



Large mixing ratios of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) at Concordia (East Antarctic Plateau) in summer: a strong source from surface snow?

Michel Legrand, S Preunkert, M Frey, Th Bartels-Rausch, Alexandre Kukui, M.D. King, J Savarino, M Kerbrat, B Jourdain

► To cite this version:

Michel Legrand, S Preunkert, M Frey, Th Bartels-Rausch, Alexandre Kukui, et al.. Large mixing ratios of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) at Concordia (East Antarctic Plateau) in summer: a strong source from surface snow?. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 2014, 14, pp.9963-9976. 10.5194/acp-14-9963-2014 . insu-01130764

HAL Id: insu-01130764

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-01130764>

Submitted on 12 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Large mixing ratios of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) at Concordia (East Antarctic Plateau) in summer: a strong source from surface snow?

M. Legrand^{1,2}, S. Preunkert^{1,2}, M. Frey³, Th. Bartels-Rausch⁴, A. Kukui^{5,6}, M. D. King⁷, J. Savarino^{1,2}, M. Kerbrat^{1,2}, and B. Jourdain^{1,2}

¹CNRS, Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique d'Environnement (LGGE), 38041 Grenoble, France

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LGGE, 38041 Grenoble, France

³British Antarctic Survey (BAS), Natural Environment Research Council, Cambridge, UK

⁴Laboratory of Radio and Environmental Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁵Laboratoire des Atmosphères, Milieux, Observations Spatiales (LATMOS), Paris, France

⁶Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace (LPC2E) UMR-CNRS, Orléans, France

⁷Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK

Correspondence to: M. Legrand (legrand@lgge.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr)

Received: 1 April 2014 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 12 May 2014

Revised: 13 August 2014 – Accepted: 22 August 2014 – Published: 19 September 2014

Abstract. During the austral summer 2011/2012 atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) was investigated for the second time at the Concordia site (75°06' S, 123°33' E), located on the East Antarctic Plateau, by deploying a long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP). Hourly mixing ratios of HONO measured in December 2011/January 2012 (35 ± 5.0 pptv) were similar to those measured in December 2010/January 2011 (30.4 ± 3.5 pptv). The large value of the HONO mixing ratio at the remote Concordia site suggests a local source of HONO in addition to weak production from oxidation of NO by the OH radical. Laboratory experiments demonstrate that surface snow removed from Concordia can produce gas-phase HONO at mixing ratios half that of the NO_x mixing ratio produced in the same experiment at typical temperatures encountered at Concordia in summer. Using these lab data and the emission flux of NO_x from snow estimated from the vertical gradient of atmospheric concentrations measured during the campaign, a mean diurnal HONO snow emission ranging between 0.5 and 0.8×10^9 molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ is calculated. Model calculations indicate that, in addition to around 1.2 pptv of HONO produced by the NO oxidation, these HONO snow emissions can only explain 6.5 to 10.5 pptv of HONO in the atmosphere at Concordia. To explain the difference between observed and simulated HONO

mixing ratios, tests were done both in the field and at lab to explore the possibility that the presence of HNO₄ had biased the measurements of HONO.

1 Introduction

The existence of an oxidizing boundary layer over the Antarctic continent was first highlighted by measurements carried out at the South Pole, where a mean concentration of 2.5×10^6 OH radicals cm⁻³ was observed (Mauldin III et al., 2001a), making the South Pole atmospheric boundary layer as oxidative as the remote tropical marine boundary layer (Mauldin III et al., 2001b). Chen et al. (2001) and Davis et al. (2001) showed that the presence of high concentrations of NO_x produced by the photolysis of nitrate present in surface snow permits the required efficient recycling of HO₂ into OH. Aside from snow photochemical emission of NO_x that acts as a secondary source of OH, the role of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) as a primary source of OH remains unclear. Using a mist chamber followed by ion chromatography analysis of nitrite, Dibb et al. (2004) reported a median HONO mixing ratio close to 30 pptv at the South Pole. However, follow-up measurements by laser-induced fluorescence

(LIF) indicated lower mixing ratios (6 pptv on average), and an interference with HNO_4 has been suspected (Liao et al., 2006). Furthermore, as discussed by Chen et al. (2004) the consideration of 30 pptv of HONO in the lower atmosphere over the South Pole leads to an OH over-prediction by gas-phase photochemical models by a factor of 3 to 5. The authors questioned whether the discrepancy between observed and simulated concentrations of OH at the South Pole was due to measurements of HONO suffering from overestimation due to chemical interferences or if the mechanisms of the model missed HO_x and NO_x losses.

Even at the level of a few parts per trillion by volume (pptv), the presence of HONO requires a source other than the gas-phase reaction of NO with OH, and many studies measuring HONO in atmospheres overlying snow-covered regions have suspected HONO to be emitted from the surface snow in addition to NO_x (see Grannas et al., 2007 for a review). It has to be emphasized that most of the studies of HONO have concerned high (Arctic, Greenland) and middle (Colorado and Alps) northern latitudes where, in relation to the chemical composition of snow, the involved HONO production processes would be very different compared to the case of Antarctica. Concerning Antarctic snow, following the pioneering shading experiment done by Jones et al. (2000) on snow from the coastal Antarctic site of Neumayer, numerous studies have investigated the release of NO_x from the snow (Frey et al., 2014), but only two studies have reported on HONO snow emissions, and none of them examined together HONO and NO_x emissions. Beine et al. (2006) reported small HONO fluxes ($3 \times 10^7 \text{ molecule cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) above the Browning Pass (coastal Antarctic) snowpack. However, the snow chemical composition at that site is very atypical, with a large presence of calcium (up to 4 ppm) attributed to the presence of a lot of rock outcrops at the site. As a consequence, even if nitrate is abundant (typically 200 ppb in fresh snow and more than 1 ppm in aged snow), the snow from that site appears to be weakly acidic and sometimes alkaline. Finally a few investigations of the vertical distribution of HONO were made at the South Pole (Dibb et al., 2004), but no fluxes were calculated. These previous Antarctic studies of HONO were carried out using either mist chambers (Dibb et al., 2002) or high-performance liquid chromatography techniques (Beine et al., 2006). These “wet chemical instruments” sample HONO on humid or aqueous surfaces followed by analysis of the nitrite ion. However, it is well known that many heterogeneous reactions lead to the formation of nitrite on similar surfaces (Gutzwiller et al., 2002; Liao et al., 2006). In addition to these chemical interferences, it is also known that HONO can decompose or be formed on various surfaces (Chan et al., 1976). That may have affected data when sampling lines of up to 30 m length were used for polar measurements (see, e.g., Beine et al., 2006).

Motivated by a strong need to extend investigations of the oxidation capacity of the lower atmosphere at the scale of the whole Antarctic continent, the OPAL (Oxidant Production

over Antarctic Land and its Export) project was initiated at the end of 2010 in East Antarctica. The first OPAL campaign was conducted during austral summer 2010/2011 at the coastal site of Dumont D’Urville (Preunkert et al., 2012) and focused on OH and RO_2 measurements (Kukui et al., 2012). During this first campaign, preliminary investigations of HONO were performed at the continental station of Concordia located at Dome C (denoted DC, 3233 m above sea level). In spite of the use of a long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP), thought to avoid all known artefacts, high mixing ratios of HONO were observed (from 5 to 59 pptv; Kerbrat et al., 2012). In the framework of the OPAL project, a second summer campaign (2011–2012) was conducted at Concordia with simultaneous measurements of HONO, NO, NO_2 , OH, and RO_2 , which are discussed in a set of companion papers of which this is one.

The paper presented here focuses on HONO data gained during the second campaign at Concordia. It also reports on snow irradiation experiments conducted in the laboratory at the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) on surface snow samples collected at DC in order to quantify a possible photochemical snow source of HONO. This was done by measuring simultaneously HONO with the LOPAP, NO, and NO_2 with a two-channel chemiluminescence detector. From these data we crudely estimate the amount of HONO released from snow within the lower atmosphere at DC on the basis of the NO_x snow emissions derived from the vertical gradient of atmospheric concentrations measured during the campaign by Frey et al. (2014). The derived values of the HONO flux were used in 1-D modelling calculations to evaluate the contribution of this snow source to the large HONO mixing ratios observed at Concordia. Finally, to evaluate a suspected possible interference of HNO_4 on the HONO mixing ratio measured by the LOPAP, field experiments were conducted by heating sampled air prior to its introduction in the LOPAP device, heating being a convenient way to destroy HNO_4 . The selectivity to HNO_4 and the response of the LOPAP during the heating events was also investigated in laboratory by mass spectrometry at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI).

