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Abstract

Zircons from two samples of the Sugetbrak basalts (SB) yield weighted mean ages of 615.2±4.8 Ma and 614.4±9.1 Ma. These ages, interpreted as the eruption age of the SB, provide an age constraint on the timing of the Sugetbrak Formation in Sugetbrak, northwestern Tarim Block, China. These two ages suggest that the igneous activities related to the breakup of the Neoproterozoic supercontinent Rodinia lasted until 614-615 Ma in at least the northwest Tarim Block. Geochemical analysis indicates that the SB was generated in an intra-continental rifting environment. Application of the dynamic melting inversion method suggests that the Sugetbrak basalts represent 7-12% partial melts. Unlike large-volume tholeiites, these low-degree transitional basaltic melts may represent the waning stage of plume volcanism during a long-lasting continental breakup. Based on the ages of the SB and its stratigraphic relationship with the Yuermeinak diamictite, the Yuermeinak glaciation in the Sugetbrak section of the northwest Tarim Block should correlate with the Tereeken glaciation in the Qurugtagh area of the northeast Tarim Block, the Nantuo glaciation in Yangtze Block, and the Elatinaglaciation in South Australia.
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1. Introduction

Magmatic rocks associated with the Rodiniabreakup may record critical information on the timing and processes of continental breakup, as they can be precisely dated, and provide clues regarding crustal thickness and mantle sources (Kullerud et al., 2006). The Neoproterozoic breakup of the Rodinia supercontinent has attracted much attention recently.
This event, developed in Australia, East Antarctica, Yangtze, and the Tarim Block, is divided into four stages in the Tarim Block: 820-800 Ma, 780-760 Ma, 740-735 Ma and 650-635 Ma (Li et al., 1996; 2001; 2003; Li et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). The ages of these magmatic events have been employed to constrain the division of the Neoproterozoic ages (Ross and Villeneuve, 1997; Hoffman and Schrag, 2002; Hoffmann et al., 2004; 2006; Zhou et al., 2004; Condon et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2009; Macdonald et al., 2010).

The Tarim Block has Neoproterozoic magmatic records similar to those of the Yangtze Block, and may have been located on the periphery of the proposed Rodinian superplume (Li Z.X. et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2005, 2009; Zhang et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2008; Shu et al., 2011). Four stages of Neoproterozoic magmatic events have been reported in the Tarim Block. The first two (820-800 Ma and 780-760 Ma) stages, represented by ultramafic-mafic complexes, adakitic granites, and mafic dykes, are interpreted to be a result of the partial melting of thickened lower crust triggered by underplating of a Rodinian superplume (Long et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2009; 2011a; 2011b). The third (740-735 Ma) stage, consisting of bimodal volcanic rocks and the fourth (650-635 Ma) stage, composed of mafic dykes, potassic granitoids, peraluminous granite and volcanic rocks, are attributed to rifting in the Tarim Block (Xu et al., 2005; 2009; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011). However, the presence of 615±15 Ma volcanic rocks in the Mochia-Khutuk area of the northeast Tarim Block (Xu et al., 2009), and 588-619 Ma detrital zircons in the Aksu area of the northwest Tarim Block (Zhu et al.,...
may indicate that there was a magmatic event even younger than the fourth event. This is useful for investigating the waning stage of the Rodinia superplume breakup in the Tarim Block.

In this paper we report new ages of basalts from the Neoproterozoic Sugetbrak Formation in the Sugetbrak section of the Aksu area, NW Tarim Block, and discuss their tectonic implications for the glaciation correlation and intra-continental rifting in the Late Neoproterozoic.

2. Geological setting

2.1. Neoproterozoic strata in the Aksu area of the northwest Tarim Block

Neoproterozoic strata occur in the Aksu area of northwest Tarim, the Quruqtagh area of northeast Tarim, and the Yecheng area of southwest Tarim. Strata containing volcanics have been found from the Aksu area and the Quruqtagh area (Gao and Zhu, 1984; Xu et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2010a, Fig. 1B).

