

# **Atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2 ) at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign**

N. M. Frey, H. K. Roscoe, Alexandre Kukui, Joel Savarino, J. L. France, M.D. King, Michel Legrand, Susanne Preunkert

# **To cite this version:**

N. M. Frey, H. K. Roscoe, Alexandre Kukui, Joel Savarino, J. L. France, et al.. Atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2 ) at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 2015, 15, pp.7859-7875. 10.5194/acp-15-7859-2015. insu-01178180

# **HAL Id: insu-01178180 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-01178180v1>**

Submitted on 17 Jul 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7859–7875, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/7859/2015/ doi:10.5194/acp-15-7859-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





# **Atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2) at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign**

**M. M. Frey**1 **, H. K. Roscoe**1 **, A. Kukui**2,3 **, J. Savarino**4,5 **, J. L. France**6 **, M. D. King**7 **, M. Legrand**4,5 **, and S. Preunkert**4,5

<sup>1</sup> British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, Cambridge, UK <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire Atmosphère, Milieux et Observations Spatiales (LATMOS), UMR8190, CNRS-Université de Versailles Saint Quentin, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Éspace (LPC2E), UMR6115 CNRS-Université d'Orléans, 45071 Orléans CEDEX 2, France <sup>4</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), 38000 Grenoble, France <sup>5</sup>CNRS, Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), 38000 Grenoble, France <sup>6</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK <sup>7</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK

*Correspondence to:* M. M. Frey (maey@bas.ac.uk)

Received: 9 November 2014 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 11 December 2014 Revised: 15 June 2015 – Accepted: 30 June 2015 – Published: 17 July 2015

**Abstract.** Mixing ratios of the atmospheric nitrogen oxides NO and NO<sup>2</sup> were measured as part of the OPALE (Oxidant Production in Antarctic Lands & Export) campaign at Dome C, East Antarctica (75.1◦ S, 123.3◦ E, 3233 m), during December 2011 to January 2012. Profiles of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios of the lower 100 m of the atmosphere confirm that, in contrast to the South Pole, air chemistry at Dome C is strongly influenced by large diurnal cycles in solar irradiance and a sudden collapse of the atmospheric boundary layer in the early evening. Depth profiles of mixing ratios in firn air suggest that the upper snowpack at Dome C holds a significant reservoir of photolytically produced  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and is a sink of gasphase ozone  $(O_3)$ . First-time observations of bromine oxide (BrO) at Dome C show that mixing ratios of BrO near the ground are low, certainly less than 5 pptv, with higher levels in the free troposphere. Assuming steady state, observed mixing ratios of BrO and  $RO<sub>2</sub>$  radicals are too low to explain the large  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios found in ambient air, possibly indicating the existence of an unknown process contributing to the atmospheric chemistry of reactive nitrogen above the Antarctic Plateau. During 2011–2012,  $NO_x$  mixing ratios and flux were larger than in 2009–2010, consistent with also larger surface O<sup>3</sup> mixing ratios resulting from increased net  $O_3$  production. Large  $NO_x$  mixing ratios at Dome C arise

from a combination of continuous sunlight, shallow mixing height and significant  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions by surface snow  $(F_{NO_x})$ . During 23 December 2011–12 January 2012, median  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  was twice that during the same period in 2009– 2010 due to significantly larger atmospheric turbulence and a slightly stronger snowpack source. A tripling of  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  in December 2011 was largely due to changes in snowpack source strength caused primarily by changes in  $NO_3^-$  concentrations in the snow skin layer, and only to a secondary order by decrease of total column  $O_3$  and associated increase in  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  photolysis rates. A source of uncertainty in model estimates of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  is the quantum yield of NO<sub>3</sub> photolysis in natural snow, which may change over time as the snow ages.

# **1 Introduction**

The nitrogen oxides NO and  $NO_2$  ( $NO_x = NO + NO_2$ ) play a key role in the polar troposphere in determining its oxidation capacity, defined here as the sum of  $O_3$ ,  $HO_x$  radicals, and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ . The influence is achieved via photolysis of NO2, the only source for in situ production of tropospheric  $O_3$ , through shifting  $HO_x$  radical partitioning towards the hydroxyl radical (OH) via the reaction

 $NO+HO<sub>2</sub> \rightarrow NO<sub>2</sub>+OH$ , and finally through reactions with peroxy radicals  $NO + HO<sub>2</sub>$  (or  $RO<sub>2</sub>$ ) which compete with the formation of peroxides  $(H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  and ROOH).

Atmospheric mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the atmospheric boundary layer of coastal Antarctica are small, with average  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  values in summer not exceeding 30 pptv (Bauguitte et al., 2012). The build-up of large mixing ratios is prevented by gas-phase formation of halogen nitrates (e.g.  $BrNO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $INO<sub>3</sub>$ ) followed by their heterogeneous loss (Bauguitte et al., 2012). Conversely, mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  on the East Antarctic Plateau are unusually large, similar to those from the midlatitudes (Davis et al., 2008; Slusher et al., 2010; Frey et al., 2013). Such large mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  were found to arise from a combination of several factors: continuous sunlight, location at the bottom of a large air drainage basin, low temperatures leading to low primary production rates of  $HO_x$  radicals, significant emissions of  $NO_x$  from surface snow, and a shallow boundary layer (Davis et al., 2008; Frey et al., 2013, and references therein).

Snow emissions of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , observed at several polar locations (e.g. Jones et al., 2001; Honrath et al., 2000b), are driven by UV photolysis of nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  in snow (Honrath et al., 2000b; Simpson et al., 2002) and are now considered to be an essential component of air–snow cycling of oxidised nitrogen species above the polar ice sheets (Davis et al., 2008; Frey et al., 2009b) and likely also above midlatitude snowpacks (Honrath et al., 2000a; Fisher et al., 2005). Atmospheric dynamics, i.e. vertical mixing strength and mixing height, can explain some of the observed temporal variability and site-specific chemical composition of the lower troposphere at the South Pole and Summit, Greenland (Neff et al., 2008; Van Dam et al., 2013). Recently, the very strong diurnal cycle of mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  observed at Dome C, East Antarctic Plateau, during summer was shown to result from the interplay between boundary layer mixing and emissions from the photochemical snow source; during calm periods a minimum of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios occurred around local noon and a maximum in the early evening, coinciding with the development and collapse of a convective boundary layer (Frey et al., 2013). A key parameter of the physical atmospheric processes at play is the turbulent diffusivity of the atmosphere, which controls the mixing height,  $h<sub>z</sub>$ , of the atmospheric boundary layer and contributes to the magnitude of the flux of trace chemical species emitted by the snow (e.g. Frey et al., 2013).

The impact of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions from snow on the oxidation capacity of the lower troposphere in summer can be significant. For example,  $NO_x$  snow emissions can result in net  $O_3$ production as observed in the interior of Antarctica (Crawford et al., 2001; Legrand et al., 2009; Slusher et al., 2010) as well as unusually large mixing ratios of hydroxyl radicals as detected at the South Pole (Davis et al., 2008, and refs. therein). Furthermore, in Antarctica the gas-phase production of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , the only major atmospheric oxidant preserved in ice cores, is sensitive to NO released by the surface snowpack (e.g. Frey et al., 2005, 2009a). A steady-state analysis of ratios of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO at Dome C suggested that mixing ratios of peroxy radicals (not measured at the time) are possibly larger at Dome C than any previous observations in air above polar snow (Frey et al., 2013). The quantitative understanding of emissions of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from snow remains incomplete, but it is a research priority to be able to parameterise global models to assess for example global impacts of chemical air–snow exchange on tropospheric  $O_3$ (e.g. Zatko et al., 2013). Emissions of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from snow at Dome C are among the largest observed above either polar ice sheet, but they are typically underestimated by models, especially at large solar zenith angles (Frey et al., 2013).

The study presented here was part of the comprehensive atmospheric chemistry campaign OPALE (Oxidant Production and its Export from Antarctic Lands) in East Antarctica (Preunkert et al., 2012) and provided the opportunity to measure  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux during a second summer season, after a previous campaign in 2009–2010 (Frey et al., 2013). The study objectives were firstly to extend the existing data set with mixing ratio profiles of the lower atmosphere and the firn air (interstitial air) column of the upper snowpack, secondly to investigate if observed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios are consistent with measurements of hydroxyl and halogen radicals, and thirdly to analyse the main drivers of the atmospheric  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emission flux from snow.

## **2 Methods**

The measurement campaign of 50 days took place at Dome C (75.1◦ S, 123.3◦ E, 3233 m) from 23 November 2011 to 12 January 2012. Similar to the 2009–2010 campaign, atmospheric sampling was performed from an electrically heated lab shelter (Weatherhaven tent) located in the designated clean-air sector 0.7 km upwind (South) of Concordia station (Frey et al., 2013, Fig. 1a). All times are given as local time (LT), equivalent to UTC  $+ 8 h$ , and during the study period the sun always remained above the horizon.

# **2.1 NO**<sup>x</sup> **concentration measurements and uncertainties**

Three 20 m long intake lines (Fluoroline 4200 high-purity PFA, ID 4.0 mm) were attached to a mast located 15 m from the lab shelter into the prevailing wind to continuously sample air at 0.01, 1.00, and 4.00 m above the natural snowpack. The intake lines were away from the influence of the drifted snow around the lab shelter. On 9 January 2012 vertical profiles of the lower atmosphere were sampled by attaching a 100 m long intake line to a helium-filled weather balloon, which was then manually raised and lowered. During selected time periods firn air was sampled, to depths of 5–100 cm, by means of a custom-built probe. The probe consisted of a tube (10 cm diameter) which was lowered vertically into a pre-cored hole to the chosen snow depth, passing through a disc (1 m diameter) resting on the snow surface. The disk had a lip of 10 cm protruding into the snow. The lip and disk minimised preferential pumping of ambient air along the tube walls. The air intake was mounted so that only air from the bottom and sides could enter, using small horizontal holes at 0–10 cm above the open bottom end of the vertical tube. All probe components were made from UV-transparent plastic (Plexiglas Sunactive GS 2458). Furthermore,  $2 \times 3$  m sheets of UV-opaque (Acrylite OP-3) and UV-transparent (Acrylite OP-4) plexiglass, mounted on aluminium frames at 1 m above the snow surface, were used to deduce the effect of UV radiation on the mixing ratio of  $NO_x$ in the interstitial air and avoid at the same time any temperature effect altering the snow surface.