2 Methods and Site

2.1 HONO measurement method

HONO was measured using a LOPAP which has been described in detail elsewhere (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2002). In brief, after being sampled into a temperature-controlled stripping coil containing a mixture of sulfanilamide in a 1N HCl solution, HONO is derivatized into a coloured azo dye. The light absorption by the azo dye is measured in a long-path absorption tube by a spectrometer at 550 nm using an optical path length of 5 m. The LOPAP did not have long sampling lines or inlet. The stripping coil was placed directly in the atmosphere being sampled. The

LOPAP has two stripping coils connected in series to correct interferences. In the first coil (channel 1), HONO is trapped quantitatively together with a small amount of the interfering substances. Assuming that these interfering species are trapped in a similar amount in the second coil (channel 2), the difference between the signals resulting from stripping in each coil provides an interference-free HONO signal (Heland et al., 2001). Air was sampled at a flow rate of 1 L min^{-1} , and the flow rate of the stripping solution was 0.17 mL min^{-1} . Calibrations were performed every 5 days. Relative deviations of the calibration signal were of 3 and 9 % at 3σ for channel 1 and 2, respectively. The quantification limit of the LOPAP instrument used in this study was as low as 1.5 pptv (taken as 10σ of all zero measurements done by sampling pure N_2) with a time resolution of 9 min. More details on the set-up of the LOPAP device in the fields can be found in Kerbrat et al. (2012). Similarly to the first campaign, the amount of interferences in the second coil was on average $9 \pm 7\%$ of total signal (instead of $10 \pm 5\%$ found by Kerbrat et al. (2012) in 2010/2011). The LOPAP was tested for numerous possible interfering species, including NO, NO_2 , HNO_3 , and alkylnitrates. It was concluded that, when significant, the two-channel approach was able to well correct the HONO data (Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008). However, it has to be emphasized that no tests have been conducted for HNO_4 .

During the field campaign, HONO was occasionally sampled in the snow interstitial air by pumping air through a perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) tube (5 m long, 4 mm internal diameter) at a flow rate of 1 L min^{-1} . In addition, to evaluate a possible influence of HNO_4 on HONO measurements, field experiments were undertaken by heating air sampled through a 9 m long PFA tube. Tests were performed to evaluate potential loss or formation of HONO in the PFA tubes by running the LOPAP for 30 min with and without a tube connected to the inlet of the LOPAP, sampling air at the same height. In order to account for possible fast natural change of HONO mixing ratios, the test was repeated three times successively. The tests were carried out with ambient mixing ratios of 20 pptv as encountered at midday on 23 December and 40 pptv on the morning of 28 December. In the two cases losses of around 4 and 7 pptv were observed when using the 5 and 9 m long PFA tube, respectively. These losses will be considered in discussing HONO mixing ratios in interstitial air (see Sect. 3) or the interference of HNO_4 (see Sect. 6).

2.2 Field atmospheric measurements and snow samplings

The second OPALE field campaign took place at Concordia located over the high East Antarctic Plateau from late November 2011 to mid-January 2012. Nitrous acid was measured 1 m above ground level, about 900 m south-southwest from the main Concordia station. Measurements that started 4 December were interrupted from 9 to 15 December, 16




Figure 1. Summer 2011/2012 time series of HONO mixing ratios (1 min average) (a), wind conditions (b and c) and temperatures (d) at Concordia. Red points of the HONO record refer to time periods during which contamination from the station was possible with the wind blowing from the north (10° W to 60° E sector; see Sect. 2.2). Grey backgrounds indicate time period of overcast weather.

to 18 December, and 28 to 30 December afternoon due to problems on the LOPAP device. On 1 and 2 January and from 10 to 13 January air measurements were stopped to measure HONO in snow interstitial air. During the measurement campaign, the main wind direction was from the south-east to southwest. Several episodes with wind blowing from the north (10° W to 60° E sector), i.e. from the direction of the station, were encountered (see the red points in Fig. 1). During some of these pollution events (31 December around 22:00 for instance), sharp peaks of HONO mixing ratios exceeding 100 pptv were observed. These events were also detected in the NO_x time series (Frey et al., 2014), with sharp peaks in the range of 100 ppbv or more (120 ppbv on 31 December at around 22:00 for instance). The ratio of excess of HONO to excess of NO_x during these events is close to 10^{-3} . The ratios of HONO/ NO_x reported by measurements made in traffic tunnels range from 3×10^{-3} (Kirchstetter et

al., 1996) to 8×10^{-3} (Kurtenbach et al., 2001). When compared to ratios observed in tunnels, the lower ratio seen in the plume of the Concordia station when it reaches the sampling line is likely due the rapid photolytic destruction of HONO, whose lifetime is still as short as 20 min at the high solar zenith angles prevailing at DC around 22:00 in summer. In the following the data corresponding to red points reported in Fig. 1 were removed from the HONO data set.

Concurrent measurements of chemical species that are relevant for discussion include those of ozone, NO, NO₂, OH, and RO₂. Surface ozone was monitored simultaneously to HONO using UV absorption monitors (Thermo Electron Corporation model 49I) deployed at Concordia since 2007 (Legrand et al., 2009). Nitrogen oxides were determined by deploying a two-channel chemiluminescence detector (Bauguitte et al., 2012; Frey et al., 2013, 2014). The chemiluminescence detector measured NO in one channel and the sum of NO, and NO originating from the photolytic conversion of NO₂ in the other channel. As discussed by Frey et al. (2013), among various nitrogen oxides able to interfere on the photolytic conversion channel, only HONO has to be considered, leading to an overestimation of NO₂ levels by less than 5 %. The radicals (OH and RO₂) were measured using chemical ionization mass spectrometry (Kukui et al., 2012, 2014). During the campaign the photolysis rate of HONO was documented using a Met-Con 2π spectral radiometer equipped with a CCD detector and a spectral range from 285 to 700 nm (see details in Kukui et al., 2014).

Different surface snow samples were collected at DC and returned to the UK to be used in irradiation experiments (see Sects. 2.3 and 4). First, the upper 12 cm of snow was collected in December 2010. Second, the upper centimetre of snow corresponding to freshly drifted snow was collected 6 December 2011. The samples were characterized by their specific surface area (SSA). Measurements were performed using an Alpine snowpack specific surface area profiler, an instrument similar to that one described by Arnaud et al. (2011) based on the infrared reflectance technique. Briefly, a laser diode at 1310 nm illuminates the snow sample at nadir incidence angle, and the reflected hemispherical radiance is measured. The hemispherical reflectance at 1310 nm is related to the SSA using the analytical relationship proposed by Khokanovsky and Zege (2004). The SSA of the drifting snow is close to $26 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$, and the upper 12 cm is $17 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Such values appear to be close to typical DC values reported in the literature (Gallet et al., 2011), suggesting that lab experiments conducted on these snow samples (see Sect. 4) may be relevant to discuss at least qualitatively natural processes occurring at DC.

The upper surface snow (from 0 to 1 cm, and from 0 to 12 cm) at DC were also sampled and analysed for major anions and cations following working conditions reported in Legrand et al. (2013). For cations (Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and NH₄⁺), a Dionex 500 chromatograph equipped with a CS12 separator column was used. For anions, a Dionex 600

equipped with an AS11 separator column was run with a quaternary gradient of eluents (H₂O, NaOH at 2.5 and 100 mM, and CH₃OH), allowing for the determination of inorganic species (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻) as well as methanesulfonate (CH₃SO₃⁻). The acidity of samples can be evaluated by the ionic balance between anions and cations, with concentrations expressed in micro-equivalents per litre ($\mu\text{Eq L}^{-1}$):

$$[\text{H}^+] = [\text{Cl}^-] + [\text{NO}_3^-] + [\text{SO}_4^{2-}] + [\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3^-]$$

$$-[\text{Na}^+] - [\text{K}^+] - [\text{Mg}^{2+}] - [\text{Ca}^{2+}] - [\text{NH}_4^+]. \quad (\text{R1})$$

