



**HAL**  
open science

## Passive temperature tomography experiments to characterize transmissivity and connectivity of preferential flow paths in fractured media

Maria Klepikova, Tanguy Le Borgne, Olivier Bour, Kerry Gallagher, Rebecca Hochreutener, Nicolas Lavenant

### ► To cite this version:

Maria Klepikova, Tanguy Le Borgne, Olivier Bour, Kerry Gallagher, Rebecca Hochreutener, et al.. Passive temperature tomography experiments to characterize transmissivity and connectivity of preferential flow paths in fractured media. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2014, 512, pp.549-562. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.03.018 . insu-00979609

**HAL Id: insu-00979609**

**<https://insu.hal.science/insu-00979609v1>**

Submitted on 16 Apr 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Passive temperature tomography experiments to  
2 characterize transmissivity and connectivity of  
3 preferential flow paths in fractured media

4 Maria V. Klepikova<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Tanguy Le Borgne<sup>a</sup>, Olivier Bour<sup>a</sup>, Kerry  
5 Gallagher<sup>a</sup>, Rebecca Hochreutener<sup>a</sup>, Nicolas Lavenant<sup>a</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup>*Géosciences Rennes, OSUR, UMR CNRS 6118, University of Rennes 1, Rennes,*  
7 *France.*

8 <sup>b</sup>*Now at University of Liege, ArGEnCo, GEO<sup>3</sup>, Hydrogeology and Environmental*  
9 *Geology, B52/3 Sart-Tilman, 4000 Liege, Belgium*

---

10 **Abstract**

11 The detection of preferential flow paths and the characterization of their  
12 hydraulic properties are major challenges in fractured rock hydrology. In this  
13 study, we propose to use temperature as a passive tracer to characterize frac-  
14 ture connectivity and hydraulic properties. In particular, we propose a new  
15 temperature tomography field method in which borehole temperature profiles  
16 are measured under different pumping conditions by changing successively  
17 the pumping and observation boreholes. To interpret these temperature-  
18 depth profiles, we propose a three step inversion-based framework. We con-  
19 sider first an inverse model that allows for automatic permeable fracture de-  
20 tection from borehole temperature profiles under pumping conditions. Then  
21 we apply a borehole-scale flow and temperature model to produce flowmeter  
22 profiles by inversion of temperature profiles. This second step uses inversion  
23 to characterise the relationship between temperature variations with depth  
24 and borehole flow velocities (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011). The third inverse step,

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* [mklepikova@ulg.ac.be](mailto:mklepikova@ulg.ac.be) (Maria V. Klepikova)

March 11, 2014

25 which exploits cross-borehole flowmeter tests, is aimed at inferring inter-  
26 borehole fracture connectivity and transmissivities. This multi-step inverse  
27 framework provides a means of including temperature profiles to image frac-  
28 ture hydraulic properties and connectivity. We test the proposed approach  
29 with field data obtained from the Ploemeur (N.W. France) fractured rock  
30 aquifer, where the full temperature tomography experiment was carried out  
31 between three 100 meter depth boreholes 10 meters apart. We identified sev-  
32 eral transmissive fractures and their connectivity which correspond to known  
33 fractures and corroborate well with independent information, including avail-  
34 able borehole flowmeter tests and geophysical data. Hence, although indirect,  
35 temperature tomography appears to be a promising approach for character-  
36 izing connectivity patterns and transmissivities of the main flow paths in  
37 fractured rock.

38 *Keywords:* Temperature, Fracture, Borehole Velocity, Inverse Model

---

## 39 1. Introduction

40 The accurate prediction of fluid flow in fractured media is a challenging  
41 problem, as flow may be localized in few small fractures with heterogeneities  
42 at all scales (e.g. *Berkowitz*, 2002). The classical approach to infer detailed  
43 flow properties relies on the identification of the flowing fractures followed  
44 by hydraulic testing with packers (e.g. *Shapiro and Hsieh*, 1998). Recent nu-  
45 merical developments (e.g. *Yeh and Liu*, 2000; *Brauchler et al.*, 2003; *Illman*  
46 *et al.*, 2009; *Berg and Illman*, 2013) have significantly improved hydraulic  
47 tomography methods in fractured media. However, spatial resolution of the  
48 inferred tomograms strongly depends on the number of observation intervals

49 (*Sharmeen et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, this approach requires the installation  
50 of packers which is often not possible. To avoid these practical issues, we can  
51 consider other types of data that can be more easily obtained and that are  
52 directly sensitive to ground water flow.

53 Temperature data meet these conditions as geothermal heat can be con-  
54 sidered as a natural tracer of groundwater flow (*Anderson*, 2005; *Saar*, 2011).  
55 Furthermore, temperature profiles can be obtained easily and continuously in  
56 space by logging a temperature probe in the observation borehole. The use  
57 of fiber optic technology can also greatly improve the temporal and spatial  
58 coverage of borehole temperature measurements (*Read et al.*, 2013). Temper-  
59 ature data have often been used for inferring vertical or horizontal ground-  
60 water flow velocities assuming homogeneous aquifer properties (*Bredehoeft*  
61 *and Papadopulos*, 1965; *Reiter*, 2001; *Anderson*, 2005; *Saar*, 2011).

62 In fractured rocks, abrupt temperature changes are often observed at spe-  
63 cific depths (e.g. *Ge*, 1998; *Bense et al.*, 2008; *Chatelier et al.*, 2011). When  
64 groundwater flow occurs within a permeable fracture, it may perturb the  
65 temperature profile within and around the fracture due to advected flow car-  
66 rying either warmer or cooler fluid (*Ge*, 1998). In large-scale faults, velocities  
67 can be large enough to influence the regional heat flux distribution (*Deming*,  
68 1993; *Ge*, 1998; *Anderson*, 2005; *Saar*, 2011). Moreover, ambient flow in  
69 boreholes themselves, that arises due to the difference in hydraulic heads be-  
70 tween fractures intersecting the borehole, affects temperature borehole logs  
71 (*Bidaux and Drogue*, 1993; *Pehme*, 2010; *Klepikova et al.*, 2011). A few  
72 studies have considered borehole temperature profiles in fractured rocks un-  
73 der induced fluid flow conditions (*Flynn*, 1985; *Silliman*, 1989). Among them

74 *Silliman* (1989) argued that temperature anomalies produced by pumping in  
75 adjacent boreholes can be used for initial estimates of fractures connecting  
76 a given 'pumping-observation' borehole pair. Few of these studies, however,  
77 were able to quantify the fracture hydraulic properties or describe how these  
78 fractures form different flow paths. This is the objective of this study.

79 Recently, we have shown how borehole temperature gradients may be  
80 sensitive to vertical borehole flow velocities (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011). By ap-  
81 plying a fluid flow and heat transfer forward numerical model, we were able  
82 to obtain borehole flow profiles under ambient, pumping (while pumping at  
83 the top of the borehole) and cross-borehole (while pumping in neighboring  
84 boreholes) flow conditions from borehole temperature-depth profiles. Fur-  
85 thermore, such flow profiles can be used to characterize the connectivity and  
86 hydraulic properties of the main flow paths in fractured rock (*Paillet*, 1998;  
87 *Le Borgne et al.*, 2006). The method is based on the idea that pumping mod-  
88 ifies hydraulic heads in flow paths intersecting a pumping borehole, which in  
89 turn produce changes in vertical borehole flow in observation boreholes. In a  
90 recent study, a new inversion method was developed to invert such borehole  
91 flow data. This approach, referred as flow tomography (*Klepikova et al.*,  
92 2013), was successful in estimating inter borehole fracture hydraulic proper-  
93 ties as well as fracture connectivity on synthetic examples. Here, we propose  
94 to investigate how both approaches may be coupled to invert borehole tem-  
95 perature data in different flow conditions to estimate fracture connectivity  
96 and hydraulic properties between pairs of boreholes.

97 In this contribution, we propose a multi-stage inversion framework to in-  
98 terpret temperature measurements obtained during sequential cross-borehole

99 pumping tests. We propose to call such experiments as passive temperature  
100 tomography experiments. The term "passive" means that temperature is  
101 used as a passive tracer without any heat injection, in contrast to the ap-  
102 proach taken in other recent works (*Leaf et al.*, 2012; *Read et al.*, 2013;  
103 *Wagner et al.*, 2013). Although this study makes use of the methodolo-  
104 gies presented in (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011) and (*Klepikova et al.*, 2013), it  
105 presents three novelties with respect to these previous works. First, in the  
106 present study we propose a new method for automatic inversion of borehole  
107 temperature profiles that significantly facilitate data interpretation. The to-  
108 mography approach based of borehole temperature measurements presented  
109 here is analogous to the flow tomography approach (*Klepikova et al.*, 2013).  
110 However, an important advantage of this new method over direct flow mea-  
111 surements is that temperature can be measured more easily and continuously.  
112 Finally, this study presents the first application of this method using a to-  
113 mographic approach in a fractured rock site.

114 In the first part we briefly review the source of temperature variations  
115 in the subsurface and examine under which conditions and assumptions our  
116 inverse approach may be applied. We then present the methods used in the  
117 inversion procedure. In the third part, we describe the experimental site  
118 and the temperature tomography experiment conducted. Finally, we present  
119 and discuss the results of the application of the inverse approach to three  
120 boreholes from the experimental field site.