To measure  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , the same two-channel chemiluminescence detector (CLD) and experimental set-up as during the 2009–2010 campaign were used (Frey et al., 2013, Fig. 1b). Channel one of the CLD measured atmospheric mixing ratios of NO, whereas the other channel determined the sum of the mixing ratios of NO and NO originating from the quantitative photolytic conversion of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . The difference between the two channels was used to calculate atmospheric mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . The three sample inlets were connected inside the lab shelter to a valve box, which automatically switched the CLD between sampling heights on a 90 s duty cycle. As described below, the 10 min average concentration difference  $\Delta NO_x$  between the 0.01 and 1.0 m inlets is used to estimate flux. Therefore, 10 min mean  $\Delta NO_x$  values are calculated on average from two sets of two subsequent 90 s intervals, separated by a 90 s interval during which the 4.0 m inlet was measured. Baseline count rates were determined by adding excess  $O_3$  to sample air in a pre-chamber so that all electronically excited  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  has returned to ground state when reaching the reaction chamber. The baseline was measured for 60 s every 13.5 min, alternating between all three inlets. The NO sensitivity of the CLDs was determined every 14 h by standard addition to the sample air matrix of a 1 ppm NO / NO<sup>2</sup> mixture (UK National Physics Laboratory traceable BOC certified), which is further diluted to 4 ppbv of NO. During standard runs also the conversion efficiency (CE) of the photolytic converter was determined by addition of a known mole fraction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . This was achieved by gas-phase titration of the  $NO / NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixture to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  by  $O_3$  generated from a pen-ray lamp, and monitoring the untitrated NO mole fraction. The instrument artefact originating from  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ -producing surface reactions in inlets and reaction cells was determined by overflowing the instrument inlet with scrubbed ambient air supplied by a pure air generator (Eco-Physics PAG003). The artefact was measured every 14 h, offset by 7 h to the calibration runs. The CLD performance  $-$  e.g. sensitivity, random error and precision  $-$  was similar to that during 2009–2010 (Frey et al., 2013, Table 1).

The mean wind direction during the measurement period was from S (176 $\degree$ ) with an average speed of 4.0 ms<sup>-1</sup>

(Fig. 1b). During 2.5 % of the time, winds came from the direction of Concordia station, i.e. the 355–15◦ sector (Frey et al., 2013, Fig. 1a), potentially carrying polluted air from the station power generator to the measurement site. For example, during period III winds rotated four times through northerly directions (Fig. 1b). Pollution spikes in the raw 1 s data typically exceeded 10 ppby of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and were effectively removed before computing the 1 min averages by applying a moving 1 min standard deviation (σ) filter. Observations were rejected when  $1\sigma$  of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios within a 1 min window exceeded 24 and 90 pptv, respectively.

The CLD employed also converts nitrous acid (HONO) to NO in the photolytic converter, and thus HONO sampled by the CLD is an interferent, as discussed previously (Frey et al., 2013). Average mixing ratios of HONO at 1 m above the snowpack measured with the LOPAP (Long Path Absorption Photometer) technique were  $\sim$  35 pptv (Legrand et al., 2014). The corresponding downward correction for  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at 1 m above the snowpack is ∼ 5 %. However the LOPAP technique may overestimate the mixing ratio of HONO, owing to an interference by pernitric acid  $(HO_2NO_2)$  (Legrand et al., 2014). True corrections of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  inferred from modelled HONO mixing ratios (Legrand et al., 2014) are more likely to be of the order of  $< 1.5$ %. Due to the uncertainty in absolute mixing ratios of HONO, no correction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  values for the HONO interference was applied.

The thermal decomposition of  $HO_2NO_2$  in the sample lines or photolytic converter of the CLD could also cause a positive bias of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . Spike tests showed that the sample air residence time in the total volume of inlets and CLD is ∼ 4 s (Frey et al., 2013). At a sample flow rate of  $5.0$  STP–L min<sup>-1</sup> the residence time in the combined volume of photolytic converter and CLD reaction cell is estimated to be  $\lt$  2 s. Atmospheric lifetimes of  $HO_2NO_2$ ,  $\tau_{HO_2NO_2}$ , with respect to thermal decomposition to  $HO_2 + NO_2$  were calculated at mean ambient pressure (645 mb) using rate coefficients after Jacobson (1999).  $\tau_{HO_2NO_2}$  decreases from 8.6 h at mean ambient temperature assumed in the sample intake lines (−30 ◦C) to 7 s at the maximum observed temperature in the photolytic converter (30  $^{\circ}$ C). Therefore, NO<sub>2</sub> production from HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> thermal decomposition is negligible in the sample intake lines, but approximately  $25\%$  of all  $HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  present may be converted to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the photolytic converter. A recent airborne campaign above the East Antarctic Plateau showed mean summertime atmospheric mixing ratios of  $HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ between 0 and 50 m of 65 pptv with maxima about twice as large (Slusher et al., 2010).  $HO_2NO_2$  present at these values could potentially produce  $16-32$  pptv of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the photolytic converter equivalent to 8–16% of the average  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ mixing ratio measured at 1 m. On 5 January 2012 we attempted to test for the presence of  $HO_2NO_2$  by passing ambient air through a 50 m intake heated to 50 °C before it entered the CLD. However, during the tests no significant change in NO<sup>2</sup> was detected.



**Figure 1.** Meterorology and NO<sub>x</sub> observations at Dome C in summer 2011–2012 (highlighted periods I–IV as referred to in the text and Table 2): (a) air temperature (T) at 1.6 m and modelled mixing height  $(h_z)$  (Gallée et al., 2015), (b) wind speed (wspd) and direction (wdir) at 3.3 m, **(c)** 1 min averages of NOx mixing ratios at 1 m (red line is 1-day running mean), and **(d)** 10 min averages of observational estimates of NO<sub>x</sub> flux ( $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ ) between 0.01 and 1 m (red line is 14-day running mean).

The presence of strong gradients in mixing ratios of HONO inferred by Legrand et al. (2014) can potentially lead to an overestimate of the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  concentration differences between 0.01 and 1.0 m used below to derive the vertical  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  flux. During the OPALE campaign the atmospheric lifetime of  $NO_x$ ,  $\tau_{NO_x}$ , ranged between 3 h (12:00 LT) and 7 h (00:00 LT), whereas that of HONO,  $\tau_{\text{HONO}}$ , ranged between 4.5 min (12:00 LT) and 24 min (00:00 LT) (Legrand et al., 2014). The lifetime of HONO is comparable to the typical transport times of ∼ 10 min between the surface and 1 m at Dome C in summer (Frey et al., 2013). Hence,  $HONO : NO<sub>x</sub>$ ratios as well as corresponding corrections required for NO<sup>2</sup> are not constant with height above the snow surface. No gradients of HONO mixing ratios were measured, but modelled values were 18.8 and 10.2 pptv at noon, and 15.3 and 12 pptv at midnight, at 0.1 and 1.0 m, respectively (Legrand et al., 2014). Corresponding corrections of mean  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios for HONO are 1.3–1.5 % with a maximum difference of 0.2 % between 0.1 and 1.0 m. Thus, at Dome C a strong gradient in the mixing ratios of HONO was a negligible effect on the mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  measured at 0.1 and 1.0 m and thus a negligible effect on the estimated  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  flux.

#### **2.2 NO**<sup>x</sup> **flux estimates**

The turbulent flux of  $NO_x$ ,  $F_{NO_x}$ , was estimated using the integrated flux gradient method (e.g. Lenschow, 1995) and mixing ratios of  $NO_x$  measured at 0.01 and 1.0 m.  $F_{NO_x}$  in the surface layer is parameterised according to the Monin– Obukhov similarity theory (MOST), whose predictions of flux–profile relationships at Halley, an Antarctic coastal site of the same latitude as Dome C, agree well with observations (Anderson and Neff, 2008, and references therein):

$$
F_{\text{NO}_x} = -\frac{\kappa u_{\ast z}}{\Phi_{\text{h}}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right)} \frac{\partial c}{\partial z},\tag{1}
$$

with the von Karman constant  $\kappa$  (set to 0.40), friction velocity  $u<sub>*</sub>$ , measurement height z, concentration gradient  $\partial c/\partial z$ , and  $\Phi_h(\frac{z}{L})$  an empirically determined stability function for heat with L as the Monin–Obukhov length. Assuming constant flux across the layer between the two measurement heights  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  allows the integration to be solved and yields

$$
F_{\text{NO}_x} = -\frac{\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \kappa u_* \partial c}{\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \Phi_{\text{h}} \left(\frac{z}{L}\right) \frac{\partial z}{z}} = -\frac{\kappa u_* [c(z_2) - c(z_1)]}{\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \Phi_{\text{h}} \left(\frac{z}{L}\right) \frac{\partial z}{z}}.
$$
 (2)

Stability functions  $\Phi_h$  used are given in Frey et al. (2013), while their integrated forms can be found in Jacobson (1999). Friction velocity  $u_*$  and L were computed from the threedimensional wind components  $(u, v, w)$  and temperature measured at 25 Hz by a sonic anemometer (Metek USA-1) mounted next to the uppermost  $NO_x$  intake line, at 4 m above the snow surface. Processing of raw sonic data in 10 min blocks included temperature cross-wind correction and a double coordinate rotation to force mean  $w$  to zero (Kaimal and Finnigan, 1994; Van Dijk et al., 2006). Equation (2) implies that a positive flux is in upward direction, equivalent to snowpack emissions, and that a negative flux is in downward direction, equivalent to deposition.

The application of MOST requires the following conditions to be met: (a) flux is constant between measurement heights  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ ; (b) the lower inlet height  $z_1$  is well above the aerodynamic roughness length of the surface; (c) the upper inlet height  $z_2$  is within the surface layer, i.e. below 10 % of the boundary layer height  $h<sub>z</sub>$  (Stull, 1988); and (d)  $z<sub>1</sub>$  and  $z<sub>2</sub>$  are far enough apart to allow for detection of a significant concentration difference  $[c(z_2) - c(z_1)]$ .

