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ABSTRACT

Context. The European Space Agency Rosetta mission reached and started escorting its main target, the Jupiter-family comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, at the beginning of August 2014. Within the context of solar system small bodies, satellite searches from approaching spacecraft were extensively used in the past to study the nature of the bodies and their collisional environment.

Aims. During the approaching phase to the comet in July 2014, the OSIRIS instrument onboard Rosetta performed a campaign aimed at detecting objects in the vicinity of the comet nucleus and at measuring these objects’ possible bound orbits. In addition to the scientific purpose, the search also focused on spacecraft security to avoid hazardous material in the comet’s environment.

Methods. Images in the red spectral domain were acquired with the OSIRIS Narrow Angle Camera, when the spacecraft was at a distance between 5785 km and 5463 km to the comet, following an observational strategy tailored to maximize the scientific outcome. From the acquired images, sources were extracted and displayed to search for plausible displacements of all sources from image to image. After stars were identified, the remaining sources were thoroughly analyzed. To place constraints on the expected displacements of a potential satellite, we performed Monte Carlo simulations on the apparent motion of potential satellites within the Hill sphere.

Results. We found no unambiguous detections of objects larger than ~6 m within ~20 km and larger than ~1 m between ~20 km and ~110 km from the nucleus, using images with an exposure time of 0.14 s and 1.36 s, respectively. Our conclusions are consistent with independent works on dust grains in the comet coma and on boulders counting on the nucleus surface. Moreover, our analysis shows that the comet outburst detected at the end of April 2014 was not strong enough to eject large objects and to place them into a stable orbit around the nucleus. Our findings underline that it is highly unlikely that large objects survive for a long time around cometary nuclei.
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1. Introduction

The detection and study of small-body satellites is an important tool for investigating the nature, origin, and evolution of asteroids and comets. Measuring the orbit of small companions allows determining the mass of the system and of the primary. From this, its bulk density is derived when the volume is known. This provides hints on the physical composition of the object and its internal structure. Studying the connected systems also provides clues on the collisional events that occurred during the early stages of the formation of the solar system and its subsequent evolution (Merline et al. 2002).

At the time of writing (beginning of May 2015), we know of 256 small bodies that have companions of different sizes. Among them there are 55 near-Earth asteroids (NEAs), 20 Mars-crossers, 97 main belt asteroids (MBAs), 4 Jupiter Trojans, and 80 trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs). Three TNOs that display complex systems belong to the Centaur class, which are assumed to be composed of objects with an orbit intermediate between TNOs and short-period comets (Levison & Duncan 1997).

Spacecraft encounters allow satellite searches and discoveries down to sizes much smaller than possible from Earth, which also adds the advantage of effectively investigating the space closer to the objects. Several satellite searches were performed using data from NASA, JAXA, and ESA missions. We mention the studies of NASA/Galileo at (951) Gaspra (Belton et al. 1992) and (243) Ida (Belton et al. 1995), NASA/NEAR at (253) Mathilde (Veverka et al. 1999) and (433) Eros (Veverka et al. 2000), JAXA/Hayabusa at (25143) Itokawa (Fuse et al. 2008), ESA/Rosetta at (21) Lutetia (Bertini et al. 2012), and finally NASA/Dawn at (4) Vesta (Mennard-Pepe et al. 2013).

Except for the encounter with Ida, which provided the first direct and definitive evidence of the existence of asteroid companions with the serendipitous discovery of the small moon Dactyl in 1993, all other searches were unsuccessful in detecting small companions. These studies allowed placing important constraints on the size limit of possible satellites, however, providing hints on the collisional history of the primary bodies.
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One OSIRIS image series was specifically devoted to the

corona of the large comet C/1995 O1 Hale-Bopp based on both

ground-based (Marchis et al. 1999) and HST (Sekanina 1997)
data. However, as underlined in Noll et al. (2006), Weaver &