In the Aksu area, the Neoproterozoic strata crops out in southwest Aksu, Wushi and Sugetbrak (Fig. 1C). In the sections of southwest Aksu and Wushi, the strata include the Aksu Group and the overlying Sugetbrak and Chigebrak Formations. The Aksu Group is composed of pelitic, psammitic, and mafic schists. Mafic schists are characterized by greenschists and blueschists that are considered to be some of the oldest high-pressure metamorphic rocks (Liou et al., 1989, 1990; 1996; Nakajima et al., 1990; Zhang, et al., 1999). A tectonic evolution model for the Aksu blueschist has been proposed (Zhang, et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2011). There is a clear angular unconformity between the Aksu Group and the overlying Sugetbrak Formation in southwest Aksu and Wushi (Gao, et al., 1985; Turner, 2010; Zhu, et al., 2011). The 400-450 m thick Sugetbrak
Formation is composed of red conglomerates, red fluvial sandstones and grey lacustrine mudstones with three horizons of basalts. The Chigebrak Formation is characterized by thick dolostone and is overlain unconformably by the Cambrian Yuertus Formation (Gao et al., 1986; Turner, 2010; Zhu et al., 2011).

In the Sugetbrak section, the Neoproterozoic shows a different succession composed of, from bottom to top, the Qiaoenbrak, Yuermeinak, Sugetbrak and Chigebrak Formations (Fig. 2A, 2B). The Qiaoenbrak Formation is composed of epimetamorphic sandstone and siltstone flysch, with a thickness of 1966-2094 m (Gao, et al., 1986). The glacial origin of this formation is debated. For example, Gao et al. (1993) suggested that it is part of a turbidite sequence. It is unconformably overlain by the Yuermeinak Formation and is considered to be marine glacier deposits due to the presence of several interbedded diamictites (Gao, et al., 1986). The Yuermeinak Formation occurs only in the Sugetbrak area, and is characterized by diamictites up to 61 m thick, which are interpreted to be continental glacier deposits (Gao, et al., 1986). The Sugetbrak Formation can be divided into the Lower and Upper members in Sugetbrak (Fig. 2B, 2C; Gao, et al., 1986; Zhan, et al., 2007). The Lower Sugetbrak Formation (108-461 m) is composed of thin-layered red sandstone, quartz sandstone, siltstone and mudstone with a discontinuous horizon of 5-10 m thick basalt in its lower part, followed by 79 m thick volcanic rock above (Fig. 2C). The Upper Sugetbrak Formation conformably overlies the Lower member and is conformably overlain by the Chigebrak Formation. The Upper Sugetbrak Formation comprises 82 m thick red and grey thin-layered sandstone and mudstones with interbedded limestones (Fig. 2B, Gao, et al., 1986).

Fig. 1.(A): Tectonic location of Tarim Block in China; (B): Geological map of Tarim Block, West
China; (C): Geological map of the Aksu area

Fig. 2. (A): Geological map of the Sugetbrak section and sample positions; (B): the Neoproterozoic stratigraphic column in Sugetbrak; (C): photos of the Neoproterozoic strata in Sugetbrak

2.2. Sugetbrak basalt (SB) and samples

The Sugetbrak basalt (SB) occurs in southwest Aksu, Wushi and Sugetbrak in the Aksu area (Fig. 1C) of the northwest Tarim block. There are between 2 and 4 horizons of this basalt in the southwest Aksu section, with thicknesses of 15-30 m (Wang, et al., 2010a; Zhu, et al., 2011). Two 4-5 m thick horizons of the SB are also reported in the Wushi section 45 km to the northwest (Turner, 2010). In the Sugetbrak section, the SB is much thicker and has repeated occurrences caused by an E-W extending syncline. On the north limb of the syncline, a 79 m thick SB occurrence crops out at the top of the Lower member of the Sugetbrak Fm. (Fig. 2B, 2C) and shows conformity relationships with the underlying sandstone (Fig. 3A), where 7 samples of A group (05822, Y1, Y2, Y5, Y6, Y7 and Y8; Fig. 2A) were collected. A discontinuous horizon of 5-10 m thick columnar-jointed basalt (Fig. 3B) occurs in the middle of the Lower member of the Sugetbrak Fm. (Fig. 2B, 2C), showing a conformity relationship with underlying sandstones. On the south limb of the syncline, a continuous horizon of the SB, characterized by pillow lava, (Fig. 3D) extends for several kilometers (Fig. 3C), and shows a conformity relationship with overlying red sandstones (Fig. 3E). Here, 9 samples of B group (05823, 830S2, 831S51, 83156, 83158, 83160, 83161, 83163 and 831S81) were collected (Fig. 2A). These columnar jointed basalts and pillow lava in the SB, combined with sedimentary structures, such as large herringbone (Fig. 3A) and ripple bedding (Fig. 3F) in the red sandstones, suggest a very shallow continental sedimentary environment during the period of SB magmatism. About 4 km southwest of Sugetbrak, two basaltic
flows have been reported (Zhang, et al., 2012).

Fig. 3. Photographs of the SB and sedimentary rocks of the Sugetbrak Formation.

