

# **Monazite U–Th–Pb EPMA and zircon U–Pb SIMS chronological constraints on the tectonic, metamorphic, and thermal events in the inner part of the Variscan orogen, example from the Sioule series, French Massif Central**

Damien Do Couto, Michel Faure, Romain Augier, Alain Cocherie, Philippe Rossi, Xian-Hua Li, Wei Lin

## **To cite this version:**

Damien Do Couto, Michel Faure, Romain Augier, Alain Cocherie, Philippe Rossi, et al.. Monazite U–Th–Pb EPMA and zircon U–Pb SIMS chronological constraints on the tectonic, metamorphic, and thermal events in the inner part of the Variscan orogen, example from the Sioule series, French Massif Central. International Journal of Earth Sciences,  $2016$ ,  $105$ ,  $pp.557-579$ .  $10.1007/s00531-015-1184-0$ . insu-01167544

# **HAL Id: insu-01167544 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-01167544v1>**

Submitted on 25 Jun 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



#### 15 **Abstract**

- 16 In the northern Variscan French Massif Central, the Sioule metamorphic series exposes from
- 17 top to bottom the tectonic superposition of the Upper Gneiss Unit (UGU), Lower Gneiss Unit
- 18 (LGU), and Para-autochthonous Unit (PAU). The nappe stacking developed throughout two
- 19 prograde syn-metamorphic events:  $D_1$  is a top-to-the SW shearing coeval with a probable
- 20 Devonian migmatization, and  $D_2$  is a top-to-the NW shearing event. Both events were
- 21 completed before the unconformable deposition of the undeformed and unmetamorphozed
- 22 "Tufs anthracifères" formation, dated at ca 330 Ma (Late Visean). Furthermore, the UGU
- 23 experienced a high pressure metamorphism ascribed to a  $D_0$  event during which eclogite or
- 24 granulite crystallized in several parts of the UGU. Monazite U-Th-Pb, and zircon U-Pb SIMS



#### **Introduction**

42 Dating the syn-metamorphic rocks and their related ductile fabrics remains a challenge.

 Indeed, many of the common metamorphic phases such as biotite, muscovite, feldspar, and 44 amphibole can be dated by the  ${}^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$  method, however it is well acknowledged that this chronometer is highly sensitive to any late thermal perturbation, thus the radiometric ages obtained by this method may represent cooling ages or "re-opening" ages rather than genuine crystallization age of the dated minerals (see Jourdan et al 2014 for a recent review). Zircon is also a common accessory phase used for U-Pb geochronology. Due to analytical improvements, punctual measurements using SIMS, SHRIMP or LA-ICP-MS technique, 50 zircon yields precise age, however as for the  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  method, the significance of zircon U- Pb geochronology must be carefully considered for dating metamorphic rocks since zircon may crystallize or recrystallize at various stages of the rock history, including initial magmatism formation stage for igneous protoliths. Monazite that may crystallize either from magma or during metamorphic reactions appears as one of the most useful phase to assess the timing of tectono-metamorphic events. Although separated monazite grains can be dated by SIMS or LA-ICP-MS, the *in-situ* approach that preserves the textural relationships between minerals allows the deciphering of superimposed magmatic and/or metamorphic high-58 temperature stages. Furthermore, the size of the analytical spot (*ca* 20 µm) for LA-ICP MS measurements generally prevents this detailed investigation as metamorphic monazite is often small-sized, chemically zoned or partly dissolved. Nevertheless, though involving a non- isotopic technique, with a *ca* 5 to15 Ma uncertainty, larger than the isotopic methods, the monazite U-Th-Pb EPMA method can provide one of the best estimate of the metamorphic mineral crystallization ages in polymetamorphic terranes. Due to the abundance of metapelitic and felsic magmatic protoliths, the Variscan belt

appears as a good example to determine the ages of successive tectono-metamorphic events,



- 
- 

#### **Geological outline of the Variscan French Massif Central**

The stack of nappes

The French Massif Central (FMC thereafter) is an important segment of the Variscan Belt that

results of a complex interplay between two main continents, namely Gondwana, and

Laurussia, and several intervening microcontinents such as Avalonia, Mid-German

Crystalline Rise, and Armorica (e.g. Matte 2001; Cocks 2000; Faure et al. 2005; Murphy et al.

2011). It is now well acknowledged that the bulk architecture of the French Massif Central,

alike the southern part of the Massif Armoricain or the Vosges-Black Forest massif, is a stack

of metamorphic nappes developed diachronously from Late Silurian to Early Carboniferous at

the expense of the north Gondwana margin (Matte 1986; Ledru et al. 1989; Faure et al. 2005,

2009; Lardeaux et al. 2014; Fig . 1).

From bottom to top, and South to North, the following units are recognized (Figs. 1, 2). *1)*

*The foreland basin* develops in the southernmost part of the Massif Central. It is an Early

- Carboniferous (Visean-Serpukhovian) turbiditic basin that extends southward below the
- coastal plain of the Mediterranean Sea. *2) The Paleozoic Fold-and-Thrust Belt* consists of
- Early Cambrian to Visean unmetamorphosed sedimentary rocks displaced to the South as

91 thrust sheets or kilometre-scale recumbent folds well. There, the Early Devonian (i. e. Lochkovian, 416-411 Ma) unconformity argues for an Early Paleozoic tectonic event (at ca 420-400 Ma), which is also recorded to the North of the Massif Central in the Lower and Upper Gneiss metamorphic units (cf. below). *3) The Para-autochthonous Unit* that overthrusts the Paleozoic Fold-and-Thrust Belt is formed by greenschist to epidote- amphibolite facies metapelites, metagreywackes, quartzites, and a small amount of marbles and metabasites. In the northern Massif Central, the Para-autochthonous Unit crops out in tectonic windows surrounded by the Lower Gneiss Unit. In the Cévennes area, some granitic plutons, now transformed into orthogneiss, intruding the series, yield Cambrian to Early Ordovician zircons (Bé Mézème et al. 2006). *4) The Lower Gneiss Unit (LGU)* is composed of metagreywackes, metapelites, and metarhyolites intruded by Cambrian to Early Ordovician porphyritic alkaline granitoids transformed into augen orthogneiss during the tectonic- metamorphic events. The LGU underwent a Middle Devonian metamorphism leading to crustal melting coeval with a ductile shearing dated in the Limousin at 375-370 Ma (Faure et 105 al. 2008) and attributed to the  $D_1$  event (cf below). Moreover, the LGU also experienced a medium pressure/medium temperature metamorphism characterized by a biotite-garnet- staurolite assemblage, dated at ca. 360-350 Ma (Costa 1989; Melleton et al. 2009), and 108 attributed to the  $D_2$  event. *5) The Upper Gneiss Unit (UGU)* forms the overlying nappe whose the main protoliths are metapelites and metagrauwackes. This unit contains high-pressure rocks, such as eclogites or high pressure (HP) granulitic orthogneiss that may locally reach the coesite-eclogite facies (Santallier 1981; Pin and Vielzeuf 1988; Lardeaux et al. 2001; Berger et al., 2010). The upper part of the UGU consists of Devonian migmatites, yielding zircon U-113 Pb ages at *ca* 385-380 Ma, attributed to the  $D_1$  event, and formed by the partial melting of pelitic and quartzo-feldspathic rocks (Duthou et al. 1994; Faure et al. 2008). These rocks contain amphibolite blocks derived from retrogressed eclogites that did not experience partial

 melting. The HP metamorphism is dated at ca 420-400 Ma by U-Pb and Sm-Nd methods in several locations (Pin and Peucat 1986; Paquette et al. 1995; Faure et al. 2005). The five units described above represent the classical nappe pile of the FMC in which the metamorphism increases from bottom to top. Furthermore, in the northern part of the French Massif Central, the undeformed and unmetamorphozed "Tuffs anthracifères" series, which consists of terrigenous rocks (sandstone, shales, conglomerates), coal measures, and felsic magmatic rocks (dacites and rhyolites), is dated as Late Visean (*ca* 330 Ma, Bruguier et al. 1998). These rocks unconformably cover Late Devonian-Early Carboniferous plutonic or metamorphic rocks of the UGU and LGU. The "Tuffs anthracifères" series provides a major time constraint for the evolution of the French Massif Central. As the "Tuffs anthracifères" series is coeval with the development of the Fold-and-Thrust Belt, it supports the north-south diachronism in the deformation at the scale of the entire massif.