Condition (a) is met in the surface layer if the chemical lifetime  $\tau_{chem}$  of NO<sub>x</sub> is much longer than the turbulent transport timescale  $\tau_{trans}$ . Based on observed OH and HO<sub>2</sub> the  $\tau_{chem}$  for NO<sub>x</sub> is estimated to be 3h at 12:00 LT and 7 h at 00:00 LT during OPALE (Legrand et al., 2014). Estimating  $\tau_{trans}$  following the approach described previously (Frey et al., 2013, Eqs. 6 and 7) yields 0.6, 1.7, and 2.5 min during the day (09:00–17:00 LT), the typical time of boundary layer collapse (17:00–19:00 LT), and during the night (19:00–09:00 LT), respectively. Thus,  $\tau_{\text{chem}}$  exceeds  $\tau_{\text{trans}}$  by at least a factor of 100, confirming that vertical mixing always dominates over the gas-phase photochemical sink, and flux can be assumed constant between the two inlets. Condition (b) is met as discussed in Frey et al. (2013). For (c) the upper inlet height of 1 m is compared to estimates of mixing height  $h<sub>z</sub>$  from the MAR (Modèle Atmosphérique Régional) model (Gallée et al., 2015). The MAR model has been validated previously over the Antarctic Plateau, focusing on Dome C, during winter (Gallée and Gorodetskaya, 2010) and now also during summer (Gallée et al., 2015). Calculated flux values of NO<sub>x</sub> were removed when  $h<sub>z</sub> < 10$  m, resulting in the removal of 22 % (773 values) of all available 10 min flux averages. Flux estimates are removed specifically during the evening and night, when the boundary layer is shallow. Hence, fluxes during night-time are less well constrained but nevertheless support a significant diurnal cycle (Frey et al., 2013, Figs. 6b, g and 9). For (d) 10 min averages of  $[c(z_2) - c(z_1)]$  not significantly different from zero, i.e. smaller than their respective  $1\sigma$  standard error, were not included in the calculation of the flux of  $NO_x$ . The  $1\sigma$  standard error in  $[c(z_2) - c(z_1)]$  was determined by error propagation of the 1 $\sigma$  standard error of NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios. A total of 8 % (303 values) of all available 10 min flux averages were not significantly different from zero and thus removed.

In summary, the restrictions imposed by MOST and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ measurement uncertainty justify placing inlets at 0.01 and 1.0 m and lead to the removal of 30 % (1076 values) of all available flux estimates. The total uncertainty of the 10 min NO<sub>x</sub> flux values due to random error in  $[c(z_2) - c(z_1)]$ (31 %),  $u_*$  (3 % after Bauguitte et al., 2012), and measurement height (error in ln(z<sub>2</sub>/z<sub>1</sub>) of ~7%) amounts to 32%.

# **2.3 Analysis of NO**<sup>−</sup> **3 concentrations in snow**

During this study NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in snow were measured every 2–3 days in the surface skin layer, i.e. in the top 0.5 cm of the snowpack, as well as in shallow snow pits within the clean-air sector. Snow  $NO_3^-$  concentrations were determined using clean sampling procedures and a continuous-flow analysis technique (e.g. Frey et al., 2009b). Samples were stored together with the additional snow samples discussed in Berhanu et al. (2014) and then analysed for  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  in batches by the same operator. The precision is 5 % based on replicate standard measurements. Due to a systematic shift in the  $NO_3^-$  standard response in between individual batch runs due to a calibration issue (Berhanu et al., 2014) results are less accurate than before. The overall accuracy including systematic errors in calibration and collection of just the top few millimetres of snow is of the order of 20 % and is therefore comparable to the spatial variability of  $NO_3^-$  in surface snow at Dome C (France et al., 2011). For the discussion below it should be borne in mind that temporal changes of NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations observed in surface snow are > 50 % (Fig. 7b) and therefore significantly larger than the measurement accuracy.

# **2.4 MAX–DOAS observations**

Scattered sunlight was observed by a ground-based UV– visible spectrometer, in order to retrieve bromine oxide (BrO) column amounts. The instrument was contained in a small temperature-controlled box, which was mounted onto a tripod at 1 m above the snow surface. An external gearbox and motor scanned the box in elevation (so-called multiple axis, or MAX). Spectra were analysed by differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS), the combination being known as the MAX–DOAS technique. See Roscoe et al. (2014) for more details of apparatus and analysis. Briefly, the observed spectrum contains Fraunhofer lines from the Sun's atmosphere, which interfere with absorption lines in the Earth's atmosphere and are removed by dividing by a reference spectrum. The amounts of absorbers in the Earth's atmosphere are found by fitting laboratory cross sections to the ratio of observed to reference spectra, after applying a highpass filter in wavelength (the DOAS technique).

In our case the spectral fit was from 341 to 356 nm, and the interfering gases  $O_3$ ,  $O_4$  (oxygen dimer), and  $NO_2$  were included with BrO. The analysis was done with two reference spectra, one from near the start of the campaign in December, the other following the addition of a snow excluder in January, necessary because it also contained a blue glass filter with very different spectral shape. The analysis was restricted to cloud-free days or part days. In MAX–DOAS geometry, the stratospheric light path is almost identical in low-elevation and zenith views, so stratospheric absorption is removed by subtracting simultaneous zenith amounts from low-elevation slant amounts, important for BrO as there is an abundance in the stratosphere.

To find the vertical amounts of BrO radicals, the MAX– DOAS measurements were evaluated as follows: we divided by the ratio of the slant path length to the vertical (the air mass factor, AMF), calculated by radiative transfer code (Mayer and Kylling, 2005), assuming all the BrO was in the lowest 200 m.

#### **2.5 Ancillary measurements and data**

Other collocated atmospheric measurements included mixing ratios of OH radicals and the sum of peroxy radicals  $(RO<sub>2</sub>)$  at 3 m using chemical ionisation mass spectrometry (Kukui et al., 2014) and mixing ratios of  $O_3$  at 1 m with a UV absorption monitor (Thermo Electron Corporation model 49I, Franklin, Massachusetts). Photolysis rate coefficients,  $J$ , were determined based on actinic flux,  $I$ , measured at ∼ 3.50 m above the snow surface using a Met-Con  $2\pi$  spectral radiometer equipped with a CCD detector and a spectral range from 285 to 700 nm (further details in Kukui et al., 2014). Total column  $O_3$  above Dome C was taken from ground-based SAOZ (Système d'Analyse par Observation Zenitale) observations [\(http://saoz.obs.uvsq.](http://saoz.obs.uvsq.fr/SAOZ_consol_v2.html) [fr/SAOZ\\_consol\\_v2.html\)](http://saoz.obs.uvsq.fr/SAOZ_consol_v2.html). Standard meteorology was available from an automatic weather station (AWS) at 0.5 km distance and included air temperature (Vaisala PT100 DTS12 at 1.6 m), relative humidity (at 1.6 m), wind speed, and direction (Vaisala WAA 15A at 3.3 m). The mixing height  $h<sub>z</sub>$  of the atmospheric boundary layer was calculated from simulations with the MAR model as the height where the turbulent kinetic energy decreases below 5 % of the value of the lowest layer of the model (Gallée et al., 2015).

# **2.6 Modelling NO**<sup>−</sup> **3 photolysis**

The flux of  $NO_2$ ,  $F_{NO_2}$ , from the snowpack owing to photolysis of the  $NO_3^-$  anion in the snowpack can be estimated as the depth-integrated photolysis rate of  $NO_3^-$ :

$$
F_{\rm NO_2} = \int_{z=0 \,\rm m}^{z=1 \,\rm m} \left[ \rm NO_3^- \right]_z J_z(\rm NO_3^-) \, dz,
$$
 (3)

where  $J_z(\text{NO}_3^-)$  is the photolysis rate coefficient of reaction  $NO_3^- + hv \rightarrow NO_2 + O^-$  at depth, z, in the snowpack.  $[NO_3^-]_z$ is the amount of  $NO_3^-$  per unit volume of snow at depth, z, in the snowpack.  $J_z(NO_3^-)$  is calculated as described in France et al. (2010) using a radiative transfer model, TUV-snow (Lee-Taylor and Madronich, 2002), to calculate irradiances within the snowpack as a function of depth. The optical properties and detailed description of the Dome C snowpack are reported in France et al. (2011). Values of depth-integrated flux were calculated as a function of solar zenith angle and scaled by values of  $J(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  measured by the Met-Con  $2\pi$ spectral radiometer described above to account for changing sky conditions. Scaling by a measured value of  $J(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  is more accurate than previous efforts of scaling with a broadband UV instrument (e.g. France et al., 2011). The quantum yield and the absorption spectrum for  $NO_3^-$  photolysis in snow were taken from Chu and Anastasio (2003). For the discussion below it should be borne in mind that the calculated  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  is a potential emission flux assuming that  $\text{NO}_2$  is vented immediately after release from the snow grain to the air above the snowpack without undergoing any secondary reactions.

#### **3 Results and discussion**

# **3.1 NO**<sup>x</sup> **observations in ambient and firn air**

In summer 2011–2012 atmospheric mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ with strong diurnal variability were observed (Fig. 1c), similar to the 2009–2010 season, and showed maximum median levels in firn air of ∼ 3837 pptv, which rapidly decreased to 319 pptv at 0.01 m and 213 pptv at 1.0 m (Table 1). In the following we focus on measurements at 0.01 and 1.0 m, but statistics from all three measurement heights are reported in Table 1, and 4 m measurements were discussed for summer 2009–2010 in Frey et al. (2013).