2.3. Previous geochronology for the SB

Seventeen zircons from the SB from southwest Aksu have been dated by the LA-ICP-MS method and yielded three ages of 1926±27 Ma, 1432±22 Ma and 807±21 Ma. These ages have been interpreted as the ages of Mesoproterozoic metamorphic zircons and Late Neoproterozoic inherited magmatic zircons (Wang et al., 2010a). The youngest age in these inherited zircons is 755 Ma, suggesting that the SB is younger than 755 Ma (Wang, et al., 2010a). Recently, Zhang et al. (2012) reported a LA-ICP-MS U-Pb age of 783.7±2.3 Ma from the SB, about 4 km southwest of Sugetbrak, and interpreted this age as the crystallization age of the SB.

3. Analytical procedures

Two samples from the SB, 05822 and 05823, were analyzed by the SHRIMP U-Pb method for age dating. In addition, these two samples and the 14 other SB samples were analyzed to determine their major and trace elements compositions.

Zircons for SHRIMP analyses were separated from samples 05822 and 05823 in the SB according to magnetic properties and density, and purified by hand picking. The zircons, together with several grains of TEMORA, were cast in an epoxy mount and polished down to half section. Cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging was used to guide the SHRIMP analyses. The CL study was undertaken on a FEI-XL30SFEG electron microscope at the Department of Electronics, Peking University.
The SHRIMP U-Pb analyses were performed on the Beijing SHRIMP II at the Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Ministry of Land and Resource of Peoples’ Republic of China. The analytical procedures followed the methodology of Williams et al (1987) and Compston et al (1992). For the zircon analyses, nine ion species of ZrO\(^+\), \(^{204}\)Pb\(^+\), \(^{207}\)Pb\(^+\), \(^{206}\)Pb\(^+\), \(^{208}\)Pb\(^+\), U\(^+\), Th\(^+\), ThO\(^+\), UO\(^+\) and background were measured on a single electron multiplier by cyclic stepping of the magnetic field, recording the mean ion counts of every 7 scans. A primary ion beam of c. 4.5 nA, 10 kV O\(^2\)- and c. 20-25\(\mu\)m spot diameter was used. Masses were analyzed at a mass resolution of c. 5000 (1% peak height). Interelement fractionation in the ion emission of zircon was corrected relative to the ANU RSES references, using the TEMORA (417 Ma, \(^{206}\)Pb/\(^{238}\)U=0.06683). Errors on individual analysis are at the 1σ level based on counting statistics. The reproducibility of TEMORA was repeatedly measured at c. 3%. The software of Ludwig SQUID1.0 and attached ISOPLOT were used for data processing (Ludwig, 1999; 2001). The ages were recalculated using the decay constants recommended by IUGS (1977). The weighted mean ages were quoted at a 95% confidence level. The initial lead component was corrected using measured \(^{204}\)Pb.

Major element oxides were determined by x-ray fluorescence using glass disks at the Laboratory of Orogenic Belts and Crustal Evolution, Ministry of Education, Peking University. Analytical precision as determined on duplicate analyses was generally around 1–5%. For trace element analyses, about 50 mg sample powders were dissolved using a HF+HNO\(_3\) mixture in a Teflon bomb at ~190 °C for 48 h. Trace elements were analyzed using a Finnigan Element III CP-MS at the Center of Modern Analysis, Nanjing University. Analytical precision for trace elements was better than 5%. Detailed analytical procedure followed Gao et al. (2003).
4. U-Pb zircon geochronology

The measured isotopic ratios and calculated ages for samples 05822 and 05823 are given in Table 1 and illustrated on Concordia plots in Fig. 4B. The measured U concentrations for these zircon grains vary from 960 to 58 ppm; Th, from 949 to 63 ppm; and Th/U ratios, from 1.59 to 0.26, which suggests that all zircons belong to a magmatic type.