Lamy (1997) reported no evidence of the second companion us-

ing the same HST dataset, showing that no final univocal con-

clusion on the binary nature of the system could be derived. Decimeter-sized icy particles were found in the close vicinity

of the nucleus of the hyperactive comet 103P/Hartley2 during the

flyby of the NASA/EPOXI spacecraft performed on 2010

November 4 (A’Hearn et al. 2011; Kelley et al. 2013; Hermály

et al. 2013). Moreover, several cometary nuclei visited by space

missions (e.g. 1P/Halley, 19P/Borrelly, 103P/Hartley 2, and

67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko itself) showed complex irregular

shapes that can be interpreted as the results of the evolution

of contact binary systems. Despite these interesting consider-

ations, no classical satellite searches have been performed for

comets, as was extensively done for asteroids, and no solid ma-

terial larger than ~1 m orbiting a comet has ever been unambigu-

ously discovered.

During the approach to comet 67P/Churyumov-

Gerasimenko in July 2014, before the orbit insertion performed

at the beginning of August 2014, the two-camera instrument

OSIRIS (Keller et al. 2007) onboard Rosetta took several

images with the purpose of detecting and studying possible

objects orbiting the comet in the vicinity of the nucleus. The

search had the additional aim to ensure spacecraft safety. The

discovery of solid blocks close to the nucleus would have

implied that special care was necessary so that the spacecraft

trajectory would not cross any orbiting material. An appropriate

observational strategy was defined so that the data acquisition

and reduction processes were optimized, maximizing the pos-

sibility of detecting and measuring the orbital arc of a possible

small companion. We here present the adopted observational

strategy and the data analysis, together with the results of our

investigation.

2. Observational strategy

It is well known that satellite searches from spacecraft images

are affected by several problems such as the fast motion of the camera with respect to the target and the bona-fide detection of

interesting point-like objects against background stars, cosmic-

ray events, and CCD defects (Merline et al. 2002).

One OSIRIS image series was specifically devoted to the

search for potential satellites during the comet approach phase

on July 20, 2014, using the high-resolution Narrow Angle Camera (NAC) telescope. The images were taken when the com-

et was at 3.69 AU from the Sun. The distance to the comet decreased from 5785 km to 5463 km from the beginning to the

end of the series. The phase angle of the observations was 7°. The series consisted of 18 short- and 18 long-exposure images

divided into three consecutive frames so as to reduce the contamina-

tion from cosmic-ray hits through their lack of persistence,

for a total of six different short- and long-exposure runs. Each

run was separated by 1 h except for the last one, which was taken

7 h apart. The satellite search series therefore covered almost an

entire comet rotation period. Within each run, the time separa-

tion between consecutive frames corresponded to 20 s except

for the 5th run, where it was 10 s. Within the series, the same

short- (0.14 s) or long- (1.36 s) exposure time was used to reach

the same limiting magnitude and avoid difficulties when looking

for correspondences among the three frames. The long-exposure

times were selected with the aim of avoiding stellar background

smearing, which could have complicated the star identification.

Short-exposure frames were taken for their relevance if a large

satellite had been detected, since they would have provided un-

saturated views of the object. The NAC broadband orange filter

(with a central wavelength and FWHM of 649.2 nm and 84.5 nm, respectively) in the visible red domain was chosen to provide

the best S/N for possible satellites within a fixed exposure time.

Considering the image scale, the observed field of view (FoV)

covered the inner ~110 km from the comet optocenter. When we

mention a distance, it refers to the “projected distance”. Assuming a Hill sphere radius of ~650 km derived from the

measurement of the comet mass by the Rosetta Radio Science

Investigation (RSI) instrument, namely 1.0 × 10^{13} kg (Sierks et al. 2015, and references therein), and from the heliocentric
distance-dependent formula in Hamilton & Burns (1991), we

note that our FoV intersected a three-dimensional space corre-

sponding to ~37% of the comet gravitational sphere of influence.

The first and the last images obtained for the satellite search

are shown in Fig. 1.