2.3 Snow irradiation experiments conducted at BAS

As discussed in Sect. 5, model simulations indicate that the production of HONO from the reaction of OH with NO is far too weak to explain observations at Concordia and that an additional light-driven HONO source is needed. To quantify a possible photochemical snow source of HONO, lab experiments were conducted at BAS by irradiating snow collected at DC and measuring gas-phase evolution of NO and NO₂ with a two-channel chemiluminescence detector (Bauguitte et al., 2012) as deployed at Concordia (Frey et al., 2013, 2014) and HONO with the LOPAP that ran at Concordia during the 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 campaigns. A 20 cm long cylinder (6 cm inner diameter) was filled with $\sim 120 \text{ g}$ of snow inside an airtight glass reaction chamber (total length of 40 cm, 6 cm inner diameter) and put in a freezer of which the temperature was varied between -5 and -35°C . Further details on the characteristics of the reaction chamber can be found in Meusinger et al. (2014). The reaction chamber is maintained vertically in a freezer, and a 1000 W xenon arc lamp was put above the freezer. The snow was irradiated by directing the light axially along the tube through a quartz window, which makes up the top surface of the chamber. Chemically pure air was supplied to the chamber from a pure air generator (Ecophysics, PAG003) in which air is dried at -15°C . To match the relative humidity of the snow under investigation and limit metamorphism, this chemically pure air was passed through a cold trap at the temperature of the experiment. Note that, with this system and for temperatures above -30°C , no condensation trace was observed in the tube outflow of the chamber. The flow rate of zero air was 4.3 L min^{-1} , while the detection systems sampled processed air at a rate of 2.0 L min^{-1} for NO_x and 1.0 L min^{-1} for HONO. The overflow of 1.3 L min^{-1} was diverted through a flow meter to check for potential leaks. While the inlet line between the reaction chamber and the NO_x analyser was several metres long, the length between the outlet of the reaction chamber and the LOPAP inlet was kept as short as possible (i.e. 25 cm). To do so the inlet of the LOPAP was arranged in the freezer. The wavelength range of the 1000 W xenon arc lamp (Oriel Instruments) was 200–2500 nm, modulated using filters with various cut-on points. The short residence time of NO₂ ($\sim 4 \text{ s}$) in our small chamber prevents significant




Figure 2. The experiment carried out at the PSI laboratory in order to investigate the interference of HNO_4 on HONO measurements made with the LOPAP deployed during the two Concordia campaigns. Left: time traces of HNO_4 (top) and of the two LOPAP channels (bottom). Time at which the heating trap was activated is shown with a red horizontal arrow. Right: intensities of NO_2 , HONO, HNO_3 , HNO_4 , O_3 , and H_2O_2 traces as detected by the mass spectrometer (see Sect. 2.4). Heating the gas mixture to 100°C leads to a sharp decrease in HNO_4 and a small increase of HNO_3 intensities. O_3 and H_2O_2 remain stable, whereas a very small decrease of HONO is detectable.

photolysis of NO_2 to occur during the experiments. Indeed, the J_{NO_2} of $2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured by Cotter et al. (2003) for a 1000 W xenon arc lamp, as also used in the present study, leads to a lifetime of NO_2 with respect to photolysis of 50 s at the front of the snow block.

2.4 Experiments performed at PSI to investigate a possible HNO_4 interference on HONO measurements

As will be discussed in Sect. 6, it may be difficult to reconcile typical mixing ratios of HONO measured 1 m above surface snow at Concordia with a reasonable estimate of the mixing ratio of HONO owing to emissions from snow due to snow-pack photochemistry. It was suspected that HNO_4 was detected and measured as HONO by the LOPAP instrument. As briefly reported below, a few experiments conducted at PSI indicate that the LOPAP instrument does have an interference for HNO_4 . Mixing ratios of HNO_4 were not measured at Concordia, so the aim of the experiments described below was not to quantify the interference to enable correction of the Concordia HONO data, but to demonstrate that such an interference exists. The result of an experiment conducted under specific conditions is reported. A full characterization of the interference on HONO at various mixing ratios of HNO_4 in the presence or absence of other trace gases present at DC is beyond the scope of this paper.

The interference of the LOPAP device was examined at PSI, where a gas-phase synthesis of HNO_4 has been developed by irradiating a mixture of $\text{NO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}/\text{O}_2/\text{N}_2$ at 172 nm (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2011). By-products of the synthesis are HONO, HNO_3 , and H_2O_2 . The synthesis gas was

fed into the sampling unit of the LOPAP, and the resulting LOPAP signals in the presence and absence of HNO_4 were compared. Heating the synthesis gas to a temperature of 100°C prior to sampling by the LOPAP allowed selective removal of HNO_4 from the gas mixture. The mixing ratios of HONO, NO_2 , H_2O_2 , and O_3 that are present in the synthesis gas were independently monitored with a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS), which was calibrated by using several analysers as detailed in Ulrich et al. (2012). An example of the mixing ratios of HNO_4 and HONO measured by CIMS and of the corresponding LOPAP signals in channel 1 and 2 is shown in Fig. 2. The relative amount of HONO (780 pptv) and HNO_4 (1000 pptv) observed in the synthesized mixture (prior heating) is typical for this synthesis (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2011). The experiment shows the response of the signals when the heating trap used to decompose HNO_4 is applied. As seen in Fig. 2, the mixing ratios of HONO, NO_2 , H_2O_2 , or O_3 that may influence the response of the LOPAP instrument did not change upon the thermal decomposition of HNO_4 . A decrease of the LOPAP signal in channel 1 is observed during the heating event, indicating that 1 ppbv of HNO_4 corresponds to a signal in the LOPAP of 150 pptv. Examination of the signals of the two LOPAP channels (Fig. 2) suggests that HNO_4 has been efficiently sampled in the first channel. It is well known that HNO_4 efficiently decomposes to NO_2^- in acidic solutions (Regimbal and Mozurkewich, 1997), just like HONO does in the LOPAP sample unit. Based on the identical hydrolysis products, one might thus expect a rather large interference. The high sampling efficiency of HONO and potentially HNO_4 , both of which have similar partitioning coefficients to acidic solutions, is driven by the fast reaction of their hydrolysis product (NO_2^-) with the reagents in the sampling solution of the LOPAP instrument. A full characterization of the interference by HNO_4 (its behaviour and quantification over a large range of concentrations, in the presence or absence of other gases) is needed to improve the use of the LOPAP in very cold atmospheres. We suggest a detailed investigation of LOPAP instrument response to different compositions of test gas mixture (i.e. with larger mixing ratios of H_2O_2), and with an investigation of the potentially complex (non-linear) chemistry of sampled gases. At this stage we can only exclude an oxidation of the dye used in the LOPAP instrument by HNO_4 , as careful inspection of the absorption spectrum of the LOPAP dye reveals no significant change during heating. Assuming the interference of HONO signal by HNO_4 to be linear, one would expect an interference of ~ 15 pptv in the HONO signal due to a mixing ratio of 100 pptv of HNO_4 . Given the absence of measurements of the mixing ratio of HNO_4 at Concordia, further experiments were conducted in the field at Concordia to directly estimate this interference as detailed in Sect. 6.




Figure 3. Left and middle: from top to bottom, diurnal changes of surface ozone mixing ratio, HONO mixing ratio, air temperature, and PBL height simulated by the MAR model (see Sect. 5) at Concordia over the entire period of measurements in 2010/2011 (left) and 2011/2012 (middle) (black dots). The blue dots reported for the 2011/2012 summer correspond to the period between 19 December 2011 and 8 January 2012. Right: Same as left and middle but for the entire 2011/2012 period (black dots), at the beginning (early December, violet dots), and the end (red dots) of the period. Note the use of different vertical scales for right compared to left and middle panels.

3 HONO observations at Concordia

Removing data suspected to have been impacted by pollution from station activities (see Sect. 2.2), a 1 min average mixing ratio of 35 ± 14 pptv is observed in December 2011/January 2012 compared to 28 ± 12 pptv measured by Kerbrat et al. (2012) for December 2010/January 2011.

The mean diurnal cycles of surface ozone, HONO, air temperature, and the planetary boundary layer (PBL) height simulated by the regional atmospheric MAR model (Modèle Atmosphérique Régional) are reported and compared for the two summers in Fig. 3. In the polar region, the strong static stability of the atmosphere often inhibits vertical mixing of surface emissions between the surface boundary layer and the rest of the atmosphere. At DC, the surface absorbs solar radiations during the day, heats the lower atmosphere, and generates positive buoyancy that is responsible for an increase of turbulent kinetic energy and the subsequent increase of the boundary layer height seen in Fig. 3. This boundary layer is referred to as the “daytime boundary

layer”. The surface cooling after 17:00 generates negative buoyancy near the surface. A new boundary layer referred as the “night-time boundary layer” develops but remains less active than the previous daytime boundary layer. The collapse of the boundary layer after 17:00 seen in Fig. 3 is in fact the representation of the transition between the daytime and night-time boundary layer.