The polyphase tectono-metamorphic evolution

 The Variscan geodynamic evolution of the French Massif Central remains disputed. Some authors (e.g. Matte 1986; Ledru et al. 1989) consider that the formation of the FMC results of a continuous north-directed oceanic then continental subduction from early Silurian to early Carboniferous. In contrast, other authors (e.g. Pin 1990; Faure et al. 1997, 2008, 2009) argue for a polycyclic evolution. The Early Silurian to Early Devonian events correspond to the Eo- Variscan cycle due the collision of the Armorica microcontinent with the north Gondwana margin. From Middle Devonian to Early Carboniferous, the Variscan belt develops due to the southward subduction of the Rheic Ocean below Gondwana followed by the collision of Gondwana with Laurussia. In spite of differences between the two geodynamic scenarii, most of authors agree on the main tectonic, metamorphic, and magmatic events. Six tectono-metamorphic events are recognized (Faure et al. 2009).

141 The earliest event,  $D_0$ , corresponds to the high to ultra high-pressure metamorphism recorded in the eclogite facies rocks of the UGU. Most of the HP rocks derive from mafic protoliths, but also HP relics are also found in orthogneiss are locally found. In the Lyonnais area, the UGU eclogites record pressure and temperature conditions of 1.8-2 GPa and 650- 145 750°C, respectively (Lardeaux et al. 2001). On the basis of the HP metamorphism, the  $D_0$ event took place around 415 Ma (Pin and Peucat 1986).

147 The Early to Middle Devonian, tectonic-metamorphic event  $D_1$ , is coeval with the crustal melting of the metapelites and orthogneiss of the UGU and LGU, whereas mafic rocks are preserved as amphibolite restitic blocks in migmatites. The migmatisation is dated as Middle Devonian (385-375 Ma) in the Limousin or Lyonnais areas (Duthou et al. 1994, Faure et al. 2008 and enclosed references). P-T estimates from garnet-plagioclase and garnet-biotite pairs in migmatites, indicate metamorphic conditions of 0.7±0.05 GPa and 700±50°C respectively (Mercier et al. 1991; Roig and Faure 2000). The flat-lying foliation exhibits a NE-SW striking (N30 to N60E) stretching lineation marked by fibrolitic sillimanite or biotite in migmatites, and biotite or amphibole in amphibolites. Shear criteria indicate a top-to-the- SW displacement (Ledru et al.1989; Faure et al. 1997; Roig and Faure 2000). Amphibolite in 157 the northern Massif Central yields biotite and hornblende  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  cooling ages ranging from 390 Ma to 381Ma (Costa and Maluski 1988; Boutin and Montigny 1993). In northeastern Massif Central, or Morvan area, Middle Devonian unmetamorphosed and undeformed sedimentary rocks unconformably overlying migmatites with eclogite relics suggest that, at least in the northern part of the Massif Central, the HP rocks were already exhumed before the Middle Devonian (Faure et al. 1997).

 In the LGU, UGU, the dominant fabric is a NW-SE trending stretching lineation 164 developed either on flat-lying or steeply dipping foliations, which is attributed to the  $D_2$  event (Faure et al. 2005, 2008). Thermo-barometric constraints are well established in the Limousin

166 and Rouergue areas (Duguet et al. 2006; Bellot and Roig 2007). In the UGU, the syn-D<sub>2</sub> minerals, crystallized along the NW-SE lineation, indicate 0.7-1 GPa and 600-700°C metamorphic conditions. Paragneiss belonging to the LGU yield nearly similar conditions of 0.8-1 GPa and 550-600°C. In the LGU, amphibolites that never experienced the high-pressure 170 event indicate 0.8-1 GPa and 700-800°C metamorphic conditions (Santallier 1981). The D<sub>2</sub>, event, characterised by a top-to-the-NW ductile shearing, is widely documented from the southeast Massif Central up to the Southern part of the Massif Armoricain. This deformation is stratigraphically dated as pre-Early Visean (i.e. older than 345 Ma) by the unconformity recognized in the Brévenne area (e.g. Leloix et al., 1999 and enclosed references, Fig. 1). The 175 age of the syn-D<sub>2</sub> MP/MT metamorphism is constrained by <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar method on biotite, muscovite and amphibole, and by EPMA on monazite between 360 and 350 Ma (Costa 1989;

Leloix et al. 1999; Melleton et al. 2009).

178 The syn-metamorphic  $D_3$  deformation is recognized only in the Para-autochthonous Unit of the southern part of the Massif Central that experienced a first deformation at *ca* 340- 335 Ma (Caron 1994; Faure et al. 2005). Further south, south-verging recumbent folds and thrusts of the Paleozoic series dated of Late Visean-Early Namurian (*ca* 325 Ma) by the syn-182 orogenic sediments of the foreland basin, are also related to the  $D_3$  event. This progressive southward younging of the nappe tectonics is coeval with the development of a flat-lying foliation and a N-S to NE-SW striking stretching lineation. In contrast to the southern part of the Massif Central, in its northern part, the Late Visean deformation develops under brittle conditions. It is represented by a NW-SE stretching, related to the emplacement of NE-SW striking dykes of basalt, dacite, and microgranite in the Tuff Anthracifères series. This deformation is interpreted as the onset of the syn-orogenic extension (Faure 1995). Ductile normal faults, such as Argentat or Nantiat faults, coeval with Serpukhovian-

Bashkirian (or Namurian-Westphalian in W. European stratigraphy, *i.e.* 325-315 Ma)

191 synkinematic granite emplacement, argue for an extensional tectonic phase named the D<sub>4</sub> event (Faure 1995). As shown by petrofabric and AMS studies, most of the Late Carboniferous leucogranite and porphyritic monzogranite plutons and their metamorphic aureoles exhibit a well-defined NW-SE trending mineral or stretching lineation (Talbot et al. 195 2005; Joly et al. 2007). The tectonic-plutonic  $D_4$  event, widespread in the entire Massif Central, is interpreted as a syn-orogenic extensional process since at this time, compression was still active in the northern and southern outer zones of the Variscan belt, such as Ardenne and Montagne Noire-Pyrénées, respectively.

 The last phase in the structural evolution of the French Massif Central is a Late 200 Carboniferous (Kasimovian-Ghzelian, or Stephanian)  $D_5$  late-orogenic extensional event that is distinguished from the previous one by the NNE-SSW strike of the maximum stretching direction. Intramontane coal basins develop either as half-grabens or left-lateral pull-aparts depending on the strike and kinematics of the bounding fault that controls the basin opening and sedimentary infill.

#### **The Sioule metamorphic series**

The bulk architecture

 Located in the northern part of the French Massif Central, the Sioule metamorphic series is bound to the west by the Late Carboniferous Sillon Houiller sinistral wrench fault, and to the east by the Oligocene Ebreuil and Limagne grabens (Figs. 1, 2). The Tréban Massif and the 211 S<sup>te</sup>-Christine wrench fault are the northern and southern limits of the Sioule metamorphic series, respectively (Fig. 2). The metamorphic series is intruded by two granitic plutons. The Pouzol-Servant porphyritic microgranite is a biotite granite dated at *ca* 330 Ma (Pin, 1991). The Echassières leucogranite is a small, differentiated, peraluminous pluton dated at *ca* 310 Ma (Duthou and Pin 1987; Cheilletz et al. 1992). The Sioule series is a classic example of an inverted metamorphic sequence in the Massif Central (Grolier 1971). Three lithological and

 structural units have been described (Richard 1938; Grolier 1971; Faure et al. 1993). From top to bottom, they are: a) an upper unit composed of biotite-sillimanite gneiss, and biotite- sillimanite± cordierite migmatite developed at the expense of the underlying gneiss that enclose a few meter-sized boudins of kyanite-garnet-plagioclase high-pressure granulitic paragneiss partly retrogressed under amphibolite facies conditions (Ravier and Chenevoy 1979). This unit is correlated with the Upper Gneiss Unit (UGU) of the French Massif Central (cf section 2); b) an intermediate unit formed by biotite-muscovite-sillimanite-K feldspar- garnet paragneiss corresponding to the Lower Gneiss Unit (LGU), and c) a biotite-staurolite- garnet micaschist that crops out around the Echassières pluton. This unit, which is structurally 226 below the two micas paragneiss of the LGU, lacks of orthogneiss and anatectic melts, it is 227 therefore correlated with the Para-autochthonous Unit (PAU). Structural outline of the Sioule metamorphic series