As seen previously at Dome C and other locations,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ mixing ratios were weakly but significantly anti-correlated with wind speed (at  $1.0$  m  $R = -0.37$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), especially when only the time period of the daily collapse of the convective boundary layer, i.e. 17:00–19:00 LT, was considered

### **M. M. Frey et al.: Atmospheric nitrogen oxides during OPALE 7865**

Parameter	z, m	mean $\pm 1\sigma$	median	$t_{\text{total}}$ , days <sup>a</sup>
NO, pptv	$-0.1^{b}$	$1097 \pm 795$	879	2.9
	0.01	$121 \pm 102$	94	18.6
	1.0	$98 \pm 80$	77	24.4
	4.0	$93 \pm 68$	78	13.7
$NO2$ , pptv	$-0.1^{b}$	$4145 \pm 2667$	2990	2.6
	0.01	$328 \pm 340$	222	17.6
	1.0	$211 \pm 247$	137	23.2
	4.0	$210 \pm 199$	159	12.8
$NOx$ , pptv	$-0.1^{\rm b}$	$5144 \pm 3271$	3837	2.6
	0.01	$447 \pm 432$	319	17.5
	1.0	$306 \pm 316$	213	23.2
	4.0	$302 \pm 259$	241	12.8
$F_{\text{NO}_v} \times 10^{13}$ molecule m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1c</sup>	$0.01 - 1.0$	$2.5 \pm 8.2$	1.6	17.4
$F_{\text{NO}_x} \times 10^{13}$ molecule m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> , local noon	$0.01 - 1.0$	$5.0 \pm 8.2$	2.9	1.1
$F_{\text{NO}_x} \times 10^{13}$ molecule m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> , local midnight	$0.01 - 1.0$	$0.3 \pm 1.6$	0.4	0.2

**Table 1.** NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios and flux at Dome C during 23 November 2011–12 January 2012.

<sup>a</sup> Total sample time estimated as the sum of all 1 min intervals. <sup>b</sup> Firn air sampled during 20–22 December 2011, and 1–5 and 10–14 January 2012. <sup>c</sup> 1 December 2011–12 January 2012.

 $(R = -0.45, p < 0.001)$ , and their diurnal cycle was dampened during storms (Fig. 1b–c).

The two main differences between summer 2011–2012 and summer 2009–2010 are a strong intra-seasonal variability and larger atmospheric mixing ratios. A significant increase of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios at 1.0 m from low values in period I (23–30 November 2011) occurred in two steps: a small rise in period II (1–8 December 2011), followed by a strong increase of daily averages from 300 to 1200 pptv at the beginning of period III (9–22 December 2011) (Fig. 1c). After that  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios gradually dropped over 10 days (period III–IV) to median concentrations of ∼ 120 pptv, slightly lower than observed in late November (Fig. 1c, Table 2). During period III the median concentration of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  at 1.0 m was 451 pptv, about 2.5 times that during the same time period in 2009, but similar thereafter (Fig. 1c, Table 2).

The  $NO_x$  fluxes,  $F_{NO_x}$ , between 0.01 and 1.0 m were mostly emissions from the snow surface, with a median of  $1.6 \times 10^{13}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Median values of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  at midnight and at noon were 0.4 and  $2.9 \times 10^{13}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 1). During period III  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  showed an increase by a factor of 3, approximately around the same time as when atmospheric mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  increased (Fig. 1d, Table 2). The median flux of  $NO_x$  during period III reached  $3.1 \times 10^{13}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, almost 5 times the season median of 2009–2010. During period IV (23 December 2011–12 January 2012) the median flux of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in 2011– 2012 was about twice that observed in 2009–2010 (Table 2). Potential causes of significant variability in mixing ratios and flux on seasonal timescales are discussed in Sect. 3.5.

#### **3.2 The lower atmosphere–firn air profile**

On 9 January 2012 a total of 12 vertical atmospheric profiles of  $NO_x$  mixing ratios were measured between 11:30 and 23:30 LT. The lower 100 m of the atmosphere appear well mixed throughout the afternoon, with modelled mixing heights  $h<sub>z</sub>$  of 200–550 m and observed turbulent diffusion coefficients of heat  $K_h$  of ~ 0.1 m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). However, in the late afternoon  $K<sub>h</sub>$  values decreased gradually over a few hours to reach in the evening levels half those during the day, thereby giving evidence of strongly reduced vertical mixing. Furthermore, around 18:30 LT modelled  $h<sub>z</sub>$  values decreased within minutes from  $550$  to  $< 15$  m height (Fig. 2a), illustrating the collapse of the convective boundary layer typically observed at Dome C in the early evening during summer (King et al., 2006). At Dome C rapid cooling of the surface in the evening results in a strong shallow surface inversion (e.g. Frey et al., 2013); this is illustrated by a decrease in downward long-wave radiation and a negative heat flux, as observed in the evening of 9 January 2012 (Argentini et al., 2014, Fig. 4). It follows that  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  snow emissions are trapped near the surface, which then leads to a significant increase in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios below 15 m height measured almost immediately after collapse of the boundary layer (Fig. 2). During 22:20–22:40 LT a small increase in  $K<sub>h</sub>$ , due to the nightly increase in wind shear (see Frey et al., 2013), was sufficient to cause upward mixing of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  accumulated near the surface to ∼ 35 m height (Fig. 2). The vertical balloon soundings further underline the unique geographical setting of Dome C or other sites of similar latitude on the East Antarctic Plateau where air chemistry is dominated by strong diurnal cycles, both in downwelling solar radiation and atmospheric stabil-

Parameter		$\mathbf{I}$	III	IV		
	23-30 Nov 2011	$1 - 8$ Dec $2011$	9–22 Dec 2011	23 Dec 2011-	9–22 Dec 2009	23 Dec 2009-
				12 Jan 2012		12 Jan 2010
$NOx$ (pptv) <sup>a</sup>	180	324	451	122	183	145
$F_{\text{NO}_x} \times 10^{13}$ (molecule m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	$\qquad \qquad$	0.94	3.10	1.30		0.66
$\Delta NO_{\mathcal{X}}$ (pptv) <sup>b</sup>		$-63$	$-153$	$-51$		$-32$
NO <sub>2</sub> :NO <sup>a</sup>	1.3	1.5	2.8	2.0	1.1	0.60
$T_{\text{air}}$ (°C)	$-34.5$	$-34.5$	$-31.0$	$-27.4$	$-31.5$	$-30.9$
wind speed $(m s^{-1})$	6.3	3.0	2.5	3.8	2.4	2.2
$K_{\rm h}$ (m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		0.046	0.049	0.080		0.043
$h_z$ (m) <sup>c</sup>		19	20	36	$6 - 59$	$18 - 25$
$J_{\text{NO}_3^-} \times 10^{-8}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )			2.93	2.68		
$SZA(^{\circ})$	69.7	68.1	67.6	67.9	67.6	67.9
column $O_3$ (DU)	301	294	272	297	311	309
$NO_3^-$ skin layer $(ng g^{-1})^d$	513	764	1090	439	866	1212
$O_3$ (ppbv)	34.2	35.7	31.9	21.1	24.6	22.6

**Table 2.** Seasonal evolution of median  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux along with relevant environmental parameters at Dome C in summer 2011–2012 (time periods I–IV highlighted in Figs. 1 and 7) and comparison to summer 2009–2010 (from Frey et al., 2013).

<sup>a</sup> At 1 m above the snow surface. <sup>b</sup> Based on concentrations at 1.0 and 0.01 m above the snow surface. <sup>c</sup> Model estimates. <sup>d</sup> From daily sampling of the top 0.5 cm of snow.

ity, contrasting the South Pole, where diurnal changes are absent and changes are more due to synoptic variability (Neff et al., 2008).

A vertical profile of mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $O<sub>3</sub>$  in firn air was measured on 12 January 2012 between 10:00 and 18:00 LT, for which depths were sampled in random order for 30–60 min each. Mixing ratio maxima of NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ were ∼ 1 and 4 ppbv, respectively, about 1 order of magnitude above ambient air levels (Table 1), and occurred at 10–15 cm depth, slightly below the typical e-folding depth of 10 cm of wind pack snow at Dome C (France et al., 2011) (Fig. 3a). NO dropped off quickly with depth, reaching 55 pptv at 85 cm, whereas  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased asymptotically approaching  $\sim$  2 ppbv (Fig. 3a). NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in snow under the firn air probe did not follow the exponential decrease with depth typically observed at Dome C (e.g. Erbland et al., 2013). The firn air probe was installed onto untouched snow and was only removed after the end of the atmospheric sampling period. Thus contamination due to local activity appears unlikely, but a local anomaly remains a possibility as snow pits 5 m next to the lab shelter showed a similar increase of concentration with depth (data not shown). But  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  values within one e-folding depth were still in the range measured further away (Profiles P1–P3 in Fig. 3a), justifying a discussion of vertical profiles of mixing ratios.

 $O_3$  mixing ratios in firn air were always  $1-4$  ppbv below ambient air levels, suggesting that snowpack to be an  $O_3$  sink as observed previously for the snowpack on the Greenland ice sheet (Peterson and Honrath, 2001), and showed a significant anti-correlation with NO<sub>2</sub> ( $R = -0.84$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). This is further evidence for significant release of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  by the snow matrix into the interstitial air, which then titrates  $O<sub>3</sub>$ through the reaction  $NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$  (Fig. 3). In particular, the drop of  $O_3$  mixing ratios by  $> 10$  ppbv at 45 cm



**Figure 2.** Balloon profiles (vertical dashed lines) from 9 January 2012: **(a)** modelled mixing height  $h<sub>z</sub>$  (10 min running mean) and observed turbulent diffusion coefficient of heat  $K<sub>h</sub>$  at 1 m (symbols: 10 min averages; black line: 30 min running mean); **(b)** interpolated vertical profiles of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios with contour lines representing 60 pptv intervals. The lower 100 m appear well mixed during the day, while after collapse of the convective boundary layer in the early evening snow emissions of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  are trapped near the surface, causing a strong increase in mixing ratios near the ground.



**Figure 3.** Firn air mixing ratios of **(a)** NO<sub>x</sub> and **(b)** O<sub>3</sub>, observed on 12 January 2012. Symbols represent 30 min averages. Solid and dashed lines are results from 20 m and 50 m long intake lines, respectively. Shown are also  $NO_3^-$  concentrations in snow at 100 m (P1) and 5 km (P2) distance from the lab shelter as well as from under the firn probe (P3).

depth was not an outlier since collocated  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios were also significantly elevated compared to adjacent snow layers (Fig. 3a). However, no snow  $\overline{NO_3^-}$  measurements were available to further investigate the origin of the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  peak. The observed vertical trends in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  suggest that below a few e-folding depths the open pore space of the upper snowpack holds a significant reservoir of NO<sub>2</sub> produced photolytically above, as hypothesized previously (Frey et al., 2013). In contrast, NO disappears at depths devoid of UV irradiance as it reacts with  $O_3$ .