The two mean summer ozone records indicate a drop of 1 to 2 ppbv around midday compared to early morning and evening values (Fig. 3). This small surface ozone change over the course of the day at DC has already been observed by Legrand et al. (2009), who attributed it to the increase of the PBL height in the afternoon that counteracts a local photochemical production of O_3 in the range of 0.2 ppbv h^{-1} during daytime.

Consistently with the previous 2010/2011 measurements from Kerbrat et al. (2012), the HONO mixing ratios exhibit a well-marked diurnal variation characterized by morning (around 05:00–07:00) and evening (around 20:00) maxima

exceeding midday values by some 10 pptv. Therefore, in addition to an expected more efficient photolysis of HONO during the day, the increase of the daytime boundary layer may also accounts for the observed decreased HONO mixing ratios during the day in spite of a more active snow source (see discussions in Sect. 5). Such a diurnal variability characterized by noon minimum was also observed for NO_x by Frey et al. (2013) and attributed to the interplay between photochemical snow source and boundary layer dynamics.

As shown in Fig. 3, the larger HONO mixing ratios calculated for 2011/2012 (diurnal mean of 35 ± 5.0 pptv) with respect to the 2010/2011 ones (diurnal mean of 30.5 ± 3.5 pptv) concern both the midday minimum and the morning/evening maxima. The difference between the two summers, however, is reduced when the first week of measurements undertaken in December 2011 is removed with a lower diurnal mean (31.7 ± 4.3 instead of 35 ± 5 pptv over the entire measurement period; see the blue points in Fig. 3). The case of the beginning of December 2011 with respect to the rest of the summer 2011/2012 is highlighted in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the far thinner PBL height of early December (maximum of 145 instead of 350 m over the entire period) may have led to a more confined HONO production (see violet points in Fig. 3). Note also the relatively high ozone mixing ratios at that time (33 ± 4 ppbv in early December instead of 26 ± 1 ppbv over the entire period). Conversely, at the end of the period the PBL became thicker (maximum of 570 m) and the mixing ratios of ozone (24 ± 1 ppbv) and nitrous acid (31 ± 4 pptv) were lower than on average (see red points in Fig. 3). Finally, in early December 2011 the highest daily average mixing ratio of HONO observed, on 7 and 8 December (56 pptv, Fig. 1), corresponds not only to a thin PBL but also to lowest value of total ozone column (260 Dobson units (DU) instead of 296 ± 20 DU on average) measured by the SAOZ (Système d'Analyse par Observation Zénitale) at Concordia. Similarly, during the 2010/2011 campaign the highest values reported at the end of the campaign (44 pptv from 15 to 18 January) by Kerbrat et al. (2012) correspond to the lowest value of total ozone column (270 instead of 303 ± 17 DU on average). It therefore seems that HONO mixing ratios measured at 1 m at DC are also sensitive to the UV actinic flux reaching the surface. This link between stratospheric ozone and photochemistry of snow at the ground is discussed in more detail by Frey et al. (2014).

It therefore seems that one of the main causes of the difference between the 2011/2012 and 2010/2011 mean summer values is the slightly different atmospheric vertical stability conditions experienced over the different sampling times of the two summers, with an earlier HONO sampling in December 2011 than in December 2010 leading to higher HONO mixing ratios in a very thin and stable boundary layer. In conclusion, this second study of HONO confirms the abundance of this species in the lower atmosphere at DC with a typical mean mixing ratio of 30 pptv from mid-December to mid-January.




Figure 4. Firm air mixing ratios of HONO down to 1 m depth measured at DC at 13 January 2012.

As already discussed by Kerbrat et al. (2012) (see also Sect. 5), the existence of a large photochemical source of HONO in the snowpack is needed to explain these large mixing ratios of HONO measured above the snowpack. Measurements of the mixing ratio of HONO were therefore performed in snow interstitial air at different depths. From the top few centimetres of the snowpack down to 75 cm depth, mixing ratios of HONO in snowpack interstitial air tended to exceed those in the air above the snowpack, supporting the existence of a snow source of HONO (Fig. 4). However, given the interference of HNO_4 on HONO mixing ratio data as discussed in Sect. 6, it is difficult to use the observed vertical gradient of HONO mixing ratio to derive an estimate of emission of HONO from the snowpack. Indeed, typical values of HNO_4 mixing ratios are available in lower atmosphere of the Antarctic Plateau (Sect. 6) but not yet in snow interstitial air. Also it remains difficult to accurately estimate the production rate of HNO_4 in snow interstitial air from the reaction of NO_2 with HO_2 versus its uptake on natural ice surface.

To confirm the snowpack as a source of HONO (and as detailed in the following section), we carried out a laboratory experiment to evaluate the ratio of HONO to NO_x released from natural surface snows collected at DC under controlled laboratory conditions (i.e. wavelength of light, temperature, snow specific area) to estimate the HONO snow emission flux relative to the snow emission flux of NO_x for the same snowpack as derived from the atmospheric concentration vertical gradient measured during the campaign by Frey et al. (2014).

Table 1. Results of irradiation experiments performed at the BAS laboratory on three different surface snows collected at DC. S1 and S2 are upper surface snows collected between 0 and 1 cm; S3 is the surface snow collected between 0 and 12 cm depth. The acidity is calculated by checking the balance between anions and cations (see Sect. 2.2). DL refers to detection limit, and N.C. means non-calculated value.

Snow type	Date in 2013	Wavelengths $\lambda >$	T (°C)	HONO (pptv)	NO _x (pptv)	HONO/NO _x	NO ₃ [−] (ppb)	H ⁺ (μEq L ^{−1})
S1	23 Jan	295 nm	−15	117 ± 5	137 ± 20	0.85 ± 0.1	1428	29.4
S1	24 Jan	295 nm	−15.5	120 ± 3	129 ± 16	0.93 ± 0.1	1428	29.4
S1	24 Jan	320 nm	−16	47 ± 1	67 ± 12	0.70 ± 0.1	1428	29.4
S1	24 Jan	385 nm	−16	< 3	< DL	N.C.	1428	29.4
S2	23 Apr	295 nm	−13	124 ± 1	162 ± 14	0.77 ± 0.1	1344	24.0
S2	23 Apr	295 nm	−22.5	86 ± 3	167 ± 40	0.52 ± 0.1	1344	24.0
S2	23 Apr	295 nm	−34	56 ± 1	210 ± 50	0.27 ± 0.2	1344	24.0
S3	25 Apr	295 nm	−21	15 ± 2	47 ± 27	0.32 ± 0.15	157	4.0

4 Lab experiments on natural snow collected at DC

Table 1 summarizes the results of experiments conducted at BAS by irradiating surface snows collected at DC (see Sect. 2.3). NO_x and HONO are produced when snow is irradiated. Several laboratory experiments were conducted to investigate the wavelength, temperature, and snow chemical composition dependence of HONO release from snow. Similar to previous laboratory experiments conducted by Cotter et al. (2003) on surface snows collected in coastal Antarctica, the NO_x release is found to halve when the optical filter in the front of the irradiation lamp (cut off for < 295 nm) is replaced by a cut-off filter for illumination wavelength smaller than 320 nm (Table 1). Cotter et al. (2003) demonstrated no measurable emission of NO_x from the snow when illuminated with a lamp with wavelengths shaded below 345 nm, which is consistent with NO₃[−] photolysis. Figure 5 illustrates the wavelength dependence of HONO release, showing the effect of insertion of a filter with different cut-off points. Similarly to the NO_x, the HONO release is decreased by a factor of 2 when inserting the filter at 320 nm and becomes insignificant at 385 nm (Table 1).

While the observed wavelength dependency of the NO_x release supports the hypothesis that the photolysis of nitrate present in snow is the major source of released NO_x (via its major channel: NO₃[−] + $h\nu$ → NO₂ + O[−]), for HONO it is still unclear whether the nitrate photolysis efficiently produces directly HONO from hydrolysis of NO₂[−] produced by the second channel of the nitrate photolysis (NO₃[−] + $h\nu$ → NO₂[−] + O) or HONO is secondarily produced from NO₂ (Villena et al., 2011). Indeed, lab experiments conducted on nitrate-doped ice suggest that the first channel is a factor of 8–9 more efficient than the second one. It is suspected that the HONO production may be significantly higher than it is when considering this second channel since the NO₂ produced by the first channel may subsequently act as a precursor of HONO. The wavelength dependency of HONO release observed during previous experiments does not, however, help to separate the primary and secondary source of HONO during irradiation




Figure 5. Photochemical release of HONO from a surface snow collected at DC when irradiating it at a temperature of −16 °C (see Table 1) and inserting filters with cut-off points at 295, 320, and 385 nm on the xenon arc lamp (see Sect. 4). Vertical grey bands correspond to periods over which the lamp was switched off.

tion since they were done with chemically pure air and, when placing the cut-off filter at 385 nm, we suppress the primary source of HONO as well as NO₂ that is needed for secondary HONO production.