## 121 2. Background and Methodology Proposed

122 In the near surface, temperature-depth profiles are influenced by seasonal  
123 temperature variations of the land surface. Typically, this zone includes the  
124 first ten meters below the ground, although this depends on the local ther-  
125 mal properties. Below this depth, the temperature gradient is influenced by  
126 the heat flux, the thermal conductivity of rocks (*Freifeld et al.*, 2008), ra-  
127 dioactive heat sources (*Perry et al.*, 2006) and longer term climate variations  
128 (e.g. *Ferguson*, 2006). Moreover, depending on hydrogeological parameters,  
129 groundwater flow may have a significant effect on the subsurface temper-  
130 ature regime (e.g. *Anderson*, 2005; *Ferguson*, 2006). To characterize the  
131 factors that control heat transfer in the subsurface, precise measurements of  
132 temperature as a function of depth should be considered.

133 In this study we focus on permeable fractured rocks in the upper crust  
134 (typically above 200 meters deep), where advection can have a significant  
135 effect on the subsurface temperature. We assume that the temperature  
136 gradient in the regional rock mass increases monotonically (i.e. constant  
137 geothermal gradient) (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011). Given typically small temper-  
138 ature ranges for this depth, the dependence of viscosity on temperature is  
139 neglected. In such media induced or natural localized fracture flow generally  
140 creates local temperature anomalies. An example of flow and temperature  
141 pattern for two boreholes connected by one main flow path under ambi-  
142 ent, single and cross-borehole pumping conditions is shown in Figure 1. In  
143 such a system, heat is carried by vertical borehole flow and dissipates to  
144 the surrounding rocks. Hence, borehole flow under ambient (Figure 1A) and  
145 pumping conditions (Figure 1B) significantly disturb the equilibrium bore-

146 hole temperature profiles.

147 Ambient vertical borehole flow is induced by differences in hydraulic head  
148 between the different flow paths that intersect observation boreholes (e.g.  
149 *Pehme, 2010; Klepikova et al., 2011*). These differences in hydraulic heads  
150 are in general due to regional flow conditions (e.g. *Elci et al., 2001*) and the  
151 resulting vertical borehole flow may significantly disturb the temperature  
152 profile (e.g. *Chatelier et al., 2011*) (well 1, Figure 1A). When pumping in one  
153 of the wells, hydraulic head changes occur in the flow path connected to the  
154 pumping well. The flow paths connecting a borehole pair transmit hydraulic  
155 head variations to the neighbor borehole. This difference in hydraulic heads,  
156 in turn, depends on the transmissivities of the connecting fractures. For  
157 instance, in Figure 1B the upflow in the observation well 1 is maximum since  
158 only the upper fracture is connected and transmits the drawdown induced  
159 by pumping, implying a temperature increase in the well 1 in response to  
160 pumping from the well 2. In the well 2 (Figure 1B), an increase of the flow  
161 velocity above flowing fractures in the pumping borehole implies that the  
162 water flowing in the borehole has less time to exchange heat with surrounding  
163 rocks hence it also implies temperature profile perturbations.

164 Here we propose a multi-stage tomography approach based on an inverse  
165 framework for the interpretation of temperature profiles under combinations  
166 of pumping conditions to infer the full connectivity pattern as well as fracture  
167 hydraulic properties. The inversion framework proposed in this study has  
168 three main steps :

- 169 1. Automatic detection of fracture zones intersecting each borehole by  
170 applying changepoint modelling to temperature profiles under ambient



- 171 flow conditions and steady pumping flow conditions.
- 172 2. Coupled fluid flow-heat transfer modelling: inversion of temperature  
173 profiles under ambient, single and cross-borehole flow conditions to  
174 derive flow profiles.
- 175 3. Estimation of fracture hydraulic properties and connectivity between  
176 and around each borehole pair by applying flow tomography to ambient,  
177 single and cross-borehole pumping flowmeter profiles obtained from the  
178 previous step.

179 The approach is summarized in Figure 2. In the following sections we  
180 detail the main steps.

### 181 *2.1. Permeable Fracture Identification at Borehole Scale*

182 The first step in inferring the flow pattern between a borehole pair is the  
183 detailed characterization of flow properties at the borehole scale. Several  
184 methods may be used for identification of permeable/transmissive fractures  
185 at the borehole scale. These include, for example, geological/geophysical  
186 methods (*Genter et al., 1997*), such as the inspection of continuous core,  
187 caliper data, acoustic and optical televueing (*Barton and Zoback, 1992*)  
188 and electrical resistivity measurements (*Keys, 1979*). Other methods include  
189 hydraulic testing, such as flowmeter tests (*Paillet, 1998*), including heat-pulse  
190 flowmeter (e.g. *Le Borgne et al., 2007*), impeller tests (e.g. *Newhouse, 2005*),  
191 high spatial resolution temperature profiling (*Mwenifumbo, 1993; Barton et*  
192 *al., 1995*) and flexible liner profiling (*Pehme, 2010, 2013*).

193 In this study, we propose an automatic permeable fracture identification  
194 method based on borehole temperature profiles, that takes the advantage

195 of the close relationship between the borehole temperature gradient and the  
196 vertical borehole flow velocity (*Pehme, 2010; Klepikova et al., 2011*). An  
197 illustration of temperature profiles under ambient and pumping flow condi-  
198 tions is given in Figure 1. In this example, abrupt changes in temperature  
199 gradient occur at depths where transmissive fractures intersect the borehole.  
200 As borehole flow in fractured aquifers is characterized by intervals of con-  
201 stant flow between transmissive fractures (*Paillet, 1998*), inflow points for  
202 each borehole can be therefore identified by inspection of temperature pro-  
203 files.

204 In the field, however, multiple sources of error such as uncertainty about  
205 rock thermal diffusivity, changes in borehole diameter, multiple fracture  
206 zones and temperature measurement errors, may influence temperature data  
207 (*Klepikova et al., 2011*). The noise in the temperature measurements re-  
208 lated to these factors complicates the identification of changes in tempera-  
209 ture gradient trends and the detection of flowing fractures. To interpret the  
210 temperature-depth profiles objectively, we apply a recently proposed change-  
211 point model (*Gallagher et al., 2011*). Changepoints can be defined as abrupt  
212 changes in trends (such as the mean, gradient or any function) over depth  
213 or time. Between changepoints it is assumed that underlying trends in the  
214 data are either constant or vary linearly with depth. The goal is to infer the  
215 location of changepoints (as well as the noise variance associated with each  
216 dataset if desired) in a noisy data series without a priori knowledge of the  
217 number of changepoints. Ideally, then, changepoint modelling allows us to  
218 identify inflow and outflow zones from temperature profiles.

219 The approach uses transdimensional Markov chain Monte Carlo to sam-

220 ple many possible solutions with different numbers and locations of change-  
221 points and noise estimates which are either accepted or rejected, based on  
222 probabilistic criterion (*Gallagher et al.*, 2011). In general, identification of  
223 the location and number of changepoints is directly influenced by the noise  
224 level in the data and the variability of the observations about the mean be-  
225 tween changepoints is indicative of the level of noise. Thus, data with lower  
226 noise tend to produce a model with many changepoints, while models with  
227 fewer changepoints will be acceptable for data with higher noise. The ap-  
228 proach is formulated in a Bayesian framework, which naturally balances the  
229 noise level with the complexity of the changepoint structure (*Gallagher et al.*,  
230 2011). Therefore, given a choice between simple and complex models that  
231 provide a similarly adequate fit to the observed data, the models with fewer  
232 changepoints will be favored. We demonstrate that application of change-  
233 point model to temperature profiles allows for automatic fracture detection  
234 in a field example. Note, that this result can have also a practical implica-  
235 tion for hydrocarbon recovery, where temperature logs are commonly used  
236 to estimate fluid inflow during hydrocarbon production (e.g. *Williams et al.*,  
237 2000).

## 238 2.2. Inverse Modeling of Borehole Temperature Profiles for Flow Estimation

239 The second step is the inversion of borehole temperature profiles to flow  
240 profiles. For a borehole with no flow, the downhole temperatures are assumed  
241 to follow the geothermal gradient while a reduced temperature gradient im-  
242 plies an increase of the flow velocity under single or cross-borehole flow con-  
243 ditions (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011). In order to study flow and heat transfer at  
244 the borehole scale, we use a numerical model described in detail in *Klepikova*

245 *et al.* (2011).

This model considers a cylindrical borehole (with a radius fixed to  $r_0$ ) surrounded by the rock matrix. The borehole is divided into sections according to the position of flowing fractures inferred from the changepoint modeling (Figure 3). Note, that we do not model the fracture outside the borehole. The model includes heat advection in the borehole with a constant vertical laminar flow and heat dissipation in the surrounding rock matrix. The heat transfer equation under steady state conditions is given by

$$\nabla \cdot (\alpha_i \nabla \theta) - v \nabla \theta = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$  is temperature,  $v$  is the borehole flow velocity,  $i = \{Fluid, Rock\}$ ,  $\alpha_i = k_i / \rho_i C_i$  is the thermal diffusivity,  $k_i$  is the thermal conductivity,  $C_i$  is the heat capacity, and  $\rho_i$  is the density. At the bottom of each borehole section we impose the borehole flow as the sum of all fractures inflows and outflows below the modeled section ( $Q_{fr}$ ). The inflow temperatures (here the bottom temperature for each section) are taken from the measured temperature profile. The rock temperature at the outer vertical boundary is taken from the temperature profile measured in the borehole not affected by vertical flow (the ambient temperature in the rock). The boundary condition at the lower boundary is taken as a background geothermal heat flux (Figure 3).

$$Q = -k_{rock} \gamma, \quad (2)$$

246 where  $\gamma$  is the background geothermal gradient. The temperature at the up-  
 247 per boundary is taken as the surface temperature (or from other constraints  
 248 such as the temperature of a sub-horizontal large-scale fracture).