Twenty-nine zircons were dated in total, and can be divided into three age groups (Table 1). Zircons of group 1 are ~150 μm in size, show weakly zoned textures (Fig. 4A, 05823-11-4.1), and yield a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 1756±9 Ma (Table 1, 05823-11-4.1), indicating the Mesoproterozoic magmatism (Hu, et al., 2000). Most zircons of groups 2 and 3 are smaller, ranging from 80 to 30 μm. They are euhedral with oscillatory zoning, indicative of magmatic zircons (Fig. 4A). $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages of twenty-four zircons of group 2 range from 676 to 864 Ma, and form several intervals of 676-691 Ma (n=3), 707-743 Ma (n=5), 751-790 Ma (n=7) and 806-864 Ma (n=9, Table 1). These ages represent inherited zircon ages and reflect multiple magmatic episodes related to Rodinia breakup in the Late Neoproterozoic (Zhang, et al., 2011b; Zhu et al., 2011b; Wang, et al., 2010a). Interestingly, there are four zircons in group 3 that yield $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages from 617 to 612 Ma (05822-1-7.1, 05822-1A-5.1, 05823-11-2.1 and 05823-11-5.1), which give two concordia ages of 615.2±4.8 Ma (Fig. 4B) for sample 05822 and 614.4±9.1 Ma (Fig. 4C) for sample 05823. Because these 4 youngest zircons are euhedral and the smallest in size, their concordia ages of 614-615 Ma are interpreted as the eruption age of the SB, although we cannot completely rule out the possibility that the ~615 Ma zircons may represent the youngest inherited zircons. In the case of youngest zircon inheritance, the eruption age of the Sugetbrak basalts would be slightly
younger than ~615 Ma.

Table 1. Zircon U-Pb isotopic data

Fig. 4. Cathodoluminescence images and Zircon concordia plots

5. Geochemistry

Major and trace elements of the SB are listed in Table 2. They are characterized by high TiO$_2$ contents (2.2-4.0%). Their Al$_2$O$_3$ ranges from 13.9% to 17.7%. Total alkali content ranges from 2.9% to 5.4%, with Na$_2$O>K$_2$O. Their high LOI contents, ranging from 2.7% to 8.7%, indicate alterations. Na$_2$O and K$_2$O were probably mobile during alterations, and thus cannot be employed for rock classifications using the total alkali vs. silica diagram.

Table 2. Major and trace elements of the SB

Petrologically, it is useful to determine whether the rocks are alkali basalts or tholeiites. Here we use immobile trace elements such as Nb and Y to further evaluate their alkali character. These samples have Nb/Y ratios ranging mostly from 0.58 to 0.68. In the Zr/TiO$_2$ – Nb/Y diagram (Winchester and Floyd, 1977), they plot on the boundary line between sub-alkaline basalts and alkali basalts (Fig. 7A). In the Nb/Y – Zr/(P$_2$O$_5$*10000) diagram, they also plot near the boundary line between alkali basalts and tholeiitic basalts (Fig. 7B). We thus regard them as transitional basalts.

Fig. 5. A: Zr/TiO$_2$ – Nb/Y diagram (Winchester and Floyd, 1977)

B: Nb/Y – Zr/(P$_2$O$_5$*10000) diagram (Floyd and Winchester, 1975)

All samples are enriched in light rare earth elements (LREEs, Fig. 6A). They lack negative Eu anomalies, indicating that plagioclase is not a fractionating phase. In the Spider diagram (Fig.
they display positive anomalies in Ba and Pb and negative anomalies in Rb and Sr. They do not show negative Nb anomalies.

Fig. 6.A: REE diagram; B: Spider diagram

6. Discussion

6.1 Petrogenesis and tectonic settings

6.1.1 Within-plate environments

Ti, Zr, Y and Nb are immobile trace elements during zeolite to greenschistfacies metamorphism, and may be useful for the determination of tectonic environments of basaltic rocks (Pearce and Cann, 1973; Winchester and Floyd, 1975). In the Ti-Zr-Y diagram (Pearce and Cann, 1973), the lavas plot in the “within-plate” basalt field (Fig. 7A). In the Nb-Zr-Y diagram (Meschede, 1986), the samples plot on the boundary line between fields AII and C, indicating an intraplate tectonic setting (Fig. 7B). The boundary line is the transition between intraplate alkali basalts and intraplatetholeiites. Both Ti-Zr-Y and Nb-Zr-Y diagrams suggest a within-plate environment, ruling out the possibility of a volcanic arc or ocean-ridge environment. The lack of any negative Nb anomaly in the spider diagram also argues against the island arc or continental arc environment. Therefore, the SB are intra-continental transitional basalts. Our inference of a within-plate (intra-continental) tectonic setting for the SB is largely consistent with the work by Zhang et al. (2012).