Among possible secondary productions it is generally accepted that the reduction of NO₂ on photo-sensitized organic material like humic acid (George et al., 2005; Bartels-Rausch et al., 2010) would proceed more efficiently than the disproportionation reaction of NO₂ (2 NO₂ + H₂O → HONO + HNO₃) (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003). As discussed by Grannas et al. (2007), the relevance of this secondary production was supported even for Antarctica by the significant presence of dissolved fulvic acid reported for Antarctic snow (26–46 ppb C) by Calace et al. (2005). However, the previously assumed ubiquitous presence of organics in polar snow that is needed to reduce NO₂ into HONO was recently reviewed by Legrand et al. (2013), who found that organics (and humic acids) are far less abundant in Antarctica compared to Greenland or midlatitude glaciers like the Alps. For instance, the typical dissolved organic content of

summer surface snow is only 10–27 ppb C at DC (Legrand et al., 2013) as opposed to 110 ± 45 ppb C at Summit and 300 ppb C in the Alps. Furthermore, recent HULIS (humic-like substance) measurements of surface snows collected at DC do not confirm the previously observed abundance (2 instead of 26–46 ppb C). From lab experiments conducted by irradiating ice films containing humic acid in the presence of NO_2 , Bartels-Rausch et al. (2010) derived production rates of HONO from NO_2 . From that, the authors roughly estimated light-driven HONO fluxes of 10^{13} molecules $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ from snow-covered surface area, assuming the presence of 100 pptv NO_2 in the snow interstitial air and a concentration of 10 ppb C of humic acid in snow. Keeping in mind uncertainties in extrapolating lab experiments to conditions relevant to the lower atmosphere at DC, with typical NO_2 mixing ratios of 1 to 10 ppbv in interstitial air at 10 cm below the surface at DC (Frey et al., 2014), the presence of 2 ppb C of HULIS in snow may still lead to a significant HONO production from NO_2 at the site. If HULIS are located at the surface of snow grains, much more than 2 ppb C of HULIS would be available to react with NO_2 present in interstitial air of the snowpack to produce HONO.

Irradiation experiments with insertion of the filter at 295 nm were conducted at temperatures ranging from 240 to 260 K. As seen in Table 1, whereas the NO_x release was found to be temperature independent (as previously shown by Cotter et al., 2003), a large dependence is found for HONO with an increase by a factor of 2.2 when the temperature of snow is increased from 240 to 260 K. A temperature dependence of the HONO emissions is expected since the partition coefficient of HONO between ice and air increases by a factor of 5.8 between 240 and 260 K (Crowley et al., 2010). As a consequence the HONO to NO_x release is smaller at 240 K than at 260 K. For the example of the surface snow reported in Table 1, this ratio steadily increases from 0.3 at 240 K, to 0.5 at 250 K, to 0.8 at 260 K.

In Table 1 we report experiments with DC snow containing 160 to 1400 ppb of nitrate. As expected higher nitrate content leads to higher snow release of NO_x and HONO, but the increase of HONO is larger than NO_x . For instance, at a temperature close to -20°C , the first upper centimetre of surface snow releases almost twice as much HONO compared NO_x as the snow collected from the surface to 12 cm depth. The more acidic character of the snow collected in the upper first centimetre compared to that collected down to 12 cm below the surface (see Table 1) may favour the release of a weak acid species like HONO.

5 Model calculations

Observed atmospheric mixing ratios were compared with steady-state calculations made by considering major gas-phase sources and sinks of HONO. The major sink of HONO is its photolysis. The photolysis rate constant (J_{HONO}) was





Figure 6. Top: measured (red line) versus simulated (black lines) (see Sect. 5) diurnal cycles of HONO mixing ratio at 1 m height. Note the use of a different vertical scale for observations (left) and simulations (right). The black dashed line is the simulation made when considering only the gas-phase production of HONO from NO (without snow emissions). Bottom: diurnal NO_x snow source derived from field observations at Concordia (Frey et al., 2014) together with an estimated emission of HONO from snow based on laboratory snow irradiation experiments (see Sect. 4).

measured with a 2π spectroradiometer (see Sect. 2.2). The value of J_{HONO} was calculated for light from 4π steradians from the downwelling value of J_{HONO} measured over 2π steradians by assuming a surface albedo of 0.95, a typical value for regions covered by dry snow and with a wavelength shorter than 400 nm (Hudson et al., 2006; France et al., 2011). The main gas-phase production of HONO is the reaction of NO with OH radicals. Steady-state calculations indicate that under noon conditions encountered at DC (a J_{HONO} value of $3.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$, 5×10^6 OH rad cm^{-3} (Kukui et al., 2014), and 50 pptv of NO (Frey et al., 2014)) a HONO mixing ratio of 1 pptv is expected. The steady-state calculated diurnal HONO profile (Fig. 6) suggests a HONO maximum of 2.5 pptv at 19:00 due to the presence of a maximum of 120 pptv of NO (Frey et al., 2014).

Another gas-phase source of HONO was recently proposed by Li et al. (2014) via reaction of the $\text{HO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$

complex with NO₂:



Reaction of the HO₂(H₂O) complex with NO₂ was first suggested by Sander and Peterson (1984) to explain the observation of a linear dependence of the effective rate constant of the reaction of HO₂ with NO₂ on the concentration of water vapour in the temperature range 275–298 K. Assuming a reaction mechanism (Reactions R2–4), Sander and Peterson (1984) derived temperature dependence for the effective third-order rate constant of the reaction HO₂+NO₂+H₂O, $k_4^{\text{III}}(T)$, with $k_4^{\text{III}}(T)$ representing the product $k_4 \times K_3$, where k_4 is the bimolecular rate constant for reaction HO₂(H₂O) with NO₂ and K_3 is the equilibrium constant for Reaction (3). The possible contribution of Reaction (4) to form HONO at Concordia was evaluated by assuming a unity yield of HONO for the Reaction (4). The rate constant $k_4(T)$ in the temperature range 275–298 K was estimated from the $k_4^{\text{III}}(T)$ data of Sander and Peterson (1984) using recent recommendations for $K_3(T)$ and $k_2(T)$ from Sander et al. (2011): $k_4(T) = k_4^{\text{III}}(T) / K_3(T) \times k_2(T) / k_2(T)^{\text{Sander}}$, where $k_2(T)^{\text{Sander}}$ are data from Sander and Peterson (1984). The values of $k_4(T)$ at low temperatures encountered at Concordia were obtained by extrapolating the $k_4(T)/k_2(T)$ data from Sander and Peterson (1984) and assuming a logarithmic dependence of $k_4(T)/k_2(T)$ on $1/T$, similar to the reaction of HO₂(H₂O) with HO₂ (Sanders et al., 2011). The resulting dependence ($k_4(T)/k_2(T) = 10^{-1505.3/T(K)+5.4}$) predicts significantly lower water enhancement effect at low temperature ($k_4/k_2 = 0.12$ at 240 K compared to 2.2 at 298 K). Using these k_4 values and observations of OH, NO, HO₂, NO₂, and H₂O, the low temperatures encountered at Concordia render the formation of HONO from Reaction (4) negligible. This hypothetical HONO source would contribute 10–20 % of the HONO production from the reaction OH+NO and would result in less than 1 % of the measured HONO.

An additional source of HONO is obviously required to account for observed mixing ratios of a few tens of pptv. On the basis of laboratory experiments presented in Sect. 4, we examine to what extent the snow photochemical source of HONO accounts for atmospheric observations of HONO at Concordia. Simulations were made with a numerical 1-D box model that considers, in addition to the above-mentioned gas-phase sources and sinks of HONO, a flux from the snow and its diffusive vertical transport. The turbulent diffusion coefficients (K_z) were calculated by the regional atmospheric MAR model. Since cloud cover is responsible for an increase

of around 50 % of the downwelling long-wave radiations in summer at DC, when the cloud cover is underestimated, the surface heat budget is not well simulated, and this strongly impacts the turbulence simulated by the model. We therefore performed calculations only for days with clear sky conditions (see Fig. 1).

