



**HAL**  
open science

## Suspended particulate matter determines physical speciation of Fe, Mn, and trace metals in surface waters of Loire watershed

Mohamed Baalousha, Serge Stoll, Mikael Motelica-Heino, Nathalie Guigues, Gilles Braibant, Frederic Huneau, Philippe Le Coustumer

► **To cite this version:**

Mohamed Baalousha, Serge Stoll, Mikael Motelica-Heino, Nathalie Guigues, Gilles Braibant, et al.. Suspended particulate matter determines physical speciation of Fe, Mn, and trace metals in surface waters of Loire watershed. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2019, 26 (6), pp.5251-5266. 10.1007/s11356-018-1416-5 . insu-01706563

**HAL Id: insu-01706563**

**<https://insu.hal.science/insu-01706563>**

Submitted on 12 Feb 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1                   **Suspended particulate matter determines physical speciation of Fe,**  
2  
3  
4                   **Mn and trace metals in surface waters of Loire watershed**

5  
6  
7                   **Mohamed Baalousha<sup>1\*</sup>, Serge Stoll<sup>2</sup>, Mikaël Motelica-Heino<sup>3</sup>, Nathalie**  
8                                   **Guigues<sup>4</sup>, Gilles Braibant<sup>5</sup>,**  
9                                   **Frédéric Huneau<sup>6,7</sup> and Philippe Le Coustumer<sup>8,9,10\*\*</sup>**

10  
11  
12  
13                   1 Center for Environmental Nanoscience and Risk, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South  
14                   Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA, 29201

15                   2 Université de Genève, Faculté des Sciences, Institut Forel, Uni Vogt, 66 Bd Carl Vogt, 1211 Genève 4,  
16                   Switzerland

17                   3 ISTO, UMR 7327 CNRS-Université d'Orléans, France

18                   4 LNE, 1 rue Gaston Boissier, 75015 Paris, France

19                   5 BRGM, 3 av. Claude Guillemin, 45071 Orléans, France

20                   6 Université de Corse Pascal Paoli, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Campus Grimaldi, BP 52, F-20250  
21                   Corte, FRANCE

22                   7 CNRS UMR 6134 SPE, Laboratoire d'Hydrogéologie Campus Grimaldi, BP 52, F-20250 Corte, FRANCE

23                   8 CNRS- Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, UMR5254 IPREM/, Technopôle Hélioparc, 2 av Pdt P.  
24                   Angot 64053 Pau cédex09, France

25                   9 Université Bordeaux Montaigne EA 4592 Géoressources & Environnement, ENSEGID, 1 allée F. Daguin,  
26                   33607 Pessac, France

27                   10 Université de Bordeaux, UF Sciences de la Terre & de l'Environnement B.18, Allée Geoffroy Saint Hilaire  
28                   33615 Pessac Cedex, France CEDEX

29  
30  
31  
32  
33                   Corresponding author:

34                   \*mbaalous@mailbox.sc.edu

35                   \*\*philippe.le-coustumer@u-bordeaux.fr

## Abstract

This study investigates the spatiotemporal variability of major and trace elements, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), total dissolved solids (TDS), and suspended particulate matter (SPM) in surface waters of several hydrosystems of the Loire River watershed in France. In particular, this study aims to delineate the impact of the abovementioned water physicochemical parameters on natural iron and manganese physical speciation (homoaggregation/heteroaggregation) among fine colloidal and dissolved (< 10 nm), colloidal (10 nm -450 nm) and particulate (> 450 nm) phases in Loire River watershed. Results show that the chemistry of the Loire River watershed is controlled by two end members: magmatic and metamorphic petrographic context on the upper part of the watershed; and sedimentary rocks for the middle and low part of the Loire. The percentage of particulate Fe and Mn increased downstream concurrent with the increase in SPM and major cations concentration, whereas the percentage of colloidal Fe and Mn decreased downstream. Transmission electron microscopy analyses of the colloidal and particulate fractions (from the non-filtered water sample) revealed that heteroaggregation of Fe and Mn rich natural nanoparticles and natural organic matter to the particulate phase is the dominant mechanism. The heteroaggregation controls the partitioning of Fe and Mn in the different fractions, potentially due to the increase in the ionic strength, and divalent cations concentration downstream, and SPM concentration. These findings imply that SPM concentration plays an important role in controlling the fate and behavior of Fe and Mn in various sized fractions.

## Keywords

Natural iron and manganese, suspended particle matter, natural nanoparticles, colloids, physical speciation, hetero-aggregation, surface waters, Loire River watershed

## 1. Introduction

Rock weathering determines the geochemical parameters (*e.g.* ionic strength, major elements, minor elements, suspended particulate matter, etc.) of continental surface waters. These parameters control the geochemical cycle of elements at the Earth surface. Rock weathering releases dissolved elements, colloids and particles to rivers and finally discharges them into oceans (Garrels and MacKenzie 1971; Alloway 1990).

The forms in which a metal ion exist (speciation) determine its geochemical and biological cycling in the environment (Benson et al 2013). The importance of organic and inorganic colloids in the dissolved fraction ( $< 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ , including natural nanoparticles  $< 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ ) and suspended particulate matter (SPM  $> 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ ) in trace metals bioavailability, toxicity, fate and transport is largely recognized (Horowitz et al 1987; Jaïry et al 1999; Vignati and Dominik 2003). The partitioning of a metal among truly dissolved, colloidal and particulate matter is an important process in aquatic systems, particularly with respect to metal transport and their retention in sediments (Gaboury and Tim 1999; Ciszewski and Grygar 2016). It is now widely accepted that dissolved organic matter (DOM), colloids and SPM concentrations such as Al, Fe and Mn oxyhydroxides are key parameters that may control the speciation of trace metals in the environment (Luoma, and Bryan 1981; Lion et al 1982; Tessier et al 1985; Gagnon et al 2009). However, the mechanisms controlling particulate matter interaction with these elements are still poorly understood. Sorption of dissolved Fe and Mn on SPM and heteroaggregation of nanoscale and colloidal Fe and Mn phases with SPM may result in the removal of Fe and Mn from the dissolved and colloidal fractions to the particulate phase. Organic matter (fulvic - humic acids, exopolymers, polysaccharides etc.) can both promote or reduce the heteroaggregation rates depending on water physicochemical properties *i.e.*, presence of divalent cations, ionic strength, etc. (Lossli et al 2015; Oriekhova and Stoll 2015)