In this study we propose a new method for automatic inversion of borehole temperature profiles, that significantly facilitates data interpretation. In order to invert temperature profiles to infer flow profiles, we couple the forward model of heat and fluid flow at the borehole scale (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011) with an optimization algorithm. The inverse problem consists of estimating the vertical borehole flow velocities that perturb the temperature profiles observed under different flow conditions. The misfit function,  $FO$ , which evaluates the difference between direct model simulations and temperature measurements, is given by

$$FO = \frac{1}{\sigma_\theta^2} \frac{1}{N_\theta} \sum_1^{N_\theta} (\theta_{obs} - \theta_{mod})^2 \quad (3)$$

249 where  $\theta_{obs}$  are the observed temperatures,  $\theta_{mod}$  are the temperatures pre-  
 250 dicted by the model,  $\sigma_\theta$  is the noise variance associated with temperature  
 251 data and  $N_\theta$  are the number of temperature observations. As we show later,  
 252 the typical objective function for this problem is convex and has a global min-  
 253 ima. The optimization problem is solved by the Nelder-Mead Simplex (NMS)  
 254 algorithm incorporated in the MATLAB optimization Toolbox (*Lagarias et*  
 255 *al.*, 2011). The NMS algorithm is a nonlinear fast local search method that  
 256 does not require derivatives of the objective function and is suited to our  
 257 problem.

258 The uncertainty in the flow velocity values obtained from temperature  
 259 profiles depends on the length of the borehole flowing sections, the tempera-  
 260 ture tool precision, and the flow velocity (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011). In order to  
 261 consider the whole range of possible flow velocities for which the difference  
 262 between the simulated and measured temperature is less than the relative

263 accuracy of the probe, the objective function was normalized to the data er-  
264 ror (Equation 6). Thus the magnitude of the data errors influence the value  
265 of the objective function and the convergence criteria is reached when the  
266 objective function value equals one. Then, when we fit the data, on average,  
267 to within the error, all the solutions for which the objective function value is  
268 in the order of one are acceptable.

### 269 *2.3. Site Scale Flow Inverse Modeling*

270 Once the borehole flow profiles have been inferred from the tempera-  
271 ture profiles, these can be used in order to estimate transmissivities of hy-  
272 draulically active fractures between and around the pumping and observation  
273 boreholes (*Paillet, 1998; Le Borgne et al., 2007; Paillet et al., 2012*). At the  
274 borehole scale, pumping induces flow in the different fractures intersecting  
275 the pumping borehole (Figure 1B). The resulting vertical flow depends on  
276 fracture transmissivities locally to the borehole. At larger scale, pumping  
277 induces hydraulic head variations in flow paths, which in turn drives vertical  
278 flow variations between the fractures intersecting the observation borehole.  
279 The induced vertical flow in the observation borehole depends on the differ-  
280 ent transmissivities of connecting fractures. In particular, the magnitude and  
281 the direction of the vertical flow velocity depends on the difference between  
282 transmissivities of fractures that connect the borehole as well as transmis-  
283 sivities of fractures that interconnect fractures connected to the borehole  
284 (*Klepikova et al., 2013*).

285 Fracture networks often have several sets of fracture connections and in-  
286 terpretations of the results are not straightforward. Recently, we have pro-  
287 posed an inverse modelling framework for flow tomography data that invert

288 single- and cross-borehole flow profiles in order to estimate transmissivities of  
 289 hydraulically active fractures between and around the pumping and observa-  
 290 tion boreholes (*Klepikova et al.*, 2013). This inverse modelling approach uses  
 291 a 3-D steady state numerical flow model (with 2-D flow in each fracture) to  
 292 reproduce borehole flow profiles and borehole drawdowns in a fracture net-  
 293 work. We assume a Darcy flow in the fractures, and the volume flow rate  
 294 per unit fracture length on the fracture is given by

$$u = -\frac{k}{\mu}d\nabla p, \quad (4)$$

where  $k$  describes the fracture permeability ( $m^2$ ),  $d$  is the fracture aperture ( $m$ ). Each fracture is characterized by a value of transmissivity  $T$ , which is given by

$$T = d\frac{k\rho g}{\mu}. \quad (5)$$

We apply zero-head boundary conditions, that means that no ambient flow takes place in the boreholes. Hence, the model results can be compared to field data, with the ambient flow profile subtracted from the pumping profiles (*Paillet*, 1998). In the following flow models, the fracture aperture is fixed at  $d = 1 \cdot 10^{-3} m$ , which is a realistic value as deduced from tracer tests conducted on the same site. To estimate the fracture transmissivities from the cross-borehole flow profiles inferred from the temperature profiles and drawdown measurements we coupled the direct flow model with a quasi-Newton optimization algorithm. The misfit function,  $FO$ , which evaluates the difference between flow model simulations and observations, is given by

$$FO = \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_0^{N_s} (s_{obs} - s_{mod})^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_v^2} \frac{1}{N_v} \sum_0^{N_v} (v_{obs} - v_{mod})^2, \quad (6)$$

295 where  $v_{obs}$  and  $s_{obs}$  are the flowmeter and drawdown observations,  $\sigma_v$  and  
296  $\sigma_s$  are data errors for flow and drawdown respectively,  $N_v$  and  $N_s$  are the  
297 numbers of observations for flow and drawdown respectively,  $v_{mod}$  and  $s_{mod}$   
298 are the velocity and drawdown predicted by the model.

299 We use a simplified fracture network model that attempts to reproduce  
300 basic fracture network connectivity without representing explicitly the com-  
301 plete fracture geometry (length, orientation, dip). Solving the fracture net-  
302 work geometry is not expected to be possible without additional geophysical  
303 data and so we refer to the effective or apparent connectivity to highlight the  
304 simplification. In the fracture network model, the observation and pumping  
305 boreholes are both intersected by horizontal fractures that represent frac-  
306 tures identified previously at borehole-scale (Section 2.1). The horizontal  
307 fractures are connected by a vertical fracture equidistant from both bore-  
308 holes, which allows to take into account cross connections between fractures.  
309 The apparent or effective connectivity between boreholes is simply controlled  
310 by attributing different values of transmissivity to the different sections of  
311 the vertical fracture.

312 An example of the simplified fracture network is given in Figure 2d. We  
313 first define local transmissivities of each fracture zone intersecting the ob-  
314 servation and pumping boreholes ( $T_{B1-1,2}$  and  $T_{B2-1,2}$  in Figure 2d) through  
315 the inversion of ambient and steady pumping single-borehole flow profiles.  
316 In this case the number of parameters ( $T_{B1-1,2}$  and  $T_{B2-1,2}$ ) equals to the  
317 number of observations (1 drawdown and 1 vertical borehole flow velocity  
318 for each well). Then, the inverse approach adjusts transmissivities of the  
319 different sections of the vertical fracture ( $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  in Figure 2d), so



320 that the simulated cross-borehole profile and drawdown in observation well  
321 matches the data. In order to reduce the uncertainty in the model calibra-  
322 tion, we perform a joint inversion of two pumping tests where the pumping  
323 and observation boreholes are reversed for each pair of boreholes. We thus  
324 use 4 observations ( $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ) in order to determine 3 parameters.  
325 Furthermore, we believe that more complex fracture connection patterns in  
326 the interval between the boreholes could be approximated by combination  
327 of basic kinds of connections and we introduce an order of complexity that  
328 matches the information content of the data. These steps allow the inference  
329 of the apparent connectivity and transmissivities of the main flow paths as  
330 well as the transmissivity of fractures that connect the flow paths but do not  
331 cross the boreholes.

### 332 3. Experimental Setting

#### 333 3.1. Experimental Site

334 The temperature tomography experiments were carried out within a frac-  
335 tured rock aquifer at the test-site Stang er Brune (Ploemur, France) (*Le*  
336 *Borgne et al.*, 2007). The site consists of 4 boreholes: borehole B1 (83 *m*  
337 deep), boreholes B2 and B3 (100 *m* deep) and borehole F22 (70 *m* deep). B1,  
338 B2 and B3 form a triangle within a radius of 10 *m* and F22 is 30 *m* from this  
339 triangle (Figure 4A). The geology of the site is characterized by a gently dip-  
340 ping contact between granite and overlying micaschists. This contact zone  
341 intersects boreholes at the following depths: B1 at 38 *m*, B2 at 37 *m*, B3 at  
342 37.5 *m*, and F22 at 13 *m*. Both hydrological and borehole data (*Le Borgne et*  
343 *al.*, 2007) demonstrate the presence of a shallow fracture within a mica-schist

344 formation dipping parallel to the contact zone between granite and overlying  
345 micaschists and intersecting all the boreholes at the site. Moreover, B1, B2  
346 and B3 boreholes are intersected by several permeable fractures within the  
347 granite formation (*Le Borgne et al.*, 2007; *Dorn et al.*, 2012, 2013). The  
348 site is located near a lake and there is a regional or watershed scale upward  
349 flow at this location, resulting from hydraulic head difference between the  
350 deepest confined fractures in granite and the upper mica schist. Flow mea-  
351 surements demonstrated that F22 borehole is not affected by vertical flow.  
352 In the next section, we demonstrate that temperature measurements on the  
353 site are strongly influenced by these hydrogeological conditions.