3. Analyzing the data

When we analyzed the data, we first estimated the expected

results for a potential satellite by performing a Monte Carlo

simulation. To do this, we selected 50,000 clones randomly lo-

cated inside the Hill sphere, with a random velocity with a

smaller modulus than the escape velocity. We calculated the

clone positions within the CCD sensor reference frame for the

time in which the long-exposure images were taken. A value

of 1.0 m s^{-1} was used for the escape velocity, in accordance

with Sierks et al. (2015). In a first approach, acceleration effects

on the potential satellite were neglected. The spacecraft posi-

tion and frame orientations were derived using appropriate spice

kernels.

After calculating the clone positions, we measured their dis-

placements for three different cases: (1) within a single exposure
time to determine whether the potential satellite showed a track

in a single frame; (2) between individual images to determine

whether it was possible to apply median averaging of images

within the same run in order to eliminate possible cosmic rays

and spurious signals; (3) between runs of images to limit the ra-
dius search for displacements of the potential satellite.

With this analysis we found that clones did not move more

than 0.5 px within each run (see Fig. 2), allowing us to median

combine the three frames within each run to effectively eliminate

cosmic-ray effects. Moreover, the theoretical displacement from

run to run was calculated to be smaller than 50 px within the

first five runs, separated by 1 h. The displacement of the poten-
tial satellite between runs 5 and 6 may have been practically any

value, depending on the clone velocity, because the time separa-
tion between these two runs was 7 h (see Fig. 3). For this reason,

we focused on the analysis of the first five runs and kept the sixth

run in reserve in case we detected a potential satellite within the

first five runs. To detect potential satellites, we started working

with only the median average of the three long-exposure images

each run.

We then defined the limiting flux for the object detec-

tion. OSIRIS frames are photometrically calibrated using the

frequently tested instrument calibration pipeline described in

Tubiana et al. (2015a). First, we used the SExtractor code (Bertin

& Arnouts 1996) with a proper set-up for detecting light sources

with a flux \(\geq 3\sigma\), where \(\sigma\) is the background level, within an

aperture radius 2 px larger than the filter point spread function

(PSF) full width at half maximum (FWHM) that was estimated
from calibrations to be \( \sim 1.8 \) px (Magrin et al. 2015). To ensure full control of the source detections, we performed manual photometry and estimated the flux in a circular aperture of 2 px radius of all the sources detected by SExtractor. We also estimated the local sky background. We only considered sources with a flux three times the standard deviation of all sky values for the subsequent study. This was defined as the source threshold. The highest source threshold value of the different runs was set as our detection limit (see Table 1). This resulted in considering light sources with fluxes in the 2 px aperture larger than \( 8.9 \times 10^{-8} \) [W m\(^{-2}\) nm\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\)]. We correlate the fluxes with the \( R \) and \( V \) magnitudes of the nucleus below.

The stellar background was then identified by correlating the different median-averaged images through small shifts and rotations to cause the brightest 100 sources found in the images with SExtractor to overlap. After correlating the frames, the stars were identified as the sources located in the same pixel, with a tolerance lower than 5 px. The value of this error depends on the geometric distortion correction goodness of the field, on the central pixel identification error of the sources, and on the correlation algorithm itself.

The remaining sources, found to be \( \sim 200 \) for each run (see Table 1), might in principle be spurious signals, undetected stars, CCD defects, and, of course, potential satellites. These remaining sources, shown in Fig. 4, were considered for further investigation. The plot shows the sources that were not identified as stars in the different runs displayed in the same frame, obtained after correlation. Clouds of sources around the central position of the CCD are due to the edge of the window that we were forced to define to avoid the ghost of the nucleus, which is always present in our images and cannot be considered reliable. This resulted in cutting out a square of 400 px size centered on

**Fig. 1.** First and last runs short (a), (c)) and long exposure (b), (d)) median-combined frames taken for the satellite search on July 20, 2014. The horizontal white bar in a) corresponds to a scale length of 50 km at the comet distance.
Fig. 2. Normalized frequency of satellite clone displacements within a single run composed of three consecutive images. Results are shown for all six runs covering the satellite search.

