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Abstract

Recent excavations at Corent (France) unearthed a vast Late Bronze Age settlement. The high density of fireplaces especially highlights it. The present study focuses on the archaeomagnetic study of five fireplaces. These ones were dated between 950 and 800 BC by cross-dating of metallic and ceramic artefacts and by radiocarbon. The main objective of our study is to increase the archaeointensity database in Western Europe at the beginning of the first millennium BC. The sampling was conducted on 64 fragments of baked clay and sherds from the fireplaces floor. The classical Thellier-Thellier protocol provides 48 successful archaeointensity results, yielding to five mean values between 58 and 69 µT at the site. Together with previously published results, our new data point out two successive maxima of the intensity of the geomagnetic field. The first maximum ~70 µT in the ninth century BC and the second ~90 µT in ~700 BC are separated by a ~45-50 µT minimum at ~800-750 BC. The resulting fast variation of the field intensity will be very useful for archaeomagnetic dating purposes. As the direction of the geomagnetic field has also a strong variation during this period (Hervé et al., 2013a), archaeomagnetism promises to be a powerful dating tool to recover the historical processes at the transition between the Bronze and Iron Ages in Western Europe.
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1. Introduction

The number of archaeomagnetic intensity results considerably grew in Western Europe during the last few years (e.g. Genevey et al., 2009, 2013; Gómez-Paccard et al., 2008, 2012; Hervé et al., 2013b; Schnepp et al., 2009; Tema et al., 2013). Most of them cover the past 2500 years and only few have been published for older periods (Aidona et al., 2006; Gallet et al., 2009; Hervé et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2008; Kapper et al., 2015; Kovacheva et al., 2009). The latter highlight a fast secular variation in intensity, especially between 1000 and 500 BC that is at the Late Bronze Age and the Early Iron Age. This fast changing of intensity was also recovered in the Middle East (e.g. Ertepinar et al., 2012; Gallet and Le Goff, 2006; Gallet et al., 2015; Kovacheva et al., 2014; Shaar et al., 2011). A better constraint of the secular variation during this period in Western Europe will allow to better understand the geomagnetic field behaviour at the regional and global scale (Hong et al., 2013).

By the other hand, this fast secular variation lets also expect a great potential for the archaeomagnetic dating technique. A directional (inclination and declination) curve is already available for Western Europe (Hervé et al., 2013a). However, Western Europe intensity data for Late Bronze and Early Iron Age are still too few to build a precise and accurate regional secular variation curve. Adding the intensity to the direction will provide a more efficient chronological tool for archaeologists. The five new data from the Late Bronze Age settlement of Corent presented in this study are a new step to better recover the intensity secular variation in Western Europe and to improve the dating method for this period.
2. Archaeological context

The Puy de Corent is located on a plateau overlooking the Grande Limagne plain, 19 km away from Clermont-Ferrand in Auvergne (Latitude: 45.665°N; Longitude: 3.189°E). Since 2001, two teams of researchers have excavated this site, one from Université Lumière Lyon II conducted by Matthieu Poux and another one from Université Toulouse – Jean Jaurès conducted by Pierre-Yves Milcent. This location is very famous for its oppidum of the Late La Tène period, but is also characterized by earlier important agglomerations (Milcent et al., 2014a and 2014b).