Fig. 7. A: Ti-Zr-Y diagram (Pearce and Cann, 1973);
6.1.2. Low-degree small-volume melts

The high Ti contents in all samples reflect low degree partial melts. We have used the dynamic melting inversion (DMI) method (Zou and Zindler, 1996; Zou, 1998; Zou et al., 2000) to estimate the degrees of partial melting more quantitatively. The DMI method uses variations of between-magma concentration ratios for two incompatible elements with different partition coefficients, and does not require assumptions about mantle rock concentrations or ratios. Two immobile trace elements are selected with different partition coefficients, Nb and Zr. Note that Nb is more incompatible than Zr. The concentration ratios between a low-degree melt sample (sample 831S41) and a high-degree melt sample (sample 83161) are 1.51 (=24.63/16.27) for Nb and 1.31 (=200/152) for Zr. Samples 831S41 and 83161 are selected because sample 831S41 has the highest incompatible element concentrations (representing the lowest degree of partial melting) while sample 83161 has the lowest incompatible element concentrations (indicative of the highest degree of melting) in B Group. The degree of partial melting calculated using DMI by solving a system of simultaneous non-linear equations is 7.4% for sample 831S41, and 10.9% for sample 83161, using bulk partition coefficients of 0.01 for Nb and 0.04 for Zr. If we still select sample 831S41 as a low-degree melt, but select another sample such as 83160 as a high-degree melt (another sample with low incompatible element concentrations in B Group), then the low-degree/high-degree concentration ratios are 1.52 (=24.63/16.27) for Nb and 1.33 (=200/150) for Zr, and the partial melting degrees using DMI are 7.9% for sample 831S41 and 11.8% for sample 83160. Thus, these transitional basalts were produced at 7-12% mantle partial melting.
Note that in the calculations we use concentration ratios instead of elemental abundances, because concentration ratios are insensitive to subsequent fractional crystallizations.

Unlike large-volume tholeiites produced in the main stage of plume volcanism, these low-degree, small-volume melts might represent melts formed at the onset stage or the waning stage of a plume during continental breakup. We prefer to consider waning stage of plume volcanism for the creation of the SB because of its younger age, while Zhang et al. (2012) prefer the onset stage of plume volcanism, owing to the different age results for the SB (784 Ma in Zhang et al. (2012) vs. 614-615 Ma from this study). As mentioned above, we regard the 784 Ma age as the age for inherited zircons (see discussion in section 6.2).

6.2. Implications for the rift succession of the Sugetbrak Formation and Neoproterozoic igneous activities

Because the studied samples were collected in the horizons of the SB between the Lower and Upper Sugetbrak members, the new age represents the boundary age between the upper and lower members, further providing a time constraint on the timing of the Sugetbrak Formation in the Sugetbrak section. Recently, the Sugetbrak Formation in the southwest Aksu and Wushi areas has been interpreted as fluvial and lacustrine facies sediments (Turner, 2010; Wang et al., 2010a). Combined with several horizons of basalts that record episodic volcanism, these sedimentary facies are thought to indicate the development of a Neoproterozoic rift system in the Aksu area (Turner, 2010; Wang et al., 2010a). Hence, our new age represents the development age of this rift system in the northwest Tarim Block.

Though four phases of Neoproterozoic igneous activities (820-800 Ma, 780-760 Ma,
740-735 Ma and 650-635 Ma) have been recognized in the Tarim Block (Zhang et al., 2011), our new age (615 Ma) is even younger, and may represent the last stage of the Neoproterozoic rifting relating to the breakup of the Rodinia Supercontinent in the northwest Tarim Block. It has been noticed that there are simultaneous volcanic rocks in the Qurutagh area of the northeast Tarim Block, where an age of 615 ± 6 Ma from andesitic lava has been reported (Xu et al., 2009). These data suggest that the igneous activities related to the breakup of the Neoproterozoic Rodinia supercontinent lasted until at least 615 Ma.

Six detrital zircon ages from the red sandstone of the Sugetbrak Formation in southwest Aksu range from 619 to 588 Ma and give a weighted average age of 602 ± 13 Ma (Zhu et al., 2011), which is younger than the age of the SB from Sugetbrak. The age difference between these places might be due to the fact that the Neoproterozoic succession in southwest Aksu is incomplete, which has been indicated by the lacunas of the Qiaoenbrak and Yuermeinak Formations, and of the bottom part of the Lower Sugetbrak Formation (Fig. 8). Turner (2010) pointed out that small, isolated depocentres and pre-existing topography might cause local variety of basal conglomerates in the Aksu area. Therefore, the age of 602 ± 13 Ma might represent the maximal depositional age of the Upper member of the Sugetbrak Formation (Fig. 9). Obviously, the direct evidence for the age of the Sugetbrak Formation depends on the eruption age of the SB in the southwest Aksu section. According to available geochronological data in the southwest Aksu and Sugetbrak sections, a revised correlation of the Neoproterozoic strata between southwest Aksu, Wushi and Sugetbrak sections is proposed in Fig. 8.