Fig. 3. Normalized frequency of satellite clone displacements between two consecutive runs. Results are shown for displacements between runs 1 and 2 (continuous line), runs 2 and 3 (dotted line), runs 3 and 4 (dashed line), runs 4 and 5 (dashed-dotted line), and runs 5 and 6 (dashed-triple-dotted line). The last curve depicts the large displacement that was the reason for discarding run 6 from the satellite analysis.

Table 1. Image series dedicated to the satellite search.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>$3\sigma$ [W m$^{-2}$ nm$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Non-stars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUN1</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN2</td>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN3</td>
<td>$7.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN4</td>
<td>$8.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN5</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Sources and non-stars are the total number of detected sources with a flux higher than $3\sigma$ and the number of detected sources that are not identified as stars.

The comet nucleus, corresponding to the first $\sim 20$ km around the comet optocenter and to the inner $\sim 4\%$ of the Hill sphere. In the displayed frame, a satellite would appear as an apparently moving object showing a track corresponding to its position at the different runs (e.g., points A and B in Fig. 4). These tracks were verified to be CCD defects, which also appear in images that are unrelated to the satellite search series and correspond to the same $(x, y)$ position when displayed in the original uncorrelated frames. The main problem of identifying a satellite close to the detection limit is that the potential satellite is not necessarily present in all five considered runs. The satellite can remain undetected in some of the runs, for example, because of intrinsic rotational variability or high background level. To find and detect possible tracks of potential satellites, we therefore considered the information obtained from the clone simulations. We only took into account for a thorough analysis the sources showing a potential track that appeared in at least three runs out of the five. With the Monte Carlo analysis we verified that all the potential satellites appeared to move in a straight line from top to bottom in the CCD frame (see Fig. 5) and that the displacement from run to run was exactly the same, within at most 2 px. This “error” would also include the source pixel identification, bearing in mind that the PSF FWHM is $\sim 1.8$ px. As the considered space region is beyond 20 km from the nucleus center, gravitational accelerations were not considered in the simulations. We assumed that they may be a second-order effect, on the order of the pixel scale at most. The gravitational acceleration is probably lower than $10^{-5}$ m s$^{-2}$ for cometocentric distances larger than 6 km from the surface, given the mass of 67P. This acceleration, in modulus, would produce a displacement on the order of or smaller than the pixel size scale from run to run (except for runs 5 to 6, where the acceleration might have a noticeable effect) and, in any case, smaller than the PSF FWHM. In the search for source tracks, we additionally imposed from the clone study that the separation from run to run of the potential satellite had to be of the same order, with a safe margin of 3 px, and that it could not be larger than 50 px. From our analysis, we found four sources showing a compatible theoretical track, but they were either hot pixels or CCD defects, as confirmed in unrelated images (as the tracks identified by A and B in Fig. 4). After a thorough search, the most likely track for a candidate satellite was the track identified by the letter C in Fig. 4. This track consisted of three sources appearing in runs 3, 4, and 5, as indicated in Table 2. This potential common source appearing in at least three
Table 2. Candidate satellite data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Position1 (x, y)</th>
<th>Distance1 [px]</th>
<th>Position2 (x, y)</th>
<th>Distance2 [px]</th>
<th>Flux [W m⁻² nm⁻¹ sr⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUN3</td>
<td>(1039, 303)</td>
<td>(1075, 214)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN4</td>
<td>(1043, 308)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1091, 177)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN5</td>
<td>(1039, 315)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1109, 137)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.7 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Positions 1 and 2 are the CCD (x, y) coordinates of the candidate satellite in the original and correlated frames. Similarly, distance 1 and 2 are the separations of the sources in the different runs in the original and correlated frames. The keyword flux indicates the photometric flux of the object.

that we found no unambiguous detections of objects in the ~[20–110] km range from the nucleus up to a limiting flux of 8.9 × 10⁻⁸ [W m⁻² nm⁻¹ sr⁻¹].