 In the study area, the observed structures, and the related kinematics account well for a multistage tectonic evolution. In agreement with previous works (Grolier 1971; Feybesse and Teygey 1987; Faure et al. 1993; Schulz et al. 2001; Schulz 2009), the foliation is folded by NNW-SSE trending km-scale upright synform and antiform (Fig.2). A WNW-ESE striking stretching and mineral lineation is well developed in the foliation plane of the PAU, LGU, and UGU. Microscope-scale shear criteria, such as sigmoidal micas, asymmetric pressure shadows around feldspar or garnet, and S-shaped inclusion trails in garnet consistently indicate a top- to-the WNW shearing (Faure et al. 1993; Schulz 2009). These microstructural features are 238 relevant to the  $D_2$  event of the Massif Central.

239 In the UGU, in addition to the main NW-SE  $D_2$  deformation, a NNE-SSW striking mineral and stretching lineation is also recognized (Grolier 1971; Feybesse and Teygey 1987; Faure et al. 1993). This deformation, characterized by elongated biotite-sillimanite

 aggregates, stretched K-feldspar, and axes of intrafolial folds parallel to the stretching lineation, is associated with top-to-the SSW kinematic indicators. A Barrovian-type metamorphism with biotite-sillimanite-K-feldspar assemblage is coeval with this deformation 245 event that appears less developed, and older, than the NW-SE  $D_2$  one. In the upper part of the UGU, the biotite-sillimanite±cordierite migmatite exhibits also the NNE-SSW mineral and stretching lineation, with similar top-to-the-SW kinematics as those observed in the underlying biotite-sillimanite gneiss. By correlation with the general tectonic-metamorphic 249 pattern of the FMC, this early phase is correlated with the  $D_1$  event, thus a Middle Devonian age, dated from 385 to 375 Ma is suggested (Faure et al. 2009) but not documented yet. Furthermore, top-to-the-WNW and top-to-the-ESE shearing, characterized by shear bands cutting through the regional foliation, sericite pressure shadows upon cataclazed staurolite or garnet porphyroclasts, develop around the Echassières pluton. These kinematic indicators, coeval with greenschist facies metamorphic conditions, are related to the emplacement of the Echassière leucogranite pluton in an extensional setting. Thus this 256 deformation phase corresponds to the  $D_4$  event (Faure et al. 2009). In contrast with other areas of the French Massif Central, mafic eclogites formed 258 during the earliest  $D_0$  event are not recognized in the Sioule area. Nevertheless, kyanite-259 garnet-plagioclase-rutile high-pressure granulitic gneiss, garnet-pyroxenite, and rare serpentinite boudins are mapped in the UGU (Grolier 1971; Ravier and Chenevoy 1979). 261 These rocks argue for an early HP event probably equivalent to the  $D_0$  one. Moreover, in paragneiss, as shown by the symplectitic texture, these HP garnet and kyanite grains are surrounded by a biotite-sillimanite or muscovite assemblage representative of an amphibolite 264 facies retrogression developed during the  $D_1$  or  $D_2$  events (Fig. 3). As in most areas of the 265 Massif Central, the planar and linear fabrics related to the  $D_0$  event have been erased by 266 subsequent metamorphic recrystallization or deformation events. But since syn- $D_0$  minerals,

 such as kyanite, core of garnet, and possibly monazite are preserved, the rocks may still be suitable to yield the age of the HP event.

# Available time constraints in the Sioule metamorphic series are currently limited (Faure et al., 2002; Schulz, 2009). The Late Visean Pouzol-Servant microgranite and the Bashkirian-Moscovian Echassières leucogranite intrude the already metamorphosed and 272 ductilely deformed series, and thus provide the upper time limit for the  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$ , and  $D_2$ 273 tectono-metamorphic events. An  ${}^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$  survey has been carried out in the metamorphic units of the Sioule series (Faure et al. 2002). All the minerals, whatever the rock type or the tectonic unit, yielded similar ca 335-330 Ma ages that were interpreted as the Late Visean

 thermal overprint since these ages are similar, within the errors, to the age of the "Tuffs Anthracifères" event. Thus, the ages of the different pre-Late Visean tectono-metamorphic events in the Sioule series remain presently unknown.

#### **Sampling and petrological description**

 Twenty-four samples representative of the different metamorphic assemblages cropping out in the Sioule series have been petrologically studied in order to bring the time constraints on the metamorphic evolution of this series. After detailed microscopic observations, five samples were selected due to their suitable parageneses and textures to ensure the presence of 285 accessory minerals such as monazite and zircon developed during the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  tectono- metamorphic events. The sample location in the Sioule nappe pile, and the GPS position, are provided in Figure 2 and Table 1, respectively. The PAU is represented by a staurolite-garnet micaschist (SO3) located to the East of the Echassières pluton. The LGU is represented by a two-mica paragneiss (SO17) to the SE of the same pluton. The UGU is represented by a biotite-sillimanite gneiss (SO7), a migmatitic gneiss (SO11), and a biotite-kyanite-garnet paragneiss (SO15), located on both limbs of the antiform. The microstructural descriptions

292 herein refer to thin sections cut parallel to the stretching lineation  $(X)$  and perpendicular to the foliation plane (Z), i.e. in the XZ plane of the finite strain ellipsoid.

 *Sample SO3*, belonging to the Para-autochthonous unit, consists of quartz, feldspar, biotite, muscovite, garnet, staurolite, sericite, and chlorite. In the field, structural observations show a low-angle ESE plunging foliation, and a N105E to N115E striking mineral lineation (Fig. 3a2). The foliation cut by shear bands, and sigmoidal quartz veins indicate a top-to-the- SE sense of shear (Fig. 3a1). Under the microscope, cataclazed garnet and staurolite surrounded by an asymmetric mantle of sericite developed during retrogression of the main assemblage indicate the same sense of shear (Fig 4a). Furthermore, garnet exhibits sigmoidal inclusions of quartz and opaque minerals indicating an earlier foliation. The asymmetry of these inclusions indicates a synkinematic crystallisation of these porphyroblasts during a noncoaxial deformation that is not in agreement with the top-to-the-SE sense of shear, but 304 instead argues for a top-to-WNW sense of shear developed during the  $D_2$  event. According to Schulz et al (2001), the thermobarometry from the PAU micaschist indicates a prograde P-T evolution with increasing temperatures from 450°C to 600°C under slightly increasing pressure from 0.7 to 0.8 GPa, followed by a P and T decrease indicated by the formation of retrogressive sericite during the decompression. Scan Electron Microscope (SEM) 309 backscattered electron (BSE) observation of sample SO3 reveals the presence of 50 to 100  $\mu$ m sized, homogeneous, monazite grains included into biotite or crystallized along grain boundaries.

 *Sample SO17* is a two-mica paragneiss presenting traces of incipient migmatization belonging to the LGU with a segregation of thick quartz-feldspar and thin biotite and sillimanite layers. The flat lying foliation contains a NW-SE striking mineral and stretching 315 lineation related to the  $D_2$  event. The mineral assemblage consists of quartz, feldspar, biotite, muscovite, chlorite, and accessory minerals including sillimanite, Fe-Ti-oxides, and monazite.

 Muscovite often presents a clear secondary character, growing without preferred orientation while biotite is partially altered into chlorite in line with a late thermal overprint (Faure et al. 2002). Biotite contains large subeuhedral monazite grains of *ca* 80-120 µm size. Monazite 320 also occurs as *ca* 80-100µm euhedral inclusions in biotite or quartz. Despite the existence of these two occurrences, in SEM BSE imaging, the monazite grains exhibit homogeneous internal textures without zoning.

