

Continuous gravity recording with Scintrex CG-3M meters: a promising tool for monitoring active zones

Sylvain Bonvalot, Michel Diament, Germinal Gabalda

To cite this version:

Sylvain Bonvalot, Michel Diament, Germinal Gabalda. Continuous gravity recording with Scintrex CG-3M meters: a promising tool for monitoring active zones. Geophysical Journal International, 1998, 135 (2), pp.470-494. $10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00653.x$. insu-01354070

HAL Id: insu-01354070 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-01354070v1>

Submitted on 17 Aug 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Continuous gravity recording with Scintrex CG-3M meters: a promising tool for monitoring active zones

Sylvain Bonvalot,^{1,2} Michel Diament² and Germinal Gabalda¹

¹ ORSTOM, Laboratoire de Géophysique, 32 Avenue Varagnat, 93143 Bondy Cedex, France. E-mail: bonvalot@bondy.orstom.fr ² Institut de Physique du Globe, Laboratoire de Gravimétrie et Géodynamique, 4 Pl. Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France

Accepted 1998 June 16. Received 1998 June 12; in original form 1997 November 10

SUMMARY

We acquired continuous series of microgravity measurements using several Scintrex CG-3M gravity meters for several weeks in 1997. The meters with 1μ Gal resolution were installed side by side in a stable reference station at the ORSTOM research centre to perform identical data acquisition. We present and compare the instrumental responses obtained for the various gravity meters (measurement series of gravity field, standard deviation, internal temperature, tilts) and analyse their correlation with simultaneous recordings of meteorological parameters. The data have been processed in order to (1) establish the mid- to long-term relative stability and the accuracy of the instruments, (2) estimate the contribution of instrumental effects to gravity data measurements and (3) quantify the amplitude of the time variations of the gravity field that might be detected with such instruments.

This study emphasizes the sensitivity of some instrumental responses of the Scintrex CG-3M gravity meters (such as internal temperature or tilt) to local atmosphericpressure variations. This sensitivity can lead to non-negligible perturbations of the gravity measurements through automatic corrections applied in real-time mode by the integrated software. We show that most of these instrumental artefacts can be easily removed in data post-processing by using simultaneous atmospheric-pressure data. After removal of an accurate Earth tide model, the instrumental drift and the instrumental effects, the temporal series are compared by computing differential signals. These residual signals obtained over a period of several weeks exhibit the following characteristics: (1) the gravity residuals have a maximum amplitude ranging from 5 to 10 μ Gal and from 10 to 15 μ Gal for filtered and unfiltered data, respectively; and (2) the standard error, tilts and internal temperature measurements of the various gravity meters are very consistent; their respective residual amplitudes are $\pm 2 \mu Gal$, ± 3 arcsec and $+0.05$ mK.

In order to calibrate the gravity meters precisely in the measurement range used in this study, we have measured a calibration line established in the framework of the fourth intercomparison of absolute and relative gravity meters. This calibration was achieved with an accuracy of 5μ Gal. This result is consistent with other field tests already performed with such gravity meters. In addition, we also checked the accuracy of the tilt sensors by increasing the electronic read-out by a factor of 10. The tilt response of the whole gravity meter to a small induced inclinometric variation indicates that the precision of the tilt measurements is about a few tenths of an arc second.

This study reveals that temporal variations of the gravity field could potentially be detected in the field with an accuracy of about $5-15 \mu Gal$ by permanent networks of Scintrex CG-3M gravity meters set up a few kilometres apart. This result is of particular interest in field surveys of temporal gravity changes related to some environmental or geodynamical processes, where the expected gravity variations are greater than a few tens of μ Gal. In particular, in volcanological applications, the continuous monitoring of active volcanoes with such permanent networks of gravity meters co-located with subcentimetre-accuracy GPS receivers should be very helpful to understand internal

magmatic processes better and to detect possible gravity and inclinometric signals occurring during pre-eruptive phases. In this field, continuous microgravity recordings associated with classical reiteration networks will probably improve hazard mitigation in the near future.

Key words: gravity meter, microgravity, Scintrex CG-3, volcano monitoring.

can provide fundamental information on the internal dynamics volcanic activity. The study of these variations for which of the globe at different scales and also on some meteorological microgravity methods are more and more often used, is of phenomena (Lambeck 1981; Goodkind 1986; Torge 1981; particular interest for the monitoring of volcanoes. In addition, Hinderer, Legros & Crossley 1991; Groten & Becker 1995). a volcanic eruption precursor signal was clearly pointed out Depending on their origin, these variations occur over long or for the first time on the Poas volcano (Costa Rica) in 1989 short periods of time, periodically or temporarily. They are (Rymer & Brown 1989). detected by repeated relative or absolute measurements made Temporal gravity variations on volcanoes are usually at reference stations for the long-term phenomena, and by detected by repeated measurements. Microgravimetric and continuous measurements for the short-term phenomena. geodetic networks, with stations located in stable zon These studies rely on highly accurate observations that cur- as in active zones, are used for these measurements. The time rently can be performed under laboratory-like conditions with interval at which the networks are reoccupied, typically from the use of absolute gravity meters or superconducting relative several weeks to several years, allows the recording and the gravimeters, whose resolution can reach $0.1-1 \mu Gal (1 \mu Gal)$ study of long-period variations which are related to long-term 10 nm s−2). Such accuracy cannot be obtained in the field magmatic phenomena. In order to detect shorter-term varibecause of the instrumental limitation on one hand (field ations, either the networks should be occupied more often or instruments are more robust, more portable but less accurate), continuous recordings of the gravity field should be made. A and because of a greater exposure to external perturbations of few time-series have been acquired on several active volcanoes the measurement sites (meteorological effects, microseismic in this way: for example, on Etna, Italy (Berrino et al. 1995; activity, etc.) on the other hand. However, temporal gravity De Meyer, Ducarme & Elwahabi 1995; Budetta, Carbone & changes of relatively large amplitude (greater than a few tens Rymer, personal communication) and on Merapi, Indonesia of μ Gal) can be studied under local conditions on tectonically (Jousset *et al.* in press). Some of these time-series showed a active or volcanic zones. Recent instrument-technological correlation between the gravity field variations and the magdevelopments in microgravimetry and in related domains such matic or seismic activity. Most of the time, these data have as GPS geodesy now allow us to acquire more easily a larger been acquired at a single site with only one instrument. amount and higher quality of field data (higher sensor accu- Therefore, it is difficult to connect the data with certitude to racy, numerical data acquisition and processing, higher storage the various events, because of limiting factors such as the small capabilities, etc.). Nowadays, these improvements allow us to numbers of time-series, too short a period of recording, and detect and to study phenomena of smaller amplitudes or the importance of instrumental effects. In order to avoid some occurring over shorter periods, for which the resolution limit of these shortcomings, the optimal method would consist in of the instruments can be reached. A good knowledge of the deploying permanent networks of microgravimeters distributed instrument responses and of the various factors that can over the active zone and over a stable zone used as a reference. influence the data quality is then indispensable in order This method has several advantages for volcanic monitoring, to evaluate the actual accuracy of the measurements and, including: therefore, the order of magnitude of the observable phenomena. (1) a more accurate analysis of the time variations that

As an example, temporal gravity studies in tectonically active could lead to a possible detection of eruption precursors; or volcanic zones require at the same time very accurate (2) a continuous recording of the data even during the instruments and well-defined data acquisition and processing active periods, limiting the risks for the operators; procedures, in order to minimize the various error sources and (3) a diminution of the number of in-field tasks (network to reach the required accuracy. Regarding the microgravity reoccupations) and automation of the monitoring tasks. monitoring of active volcanoes, these methodological aspects as well as numerous examples of applications have been So far, in-field microgravity surveys and the abovediscussed in publications presenting the state of the art in this mentioned type of continuous series on volcanoes have mainly domain (Rymer & Brown 1986; Tilling 1989; Eggers 1987; been realized with relative gravimeters of the LaCoste & Berrino et al. 1992; Rymer 1994, 1995). These temporal gravity Romberg type, whose resolution varies from 10 to 5 µGal for variations are related to changes in the internal structure of the G and D models, respectively (LaCoste & Romberg 1991). motions (altitude change of the measurement site) in response these instruments for the detection of gravity field variations to a magmatic activity. After removal of the component due in active zones. The accuracy obtained by reoccupying the to a possible deformation of the topographic surface, the networks with such instruments usually varies from 15 to