Fe and Mn oxy-hydroxides are effective scavengers for trace metals (Honeyman and Santschi 1988; Brown et al 1999; Tessier et al 1996; Fuller and Harvey 2000; Lyven et al, 2003). Several field studies in aquatic environments demonstrated that trace metal partitioning was dominated by Fe and Mn oxides (Galan et al 2003; Wen et al 1997), especially that of Pb (Bird 2003; Baalousha et al 2006). Moreover, experimental and modeling studies illustrated the preponderant role of Fe and Mn oxides in trace metal sorption (Johnson 1986; Trivedi and Ave 2000; Dong et al 2003). Iron occurs to a large extent as colloidal hydrous iron oxides in rivers (Kinniburgh et al 1976; Mill 1980; Hong and Kester 1985). Hydrous iron oxides can be in the order of  $0.001 \mu\text{m}$  when they initially form in stream waters. However, such small particles rapidly aggregate, forming continuous size range from  $0.001 \mu\text{m}$  to greater than  $1 \mu\text{m}$  (Stumm and Morgan 1996; Palomino and Stoll 2013). In some freshwater systems, 'dissolved', *i.e.* filterable iron is present as organically stabilized iron oxide colloids. Adsorption of iron oxy-hydroxides and organic carbon onto clay minerals is well documented, and is dependent on the pH of the water (Boyle et al 1977; Cameron and Liss 1984; Thurman 1985; Stumm and Morgan 1996). However, mechanisms controlling the interaction between iron oxides, organic carbon and particulate matter are still poorly understood. The properties of mineral constituents at the solution interface are usually modified by surface coatings (especially by organic matter and/or Fe oxy-hydroxides). These coatings might have a crucial

1 influence on colloids and particles diffusion, flocculation, aggregation as well as their  
2 interaction with trace elements and microfauna (Ledin et al 1995; Pedro et al 2011; Hartland  
3 et al 2013).

4 This study aims to (i) investigate the partitioning of natural Fe and Mn among the  
5 colloidal and particulate phases in the Loire River watershed, and (ii) identify the mechanism  
6 controlling Fe, Mn and trace metal distribution among the different fractions.  
7

## 8 9 **2. Materials and methods**

### 10 11 **2.1. Study area: geological and hydrological context**

12 The Loire River, from its source in the Massif Central to the Atlantic Ocean, is 1010  
13 km long (Fig. 1) and has a total basin area of 117 800 km<sup>2</sup>. The Loire River basin is composed  
14 of three geological units. Firstly, the upper Loire includes the Allanche, Alagnon, Allier and  
15 Loire Rivers from their source in the Massif Central to Nevers. The bedrock composition of  
16 the upper Loire comprises older plutonic rocks (granite, gneiss, and mica schist dated from  
17 500 to 300 My); and a large volcanic area that represents 46% of the total basin surface  
18 (Clozier et al 1976, 1983; Delance et al 1988). Secondly, the middle Loire includes the Cher,  
19 the Indre, and the Vienne tributaries from Nevers to the main confluence. In the middle Loire,  
20 the sedimentary series of Paris Basin consists primarily of carbonate deposits, dated from 200  
21 to 6 My (BRGM 1996). Thirdly, the lower Loire includes the main river confluence up to the  
22 estuary, and does not concern this study. Generally, the Loire River watershed is  
23 characterized by two contrasted hydrological periods with an annual recurrence (Grosbois et  
24 al 2000), which is typical of temperate west European watersheds. These periods are  
25 seasonally marked with: (a) a low flow during warm months in summer from May to October,  
26 and (b) a high flow in winter and spring, generally from October to April.  
27

28 The Loire River is one of the main European riverine inputs ( $26 \cdot 10^9 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) of water  
29 to the Atlantic Ocean. The solid load ranges from  $10^6 \text{ t yr}^{-1}$  (Figueres et al 1985) to  $4.3 \cdot 10^6 \text{ t}$   
30  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  (Négrelet et al 1997), with the SPM representing 3% of the total suspended sediment load  
31 for rivers draining Western Europe based on the weighted average of the Seine, Oder, Vistula,  
32 Rhine, and Garonne Rivers (Milliman and Meade 1983).  
33

34 Previous geochemical investigations on the Loire River were focused on studying the  
35 temporal variations of dissolved and suspended loads with regard to natural and  
36 anthropogenic inputs in the middle part of the Loire River watershed near Orleans and Tours  
37 on the Loire River (Négrelet et Grosbois 1999; Négrelet et al 2000; Grosbois et al 2000 and  
38 2001). However, the present study focuses on the upper and middle Loire catchment area  
39 from Massif Central to Chatillon. This study area has been chosen because the Loire River  
40 and its tributaries drain contrasted geological areas characterized by magmatic and  
41 metamorphic bedrock on one side and sedimentary bedrock on the other side. Furthermore,  
42 rivers overrun variable anthropogenic sources of major and trace elements such as former  
43 mining area, industrial cities, waste water treatment plants, and agricultural areas. Such  
44 context leads to deliver and concentrate anthropic contaminants into the Loire River and its  
45 contributories (Dhivert et al 2013).  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 2.2. Sampling Collection and Preparation

Eight samples were collected during three sampling campaign (Septt-2003, April-2004 and Septt-2004) at selected sites on the Loire River itself and its upper tributaries (Fig. 1, Table 1 and Table 2). They represent the contribution of 34% of the total Loire River basin, corresponding to a drainage area of  $40.10^3 \text{ km}^2$ . This zone is composed of 76% magmatic and metamorphic rocks and 24% sedimentary formations (Grosbois et al 2000 and 2001). Firstly, a small stream (Cézerat) draining peat bogs was sampled in the vicinity of Allanche (Fig. 1). Secondly, the Allanche stream was sampled at Allanche village. The Allanche watershed located in the center-west of the Massif Central covers  $160 \text{ Km}^2$ , its maximum altitude is 1400 m, and the River is 29 Km long from headwaters to the outlet. The Allanche watershed bedrocks comprise volcanic rocks (basalts) overlain by a variable thickness of derived soils (De Goër De Herve and Tempier 1988). Thirdly, the Alagnon River was sampled correspondingly at Massiac, Brugeilles, and Charbonnier. The Massiac mineral district was intensively mined for Sb, As, and Pb, during the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries (De Goër De Herve and Tempier, 1988). The fourth sampling area included three sampling points on the Allier and Loire Rivers, which were sampled downstream big cities such as Vichy on the Allier; and Nevers and Chatillon on the Loire River. In the Allier River, the main formations are gravels and sand from granites and basalts originated from the Massif Central. The carbonates from the Limagne d'Allier zone can also be another potential source of material (Kroonenberg et al 1988). Finally, the Loire River was sampled at Chatillon, roughly 12 km downstream of the Belleville nuclear Power Station.

The three sampling campaigns represent two contrasted hydrological situations. The campaigns of Septt-2003 and Septt-2004 represent low water discharge conditions. The campaign of April 2004 represents high water discharge conditions. Average annual discharge show high contrast between the different rivers as reported in Table 2. The smallest river flow discharge was  $1.23 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and the largest river discharge was  $355 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . Near the city of Chatillon, large variations in water discharge were observed between summer low flow conditions (around  $50 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and winter high flow conditions  $> 1000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Négrel et al 2000).