Recently, Zhang et al. (2012) reported a LA-ICP-MS U-Pb zircon age of 783.7 ± 2.3 Ma from the SB about 4 km southwest of Sugetbrak, and interpreted it as a crystallization age of the SB. We
regard 784 Ma as the age for inherited zircons instead of the crystallization age for the SB, because the zircons from Zhang et al. (2012) are large crystals (most zircons > 80 µm), similar to group 2 zircons of this study and the inherited zircons of Wang et al. (2010a). In addition, Zhu et al. (2011) have reported several detrital zircon ages ranging from 619 to 588 Ma from the red sandstone of the Upper member of the Sugetbrak Formation, which implies that it is impossible for the Lower member of this formation to be 784 Ma old. Geologically, if this age of 784 Ma is correct, then the underlying Yuermeinak glacier deposits would be older than 784 Ma. However, no Neoproterozoic ice age older than 784 Ma has been reported in the world (Hoffman and Schrag, 2002; Xu, et al., 2009; Macdonald, et al., 2010).

Fig. 8. Correlation of the Neoproterozoic strata between southwest Aksu, Wushi and Sugetbrak areas

6.3. Implications for the correlation of the Yuermeinak glaciation

Ages, distribution, and correlations of the Neoproterozoic glaciations around the world are still controversial (Kaufman et al., 1997; Hoffman et al., 1998; Kennedy et al., 1998; Hoffman and Schrag, 2002; Jiang et al., 2003). Two glaciations (Sturtian and Marinoan, Young, 1995; Kennedy et al., 1998), three glaciations (Sturtian, Marinoan and post-Marinoan, Knoll, 2000, Xiao et al., 2004; Halverson, 2006; McCay et al., 2006) or four glaciations (Kaigas, Sturtian, Marinoan or Elatina and Gaskiers, Hoffman and Schrag, 2002; Hoffmann et al., 2004; Macdonald et al., 2010) have been proposed. On the other hand, four glaciations have been reported in the northeast Tarim Block, including Bayisi (730 Ma), Altungol, Tereeken (725-615 Ma) and Hankalchough
(615-542 Ma) glaciations (Kou et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009). However, the age of the Yuermeinak glaciation in the northwest Tarim Block, and its correlation, were previously unknown.

According to the Neoproterozoic succession in the Sugetbrak section (Fig. 9), the Lower member of Sugetbrak Formation containing the SB conformably overlies the Yuermeinak Formation diamictite. Because there is only about 400 m of continental sedimentary succession between the SB and Yuermeinak Formation, the occurrence of the Yuermeinak glaciation is not much earlier than 614-615 Ma. This is similar to the Tereeken glaciation in the Quruqtagh area of the northeast Tarim Block, where there is only the Zhamoketi Formation turbidite between the Tereeken diamictite and volcanic layer with an age of 615±6 Ma (Xu et al., 2009). It is therefore reasonable to correlate the Yuermeinak glaciation with the Tereeken glaciation in the Quruqtagh area. Based on a TIMS U-Pb age of 635.2±0.6 Ma for the Nantuo glaciation (Condon et al., 2005) and an age of 615±6 Ma for the volcanic rocks above the Tereeke glaciation, previous studies have suggested that the Tereekenglaciation can be correlated with the Nantuo glaciation in the Yangtze Block and the Elatina glaciation in Australia (Xu et al., 2009). If this is correct, then the Yuermeinak glaciation should also correlate with them (Fig. 10).

Fig. 10 Correlation of the Neoproterozoic glaciations

7. 8. Conclusion

This study reached the following conclusions:

(1) Small euhedral zircons from two samples of the Sugetbrak basalts (SB) of the Sugetbrak Formation yield weighted mean ages of 615.2±4.8 Ma and 614.4±9.1 Ma. These ages are interpreted
as the eruption age of the SB, thereby providing an age constraint on the timing of the Sugetbrak Formation in Sugetbrak, northwest Tarim Block. These two ages suggest that the igneous activities related to the breakup of the Neoproterozoic Rodinia supercontinent lasted until at least 614-615 Ma in the northwest Tarim Block.

(2) Geochemical analysis indicates that the SB was generated in an intra-continental rifting environment. Application of the dynamic melting inversion method suggests that the degree of partial melting of the SB ranges from 7% to 12%. Unlike large-volume tholeiites, these low-degree transitional basaltic melts may represent the waning stage of plume volcanism during a long-lasting continental breakup.