1 INTRODUCTION amplitude of the residual gravity variations ranges from several tens to several hundreds of μ Gal. The observed variations are The study of the time variations of the Earth's gravity field usually episodic and occur shortly before or after phases of

geodetic networks, with stations located in stable zones as well

the systems (mass redistribution, density changes) or to ground Many studies have confirmed the accuracy and the stability of

20 mGal (Rymer 1989, 1994; Torge 1989). These instruments reoccupation on several active volcanoes: Masaya (Bonvalot can also be equipped with an automatic system of measurement *et al.* 1995); Piton de la Fournaise (Bonvalot *et al.* 1996; which allows the continuous recording of analog or digital Diament *et al.* 1997), Merapi (Diament *et al.* 1995; Jousset data. A model with a limited range of measurement of 12 or 1996), La Soufrière (Diament et al. 1997); Etna (Budetta $\&$ 14 mGal (ET model) was especially created for Earth tide Carbone 1997), for instance. studies (LaCoste & Romberg 1996). These different models of At present, there is no published study of continuous gravimeter (D, G and ET types) could also be modified by recordings of the time variations due to geodynamic effects integrating an electronic feedback system with the original (seismic or volcanic active zones) using the Scintrex CG-3/3M sensor (Harrisson & Sato 1984; Van Ruymbeke 1985; Vaillant recording system. Nevertheless, the instrument is particularly 1986). Under good conditions, the accuracy of the Earth tide well suited to this field of application. Therefore, a comparative recordings made on these instruments is estimated to be 0.5 study has been carried out on several instruments with microgal or 1μ Gal, on the basis of the standard deviations defined for sensitivity in order to check the middle- and long-term behavthe hourly values (Torge 1989, p. 378). These observations iour and stability of the Scintrex CG-3M gravimeter responses. confirm that the technical characteristics of the LaCoste & Continuous recordings have been acquired on the same site in Romberg instruments are well suited to the continuous moni- order to analyse the influence of the instrumental effects on toring of volcanoes, although these instruments were developed each device and to evaluate the expected accuracy for this type a few decades ago. $\qquad \qquad$ of study.

A new generation of relative gravimeters was conceived by Scintrex Ltd at the end of the 1980s. The AutoGrav CG-3 and
CG-3M meters are based on the use of microprocessors, which
allowed the automation of the measurements and their pro-
METER
METER cessing (Hugill 1990). These instruments, with resolutions of **2.1 Functional principle** 5μ Gal and 1 μ Gal, respectively, can be used in two different **2.1 Functional principle** modes: an in-field mode allowing the acquisition of discrete The measurement of the gravity field in this instrument is based measurements, and a cycling mode for continuous data on a capacitive measurement of the extension of a vertical recording. Their technical characteristics make these instru- quartz spring. This geodetic-type device allows a worldwide ments useful for various applications of relative gravimetry measurement of the gravity field over a range of 7000 mGal based on the study of the spatial and temporal variations of without resetting. Currently, its resolution reaches 5 µGal for the gravity field. Therefore, they can also be used in micrograv- the standard version (CG-3 model) and 1μ Gal for the microgal ity studies in volcanology, for discrete measurements as well version (CG-3M model). At a given station, the gravity field as for continuous recordings. Several recent studies have relative value is determined by a series of measurements confirmed the potentialities of these instruments for the micro- (generally 60–120 single measurements) performed at a sampling gravimetric survey of superficial structures and for network rate of 1 Hz. The mean value and its standard deviation are

Figure 1. Simplified acquisition scheme for the Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeter (modified from Scintrex 1995). *ETC* is the software-computed Earth tide correction based on the Longman (1959) algorithm. The parameters TILT XS and TILT YS are the tilt sensor sensitivities adjusted by the operator (see text). TEMPCO is the temperature correction factor determined by the manufacturer (see text). GCAL1 and GCAL2 are the first- and second-order calibration factors of the gravity signal and DRIFT is the correction factor used for the instrumental linear drift correction.

computed from the single measurements after rejecting outliers. of absolute and relative gravimeters (Jousset et al. 1995) and In addition, this instrument is equipped with tilt and internal field measurement results (Budetta & Carbone 1997). These temperature sensors, providing real-time numerical corrections studies have shown that a repeatability of the measurement of of the gravity measurements. These corrections are applied for the order of $5-10 \,\mu$ Gal could be obtained with these instruments a range of internal temperature of ± 2 mK and for a range of on network measurements. Such results have also been recently tilt of ± 200 arcsec. Then, the numerical data are stored confirmed by another comparison of LaCoste & Romberg and internally and can be transferred to a computer through an Scintrex meters (Kauffmann & Doll, in press). RS232 port. Fig. 1 shows a diagram of the data acquisition and processing system. Technical details of the instrument and the acquisition procedures can be found in Hugill (1990), Scintrex **RECORDING** (1995) and Siegel, Brcic & Mistry (1993).

The Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeter can be operated either **3.1 Methodological aspects and study objectives** in field mode or in cycling mode. Depending on the operating mode, data acquisition is triggered either by an operator, once Various methodological aspects of time variation studies using

geophysical prospecting (gravimetric and microgravimetric observations and other parameters simultaneously recorded. measurements in oil and mining prospecting, civil engineering, In particular, the analysis of the correlation should allow one etc.). Several real-time acquisition and processing procedures to discriminate between the variations related to external have been included by the manufacturer to increase the speed factors (meteorological, geodynamic) and the variations due to and efficiency of the data collection, and to ease the post- instrumental effects (internal temperature, tilt). In consequence, processing of the data (theoretical correction for the lunar– the identification of instrumental artefacts is a fundamensolar tide applied for a given latitude, removal of the long-term tal step in the analysis of gravity field continuous recordinstrumental drift determined by continuous recording, etc.). ings. Indeed, the amplitudes of these instrumental effects are This meter can also be used for continuous measurements of comparable to or greater than those of the actual signals. the Earth's gravity field. Ducarme & Somerhausen (1997) Goodkind (1986) has also shown the advantage of using recently analysed the Earth tide recorded in Brussels by a several instruments of the same type in order to make simul-CG-3M gravimeter over an eight-month period of time. Despite taneous recordings of the gravity field. This approach is the a strong instrumental drift (a few tenths of a mGal day⁻¹) and only rigorous way to determine the instrumental noise limits a relatively low resolution for this type of study, the results, and the instrumental drift. By running at least two meters at as well as a comparison with other gravimeters (LaCoste & the same site, their relative stability can be assessed and Romberg, GWR superconducting), confirm that this instrument controlled periodically. Then, the meters can be operated at is also suitable for Earth tide studies. Other applications remote sites. Differential recordings can allow the detection of involving the measurement of time variations observed at a time variations with a previously determined accuracy. Another local scale, such as in active seismic or volcanic zones, can be benefit of this method is to eliminate some of the local gravity also envisaged. The performances of the Scintrex CG-3/3M variations that are not correlated with instrumental effects. gravimeters have been compared to those of other relative For instance, this is the case for uncorrected Earth tide periodic gravimeters usually used for such purposes (LaCoste & residuals or for local variations of the atmospheric pressure. Romberg type). Examples include the fourth intercalibration Both can be minimized by the computation of differential

the meter has been installed temporarily, or automatically at a continuous recording have been tackled by Goodkind (1986). pre-defined sample rate (typically starting from 1 point min−1), Time-series acquired from superconducting gravimeters were for a fixed device. These modes allow for measurements of analysed to point out and to interpret the residual variations both spatial and temporal variations of the gravity field. observed after Earth tide and instrumental-drift corrections had been applied. Such residual variations can be related to **2.2 Main domains of application 2.2 Main domains of application 2.4** The main purpose of this instrument is for measurements in necessary to look for a possible correlation between the gravity

Difference between Earth Tide Models [MT80-CG3]

Figure 2. Difference between two theoretical models of the Earth tide between 01/16/97 and 02/20/97 at ORSTOM Research Centre in Bondy (48.915°N, 2.486°E). The residual signal is the difference between the correction computed by the Scintrex CG3 software [Longman (1959) algorithm] and the correction computed using the amplitude and phase coefficients determined by the Royal Observatory of Belgium (MT80 software).