Samples were collected from rivers near the middle of the stream; either using a 25 L plastic bucket (polyethylene, MANUTAN, France) at low flow rate or a pump at high flow rate. The collected waters were immediately refrigerated between 2 – 10 °C before analysis

Water samples were filtered on site and within two hours of sampling, through  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  (Teflon filters, Durapore, Millipore, USA) and  $0.01 \mu\text{m}$  filters (Cellulose Nitrate, Millipore, USA) with nitrogen pressure. This filtration process enables the differentiation between three operationally defined size fractions *i.e.* colloidal fraction C1 (10-450 nm), fine colloids and truly dissolved fraction C2 ( $< 10 \text{ nm}$ ) and a particulate fraction P ( $> 450 \text{ nm}$ ). Nitrogen pressure was monitored during filtration process and filters were immediately replaced in case of pressure increase to avoid filter clogging and thus reduction in filter pore size. To prevent cross-contamination, the system was cleaned before each filtration by flushing 100-200 ml of MilliQ water (Millipore, USA) and the first 50 ml of the sample filtrate was systematically discarded.

Filtered solutions for major cations ( $< 450 \text{ nm}$ , 50 ml) and trace element analyses ( $< 450 \text{ nm}$  and  $< 10 \text{ nm}$ , 50 mL each) were acidified ( $\text{pH} < 2$ ) with ultrapure  $\text{HNO}_3$  and stored in

1 polyethylene bottles (ELVETEC, France). All bottles were previously washed in the  
2 laboratory with ultra-pure 10% HNO<sub>3</sub>, followed by MilliQ water and stored in MilliQ water  
3 until use. Samples for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analyses were collected in 20 ml glass  
4 bottles (ELVETEC, France), previously washed in the laboratory using a detergent (TFD4,  
5 Franklab, France) and then heated at 500 °C for 2 hours to remove any trace of organic  
6 carbon. Blanks were performed to control the level of pollution induced by sampling,  
7 conditioning, filtration and storage. Organic carbon blanks of filtrate never exceeded 0.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>.  
8 Concentrations of major elements in blanks were in the range 0.05 to 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and  
9 concentrations of Fe and Mn were in the range of 0.1-0.2 µg l<sup>-1</sup> respectively. All samples were  
10 stored in dark cold conditions between at 4 °C until analysis.  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **2.3. Physicochemical water parameters and alkalinity**

16 Physicochemical parameters such as temperature, pH, conductivity, and dissolved  
17 oxygen were measured in-situ or on-site when it was not possible to perform in-situ  
18 measurement because of inaccessibility to water stream. The pH was measured using a  
19 Mettler Toledo MP 120 pH meter. Calibration was carried out every day using 4.0 and 7.0  
20 buffers. The accuracy of pH measurements was 0.05 pH units at pH 7.0. The temperature was  
21 measured using a Platinum probe integrated with the pH electrode. The accuracy of  
22 temperature measurements was 0.5°C. The conductivity was measured using a Jenway 4200  
23 conductimeter. The calibration of the conductimetric cell was carried out at the laboratory at  
24 25°C, using a KCl 0.01M solution. A correction factor of 2% was used to estimate river water  
25 conductivity at 25°C. The accuracy of the conductivity measurements was 1%. The dissolved  
26 oxygen was measured using a Jenway 9200 oxymeter. The calibration of the dissolved  
27 oxygen probe was carried out in saturated atmosphere with water (100 %) before each  
28 measurement. The accuracy of dissolved oxygen measurements was 5 %. Alkalinity in the  
29 dissolved fraction was also determined on-site by a means of potentiometric titration with HCl  
30 0.1 M to pH = 4 and using the Gran method to estimate the equivalent concentration.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 **2.4. Chemical Analysis**

40 Chemical analysis was performed in the laboratory using different techniques as  
41 follows. Aqueous silica concentrations were determined by colorimetry with an uncertainty of  
42 5% using a Diode array spectrophotometer (HP 8452A). Major anion (Cl, SO<sub>4</sub>, and NO<sub>3</sub>), and  
43 cations (Ca, Mg, Na, and K) concentrations were measured by ion chromatography (Dionex  
44 4500) with an uncertainty of 5% RSD. Trace elements (Fe, Mn, Ni, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Sb, Ba,  
45 Pb, U) were measured without pre-concentration by ICP-MS (PQ3, VG). The detection limits  
46 for the different trace elements are in the range 0.05-0.1 µg/l and uncertainty associated was  
47 10 % RSD. Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) was analyzed using a Carbon Total Analyzer  
48 (Shimadzu TOC 5000) with an uncertainty of 10% RSD. Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM)  
49 was determined by weighing 0.45 µm filters. The uncertainty of this method was 5%. The  
50 Total Dissolved Salts (TDS) was calculated using the formula : TDS  
51 = [Ca] + [Mg] + [Na] + [K] + [Cl] + [HCO<sub>3</sub>] + [SO<sub>4</sub>] + [SiO<sub>2</sub>].  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 2.5. Colloids and particulate matter analysis

TEM analyses of selected water samples were performed using a Philips CM20 equipped with an X-EDS detector from the EDAX Company. Samples for TEM-X-EDS analyses were prepared directly from the non-filtered sample (all range of size is covered) by depositing a droplet of sample onto a TEM grid covered by a holey carbon film (Electron Microscopy Sciences, PA, USA) for 30 minutes to allow water evaporation; followed by intensive washing with deionized water to remove excess salts and this prevent salt crystallization. The TEM/X-EDS parameters are the following. For main modes such as Contrasted Bright Field (CBF) and Lattice Fringes (LF), 200KeV accelerating voltage and emission 1 upon a scale of 6 to minimize the degradation under the electron flux, a C2 aperture of 200  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter, objective diaphragm of 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . For X-EDS analysis, nanoprobe is used with a C2 aperture of 60  $\mu\text{m}$ , parallel electron beam to generate a size probe between 100 and 200  $\mu\text{m}$  of diameter, a collecting time of 120s with a dead time between 15 and 20% to allow the optimal signal detection. The X-EDS data are semi-qualitative only due to the lack of internal calibration to fix the user k factor.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Hydrogeological context

Conductivity, SPM, TDS, Si, major cations ( $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ), major anions ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ), and trace elements (Sr, Ba, Rb, Sb, As, Ni, Cu, Pb, Fe, and Mn) follow the same geochemical behavior during two contrasted flow conditions. They present lower concentrations under high flow conditions (April-2004), which may be related to the dilution effect. This behavior was observed for the Loire River at Orleans in other studies (Grosbois et al 2000 and 2001), the Seine River (Roy et al 1999), and for the Humber River in the United Kingdom (Neal et al 1997; Jarvie et al 1997). These authors suggest that all these elements are derived from rivers' bedrock weathering or point sources. They also found that, most major ions exhibit dilution effect with flow increase (as observed in the Humber River), with higher concentrations at low flow compared with high flow conditions. They related this behavior to the predominance of point sources (effluent) and/or by weathering which are the main sources of major ions. Only  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations show higher values during high flow conditions than during low flow conditions. This indicates the likely influence of agricultural runoff (fertilizers application). The increased river flow in Apr-04 indicates larger runoff, and therefore, a greater leaching from the soil (Meybeck 1986). A similar behavior of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the Humber River has been observed by Jarvie et al (1997), which was attributed to diffuse sources, possibly derived from agricultural runoff.