3.1. Space close to the nucleus

To analyze the space close to the nucleus, which was cut off in the long-exposure images because of the bright ghost of the nucleus, we took into account the short-exposure frames belonging to the same series.

We applied the same analysis as performed on the long-exposure images, this time considering the entire frame, including the space close to the nucleus and the nucleus itself. Since we aimed to study the region close to the nucleus, we performed additional simulations with clones that had a Keplerian acceleration according to their distance from the nucleus. Even though acceleration may be comparatively large close to the nucleus, its effect was not noticeable in the images we considered since it was found to be smaller than 1 px in all images given the short exposure time. Based on our simulations, we therefore conclude that the constraints imposed from the long-exposure images still hold.

The main problem here was defining a proper detection threshold because the electronic noise resulted in background patterns that hindered defining a limiting S/N.

We therefore relaxed the Sextractor detection limit. With a trial-and-error procedure, we defined the signal of 4.5σ (σ is always the background level) as the lowest value that produced reliable detections. This resulted in considering light sources with a flux higher than 3.0 × 10⁻⁶ [W m⁻² nm⁻¹ sr⁻¹].

This search yielded the correlated image shown in Fig. 6. In that figure, several apparent moving objects can be clearly identified. Four of them, as those labeled A and B, correspond to the aforementioned CCD defects. The object labeled E is the comet nucleus, and D is a spurious detection due to the nucleus ghost.

We therefore finally conclude that we found no unambiguous detections of objects within ~20 km from the nucleus up to a limiting flux of 3.0 × 10⁻⁶ [W m⁻² nm⁻¹ sr⁻¹].

4. Estimating the limiting size

Since our search for objects in the vicinity of the comet produced a negative result, we determined the limiting size for any object that might be present, but remain undetectable in our images.

4.1. Measuring the limiting magnitude

To determine the limiting size of possible solid blocks for detection we first measured the limiting magnitude reached in our images both within ~20 km and from ~20 km up to ~110 km from the comet optocenter.
First, we converted the measured NAC broadband orange filter limiting fluxes into Kron-Cousins $R$ magnitudes. This was performed using the OSIRIS standard calibration fields, as in Mottola et al. (2014). Our limiting fluxes of $8.9 \times 10^{-8}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ [W m$^{-2}$ nm$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$] corresponded to $R_{\lim} = 14.63$ and $R_{\lim} = 10.81$, respectively.

These are the smallest magnitudes that a satellite would have to have to be detected in the two different regions around the comet. Considering objects with the same photometric properties as the nucleus of 67P, the limiting magnitude in $R$ can be converted into a V-Johnson magnitude using the Johnson-Kron-Cousins colors of the comet nucleus. Based on $(V - R) = 0.54$ (Tubiana et al. 2011), $R_{\lim} = 14.63$ and $R_{\lim} = 10.81$ translated into $V_{\lim} = 15.17$ and $V_{\lim} = 11.35$, respectively.

4.2. From limiting magnitude to limiting size

After calculating $V_{\lim}$, we were able to derive the absolute limiting magnitude, $H_{\lim}$. To do this, we used the photometrical models developed by Bowell et al. (1989). We used the hypothesis of a satellite that has the same photometric properties as the comet nucleus and considered the real geometry of observations to constrain the maximum distance and minimum phase angle of a possible satellite detected by the camera.

The input photometric slope-parameter, $G = -0.13 \pm 0.01$ was measured from OSIRIS unresolved images of the comet nucleus taken during the approaching phase (Fornasier et al. 2015).

To provide the observational geometry input needed to convert $V_{\lim}$ into $H_{\lim}$, we measured the three-dimensional positions of 100,000 Monte Carlo virtual satellites filling up the entire Hill sphere. The absolute limiting magnitude was then converted into a diameter measurement using (Chesley et al. 2002):

$$D[km] = \frac{1329 \times 10^{-0.2H \exp}}{p_{V}}.$$  

(1)

where $p_{V} = 0.061 \pm 0.001$ is the geometric V-band albedo of the comet nucleus (Fornasier et al. 2015).