Figure 3. Raw gravity recordings acquired on several Scintrex CG-3M gravimeters side by side (Bondy, from 01/16/97 to 02/20/97) with no internal drift correction applied. The data acquired at a time interval of 2 min, were undersampled at a sampling rate of 1 point hr−1. The drift and offset parameters were set to zero in order to quantify their actual instrumental drift.

Table 1. Instrumental parameters for three Scintrex CG-3M gravity meters deduced from continous gravity recordings at Bondy from 1997 January 16 to 1997 February 20. (a) Computed longterm intrumental drift values using the linear and quadratic models. (b) Averaged admittance values between internal temperature and gravity computed from long-period recordings. (c) Admittance values between tilt responses and atmospheric pressure variations within the studied area.

9002136	9110193	9408267
0.9999586	0.9999461	0.9999865
3.775	5.971	4.363
0.243	0.521	0.349
0.9999923	0.9999995	0.9999992
3.549	5.364	4.164
0.258	0.561	0.362
-0.000223	-0.000196	-0.000196
		0.185
0.0957	0.1392	0.1314
0.0954	0.1204	0.1913
	0.344	0.161

signals. As a matter of fact, Goodkind (1986) showed that the acquired on several instruments set in similar recording coninfluence of the atmospheric pressure was less than 0.1μ Gal ditions at the same site have been compared. Four Scintrex for two meters 10 km apart. This result was confirmed by CG-3M gravimeters (numbered 9002136, 9110193, 9408267, Merriam (1992), who argued that 90 per cent of the gravimetric and 9601323) with a sensitivity of 1 μ Gal, bought by ORSTOM effects originating from the atmosphere are constant over a and the Institut de Physique du Globe, Paris, between 1990 50 km radius area. However, in uneven regions where atmos- and 1996, were used in this experiment. The main objectives pheric effects may occur more frequently, a smaller radius of this study were should be considered. The various residual contributions due to the Earth tide or to atmospheric effects can potentially be (1) to establish the relative stability and the accuracy of eliminated by taking a difference between signals recorded by the meters; two gravimeters a few kilometres apart. (2) to estimate the contribution of the instrumental effects

The superconducting gravimeters used by Goodkind (1986) for this type of instrument; had a better resolution than field gravimeters or microgravime- (3) to quantify the amplitude of the variations that could ters. This is due to a quasi-null instrumental drift and to a be detected by a gravimetric differential method. very low noise in the measurements obtained on cryogenic gravimeters, which can detect very-small-amplitude time vari-
ations (sub-µGal level) (Hinderer, Crossley & Xu 1994). **3.2 Experimental set-up** However, for some variations of geodynamic origin, such as For several weeks in 1996 and 1997, three instruments set for those related to volcanic activity, the expected amplitudes do continuous recording were installed side by side in a vault at not necessarily require the use of cryogenic gravimeters. the ORSTOM research centre in Bondy (48°54′55″N, Besides, these gravimeters are poorly adapted to difficult field 2°29′09″E). The fourth meter, not available for a long period

capacities under continuous recording conditions, time-series point per 2 min (cycle time 120 s, read time 90 s, calibration

conditions such as those encountered on volcanoes. $\qquad \qquad$ of continuous recording at that time, was used for calibration In order to assess the Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeters' purposes. Recordings were made at a sampling rate of one

Figure 4. Residual gravity signals obtained after removal of a linear drift and of an accurate Earth tide model. It can be seen that the linear model does not fit the instrumental drift over this period of time (see text and numerical values in Table 1).

The Earth tide correction computed by the Scintrex software is **3.4 Instrumental drift** based on an algorithm developed by Longman (1959). The correction is applied in real time to the measurements. The Raw recordings for the time period between 1997 January 16 model used in this software was not accurate enough for and 1997 February 20 are presented in Fig. 3. No tide correcmicrogravity studies. Thus, a new correction was computed tion has been applied to these data. The instrumental drifts using the theoretical model produced by the Belgium Royal observed during this time period on the various meters are all

frequency 1/12 sample). First, tuning of the sensitivity and Observatory (Ducarme, personal communication). For each temperature, and tilt corrections were performed on all instru- wave group, the amplitude and phase coefficients of this model ments, according to the recommendations made by Scintrex were determined on the basis of the analysis of the tide observed (1995). This tuning ensures that the measurements are properly at the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures in Se`vres, corrected. In order to quantify the actual instrumental drift, France. Because of the closeness of our measurement site (less the drift correction parameters and the offset were initialized than 20 km away) to that reference, the same coefficients were to zero. To ease the reading of the time-series recording over applied for the computation of the tide correction. Fig. 2 shows a long period of time (greater than a month) and to facilitate the deviation between the correction so determined and the one their comparison with other recordings made simultaneously computed by the Scintrex software for the time period covered (meteorological), the gravity signals were later under-sampled by the gravimetric recordings. Taking into account all effects at a sampling rate of one point per hour. To study the residual related to the tide in this accurate model leads to an improveresponses of the various gravimeters, contributions linked to ment of up to $10 \mu Gal$ with respect to the standard model the earth tide and the instrumental drift were first removed applied in the software. This precise Earth tide correction was from the recordings. The recordings computed by means of the interactive CG3TOOL software especially designed for Scintrex CG-3/3M data processing (Gabalda & Bonvalot 1997). **3.3 Earth tide correction**

Residual gravity variations (quadratic model) (Bondy, 1997)

Figure 5. Residual gravity signals obtained after removal of a quadratic drift and of an accurate Earth tide model. It can be seen that the quadratic model correctly fits the instrumental drift for all instruments (see text and numerical values in Table 1).

solar tide effect had been corrected on the basis of the previous is large and oscillates between −0.025 and +0.025 mGal for computation, the instrumental drift of the various meters was devices 9110193 and 9408267 and between −0.050 and quantified using two drift models, the first linear and the $+0.050$ mGal for device 9002136. second quadratic. When compared to other field gravimeter instrumental drifts,

 $M_0 + M_1$ defined in this way can reach several tenths of a mGal per high when the sensors are manufactured but should decrease day. They vary slightly from one instrument to another, as to a value of 0.2 mGal day−1 after several years of usage. After shown in Table 1. The residual gravity variations (Fig. 4) vary correction of the measurement series for an average long-term between 0.05 and 0.2 mGal depending on the instrument. They drift, the residual drift should be lower than 0.02 mGal day−1. clearly demonstrate that the linear model does not fit the The relationship between the long-term drift and the instrulong-term instrumental drift. However, the linear model is ment age has not been verified in this study, where the strongest more suitable to shorter time windows, up to 10 days. This drift was observed for an instrument purchased in 1991 (Fig. 3). result conforms to the manufacturer's specifications for these However, it can be assumed that a change in the instrumental instruments. drift occurred in 1995 after this instrument had been serviced

the form $y = M_0 + M_1 t + M_2 t^2$ from the recordings are shown teristics of the Scintrex CG-3M gravimeters are discussed later. in Fig. 5. The coefficients for this model are reported in Table 1. The shape and amplitude of the residual signals confirm that **3.5 Instrumental noise and microseismicity** the quadratic model correctly fits the instrumental drift over time periods greater than several days. The residual variations As shown in Fig. 5, a high-frequency noise affects all residual are relatively consistent among the different instruments, even gravity signals. Its amplitude is estimated to be about 0.01 mGal

positive, but slightly different from each other. After the lunar– meter 9002136. Nevertheless, the amplitude of these variations

In the first computation, a drift trend of the form $y =$ that of the CG-3M gravimeters appears quite large. According to Hugill (1990) and Scintrex (1995), this long-term drift is Residual signals obtained by removing a quadratic drift of by the manufacturer. The long-term instrumental drift charac-

though they seem strongly amplified (by a factor of 2) for for the first set of recordings (days $16-34$) and about 0.015 mGal