### 3.2. Physicochemical parameters

Physicochemical parameters (pH,  $\text{O}_2$ , T, Conductivity, and Alkalinity) are reported in Table 2. The pH varied in a narrow range around (7.8-8.4) for all samples except for Brugeilles (8.9 in Septt-2004). These pH values are representative of the Loire River watershed and in agreement with the range measured in previous studies on the Loire River at Orleans. Dissolved  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations were around the saturation level, which is well known

1 for surface water samples (Grosbois et al 2000 and 2001). Temperature increased generally  
2 from Cézerat to Chatillon, *i.e.* from higher to lower altitude (1028-129 m). River temperature  
3 is lower in April, roughly by 7-11°C, reflecting the colder temperature during the beginning  
4 of the spring season in France. Conductivity and alkalinity increased from upstream to  
5 downstream, which may be related to lithological variations: the Allanche and Alagnon  
6 Rivers drain magmatic and metamorphic rocks, while the Allier and Loire Rivers drain  
7 sedimentary formations.  
8  
9

### 10 **3.3. SPM, TDS, and DOC**

11 SPM has very low concentrations (Table 2) in the Allanche, and Alagnon Rivers (*ca.* <  
12 5 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), while SPM has higher concentrations in the range of 8-9 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in the Allier at  
13 Nevers and 6-25 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in the Loire River at Chatillon. Total dissolved salts (TDS)  
14 concentrations increase from upstream to downstream with a sudden increase from  
15 Charbonnier to Vichy (*i.e.* the transfer from magmatic and metamorphic bedrock to the  
16 sedimentary bedrock). TDS concentrations (Table 2) in the upstream part at Vichy to  
17 Chatillon (183-229 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) are of the same order of TDS concentrations observed in other  
18 rivers in France, such as: 174 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for the upstream of the Garonne River (Probst and  
19 Bazerbachi 1986; Audry et al 2004), 460 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for the Seine River (Roy 1996; Roy et al  
20 1999), and 600 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for the Rhine River (Meybeck and Ragu 1996). The higher TDS and  
21 SPM values in samples collected from the Allier and the Loire Rivers relative to those  
22 collected from the Allanche and Alagnon Rivers are presumably related to lithology  
23 variations: the Allanche and Alagnon Rivers drain magmatic and metamorphic, while the  
24 Allier and Loire River drain sedimentary bedrocks. One of the major characteristics of the  
25 Loire River watershed is that, the TDS dominates the SPM with TDS/SPM ratio in the range  
26 9-61. This ratio shows no correlation to lithological variations in the Loire River watershed  
27 (Table 2).  
28  
29

30 Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations (Table 2) vary in a restraint range of  
31 2.4-3.4 and 2.5-3.6 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in Septt-03 and April-04, respectively. However, in Sept-04 DOC  
32 concentrations vary in the range of 3.0-3.7 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for Allanche, Massiac, Brugeilles, and  
33 Chatillon on one hand and 4.9-9.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for Charbonnier, Vichy, and Nevers on the other  
34 hand. DOC concentrations are not affected by lithology and they are comparable to average  
35 world river concentrations 4-6 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (Berner and Berner 1996). The exceptional increase in  
36 DOC concentration at Charbonnier, Vichy, and Nevers in Septt-04 is presumably attributed to  
37 the higher biological production at these sites, where abundant algae were observed during  
38 sample collection.  
39  
40

### 41 **3.4. Major cations and anions**

42 The order of abundance of the major cations is Ca<sup>2+</sup>>Na<sup>+</sup>>Mg<sup>2+</sup>>K<sup>+</sup> (Table 2). This  
43 behavior is in agreement with the results obtained by Grosbois et al (2000) over two years  
44 study (1994-1996). Na, K, and Mg concentrations (Table 2) increase from Cézerat to Vichy,  
45 and then, they show a stable tendency from Vichy to Chatillon. However, Ca concentrations  
46 show slight increase from Cézerat to Charbonnier, followed by a higher increase from Vichy  
47 to Chatillon. The comparison between the major cations (Mg *vs.* Ca *vs.* Na + K) (Fig. 2)  
48 suggest that, the major cations (Na, K, Ca, Mg) are rather equally represented in the samples  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 collected in the Allanche and Alagnon Rivers with a slight decrease in Mg and Ca proportions  
2 in favor of Na+K. Additionally, an increase in Ca proportion can be observed in the Allier and  
3 Loire Rivers. The behavior of major cations suggests that, the chemistry of the Allanche,  
4 Alagnon, Allier, and Loire Rivers is mainly controlled by two end members: magmatic and  
5 metamorphic on one hand (Allanche and Alagnon Rivers) and carbonates on the other hand  
6 (Allier, and Loire River).  
7

8 Chloride, nitrate, and sulfate concentrations (Table 2) concentrations increase toward  
9 downstream; that is their concentration increase from Cézerat to Chatillon. Anions ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  
10 and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) concentrations in the Allier and Loire Rivers located in the sedimentary basin  
11 (15.7-22 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for Cl, 3.2-10.2 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for  $\text{NO}_3$ , and 15.0-19.4 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for  $\text{SO}_4$ ) are two folds  
12 higher than their concentrations in the Allanche and Alagnon Rivers located in the Massif  
13 Central (2.3-13.1 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for Cl, 0.3-4.7 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for  $\text{NO}_3$ , and 1.6-10.1 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  for  $\text{SO}_4$ ). Négrel  
14 and Roy (1998) showed that  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations in rainwater in the Massif  
15 Central area (at Clermond-Ferrand city) are 0.7 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , 1.6 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , and 2.2 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , respectively.  
16 Moreover, it has been shown that,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations in rainwater over the  
17 sedimentary basin area (at Tours city in the Senonian-Turonian chalk formations) are  
18 respectively 3.7 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , 0.9 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , and 1.5 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  (Grosbois et al 2000). Consequently, in  
19 addition to the atmospheric (rain) background of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations; they  
20 have anthropogenic sources such as agricultural activities, animals breeding, domestic and  
21 industrial waste water effluents to these rivers (Meybeck 1986; Dojlido and Best 1996).  
22 Chloride and sodium concentrations in the Allier, and Loire River are comparable to those  
23 measured in the Seine River at Paris, France (21.3, and 10.6 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  respectively); however,  
24  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations are much higher in the Seine River than in the Allier and Loire River  
25 (21.7 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ ). Other authors (Négrel and Roy 1998) related these high concentrations of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  
26  $\text{Na}^+$ , and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  to anthropogenic pollution from fertilizers application.  
27

28 The comparison between the major anions ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$  vs.  $\text{Cl}^-$  vs.  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}+\text{NO}_3^-$ ) (Fig. 3)  
29 suggests that, bicarbonate is the dominating anion in all samples (Grosbois et al 2001). A  
30 decrease in the bicarbonate proportion can be observed from the Allanche River down to the  
31 Loire River as well as an increase in sulfate and nitrate relative proportions, illustrating the  
32 agricultural influence on the chemical composition of the Loire River watershed. Thus, even  
33 if bedrock weathering is an important mechanism and may be the dominant in the Loire River  
34 watershed chemistry, the anthropogenic inputs must not be neglected.  
35