# **3.3 Response to UV irradiance**

Changes in surface downwelling UV irradiance lead to a quick response of mixing ratios and speciation of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ in ambient and firn air as observed during a partial solar eclipse and during a shading experiment (Fig. 4). The solar eclipse occurred early in the season, on 25 November 2011, and caused a decrease in ambient NO mixing ratios at 1.0 m by about 10 pptv, or 10%, whereas  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios did not change significantly (Fig. 4a and b). The NO gas-phase source, UV photolysis of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , is reduced during the solar eclipse. But the sink of NO, the fast titration with  $O_3$ , is unaffected by the reduction in UV irradiance. During the shading experiment on 11 January 2012 plastic sheets were



**Figure 4.** The impact of rapid changes in incident solar radiation on atmospheric  $NO_x$  mixing ratios (1 min values).  $(a-b)$  Ambient concentrations at 1 m during a partial solar eclipse on 25 November 2011 (shaded area), with black lines representing the 10 min running mean. **(c–d)** Firn air concentrations at 10 cm depth during a shading experiment using UV filters on 11 January 2012. Square symbols and error bars represent interval averages and standard deviation, respectively. Shaded areas and filled squares indicate time periods when the UV filter was in place.

placed at 1 m above the snow surface, alternating in 30 min intervals between UV-opaque and UV-transparent materials. The impact of blocking incident UV irradiance (wavelengths < 380 nm) on firn air mixing ratios at 10 cm snow depth was up to 300 pptv, or 30 % decrease in mixing ratios of NO, whereas mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  increased at the same time by  $\sim$  150 pptv, or 5 %, although often not statistically significant (Fig. 4c and d). Similar to the solar eclipse, the behaviour of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios in firm air is in accordance with a disruption of the fast gas-phase interconversion of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species. Decrease of NO and increase of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios are consistent with the suppression of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  photolysis, which is both a NO source and a NO<sub>2</sub> sink.

Most importantly, varying incident UV irradiance in the wavelength region of NO<sub>3</sub> absorption (action spectrum maximum at 320 nm) over half-hourly timescales does not cause a depletion of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in firn air even though  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is the main product of NO<sub>3</sub> photolysis in the snowpack. A dampened UV response of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios suggests that the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ reservoir present in the open pore space of the upper snowpack discussed above must be large as it is not depleted during 30 min filter changes at the sample pump rates used. One implication is that the impact of changes in incident UV irradiance on the snow source and thus  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  flux and mixing ratios is only observable on diurnal and seasonal timescales.



**Figure 5.** Median daily values of MAX–DOAS BrO vertical amounts from Dome C during sunny days or part days only, after subtracting zenith amounts (see text). Reference spectrum from near noon on 18 December 2011 until 6 January 2012, then from near noon on 7 January 2012. The apparently larger vertical amounts at higher elevations show that much of the BrO is in the free troposphere.

# **3.4 NO<sup>2</sup> : NO ratios, peroxy and halogen radicals**

In 2011–2012 the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios at 1.0 m were up to 3 times larger than in 2009–2010 (Table 2). A previous steady-state analysis indicated that high peroxy and possibly halogen radical levels must be present to explain deviations from the simple Leighton steady state (Frey et al., 2013). The OPALE campaign provided observations needed to further investigate the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios at Dome C.

During summer  $2011-2012$  median concentrations of  $RO<sub>2</sub>$ radicals at  $3 \text{ m}$ , thought to consist mainly of  $HO<sub>2</sub>$  and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, were  $9.9 \times 10^7$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> (Kukui et al., 2014).

Figure 5 shows the BrO results, where the apparent vertical amounts at 15◦ are much larger than those at lower elevations – this shows that the vertical profile of BrO used to calculate AMFs, whereby all the BrO is in the boundary layer, must be incorrect. And interestingly, as at Halley in 2007 (Roscoe et al., 2014), much of the BrO must be in the free troposphere. The average of BrO at the three elevations is about  $0.8 \times 10^{13}$  molecule cm<sup>-2</sup>, with a slight decrease during the campaign. The average at Halley in 2007 was about  $2.5 \times 10^{13}$  molecule cm<sup>-2</sup>, so mixing ratios of BrO at Dome C are about a third of those at Halley. The Dome C data were not inverted to determine the mixing ratio near the surface, but the changes in slant column with elevation angle are similar to those at Halley in 2007 (Roscoe et al., 2014). Based on the similarity of relative changes of slant BrO with elevation angles to those of Halley in 2007, and the approximate ratio of the slant columns at Halley in 2007 to those at Dome C of 3, we decided to divide the Halley inversion results by a factor of 3 to arrive at a first estimate for Dome C of 2–3 pptv of BrO near the surface. Higher levels prevailing in the free troposphere possibly originate from a sea ice source in coastal Antarctica (Theys et al., 2011) or from stratospheric descent (Salawitch et al., 2010).

Assuming steady state, the total radical concentration  $[OX] = [HO_2] + [RO_2] + 2$   $[XO]$ , with  $XO = BrO$ , can be calculated based on observed  $NO_2$ : NO ratios and  $J(NO_2)$ (Ridley et al., 2000). Repeating the calculation as described in Frey et al. (2013) for 19 December 2011 to 9 January 2012 yields a median [OX] of  $2.2 \times 10^9$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, or 116 pptv. However, during the same period observations showed a median concentration of  $9.9 \times 10^7$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, or 5 pptv, of  $[RO<sub>2</sub>] + [HO<sub>2</sub>]$  (Kukui et al., 2014) and approximately 3 pptv of BrO, yielding a total radical concentration [OX] of 11 pptv. Hence, [OX] deduced from measured  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios exceeds available observations by a factor of 10.3.  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios were then corrected for a potential interference with  $HO_2NO_2$ , assuming ambient levels of 130 pptv. It is found that the median steady-state estimate of total oxidant concentrations is still a factor of 9.6 larger than the sum of observed radical mixing ratios. Hence, the large  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios observed at Dome C are the result either of an unknown measurement bias or of an unidentified mechanism in boundary layer oxidation chemistry. A similar conclusion was reached in companion papers on the OPALE project (e.g. Legrand et al., 2014; Kukui et al., 2014; Savarino et al., 2015).

### **3.5 Drivers of seasonal NO**<sup>x</sup> **variability**

On diurnal timescales  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios at Dome C are controlled by the interplay between snowpack source strength and atmospheric physical properties, i.e. turbulent diffusion of heat  $K_h$  and mixing height  $h_z$  of the boundary layer. The median diurnal cycles of  $NO_x$  mixing ratios in 2011–2012 show with the exception of period II previously described behaviour (Frey et al., 2013), that is, a strong increase around 18:00 LT to maximum values which last into the night-time hours (Fig. 6a). Night-time peaks of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  are plausible if the weakening of snow emissions is offset by a corresponding decrease of the chemical sink of  $NO_x$ , i.e. the  $NO_2 + OH$ reaction, assuming no significant change in  $h<sub>z</sub>$ . This is consistent to a first order, taking into account that observed OH concentrations (Kukui et al., 2014) and  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  vary in a similar way, by up to a factor of 5 between local noon and midnight.

During period III noontime values are similar to period II, but the increase in the evening has a larger amplitude and generally larger mixing ratios prevail during nighttime (Fig. 6a). Increased  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios during period III are consistent with the observed  $NO_x$  emission flux  $F_{NO_x}$ , which always peaked at local noon, but also showed during period III a strong increase at all times of the day with a near doubling of the noontime median (Fig. 6b). During



**Figure 6.** Observed median diurnal cycles during selected intervals in **(a–e)** 2011–2012 (referred to as periods II–IV in Table 2, Figs. 1, 7) and  $(f-i)$  2009–2010. Shown are  $(a, f)$  NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios at 1 m,  $(b, g)$  NO<sub>x</sub> flux  $(F_{NO_x})$  between 0.01 and 1 m,  $(c, h)$  the turbulent diffusion coefficient of heat  $(K_h)$  at 1 m, **(d, i)** the difference in NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios ( $\Delta NO_X$ ) between 1.0 and 0.01 m, and **(e)** the  $2\pi$  downwelling nitrate photolysis rate coefficient ( $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$ ). Note comparable observations of  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$  are not available for 2009–2010.

period IV the diurnal cycles of both  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  returned to low values and small diurnal amplitudes (Fig. 6a–b).

Below we evaluate potential causes of the unusual variability in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux observed on seasonal timescales.

## **3.5.1 Atmospheric mixing vs. snow source strength**

Similar to explaining diurnal  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  cycles at Dome C, the seasonal variability of daily mean  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios during the first half of December 2011 can be attributed to a combination of changes in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and  $h_z$  (Fig. 1). The strong increase of  $NO_x$  around 11 December 2011 falls into a period when  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  almost tripled, while wind speeds slightly decreased and shallow boundary layer heights prevailed (Fig. 1, Table 2). For example, on 12 and 13 December 2011 the modelled diurnal ranges of  $h<sub>z</sub>$  were 3.4–224 and 3.6–251 m, respectively, while sodar observations yielded 10–150 and 5–125 m, respectively (Gallée et al., 2015). After 13 December 2011  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  remained at high values, and thus the decrease of  $NO_x$  mixing ratios appears to be primarily caused by stronger upward mixing into a larger volume; i.e. wind speeds increased and daily  $h<sub>z</sub>$  maxima grew, exceeding 600 m on 18 December 2011 (Fig. 1). After 23 December 2011  $NO_x$  mixing ratios drop to low levels, due to smaller  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and a deep boundary layer (Fig. 1).

 $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  depends on atmospheric turbulence  $(K_h)$  and concentration difference  $(\Delta NO_x)$ , which in turn is determined by the strength of the photolytic snowpack source at a given  $K<sub>h</sub>$  (Eqs. 1–2). However, the relative importance of  $K<sub>h</sub>$  and snowpack source strength can vary. For example, during period IV the median  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  was  $1.3 \times 10^{13}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, about twice that observed during the same period in 2009– 2010 (Fig. 6g; Table 2). The inter-seasonal difference can be explained by both significantly larger atmospheric turbulence and more negative  $\Delta NO_x$  during all times of the day in 2011–2012 (Fig. 6h and i). Median  $K_h$  was  $0.08 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , double that in 2009–2010, and median  $\Delta NO_x$  was −51 pptv compared to −32 pptv in 2009–2010 (Table 2).