Standard error on gravity measurements (Bondy, 1997)

Figure 6. Standard errors obtained for the three instruments side by side (Bondy, from 01/16/97 to 02/20/97). The similarity of the various responses indicates that all instruments have the same sensitivity to external noise.

for the second set of recordings (days 34–52). Fig. 6 shows the instrumental factors. In order to assess the contribution of standard errors computed for the corresponding measurement instrumental factors, a possible correlation between the gravity series. For each instrument, these errors lie within the range residuals and other parameters measured over the same time 0.005–0.015 mGal, and are slightly higher for the second set period was investigated. We considered in this comparison the of recordings. These errors are derived from the standard- parameters recorded by the instrument such as the internal deviation values of each measurement computed by the temperature and the sensor inclination. In addition, we used Scintrex software. Each measurement is defined by an arith-
local meteorological data recorded close to the studied area. metic mean over a series of N values and the corresponding Fig. 7 displays atmospheric pressure and temperature varistandard deviation SD. Individual values with an error more ations observed over the same period of time. These data, than four times larger than the standard deviation are rejected acquired at a sample rate of one point per hour, come from a from the mean computation (an option available in the Scintrex station of the French meteorological array (Roissy station), software), leading to an actual number of values included in located less than 15 km away from the measurement site. We the computation, DUR , that is smaller than N (Scintrex 1995). first examine the thermal and inclinometric instrument On the assumption that the noise is normally distributed, the responses, since the Scintrex software corrects each gravity

$$
Err = \frac{SD}{\sqrt{DUR}}.
$$
\n(1)

4.1 Thermal responses This error includes both the instrumental accuracy of the acquisition system and the surrounding microseismic noise Fig. 8 presents the internal temperature variations recorded acting on the vertical spring at various frequencies. However, for the various gravimeters after removal of a linear trend. The a homogeneity of the measurement errors for all gravimeters parameter varies in a consistent manner for all the meters, but is observed over time. This response demonstrates the identical with slightly different drift values $(0.005-0.012 \text{ mK day}^{-1})$. sensitivity of the different instruments to the same external Short-wavelength variations, identified on gravimeter 9002136, phenomena. Therefore, these instruments can be considered to can also be observed on the other meters, but strongly attenube an accurate tool to measure the microseismic activity level ated. A comparison with the meteorological recordings (Fig. 7) at a given site. shows that these thermal variations are not correlated with

error in the measurements series is estimated as follows: measurement in real time for the internal temperature and the sensor tilt.

the local variations of the temperature, but that they are perfectly correlated with atmospheric-pressure variations. This **4 INSTRUMENT RESPONSES** pressure on the temperature and reveals either a poor insu-Residual variations obtained after Earth tide and instrumental- lation of the gravimeter (the temperature sensor is located drift corrections may be linked to geophysical, atmospheric or close to the gravity sensor in the thermostatically controlled

Atmospheric variations (Roissy, 1997)

Figure 7. Variations of the temperature and atmospheric pressure observed in the area of Bondy during the period of gravimetry recordings (at the site of Méteo France, Roissy, from 01/16/97 to 02/20/97). The pressure recorded at the station elevation and the pressure reduced to sea level are displayed as solid and dashed lines, respectively.

Internal temperature variations (Bondy, 1997)

Figure 8. Variations of the internal temperature of the gravimeters after removal of a linear drift. A comparison with simultaneous meteorological recordings shown in Fig. 7 demonstrates a strong correlation between the atmospheric pressure and internal temperature for meter 9002136.

chamber) or an important sensitivity to pressure variation of variations already identified for this instrument (Fig. 5). This

higher sensitivity to pressure of meter 9002136 may be related the other devices (Fig. 5). either to its older age (first generation of CG-3M, with a less robust acquisition system than the later instruments) or to the **4.2 Inclinometric responses** fact that the device is thermostatically controlled at 55 °^C (high-temperature option, CG-3MH) while the others are The inclinometric responses of the gravimeters are displayed

time seem to be well correlated with the residual gravity variations, are identical on all devices. No drift is noticeable

some of the acquisition system elements (electronic compo- can be explained by the fact that the internal temperature is nents). Such relationship has already been observed during used to correct in real time the measurement series of the previous laboratory studies (Jousset 1996). thermal variations made in the sensor enclosure (Fig. 1). The In order to suppress this effect, the transfer function linking correction factor (TEMPCO), determined experimentally by the local atmospheric pressure to the instrument internal the manufacturer for each instrument, ranges from −0.1 to temperature has been computed for device 9002136. This function $-0.15 \text{ mGal mK}^{-1}$. It is applied in a temperature window was determined by applying a linear regression between both from -2.0 to $+2.0$ mK. Hence, perturbations of the internal parameters (Fig. 9a). The result of this regression shows that temperature of the sensor can induce large gravimetric varia factor of −0.0033 mK hPa−1 could be used to remove the ations. In the case of meter 9002136, the temperature correction correlation between the two signals. After applying this correc- was recomputed using the instrument's own correction factor tion, the thermal signal becomes comparable to those obtained TEMPCO (−0.1383 mGal mK⁻¹), applied to the corrected on the other devices (Fig. 8). It should be noted that this effect thermal signal obtained by using the previously computed of atmospheric pressure can hardly be detected in the thermal coefficient (−0.0033 mK hPa−1). Fig. 9(c) shows that the or gravimetric recordings from the other instruments. The resulting residual signal becomes comparable to those of

controlled at 45 °C (standard option). in Fig. 10, for two perpendicular axes X and Y. These responses The internal temperature variations over a short period of are homogeneous: the long-term drifts, as well as the daily

Pressure effect on internal temperature $(CG-3M \#9002136)$

Figure 9. Influence of the atmospheric pressure on the internal temperature of the gravimeter 9002136 (see text and Figs 7 and 8). (a) Regression model between the two parameters. The corrected temperature (b) and gravity (c) signals should be compared to the raw data in Figs 8 and 5, respectively.

along the Y axis for the whole recording period, while a weak then produce a corresponding gravity correction. This correcdrift of about 20 arc seconds on the X axis is seen for the tion is applied to gravity readings for tilt variations within a same time period. These observations indicate, on one hand, ± 200 arcsec range. The gravity value corrected in this way, the relative stability of the measurement site, and on the other hand, the excellent stability of the inclinometers used in the parameters. At short periods, there is a weak correlation $R(\theta_x, \theta_y) = RU(0, 0) - gt(\cos \theta_x \cos \theta_y - \cos X \cos Y)$, (2) gravimeters. At short periods, there is a weak correlation between the tilt responses and the atmospheric-pressure coefficients derived from a linear regression analysis of this by the software. correlation are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 11. The admittance According to Scintrex (1995), the perfect tilt adjustment

 $R(\theta_x, \theta_y)$

 $(x, \theta_y) = RU(0, 0) - gt(\cos \theta_x \cos \theta_y)$

exercise the mean gravity value at sea level, θ_x recording (Fig. 7). This correlation, occurring without any $\theta_y = 0$, gt is the mean gravity value at sea level, θ_x and θ_y are θ_y and θ_y are θ_z and θ_y where $RU(0, 0)$ is the uncorrected gravity reading for $\theta_r =$ phase lag, might be related to the pressure effect of the air the tilt values of the gravity sensor in the x and y perpendicular column on the external enclosure of the gravity meters. The directions, and X and Y are the corresponding values displayed

values, ranging from 0.09 to 0.2 arcsec hPa⁻¹, lead to a slight condition is the coincidence of instrument zero tilts, as defined correction of the inclination signals, of less than 5 arcsec. by the digital read-out of the bubble level and the tilts referred According to the relation between gravity and tilt variations, to the horizontal as defined by the maximum sensor output. these corrections are lower than the 1μ Gal level. In view of For correct operation, the tilt adjustment should be periodically the very low amplitude of this effect of the atmospheric pressure checked following the manufacturer's recommendations. The on the tilt and gravity recordings, it has been neglected in the tilt zero sensor position is obtained by hardware tuning using following computations. **footscrews** footscrews. The tilt calibration factors in the x and y directions On Scintrex CG-3/3M devices, the tilt parameter is usually are then experimentally defined by comparing the gravity used to apply real-time corrections to the gravity measure- readings obtained for extreme tilt values of about 150 arcsec. ments. Any atmospheric-pressure effect on the tilt meters will The correction factor for each tilt correction constant is