36 Dissolved silica (Table 2) is predominantly derived from weathering reactions within  
37 the soil - rocks and groundwater areas. Dissolved silica concentrations (Table 2) vary in a  
38 restraint range of (6.76-7.38), and (7.9-9.1) mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$  respectively in April-04, and Sept-04 from  
39 Cézerat to Charbonnier (analysis were not performed for samples of Sept-03). The constant  
40 dissolved silica concentrations in the Alanche and Alagnon Rivers reflect the dissolution of Si  
41 from magmatic and metamorphic rocks within this zone. Dissolved Si decrease from Vichy to  
42 Chatillon, can be related to lithology variations (sedimentary bedrock in the Allier and Loire  
43 Rivers).  
44

### 45 3.5. Trace elements

46 Trace element concentrations (Table 3) show significant variations between the  
47 different samples. Dissolved Sr concentrations increase slightly from Cézerat to Chatillon.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

1 Barium concentrations increase slightly from Cézerat to Charbonnier, shows a 2 to 3 folds  
2 increase from Charbonnier to Vichy, and then continue to increase till Chatillon. Uranium  
3 concentrations are very low in the Allanche and Alagnon Rivers, but show higher values in  
4 the Allier and Loire Rivers. On the contrary to Sr, Ba, and U, rubidium concentrations show a  
5 constant concentration in the Allanche, and Alagnon Rivers and a slight decrease in the Allier,  
6 and the Loire Rivers (*i.e.* from Vichy to Chatillon).  
7

8 Strontium, Barium, Uranium, and Rubidium are mainly derived from natural sources *i.e.*  
9 rock weathering (Palmer and Edmond 1993; Petelet et al 1998; Négrel et al 2000). The Allier,  
10 and the Loire Rivers are enriched in Sr, Ba, and U (Table 3) presumably from the drainage of  
11 sedimentary formations, which are richer in Sr, Ba, and U than the magmatic and  
12 metamorphic rocks. Uranium in rivers is frequently associated with carbonate dissolution  
13 (Palmer and Edmond 1993). Rubidium is also a good indicator of the lithology drained by  
14 rivers with Rb richer in magmatic and metamorphic rocks than in sedimentary formations  
15 (Négrel et al 2000; Petelet et al 1998). This fact, in addition to dilution effects, explains the  
16 decrease of Rb concentration in the Allier and the Loire Rivers downstream from Nevers, as  
17 they drain mainly sedimentary bedrock.  
18

19 Antimony concentrations (Table 3) are negligible at Cézerat and Allanche sites  
20 (Allanche River), but Sb concentrations show significant values ( $2.0\text{-}9.2\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ) between  
21 Massiac and Charbonnier (Alagnon River). Antimony is also present at very low  
22 concentrations ( $< 1.3\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ) at Vichy, Never, and Chatillon (Allier, and Loire Rivers). The  
23 enrichment of Sb in the Alagnon River is presumably related to the antimony mining activity  
24 in the Brioude/Massiac district, in which the global production approached 40.000 tons of Sb  
25 during the activity period between 1830 to 1930 (Vialaron 1993; BRGM 1996). The Sb  
26 concentrations decrease in the Allier, and Loire Rivers from Vichy to Chatillon due to dilution  
27 effects.  
28

29 Arsenic (Table 3) shows very low concentrations in the Allanche River ( $< 0.7\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ); a  
30 slight increase up to  $3.9\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  in the Alagnon River; and high concentrations in the Allier and  
31 Loire Rivers ( $3.2\text{-}10.7\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ). Nickel (Table 3) shows relatively constant values in the  
32 Allanche and Alagnon Rivers and higher values (1.5-2 folds) in the Allier and Loire Rivers.  
33 Copper shows very low concentrations ( $< 2.2\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ) during the three sampling campaigns in  
34 all samples except at Chatillon ( $3.3\text{-}10.1\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ), which corresponds to an increase of 2-6 fold.  
35 Finally, Lead (Table 3) does not show any clear trend because of its very low concentrations,  
36 which are close to the detection limit. Trace elements in the Allier and Loire Rivers (Table 3)  
37 show higher concentrations relative to rivers world average with  $0.62\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  As,  $0.80\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  Ni,  
38  $0.08\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  Pb,  $1.48\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  Cu,  $0.37\ \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  U (Gaillardet et al 2005)).  
39

40 The low concentrations As, Ni, and Pb in the Alagnon River (Table 3) may be present  
41 as secondary mining products associated to the main mining element (Sb). The enrichment of  
42 As, Ni, and Pb in the Allier and Loire Rivers (after big cities) reflect the contribution of  
43 anthropogenic sources. However, identification of particular sources is not possible here as  
44 there is a wide selection of potential inputs. For example, metal processing and plating, textile  
45 industry, printing and drying, paper industry, chemical manufacture, and electronics  
46 (McNeely et al 1979). It is also possible that a part of As, Ni, and Pb concentration  
47 enrichment may be derived from non-industrial sources such as geology and land-use. For  
48 instance, Pb concentrations may reflect anthropogenic influences of agricultural signature due  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 to the use of fertilizers, automotive exhaust, mining activities, and industrial activities (Roy  
2 and Négrel 2001). Further, Otero et al (2005) have demonstrated that fertilizers contain trace  
3 elements such as Ba, Sr, As, and U. The enrichment of Cu at Chatillon is presumably related  
4 to the discharge from the cooling circuits of the nuclear power station at Belleville (12 km  
5 upstream from Chatillon).  
6

7 Dissolved Fe (Table 3) shows high concentration at Allanche site and then decreases till  
8 Chatillon (Table 3). Iron is naturally present in river water, and has higher concentrations in  
9 magmatic and metamorphic rocks included into the predominant minerals such as  
10 amphiboles, biotite, olivine, and iron oxides (magnetite Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). Total dissolved manganese  
11 concentrations (Table 3) vary in the range (3.8-18.3), (1.9-10.1), and (6-46) µg l<sup>-1</sup> respectively  
12 in Sept-03, April-04, and Sept-04. Mn is not very abundant in terrestrial crust and frequently  
13 occurs as minor element in magmatic and metamorphic rocks.  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 **3.6. Physical speciation of Natural Fe and Mn species**

19 Fe and Mn were distributed among three size fractions for all samples, namely fine  
20 colloidal and dissolved (C1 <10 nm), colloidal (C2: 10-450 nm) and particulate (P>450 nm).  
21 Fe and Mn are concentrated in C1 and C2 fractions in samples collected upstream (Table 4)  
22 *i.e.* from Alagnon and Allanche rivers with magmatic and metamorphic bedrocks; however,  
23 they are concentrated in the P fraction (Table 4) in samples collected downstream *i.e.* from the  
24 Allier and the Loire Rivers with sedimentary bedrocks.  
25  
26  
27

