, I. A., and A. E. Ringwood, Effect of water on olivine stability in tholeiites and the production of silica saturated magmas in the island arc environment, J. Geol., 81,285-300, 1973.**
- **Pichavant, M., The effects of boron and water on liquidus phase relations in the haplogranite system at 1 kbar, Am. Mineral., 72, 1056-1070, 1987.**
- **Richard, L.R., and Clarke D.B., Amphibol: A program for calculating structural formulae and for classifying and plotting chemical analyses of amphiboles, Am. Mineral., 75,421-423, 1990.**
- **Ritchey, J. L., and D. H. Eggler, Amphibole stability in a differentiated calc-alkaline magma chamber: An experimental investigation, Year Book Carnegie Inst. Washington 1977-1978, 790-793, 1978.**
- **Roux, J., and A. Lefevre, A fast quench device for IHPV, Eur. J. Mineral., 4, 279-281, 1992.**
- Roux, J., F. Holtz, A. Lefèvre, and F. Schulze, A reliable high **temperature setup for internally heated pressure vessel: Applications to silicate melt studies, Am. Mineral., 79, 1145-1149, 1994.**
- **Rutherford, M.J., and J. D. Devine, The May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens, 3, Stability and chemistry of amphibole in the magma chamber, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 11,949-11,959, 1988.**
- **Rutherford, M.J., H. Sigurdsson, S. Carey, and A. Davis, The May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens, 1, Melt composition and experimental phase equilibria, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 2929-2947, 1985.**
- **Scaillet, B., and B. W. Evans, The June 15, 1991 eruption of Mount**  Pinatubo, I, Phase equilibria and pre-eruption P-T-f<sub>o2-fH2O</sub> **conditions of the dacite magma, J. Petrol., 40, 381-411, 1999.**
- Scaillet, B., M. Pichavant, J. Roux, G. Humbert, and A. Lefèvre, **Improvements of the Shaw membrane technique for measurement**  and control of  $f_{H2}$  at high temperatures and pressure, Am. Mineral., **77, 647-655, 1992.**
- **Scaillet, B., M. Pichavant, and J. Roux, Experimental crystallization of leucogranite magmas, J. Petrol., 36, 663-705, 1995.**
- **Sekine, T., T. Katsura, and S. Aramaki, Water saturated phase relations of some andesites with application to the estimation of the initial temperature and water pressure at the time of eruption, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 43, 1367-1376, 1979.**
- **Sisson, T. W., and T. L. Grove, Experimental investigations of the role of H20 in calc-alkaline differentiation and subduction zone magmatism, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 113, 143-166, 1993a.**
- Sisson, T. W., and T. L. Grove, Temperatures and H<sub>2</sub>O contents of **low-MgO high alumina basalts, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 113, 167-184, 1993b.**
- **Smith, A. L., and M. J. Roobol, Mount Pe16e, Martinique, A study of an active island-arc volcano, Mem. Geol. Soc. Am., 175, 1990.**
- **Stern, R. J., On the origin of andesite in the northern Mariana island arc: Implications from Agrigan, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 68, 207-219, 1979.**
- **Taylor, J. R., V. J. Wall, and M. I. Pownceby, The calibration and application of accurate redox sensors, Am. Mineral., 77, 284-295, 1992.**
- **Yogodzinski, G. M., O. N. Volynets, A. V. Koloskov, N. I. Seliverstov, and V. V. Matvenkov, Magnesian andesites and the subduction component in a strongly calc-alkaline series at Piip volcano, far western Aleutian, J. Petrol., 35, 163-204, 1994.**

**J.-L. Bourdier, D6partement des Sciences de la Terre, Universit6 d'Or16ans, BP 6759, F-45067 Orl6ans cedex, France. (jeanlouis.bourdier @ univ-orleans.fr)** 

**F. Holtz, M. Pichavant, and B. Scaillet, Centre de Recherches sur**  la Synthèse et chimie des Minéraux, CNRS, 1A rue de la Férollerie, F-**45071 Orl6ans, France. (caroline.martel @uni-bayreuth.de)** 

C. Martel, Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, D-95440 **Bayreuth, Germany. (caroline.martel@uni-bayreuth.de)** 

**H. Traineau, BRGM, Service G6ologique National, F-45060 Orl6ans cedex, France.** 

**(Received July 15, 1998; revised May 17, 1999; accepted May 27, 1999.)**