One of these important occupations of Puy de Corent’s is dated at the end of the Bronze Age (from the end of the 11th to the end of 9th century BC), during which a vast and dense settlement developed on the lower part of the plateau and covered a minimum surface of 15 ha (Figure 1a). Its limits have not yet been reached and we have now some evidence that the site could be one of the first proto-urban settlements in Western Europe (Ledger et al., 2015). Three successive phases of occupation and development of the agglomeration were recognized: "Bronze Final 2 récent" (~1050 – ~950 BC), "Bronze Final 3 ancien" (~950 – ~900 BC) and "Bronze Final 3 récent" (~900 – ~800 BC). These phases are determined by stratigraphy. They are dated by few radiocarbon dates and by comparison of the abundant ceramics and metallic artefacts with similar objects coming from accurately dated alpine lake’s palafittes. The various occupation levels display a high density of fireplaces, also dated by their relative positions in the stratigraphic sequence and according to their close relationships with the ceramic and metallic material. Radiocarbon dating (Lyon-11289, 2785±35 BP) of the occupancy level numbered [20450] related to the fireplace FY20462, assigned to “Bronze Final 3 ancien” by ceramics, confirms the archaeological dating ([950; 900] BC) with the dating interval
The 64 fireplaces of the Late Bronze Age (1 per 50 m² in average) discovered since 2001 display, whatever their phase, some recurrent features in their shape and their construction type. Most of the time, fireplaces are built on simple or mixed raft foundation of small pebbles, basalt blocks, re-used fragments of stone macro-equipment (grindstones and granite thumb-wheels) or ceramic sherds (Figure 1b-c). They support screeds with thickness generally varying between 1 and 3 cm made of mixed clay and sand. The best-preserved fireplaces are either circular or rectangular with round angles, and measure between 1.00 and 1.60 m of diameter for the first ones, and 0.95 m x 0.70 m for the latter. Repeatedly, we observed, under the raft foundation and in the center of the fireplace, a little locus with a depth of 5 to 10 cm and with a diameter varying from 18 to 28 cm. Although they seemed sealed by the fireplace, some loci sheltered another deliberate deposition. The deposition are composed of bone, bronze objects (pin, ring, metal droplet) and even exceptionally a fig seed, whose the growing was limited to the Mediterranean regions at the Late Bronze Age. Positioning exactly the fireplaces in relation to the constructions on standing posts of the site remains difficult: while some were clearly inside the buildings, others seem to have been outside.

3. Archaeomagnetic analyses

3.1 Sampling

We sampled five fireplaces. The best-preserved fireplaces FY20462 and FY22783 (Figure 1b) were sampled in-situ using plaster cap method. Respectively 9 and 13 blocks
of baked clay were surrounded with plaster, levelled horizontally using a bubble and oriented using a magnetic compass. In the laboratory of Rennes, the baked clay fragments were prepared in 8 cm³ cubic specimen after consolidation using sodium silicate. In the case of the disturbed fireplaces FY22705, FY22798 and FY22842 (Figure 1c), we collected without orientation between 12 and 16 baked clay fragments and pottery sherds per structure. Those and the pottery sherds of the fireplaces FY20462 and FY22783 were divided in ~1 cm³ chips with the same orientation. The cutting reference was a flat side of the fragment or the sherd, which corresponded or was parallel to the surface of the fireplace. This would help to identify the component of remanent magnetization acquired in situ. Each chip was then packed into cylindrical quartz holder filled by quartz wool.

3.2 Rock magnetism

To investigate the ferromagnetic mineralogy, thermomagnetic curves were measured on small chips of 34 samples using a KLY3-CS3 susceptibility meter with a fitted furnace. The variation of the susceptibility was measured during heating to 400 and 600°C and during the subsequent cooling. In all baked clay fragments and pottery sherds, thermomagnetic curves reveal a dominant ferromagnetic phase with Curie temperatures between 550 and 580°C identified as titanium-poor titanomagnetite (Figure 2a-b). All heating-cooling cycles (up to 600°C) of pottery sherds are reversible (Figure 2b). On some fragments of baked clay the slight irreversibility suggests mineralogical evolutions at high temperature (Figure 2a). None samples were nevertheless rejected for archaeointensity experiments, because all cycles up to 400°C were fully reversible. Isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) acquisition curves were
acquired on 21 specimens using an ASC impulse magnetizer. Saturation occurred at low magnetic fields (∼300 mT), indicating the lack of any high-coercivity ferromagnetic phase (Figure 2c).

3.3 Thermal demagnetization

Prior to the archaeointensity experiment, one specimen per sample was thermally demagnetized in a Magnetic Measurement Thermal Demagnetizer (MMTD) oven, in order to identify the component of thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) acquired in situ. Sample's positions in the field suggest that the expected TRM should have an inclination of circa ±60-70°, as based on the data from the neighbour and contemporaneous site of Lignat (Gallet et al., 2002; Moutmir, 1995).

Almost all (50/54) fragments of backed clay from the upper layer of the fireplaces carry a single TRM component with the expected inclination. Three pottery sherds carry two clear components of magnetization. The low-temperature component between 100 and 400-500°C has a ∼60-70° inclination and was therefore acquired in situ. Directions of the high-temperature component are totally dispersed and they are probably associated to the initial firing of the pottery. As these three sherds were found below the baked clay layer, they reached a lower temperature during the last heating of the fireplace and therefore they carry two components of magnetization. Six pottery sherds (three from FY22798 and three from FY22842) and four baked clay samples (from FY22798) have a multiple component magnetization, none of them close to the expected inclination. We did not perform archaeointensity experiment on these ten samples.
Oriented block samples from FY20462 and FY22783 carry a TRM with an easterly declination and an inclination in the range of the expected values for the Late Bronze Age (Hervé et al., 2013a) (Figure 3). However the scatter between directions indicates slight displacements of the baked clay fragments since the last high-temperature heating and prevents the calculation of a mean direction of magnetization.