28 The variations in DOC and pH are not correlated to lithology variations. However, SPM  
29 and major cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) concentrations are correlated to lithology  
30 variations *i.e.* they have higher concentrations in rivers draining sedimentary bedrocks (Allier  
31 and Loire) than in rivers draining magmatic and metamorphic bedrocks (Allanche and  
32 Alagnon). Thereby, SPM and major cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) concentrations might  
33 explain such variations in Fe and Mn partitioning. They might affect the physical speciation  
34 of Fe and Mn through one of following mechanisms: (i) the homoaggregation of colloidal Fe  
35 and Mn oxides to form larger particles due to the increase of water conductivity and cations  
36 concentration, and/or (ii) the hetero-aggregation of colloidal Fe and Mn oxyhydroxides with  
37 SPM. The transfer of colloidal Fe and Mn matter into SPM via hetero-aggregation was  
38 observed by the authors in the Adour estuary (Point, 2006) and Gironde estuary (Masson et al  
39 2011). These studies presented visual evidences by TEM on the flocculation/aggregation  
40 process of organic matter with increasing water salinity in the estuary. In regard to the second  
41 mechanism, the importance of SPM concentration in controlling the speciation of trace  
42 elements in the aquatic environment is discussed. Whereas some authors suggested that high  
43 SPM concentrations results in low dissolved metal concentrations (Ran et al 2000; Gueguen  
44 and Dominik 2003), others did not find any correlation between dissolved metal and SPM  
45 concentrations (Wen et al 1997; Gaboury and Tim 1999). To determine the mechanism  
46 responsible for the transfer of dissolved and colloidal Fe and Mn to the particulate phase,  
47 TEM coupled to X-EDS has been used to investigate SPM composition.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 From TEM analysis of colloids and particles, different contrast can be discerned: large  
57 gray particles and smaller dark particles (Fig.4 - 7). The contrast differences in TEM can be  
58 related either to the variation in sample thickness or chemical composition. To determine if  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

contrast variations is related to the variation in particles thickness or chemical composition, X-EDS analysis were performed by focusing a spot size of few nanometer independently on the gray and on the darker particles. X-EDS suggest that the gray particles consist primarily aluminosilicates, carbonates and sulfates; while the dark smaller particles are mainly iron manganese oxy-hydroxides species. Thus, in these TEM micrography, the contrast variation can be attributed to differences in particles chemical composition. TEM micrographics (Fig.4-7) show no homo-aggregation (Stoll et al 2014) of colloidal Fe and Mn oxy-hydroxides to form large aggregates in the particulate range as shown for the Adour estuary. However, TEM micrographs and X-EDS analyses (Fig.4-7) show the heteroaggregation of small contrasted colloidal particles (Fe) with the surface of the large grey particles (aluminosilicates, carbonates and sulfates). Fig.4 (Cezerat) and Fig.5 (Massiac) show the heteroaggregation of colloidal Fe with aluminosilicates particle. Fig.6 (Vichy) and Fig.7 (Chatillon) show the heteroaggregation of colloidal Fe with aluminosilicates and carbonates particles.

To further elucidate the role of SPM on the partitioning of Fe and Mn in the different phases, the relative concentration (%) in each fraction was plotted as a function of SPM concentration (Fig.8). Note that, some samples have a limited SPM concentration. As a consequence, data analysis (Fig.8) was performed on samples having SPM concentration exceeding the detection limit ( $> 2 \text{ mg.l}^{-1}$ ). Figure 8 reveals that the dissolved and colloidal fractions (C1 and C2) of Fe and Mn decrease with increasing SPM concentration, while particulate fractions Fe and Mn increase with increasing SPM concentration.

#### 4. Conclusions

Physicochemical parameters, SPM, TDS, and concentrations of major and trace elements in the dissolved fraction ( $< 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ ) were determined for samples collected from eight sites representing contrasted geological variations along the Loire River watershed at three sampling campaigns representing contrasted hydrological variations. Significant spatial (source) and temporal (climate and discharge) differences are observed for most parameters. The spatial variations of major and trace elements allow the determination of two end members representing lithological variations: magmatic and metamorphic lithology on one hand, and sedimentary lithology on the other hand. Allanche and Alagnon Rivers are controlled by the magmatic and metamorphic endmember with low Ca, Mg, Sr, Ba contents, low conductivity and alkalinity values. The Allier and Loire Rivers are controlled by the sedimentary end member with high Ca, Mg, Sr, Ba, U concentrations, high values of conductivity and alkalinity. Temporal variation (climate and discharge) are represented by lower concentrations of major and trace elements under high flow conditions (April-04) than under high flow conditions (September-03, 04) due to dilution effects.

Increased SPM concentration due to geological variations is an important factor that may control the physical speciation and transfer of dissolved, natural nanoparticles and colloidal forms of Fe and Mn into the particulate phase via heteroaggregation of nanoparticle and colloidal forms of Fe and Mn with SPM. Therefore, this study provides direct evidences on the role of SPM in the geochemistry of Fe and Mn which may have important implications for some trace elements behavior in the Loire River watershed and other aquatic systems; in particular those trace metals with high affinity to Fe and Mn oxy-hydroxydes. For trace metals having affinity with Fe and Mn solid phases, the evolution of the SPM concentration is one

1 factor controlling their fractionation into the different compartments (dissolved, colloidal and  
2 particulate). In this study, SPM concentrations ( $>3-4$  mg/L), induces higher concentration of  
3 Fe and Mn into particular fraction. At low SPM concentration ( $< 3-4$ mg/L) the major fraction  
4 of Fe and Mn are localized into dissolved and/or colloidal fractions. Thus, in SPM rich  
5 surface waters, heteroaggregation mechanism implies that Fe and Mn occur in the particulate  
6 phases preferentially; putting into evidence the importance of the size speciation mechanism  
7 which can affect natural nanoparticles behavior.  
8

9  
10 The outcome of this study can also underpin the ongoing studies and research on  
11 environmental fate and behavior of emergent pollutants such as engineered nanoparticles  
12 (ENPs). Based on the results of this study, we postulate that in streams characterized by low  
13 SPM and low ionic strengths, ENPs are likely to occur as individual particles, whereas in  
14 streams with high ionic strength and SPM, ENPs are likely to heteroaggregate with SPM and  
15 only a small fraction of ENPs may occur as individual particles.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

## 22 **Acknowledgments**

23  
24 Thanks to:

25 BRGM who supported (financial, technically) this research and we address special  
26 salutations at A-M. Fouillac who helped us by a financial support dedicated to sampling  
27 campaign and laboratory analysis.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## References

1  
2  
3 Alloway BJ (1990) The origin of heavy metals in soils. In: Heavy metals in soils.  
4 Wiley, New York, pp. 29-39.  
5

6  
7 Audry S, Schafer J, Blanc G, Bossy C, Lavaux G (2004) Anthropogenic components of  
8 heavy metal (Cd, Zn, Cu, Pb) budgets in the Lot-Garonne fluvial system (France). Appl.  
9 Geochem., 19 (5), 769-786. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2011.03.006  
10

11  
12 Baalousha M, Motelica-Heino M, Baborowski M, Hofmeister C, Le Coustumer P  
13 (2006) Size Based Speciation of Natural Colloidal Particles by Flow Field Flow  
14 Fractionation-Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy- Transmission Electron  
15 Microscopy/X-Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy: Colloids-Trace Element Interaction.  
16 Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2156-2162. doi: 10.1021/es051498d  
17  
18