### 354 3.2. Borehole Temperature Profiles in Ambient Conditions

355 Temperature measurements were conducted under ambient flow condi-  
356 tions with a temperature logging device, the Idronaut CDT 302 Multi-Parameter  
357 Probe with a tool precision of  $0.005^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Figure 4C). All four wells show abrupt  
358 changes in temperature gradient between 10 and 40 meters depth, the exact  
359 depth depending on the borehole. Below this depth, the temperature gradi-  
360 ent is relatively low and variable between the different boreholes. Above this  
361 depth, the temperature gradient changes to conform to the surface tempera-  
362 ture, which is fixed by the mean annual surface temperature equal to about  
363  $T_{surf} = 12.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

364 The observed site-scale temperature field is typical of the one perturbed  
365 by a gently dipping structure where fluids of greater temperature than the  
366 surrounding rocks are flowing from depth to sub-surface (e.g. *Ge*, 1998; *Saar*,  
367 2011). The corresponding flow pattern is shown in Figure 4B. For each  
368 borehole, the depths of change in gradient, F22 at 8 m, B1 at 24 m, B2 at 25

369  $m$  and B3 at 36.5  $m$ , correspond to the depths of the first shallow fracture  
370 in mica-schists, which was reported by *Le Borgne et al.* (2007). Fluid flow in  
371 this fracture advects heat and because water in the conduit is assumed well  
372 mixed it provides a constant temperature boundary condition. Consequently,  
373 this process distorts the otherwise continuous linear geothermal profile (*Saar,*  
374 2011).

375 Below the sub-horizontal fracture in mica-schist, the boreholes have dif-  
376 ferent temperature gradients. The highest thermal gradient  $\gamma = 0.016 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}/m$   
377 was measured in the F22 well. This borehole has no significant ambient verti-  
378 cal flow due to its very low permeability and so the temperature field is dom-  
379 inated by the upward conductive heat transfer. Thus, the F22 temperature-  
380 depth profile may be considered representative of the temperature of the  
381 surrounding rock at the site.

382 While this groundwater flow in the mica-schist influences the tempera-  
383 ture field of the whole site, the temperature gradients variations in granite  
384 seem to have much less regional influence. In boreholes B1, B2, and B3 the  
385 temperature gradients measured below 30-40 meters are typically lower than  
386 the geothermal gradient estimated from F22. This is the result of upward  
387 advective flow between flowing fractures as revealed by borehole flow logs  
388 (*Klepikova et al.*, 2011).

389 Furthermore, for all boreholes a slight change in temperature gradient is  
390 observed at the depth of the contact zone between granite and micaschists  
391 (see previous section), that is shown by the black line in Figure 4C. These  
392 thermal gradient variations are due to the higher thermal conductivity of  
393 granite compared to micaschists. Moreover, the B3 temperature profile in

394 Figure 4 shows abrupt temperature changes at 45 *m* and 80 *m*, which cor-  
395 respond to depths of fractures reported by *Le Borgne et al.* (2007). These  
396 anomalies are explained by the localized lateral advection of colder water  
397 within narrow fractures in granite intersecting the borehole (*Ge*, 1998). To  
398 summarize our observations, the borehole temperature distributions reflect  
399 five dominant factors:

- 400 • upward conductive heat transfer through the rocks reflected as a con-  
401 tinuous increase of temperature with depth,
- 402 • gently dipping groundwater flow in micaschists of warmer (deeper) ori-  
403 gin,
- 404 • advection of heat by the vertical flow in the boreholes,
- 405 • localized lateral advective transfer of water within narrow fractures,
- 406 • variations in thermal properties of rock.

### 407 3.3. Temperature Tomography Experiments

408 After measuring the ambient temperature profiles and hydraulic heads  
409 in all boreholes, three successive cross-hole pumping tests were conducted  
410 in B1, B2 and B3 with temperature monitoring in all boreholes. For the  
411 temperature tomography study, the temperature profiles need to be measured  
412 a sufficient time after pumping to ensure steady state has been reached. To  
413 monitor this, a set of 7 thermistors was centered permanently within each  
414 well. The number of transducers was chosen to be able to control all borehole  
415 sections between the flowing fractures. To record temperature variations

416 with time for the given depths, the acquisition time of 20 s was chosen.  
417 An example of temporal evolution of temperature is given in Figure 5D.  
418 These data show that thermal steady state for each particular depth and  
419 well was reached in 1 – 2 hours after switching on the pumping, depending  
420 on the pumping and observation locations. The temperature variations with  
421 time were not used in the subsequent analysis for this study. However, the  
422 interpretation of transient data could also provide other useful information,  
423 such as thermal diffusivity values.

424 Prior to starting the next pumping test the pressure and temperature  
425 were allowed to recover for each experiment. The first cross-borehole pump-  
426 ing test took place in well B3 with a pumping rate of  $Q_{B3} = 154 \pm 3$   
427  $l/min$ . Subsequently, we conducted pumping tests in B2 well (pumping rate  
428  $Q_{B2} = 136 \pm 14 l/min$ ), and then in B1 well (pumping rate  $Q_{B1} = 77 \pm 2$   
429  $l/min$ ). Thus, the full data set consists of 9 hydraulic heads and 9 tem-  
430 perature profiles: 3 ambient profiles and 6 profiles when pumping in the  
431 neighboring well. The temperature profiles were measured with a tempera-  
432 ture logging device (The Idronaut CDT 302 Multi-Parameter Probe). During  
433 the experiment it was observed that upward temperature logs often exhibit  
434 slightly higher temperatures than downward logs. In this work we consid-  
435 ered only downward logs, as we believe that it creates less perturbation of  
436 the temperature field. The collected steady-state temperature-depth profile  
437 are shown in Figure 5, and these clearly show the sensitivity of temperature  
438 measurements to changes in pumping conditions.

## 439 4. Results

440 In this section, we present results of the application of the inverse mod-  
441 elling framework to data from Stanger Brune field site. We firstly infer  
442 the location and number of flowing fractures intersecting the boreholes by  
443 applying changepoint modelling to temperature profiles. Then, we assess  
444 inter-borehole connections properties by inverting the temperature tomogra-  
445 phy data set. Finally, we discuss the corresponding uncertainty estimates.

### 446 4.1. Permeable Fracture Identification at Borehole Scale

447 In order to detect flowing fractures intersecting the boreholes, we ap-  
448 ply changepoint modelling e.g. (Gallagher *et al.*, 2011) to temperature pro-  
449 files under ambient and single-borehole pumping flow conditions. Figure 6  
450 presents ambient (A) and pumping (B) temperature profiles (with a pumping  
451 rate  $Q = 20 \text{ l/min}$ ) measured in B1 borehole, the inferred changepoint struc-  
452 tures (red line) and probability distributions on the changepoint locations for  
453 both flow conditions. These change point structures were determined assum-  
454 ing that the noise level for these temperature data equal to the  $\pm 0.005 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ,  
455 that correspond to the precision of the tool. Locations of the changepoints  
456 inferred from the temperature profile under ambient flow conditions are the  
457 following:  $z = 24$  and  $38 \text{ m}$ . They correspond to the depths of the first shal-  
458 low fracture in mica-schists and the depth of the contact zone between granite  
459 and mica-schists. As discussed in the section 3.2, the contrast in gradient  
460 at the depth of the first shallow fracture in mica-schists is due to constant  
461 temperature boundary condition, provided by this fracture. The change in  
462 gradient at  $38 \text{ m}$  in B1 is due to the contrast in thermal conductivity of the

463 surrounding rocks. This example demonstrates that analysis of temperature  
464 profiles under ambient conditions can reveal changes in temperature gradient  
465 that are not related to flow in the borehole itself (e.g. contrast in thermal  
466 properties of rock, low transmissive fractures carrying flow of contrast tem-  
467 perature).

468 The locations of the most probable changepoints inferred from the tem-  
469 perature profile under pumping conditions are the following:  $z = 24, 50.9,$   
470  $60.9$  and  $78.7$  m. They correspond well to fracture locations in B1, identified  
471 previously by flowmeter tests (*Le Borgne et al.*, 2007) and ground-penetrating  
472 radar (*Dorn et al.*, 2012). The increase in the number of inferred change-  
473 points for the pumping conditions means that the sensitivity of the method  
474 could be improved by increasing the pumping rate. However, as discussed in  
475 *Klepikova et al.* (2011) there is a limited range of flow velocities for which  
476 changes in flow produces measurable changes in the thermal gradient. Thus,  
477 for too large flow velocities the temperature anomaly propagates too fast to  
478 allow for measurable loss of heat to the rock formation. For too small flow  
479 velocities, the temperature anomaly equilibrates quickly with the surround-  
480 ing rock temperature. In practice, the estimated temperature changes in a  
481 given borehole section between two flowing fractures should be larger than  
482 the measurement error. For our experimental conditions, we found that the  
483 value of  $Q = 20$  l/min is optimal as further increasing the pumping rate  
484 implies that the temperature profile would appear to be completely straight.