Figure 10. Variations of the tilts along the X and Y axes of the three side-by-side gravimeters (Bondy, from 01/16/97 to 02/20/97). The jump at day 34 corresponds to a manual resetting of the tilts. Instruments 9002136 and 9408267 were equipped with high-resolution tiltmeters (0.1 arcsec). A correlation with atmospheric-pressure variations can be observed at short wavelengths (see Fig. 7).

$$
K = \sqrt{1 + 8.7 \times 10^4 \frac{(R_0 - R_1)}{X_1^2}},
$$
\n(3)

where R_0 and R_1 are the respective gravity readings in mGal taken at tilt values $X_0 = 0$ and $X_1 = \pm 150$ arcsec. **4.3** Residual gravity responses

The absence of correlation between any of the gravimetric
and inclinometric recordings (Figs 5, 9 and 10) ensures, on
one hand, that the tilt corrections have been correctly com-
puted in the real-time processing and, on t corresponding gravity recordings (Figs 5 and 10).

Fig. 10 also shows a higher resolution of tilt recordings for
meters 9002136 and 9408267. The standard 1 arcsec resolution Long-term temperature correction has been improved to 0.1 arcsec by using new software to Over long periods, a correlation appears between the residual check the actual accuracy of the tilt sensors. On the basis of gravity signals and the internal temperature. It may indicate these responses, it can be verified that the instrumental noise the persistence of an instrumental effect (Figs 5, 8 and 9). In is lower than 1 arcsec. One of the reasons for the apparent order to reduce this effect, the transfer functions between these accuracy of the tilt sensors comes from the fact that the bubble two parameters were computed in the frequency domain. The

expressed as statically controlled enclosure, and are therefore isolated from any temperature variation. Results from additional tests performed on the tilt resolution are given later in this paper.

levels are co-located with the gravity sensor, in the thermo- resulting admittance function is given as follows, for a given

Pressure effect on tilt variations

Tilt X

Figure 11. Influence of the atmospheric pressure on the gravimeter tilt-meter responses (Bondy, from 01/16/97 to 02/20/97). Thick lines show uncorrected tilts and thin lines show tilts corrected using the coefficient determined for each meter by a linear regression between the two parameters (see text and Table 1).

$$
Z(f) = \frac{G(f) \times T^*(f)}{T(f) \times T^*(f)},\tag{4}
$$

and phase functions, is shown in Fig. 12. Coherence values post-processing the data while looking for the greater than 0.5 are observed for the lower frequencies (below the thermal signals recorded simultaneously. 4 or 5 cycles day−1), before a sharp drop in the coherence. Within this same low-frequency band, constant values of the Correction for the direct effect of the atmospheric pressure admittance and null values of the phase are obtained for each meter, indicating a very good correlation between the gravity So far, the gravimetric signals have been corrected for the indirect and temperature signals. effects of the atmospheric pressure (through the temperature

frequency f: For each instrument, a mean value of the admittance was computed by averaging the values obtained for periods corresponding to coherence values greater than 0.5 (periods greater than 5 or 6 days). The results are shown in Table 1. These where $G(f)$ and $T(f)$ are the energy spectra of the gravimetric values reflect the long-term correlation between the thermal
and thermal signals, respectively, and $T^*(f)$ is the complex variations and the gravimetric var conjugate of $T(f)$.
The energy spectra were computed by a Fourier transform which is determined experimentally over very short periods of The energy spectra were computed by a Fourier transform
after removal of the linear trend. High frequencies were filtered
by applying a smoothing polynomial filter. The parameters
applied for this filtering were determined maximum of the correlation corresponding to the largest removal of this long wavelength is clearly seen in the signals
values of coherence between a pair of signals. For each and significantly improves the gravity recordin values of coherence between a pair of signals. For each and significantly improves the gravity recordings. This obser-
meter the coherence as well as the corresponding admittance values wation proves that a more accurate s meter, the coherence, as well as the corresponding admittance values when the corresponding for the correlation with
not proves that a more accurate signal can be obtained by
post-processing the data while looking for the

Temperature / Gravity spectral correlation

Figure 12. Transfer functions (coherence, admittance and phase) between the gravity and the thermal signals computed in the frequency domain for each Scintrex CG-3M gravimeter between 01/16/97 and 02/20/97. A pre-processing was applied to the signals in order to remove the linear trend and to filter high frequencies (see text). This figure shows that the coherence is greater than 0.5 for all meters for time periods greater than 5–6 days (frequency lower than 5). The admittance is flat in this domain and the phase is close to zero. Therefore, an average coefficient (in mGal mK−1) can be obtained and later used in post-processing (see Table 1). This coefficient differs from the short term one given by the manufacturer. Frequency unit: cycles day⁻¹; period (day) = k/f, with k = 26.25.

or the tilt), but the direct influence of the atmospheric of time considered. Taking this influence into account improves pressure has not been taken into account. The influence of the the quality of the observed gravity signals, particularly at the atmospheric pressure on the gravity field, as pointed out by short wavelengths. several authors (Warburton & Goodkind 1977; Merriam 1992), is induced by the weight of the air column and can vary **4.4 Differential signal computation** between 0.2 and 0.4μ Gal hPa⁻¹. The related correction can **4.4 Differential signal computation** be computed using a standard model or a value defined from The residual gravity signals obtained after applying the various simultaneous recordings of the pressure and of the gravity corrections show a noise of the order of 0.01 mGal as well as field. A standard value of 0.356 μ Gal hPa⁻¹ (Merriam 1992) comparable amplitude variations for the short and long wavewas applied to correct the gravity recordings for the atmos- lengths. These variations can be related to effects not taken pheric-pressure variations observed near the surveyed area into account or not properly corrected during data processing (Fig. 14). Here, the maximal amplitude of the direct effect of (insufficiently accurate Earth tide or atmospheric-pressure the atmospheric pressure is less than 15μ Gal over the period corrections, etc.). Given that these effects might be considered

Figure 13. Gravity responses corrected for the instrumental thermal effects using the long-term coefficient (see Fig. 12 and Table 1). Comparison with Fig. 5 shows that the residual is flatter after the removal of this long term thermal effect.

as constant over an area of a few square kilometres, they can Differences lower than $\pm 15 \mu$ Gal are observed in the gravity gravity signals recorded on several instruments not too far recording. Better results are obtained for the difference between apart. gravimeters 9110193 and 9408267 (Fig. 15b) because their

should be precisely calibrated. For this purpose, several frequency noise in the gravimetric signals is filtered first methods can be used, for instance the measurement of a (Fig. 14), the differences observed with respect to the reference calibration line or the computation of the admittance between gravimeter are of the order of \pm 5 and \pm 10 μ Gal for the theoretical and observed tide signals. The latter method does gravimeters 9110193 (Fig. 15b) and 9002136 (Fig. 15a), not require the movement of the instruments and seems to be respectively. A similar study performed on shorter time-series particularly well adapted to the analysis of signals recorded allowed to verify that the deviations could be reduced to ± 2 on permanent gravimeter networks. An example is given by to $\pm 3 \mu Gal$ over a few days. As previously noticed, the Jousset et al. (in press) for the processing of the time-series standard errors responses (deviation $\lt \pm 3 \mu Gal$) and the tilt recorded on the Merapi volcano. Here we calibrated the response (deviation $\lt \pm 3$ arcsec) are remarkably homovarious instruments on a calibration line covering the measure- geneous. The observed temperature differences remain below ment range of the continuous recordings. Calibration devi- 0.05 mK. ations observed between different instruments were smaller Therefore, we conclude that Scintrex CG-3M gravimeters in than 5μ Gal. The details and results of these computations are a differential mode can allow the detection of gravity field time discussed later in this paper. variations with an accuracy up to 5–15 µGal over time periods

tal effects and for the calibration discrepancies determined as particularly favourable conditions, is slightly better than the described above, differences in the recorded parameters (gravity actual resolution classically obtained in field conditions (Rymer field, standard error, temperature, tilts) between instruments 1989; Torge 1989). This study was performed with the standard were computed over the same time period. Figs 15(a) and (b) seismic-noise filter. We might expect more accurate results show the differences observed for gravimeters 9002136 and using the filter proposed in 1997 by Scintrex for the new

be easily eliminated by applying a difference between residual differential measurements over more than a month of In order to analyse these differential signals, the instruments instrument responses are more homogeneous. If the high-