(3) Based on the new ages of the SB and its relationship with the Yuermeinak diamictite, the Yuermeinak glaciation in Sugetbrak of the northwest Tarim Block should correlate with the Tereeken glaciation in the Qurugtagh area of the northeast Tarim Block, the Nantuo glaciation in the Yangtze Block and the Elatina glaciation in Australia.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1. (A): Tectonic location of the Tarim Block in China; (B): Geological map of the Tarim Block, West China, showing the distribution of Neoproterozoic and the study area. Legend—PH: Phanerozoic rocks; NP: Neoproterozoic rocks; MP: Mesoproterozoic rocks; PP: Palaeoproterozoic rocks; AR: Archaean rocks; NPG: early Neoproterozoic granitoids; F: faults; IF: inferred Faults; Tillite: Neoproterozoic tillite; Q: Quaternary desert and sedimentary deposits (modified after Lu, et al., 2008); (C): Geological map of the Aksu area (modified after Gao, et al., 1985; Turner, 2010).

Fig. 2. (A): Geological map of the Sugetbrak area and sample positions (modified after BGMRED of Xinjiang, 1993); (B): the Neoproterozoic stratigraphic column of the Sugetbrak area; (C): Photograph of the Neoproterozoic succession in the Sugetbrak area. Notice the positions of SB sample 05822.
Fig. 3. Photographs of the SB and sedimentary rocks of the Sugetbrak Formation. (A): largeherringbone and conformity relationships between the SB and underlying sandstone; (B): columnar joints of the SB; (C): Continuous distribution of the SB on the south limb of a syncline; (D): pillow lava in the SB; (E): conformity relationship between the SB and overlying red sandstones; (F): ripple bedding in red sandstone of the Lower member of the Sugetbrak Fm.

Fig. 4. A: Cathodoluminescense images of zircons from the SB. Notice the zircons can be divided into 3 groups according to their sizes; B: Concordia plot of zircons from sample 05822 of the SB; C: Concordia plot of zircons from sample 05823 of the SB.

Fig. 5. A: Zr/TiO$_2$ – Nb/Y diagram (Winchester and Floyd, 1977); B: Nb/Y – Zr/(P$_2$O$_5$*10000) diagram (Floyd and Winchester, 1975)

Fig. 6. A: REE diagram of the SB; B: Trace element spider diagram of the SB.

Fig. 7. A: Ti-Zr-Y diagram (Pearce and Cann, 1973); B: Nb-Zr-Y diagram (Meschede, 1986)

Fig. 8. Correlation of the Neoproterozoic between the southwest Aksu, Wushi and Sugetbrak sections in the northwest Tarim Block.

Fig. 9. Correlation of Neoproterozoic glaciations between the northeast Tarim, northwest Tarim and Yangtze Blocks. Data from: Yin et al., 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004; Chu et al., 2005; Condon et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Kendall et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2009.
Table 1. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb data for the Sugetbrak basalts in Sugetbrak area, northwest Tarim Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{232}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{206}\text{Pb}_c$</th>
<th>$^{206}\text{Pb}^*$</th>
<th>$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ Age</th>
<th>$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-1.1</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>825.4 ± 8.9</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-2.1</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>808.0 ± 8.4</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-3.1</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>743.4 ± 7.6</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-4.1</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>774.6 ± 7.9</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-5.1</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>722.2 ± 8.1</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-6.1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>776.1 ± 8.8</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-7.1</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>617.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-8.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>744 ± 11</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05822-1-9.1</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>750.8 ± 7.3</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-1.1</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>804.2 ± 8.8</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-2.1</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>861.9 ± 8.7</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-3.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>780 ± 10</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-4.1</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>16.79</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>707 ± 16</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-5.1</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>614.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-6.1</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>805.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-7.1</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>863.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-8.1</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>821.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-1-9.1</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>616.6 ± 6.6</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-1.1</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>676.2 ± 7.5</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-2.1</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1,735 ± 17</td>
<td>1,755.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-3.1</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>612.3 ± 6.5</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-4.1</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>685.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-5.1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>839 ± 11</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-6.1</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>691.4 ± 7.3</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05823-11-7.1</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>806.8 ± 8.8</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors are 1-sigma; Pb$_c$ and Pb$^*$ indicate the common and radiogenic portions, respective.

Error in Standard calibration was 0.20%( not included in above errors but required when comparing data from different mounts).