3.4 Archaeointensity study

Archaeointensity experiments were performed using the classical Thellier-Thellier method (Thellier and Thellier, 1959) with partial thermoremanent magnetization (pTRM) checks on 56 specimens (50 baked clay fragments and 6 pottery sherds). At each temperature step, specimens were heated and cooled twice, first in a laboratory field $+F_{lab}$ and secondly in the opposite field $-F_{lab}$ of 60 µT. The protocol was performed using 13 temperature steps up to 570 or 580°C in a Pyrox amagnetic oven. All remanent magnetization were measured with a 2G cryogenic magnetometer. The anisotropy of TRM was determined at 510 or 540°C using 6 successive heating and one stability check (Chauvin et al., 2000). The cooling rate effect on TRM intensity was also corrected at 535 or 555°C using the procedure in four heating steps of Gómez-Paccard et al. (2006). The slow cooling rate was fixed to 8 hours.

We used the following criteria to select the specimens for the mean archaeointensity calculation: NRM fraction factor higher than 0.5, maximum angular deviation $M_{ad}$ lower than 5°, deviation angle $D_{ang}$ lower than 5° and ratio of the standard error of the slope to the absolute value of the slope $\beta$ lower than 0.05. A pTRM-check was said positive if its difference with the original pTRM was lower than 10%. Samples showing a concave-
up NRM-TRM diagram indicating some mineralogical changes during heating were rejected from the analysis (Figure 4a). All the accepted specimens have a linear NRM-TRM diagram (Figure 4b). In the case of pottery sherds, the archaeointensity was computed using the secondary component of magnetization after correction of the NRM-TRM diagram (Hervé et al., 2013b, Figure 4c). The selection procedure yields an acceptance rate of 86% (Supplementary material).

The archaeointensity values were corrected for TRM anisotropy if the alteration factor inferred from the stability check was lower than 10%. The anisotropy degree varied from 3 to 27%. The cooling rate correction was applied only when the absolute value of the correction factor was higher than the alteration factor (Gómez-Paccard et al., 2006). Otherwise, that is for ten specimens, the cooling rate correction was not accounted for. The correction factor was usually lower than 6%, except for specimens from FY20462 with values between 10 and 15%. The mean archaeointensity per fireplace was computed using the weighting method of Prévot et al. (1985) (Table 1). The five mean values agree well with each other.

4. Discussion

Our new mean archaeointensities were relocated to Paris using the Virtual Axial Dipole Moment (VADM) correction. On Figure 5, they are compared to published Western European data for Late Bronze and Iron Ages. These data include the selected data set described in Hervé et al., (2013b) completed by new Swiss data (in grey on Figure 5, Kapper et al., 2015). Given the small number of published results, the five fireplaces of Corent represent a significant step to recover the secular variation of the geomagnetic
field intensity between 1500 and 600 BC. The large range of archaeointensities (~50-90µT) between 1000 and 600 BC points out high and fast variations of the geomagnetic field strength.

An increase of the field intensity is observed during the tenth century BC up to ~65-70 µT, followed by a possible decrease during the ninth century with values close to 45 µT at ~800-750 BC. After that, the intensity would increase up to ~90 µT in ~700-600 BC, as supported by Gallet et al. (2009), Hervé et al. (2011) and Hill et al. (2008) data.

From ~800 to ~700-600 BC, the secular variation rate would have been around ~3 µT/decade. This rate is higher than the typical one (1 µT/decade) observed over the last two millennia in Western Europe (Genevey et al., 2013) but is similar to the rate during the early Middle Age (Gómez-Paccard et al., 2012). The sharp secular variation at the beginning of the Early Iron Age may be recorded in the Swiss mean data with an unusual standard deviation of 15.5 µT (Kapper et al., 2015, grey triangle data on Figure 5). This average archaeointensity was computed from two pottery sherds coming from the same archaeological layer. They provide archaeointensities of 45 and 75 µT. We suggest that these potteries were magnetized at slightly different times during a period of fast changes of the field intensity.