Using residual signals properly corrected for the instrumen- of several weeks. However, this accuracy, obtained under 9110193, respectively, using instrument 9408267 as a reference. CG-3/3M software. According to the technical specifications,

Figure 14. Gravity responses corrected for the atmospheric pressure using a standard value of 0.356 μGal hPa^{−1}. The dashed lines correspond to the signals filtered for high-frequency variations by applying a polynomial smoothing.

this new filter could decrease the noise of the gravity recording using Scintrex devices and the reference values (mean values by a factor of at least 5 times during periods of high seismicity. obtained in 1994 with fourteen LaCoste & Romberg gra-

The calibration factors of the gravimeters used in this study obtained with the different meters are very consistent: the were checked in the same measurement range using the cali-
calibration factors are lower than 1 and the residues observed bration line of the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures at each station have the same sign. This observation, already (BIPM), Sèvres, France. This line was established in 1994 made in the past (Jousset et al. 1995), could be related to a during the fourth intercomparison of absolute and relative slightly different sensitivity of the Scintrex instruments from gravimeters (Becker *et al.* 1995) using fourteen LaCoste & that of the LaCoste & Romberg gravimeters (magnetic effect).
Romberg relative gravimeters (D and G models). It includes In order to verify the homogeneity of the six stations and covers a measurement range of about 8 mGal. instruments, relative calibration coefficients were also com-Measurements were made on 1997 February 20 taking as a puted using one of the instruments (9408297) as a reference.

reference one of the BIPM absolute measurement stations. All The residual deviations observed between the reference one of the BIPM absolute measurement stations. All The residual deviations observed between the recalibrated measurement sites were used at least twice with four Scintrex devices and a reference defined as a mean measurement sites were used at least twice with four Scintrex devices and a reference defined as a mean over the four
CG-3M gravimeters. The measurements were corrected for the instruments are shown in Fig. 16(b) In this s CG-3M gravimeters. The measurements were corrected for the instruments are shown in Fig. 16(b). In this study, for the three
Earth tide, taking into account precise amplitude and phase instruments used in the computation o Earth tide, taking into account precise amplitude and phase instruments used in the computation of the differential signals, coefficients computed earlier for this site (Ducarme, personal the calibration deviations remain communication).

5.2 Calibration results 6 DISCUSSION

Calibration factors were computed by applying a linear The analysis of continuous data recorded on three Scintrex regression between the observed values obtained at each station CG-3M instruments allows us

© 1998 RAS, GJI **135,** 470–494

vimeters). Fig. 16(a) shows the residuals between these two sets **5 GRAVIMETER CALIBRATION** of values as observed at each station, as well as the calibration factors computed for the different meters. The largest difference **5.1 Set-up** between the values obtained on Scintrex instruments and the reference is about 0.015 mGal. It can be noticed that the results In order to verify the homogeneity of the Scintrex CG-3M

Figure 15. Differential instrumental responses for the gravimeters. (a) Responses corresponding to the differences between the signals acquired on gravimeters 9002136 and 9408297 at Bondy between 01/16/97 and 02/20/97: curve a, difference between the gravity signals; curve b, difference between the filtered gravity signals (see Fig. 14); curve c, difference between the measurement errors; curve d, difference between the tilt signals; curve e, difference between the thermal signals. (b) Responses corresponding to the difference between signals acquired on gravimeters 9110193 and 9408267 at Bondy between 01/16/97 and 02/20/97; curves a–e as in part (a).

instruments are used in a differential mode. tions of the temperature and the tilt. Nonetheless, as we have

sensitivity to atmospheric-pressure variations. In addition to the data series allows one to correct these effects.

(1) to define some of the instrument characteristics; this well-known direct influence, these variations can affect (2) to evaluate the accuracy of the measurements when the significantly the gravity signal through the automatic correcshown in this study, this dependence can be easily controlled Several aspects specific to the instrument characteristics and and corrected during the post-processing by looking for a accuracy can be discussed qualitatively and quantitatively. possible correlation with simultaneous weather recordings. In the same way, a long-term correlation exists between the gravimetric signal and the internal temperature of the device. **6.1 Instrumental artefacts** The thermal correction applied in real time by the Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeter cannot take into account such effects It has been noted that some instruments could show a strong revealed over longer periods of time; only a post-processing of

Figure 15. (Continued.)

It is necessary to determine the instrumental effects for each **6.2 Accuracy of the instrumental responses** instrument prior to any study based on continuous microgravity recordings that is intended to investigate temporal gravity The accuracy of the measurements made with the Scintrex variations with a geodynamic origin. The importance of simul- CG-3M gravimeters has been estimated with four instruments taneously acquiring the largest possible number of parameters in two utilization modes, as follows. affecting the quality of the instrument responses (atmospheric pressure, temperature, tilt, etc.) has already been pointed out (1) In-field discrete measurements: during the calibration be integrated in the next generation of microgravimeters. The 1997). recording of this parameter could then be used to correct (2) In continuous recording mode: this study shows that

© 1998 RAS, GJI **135,** 470–494

by Goodkind (1986). This point demonstrates the added value using the reference stations of the BIPM, an accuracy of about of the Scintrex $CG-3/3M$, which systematically records several 5μ Gal was obtained over a measurement range of 8 mGal. This of these parameters (temperature and tilt). Given the impor- result has been confirmed by other calibration surveys carried tance of the atmospheric pressure in this type of study, we out over wider ranges of measurement in the frame of microrecommend that sensors recording the surrounding pressure gravity studies applied to volcanology (Budetta & Carbone

gravity series in real time or at a later stage in the processing. several instruments can reveal time variations of small amplitude

(a) Reference : 14 LaCoste & Romberg meters (1994)

Figure 16. Calibration results for four gravimeters on the calibration baseline of the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (02/20/97). The coefficients k and sd are the computed gravimetric correction factor and the standard deviation in mGal of the adjustment respectively. The gravity values at the stations numbered 11–15 are referenced to an absolute gravity base station. (a) Deviations obtained with respect to a reference defined with 14 LaCoste & Romberg gravimeters in 1994 (reference defined during the fourth international comparison of absolute gravimeters). (b) Deviations obtained with respect to a reference defined as an average over four Scintrex CG-3M gravimeters (instrument 9408267 used as a reference).

(lower than 5μ Gal or 15μ Gal for time periods or a few **6.3** Long-term evolution of the instrumental drift days to a few weeks, respectively). This result is of particular interest in volcano monitoring, where microgravity variations It has been demonstrated that the instrumental drift of Scintrex can be observed over very short time periods before and after CG-3/3M gravimeters over time periods of several weeks can phases of activity (Rymer & Brown 1989; Rymer 1994, 1995; be modelled with a quadratic function. This implies that the Jousset et al. in press). A more general usage of microgravimeter wavelength of the gravity signal being recorded should not be networks should improve the detection capabilities for comparable to that of the quadratic signal characterizing the volcanic-eruption precursor signals and their understanding. instrumental drift. If this drift is stable enough, it should be

possible to study long-term gravity variations. In order to strated by the discrete drift values computed from the correquantify better the changes in the instrumental drift of the sponding continuous recordings (Fig. 17b). These values, Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeters over longer periods of time, defined over periods of several days, show a sharp variation the response of two instruments was analysed over time since between the 200th and 300th days of use, followed by a slower their date of acquisition. Gravity field values, recorded at the and more regular decrease of the drift rate of both instruments. same reference site in Bondy by gravimeters 9002136 and After several years, the values are about 0.25 mGal day⁻¹ and 9408267 are shown in Fig. 17(a). It can be noted that these 0.35 mGal day⁻¹ for instruments 9002136 and 9408267, respectinstruments have drifted by about 1070 mGal over 2400 days ively. These values are equivalent to those determined in the and 250 mGal over 700 days, respectively. The corresponding framework of this study (Fig. 4 and Table 1). The two instrudaily averages are 0.45 mGal and 0.36 mGal, respectively. This ments have different behaviours: they have drifted in opposite drift did not occur in a linear manner over time, as demon- directions since the first phase of utilization (inversion of the

Long term instrumental drift (CG-3M #9002136 & #9408267)

Figure 17. Long-term instrumental drift for the Scintrex CG-3M gravimeters. (a) Gravity field values measured at the reference site in Bondy with instruments 9002136 and 9408267 since their first use. The jump seen around day 1600 follows the opening of the sensor during a control by the manufacturer. (b) Corresponding instrumental drift values computed over continuous recording periods greater than or equal to three days.