 *Sample SO7* is a biotite-sillimanite migmatitic paragneiss belonging to the UGU collected near Moulin du Bouchet (Fig. 2). This rock is composed of quartz, feldspar, garnet, biotite, muscovite, rare staurolite and fibrolitic sillimanite. Field observations of coarse- grained quartz-feldspar leucosome parallel to the foliation indicate that this rock underwent a limited partial melting. The biotite-sillimanite assemblage defines a pervasive foliation and a 328 N50E striking mineral and stretching lineation that can be assigned to the regional  $D_1$  deformation. However, as already pointed out by Schulz (2009), the subeuhedral shape of the garnet argues for a static growth after the development of the D1 structures. According to Schulz et al. (2001), the biotite-sillimanite gneiss of Moulin de Bouchet, which is located close to our sample SO7, underwent a prograde metamorphic evolution at low pressure (0.4- 0.6 GPa) and high temperature (650°C-700°C). Thus, this biotite-sillimanite paragneiss might 334 have also experienced the  $D_2$  event, although typical  $D_2$  NW-SE stretching lineation is not observed. In this rock, all the analyzed monazite grains, observed in SEM BSE mode, are homogeneous inclusions in biotite (Fig. 4b).

 *Sample SO11*, collected at Pont de Menat (Fig. 2) is a migmatitic paragneiss belonging to the upper part of the UGU. This rock is composed of alternations of coarse-grained quartz- feldspar leucosome and fine-grained biotite-fibrolitic sillimanite-garnet melanosome. Field observation shows the occurrence of a pervasive deformation characterized by a flat-lying 341 foliation and a N120E trending mineral and stretching lineation assigned to the  $D_2$  event (Fig.

 3 c). All the analyzed monazite grains observed in SEM BSE mode are homogeneous inclusions in biotite (Fig. 4c).



Monazite inclusions in garnet and in biotite exhibit a core-rim chemical zoning.

#### **Monazite EPMA chemical dating**

Analytical method and strategy

 Due to its high U and Th contents, and negligible initial Pb content (Parrish 1990), it is now well acknowledged that a crystallization (or recrystallization) age can be determined from monazite on the basis of its chemical composition in U, Th, and Pb determined by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). Such a simple and fast technique has been successfully applied to several plutonic or metamorphic rocks (e.g. Suzuki and Adachi, 1991; Montel et al., 1996; Cocherie et al., 1998, 2005; Cocherie and Albarède, 2001; Schulz et al., 2009; Faure et al., 2010, and enclosed references). Monazite is a common accessory mineral in metamorphic rocks, especially in metapelites. It crystallizes in a wide range of P-T conditions, from greenschist facies (Cabella et al. 2001; Rasmussen et al. 2001) to amphibolite (Smith and Barreiro 1990; Kohn and Malloy 2004) and granulite facies (Bé Mézème et al. 2006; Mc Farlane and Frost 2009) in rocks metamorphosed under Barrovian P-T gradient during prograde or retrograde paths. Previous studies have shown also that monazite can crystallize in blueschist (Janots et al. 2006) or even eclogite facies (Nagy et al. 2002). Consequently,

 analyzed monazite grains must be linked with petrological studies to delimit their P-T crystallization domain. The chemical composition of monazite is an important parameter in order to discuss the radiometric ages.

 *In situ* chemical U-Th-Pb dating of monazite has been developed over the past fifteen years allowed by technological progress performed on electron microprobe analyses (EPMA; Suzuki and Adachi 1991; Montel et al. 1996; Cocherie et al. 1998, 2005). The method uses the radiochronometer between U and Th parent and Pb radiogenic elements, considering the restricted lead diffusion in monazite lattice below 900°C (Cocherie et al. 1998). The determination of U, Th and Pb contents can be performed directly by EPMA *in situ* analyses on polished thin section after textural optical microscope observation of crystallisation- deformation relationships between the constitutive minerals, and monazite internal structure determination using a SEM in BSE mode. This approach provides petrological constraints on the analyzed grains and thus allows us to discuss the significance of the calculated ages in the crystallisation-deformation history of the rock.

 SEM BSE was used to define heterogeneous chemical compositional domains of monazite. In metamorphic rocks, contrasted chemical domains can be explained by the superimposition of metamorphic events that record a polyphase evolution during orogenic processes. Theoretical procedure to reduce the data and to calculate the average age from individual spot analyses is given by Cocherie and Albarède (2001), Cocherie et al. (2005), and Cocherie and Legendre (2007). The analysis of monazite grains directly from polished thin sections was performed by a Cameca SX 50 EPMA co-operated by BRGM-CNRS-Orléans University. An accelerating voltage of 20 kV, and a beam current of 100 nA were chosen as operating conditions. Synthetic phosphates, glasses and natural minerals were used as standards. The counting times (2/3 on peak and 1/3 on background) varies from 20s for Si, P, Ca, Nd, Gd, Y, Th, Ce and La, to 100s for U and 120s for Pb. Considering such parameters,

 the detection limit for Th, U and Pb is 150 ppm (Cocherie and Albarede, 2001). X-ray element maps were also recorded with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and beam current of 100 nA, using the wavelength-dispersive spectrometers. Details on the analytical procedure are the same as those used in Cocherie et al. (2005) and Faure et al. (2008, 2010). Age calculations were done using the Isoplot/Ex (2.49) program of Ludwig (2001) and a Microsoft Excel add-in program for determining U-Th-Pb ages from EPMA measurements (Pommier et 398 al. 2002). The  $2\sigma$  errors given on individual ages depend on U, Th, and Pb contents, and are calculated by propagating the uncertainties of these elements (at 95% confidence level). Because the mean age of an homogeneous age population is directly drived from the isochron 401 plot Th/Pb vs U/Pb, only the uncertainty on these two parameters (Th/Pb and U/Pb) are required to calculate the uncertainty on the mean age.

 The strategy followed for this study was to derive time constraints for the monazite included into the foliation forming biotite or in contact with it, in order to settle the crystallisation time of the main foliation. Consequently, we concentrated on subeuhedral to euhedral monazite grains. We focused on the dating of well-defined chemical domains so as not to shuffle different heterogeneous domains that would yield different crystallization periods.

Results

 *Chemical composition of monazite grains.* SEM BSE images reveal chemically homogeneous monazite grains for the four samples SO3, SO7, SO11, and SO17. Conversely, the SO15 kyanite-garnet granulitic paragneiss sample presents zoned monazite grains. This zonation corresponds to a core/rim zonation as described by Bé Mézème et al. (2006), mostly due to the spatial distribution of U, Th and Pb. The EPMA analysis allows us to quantitatively characterize the chemical composition of the dated grains. The average U/Pb and Th/Pb ratio

 values, details concerning the number of spot analyses, and analyzed grains are provided in Table 1. Representative monazite chemical compositions with uncertainties for samples SO3, 419 SO7, SO11, SO15, and SO17 are given in Table 2. For the granulitic gneiss (sample SO15), core and rim chemical data have been separated. Monazite chemical data for each samples are 421 plotted in the Monazite (2REEPO<sub>4</sub>) - Cheralite (CaTh(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) - Huttonite (2ThSiO<sub>4</sub>) diagram (Linthout 2007; Fig. 5a), in order to show the relative substitution between end-member monazite phase and the cheralite or huttonite components (Fig. 5a). The cheralite substitution is always low, as already observed for various metamorphic environments (e.g. Franz et al. 1996; Cabella et al. 2001), and huttonite substitution is absent or negligible. In samples SO3, SO7, SO11 and SO17, monazite grains appear as homogeneous domains in BSE images, and the analytical data scatter along the cheralite-monazite axis showing clearly a common substitution. In sample SO15, the analyzed monazite grain is composed of two domains in the BSE images, with a light (i.e. dense) core and a dark (i.e. light) rim. Data plotted in the 430 Monazite (2REEPO<sub>4</sub>) - Cheralite (CaTh(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) - Huttonite (2ThSiO<sub>4</sub>) diagram (Fig. 5a) show 431 a relatively high Ce-monazite component into the core of the grain (white diamond) and a 432 cheralite enriched rim (black diamond), corresponding to two different chemical domains. 433 The  $(Th+U+Si+Pb) = f(REE+P)$  plot, modified from Franz et al. (1996), confirms the spread 434 of the analytical data for samples SO3, SO7, and SO11 along the CaThREE<sub>-2</sub> axis corresponding to the cheralite substitution (Fig. 5b). Monazite chemical data from the staurolite micaschist SO3 (Fig. 5b) indicate a limited huttonite substitution pointed out by small vertical variation in Th+U+Si+Pb. Chemical data of monazite from biotite-sillimanite gneiss SO7 and SO11 show a more significant huttonite substitution than for SO3. The core and the rim of dated monazite grain from sample SO15 are characterized by two distinct sets of chemical compositions. The rim is richer in cheralite component than the core, even if huttonite substitution in each domain seems to be similar (Fig. 5b). X-ray maps depicting the