19  
20 Benson NU, Anake WU, Olanrewaju IO (2013) Analytical Relevance of Trace Metal  
21 Speciation in Environmental and Biophysicochemical Systems, American J. of  
22 AnalyticChemistry, 4, 633-641. doi: 10.4236/ajac.2013  
23

24  
25 Berner EK and Berner R.A (1996) Global environment. Water, air and geochemical  
26 cycles. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.  
27

28  
29 Bird D (2003) Characterization of Anthropogenic and Natural Sources of Acid Rock  
30 Drainage at the Cinnamon Gulch Abandoned Mine Land Inventory Site, Summit  
31 County, Colorado. Environ. Geol., 33, 919-932. ISSN 0943-0105  
32

33  
34 Boyle EA, Edmond JM, Sholkovitz ER (1977) The Mechanism of Iron Removal in  
35 Estuaries. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 41, 1313-1324. doi: 10.1016/0016-  
36 7037(77)90109-0.  
37  
38

39  
40 BRGM (1996) Geological Map of France, 1: 1,000,000 scale.  
41

42  
43 Brown GE, Foster AL, Ostergren JD (1999) Mineral Surfaces and Bioavailability of  
44 Heavy Metals: A Molecular-Scale Perspective. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA , 96, 3388-  
45 3395. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.7.3388  
46

47  
48 Cameron AJ, Liss PS (1984) The Stabilization of Dissolved Iron in Freshwaters. Wat.  
49 Res. 18, 179-185. doi: 10.1016/0043-1354(84)90067-8  
50

51  
52 Ciszewski D, Grygar TM (2016) A Review of Flood-Related Storage and  
53 Remobilization of Heavy Metal Pollutants in River Systems, Water Air Soil Pollut. 227-  
54 239. doi: 10.1007/s11270-016-2934-8  
55

56  
57 Clozier L, Turland M, Belkessa M (1976) Geological Map of France at 1:50,000 scale,  
58 Dornes sheet. Explanatory Notes [51]  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Clozier L, Debrand-Passard S, Delance JH, Desprez N, Lorenz C, Lorenz J (1983)  
2 Geological Map of France at 1:50,000 scale, Sancoins sheet. Explanatory Notes. [37]

3  
4 De Goër De Herve A and Tempier P (1988) Carte géologique à l'échelle du 1/50000,  
5 Saint-Flour sheet. Ann.Scién.de l'Univ.de Clermont-Ferrand BRGM, 92.

6  
7 Delance JH, Lablanche G, Clozier L, Debrand-Passard S, Gros Y, Cornet J, Martins C,  
8 Vautrelle C, Garnier M (1988) Geological Map of France at 1:50,000 scale, Nevers  
9 sheet. Explanatory Notes. [55]

10  
11  
12 Dhivert E, Grosbois C, Desmet M, Curie F, Moatar F, Meybeck M, Bourrat X (2013)  
13 The metallic contamination of the Loire River Basin (France): Spatial and temporal  
14 evolution with a multi-scale approach. EGU General Assembly 2013, 7-12 April, 2013  
15 in Vienna, Austria, id. EGU2013-10677

16  
17  
18 Dojilido JR, Best GA (1996) Chemistry of water and pollution. J. Hydrol., 180, 1-4.

19  
20  
21 Dong D, Derry LA, Lion LW, (2003) Pb Scavenging from a Freshwater Lake by Mn  
22 Oxides in Heterogeneous Surface Coating Materials. Wat. Res. 37, 1662-1666. doi:  
23 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00556-0

24  
25  
26 Figueres G, Martin JM, Meybeck M, Seyler P, (1985) A comparative study of mercury  
27 contamination in the Tagus estuary (Portugal) and major French estuaries (Gironde,  
28 Loire, Rhone). Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci., 20 (2), 183-203. doi: 10.1016/0272-  
29 7714(85)90037-X

30  
31  
32 Fuller CC, Harvey JW (2000) Reactive Uptake of Trace Metals in the Hyporheic Zone  
33 of a Mining-Contaminated Stream, Pinal Creek, Arizona. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34,  
34 1150-1155. doi: 10.1021/es990714d

35  
36  
37 Gaboury B, Tim FR (1999) The influence of size distribution on the particle  
38 concentration effect and trace metal partitioning in rivers. Geochimica et Cosmochimica  
39 Acta, 63 (1), 113–127. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00276-2  
40 Gagnon C, Turcotte P,  
41 Vigneault B (2009) Comparative study of the fate and mobility of metals discharged in  
42 mining and urban effluents using sequential extractions on suspended solids,  
43 [Environmental Geochemistry and Health](#), 31:657. doi: 10.1007/s10653-008-9223-4

44  
45  
46 Gaillardet J, Viers J, Dupré B (2005) Trace elements in river waters. Treat. Geochem.,  
47 5, 1-48. doi: 10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/05165-3

48  
49  
50 Galan E, Gomez-Ariza JL, Gonzalez I, Fernandez-Caliani JC, Morales E, Giraldez I  
51 (2003) Heavy Metal Partitioning in River Sediments Severely Polluted by Acid Mine  
52 Drainage in the Iberian Pyrite Belt. Appl. Geochem. 18, 409-421. doi: 10.1007/s11270-  
53 008-9905-7

1 Garrels RM, Mackenzie FT (1971) Evolution of sedimentary rocks. Norton, New York.  
2 doi: 10.4319/lo.1972.17.1.0165

3  
4 Grosbois C, Negrel P, Fouillac C, Grimaud D (2000) Dissolved load of the Loire River:  
5 chemical and isotopic characterization. *Chem. Geol.*, 170, 179-201. doi:  
6 10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00247-8

7  
8  
9 Grosbois C, Négre P, Grimaud D, Fouillac C, (2001) An Overview of Dissolved and  
10 Suspended Matter Fluxes in the Loire River Basin: Natural and Anthropogenic Inputs .  
11 *Aquat. Geochem.*, 7 (2), 81-105. doi:10.1023/A:1017518831860

12  
13  
14 Guéguen C, Dominik J (2003) Partitioning of trace metals between particulate, colloidal  
15 and truly dissolved fractions in a polluted river: the Upper Vistula River (Poland).  
16 *Applied Geochemistry*, 18, 3, 457–470. doi: 10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00090-2

17  
18  
19 Hartland A, Lead J R, Slaveykova, V L, O'Carroll D, Valsami-Jones E (2013) The  
20 Environmental Significance of Natural Nanoparticles. *Nature Education Knowledge*,  
21 2013, 4, 8, 7-14.