485 After applying the changepoint modelling method to other boreholes, the  
486 depths of the inferred most probable changepoints are  $z = 24, 56$  and  $79$   
487 in B2 borehole and  $z = 35, 45$  and  $80$  in B3 borehole. These depths are

488 also consistent with fractures that were identified as being transmissive by  
 489 single-borehole flowmeter tests (*Le Borgne et al.*, 2007), demonstrating the  
 490 potential of changepoint modelling in the automatic detection of the main  
 491 transmissive fractures from temperature profiles.

#### 492 4.2. Inverse Modeling of Borehole Temperature Profiles for Flow Estimation

493 Having detected the flowing fractures, we simulate flow and temperature  
 494 advection for each borehole from the first bottom transmissive fracture up  
 495 to the shallowest transmissive fracture. The rock temperature at the outer  
 496 boundary of the model borehole is inferred from the temperature profile mea-  
 497 sured in F22 as it is not affected by borehole flow. The thermal properties  
 498 of the rock matrix were chosen to be equal to the mean thermal properties  
 499 measured in laboratory on samples from B1 borehole. Note, that we tested  
 500 in our numerical model what could be the consequence of uncertainties about  
 501 thermal conductivity and we found that the resulting uncertainty about ve-  
 502 locity estimation remains within a few percent. Thus, the granite thermal  
 503 conductivity is given by  $k_{Rock} = 3.31 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$ , the heat capacity of the gran-  
 504 ite is given by  $C_{Rock} = 738 \text{ J/kg}^\circ\text{C}$ . The values for water properties are given  
 505 by  $k_{Fluid} = 0.59 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$  and  $C_{Fluid} = 4189 \text{ J/kg}^\circ\text{C}$  respectively (*Incropera*  
 506 *and DeWitt*, 1996).

507 A typical example of the objective function versus the vertical borehole  
 508 flow velocity is presented in Figure 7. In this figure the optimal flow velocity  
 509 ( $v_{opt}$ ) is presented for the part of temperature profile measured in B1 borehole  
 510 (over the depth range 60.9 – 78.7 m) while pumping in B2. In order to quan-  
 511 tify the uncertainty on this flow velocity, we determine the range of possible  
 512 flow velocities ( $v_{min}$ ,  $v_{max}$ ) for which the objective function is less than one



513 and thus the difference between the simulated and measured temperature is  
514 less than the relative accuracy of the probe (Equation 6). The objective func-  
515 tion is found to be most sensitive for  $v = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} - 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m/s}$  flow velocity  
516 range. For larger velocities the temperature anomaly propagates too fast to  
517 allow for significant temperature change by heat loss to the rock formation.  
518 Then for flow velocities larger than  $v = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m/s}$  the temperature profile  
519 becomes completely straight and the objective function becomes insensitive  
520 to velocity. It is difficult to affirm that we found a global minima. However,  
521 for all cases considered in this study, the objective function was found to be  
522 smooth and convex thus enable efficient minimization.

523 The inversion results show that vertical borehole flow occurs in all bore-  
524 holes under ambient conditions. In order to check the accuracy of the es-  
525 timated flow profiles, we measured flow profiles directly with heat-pulse  
526 flowmeter for some hydrodynamic conditions (ambient and during pump-  
527 ing in B2 borehole). The heat pulse flowmeter can measure flow velocities as  
528 small as  $0.5 \text{ L/min}$  (Paillet, 2004). The uncertainty on the velocity values  
529 obtained from temperature profiles varies between  $0.1$  and  $0.5 \text{ L/min}$  de-  
530 pending on the length of the borehole flowing sections and the flow velocity.  
531 The flow velocities obtained from temperature measurements are compared  
532 in Figure 8 to flow measured directly with a flowmeter under the same hy-  
533 drodynamic conditions. It appears that the method allows the reliable esti-  
534 mation of flow velocities for a large range of flow, although the model slightly  
535 underestimates flow for larger flow velocities. A possible reason for this may  
536 be that the upper limit of the applicability of the model was reached for this  
537 particular borehole section. Overall, however, the inversion of all measured

538 temperature profiles provides a complete and continuous flow velocity data  
539 set for flow tomography.

#### 540 4.3. Site Scale Flow Inverse Modelling

541 We now apply the flow tomography framework in order to estimate the  
542 transmissivities of hydraulically active fractures between and around each  
543 borehole pair. To model flow between boreholes, the fracture network geom-  
544 etry has been simplified as described in Section 2.3 and we couple the forward  
545 model with the inverse algorithm. The partial differential equation (Equa-  
546 tion 4) was solved with the finite element code Comsol Multiphysics 4.2a  
547 with a fine tetrahedral meshing. A set of 20 starting transmissivity models  
548 is generated for each boreholes pair to search for a minimum of the objective  
549 functions. Note that the computation time for one direct simulation is about  
550 2 minutes, while the solution converges generally after several hundred iter-  
551 ations. Thus, the number of starting points was limited by computing time  
552 for these modelling runs. For each borehole pair several solutions were found  
553 to satisfy the convergence criteria. As all acceptable solutions were found  
554 to be similar (except few cases discussed below), we consider only the 'best'  
555 solution providing the minimum of the objective function. Nevertheless, we  
556 accept the possibility that some solutions may correspond to local minima of  
557 the objective function. This can be addressed to some extent by increasing  
558 the number of the starting models if desired.

559 The inverted parameter estimates are shown in Figures 9, 10 and 11 and  
560 synthesized in Table 1. Our results show that fracture transmissivities at the  
561 site range from  $10^{-6}$  to  $2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , which is in general agreement with other  
562 studies at the same site (*Le Borgne et al.*, 2007; *Dorn et al.*, 2012, 2013). The

563 obtained solution yields the best fit to measured borehole drawdowns and the  
564 flow tomography data inverted from temperature profiles. The comparison  
565 of flow tomography data, including drawdowns  $s$  and variations of vertical  
566 borehole flow velocities during cross-borehole pumping  $\Delta v$  in observation  
567 boreholes, and inversion results is given in Table 2. This shows that the  
568 predicted and measured flow and drawdowns values are generally in good  
569 agreement for the cross-borehole tests.

570 To explain qualitatively the results, we discuss the relationship between  
571 the inferred connectivity patterns (transmissivities of different sections of the  
572 vertical fracture  $T_i$ ) and variations of vertical borehole flow velocities during  
573 cross-borehole pumping. The results for the B1-B2 borehole pair (Figure 9,  
574 Table 1) demonstrate that the most transmissive fracture connection is the  
575 one at a depth of 50  $m$  that connect B1-3 and B2-2 fractures ( $\log T_3 = -2.8$ ).  
576 In contrast, the deep fractures, B1-4 and B2-4, are found to be poorly con-  
577 nected ( $\log T_5 = -5.8$ ). These results can be understood with reference to  
578 Table 2, where flow tomography data (drawdowns  $s$  and variations of vertical  
579 borehole flow velocities  $\Delta v$  during cross-borehole pumping tests in observa-  
580 tion boreholes) are presented. For the B1-B2 borehole pair, we found an  
581 increase of upward flow for all sections of both boreholes. Flow in the ob-  
582 servation well is directly towards the fracture that transmits most drawdown  
583 from the pumping well. Thus, this explains the strong connection found  
584 for B1-3 and B2-2 fractures and it implies that overall transmissivities of  
585 fractures connecting the B1-B2 borehole pair should decrease with depth.  
586 Similarly, for the B1-B3 and B2-B3 borehole pairs, an increase in upward  
587 flow in both boreholes during cross-borehole pumping tests (Table 2) implies

588 good fracture connections for the shallow fracture and less connectivity of  
589 deep fractures.

590 For the fracture connection discussed above, the transmissivities  $T_i$  were  
591 similar for all solution. However, for few cases the parameter estimations  
592 were found to be uncertain. In order to explain this we refer to the sensi-  
593 tivity analysis for flow tomography approach conducted in our recent study  
594 (*Klepikova et al.*, 2013). This sensitivity analysis demonstrates that for small  
595 borehole flows, similar velocities can be produced by different combinations  
596 of fracture transmissivities, implying that the uncertainty about parameter  
597 estimations increases as borehole flow decreases. Thus, large flow velocities  
598 in deep borehole sections (Table 2) provide a strong constraint for deep frac-  
599 ture connections for the B1-B2 and B1-B3 borehole pairs. For instance, for  
600 the B1-B2 borehole pair, to maximize the difference in hydraulic heads draw-  
601 ing these velocities, the transmissivity of the  $T_3$  fracture connection should  
602 be maximized, while the transmissivity of the  $T_4$  fracture connection should  
603 be minimized. In contrast, small flow velocities in shallow borehole sections  
604 implies that the estimates of the parameters  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are rather uncertain.  
605 For the fracture network connecting the B2-B3 borehole pair, small flow ve-  
606 locities in both wells (Table 2), do not provide a strong constraint for the  
607 interconnection fracture transmissivities and the estimations of  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  
608  $T_4$  vary within two orders of magnitude.

609 The most transmissive fracture connections at the site can be summarized  
610 as follows:

- 611 •  $B1 - B2$  borehole pair is mainly connected through  $B1 - 2$  and  $B2 - 2$
- 612 •  $B1 - B3$  borehole pair is mainly connected through the cluster that

613 consists of  $B3 - 1$ ,  $B3 - 2$ ,  $B1 - 1$  and  $B1 - 2$  fractures

614 •  $B2 - B3$  borehole pair is mainly connected through 2 independent  
615 clusters. The first one consists of  $B2 - 2$ ,  $B3 - 1$  and  $B3 - 2$ , and the  
616 second one consists of  $B2 - 4$  and  $B3 - 3$ .