 semiquantitative distribution of Th, Ca, and U confirm the chemical differences between the core and the rim of SO15 grain (Fig. 5d). These maps show that the monazite rim of monazite is enriched in Th and Ca compared to the core. The U content is relatively equivalent in the core and rim, except for an enriched fringe in the rim. This domain was not analyzed because of holes on the polished surface. Despite the presence of several small-sized domains indicated by the Ca and Th contents, the entire core is homogeneous in Th and U composition.

 *U-Th-Pb chemical dating.* In this section, the ages of the analyzed monazite grains from samples SO3, SO 17, SO7, SO11, SO15 are presented following the tectonic order from bottom to top (Fig. 2).

 Staurolite micaschist SO3. Data points are highly scattered on the Th/Pb vs. U/Pb diagram, leading to a small error envelope. The intercept ages are similar within errors, since the U-Pb age (intercept with U/Pb axis), and the Th-Pb age (intercept with Th/Pb axis) are at 379 +54/-62 Ma, and 357+26/-23 Ma, respectively. The calculated MSWD of 1.3 indicates that the population of 73 analyses is statistically homogeneous within the analytical errors (Wendt and Carl 1991). As a consequence, it is possible to calculate the mean age at the centroid where the precision is the best within the error envelope. Thus, the monazite grains 460 vield a mean age of  $363 \pm 8$  Ma (at  $2\sigma$  confidence level; Fig. 6a). Two-mica gneiss (SO17). Data points are weakly scattered on the Th/Pb vs. U/Pb

 diagram, leading to a large error envelope. The intercept ages are similar within errors, since the intercept U-Pb age and the intercept Th-Pb age are at 399 +44/-53 Ma, and 328+31/-26 Ma, respectively. The calculated MSWD of 0.63 indicates that the population of 38 analyses is statistically homogeneous within the analytical errors (Wendt and Carl 1991). As a consequence, it is possible to calculate the mean age at the centroid where the precision is the 467 best within the error envelope. Thus, the monazite grains yield a mean age of  $351 \pm 5$  Ma (at  $2\sigma$  confidence level; Fig. 6b).

 Biotite-sillimanite gneiss (SO7). Data points are relatively scattered on the Th/Pb vs. 470 U/Pb diagram, leading to a small error envelope. The intercept ages are similar within errors, since the intercept U-Pb age and the intercept Th-Pb age are at 331+39/-46 Ma, and 371+33/- 28 Ma, respectively. The calculated MSWD of 1.19 indicates that the population of 73 analyses is statistically homogeneous within the analytical errors (Wendt and Carl 1991) and as a consequence, it is possible to calculate the mean age at the centroid. Thus, the monazite 475 grains yield a mean age of  $355 \pm 7$  Ma (at  $2\sigma$  confidence level; Fig. 6c). Migmatitic gneiss (SO11) of Pont de Menat. Data points on the Th/Pb vs. U/Pb diagram are less scattered than for previous sample, which may lead to a larger error envelop. However, the large number of analyses (n= 174) decreases the envelop size. The intercept 479 ages are similar within errors, since the intercept U-Pb age and the intercept Th-Pb age are at 342+45/-51 Ma, and 373+24/-21 Ma, respectively. The calculated MSWD of 0.98 indicates that the population of 174 analyses is statistically homogeneous within the analytical errors (Wendt and Carl 1991). As a consequence, it is possible to calculate the mean age at the 483 centroid. Thus, the monazite grains yield a mean age of  $363 \pm 4$  Ma (at  $2\sigma$  confidence level; Fig. 6d).

 Kyanite-garnet granulitic paragneiss (SO15) of Saugères. Monazite in sample SO15 exhibits a core-rim zonation of the grain, and in consequence, EPMA data were processed separately for the different domains in order to calculate two isochron ages. 47 and 21 analyses were performed in the core and rim of a single grain, respectively. The 47 analyses 489 from the core on the Th/Pb vs. U/Pb diagram are clustered, leading to a large error envelope. The intercept ages are similar within errors, since the intercept U-Pb age and the intercept Th-Pb age are at 517+281/-322 Ma, and 390+81/-57 Ma, respectively. The calculated MSWD of



#### **Zircon U-Pb SIMS dating**

Analytical procedure

 Zircon concentrates were separated from a *ca* 5 kg of the granulitic paragneiss sample SO15 using standard density and magnetic separation techniques. Zircon grains, together with standard zircons TEMORA 2, 91500, and an in-house standard zircon Qinghu, were mounted in an epoxy mount that was then ground and polished to section the crystals in half for analysis. Zircons were imaged with transmitted and reflected light photomicrographs as well as cathodoluminescence (CL) to reveal their internal structures, and the mount was vacuum- coated with high-purity gold prior to SIMS analyses. Measurements of U, Th and Pb isotopes were conducted using a Cameca IMS 1280 SIMS at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing. Analytical procedures are similar to those reported 516 by Li et al. (2009). The primary  $O_2$  ion beam spot is about 20×30 µm in size. Positive



#### Results

Fifty-five zircon grains from the SO 15 granulitic paragneiss have been analyzed (Table 3).

All but four zircons are concordant within analytical uncertainties (discordance degree <4%).

The dated zircons can be divided into two groups in terms of their morphological feature, ages

- and Th/U ratio. In group 1, zircons are mostly sub-rounded to multifaceted grains and yield
- 540 ages between 324 and 349 Ma. They are characterized by low Th/U ratio (<0.1) and faint CL
- luminescence (Table 3, Fig. 7), similar to metamorphic zircons (Corfu et al. 2003). Contrarily,

 Group 2 zircons are dated between 368 Ma and 1.99 Ga (Table 3). They are mostly oval shaped grains showing concentric zoning under CL (Fig. 7), and relatively high Th/U ratio (mostly >0.1), similar to the magmatic zircons (Corfu et al. 2003). Among them, four zircons 545 (spots @06, 26, 27 and 37) are highly discordant, with <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb ages between 1629 and 1994 Ma. On the probabilistic histogram of U-Pb ages for 51 concordant analyses (Fig 8), Group 1 zircons form one major peak at 342 Ma (n = 24) and a subordinate peak at 327 Ma (n 548 = 6), corresponding to Concordia age of  $343\pm2$  Ma and  $328\pm4$  Ma, respectively, on a U-Pb Concordia plot (Fig. 9). Thus, Group 1 zircons are most likely metamorphic in origin. The remaining 21 Group 2 zircons display a scattered age pattern ranging from 370 to 800 Ma, which might be detritus zircons of magmatic origin with or without later metamorphic and hydrothermal overprinting.

#### **Discussion**

 Many studies have pointed out the relationships between the age and the chemical composition of monazite grains in polymetamorphic terranes (e. g. Martins et al., 2009; Kelly et al., 2012). In samples SO3, SO7, SO11 and SO17, SEM BSE images of monazite grains revealed homogeneous grains. The chemical compositions of the analysed monazite grains cluster near the Monazite-(Ce) pole and scatter along the cheralite axis (Fig. 5a and b). The weak data dispersal along the cheralite substitution line indicates that the analysed grains represent a rather homogeneous population. Monazite grains from sample SO15 that exhibit a light (i.e. dense) core and a dark (i.e. light) rim in BSE images, are scattered between the 563 cheralite  $(CaTh(PO_4)_2)$  and huttonite  $(2ThSiO_4)$  substitutions (Fig. 5b). Compared with the other analysed samples, such a difference is explained by a high REE (La, Ce, Pr, Nd) content and absence of Y in the core of monazite grains from sample SO15 (Table 2). The analysed spots in both the core and rim parts delimit two clusters with different chemical composition.