Schematic view of the calibration tilt table

cross indicates the location of the mercury step-bearing. Axes x_1 and

drift for 9408267). These observations confirm that sharp variations in the instrumental drift can occur during the first year of use, as mentioned by the manufacturer (Scintrex 1995). In the long term, the evolution of the instrumental drift is more regular. If a regular instrument calibration is performed, gravimetric variations of longer periods could be also studied through continuous recordings.

6.4 Tiltmeter resolution

It was proved above that the accuracy of the tilt sensor was **Figure 18.** Schematic view of the tilt calibration table. The circled greater than the proposed standard resolution (1 arcsec). To cross indicates the location of the mercury step-bearing. Axes x_1 and check the sensitivity of the sensors to a small tilt variation, x_2 indicate the position of the gravimeter X axis during tests 1 and 2 one gravim x_2 indicate the position of the gravimeter X axis during tests 1 and 2 one gravimeter was placed on a calibration table normally shown in Fig. 19. used for the calibration of quartz tilt sensors (P. A. Blum, personal communication). The measurement system, shown in

Tilt meters response $(CG3-M #9002136)$

Figure 19. Results of an experimental test on the tilt response of the gravimeter 9002136 (sampling rate = 1 reading per 90 sec). Curve a, tilts induced in the X axis (test 1) and the Y axis (test 2) of the gravimeter (see Fig. 18). In both tests, the calibration table was tilted alternately from position 1 (tilt=0) to position 2 (tilt=4 μ rad). Curve b, tilt response of the gravity meter along the X axis. Curve c, tilt response of the gravity meter along the Y axis. Curve d, standard error of the gravity measurement series. Curve e, internal temperature of the gravimeter.

Fig. 18, includes a table whose tilt can be modified by a **6.5 Response to a high seismicity or microseismicity** mercury step-bearing located under one of the table feet. The tilt of the gravimeter was varied by an amplitude of 4 μ rad In order to acquire and interpret continuous data series (about 0.82 arcsec) in two perpendicular directions. For each recorded over active zones, it is necessary for the gravity sensor position, several gravity readings were taken in a continuous not to be perturbed by high-frequency or large-amplitude mode. Fig. 19 shows the results obtained by modifying the tilt variations that could be related to seismic or volcanic activity alternately along the X and the Y axis of the gravimeter. (local or regional earthquakes, volcanic tremors, etc.). The Despite a slight drift in time, the tiltmeter response is consistent behaviour of the Scintrex CG-3/3M gravimeters under such in time and amplitude with the induced tilt variations. The conditions has been verified during several tests. Fig. 20 shows average amplitude of this response is between 0.75 and 0.9 the response to a teleseismic event with a large magnitude arcsec. This corresponds to a deviation smaller than 10 per (8.2), recorded at the study site in Bondy. The event induces cent with respect to the amplitude of the calibration signal. a high-frequency signal with a large amplitude (more than The peaks observed in the tiltmeter response are correlated 0.3 mGal) and an increase by a factor 50 of the error in the with an increase of the errors made in the gravity measurements measurement series. However, this strong perturbation does (Fig. 19). These errors can be related to a tiltmeter response not induce a modification of the gravimeter response in the to a noise level that was temporarily higher because of the long term. Fig. 21 presents two examples of gravity recordings operator's presence and not to the instrumental noise of the made during a few hours on the Masaya volcano (Nicaragua) sensors. The recording of the internal temperature confirms during an eruption. In 1993, a new magmatic activity occurred that no important thermal variation occurred during this test. in this volcano, leading to the emergence of a lava lake inside

gravimeters are able to record tilt variations of the ground located in an active zone (Fig. 21a) near the active crater (less with a resolution of the order of a few urad. This is of particular than 200 m away) and at a station located in a stable zone interest for geodynamic purposes, where major deformations about 3 km away from the crater (Fig. 21b). The recordings of the ground surface could be recorded in a continuous mode show a stable signal even within close range of the active zone. with this type of instrument. Thus, this parameter can be used Moreover, in this area, the measurement noise is of the order both for correcting the gravity signal and for detecting major of ± 0.01 mGal; that is, two times greater than that recorded tilt variations occurring in active zones. Of course, a more at the station further away, where the attenuation is stronger. precise calibration of these sensors would require a more It is obvious that this difference in the noise level between sophisticated analysis of each of the tilt devices. active and stable areas is a limiting factor in the accuracy of

This result suggests that the tiltmeters of the Scintrex CG-3M one of the top craters. The signals were recorded at a station

Scintrex CG-3M gravity recording of earthquake (Bondy: 48.915N, 2.486E)

Figure 20. Response of gravimeter 9002136 to a teleseismic event with a large magnitude (8.2), recorded in Bondy (48.915°N, 2.486°E). The residual gravity signal was obtained after removal of a precise Earth tide model and of a linear drift. It can be seen that no significant change occurs in the gravity response (drift value, accuracy) due to the recording of this perturbing signal. (a) Gravity response (sampling rate=1 reading per 120 s). (b) Corresponding standard error in gravity measurements.

Scintrex CG-3M gravity recordings on active volcano (Masaya, Nicaragua - November 1993)

Figure 21. Response of gravimeter 9002136 to a high microseismic activity during a volcanic-activity crisis on the Masaya volcano (Nicaragua) in November 1993 (lava lake activity). The gravity meter was set up for continuous recording on the volcano at two different sites to evaluate its behaviour for continuous recording close to an active volcanic area (sampling rate=1 reading per 60 s). The gravity signals, shown with their standard errors, were corrected using precise Earth tide and drift corrections. The high noise level close to the active crater does not affect the stability of the gravity response compared to that recorded at a remote station. (a) Signal recorded in the active zone, less than 0.2 km away from the crater. (b) Signal recorded in a stable zone, 3 km away from the crater.

As we mentioned earlier, the use of the new seismic filter now between 5 and 10μ Gal could be reached because of the linearity available on new versions of the CG-3/3M might decrease of the instrumental drift over this time interval. The results of significantly this limit for highly seismic areas. the tests on the intrinsic resolution of the tiltmeters show that

instruments have been studied on the basis of continuous time- ease of use of the Scintrex CG-3M gravimeter offer wide series recorded on these new instruments. These meters, placed potentialities. This is particularly true for in-field continuous in similar measurement conditions over several weeks, display recording of gravity variations whose origins are geodynamical relatively homogeneous responses, despite instrumental effects or meteorological and whose amplitudes are greater than or due mainly to the influence of the atmospheric pressure. It has equal to a few tens of μ Gal. For smaller-amplitude phenomena, been shown that these effects can easily be corrected during absolute gravimeters or relative devices of the superconducting the data post-processing if simultaneous pressure recordings type will be preferred because of their higher resolution and are available. By using networks of microgravity meters simul- their smaller instrumental drift. These results are particularly taneously recording over several weeks, residual variations relevant to the application of microgravimetry to volcanology could be measured with an accuracy between 10 and 15 μ Gal. to detect variations with time of the gravity field, where

the differential recordings that can be obtained in active zones. Over shorter periods of time (several days), an accuracy important variations of the ground surface (greater than a **FEW 19 CONCLUSIONS** Few tens of μ rad) can also be detected with devices set up *Permanently* on site.