## 617 5. Comparison With Results From Flowmeter Tests and Ground- 618 Penetrating Radar Data

619 Analysis of fracture connections on this field site have been also conducted  
620 by *Le Borgne et al.* (2007), *Dorn et al.* (2012) and *Dorn et al.* (2013). *Le*  
621 *Borgne et al.* (2007) used televiwer data together with cross-borehole single  
622 packer testing and cross-borehole flowmeter testing at the site to characterize  
623 fracture hydraulic connections. Comparison with our results demonstrates  
624 that temperature based approach provides consistent results with very few  
625 exceptions. Thus, flowmeter tests and packer tests both confirm that B2 well  
626 is connected to B1 and B3 wells mostly through B2-2 fracture. The main  
627 difference concerns to the connection of B2-4 fracture zone to B1 borehole,  
628 which we find here to be poorly connected (Figure 9). *Le Borgne et al.* (2007)  
629 found that, although the main head variation during single packer tests is  
630 occurring in the B2-2 fracture zone in B2 when pumping in B1, the  $B2 - 4$   
631 fracture zone appears also to be connected to B1.

632 *Dorn et al.* (2012) used tracer test data combined with single-hole ground-  
633 penetrating radar (GPR) data to characterize pattern of fractures that con-  
634 tribute to tracer transport in between B1 and B2 wells. The images obtained  
635 confirmed the existence of a network of connected fractures including the  
636 B2-2, B2-4 and B1-4 fractures. However, fractures that contribute to tracer

637 transport are not necessarily those that provide the significant contribution  
638 to flow (*Dorn et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, for some fracture patterns, our  
639 conceptual approach introduces some constraints on fracture connections.  
640 For instance, in our approach, the B2-4 fracture can not be connected to  
641 any other fracture without being connected to the B1-4 fracture. A possible  
642 solution to tackle the problem would be the use of more realistic fracture  
643 geometry provided through geophysical data (*Dorn et al.*, 2012).

644 *Dorn et al.* (2013) used hydraulic, tracer, televiewer and GPR reflec-  
645 tion data to generate stochastic 3-D discrete fracture models in the vicinity  
646 of the B1 and B2 boreholes such that these fracture networks agree with  
647 all available data. They also performed flow simulations on the proposed  
648 discrete fracture networks in order to derive the effective transmissivity of  
649 hydraulic connections between the boreholes. Their values of the effective  
650 transmissivities varied in the range of  $10^{-6} - 10^{-3} m^2/s$  that matches well  
651 with our estimates. For the individual hydraulic connections, they found the  
652 B1-4 - B2-2 fracture connection to be the most transmissive, and that B1-2  
653 and B1-3 fractures are well connected to B2 borehole, which is in agreement  
654 with our results in Figure 9. As expected, the fracture network geometry  
655 inferred from GPR data is much more complex than the conceptualization  
656 used in the present study. In particular, we didn't include in our model  
657 two fractures, intersected B2 borehole at 49 and 52 m depth (*Dorn et al.*,  
658 2013). However, as flow prediction made by our flow model are reasonable  
659 and flow contributions of these fractures are negligible, we believe that these  
660 simplifications not change a lot in terms of fracture network transmissivity.  
661 This point emphasize that both methods are complementary: geometry can

662 be constrained from geophysical data, whereas hydraulic properties can be  
663 inferred from flow tomography data.

## 664 **6. Discussions and Conclusions**

665 The temperature tomography approach (i.e. sequential borehole temper-  
666 ature logging under cross-borehole flow conditions) has been proposed here  
667 as a method to characterize the connectivity and transmissivity of preferen-  
668 tial permeable flow paths in fractured aquifers. An inverse model framework  
669 was developed to estimate log-transformed transmissivity values of hydraulically  
670 active fractures between and around borehole pairs. We first detect the  
671 main permeable fractures through inversion of borehole temperature profiles  
672 under pumping conditions. Then we apply a borehole-scale flow and tem-  
673 perature model to produce flowmeter profiles by inversion of temperature  
674 profiles. Finally we invert the obtained cross-borehole flowmeter profiles in  
675 order to infer inter-borehole fracture connectivity and transmissivities.

676 The method proposed has been successfully applied to temperature to-  
677 mography data obtained from a fractured rock aquifer. The results of ap-  
678 plication of the proposed approach to the Stang Er Brune experimental site  
679 (Ploemeur) can be synthesized as follows:

- 680 • A general flow pattern for the experimental site is proposed based on  
681 the analysis of borehole temperature profiles under ambient flow con-  
682 ditions.
- 683 • The inversion of single-borehole flow and cross-borehole temperature  
684 data is shown to allow the detection of the main fractures at the site  
685 and to image their hydraulic properties.

- 686 • In some cases of multi-fracture connections it appears difficult to pro-  
687 pose a simple conceptual model of flow and connectivity.

688 These first applications are encouraging in that, even though the fracture  
689 network geometry has been simplified, the estimates of fracture connectivity  
690 and hydraulic properties are generally consistent with other data sets avail-  
691 able on this site. In the future, tracer experiments and geophysical surveys  
692 (*Dorn et al.*, 2012) may be coupled with temperature data to assess the over-  
693 all fracture network geometry and its hydraulic properties. Furthermore, a  
694 possible extension of this inverse approach could exploit simultaneous joint  
695 inversion of multiple pumping tests with more than two boreholes to identify  
696 and characterize a connected fracture cluster all over the site.

697 The temperature tomography approach proposed in this study has some  
698 limitations. First, the method is not sufficiently sensitive to identify all  
699 flowing fractures in a given borehole and only allows the detection of the  
700 most transmissive fractures. Second, the capacity of this approach is lim-  
701 ited when cross-borehole pumping induces similar hydraulic head variations  
702 within flow paths connecting borehole pair. In this case, the resulting ve-  
703 locity in the concerned section of observation borehole is close to zero and  
704 uncertainty about corresponding parameter drastically increases. Third, as  
705 the approach is based on indirect measurements of temperature, in order  
706 to obtain detectable temperature variations, significant flow velocities are  
707 required to apply successfully the methodology proposed (*Klepikova et al.*,  
708 2011). Finally, it also requires the temperature to change with depth.

709 Although there are some limitations, we argue that the temperature to-  
710 mography method is a promising alternative to hydraulic tomography tests



711 that require the use of straddle packers. In particular, the temperature to-  
712 mography approach was found to be clearly useful for fractured rock aquifers  
713 as Ploemeur field site (*Le Borgne et al.*, 2006, 2007). The method is also  
714 likely to be applicable to field sites with significant flow velocities such as  
715 karst aquifers (e.g. *Chatelier et al.*, 2011). Another interesting question of  
716 investigation is whether the method proposed could be used to characterize  
717 alluvial aquifers. However, getting necessary information in such type of en-  
718 vironment will probably require more detailed temperature measurements.  
719 Further work is required to answer this question.

## 720 **7. Acknowledgments**

721 This work was supported by the European Marie Curie network IMVUL  
722 (Grant Agreement 212298), by the National Research Observatory H+, by  
723 the European Interreg IV project CLIMAWAT, and by the ANR project  
724 CRITEX, ANR-11-EQPX-0011 "Investissements d'avenir".

## 725 **References**

- 726 Anderson, M. P. (2005), Heat as a ground water tracer, *Ground Water*, 43(6),  
727 951–968.
- 728 Barton, C. A. and Zoback, M. D. and Moos, D. (1995), Fluid flow along  
729 potentially active faults in crystalline rock, *Geology*, 23(8).
- 730 Barton, C. A. and M. D. Zoback (1992), Self-Similar Distribution and Prop-  
731 erties of Macroscopic Fractures at Depth in Crystalline Rock in the Cajon

732 Pass Scientific Drill Hole, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(B4), 5181–  
733 5200.

734 Bense, V. F., Person, M. A., Chaudhary, K., You, Y., Cremer, N., and S.  
735 Simon (2008), Thermal anomalies indicate preferential flow along faults  
736 in unconsolidated sedimentary aquifers, *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
737 35(24), L24406, doi:10.1029/2008GL036017.

738 Berg, S.J., and W.A. Illman (2013), Field Study of Subsurface Heterogeneity  
739 with Steady-State Hydraulic Tomography, *Ground Water*, 51(1), 29–40.

740 Berkowitz B. (2002), Characterizing flow and transport in fractured geolog-  
741 ical media: A review, *Advances in Water Resources*, 25, 861–884.

742 Bidaux, P., and C. Drogue (1993), Calculation of low-range flow velocities  
743 in fractured carbonate media from borehole hydrochemical logging data  
744 comparison with thermometric results, *Ground Water*, 31(1), 19–26.

745 Brauchler, R., R. Liedl and P. Dietrich (2003), A travel time based hy-  
746 draulic tomographic approach, *Water Resource Research*, 39(12), 1370,  
747 doi10.1029/2003WR002262.

748 Bredehoeft, J. H., and I. S. Papadopoulos (1965), Rates of vertical groundwa-  
749 ter movement estimated from the Earth's thermal profile, *Water Resource*  
750 *Research*, 1, 325–328.

751 Chatelier, M., S. Ruelleu, O. Bour, G. Porel, and F. Delay (2011), Combined  
752 fluid temperature and flow logging for the characterization of hydraulic  
753 structure in a fractured karst aquifer, *Journal of Hydrology*, 400, 377-386.