567 It is noteworthy that i) the chemistry of the SO15 monazite rim is close to the chemistry of the 568 other analysed monazite grains, and ii) the reaction leading to the rim crystallisation occurred 569 contemporaneously to the crystallisation of the other monazite grains in samples SO3, SO7, 570 SO11 and SO17. Datings carried out in this study have been made on grain populations with 571 homogeneous chemical composition that make us confident about the age interpretation. 572 The kyanite-garnet granulitic gneiss SO15 preserves a core domain within monazite 573 vielding a *ca* 415 Ma age. This Early Devonian age can be assigned to the  $D_0$  event 574 recognized in the UGU in several places in the Massif Central (Pin and Peucat 1986; Pin and 575 Vielzeuf 1988; Paquette et al. 1995). All the dated samples, whatever the tectonic unit to 576 which they belong, yielded similar within the error, monazite U-Th-Pb ages at *ca* 365-350 Ma 577 (Fig. 10). Since they share common structural features, including a similar NW-SE striking, 578 D<sub>2</sub>, stretching lineation, which is well developed in samples SO3, SO11, SO17, and SO15, the 579 363-351 Ma ages yielded by the monazite in these rocks can be attributed to the  $D_2$  tectono-580 metamorphic event. Similar ages were already reported for the  $D_2$  tectono-metamorphic event 581 in other areas of the French Massif Central, such as the Lot series (Costa 1989) or Limousin 582 (Melleton et al. 2009). Moreover, these ages are consistent, within the errors, with the 583 emplacement age of the Guéret and  $S<sup>t</sup>$ -Gervais d'Auvergne plutons (Figs 1, 2) that exhibit a 584 NW-SE striking magmatic lineation (Faure et al. 2005; Cartannaz et al. 2007). These plutons, 585 dated at 360-350 Ma, emplaced at the end of the  $D_2$  event (Berthier et al. 1979; Cartannaz et 586 al. 2007; Faure et al., 2009). The SO11  $D_1$  migmatite sample, deformed during the  $D_2$  event, 587 yields also a monazite U-Th-Pb age at *ca* 363 Ma, which is consistent with the Late 588 Devonian-Early Carboniferous age of the  $D_2$  event. 589 It is worth to note that although the  $D_1$  migmatization is preserved in samples SO11,

590 and SO7, the P-T conditions of 0.4-0.6 GPa and 550-600°C, calculated in the UGU from a

591 sample close to our sample SO7 (Schulz et al. 2001, Schulz 2009) are not in agreement with

592 the  $D_1$  event, as defined in other areas of the French Massif Central (e.g. Santallier 1981; Mercier et al. 1991; Lardeaux et al. 2001; Bellot and Roig 2007), but instead represent a low 594 pressure-medium temperature event similar to the  $D_2$  one as observed in the Limousin, Lot or Rouergue areas (Duguet et al. 2006; Bellot and Roig 2007; Melleton et al 2009). Thus this 596 biotite-sillimanite paragneiss might have also experienced the  $D_2$  event, even if the typical NW-SE D<sub>2</sub> stretching lineation is not observed in this rock. Furthermore, the NE-SW striking D<sub>1</sub> mineral lineation is clearly observed in sample SO17. In these three rocks, the analyzed monazites do not record the Devonian migmatization dated between 385 Ma and 375 Ma in Lyonnais (Duthou et al. 1994), Limousin (Faure et al. 2008) and S. Brittany (Cocherie et al. 2005). This result can be variously interpreted. Firstly, monazite was unable to crystallize 602 under the  $D_1$  metamorphic conditions; secondly, monazite effectively crystallized, but it may have been dissolved during the HT event, and then neocrystallized in biotite during the 604 prograde  $D_2$  event; thirdly, due to the limited number of analyzed grains, our sampling missed 605 any monazite grain formed during the  $D_1$  event. Though the first hypothesis appears unlikely, since aluminous composition protoliths are suitable for monazite crystallization, the other two explanations cannot be discarded. Thus, the 365-350 Ma monazite ages obtained from samples SO3, SO17, SO7, SO11, and the monazite rim from sample SO15 are attributed to 609 the neocrystallization or the complete recrystallization of monazite during the  $D<sub>2</sub>$  event, leading to the total loss of any early monazite. In order to get a better understanding of the thermo-barometric and chemical conditions that allow monazite crystallization or dissolution with respect to other phases such as garnet and biotite, a detailed analysis of the chemical elements exchanges during the succession of the crystallization-deformation events would be necessary. On the contrary to Limousin or Maures areas (e. g. Duguet et al. 2006; Bellot and Roig 2007; Oliot et al. 2015), such a study is not available yet for the Sioule metamorphic series.

 Besides, zircon from the granulitic paragneiss yields U-Pb SIMS ages younger than 618 the monazite ones at  $343\pm 2$  Ma, and  $328\pm 2$  Ma. The latest is close to the whole-rock Rb/Sr age of the Pouzol-Servant microgranite at 330 Ma (Duthou and Pin 1987; Pin 1991), and also 620 to the cooling ages yielded by the  $^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$  analyses on biotite, muscovite and amphibole for the entire nappe-stack of the Sioule metamorphic series, either in the Upper Gneiss, Lower Gneiss, and Para-autochthonous Units (Faure et al. 2002; Fig. 11). As pointed out in the "Geological Setting" section, the *ca* 330 Ma age is that of the "Tufs anthracifères" magmatism widespread in the northern part of the French Massif Central (Fig. 11). The <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup> Ar ages of the Sioule metamorphic rocks, younger than the Late Visean unconformity, have been interpreted as a thermal reset provided by the high heat flux during the onset on the extensional tectonics in the northern part of the French Massif Central. Thus we suggest here 628 that the zircon age at  $328\pm4$  Ma Ma might be due to recrystallizations processes, possibly assisted by hydrothermal fluids, also as a consequence of the Late Visean thermal reset. Indeed, the LGU and PAU rocks exhibit several evidence of retrogression such as chlorite developed at the expense of biotite, sericite surrounding staurolite, (Fig 4a) and albite overprinting the foliation. These minerals argue for a late metamorphic stage associated with fluid circulation. In the present state of knowledge, detailed petrological investigations to 634 characterize this hydrothermal event are not available. The  $343\pm2$  Ma age yielded by 24 zircon grains is more difficult to interpret in the geological framework. This age corresponding to the majority of analyzed concordant grains is different, within the error, 637 from the  $328\pm4$  Ma age. Furthermore, such a Middle Visean age is rarely reported in the northern part of the Massif Central. However, to the East of the study area, in the Lyonnais, syn-kinematic granites emplaced along a NE-SW striking dextral strike-slip fault yield biotite 640 and muscovite <sup>40</sup>Ar<sup>/39</sup>Ar ages at ca 346 $\pm$ 3 Ma and 349 $\pm$ 3 Ma, respectively (Costa et al. 1993). Such a NE-SW strike-slip shearing is not identified in the Sioule area, but the NW-SE striking

642 S<sup>te</sup>-Christine dextral strike slip fault develops in the southern boundary of the Sioule series (Fig. 2). One possible interpretation would be to relate this *ca* 343 Ma (i. e. Middle Visean) age to fluid circulations coeval with a transcurrent shearing event too. Importantly, the zircon 645 SIMS measurement did not recorded the  $365-350$  Ma  $D_2$  ages.