We used the MAR model with a horizontal resolution of 20 km centred at Concordia; a top level is at 1 hPa with 100 vertical levels. The vertical resolution is 0.9 m up to 23 m above the surface, and it decreases upward. MAR K_z values are linearly interpolated to the vertical grid used in our 1-D simulation, spacing 0.1 m from the ground to 5 m, 0.2 m from 5 to 7 m, 0.5 m from 7 to 10 m, around 1 m from 10 to 20 m, and then increasing up to 120 m at 1200 m height. MAR data above a height of 1200 m were not used here since during the investigated period the top of the PBL remained below this value. The MAR model uses primitive equations with the hydrostatic assumption. A description of the model that has been validated with respect to observations from the Automatic Weather Station at Concordia is given by Gallée and Gorodetskaya (2008) and references therein. Parametrization of turbulence in the lowest model layer of MAR is based on the Monin–Obukhov similarity theory (MOST). Above the surface boundary layer, turbulence is parametrized using the E-ε model, which includes two prognostic equations for turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation. MAR simulations have been recently validated with respect to observations from the Automatic Weather Station at Concordia for winter (Gallée and Gorodetskaya, 2008) and summer (Gallée et al., 2014). The PBL height was computed from MAR simulations by taking the height where the turbulent kinetic energy decreases below 5 % of the value of the lowest layer of the model.

In Fig. 6 we report the simulated diurnal cycle of the HONO mixing ratio at 1 m above the ground at Concordia when a photochemical snow release of HONO is applied. The HONO flux used in these calculations was obtained by multiplying the values of the NO_x snow emission flux derived from field observations at Concordia (Frey et al., 2014) by the temperature-dependent factor reported for surface snow in Table 1. Since, as discussed in Sect. 4, lab experiments indicate no significant change of the ratio of HONO/NO_x release when replacing the filter with a 295 nm cut-off point by the one at 320 nm (Table 1), and given a maximum of the aqueous absorption cross section for nitrate centred at 300 nm (Gaffney et al., 1992), we have assumed that the ratio is similar under the two wavelength conditions and used the temperature dependency found when the filter with a cut-off point at 295 nm was inserted (Table 1). In this way under temperature conditions encountered at DC we have assumed a HONO/NO_x ratio ranging from 0.57 during the day (at −25 °C) to 0.3 at night (at −35 °C). The derived HONO snow emission flux estimate would represent an upper limit since, as seen in Sect. 4, the upper 12 cm of snow emits less HONO than NO_x compared to

the surface snow. As seen in Fig. 6, using this upper estimate of the HONO snow emission (mean diurnal value of 0.8×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) simulations show that, in addition to around 1.2 pptv of HONO produced by the NO oxidation, the HONO snow emissions can account for 10.5 pptv of HONO in the atmosphere at Concordia. Assuming a lower HONO-to- NO_x ratio of snow emissions as suggested by the experiment conducted with the upper 12 cm of snow collected at DC (Table 1), mean diurnal HONO emission of 0.5×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ is estimated, leading to a related HONO mixing ratio of 6.5 pptv (total of 8 pptv together with NO oxidation). It has to be emphasized that these estimated HONO snow emission fluxes were derived from values of the HONO/ NO_x photochemical production ratio observed in laboratory experiments carried out by flowing zero air through the snow instead of natural interstitial air of which the chemical composition may be very different.

An upper value of HONO-to- NO_x mixing ratios often serves as a reference value to discuss the consistency of HONO mixing ratios (Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008; Villena et al., 2011). Steady-state calculations indicate that the HONO/ NO_x ratio reaches a maximum value equal to the ratio of HONO to NO_x lifetimes ($\tau_{\text{HONO}}/\tau_{\text{NO}_x}$), when it is assumed that HONO is the sole source of NO_x . The measured HONO photolysis rate constants (see Sect. 2.2) indicate an atmospheric lifetime of HONO at DC ranging from 4.5 to 24 min at 12:00 and 00:00, respectively. Using OH and HO_2 concentrations observed by Kukui et al. (2014), an atmospheric lifetime of NO_x ranging from 3 h at 12:00 to 7 h at 00:00 can be estimated. From that, the upper limit of the HONO/ NO_x ratio at DC would be close to 0.03 and 0.06 at 12:00 and 00:00, respectively. Using the HONO mixing ratios simulated when a mean diurnal HONO snow emission of 0.8×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ is considered (Fig. 6) and NO_x mixing ratios observed at Concordia (around 200 pptv, Frey et al., 2014), we calculate a mean diurnal HONO/ NO_x ratio of 0.06. This value slightly exceeds the maximum steady-state HONO/ NO_x ratio estimated from HONO and NO_x photochemical lifetimes. Note, however, that more accurate estimation of the upper limit of the HONO/ NO_x ratio should take into account also HONO and NO_x vertical distributions determined by the vertical diffusivity and the conversion of HONO to NO_x , as well as by a possibility of non-steady-state conditions. As the consideration of these factors may lead to a higher HONO/ NO_x ratio, the higher HONO/ NO_x ratio of about 0.06 cannot be considered as a strong indication of an error in the simulated HONO mixing ratios derived with an assumed HONO snow emission of 0.8×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

6 A possible HNO_4 interference on HONO measurements made with a LOPAP ?

As discussed in the previous section, field measurements of boundary layer HONO mixing ratios at Concordia in summer (30 pptv) significantly exceed values calculated by considering a HONO snow source estimated from the observed NO_x snow source and the relative abundance of HONO and NO_x releases observed during snow irradiation BAS experiments (8 to 12 pptv). As reported in Sect. 2.4, lab experiments conducted with the LOPAP have shown a possible overestimation of HONO by ~ 15 pptv due to the presence of 100 pptv of HNO_4 .

Although HNO_4 data are not available at Concordia, its presence is very likely since its atmospheric lifetime with respect to thermal decomposition becomes significant at low temperatures (lifetime close to 2 h at -20°C ; Sanders et al., 2011). Whereas the first measurements of HNO_4 in Antarctica reported moderate mixing ratios (mean of 25 pptv observed over a few days in December 2000 at the South Pole; Slusher et al., 2002), subsequent investigations have revealed higher values. First, an average of 40 pptv in December to 60 pptv during the second half of November was observed in 2003 at the South Pole (Eisele et al., 2008). Second, a mean value of 64 pptv (up to 150 pptv) was observed between the ground and 50 m elevation over the Antarctic Plateau (Slusher et al. 2010). These latter values of the HNO_4 mixing ratio together with the above-discussed inconsistencies between simulations and observations stimulate efforts to investigate a possible interference of HNO_4 on the LOPAP instrument. Note that, given the HNO_4 lifetime with respect to thermal decomposition of a few hours at -20°C , we do not expect interference during snow experiments conducted at BAS since HNO_4 initially present in snow collected at DC would have been destroyed during its storage of a few months at -20°C . Furthermore, production of HNO_4 during the BAS experiments (Sect. 4) following the release of NO_2 under irradiation of snow is far too slow to have significantly impacted HONO measurements.

Even though laboratory experiences conducted at PSI under certain conditions clearly showed that there is interference by HNO_4 in HONO measurements made by the LOPAPA instrument (see Sect. 2.4), the absence of HNO_4 atmospheric data at Dome C hampers any accurate attempt to correct HONO data from the presence of HNO_4 . Instead, field experiments were conducted at Concordia, heating the air sampled by the LOPAP to thermally decompose HNO_4 . This air was heated by sucking air through a 8 m long PFA tube covered with a temperature-controlled heating tape and placed in an insulated box. When heating the tube, the air temperature in the PFA tube was 37°C , leading to a lifetime of HNO_4 with respect to its thermal decomposition of 3.2 s (Sanders et al., 2011). The experiment was performed by running the LOPAP for ~ 20 min with and without heating the tube connected to the inlet of the LOPAP. In order to account

for possible fast natural change of HONO mixing ratios, the test was repeated three times successively. A systematic drop of HONO values was observed. Given the applied air sampling flow rate of 1.78 L min^{-1} (1 L STP min^{-1}), the residence time of the air in the tube is 3.3 s. If attributed to the thermal decomposition of HNO_4 during the heating (64 % under these working conditions), the mean observed drop of 5.5 pptv of HONO would correspond to an HNO_4 artefact of around 9 pptv.