In contrast, during 2011–2012 the observed intra-seasonal variability of  $F_{NO_x}$  is dominated by changes in the snowpack source strength. During period III median  $K<sub>h</sub>$  values  $(\sim 0.05 \,\mathrm{m}^2 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1})$  and diurnal cycles were smaller than thereafter (Fig. 6c; Table 2), while  $\Delta NO_x$  values were among the largest observed so far at Dome C, about 3 times those during the rest of the season, and therefore primarily caused the tripling of  $F_{NO_x}$  (Fig. 6d and i). In Sect. 3.5.2 we will discuss underlying causes of changes in the strength of the snow source.

Previously, non-linear  $HO_x$ –NO<sub>x</sub> chemistry and the associated increase in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  lifetime were suggested to be an additional factor needed to explain large increases in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios observed at the South Pole (Davis et al., 2008, and references therein). In order to assess the relevance of this factor at Dome C, we apply a simple box model to estimate net  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  production rates as done previously (Frey et al., 2013). It is assumed that mixing is uniform and instantaneous, that the snow emission flux  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  is the main  $\text{NO}_x$  source and the reaction with the OH radical is the dominant  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  sink, and

$$
\frac{d[NO_x]}{dt} \sim \frac{F_{NO_x}}{h_z} - k[NO_2][OH],
$$
\n(4)

where  $k$  is the respective reaction rate coefficient. In 2009– 2010 no OH observations were available at Dome C, and average values from South Pole were used instead. In 2009– 2010 estimated net production rates of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  at night were of the order of 100 pptv  $h^{-1}$  and therefore explained the average increase in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from 110 to 300 pptv observed from 17:00 to 19:00 LT (Frey et al., 2013). In 2011–2012 the same analysis is repeated using OH measurements available for most of period IV (Kukui et al., 2014) as well as  $h<sub>z</sub>$  calculated with the MAR model (Gallée et al., 2015). Resulting night-time values of net NO<sub>x</sub> production rates are, at about 40 pptv h<sup>-1</sup>, smaller than in 2009–2010 but again to a first order consistent with a smaller observed increase in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios in the evening hours; i.e. during period IV median  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  increased between 16:30 and 19:30 LT from 114 to 242 pptv (Fig. 6a, f). The above model is oversimplified as the likely presence of  $HO_2NO_2$  will modulate the diurnal variability of  $NO_x$  sinks and sources with an impact on  $NO_x$  lifetime as suggested by Davis et al. (2008). However without any information on the diurnal cycle of  $HO_2NO_2$  at Dome C, further modelling is not warranted.

#### **3.5.2 Snow source strength**

The  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  flux observed above polar snow is of the order of  $10^{12}$  to  $10^{13}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and contributes significantly

to the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  budget in the polar boundary layer. At the lower end of the range are  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  observations at Summit, Greenland (Honrath et al., 2002), and at Neumayer in coastal Antarctica (Jones et al., 2001) with  $2.5 \times 10^{12}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, whereas on the Antarctic Plateau  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  values are up to 10 times larger. For example, the average  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ at the South Pole during 26–30 November 2000 was  $3.9 \times 10^{12}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Oncley et al., 2004), whereas at Dome C observed fluxes are 2–6 times larger, with seasonal averages of 8–25  $\times$  10<sup>12</sup> molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Frey et al., 2013, this work). Due to the uncertainties in the processes leading to  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  production, it had been difficult to explain inter-site differences, e.g. by simply scaling  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  with UV irradiance and  $NO_3^-$  in the surface snowpack (Davis et al., 2004). Some of the variability in flux values may be due to differences in experimental set-up or in the employed flux estimation method (e.g. Davis et al., 2004; Frey et al., 2013). For example, the  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  estimates for the South Pole are based on measured NO gradients only, inferring  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from photochemical equilibrium and using the Bowen ratio method (Oncley et al., 2004), whereas the  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  estimates for Dome C are based on observations of both atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2) and the flux-gradient method (Frey et al., 2013).

Model predictions of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  show in general a low bias on the Antarctic Plateau when compared to observations. A first 3-D model study for Antarctica included  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  snow emissions parameterised as a function of temperature and wind speed to match the observed  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  at the South Pole (Wang et al., 2007). However, the model underpredicts NO mixing ratios observed above the wider Antarctic Plateau, highlighting that the model lacks detail regarding the processes driving the emission flux (Wang et al., 2007). The first model study to calculate  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  based on  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  photolysis in snow, as described in this work, reports  $1-1.5 \times 10^{12}$  molecule m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for the South Pole in summer (Wolff et al., 2002), about a factor of 4 smaller than the observations by Oncley et al. (2004) and up to 16 times smaller than what is needed to explain rapid increases in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios over a few hours (Davis et al., 2008, and references therein). Recent model improvements reduced the mismatch with the South Pole flux observations and included the use of updated absorption cross sections and quantum yield of the  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  anion, as well as e-folding depths measured in surface snow on the Antarctic Plateau, and resulted in a factor-of-3 increase of flux calculated for the South Pole (France et al., 2011). In light of major remaining uncertainties, which include the spatial variability of  $NO_3^-$  in snow and the quantum yield of  $NO_3^-$  photolysis (Frey et al., 2013), we discuss below the variability of  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  observed at Dome C.

A number of factors may contribute to changes in snow source strength of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ . One possibility to explain increases in  $F_{NO_x}$  is that the NO<sub>2</sub> reservoir in the open pore space of the upper snowpack discussed above may undergo vent-



**Figure 7. (a)** Total column O<sub>3</sub> above Dome C. (b)  $NO_3^-$  concentrations in the skin layer of surface snow (top 0.5 cm). (c) Observational estimates of NO<sub>x</sub> flux ( $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ ) between 0.01 and 1 m (10 min averages) and modelled  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  (multiplied by 10) based on NO<sub>3</sub> in the skin layer and depth profiles observed at 100 m (P1) and 5 km (P2) distance from the lab shelter (see Fig. 3a); the 1-day running mean of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ during 2009–2010 is shown for comparison (from Frey et al., 2013). **(d)** Atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios. Highlighted periods I–IV as referred to in the text and Table 2.

ing upon changes in atmospheric pressure. However, no statistically significant relationship between  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and atmospheric pressure is found (data not shown). The main cause of large  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  values appears rather to be related to changes in snow production rates of  $NO_x$  from  $NO_3^-$  photolysis, which depend on the NO<sub>3</sub> photolysis rate coefficient  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$  and the  $NO_3^-$  concentration in the photic zone of the snowpack (Eq. 3).

Trends in downwelling UV irradiance due to stratospheric O<sup>3</sup> depletion have been previously proposed to have been driving  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$  and therefore  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and the associated increase in net production of surface  $O_3$  observed at the South Pole in summer since the 1990s (Jones and Wolff, 2003). At Dome C the observed increase in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and strongly negative  $\Delta \text{NO}_x$ values coincided with a period when total column  $O_3$  declined from  $> 300$  to about 250 DU (Fig. 7a and c). During period III the median column  $O_3$  was about 8% lower than during the time periods before and after (Table 2). However, associated changes in  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$  of the order of  $\sim 10\%$  are

too small to account alone for the observed tripling in  $F_{NO_x}$ (Fig. 6e; Table 2).

Instead changes in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  can be linked to the temporal variability of  $NO_3^-$  present in the snow skin layer. During the end of period II and beginning of period III skin layer  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations were up to 2 times larger than before and after (Fig. 7b).  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  is high during the end of period II and beginning of period III but drops off 1 week after the decrease of nitrate concentrations in surface snow (Fig. 7c). To confirm the link between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>3</sub> in snow,  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  values were modelled (Eq. 3) based on observed  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$ , daily sampling of skin layer  $NO_3^-$ , and two depth profiles, at 100 m (P1) and 5 km (P2) distance from the lab shelter, in order to account for spatial and temporal variability of  $\overline{NO_3^-}$ in snow. Modelled  $F_{NO_2}$  capture some of the temporal trends in observational estimates of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ , confirming the link with  $J_{\text{NO}_3^-}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations (Fig. 7c). However, median ratios of observed  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and modelled  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  values are 30– 50 during period III and 15–30 during period IV (Fig. 7c).

Disagreement between model and observations was previously attributed to the poorly constrained quantum yield of  $NO_3^-$  photolysis in natural snow (Frey et al., 2013). The model employed here uses a constant quantum yield, i.e. its value at the mean ambient temperature at Dome C ( $-30\degree$ C) of 0.0019 (Chu and Anastasio, 2003). However, quantum yield may vary with time, as the same lab study reports a positive relationship between quantum yield and temperature (Chu and Anastasio, 2003). Comparison of time periods before and after 18 December 2011 shows an increase of mean air temperature from −34.2 to −27.7 ◦C and a decrease of its mean diurnal amplitude from 13 to 9.7 K (Fig. 1a). However, observations of  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  showed behaviour opposite to that expected from a temperature-driven quantum yield; i.e.  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ values decreased as air temperature increased (Fig. 1a and d). Yet, the large diurnal amplitude of air temperature at Dome C could explain diurnal changes of  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  by a factor of 1.5– 1.75. However, contributions from the temperature effect are small when compared to the up-to-20-fold change between night and day observed in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ . A recent lab study found that the quantum yield of photolytic loss of  $NO_3^-$  from snow samples collected at Dome C decreased from 0.44 to 0.003 within what corresponds to a few days of UV exposure in Antarctica (Meusinger et al., 2014). The authors argue that the observed decrease in quantum yield is due to  $NO_3^-$  being made of a photo-labile and a photo-stable fraction, confirming a previous hypothesis that the range of quantum yields reflects the location of  $NO_3^-$  within the snow grain and therefore availability to photolysis (Davis et al., 2008; Frey et al., 2013). Thus, the  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  values observed at Dome C fall well within the range of predictions based on quantum yield values measured in snow samples from the same site, which exceed those used in the current model by a factor of 2– 200. A systematic decrease in quantum yield due to depletion of photo-labile  $NO_3^-$  in surface snow may have contributed to the observed decrease in  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  after 22 December 2011. However, a lack of information on snow grain morphology or  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  location within the snow grain limits further exploration of the impact of a time variable quantum yield on  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ . It should be noted that during 2009–2010 large skin layer NO<sub>3</sub> values did not result in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  values comparable to those in 2011–2012, which may be due to a different partitioning between photo-labile and photo-stable  $NO_3^-$  in surface snow (Fig. 7b and c; Table 2).