Some of the instrumental responses of several Scintrex CG-3M The study confirms that the technical characteristics and the

amplitudes from several tens to several hundreds of μ Gal and Soufriere (Guadeloupe), AGU Chapman Conference on Microgenerated by magmatic activity are often observed. Because gal Gravimetry: Instruments, Observations & Applications, San these variations can precede or occur iointly with eruntive Augustin. Florida. these variations can precede or occur jointly with eruptive *Augustin, Florida.*

Ducarme, B. & Somerhausen, A., 1997. Tidal gravity recording at phases, the use of permanent networks of autonomous Scintrex

CG-3M gravimeters co-located with permanent GPS stations

should allow a better continuous monitoring of the volcanoes.

The acquisition of numerical data with

We thank Bernard Ducarme from the Royal Observatory of *J. Geodyn.*, 19, 141–157.

Belgium for his help in the determination of accurate Earth

tide corrections, G. Juste from ORSTOM and P. A. Blum

from the Institut de P from the Institut de Physique du Globe, Paris, for their
technical support, and the Bureau International des Poids et and induced gravity changes, J. geophys. Res, 96, 20257–20265. Mesures for access to the reference gravity sites. We thank Hinderer, J., Crossley, D. & Xu, H., 1994. A two years comparison Florence Rivière and an anonymous reviewer for improving between the French and Canadian superconducting gravimeter data, the manuscript. We have also benefited from fruitful discussions Geophys. J. Int., **116,** 252–266. with Ivo Brcic and Peter Mistry. The figures presented in the Hugill, A., 1990. The Scintrex CG-3M Autograv automated gravity paper were produced with the GMT 3.0 software (Wessel & meter, description and field results, SEG Conference, San Francisco. Smith 1995). This study was supported by the French national Jousset, P., 1996. Microgravimetrie et gravimetrie en volcanologie:

programme PNRN the TOA/RED Department of ORSTOM methodologie et application au volcan Mérapi programme PNRN, the TOA/RED Department of ORSTOM méthodologie et application au volcan and the Institute de Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP Thèse de Doctorat de l'université Paris 6. and the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP Thèse de Doctorat de l'université Paris 6.
contribution No. 1556). Jousset, P., Van Ruymbeke, M., Bonvalot, S. and Diament, M., 1995.
Performance of two Scintrex CG3-M g

- Kopaev, A., Liard, J., Marson, I., Meurers, B., Nowak, I., Nakai, S., Geotherm. Res. Szatmari, S., Van Ruymbeke, M., Wenzel, H.G., Wilmes, H., error, J. Environ. Eng. Geophys., in press. Zucchi, M. & Zürn, W., 1995. Microgravimetric measurements at LaCoste & Romberg, 1991. Instruction Manual, Model G and D Gravity the 1994 International Absolute Gravimeter Intercomparison in *Meters*, Austin, TX, USA.
Sèvres, France, *Metrologia*, 32, 145–152. LaCoste & Romberg 1996
- Berrino, G., Rymer, H., Brown, G.C. & Corrado, G., 1992. Gravity-
height correlations for unrest at calderas, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res.

I ambeck K 1981. Some geodet
- Berrino, G., d'Oreye, N., Ducarme, B., Somerhausen, A. & Van Dynamics, pp. 87–102, eds Gaposchkin, E.M. & Kolaczek, B., Reidel,
Ruymbeke, M., 1995. Monitoring tides and gravity at Mount Etna, Dordrecht.
Cahiers du Centre E
- Bonvalot, S., Métaxian, J.P., Gabalda, G. & Pérez, O., 1995. Gravity

and GPS studies at Masaya Volcano (Nicaragua): structural model-

ing and monitoring volcanic activity, IUGG XXI General Assembly,

Bonvalot, S., Diamen
-
-
- trials on Mt. Etna, Sicily, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res., 76, 199–214.
De Meyer, F., Ducarme, B. & Elwahabi, A., 1995. Continous gravity UCL Press, London.
observations at Mount Etna (Sicily) *HIGG XXI General Assembly* Rymer, observations at Mount Etna (Sicily), IUGG XXI General Assembly,
- Diament, M., Jousset, P., Dwipa, S., Duquesnoy, T. & Beauducel, F., 1995. Microgravity and elevation variations monitoring on Merapi Rymer, H. & Brown G.C., 1989. Gravity changes as a precursor to
- Diament, M., Bonvalot, S., Jousset, P., Deplus, C. & Gabalda, G., 902–905. 1997. Microgravity surveys on some active volcanoes: Piton de la Scintrex, 1995. User's Guide: CG-3/3M Gravity Meter, Scintrex Ltd, Fournaise (Reunion), Merapi (Indonesia), Masaya (Nicaragua) Concord, Ontario, Canada.

-
-
-
- ations of gravity, J. geophys. Res., **91,** 9125–9134.
- Groten, E. & Becker, M., 1995. Methods and experiences of high ACKNOWLEDGMENTS precision gravimetry as a tool for crustal movement detection,
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
- International Absolute Intercomparison, Sèvres, France, Metrologia, **REFERENCES** 32, 231–244.

Jousset, P., Dwipa, S., Beauducel, F., Duquesnoy, T. & Diament, M.,
- Becker, M., Balestri, L., Bartell, R., Berrino, G., Bonvalot, S., in press. Temporal gravity at Merapi during the 1993–1995 crisis: Diament, M., D'Errico, M., Gerstenecker, C., Gagnon, C., Jousset, P., an insight into the dynamical behaviour of volcanoes, J. Volc.
	- Rehren, F., Richter, B., Schnüll, M., Somerhausen, A., Spita, W., Kauffmann, R.D. & Doll, W.E. Gravity meter comparison and circular
		-
		- LaCoste & Romberg, 1996. Instruction Manual, Portable Earth Tide
	- height correlations for unrest at calderas, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res., Lambeck, K., 1981. Some geodetic aspects of the plate tectonics
53. 11–26. https://www.facilitate. Sustains for Earth **53,** 11–26. hypothesis (review), in Reference Coordinate Systems for Earth
- Cahiers du Centre Europeen de Geodynamique et de Sismologie, **8,** Longman, I.M., 1959. Formulas for computing the tidal acceleration due to the moon and the sun, J. geophys. Res., **64,** 2351–2355. Bonvalot, S., Métaxian, J
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- Boulder, CO.

	S. Duquespoy T. & Beauducel F. (1990) under the Content M. Journal evolution J. Volc. Geotherm. Res. 27, 229-254.
	- Volcano (Indonesia), IUGG XXI General Assembly, Boulder CO. volcanic eruption at Poas volcano, Costa Rica, Nature, **342,**
		-
- resolution, land gravimeter with worldwide range, in A Guide to for gravity meters., J. geophys. Res., 91, 10463–10469.
High Precision Land Gravimeter Surveys, Seigel, H.O. Scintrex Ltd. Van Ruymbeke, M., 1985. Transformat High Precision Land Gravimeter Surveys, Seigel, H.O. Scintrex Ltd,
- Tilling, R.I., 1989. Measures of little gravity, Nature, **342,** 862–863. 6202–6228.

Torge, W., 1981. Gravity and height variations connected with the Warburton, R.J. & Goodkind, J.M., 1977. The influence of barometric current rifting episode in northern Iceland, *Tectonophysics*, **71,** pressure variations 227–240. Wessel, P. & Smith, W.H.F., 1995. New version of the Generic

-
- Seigel, H.O., Brcic, I. & Mistry, P., 1993. A high precision, μ Gal Vaillant, H.D., 1986. An inherently linear electrostatic feedback method
	- Concord Ontario, Canada. **gravimeters in feedback systems**, Bull. Info. Marées Terrestres, 93,
		- pressure variations on gravity, Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc., 48, 281–292.
- Torge, W., 1989. Gravimetry, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin. Mapping Tools released, EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Un., 76, p329.