 Previous monazite U-Th/Pb ages (Schulz 2009) range between 343 Ma and 327 Ma, i.e. much younger than those reported in this study. These dates were attributed to two distinct 648 events, in agreement with the  ${}^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$  ages (Faure et al. 2002), the monazite chemical ages younger than 340 Ma were considered as due to the Late Visean hydrothermal event related to the "Tufs anthracifères" magmatism. Conversely, ages older than 340 Ma were considered as minimum ones associated to a top-to-the-SE tectonic event. However, structural investigations demonstrated that the top-to-the-SE shearing was coeval with the emplacement of the Echassières pluton at *ca* 310 Ma (Feybesse and Teygey 1987; Faure et al. 1993). The discrepancy between our results and the previous ages by Schulz (2009) can also be explained considering the data reduction method. The previous study uses frequency histograms to process the analytical data associated with very large, routinely *ca* 15 Ma, errors. Moreover, the frequency diagram method provides a mean age systematically younger than the one given by the Th/Pb= f(U/Pb) isochron method (Cocherie and Albarède 2001). For example, the weighted average age computed here for the monazite core and rim of sample SO15 (Fig. 5c) 660 also yields ages at  $392\pm16$  Ma, and  $359\pm18$  Ma younger than  $416\pm8$  Ma, and  $362\pm14$  Ma yielded by the isochron method. In addition, the results provided by the isochron method are generally closer to the ICP-MS isotopic measurements than those provided by the histogram method (Cocherie et al. 1998; Cocherie and Albarède 2001), and thus are preferred here. As mentionned in the "Sioule metamorphic series" section, in the study area, two pre-Late Visean 665 events, namely  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , are recognized. However, the age of the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  range between

 385-375 Ma and 360-350 Ma, respectively. Therefore, the *ca* 340 Ma monazite ages cannot be ascribed to a single tectono-metamorphic event.

 Our new monazite chemical ages can be used to place time constraints in the pressure (P)-temperature (T) plot in order to settle the P-T-t paths experienced by each unit. P-T estimates from the Sioule area (Schulz et al. 2001) are consistent with P-T conditions from other areas of the Massif Central (e.g. Santallier 1981; Mercier et al. 1991; Lardeaux et al. 2001; Bellot and Roig 2007; Faure et al. 2008). The UGU, LGU, and PAU underwent different P-T paths depending on their location in the French Massif Central. In the Lyonnais area, the coesite bearing eclogite argue for P conditions about 2.7-2.8 GPa (Lardeaux et al. 2001) whereas such ultra-high pressure conditions are not recorded (or not preserved) in the Sioule area. On the basis of petrological studies in the Limousin and Artense areas (Santallier 1981, Mercier et al. 1991), peak-conditions of *ca* 2 GPa for *ca* 800°C are inferred for the Sioule granulite (Fig. 12). Sample SO15 places a time constraint at *ca* 415 Ma on this HP event. On the contrary, in the Limousin area, the LGU reached a maximum P value of 1.1-1.2 GPa for 500 °C (Bellot and Roig 2007; Faure et al. 2008). During their exhumation, the UGU and LGU followed nearly isothermal decompression paths coeval with migmatization, and 682 subsequent cooling during the  $D_1$  event at 385-375 Ma. The minimum P-T conditions experienced by the UGU and LGU during this eo-Variscan cycle are not precisely settled. Since andalusite replacing sillimanite or kyanite is never observed in the UGU or LGU, we assume that the two units were not exhumed close to the surface but remained burried in the upper crust, even if aluminosilicates might have remained stable during exhumation. 687 Conversely, the PAU never experienced the  $D_1$  metamorphism nor migmatization. This unit records maximum P and T values of 0.7-0.8 GPa, and 500-600°C, respectively. Our monazite age of sample SO3 at 363±8 Ma constrains the age of the MP/MT metamorphism coeval with 690 the top-to-the-NW  $D_2$  event. During the same late Devonian-Early Carboniferous period, the



## **Acknowledgements**



![](_page_31_Picture_211.jpeg)

by the monitoring of a trace-element-related matrix effect; SHRIMP, ID-TIMS, ELA-ICP-MS

![](_page_32_Picture_183.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_218.jpeg)

 Faure M, Grolier J, Pons J (1993) Extensional ductile tectonics of the Sioule metamorphic series (Variscan French Massif Central). Geol. Rundschau 82: 461-474 Faure M (1995) Late orogenic Carboniferous extensions in the Variscan French Massif Central. Tectonics 14 : 132–153. Faure M, Leloix C, Roig JY, (1997) L'évolution polycyclique de la chaîne hercynienne. Bull. Soc. Geol. France 168: 695-705 Faure M, Monié P, Maluski H, Pin C, Leloix C (2002) Late Visean thermal event in the 828 northern part of the French Massif Central. New  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  and Rb-Sr isotopic constraints on the Hercynian syn-orogenic extension. Int. J. Earth Sciences 91: 53-75 Faure M, Bé Mézème E, Duguet M, Cartier C, Talbot J-Y (2005) Paleozoic tectonic evolution of Medio-Europa from the example of the French Massif Central and Massif Armoricain. J Virtual Explorer Electronic edition ISSN 1441-8142, 19 paper 5. Faure M, Bé Mézème E, Cocherie A, Rossi P, Chemenda A, Boutelier D (2008) Devonian geodynamic evolution of the Variscan Belt, insights from the French Massif Central and Massif Armoricain. Tectonics 27: TC2008, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007TC002115 Faure M, Lardeaux JM, Ledru P (2009) A review of the pre-Permian geology of the French Massif Central. Comptes Rendus Géosciences, thematic issue « The Variscan Orogeny » 341: 202-213 doi :10.1016/j.crte.2008.12. 001

![](_page_35_Picture_152.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_180.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_206.jpeg)

Ledru P, Lardeaux JM, Santallier D, Autran A, Quenardel JM, Floc'h JP, Lerouge G, Maillet

Excel. Berkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley, Special Publications, 4

- Martins L, Farias Vlach S.R, Janasi VdA, (2009) Reaction microtextures of monazite:
- Correlation between chemical and age domains in the Nazaré Paulista migmatite, SE Brazil.
- Chemical Geology 261 : 271-285

- Matte P (1986) Tectonic and plate tectonic model for the Variscan belt of Europe.
- Tectonophysics 126: 329-374
- 
- Matte P. (2001) The Variscan collage and orogeny (480–290 Ma) and the tectonic definition
- of the Armorica microplate. Terra Nova 13: 122–128
- 
- Mc Farlane CRM, Frost BR (2009) Constraints on the early metamorphic evolution of
- Broken Hill Australia, from in situ U-Pb dating and REE geochemistry of monazite. J
- Metamorphic Geology 27: 3-17
- 
- Melleton J, Faure M, Cocherie A, (2009) Monazite U-Th/Pb chemical dating of the Early
- Carboniferous syn-kinematic MP/MT metamorphism in the Variscan French Massif Central.
- Bull. Soc. Géol. France 180: 283-292.
- 
- Melleton J, Gloaguen E, Frei D, Lima A (2011) U-Pb dating of columbite-tantalite from
- Variscan rare-elements granites and pegmatites. Goldschmidt Conference Abstracts Min.
- Mag. 75: 1432.
- 
- Mercier L, Lardeaux JM, Davy P (1991) On the tectonic significance of the retromorphic P-T
- paths of the French Massif Central eclogites. Tectonics 10 : 131–140
- 
- 937 Montel J.M, Foret S, Veschambre M, Nicollet C, Provost A (1996) Electron microprobe
- dating of monazite. Chem Geol 131 : 37–53
- 
- Murphy B, Cousens B, Braid L, Strachan R, Dostal J, Keppie D, Nance D (2011) Highly
- depleted oceanic lithosphere in the Rheic Ocean: Implications for Paleozoic plate reconstructions. Lithos, 123: 165-175
- 
- Nagy G, Draganits E, Demeny A, Panto G, Arkai P (2002) Genesis and transformations of
- monazite, florencite and rhabdophane during medium grade metamorphism : examples from
- the Sopron Hills, Eastern Alps. Chem Geol 191 : 25-46
- 
- Olliot E, Melleton J, Schneider J, Corsini M, Gardien V, Rolland Y (2015) Variscan crustal
- thickening in the Maures-Tanneron massif (South Variscan belt, France): new in situ
- monazite U-Th-Pb chemical dating of high-grade rocks. Bull. Soc. Géol France 186: 145-169.