The consequences of large  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  fluxes consist not only in contributing to high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios but also in influencing local  $O_3$  production, as suggested by significantly higher surface  $O_3$  mixing ratios ( $>$  30 ppbv) during 9–22 December in 2011–2012 (period III) compared to 25 ppbv in 2009–2010 (Fig. 7d).

#### **4 Conclusions**

Measurements of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux carried out as part of the OPALE campaign at Dome C in 2011–2012 allowed extending the existing data set from a previous campaign in 2009–2010.

Vertical profiles of the lower 100 m of the atmosphere confirm that at Dome C large diurnal cycles in solar irradiance and a sudden collapse of the atmospheric boundary layer in the early evening control the variability of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux. In contrast, at the South Pole diurnal cycles are absent and changes more due to synoptic variability (Neff et al., 2008). Understanding atmospheric composition and air–snow interactions in inner Antarctica requires studies at both sites as they together encompass the spectrum of diurnal variability expected across the East Antarctic Plateau (King et al., 2006; Frey et al., 2013). Large mixing ratios of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  at Dome C arise from a combination of several factors: continuous sunlight, large  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions from surface snow, and shallow mixing depths after the evening collapse of the convective boundary layer. Unlike at the South Pole it is not necessary to invoke non-linear  $HO_x$ – $NO_x$  chemistry to explain increases in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios. However, uncertainties remain regarding atmospheric levels of  $HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  and its impact on  $NO_x$  lifetime being a temporary  $NO_x$  reservoir.

Firn air profiles suggest that the upper snowpack at Dome C is an  $O_3$  sink and holds below a few e-folding depths a significant reservoir of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  produced photolytically above, whereas NO disappears at depths devoid of UV as it reacts with  $O_3$ . Shading experiments showed that the presence of such a  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  reservoir dampens the response of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios above or within the snowpack due to changes in downwelling UV irradiance on hourly timescales. Thus, systematic changes in  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and flux due to the impact of UV on the snow source are only observable on diurnal and seasonal timescales.

First-time observations of BrO at Dome C suggest that mixing ratios of BrO near the ground are low, certainly less than 5 pptv. Assuming steady state, observed mixing ratios of BrO and RO<sup>2</sup> radicals are about a factor of 10 too low to explain the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios measured in ambient air. A potential interference of  $HO_2NO_2$  with the  $NO_2$  measurements explains only a small part of this inconsistency. Hence, the large  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ : NO ratios observed at Dome C are the result either of an unknown measurement bias or of a yet unidentified mechanism in boundary layer oxidation chemistry, as similarly concluded in OPALE companion papers (e.g. Legrand et al., 2014; Kukui et al., 2014; Savarino et al., 2015).

During 2011–2012 NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios and flux were larger than in 2009–2010, consistent with also larger surface  $O_3$ mixing ratios resulting from increased net  $O<sub>3</sub>$  production. Large  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  mixing ratios and significant variability during December 2011 were attributed to a combination of changes in mixing height and  $NO_x$  snow emission flux  $F_{NO_x}$ . Trends in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  were found to be controlled by atmospheric turbulence and the strength of the photolytic snowpack source, of which the relative importance may vary in time. Larger median  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  values in 2011–2012 than those during the same period in 2009–2010 can be explained by both significantly larger atmospheric turbulence and a slightly stronger snowpack source. However, the tripling of  $F_{NO<sub>x</sub>}$  in December 2011 was largely due to changes in snowpack source strength driven primarily by changes in  $NO_3^-$  concentrations in the snow skin layer, and only to a secondary order by the decrease of total column  $O_3$  and the associated increase in NO<sub>3</sub> photolysis rates. Median ratios of observed  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  and modelled  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  values ranged from 15 to 50 using the quantum yield of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  photolysis reported by Chu and Anastasio (2003). Model predictions based on quantum yield values measured in a recent lab study on Dome C snow samples (Meusinger et al., 2014) yield 2–200-fold larger  $F_{\text{NO}_2}$  values encompassing observed  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$ . In particular, a decrease in quantum yield due to depletion of photo-labile  $NO_3^-$  in surface snow may have contributed to the observed decrease in  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  after 22 December 2011. Yet in 2009–2010 large skin layer NO<sub>3</sub> values did not result in elevated  $F_{\text{NO}_x}$  values as seen in 2011–2012, possibly due to different partitioning of NO<sup>−</sup> 3 between a photo-labile and photo-stable fraction.

In summary the seasonal variability of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  snow emissions important to understand atmospheric composition above the East Antarctic Plateau depends not only on atmospheric mixing but also critically on  $NO_3^-$  concentration and availability to photolysis in surface snow, as well as incident UV irradiance. However, the boundary layer chemistry of reactive nitrogen is not fully understood yet. Future studies on the Antarctic Plateau need to reduce uncertainties in  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and HONO measurements, obtain also observations of  $HO_2NO_2$ , and assess how quantum yield of  $NO_3^-$  photolysis in snow varies as a function of snow chemical and physical properties. This is important to be able to close the mass budget of reactive nitrogen species between atmosphere and snow above Antarctica.

*Acknowledgements.* M. M. Frey is funded by the Natural Environment Research Council through the British Antarctic Survey Polar Science for Planet Earth programme. This study was supported by core funding from NERC to BAS's Chemistry & Past Climate programme. The OPALE project was funded by the ANR (Agence National de Recherche) contract ANR-09-BLAN-0226. National financial support and field logistic supplies for the summer campaign were provided by the Institut Polaire Français-Paul Emile Victor (IPEV) within programmes nos. 414, 903, and 1011. J. L. France and M. D. King wish to thank NERC NE/F0004796/1 and NE/F010788, NERC FSF 20 grants 555.0608 and 584.0609. We thank B. Jourdain for assistance with balloon soundings and firn air experiments, PNRA for meteorological data, and IPEV for logistic support. We are also grateful to J. Dibb and D. Perovich for valuable input on the design of the firn air probe. Collected data are accessible through NERC's Polar Data Centre.