Our final results are shown in Table 3 together with the associated error estimates. The largest contribution to the error on the size measurement comes from considering the variation of the observational geometry within the Hill sphere for the virtual satellites. The propagated error due to the uncertainty on the radiometric measurements coming from the OSIRIS calibration pipeline is negligible when compared to the effect of the variation of the observational geometry. For this reason, we report as final results the mean values of the size distribution found within the Hill sphere for every single run, and as associated error three times the standard deviation of the size distribution. We conclude that 67P lacks objects larger than ~6 m within the first ~20 km from the comet nucleus and objects larger than ~1 m at cometocentric distances between ~20 km and ~110 km at the time the observations were performed.

5. Summary and discussion

Images were taken with the aim to detect objects orbiting comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko on July 20, 2014, by the OSIRIS NAC telescope onboard the Rosetta mission during the approach to the comet at ~5800 km distance both for scientific and spacecraft security reasons.

The negative outcome of our search led us to estimate a limiting size for possible undetected objects with the same photometric properties as the comet nucleus. We found no unambiguous detections of objects larger than ~6 m at within the first ~20 km from the nucleus and objects larger than ~1 m at cometocentric distances between ~20 km and ~110 km.

There are three most likely mechanisms that might produce a satellite for comet 67P: a subcatastrophic impact generating a cloud of fragments that re-accumulate into a satellite before re-impacting, comet splitting due to internal stresses caused either by activity (i.e., 73P/Schwassman-Wachmann 3), or tidal forces (i.e., comet Shoemaker-Levy 9), and radial gas drag forces lifting up boulders.

In the first case, the impact would have to have occurred when the comet was residing in the Kuiper Belt. Low-velocity cratering impacts (~1 km s$^{-1}$) within the belt may cause the ejection of a large number of small fragments into temporary orbits. The comet irregular shape and complex gravitational field may allow these fragments to survive for more than one period before falling back onto the comet, thus giving them enough time to collide with each other and accrete into a satellite. This mechanism would not be efficient if the comet originated from the Oort cloud.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>$t_{\exp}$ [s]</th>
<th>Size [m]</th>
<th>Error [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUN1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $t_{\exp}$ is the image exposure time within single runs. Size and error columns show our final results in measuring the limiting size of undetected objects and the associated error estimate.

Table 3. Final limiting sizes for potential satellites of 67P.
Cometary splitting is a common event: more than 40 split comets have been observed in the past 150 yr. The peak in the location of the breakup is close to perihelion at about 2 AU from the Sun (Boehnhardt 2004). The relative speed of the fragments shortly after the fragmentation event usually appears to be high and not favorable to capture one or more fragments as satellites. However, it is possible that a whole spectrum of separation velocities is covered during the splitting event, and a particular combination of low-separation velocity, complex gravitational field, and outgassing force may inject a small component into a bound orbit.

Radial gas drag forces may lift meter-sized boulders from the nucleus surface, possibly injecting them into bound orbits, in particular if large anisotropies are present in both the gas drag and gravity force (Fulle 1997), as seems to be the case of the nucleus surface, possibly injecting them into bound orbits, and not favorable to capture one or more fragments as satellites. The relative speed of the fragments location of the breakup is close to perihelion at about 2 AU from the Sun (Boehnhardt 2004). The relative speed of the fragments if a satellite larger than a few meters was formed during the evo-
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by many adverse events. Close encounters with Jupiter, like the very deep one of 1959, may destabilize a satellite orbit through tidal forces and cause it to depart. In addition, changes in the pole direction due to strong outgassing close to perihelion cause significant variations in the gravity field (which is very irregular for 67P), and the satellite orbit may become unstable, leading to escape. Sublimation on a potentially small satellite would also strongly reduce its endurance, causing its fast erosion. The non-gravitational forces related to the sublimation and the gas pressure released from the comet nucleus would also contribute to destabilizing its orbit.

In conclusion, even if there are different mechanisms that can cause the formation of a comet satellite, the adverse dynamical conditions that characterize the comet environment seem to play against its survival.
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