- Parrish R (1990) U–Pb dating of monazite and its application to geological problems.
- Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 27 : 1431–1450
- 
- Paquette JL, Monchoux P, Couturier JP (1995) Geochemical and isotopic study of a norite-
- eclogite transition in the EuropeanVariscan belt: implication for U–Pb zircon systematics in

metabasic rocks. Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta 59 : 1611–1622.

- Pin C, Peucat J-J (1986) Ages des épisodes de métamorphisme paléozoïques dans le Massif central et le Massif armoricain. Bull Soc Géol France 8: 461–469.
- 
- Pin C, Vielzeuf D (1988) Les granulites de haute pression d'Europe moyenne témoins d'une
- subduction éo-hercynienne. Implication sur l'origine des groupes leptyno-amphiboliques. Bull

Soc Géol France 4: 13-20

![](_page_40_Picture_153.jpeg)

Santallier N (1981) Les roches basiques de la série métamorphique du Bas-Limousin, Massif

Central (France), Thèse, Univ. Orléans, France, 350 pp

- Schulz B, Triboulet C, Audren C, Feybesse JL (2001) P-T paths from metapelite garnet
- zonations, and crustal stacking in the Variscan inverted metamorphic sequence of La Sioule,
- French Massif Central. Z dt Geol Ges 152 : 1-25

- Schulz B (2009) EMP-monazite age controls on P-T paths of garnet metapelites in the
- Variscan inverted metamorphic sequence of La Sioule, French Massif Central. Bull. Soc.
- Géol. Fr. 180 : 271-282

- Smith HA, Barreiro B (1990) Monazite U-Pb dating of staurolite grade metamorphism in
- pelitic schists. Contribution Mineral Petrol 105 : 602-615
- 
- Stacey JS, Kramers JD (1975) Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-
- stage model. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 26 : 207–221

- Suzuki K, Adachi M (1991) Precambrian provenance and Silurian metamorphism of the
- Tsubonosawa paragneiss in the South Kitakami terrane, Northeast Japan, revealed by the
- chemical Th-U-total Pb isochron ages of monazite, zircon and xenotime. Geochem J 25 : 357-
- 376

- Talbot JY, Faure M, Chen Y, Martelet G, (2005) Pull apart emplacement of the Margeride
- granitic complex (French Massif Central). Implications for the Late evolution of the Variscan
- orogen. J. Struct. Geol. 27: 1610-1629

![](_page_42_Picture_192.jpeg)

- Wendt I, Carl C (1991) The statistical distribution of the mean squared weighted deviation.
- Chem Geol 86 : 275-285
- 
- Wiedenbeck M, Allen P, 1995. Three natural zircon standards for U–Th–Pb, Lu-Hf, trace
- element and REE analysis. Geostandards Newsletter 19 : 1–23
- 
- 
- 

- Fig. 1. A: Location of the French Massif Central in the European Variscan framework. B:
- Structural map of the Massif Central (modified from Ledru et al., 1989, and Faure et al.,
- 1027 2009).  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$  refer to the stretching lineation and kinematics referred to the Early
- Devonian, Early Carboniferous, and Middle Carboniferous tectono-metamorphic events,
- 1029 respectively. SGA: S<sup>t</sup>-Gervais d'Auvergne pluton
- 
- Fig. 2. Structural map, and NW-SE cross-section of the Sioule series with sampling sites and microstructural data, after Grolier (1971), Feybesse and Teygey (1987), and Faure et al. (1993).
- 
- Fig. 3. Examples of representative macroscopic field structures of the Para-autochthonous (a),
- Lower Gneiss (b) and Upper Gneiss (c, d) Units. a1: outcrop of biotite-staurolite micaschist
- of sample SO3, with top-to-the-SE sigmoidal quart veins, a2: close-up of the mineral lineation
- marked by elongated biotite and staurolite porphyroblasts. This NW-SE lineation results of
- 1039 the superposition of  $D_2$  and  $D_4$  events. b) D1 NE-SW striking isoclinal folds at Chouvigny. c)

Figure Captions

 Biotite-sillimanite paragneiss with S1 flat-lying foliation and L1 mineral lineation, close to sample SO7. d) hand sample of garnet-kyanite granulitic paragneiss at Saugères (sample SO 15). Note the biotite rim around garnet developed during the retrogression of the granulite facies metamorphic assemblage into ampohibolite facies ones.

 Fig. 4. Polarized light micrographs of analyzed samples showing the textural relationships of the dated monazite grains with other minerals (see location in Fig. 2). a: PAU micaschist 1047 sample SO3 from the Para-autochthonous Unit showing  $D_2$  staurolite mantled by a sericite 1048 rim and deformed during the  $D_4$  event. b: biotite-garnet-sillimanite gneiss (sample SO7) from the Upper Gneiss Unit with monazite included in biotite. c: biotite-sillimanite migmatite 1050 (sample SO11) foliated and lineated during the  $D_2$  event, the dated monazite is included in biotite. d: kyanite-garnet granulitic paragneiss (sample SO15) with garnet surrounded by a 1052 biotite rim developed during the  $D_2$  event.

1054 Fig. 5. a: plot of the analyzed monazites in the  $2REEPO<sub>4</sub>-CaTh(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2ThSiO<sub>4</sub>$  system (Linthout, 2007) showing that the grains are close to the Ce-monazite pole. b: plot of the analyzed monazite in the Th+U+Si+Pb vs REE+P diagram (Franz et al., 1996). c: weighted average age of the core and rim of monazite contained in kyanite-garnet paragneiss of Saugères (sample SO15). d: X-ray compositional map for Th, Ca, U of the analyzed monazite grain in the granulitic paragneiss sample SO15, showing the chemical zoning with a Th, Ca, and U enriched rim. White and black squares represent EPMA analyse points for core and 1061 rim, respectively.

 Fig. 6. Th/Pb vs U/Pb plots with SEM BSE pictures of dated monazites, sample location shown on Fig. 2, and GPS in Table 1. a, b, c, b: Upper Gneiss Unit. a) core of monazite grain

![](_page_44_Picture_194.jpeg)

Fig. 9. Zircon U-Pb Concordia age of granulitic paragneiss sample S015.

 Fig. 10. Synthetic map summarizing the available radiometric ages obtained through different 1080 methods, whole rock Rb/Sr, biotite and muscovite  ${}^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$ , monazite U-Th-Pb, and zircon

U-Pb SIMS for the Sioule series.

Fig. 11. Synoptic chart of the radiometric ages from the Sioule series presented in this paper,

1084 compared with the ages of the  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$  tectono-thermal events for the French Massif

1085 central, and the Guéret and S<sup>t</sup>-Gervais biotite granites, and Pouzol-Servant microgranite.

1086 (1): Average biotite, muscovite, and hornblende  ${}^{40}Ar^{39}Ar$  ages from Faure et al. (2002); (2):

zircon U-Pb age of the Pouzol-Servant microganite (Pin 1991); (3): zircon U-Pb SHRIMP and

monazite U-Th-Pb chemical ages of the Guéret-St-Gervais plutons (Cartannaz et al. 2007).

![](_page_45_Picture_109.jpeg)

Table 3. Zircon U-Pb zircon analyses of granulitic paragneiss sample SO15

Figure 1 [Click here to download high resolution image](http://www.editorialmanager.com/ijes/download.aspx?id=125237&guid=42632e0b-71de-4aa5-96b2-51fb5c8aba1d&scheme=1)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure 5 [Click here to download high resolution image](http://www.editorialmanager.com/ijes/download.aspx?id=125241&guid=150fcd85-027c-492e-974a-e360279e38c4&scheme=1)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 5

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\kappa_{\rm{H}}$ 

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 11

Figure 12 [Click here to download high resolution image](http://www.editorialmanager.com/ijes/download.aspx?id=125248&guid=507345af-c0f9-4a34-b88b-0d36976de48e&scheme=1)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_439.jpeg)

### Table 1. Dated sample locations and analytical data

![](_page_59_Picture_782.jpeg)

## Table 2. Electron microprobe analyses of dated monazites

Table 2

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)