This indirect estimation of an overestimation of HONO measurements due to the presence of HNO_4 is consistent with experiments conducted at PSI if the presence of 50–100 pptv of HNO_4 is assumed at Concordia. On the other hand, the difference between observed and simulated HONO mixing ratios presented in Sect. 5 suggests a mean diurnal overestimation close to 20 pptv (ranging from 17 pptv around noon to 22 pptv during the night). In their discussions of the observed levels of HO_x radicals, Kukui et al. (2014) found that the consideration of 30 pptv of HONO is inconsistent with radical observations leading to about 2 times overestimation of RO_2 and OH concentrations. Conversely, neglecting the OH production from HONO leads to an underestimation of radical levels by a factor of 2. Kukui et al. (2014) showed that a quite fair agreement with OH measurements is achieved with HONO mixing ratios derived from the 1-D modelling, with a HONO snow emission flux equal to about 30 % of that of NO_x . Finally, though being slightly higher, the best guess of HONO mixing ratios derived in Sect. 5 for DC (8 to 12 pptv) are in the range of mixing ratios measurements made at the South Pole using laser-induced fluorescence (6 pptv; Liao et al., 2006).

7 Conclusions

This second study of HONO conducted in the atmosphere of the East Antarctic Plateau by deploying a LOPAP confirms unexpectedly high mixing ratios close to 30 pptv. A mixing ratio of 8–12 pptv can be rationalized based on emissions of HONO from snow of $0.5\text{--}0.8 \times 10^9 \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ derived from studies of the irradiation experiments surface snow collected at DC and scaled down to the NO_x emissions derived from observations made at Concordia by Frey et al. (2014). Experiments conducted in the field and in the lab to identify the cause of such a discrepancy point to a possible overestimation of HONO in the range of 10 to 20 pptv due to the important presence of HNO_4 in this cold atmosphere. An accurate correction of the HONO data from the presence of HNO_4 is not yet possible. Further work – both in the lab, to quantify the interference at different levels of HNO_4 , and in the presence of various other species and in the field at Concordia, to obtain mixing ratios of HONO and HNO_4 at the same time – is needed.

Acknowledgements. The OPALE project was funded by the ANR (Agence National de Recherche) contract ANR-09-BLAN-0226. The measurement of the specific snow area was developed in the framework of the MONISNOW projet funded by the ANR-11-JS56-005-01 contract. National financial support and field logistic supplies for the summer campaign were provided by Institut Polaire Français-Paul Emile Victor (IPEV) within programmes No. 414, 903, and 1011. M. D. King was supported by NERC NE/F0004796/1 and NE/F010788, and NERC FSF grants 555.0608 and 584.0609. Thanks to our Italian colleagues from Meteorological Observatory of PNRA for the meteorological data collected at Concordia.

Edited by: J. W. Bottenheim

References

- Arnaud, L., Picard, G., Champollion, N., Dominé, F., Gallet, J. C., Lefebvre, E., Fily, M., and Barnola, J. M.: Measurement of vertical profiles of snow specific surface area with a 1 cm resolution using infrared reflectance: instrument description and validation, *J. Glaciol.*, 57, 17–29, 2011.
- Bartels-Rausch, T., Brigante, M., Elshorbany, Y. F., Ammann, M., D'Anna, B., George, C., Stemmler, K., Ndour, M., and Kleffmann, J.: Humic acid in ice: Photo-enhanced conversion of nitrogen dioxide into nitrous acid, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 5443–5450, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.025, 2010.
- Bartels-Rausch, T., Ulrich, T., Huthwelker, T., and Ammann, M.: A novel synthesis of the radiatively labelled atmospheric trace gas peroxyxynitric acid, *Radiochim. Acta*, 99, 1–8, doi:10.1524/ract.2011.1830, 2011.
- Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Bloss, W. J., Evans, M. J., Salmon, R. A., Anderson, P. S., Jones, A. E., Lee, J. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., Roscoe, H. K., Wolff, E. W., and Plane, J. M. C.: Summertime NO_x measurements during the CHABLIS campaign: can source and sink estimates unravel observed diurnal cycles?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 989–1002, doi:10.5194/acp-12-989-2012, 2012.
- Beine, H. J., Amoroso, A., Dominé, F., King, M. D., Nardino, M., Ianniello, A., and France, J. L.: Surprisingly small HONO emissions from snow surfaces at Browning Pass, Antarctica, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2569–2580, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2569-2006, 2006.
- Calace, N., Cantafora, E., Mirante, S., Petronio, B. M., and Pietroletti, M.: Transport and modification of humic substances present in Antarctic snow and ancient ice, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 7, 1320–1325, 2005.
- Chan, W. H., Nordstrom, R. J., Galvert, J. G., and Shaw, J. H.: An IRFTS spectroscopic study of the kinetics and the mechanism of the reactions in the gaseous system, HONO, NO , NO_2 , H_2O , *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 37, 441–446, doi:10.1016/0009-2614(76)85010-5, 1976.
- Chen, G., Davis, D., Crawford, J., Nowak, J. B., Eisele, F., Mauldin III, R. L., Tanner, D., Buhr, M., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Arimoto, R., Hogan, A., and Blake, D.: An investigation of South Pole HO_x chemistry: comparison of model results with ISCAT observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 3633–3636, 2001.
- Chen, G., Davis, D., Crawford, J., Mauldin III, R. L., Eisele, F., Huey, G., Slusher, D., Tanner, D., Dibb, J., Buhr, M., Hutterli, M., McConnell, J., Lefer, B., Shetter, R., Blake, D., Lombardi,

- K., and Arnoldy, J.: A reassessment of HO_x South Pole chemistry based on observations recorded during ISCAT 2000, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5451–5461, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.07.018, 2004.
- Cotter, E. S. N., Jones, A. E., Wolff, E. W., and Bauguitté, S. J.-B.: What controls photochemical NO and NO₂ production from Antarctic snow? Laboratory investigation assessing the wavelength and temperature dependence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4147, doi:10.1029/2002JD002602, 2003.
- Crowley, J. N., Ammann, M., Cox, R. A., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Mellouki, A., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and Wallington, T. J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume V – heterogeneous reactions on solid substrates, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9059–9223, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9059-2010, 2010.
- Davis, D., Nowak, J. B., Chen, G., Buhr, M., Arimoto, R., Hogan, A., Eisele, F., Mauldin, L., Tanner, D., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., and McMurry, P.: Unexpected high levels of NO observed at South Pole, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 3625–3628, doi:10.1029/2000GL012584, 2001.
- Dibb, J. E., Arseneault, M., Peterson, M. C., and Honrath, R. E.: Fast nitrogen oxide photochemistry in Summit, Greenland snow, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 2501–2511, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00130-9, 2002.
- Dibb, J. E., Huey, L. G., Slusher, D. L., and Tanner, D. J.: Soluble reactive nitrogen oxides at South Pole during ISCAT 2000, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5399–5409, 2004.
- Eisele, F., Davis, D. D., Helmig, D., Oltmans, S. J., Neff, W., Huey, G., Tanner, Chen, G., Crawford, J., Arimoto, R., Buhr, M., J., Mauldin, L., Hutterli, M., Dibb, J., Blake, D., Brooks, S. B., Johnson, B., Roberts, J. M., Wang, Y., Tan, D., and Flocke, F.: Antarctic tropospheric chemistry investigation (ANTCI) 2003 overview, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 2749–2761, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.013, 2008.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Wingen, L. M., Sumner, A. L., Syomin, D., and Ramazan, K. A.: The heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO₂ in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres: An integrated mechanism, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 223–242, doi:10.1039/b208564j, 2003.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., Frey, M. M., Erbland, J., Picard, G., Preunkert, S., MacArthur, A., and Savarino, J.: Snow optical properties at Dome C (Concordia), Antarctica; implications for snow emissions and snow chemistry of reactive nitrogen, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9787–9801, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9787-2011, 2011.
- Frey, M. M., Brough, N., France, J. L., Anderson, P. S., Traulle, O., King, M. D., Jones, A. E., Wolff, E. W., and Savarino, J.: The diurnal variability of atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) above the Antarctic Plateau driven by atmospheric stability and snow emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 3045–3062, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3045-2013, 2013.
- Frey, M. M., Roscoe, H. K., Kukui, S., Savarino, J., France, J. L., King, M. D., Legrand, M., and Preunkert, S.: Atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign, submitted, 2014.
- Gaffney, J. S., Marley, N. A., and Cunningham, M. M.: Measurement of the absorption constants for nitrate in water between 270 and 335 nm, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 25, 207–209, 1992.
- Gallet, J.-C., Domine, F., Arnaud, L., Picard, G., and Savarino, J.: Vertical profile of the specific surface area and density of the snow at Dome C and on a transect to Dumont D'Urville, Antarctica – albedo calculations and comparison to remote sensing products, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 631–649, doi:10.5194/tc-5-631-2011, 2011.
- Gallée, H. and Gorodetskaya, I.: Validation of a limited area model over Dome C, Antarctic Plateau, during winter, *Clim. Dynam.*, 34, 61–72, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0499-y, 2008.
- Gallée, H., Preunkert, S., Argentini, S., Frey, M., Genthon, C., Jourdain, B., Pietroni, I., Casasanta, G., Barral, H., Vignon E., and Legrand, M.: Characterization of the boundary layer at Dome C during OPALE, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, in preparation, 2014.
- George, C., Strekowski, R. S., Kleffmann, J., Stemmler, K., and Ammann, M.: Photoenhanced uptake of gaseous NO₂ on solid organic compounds: a photochemical source of HONO, *Faraday Discuss.*, 130, 195–211, 2005.
- Grannas, A. M., Jones, A. E., Dibb, J., Ammann, M., Anastasio, C., Beine, H. J., Bergin, M., Bottenheim, J., Boxe, C. S., Carver, G., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., Domine, F., Frey, M. M., Guzmán, M. I., Heard, D. E., Helmig, D., Hoffmann, M. R., Honrath, R. E., Huey, L. G., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H. W., Klán, P., Lefer, B., McConnell, J., Plane, J., Sander, R., Savarino, J., Shepson, P. B., Simpson, W. R., Sodeau, J. R., von Glasow, R., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Zhu, T.: An overview of snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4329–4373, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4329-2007, 2007.
- Gutzwiler, L., Arens, F., Baltensperger, U., Gäggeler, H. W., and Ammann, M.: Significance of semivolatile diesel exhaust organics for secondary HONO formation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 677–682, doi:10.1021/es015673b, 2002.
- Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument to measure gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35, 3207–3212, doi:10.1021/es000303t, 2001.
- Hudson, S. R., Warren, S. G., Brandt, R. E., Grenfell, T. C., and Six, D.: Spectral bidirectional reflectance of Antarctic snow: Measurements and parameterization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D18106, doi:10.1029/2006JD007290, 2006.
- Jones, A. E., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Jacobi, H.-W.: Speciation and rate of photochemical NO and NO₂ production in Antarctic snow, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 345–348, 2000.
- Kerbrat, M., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Gallée, H., and Kleffmann, J.: Nitrous Acid at Concordia on the East Antarctic Plateau and its transport to the coastal site of Dumont d'Urville, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D08303, doi:10.1029/2011JD017149, 2012.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., and Littlejohn, D.: Measurement of nitrous acid in motor vehicle exhaust, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 30, 2843–2849, doi:10.1021/es960135y, 1996.
- Kleffmann, J., Heland, J., Kurtenbach, R., Lorzer, J., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument (LOPAP) for the detection of nitrous acid (HONO), *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. (Sp. Iss. 4)*, 48–54, 2002.
- Kleffmann, J. and Wiesen, P.: Technical Note: Quantification of interferences of wet chemical HONO LOPAP measurements under simulated polar conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6813–6822, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6813-2008, 2008.
- Kokhanovsky, A. A., and Zege, E. P.: Scattering optics of snow, *Appl. Optics*, 43, 1589–1602, 2004.