Edited by: J. W. Bottenheim

#### **References**

- Anderson, P. S. and Neff, W. D.: Boundary layer physics over snow and ice, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3563–3582, doi[:10.5194/acp-8-](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3563-2008) [3563-2008,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3563-2008) 2008.
- Argentini, S., Petenko, I., Viola, A., Mastrantonio, G., Pietroni, I., Casasanta, G., Aristidi, E., and Genthon, C.: The surface layer observed by a high-resolution sodar at DOME C, Antarctica, Annals of Geophysics, 56, 1–10, doi[:10.4401/ag-6347,](http://dx.doi.org/10.4401/ag-6347) 2014.
- Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Bloss, W. J., Evans, M. J., Salmon, R. A., Anderson, P. S., Jones, A. E., Lee, J. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., Roscoe, H. K., Wolff, E. W., and Plane, J. M. C.: Summertime  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  measurements during the CHABLIS campaign: can source and sink estimates unravel observed diurnal cycles?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 989–1002, doi[:10.5194/acp-12-989-2012,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-989-2012) 2012
- Berhanu, T. A., Savarino, J., Erbland, J., Vicars, W. C., Preunkert, S., Martins, J. F., and Johnson, M. S.: Isotopic effects of nitrate photochemistry in snow: a field study at Dome C, Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 33045–33088, doi[:10.5194/acpd-14-33045-2014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acpd-14-33045-2014) 2014.
- Chu, L. and Anastasio, C.: Quantum yields of hydroxyl radical and nitrogen dioxide from the photolysis of nitrate on ice, J. Phys. Chem. A, 107, 9594–9602, 2003.
- Crawford, J. H., Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Buhr, M., Oltmans, S., Weller, R., Mauldin, L., Eisele, F., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Arimoto, R., and Hogan, A.: Evidence for photochemical production of ozone at the South Pole surface, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 3641–3644, 2001.
- Davis, D. D., Seelig, J., Huey, G., Crawford, J., Chen, G., Wang, Y. H., Buhr, M., Helmig, D., Neff, W., Blake, D., Arimoto, R., and Eisele, F.: A reassessment of Antarctic plateau reactive nitrogen based on ANTCI 2003 airborne and ground based measurements, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2831–2848, doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.039,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.039) 2008.
- Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Buhr, M., Crawford, J., Lenschow, D., Lefer, B., Shetter, R., Eisele, F., Mauldin, L., and Hogan, A.: South Pole  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  chemistry : an assessment of factors controlling variability and absolute levels, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5275–5388, doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.039,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.039) 2004.
- Erbland, J., Vicars, W. C., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Frey, M. M., Frosini, D., Vince, E., and Martins, J. M. F.: Air–snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau – Part 1: Isotopic evidence for a photolytically driven dynamic equilibrium in summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6403–6419, doi[:10.5194/acp-13-](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6403-2013) [6403-2013,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6403-2013) 2013.
- Fisher, F. N., King, M. D., and Lee-Taylor, J.: Extinction of UVvisible radiation in wet midlatitude (maritime) snow: Implications for increased  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emission, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21301, doi[:10.1029/2005JD005963,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD005963) 2005.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., and Lee-Taylor, J.: The importance of considering depth-resolved photochemistry in snow: a radiativetransfer study of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and OH production in Ny-Alesund (Svalbard) snowpacks, J. Glaciol., 56, 655–663, 2010.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., Frey, M. M., Erbland, J., Picard, G., Preunkert, S., MacArthur, A., and Savarino, J.: Snow optical properties at Dome C (Concordia), Antarctica; implications for snow emissions and snow chemistry of reactive nitrogen, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9787–9801, doi[:10.5194/acp-11-9787-](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9787-2011) [2011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9787-2011) 2011.
- Frey, M. M., Stewart, R. W., McConnell, J. R., and Bales, R. C.: Atmospheric hydroperoxides in West Antarctica: links to stratospheric ozone and atmospheric oxidation capacity, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D23301, doi[:10.1029/2005JD006110,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006110) 2005.
- Frey, M. M., Hutterli, M. A., Chen, G., Sjostedt, S. J., Burkhart, J. F., Friel, D. K., and Bales, R. C.: Contrasting atmospheric boundary layer chemistry of methylhydroperoxide (CH<sub>3</sub>OOH) and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  above polar snow, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3261–3276, doi[:10.5194/acp-9-3261-2009,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-3261-2009) 2009a.
- Frey, M. M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., and Martins, J. M. F.: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8681– 8696, doi[:10.5194/acp-9-8681-2009,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8681-2009) 2009b.
- Frey, M. M., Brough, N., France, J. L., Anderson, P. S., Traulle, O., King, M. D., Jones, A. E., Wolff, E. W., and Savarino, J.: The diurnal variability of atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2) above the Antarctic Plateau driven by atmospheric stability and snow emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3045–3062, doi[:10.5194/acp-13-3045-2013,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3045-2013) 2013.
- Gallée, H. and Gorodetskaya, I.: Validation of a limited area model over Dome C, Antarctic Plateau, during winter, Clim. Dynam., 34, 61–72, doi[:10.1007/s00382-008-0499-y,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0499-y) 2010.
- Gallée, H., Preunkert, S., Argentini, S., Frey, M. M., Genthon, C., Jourdain, B., Pietroni, I., Casasanta, G., Barral, H., Vignon, E., Amory, C., and Legrand, M.: Characterization of the boundary layer at Dome C (East Antarctica) during the OPALE summer campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6225– 6236, doi[:10.5194/acp-15-6225-2015,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-6225-2015) 2015.
- Honrath, R. E., Peterson, M. C., Dziobak, M. P., Dibb, J., Arsenault, M. A., and Green, S. A.: Release of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from sunlightirradiated midlatitude snow, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 2237–2240, 2000a.
- Honrath, R. E., Guo, S., Peterson, M. C., Dziobak, M. P., Dibb, J. E., and Arsenault, M. A.: Photochemical production of gas phase  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from ice crystal  $NO<sub>3</sub>^-$ , J. Geophys. Res., 105, 24183– 24190, 2000b.
- Honrath, R., Lu, Y., Peterson, M., Dibb, J., Arsenault, M., Cullen, N., and Steffen, K.: Vertical fluxes of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , HONO, and  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  above the snowpack at Summit, Greenland, Atmos. Environ., 36, 2629–2640, doi[:10.1016/S1352-2310\(02\)00132-2,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00132-2) 2002.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Fundamentals of Atmospheric Modeling, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- Jones, A. E. and Wolff, E. W.: An analysis of the oxidation potential of the South Pole boundary layer and the influence of stratospheric ozone depletion, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4565, doi[:10.1029/2003JD003379,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003379) 2003.
- Jones, A. E., Weller, R., Anderson, P. S., Jacobi, H. W., Wolff, E. W., Schrems, O., and Miller, H.: Measurements of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions from the Antarctic snow pack, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1499– 1502, 2001.
- Kaimal, J. and Finnigan, J. J.: Atmospheric Boundary Layer Flows, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1994.
- King, J. C., Argentini, S. A., and Anderson, P. S.: Contrasts between the summertime surface energy balance and boundary layer structure at Dome C and Halley stations, Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D02105, doi[:10.1029/2005JD006130,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006130) 2006.
- Kukui, A., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Frey, M. M., Loisil, R., Gil Roca, J., Jourdain, B., King, M. D., France, J. L., and Ancellet, G.: Measurements of OH and  $RO<sub>2</sub>$  radicals at Dome C, East Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12373–12392, doi[:10.5194/acp-14-12373-2014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12373-2014) 2014.
- Lee-Taylor, J. and Madronich, S.: Calculation of actinic fluxes with a coupled atmosphere-snow radiative transfer model, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4796, doi[:10.1029/2002JD002084,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002084) 2002.
- Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Gallée, H., Goutail, F., Weller, R., and Savarino, J.: Year-round record of surface ozone at coastal (Dumont d'Urville) and inland (Concordia) sites in East Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D20306, doi[:10.1029/2008JD011667,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011667) 2009.
- Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Frey, M., Bartels-Rausch, Th., Kukui, A., King, M. D., Savarino, J., Kerbrat, M., and Jourdain, B.: Large mixing ratios of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) at Concordia (East Antarctic Plateau) in summer: a strong source from surface snow?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9963–9976, doi[:10.5194/acp-14-9963-2014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9963-2014) 2014.
- Lenschow, D. H.: Micrometeorological techniques for measuring biosphere–atmosphere trace gas exchange, in: Biogenic Trace Gases: Measuring Emissions from Soil and Water, edited by: Matson, P. A. and Harriss, R. C., Blackwell Science, London, 126–163, 1995.
- Mayer, B. and Kylling, A.: Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations – description and examples of use, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1855–1877, doi[:10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005) 2005.
- Meusinger, C., Berhanu, T. A., Erbland, J., Savarino, J., and Johnson, M. S.: Laboratory study of nitrate photolysis in Antarctic snow. I. Observed quantum yield, domain of photolysis, and secondary chemistry, J. Chem. Phys., 140, 244305, doi[:10.1063/1.4882898,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4882898) 2014.
- Neff, W., Helmig, D., Grachev, A., and Davis, D.: A study of boundary layer behavior associated with high NO concentrations at the South Pole using a minisodar, tethered balloons and sonic anemometer, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2762–2779, doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.033,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.033) 2008.
- Oncley, S. P., Buhr, M., Lenschow, D. H., Davis, D., and Semmer, S. R.: Observations of summertime NO fluxes and boundary-layer height at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000 using scalar similarity, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5389–5398, doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.053,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.053) 2004.
- Peterson, M. C. and Honrath, R. E.: Observations of rapid photochemical destruction of ozone in snowpack interstitial air, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 511–514, 2001.
- Preunkert, S., Ancellet, G., Legrand, M., Kukui, A., Kerbrat, M., Sarda-Estève, R., Gros, V., and Jourdain, B.: Oxidant Production over Antarctic Land and its Export (OPALE) project: an overview of the 2010–2011 summer campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D15307, doi[:10.1029/2011JD017145,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017145) 2012.
- Ridley, B., Walega, J., Montzka, D., Grahek, F., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., Stroud, V., Deary, J., Gallant, A., Boudries, H., Bottenheim, J., Anlauf, K., Worthy, D., Sumner, A., Splawn, B., and Shepson, P.: Is the Arctic surface layer a source and sink of  $NO_x$  in winter/spring?, J. Atmos. Chem., 36, 1–22, doi[:10.1023/A:1006301029874,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006301029874) 2000.
- Roscoe, H., Brough, N., Jones, A., Wittrock, F., Richter, A., Roozendael, M. V., and Hendrick, F.: Characterisation of

vertical BrO distribution during events of enhanced tropospheric BrO in Antarctica, from combined remote and insitu measurements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 138, 70–81, doi[:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2014.01.026,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2014.01.026) 2014.

- Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T., Kurosu, T., Chance, K., Liang, Q., da Silva, A., Pawson, S., Nielsen, J. E., Rodriguez, J. M., Bhartia, P. K., Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Liao, J., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Dibb, J. E., Simpson, W. R., Donohoue, D., Weinheimer, A., Flocke, F., Knapp, D., Montzka, D., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Ryerson, T. B., Oltmans, S., Blake, D. R., Atlas, E. L., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Pan, L. L., Hendrick, F., Van Roozendael, M., Kreher, K., Johnston, P. V., Gao, R. S., Johnson, B., Bui, T. P., Chen, G., Pierce, R. B., Crawford, J. H., and Jacob, D. J.: A new interpretation of total column BrO during Arctic spring, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, doi[:10.1029/2010GL043798,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010GL043798) 2010.
- Savarino, J., Vicars, W. C., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Frey, M. M., Kukui, A., and Gil Roca, J.: Oxygen isotope mass balance of atmospheric nitrate at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., submitted, 2015.
- Simpson, W. R., King, M. D., Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., and Zhou, X.: Radiation-transfer modeling of snow-pack photochemical processes during ALERT 2000, Atmos. Environ., 36, 2663– 2670, 2002.
- Slusher, D. L., Neff, W. D., Kim, S., Huey, L. G., Wang, Y., Zeng, T., Tanner, D. J., Blake, D. R., Beyersdorf, A., Lefer, B. L., Crawford, J. H., Eisele, F. L., Mauldin, R. L., Kosciuch, E., Buhr, M. P., Wallace, H. W., and Davis, D. D.: Atmospheric chemistry results from the ANTCI 2005 Antarctic plateau airborne study, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D07304, doi[:10.1029/2009JD012605,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012605) 2010.
- Stull, R. B.: An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordbrecht/Boston/London, 1988.
- Theys, N., Van Roozendael, M., Hendrick, F., Yang, X., De Smedt, I., Richter, A., Begoin, M., Errera, Q., Johnston, P. V., Kreher, K., and De Mazière, M.: Global observations of tropospheric BrO columns using GOME-2 satellite data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1791–1811, doi[:10.5194/acp-11-1791-2011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1791-2011) 2011.
- Van Dam, B., Helmig, D., Neff, W., and Kramer, L.: Evaluation of Boundary Layer Depth Estimates at Summit Station, Greenland, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 52, 2356–2362, doi[:10.1175/JAMC-D-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-055.1)[13-055.1,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-055.1) 2013.
- Van Dijk, A., Moen, A., and De Bruin, H.: The principles of surface flux physics: theory, practice and description of the ECPACK library, Internal Report 2004/1, Meteorology and Air Quality Group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 2006.
- Wang, Y. H., Choi, J., Zeng, T., Davis, D., Buhr, M., Huey, G., and Neff, W.: Assessing the photochemical impact of snow  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ emissions over Antarctica during ANTCI 2003, Atmos. Environ., 41, 3944–3958, doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.056,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.056) 2007.
- Wolff, E. W., Jones, A. E., Martin, T. J., and Grenfell, T. C.: Modelling photochemical  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  production and nitrate loss in the upper snowpack of Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1944, doi[:10.1029/2002GL015823,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015823) 2002.
- Zatko, M. C., Grenfell, T. C., Alexander, B., Doherty, S. J., Thomas, J. L., and Yang, X.: The influence of snow grain size and impurities on the vertical profiles of actinic flux and associated  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions on the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3547–3567, doi[:10.5194/acp-13-3547-](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3547-2013) [2013,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3547-2013) 2013.