The Corent data do not record the geomagnetic spikes (short-lived high field anomalies), highlighted in the Levantine area during the ninth century BC (Ben-Yosef et al., 2009; Shaar et al., 2011). Other new reference data are needed to investigate the presence of such events in Western Europe and to better estimate the secular variation rate during the Late Bronze Age.
Finally, our new data are compared to the prediction at Paris of the geomagnetic model SHA.DIF.14k that is valid in the Northern hemisphere (Figure 5) (Pavón-Carrasco et al., 2014a). This model is developed by inversion of archaeomagnetic and volcanic results using spherical harmonic analysis in space and penalised cubic B-splines in time. For the 1500-500 BC time period the data set used to build the model includes a large amount of results obtained on Eastern Europe sites. All the data in black on Figure 5 are also used to build the SHA.DIF.14k model but not the more recently published Swiss data in grey (Kapper et al., 2015). The SHA.DIF.14k model’s prediction does not fit well most of the data between 1000 and 500 BC. Maxima of intensity are observed but with shifts in time and amplitude compared to Western Europe archaeointensity results. The archaeointensity values obtained at Corent are higher than the model’s prediction and differ up to 15 µT. Inhomogeneous quality of the archaeointensities and inhomogeneous geographical distribution of sites in the global database probably explains these inconsistencies (Pavón-Carrasco et al., 2014b). Finally this comparison indicates that reliable intensity data are still needed in order to better constrain global models of the past geomagnetic field.

5. Conclusion

The study of fireplaces from the archaeological site Puy de Corent provides five new high quality archaeointensities at the Late Bronze Age in Western Europe. This represents a new step to increase the amount of reliable data set and to build a reference curve of the secular variation of the geomagnetic field intensity. The large and fast secular variation at the Late Bronze Age and the Early Iron Age lets expect that this reference curve will give precise archaeomagnetic dating both for in place and displaced
objects. Together with directional data of the geomagnetic field, which also shows large
variations, archaeomagnetic dating technique will provide a very valuable alternative to
radiocarbon, especially problematic during this period due to plateau effects. Archaeomagnetism will efficiently contribute to the refinement of our knowledge of the
evolutions of the societies in Western Europe at the transition from the Bronze Age to
the Iron Age.
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Figure 1: Map of the central area of Corent archaeological site (2001 to 2015 excavations) emphasizing the levels from the Late Bronze Age ("Bronze Final 3") and their associated fireplaces (a) and pictures of two sampled fireplaces (b-c).
Figure 2: Representative magnetic mineralogy results with thermomagnetic curves of baked clay fragments (a) and of pottery sherds (b) together with acquisition curves of isothermal remanent magnetization (c). In thermomagnetic curves, the black curve is the variation of susceptibility during the heating and the grey curve during the cooling.
Figure 3: Stereographic plot of the TRM directions obtained on oriented block samples from FY20462 and FY22783 fireplaces.
Figure 4: Archaeointensity results of baked clay fragments (a-b) and of pottery sherds (c). Solid circles on NRM-TRM diagrams indicate the temperature steps used in the intensity determination. Corresponding demagnetization directions are shown in sample coordinates in the orthogonal diagrams. Open (solid) circles denote the projection on the vertical (horizontal) plane.
Figure 5: Secular variation of the geomagnetic intensity at the Late Bronze and Iron Ages in Western Europe. Corent data (red squares) are plotted with other published data (black squares represent the selection of Hervé et al. 2013b, whereas gray circles and triangles are the Swiss data of Kapper et al. 2015). The gray triangle indicates the Swiss data with an unusual standard deviation discussed in the text. All data are relocated to Paris. The blue curve is the mean intensity with its 95 per cent confidence envelop predicted by the geomagnetic model SHA.DIF.14k (Pavón-Carrasco et al., 2014). The Swiss data in grey are not included in this model.
Table 1: Archaeological dating and mean archaeointensities of studied fireplaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fireplace</th>
<th>Age (BC)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F ± SD (µT)</th>
<th>F_a ± SD (µT)</th>
<th>F_a+c ± SD (µT)</th>
<th>F_{Paris} (µT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY20462</td>
<td>[950; 900]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>69.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>70.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>61.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22783</td>
<td>[950; 900]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>69.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>66.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22798</td>
<td>[900; 800]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>60.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>57.9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22705</td>
<td>[900; 800]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>71.6 ± 4.8</td>
<td>69.2 ± 5.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22842</td>
<td>[950; 800]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>60.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>59.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>