- Kukui, A., Legrand, M., Ancellet, G., Gros, V., Bekki, S., Sarda-Estève, R., Loisil, R., and Preunkert, S.: Measurements of OH and RO₂ radicals at the coastal Antarctic site of Dumont d'Urville (East Antarctica) in summer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D12310, doi:10.1029/2012JD017614, 2012.
- Kukui, A., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Frey, M. M., Loisil, R., Gil Roca, J., Jourdain, B., King, M. D., France, J. L., and Ancellet, G.: Measurements of OH and RO₂ radicals at Dome C, East Antarctica, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 14, 14999–15044, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-14999-2014, 2014.
- Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lorzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen, P., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 3385–3394, 10.1016/s1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001.
- Legrand M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Gallée, H., Goutail, F., Weller R., and Savarino, J.: Year round record of surface ozone at coastal (Dumont d'Urville) and inland (Concordia) sites in East Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D20306, doi:10.1029/2008JD011667, 2009.
- Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Guilhermet, J., Faïn, X., Alekhina, I., and Petit, J. R.: Water-soluble organic carbon in snow and ice deposited at Alpine, Greenland, and Antarctic sites: a critical review of available data and their atmospheric relevance, *Clim. Past*, 9, 2195–2211, doi:10.5194/cp-9-2195-2013, 2013.
- Li, X., Rohrer, F., Hofzumahaus, A., Brauers, T., Häseler, R., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Fuchs, H., Gomm, S., Holland, F., Jäger, J., Kaiser, J., Keutsch, F. N., Lohse, I., Lu, K., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wolfe, G. M., Mentel, T. F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Wahner, A.: Missing Gas-Phase Source of HONO Inferred from Zeppelin Measurements in the Troposphere, *Science*, 344, 292–296, 2014.
- Liao, W., Case, A. T., Mastromarino, J., Tan, D., and Dibb, J. E.: Observations of HONO by laser-induced fluorescence at the South Pole during ANTCI 2003, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L09810, doi:10.1029/2005GL025470, 2006.
- Mauldin III, R. L., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., Kosciuch, E., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Hall, S. R., Nowak, J. B., Buhr, M., Chen, G., Wang, P., and Davis, D.: Measurements of OH, H₂SO₄, and MSA at the South Pole during ISCAT, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 3629–3632, 2001a.
- Mauldin III, R. L., Eisele, F. L., Cantrell, C. A., Kosciuch, E., Ridley, B. A., Lefer, B., Tanner, D. J., Nowak, J. B., Chen, G., Wang, L., and Davis, D.: Measurements of OH aboard the ASA P-3 during PEM-Tropics B., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 32 657–32 666, 2001b.
- Meusinger, C., Berhanu, T. A., Erbland, J., Savarino, J., and Johnson, M. S.: Laboratory Study of Nitrate Photolysis in Antarctic Snow, Part 1: Observed Quantum Yield, Domain of Photolysis and Secondary Chemistry, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 244305, doi:10.1063/1.4882898, 2014.
- Preunkert, S., Ancellet, G., Legrand, M., Kukui, A., Kerbrat, M., Sarda-Estève, R., Gros, V., and Jourdain, B.: Oxidant Production over Antarctic Land and its Export (OPALE) project: An overview of the 2010–2011 summer campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D15307, doi:10.1029/2011JD017145, 2012.
- Regimbal, J. and Mozurkewich, M.: Peroxynitric acid decay mechanisms and kinetics at low pH, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 101, 8822–8829, 1997.
- Sander, S. P. and Peterson, A. E.: Kinetics of the reaction HO₂+NO₂+M→HO₂NO₂+M, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 88, 166–1571, 1984.
- Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J. R., Burkholder, J. B., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Huie, R. E., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Orkin, V. L., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 17, JPL Publication 10 June 2011, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, <http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov>, 2011.
- Slusher, D. L., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Chen, G., Davis, D. D., Buhr, M., Nowak, J. B., Eisele, F., Kosciuch, E., Mauldin III, R. L., Lefer, B. L., Shetter, R. E., and Dibb, J. E.: Measurements of pernitric acid at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 2011, doi:10.1029/2002GL015703, 2002.
- Slusher, D. L., Neff, W. D., Kim, S., Huey, L. G., Wang, Y., Zeng, T., Tanner, D. J., Blake, D. R., Beyersdorf, A., Lefer, B. L., Crawford, J. H., Eisele, F. L., Mauldin III, R. L., Kosciuch, E., Buhr, M. P., Wallace, H. W., and Davis, D. D.: Atmospheric chemistry results from the ANTCI 2005 Antarctic plateau airborne study, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 115, D07304, doi:10.1029/2009JD012605, 2010.
- Ulrich, T., Ammann, M., Leutwyler, S., and Bartels-Rausch, T.: The adsorption of peroxynitric acid on ice between 230 K and 253 K, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1833–1845, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1833-2012, 2012.
- Villena, G., Wiesen, P., Cantrell, C. A., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Knapp, D., Kosciuch, E., Mauldin III, R. L., McGrath, J. A., Montzka, D., Richter, D., Ullmann, K., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., Staebler, R. M., Liao, J., Huey, L. G., and Kleffmann, J.: Nitrous acid (HONO) during polar spring in Barrow, Alaska: A net source of OH radicals?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D00R07, doi:10.1029/2011JD016643, 2011.