- 754 Deming D. (1993), Regional permeability estimates from investigations of  
755 coupled heat and groundwater flow, North Slope of Alaska, *Journal of*  
756 *Geophysical Research*, *98*, 16271–16286.
- 757 Dorn C., N. Linde, T. Le Borgne, O. Bour, and M. Klepikova (2012), In-  
758 ferring transport characteristics in a fractured rock aquifer by combining  
759 single-hole GPR reflection monitoring and tracer test data, *Water Resource*  
760 *Research*, *48*, W11521, doi10.1029/2011WR011739.
- 761 Dorn C., N. Linde, T. Le Borgne, O. Bour, and J.-R. de Dreuzy  
762 (2013), Conditioning of stochastic 3-D fracture networks to hydrolog-  
763 ical and geophysical data, *Advances in Water Resources*, *62*, 79-89,  
764 doi10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.10.005.
- 765 Elci, A., Molz, F. J. and Waldrop, W. R. (2001), Implications of Observed  
766 and Simulated Ambient Flow in Monitoring Wells, *Ground Water*, *39*,  
767 853862. doi10.1111/j.1745-6584.2001.tb02473.x.
- 768 Ferguson G. (2006), Perturbation of ground surface temperature reconstruc-  
769 tions by groundwater flow, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *33*, L13708,  
770 doi10.1029/2006GL026634.
- 771 Flynn T. (1985), *Water temperature as a groundwater tracer in fractured*  
772 *rock*, M.S. thesis, Univ. of Arizona, Tucson.
- 773 Freifeld, B. M., S. Finsterle, T. C. Onstott, P. Toole, and L. M. Pratt  
774 (2008), Ground surface temperature reconstructions: Using in situ es-  
775 timates for thermal conductivity acquired with a fiber-optic distributed

776 thermal perturbation sensor, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L14309,  
777 doi10.1029/2008GL034762.

778 Gallagher, K., Bodin, T., Sambridge, M., Weiss, D., Kylander, M., Large,  
779 D. (2011), Inference of abrupt changes in noisy geochemical records using  
780 transdimensional changepoint models, *Earth and Planetary Science Let-*  
781 *ters*, 311(1), 182–194, doi10.1016/j.epsl.2011.09.015.

782 Garibaldi, C., Guillou-Frottier, L., Lardeaux, J. M., Bonte, D., Lopez, S.,  
783 Bouchot, V. and Ledru, P. (2010), Thermal anomalies and geological struc-  
784 tures in the Provence basin: Implications for hydrothermal circulations at  
785 depth, *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, 181(4), 363-376.

786 Ge, S. M. (1998), Estimation of groundwater velocity in localized fracture  
787 zones from well temperature profiles, *Journal of Volcanology and Geother-*  
788 *mal Research*, 84(1–2), 93-101.

789 Genter, A., Castaing, C., Dezayes, C., Tenzer, H., Traineau, H., villemain, T.  
790 (1997), Comparative analysis of direct (core) and indirect (borehole imag-  
791 ing tools) collection of fracture data in the Hot Dry rock soultz reservoir  
792 (France), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(B7), 1541915431.

793 Hess, A. E. (1986), Identifying hydraulically conductive fractures with a slow  
794 velocity borehole flowmeter, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 23, 69–78.

795 Illman, W. A.,X. Liu,S. Takeuchi, T.-C. J. Yeh, K. Ando, and H. Sae-  
796 gusa (2009), Hydraulic tomography in fractured granite: Mizunami Un-  
797 derground Research site, Japan, *Water Resource Research*, 45, W01406,  
798 doi10.1029/2007WR006715.

- 799 Incropera and DeWitt (1996), *Fundamentals of heat and mass transfer*, 4th  
800 ed., *New York: Wiley*.
- 801 Klepikova, M. V., T. Le Borgne., O. Bour, P. Davy (2011), A methodology for  
802 using temperature-depth profiles under ambient, single and cross-borehole  
803 pumping conditions to estimate fracture hydraulic properties, *Journal of*  
804 *Hydrology*, 407(1-4), 145–152, doi10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.018.
- 805 Klepikova, M. V., T. Le Borgne., O. Bour, J.-R. de Dreuzy, Inverse mod-  
806 elling of flow tomography experiments in fractured media, *Water Resource*  
807 *Research*, 49(11), 7255–7265, doi10.1002/2013WR013722.
- 808 Keys, W. S. (1979), Borehole geophysics in igneous and metamorphic rocks,  
809 *Trans. SPWLA Annual Logging Syrup.*, 20th, 407, 1-26.
- 810 Lagarias, J.C., J. A. Reeds, M. H. Wright, and P. E. Wright (1998), Conver-  
811 gence Properties of the Nelder-Mead Simplex Method in Low Dimensions,  
812 *SIAM Journal of Optimization*, 9(1), 112–147.
- 813 Leaf, A. T., D. J. Hart, J. M. Bahr (2012), Active thermal tracer tests  
814 for improved hydrostratigraphic characterization, *Ground Water*, 50(5),  
815 doi10.1111/j.1745-6584.2012.00913.x.
- 816 Jolivet, J., G. Bienfait, J. L. Vignerresse, and M. Cuney (1988), Heat flow  
817 and heat production in Brittany (Western France), *Tectonophysics*, 159,  
818 61-72.
- 819 Le Borgne, T., Paillet, F.L., Bour, O., Caudal, J.-P. (2006), Cross-borehole

820 flowmeter tests for transient heads in heterogeneous aquifers, *Ground Wa-*  
821 *ter*, 44, doi10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.00150.x.

822 Le Borgne, T., O. Bour, M.S. Riley, P. Gouze, P.A. Pezard, A. Belghoul,  
823 G. Lods, R. Le Provost, R. B. Gresswell, P. A. Ellis, E. Isakov, and B. J.  
824 Last (2007), Comparison of alternative methodologies for identifying and  
825 characterizing preferential flow paths in heterogeneous aquifers, *Journal of*  
826 *Hydrology*, 345(3-4), 134–148, doi10.1016/j.jhydro1.2007.07.007.

827 Mwenifumbo, C. J. (1993), Temperature logging in mineral exploration,  
828 *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 30, 297–313.

829 Newhouse, M. W., J. A. Izbicki and G. A. Smith (2005), TComparison of  
830 velocity-log data collected using impeller and electromagnetic flowmeters,  
831 *Ground Water*, 43, 434-438.

832 Paillet, F. L. (1998), Flow modeling and permeability estimation using bore-  
833 hole flow logs in heterogeneous fractured formations, *Water Resource Re-*  
834 *search*, 34(5), 997–1010.

835 Paillet, F. L. (2000), A field technique for estimating aquifer parameters  
836 using flow log data, *Ground Water*, 38(4), 510–521.

837 Paillet, F. L. (2004), Borehole flowmeter applications in irregular and large-  
838 diameter boreholes, *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 55, 39–59.

839 Paillet, F. L., J. H. Williams, J. Urik, J. Lukes, M. Kobr and S. Mares  
840 (2012), Cross-borehole flow analysis to characterize fracture connections

841 in the Melechov Granite, Bohemian-Moravian Highland, Czech Republic,  
842 *Hydrogeology Journal*, 20(1), 143–154, doi10.1007/s10040-011-0787-1.

843 Pehme, P.E., B.L. Parker, J.A. Cherry, and J.P. Greenhouse (2010), Im-  
844 proved resolution of ambient flow through fractured rock with temperature  
845 logs, *Ground Water*, 48(2), 191-205.

846 Pehme, P.E., B.L. Parker, J.A. Cherry, J.W. Molson and J.P. Greenhouse  
847 (2013), Enhanced detection of hydraulically active fractures by temper-  
848 ature profiling in lined heated bedrock boreholes, *Journal of Hydrology*,  
849 48(0), 1–15.

850 Perry, H. K. C., C. Jaupart, J.-C. Mareschal, and G. Bienfait (2006), Crustal  
851 heat production in the Superior Province, Canadian Shield, and in North  
852 America inferred from heat flow data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, B04401,  
853 doi10.1029/2005JB003893.

854 Quinn, P.M., J.A. Cherry, B.L. Parker (2011), Quantification of non-Darcian  
855 flow observed during packer testing in fractured rock, *Water Resource Re-*  
856 *source*, 47(9), W09533, doi10.1029/2010WR009681.

857 Read, T., O. Bour, V. F. Bense, T. Le Borgne, P. Goderniaux, M. Klepikova,  
858 R. Hochreutener, N. Lavenant and V. Boschero (2013), Characterizing  
859 groundwater flow and heat transport in fractured rock using fiber-optic dis-  
860 tributed temperature sensing, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(10), 2055–  
861 2059, doi10.1002/grl.50397.