(1) Common Pb corrected using measured $^{204}\text{Pb}$. (2) Common Pb corrected by assuming $^{206}\text{Pb}$
(3) Common Pb corrected by assuming $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} - ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th}$ age-concordance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>831S41</th>
<th>831S51</th>
<th>830S2</th>
<th>83156</th>
<th>83158</th>
<th>83160</th>
<th>83161</th>
<th>83163</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>43.45</td>
<td>45.40</td>
<td>44.38</td>
<td>45.47</td>
<td>45.44</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>44.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>17.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.01</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.04</td>
<td>100.13</td>
<td>100.05</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>100.11</td>
<td>100.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ti     | 24519  | 21911  | 23322 | 19024 | 17451 | 13702 | 13690 | 15193 |
| Rb     | 6.56   | 12.68  | 10.31 | 17.23 | 17.42 | 22.93 | 29.61 | 8.86  |
| Sr     | 718    | 474    | 474   | 342   | 383   | 464   | 442   | 335   |
| Y      | 37.2   | 33.2   | 35.2  | 33.9  | 33.3  | 26.3  | 26.9  | 27.6  |
| Zr     | 200    | 183    | 192   | 190   | 188   | 150   | 152   | 158   |
| Nb     | 24.63  | 22.58  | 23.23 | 21.49 | 21.07 | 16.24 | 16.27 | 17.64 |
| Cs     | 0.16   | 0.41   | 0.28  | 0.15  | 0.07  | 0.11  | 0.12  | 0.30  |
| Ba     | 121    | 292    | 444   | 342   | 383   | 464   | 442   | 335   |
| Hf     | 4.79   | 4.38   | 4.80  | 4.55  | 4.47  | 3.71  | 3.68  | 3.87  |
| Ta     | 1.60   | 1.42   | 1.54  | 1.38  | 1.35  | 1.03  | 1.02  | 1.11  |
| Pb     | 4.02   | 14.93  | 4.01  | 1.70  | 2.67  | 3.46  | 3.58  | 6.64  |
| Th     | 2.99   | 2.72   | 2.81  | 2.16  | 2.11  | 1.75  | 1.77  | 1.83  |
| U      | 0.68   | 0.66   | 0.62  | 1.27  | 0.54  | 0.41  | 0.40  | 0.45  |

| La     | 37.42  | 33.39  | 34.29 | 28.31 | 26.41 | 26.43 | 26.29 | 26.38 |
| Ce     | 74.41  | 67.07  | 68.73 | 55.70 | 52.86 | 51.41 | 51.42 | 51.79 |
| Pr     | 9.22   | 8.53   | 8.81  | 7.31  | 7.17  | 6.65  | 6.60  | 6.84  |
| Nd     | 39.80  | 36.03  | 36.61 | 32.03 | 30.63 | 27.98 | 28.56 | 29.78 |
| Sm     | 8.08   | 7.36   | 7.65  | 6.66  | 6.59  | 5.80  | 5.80  | 6.17  |
| Eu     | 2.66   | 2.46   | 2.52  | 2.32  | 2.30  | 2.21  | 2.15  | 2.40  |
| Gd     | 8.62   | 7.95   | 8.27  | 7.32  | 7.09  | 6.04  | 6.28  | 6.41  |
| Tb     | 1.10   | 1.00   | 1.06  | 0.98  | 0.93  | 0.80  | 0.78  | 0.81  |
| Dy     | 7.01   | 6.40   | 6.65  | 6.37  | 6.02  | 4.99  | 5.15  | 5.17  |
| Ho     | 1.44   | 1.30   | 1.36  | 1.30  | 1.24  | 1.04  | 1.03  | 1.06  |
| Er     | 3.74   | 3.45   | 3.63  | 3.31  | 3.37  | 2.77  | 2.76  | 2.86  |
| Tm     | 0.51   | 0.46   | 0.48  | 0.46  | 0.45  | 0.36  | 0.36  | 0.38  |
| Yb     | 2.81   | 2.68   | 2.76  | 2.69  | 2.68  | 2.11  | 2.19  | 2.19  |
| Lu     | 0.44   | 0.42   | 0.43  | 0.45  | 0.42  | 0.33  | 0.34  | 0.34  |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Tarim Block (northeast)</th>
<th>Tarim Block (northwest)</th>
<th>Yangtze Block</th>
<th>Interpretative Column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>544 Ma</td>
<td>Hangelchaok ▲ (544Ma, 615Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gaskiers ▲ (582-584Ma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tereeken ▲ (615Ma, 725Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650 Ma</td>
<td>Altugal ▲ (615Ma, 725Ma)</td>
<td>Yuermeinak ▲ (615Ma)</td>
<td>Nantuo ▲</td>
<td>Elatina ▲ (635-636Ma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 Ma</td>
<td>Beiyixi ▲ (740Ma-725Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sturtian ▲ (658-723Ma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chang’an ▲</td>
<td>Kaigas ▲ (757Ma-741Ma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>