862 Reiter, M. (2001), Using precision temperature logs to estimate horizon-

- 863 tal and vertical groundwater flow components, *Water Resource Research*,  
864 *37*(3), 663–674.
- 865 Saar, M. O. (2011), Review: Geothermal heat as a tracer of large-scale  
866 groundwater flow and as a means to determine permeability field, *Hy-*  
867 *drogeology Journal*, *19*, 31–52.
- 868 Sawdey, J.R., and A.S. Reeve (2012), Automated inverse computer model-  
869 ing of borehole flow data in heterogeneous aquifers, *Computers and Geo-*  
870 *sciences*, *46*, 219–228.
- 871 Silliman, S. and Robinson, R. (1989), Identifying Fracture Interconnections  
872 Between Boreholes Using Natural Temperature Profiling: I. Conceptual  
873 Basis, *Ground Water*, *27*, 393402, doi10.1111/j.1745-6584.1989.tb00463.x.
- 874 Shapiro, A. M. and Hsieh, P. A.(1998), How good are estimates of transmis-  
875 sivity from slug tests in fractured rock?, *Ground Water*, *36*, 37–48.
- 876 Sharmeen, R., W. A. Illman, S. J. Berg, T.-C. J. Yeh, Y.-J. Park, E. A. Su-  
877 dicky, and K. Ando (2012), Transient hydraulic tomography in a fractured  
878 dolostone: Laboratory rock block experiments, *Water Resource Resource*,  
879 *48*, W10532, doi10.1029/2012WR012216.
- 880 Tarantola, A. (2004), Inverse Problem Theory and Model Parameter Esti-  
881 mation, *SIAM*.
- 882 Wagner, V. , Li, T., Bayer, P. and Leven, C., Dietrich, P. and Blum, P.  
883 (2013), Thermal tracer testing in a sedimentary aquifer: field experiment



884 (Lauswiesen, Germany) and numerical simulation, *Hydrogeology Journal*,  
885 doi10.1007/s10040-013-1059-z.

886 Williams, G. R., G, Brown, W. Hawthorne, A. H. Hartog and P. C. Waite  
887 (2000), Distributed temperature sensing (DTS) to characterize the per-  
888 formance of producing oil wells, *Proc. SPIE, Industrial Sensing Systems*,  
889 doihttp://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.411726.

890 Yeh T. C. Jim and S. Liu (2000), Hydraulic tomography: Development of a  
891 new aquifer test method, *Water Resources Research*, 36(8), 2095–2105.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT




Figure 1: Illustration of a typical groundwater flow and temperature fields for a pair of boreholes connected by one main flow path and intersected by one disconnected fracture each borehole under ambient (A) and pumping (B) flow conditions. The velocity field and temperature field are computed using 2D model.




Figure 2: Illustration of the temperature tomography field method conducted in between two boreholes connected by one fracture and intersected by two disconnected fractures (a). Temperature profiles measured under ambient and pumping flow conditions are shown by blue and red correspondingly. Illustration of processing steps of an inverse framework for interpretation of such a data set: (b) automatic fracture detection by applying changepoint modelling; (c) inversion of temperature profiles under ambient, single and cross-borehole flow conditions to derive flow profiles; (d) estimation of fracture hydraulic properties and connectivity between and around a borehole pair by applying flow tomography modelling.




Figure 3: Illustration of the flow and temperature propagation simulation in a borehole. Borehole temperature profile with inferred fracture positions (A) and corresponding heat transfer model boundary conditions (B). We consider the heat diffusion and advection of heat with a constant vertical laminar flow in the borehole and the heat diffusion in the surrounding rock matrix. For each borehole section we impose the borehole flow as the sum of all fractures inflows and outflows ( $Q_{fr}$ ) below the modeled section (shown by red).




Figure 4: A. Location of the Stang-er-Brune study site, boreholes array configuration and geology of the site. B. Conceptual hydrothermal setting: temperature profile affected by groundwater flow of warmer origin, by localized flow of warmer or cooler origin in narrow fractures and by vertical flow in the borehole itself. C. Temperature profiles measured at the site under the ambient flow conditions.




Figure 5: Temperature tomography experiment. Steady-state temperature profiles measured in B1 well when pumping in B2 and B3 wells (a). Steady-state temperature profiles measured in B2 well when pumping in B1 and B3 wells (b). Steady-state temperature profiles measured in B3 well when pumping in B1 and B2 wells (c). Example of temporal evolution of temperature in B2 at 57 m depth (d).




Figure 6: Inferred changepoint models for the temperature profiles measured in B1 borehole under ambient (A) and pumping (B) flow conditions, while pumping at the top of B1 with a pumping rate  $Q = 20 \text{ l/min}$ . The solid red line is the inferred function (relative to the down axis), and the solid black line represents the probability of a changepoint (relative to the upper axis). The error bars are drawn using the mean value of the noise variances for each data set (relative to the down axis). The most probable inferred numbers of changepoints are 2 and 4 respectively.




Figure 7: Example of the objective function versus the vertical borehole flow velocity. The minima of the objective function corresponds to the optimal flow velocity ( $v_{opt}$ ), and all the solutions in the range ( $v_{min}, v_{max}$ ) are acceptable.






Figure 8: Comparison between flowmeter measurements and velocity values inversed from temperature measurements. Blue markers correspond to ambient flow conditions, while red markers correspond to cross-borehole pumping conditions. Note, that this plot also demonstrates the variability of the flow measurements inside borehole sections due to the tool error and/or variations in borehole diameter.




Figure 9: Inferred fracture transmissivities ( $T$ ) and connectivities between and around B1-B2 borehole pair. Observation well drawdowns during cross-borehole pumping  $s$  are shown by blue lines. Variations of vertical velocities during cross-borehole pumping  $\Delta v$  in observation boreholes are shown by green arrows.




Figure 10: Inferred fracture transmissivities ( $T$ ) and connectivities between and around B3-B1 borehole pair. Observation well drawdowns during cross-borehole pumping  $s$  are shown by blue lines. Variations of vertical velocities during cross-borehole pumping  $\Delta v$  in observation boreholes are shown by green arrows.




Figure 11: Inferred fracture transmissivities ( $T$ ) and connectivities between and around B2-B3 borehole pair. Observation well drawdowns during cross-borehole pumping  $s$  are shown by blue lines. Variations of vertical velocities during cross-borehole pumping  $\Delta v$  in observation boreholes are shown by green arrows.

Table 1: Inferred fracture transmissivities. We utilized ambient and steady pumping single-borehole flow profiles and drawdowns in order to infer local fracture transmissivities and cross-borehole flow profiles and drawdowns were used for inversion of connected fracture transmissivities.

Scale	Well	Data used for inversion	Fracture	$T, m^2/s$
Transmissivities of the main fractures in the near field	B1	1 drawdown, 3 velocities	$T_{B1-1}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
			$T_{B1-2}$	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_{B1-3}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_{B1-4}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$
	B2	1 drawdowns, 2 velocities	$T_{B2-1}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
			$T_{B2-2}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-4}$
			$T_{B2-4}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
	B3	1 drawdowns, 2 velocities	$T_{B3-1}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-4}$
			$T_{B3-2}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$T_{B3-3}$			$1.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
Transmissivities of the main connected fractures	B1-B2	2 drawdowns, 5 velocities	$T_1$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_2$	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_3$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
			$T_4$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_5$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	B1-B3	2 drawdowns, 5 velocities	$T_1$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
			$T_2$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
			$T_3$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$
			$T_4$	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
			$T_5$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
			$T_6$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	B2-B3	2 drawdown, 4 velocities	$T_1$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
			$T_2$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
			$T_3$	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$
			$T_4$	$4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$T_5$			$3.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	

Table 2: Comparison of flow tomography data, inverted from temperature measurements, with numerical solutions that best matches the data. Flow tomography data include drawdowns  $s$  and variations of vertical borehole flow velocities  $\Delta v$  during cross-borehole pumping in observation boreholes. The values of fracture transmissivities that yield the best match to the data are presented in Figure 9 for B1-B2 borehole pair, in Figure 10 for B1-B3 borehole pair and in Figure 11 for B2-B3 borehole pair. The corresponding data errors are  $\sigma_v = 1 \text{ mm/s}$  and  $\sigma_s = 2 \text{ cm}$  for flow and drawdown respectively.

Borehole pair	Observation	Flow tomography data	Best match to the data	OF value
B1-B2	$s_{B1}, \text{ cm}$	34	29	3.7
	$s_{B2}, \text{ cm}$	15	15	
	$\Delta v_{1B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	0	0	
	$\Delta v_{2B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	1	1	
	$\Delta v_{3B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	3	1.3	
	$\Delta v_{1B2}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.1	0.5	
	$\Delta v_{2B2}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.1	1.3	
B1-B3	$s_{B1}, \text{ cm}$	19	16	6.35
	$s_{B3}, \text{ cm}$	2	7	
	$\Delta v_{1B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.4	0	
	$\Delta v_{2B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.4	0	
	$\Delta v_{3B1}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.4	0.5	
	$\Delta v_{1B3}, \text{ mm/s}$	2.8	0.5	
	$\Delta v_{2B3}, \text{ mm/s}$	2.8	3.4	
B2-B3	$s_{B2}, \text{ cm}$	14	14.9	1.05
	$s_{B3}, \text{ cm}$	18	16	
	$\Delta v_{1B2}, \text{ mm/s}$	-0.8	0	
	$\Delta v_{2B2}, \text{ mm/s}$	1.4	1	
	$\Delta v_{1B3}, \text{ mm/s}$	0	-1	
	$\Delta v_{2B3}, \text{ mm/s}$	0	0	

### Highlights

- Temperature tomography is proposed as a new method for characterizing fractured media
- We propose an inverse multi-step framework to interpret borehole temperature profiles
- We automatically detect permeable fractures from borehole temperature profiles
- We produce flow profiles by inversion of temperature profiles
- We inverse flow profiles to infer fracture transmissivities and connectivity