22  
23  
24 Honeyman B D, Santschi PH (1988) Metals in Aquatic Systems: Predicting Their  
25 Scavenging Residence Times From Laboratory Data Remains a Challenge. *Environ.*  
26 *Sci. Technol.* 22, 862. doi: 10.1021/es00173a002

27  
28  
29 Hong H, Kester DR (1985) Chemical forms of iron in Connecticut Rivers estuary.  
30 *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 21, 449-459. doi: 10.1016/0272-7714(85)90049-6

31  
32  
33 Horowitz AJ, Elrick KA (1987) The Relation of Stream Sediment Surface Area, Grain  
34 Size and Composition to Trace Element Chemistry. *Applied Geochemistry* , 2, 437-451.  
35 doi: 10.1016/0883-2927(87)90027-8

36  
37  
38 Hudson-Edwards KA, Macklin MG, Curitts CD, Vaughan DJ, (1996) Processes of  
39 formation and distribution of Pb, Zn, Cd, and Cu bearing minerals in Tyne Tyne Basin,  
40 NE England: implications for metal-contaminated river systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,  
41 30, 72-80. doi: 10.1021/es9500724

42  
43  
44 Jaïry A, Garban B, Blanchard M, Chesterikoff A (1999). Speciation of Organic Carbon,  
45 Cu and Mn in the River Marne (France): the Role of Colloids. *Hydrol. Process.* 13, 223-  
46 237. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19990215)13:2.

47  
48  
49  
50  
51 Jarvie HP, Neal C, Leach DV, Ryland GP, House WA, Robson AJ (1997) Major ion  
52 concentrations and the inorganic carbon chemistry of the Humber Rivers. *Sci. Tot.*  
53 *Environ.*, 194-195, 285-302. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05371-5

54  
55  
56 Johnson CA, (1986) The Regulation of Trace Element Concentrations in River and  
57 Estuarine Waters Contaminated With Acid Mine Drainage: The Adsorption of Cu and  
58

1 Zn on Amorphous Fe Oxyhydroxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 50, 2433-2438. doi:  
2 10.1016/0016-7037(86)90026-8

3  
4 Jones KC, (1991) Contaminant trends in soils and crops. *Environ. Pollut.*, 69 (4), 311-  
5 325. doi: 10.1016/0269-7491(91)90119-H

6  
7 Kinniburgh D G, Jackson M L, Syers JK (1976) Adsorption of Alkaline Earth,  
8 Transition, and Heavy Metal Cations by Hydrated Oxide Gels of Iron and Aluminum.  
9 *Soil Sc. Soc. of America J.*, 40, 5, 796 -799.  
10 doi:10.2136/sssaj1976.03615995004000050047x

11  
12  
13  
14 Kroonenberg SB, Moura ML, Jonker ATJ (1988) Geochemistry of the sands of the  
15 Allier River terraces, France. *Geol. Mijnbouw.*, 67, 75-89.

16  
17  
18 McNeely RN, Neimanis VP, Dwyer L (2005) Water quality source book, A guide to  
19 water quality parameters. Environment Canada, Ottawa, Ont.

20  
21  
22 Labille J, Slomberg D, Sani-Kaast N, Praetorius A, Ollivier P, Radakovitch O, Brant J,  
23 Scheringer M, Bottero JY (2014) Assessing the heteroaggregation of manufactured  
24 nanoparticles with geogenic colloids in surface water, EGU General Assembly 2014, 27  
25 April - 2 May 2014, Vienna, Austria, id.5400

26  
27  
28 Ledin A, Karlsson S, Düker A, Allard B (1995) Characterization of the Submicrometer  
29 Phase in Surface Waters. *The Analyst* 120, 603-608. doi: 10.1039/AN9952000603

30  
31  
32 Lion LW, Altmann RS, Leckie JO (1982) Trace-Metal Adsorption Characteristics of  
33 Estuarine Particulate Matter: Evaluation of Contributions of Fe/Mn Oxide and Organic  
34 Surface Coatings. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* , 16, 660-666. doi: 10.1021/es00104a007

35  
36  
37  
38 Loosli F Vitorazi L, Berret JF and Stoll S (2015) Towards a better understanding on  
39 agglomeration mechanisms and thermodynamic properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles  
40 interacting with natural organic matter, *Water Research* 80, 139-148. doi:  
41 10.1016/j.watres.2015.05.009

42  
43  
44 Luoma SN, Bryan GW (1981) A Statistical Assessment of the Form of Trace Metals in  
45 Oxidized Estuarine Sediments Employing Chemical Extractants. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 17,  
46 165-196. doi: 10.1016/0048-9697(81)90182-0

47  
48  
49 Lyven B, Hasselov M, Turner DR, Haraldsson C, Andersson K (2003) Competition  
50 Between Iron- and Carbon-Based Colloidal Carriers for Trace Metals in a Freshwater  
51 Assessed Using Flow Field-Flow Fractionation Coupled to ICMS MS. *Geochim.*  
52 *Cosmochim. Acta.* 67, 3791-3802. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(03)00087-5,

53  
54  
55 Masson M, Blanc G, Schäfer J, Parlanti E, Le Coustumer P (2011) Copper addition by  
56 organic matter degradation in the freshwater reaches of a turbid estuary, *STOTEN*  
57 409(8):1539-1549. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.01.022.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Meybeck M (1986). Composition chimique des ruisseaux non pollués en France. *Sci. Geol. Bull.*, 39 (1), 3-77.

Meybeck M, Ragu A (1996) Rivers discharge to the oceans: an assessment of suspended solids, major ions and nutrients. United Nation Environmental Programme. Report

Mill AJB (1980) Colloidal and Macromolecular Forms of Iron in Natural Waters. I: A Review. *Environ. Technol. Lett.* 1980, 1, 97-108. doi: 10.1080/095593338009383955

Milliman LD, Meade RH (1983) World-wide delivery of river sediment to the oceans. *J. Geol.*, 91, 1-21. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(03)00087-5

Neal C, Smith CJ, Jeffery HA, Jarvie HP, Robson AJ (1996) Trace element concentrations in the major rivers entering the Humber estuary, NE England. *J. Hydrol.*, 182 (1-4), 37-64. doi: 10.1016/0022-1694(95)02940-0

Neal C, Robson AJ, Jeffery HA, Harrow ML, Neal M, Smith CJ, Jarvie HP (1997) Trace element inter-relationships for the Humber Rivers: inferences for hydrological and chemical controls. *Sci. Tot. Environ.*, 194-195, 321-343. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05373-9

Négrel P, Fouillac C, Branch M, (1997) Occurrence of mineral water springs in the stream channel of the Allier River (Massif Central, France): chemical and Sr isotope constraints . *J. Hydrol.*, 203 (1-3), 143-153. doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00094-2

Négrel P, Roy S (1998) Chemistry of rainwater in the Massif Central (France): a strontium isotope and major element study. *Appl. Geochem.*, 13 (8), 941-952. doi: 10.1016/S0883-2927(98)00029-8

Négrel P, Grosbois C (1999) Changes in chemical and  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  signature distribution patterns of suspended matter and bed sediments in the upper Loire River basin (France). *Chem. Geol.*, 156 (1-4), 231-249. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2541(98)00182-X

Négrel P, Grosbois C, Klopmann W (2000) The labile fraction of suspended matter in the Loire River (France): multi-element chemistry and isotopic (Rb-Sr and C-O) systematics. *Chem. Geol.*, 166 (3-4), 271-285. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00225-9

Oriekhova O and Stoll S (2015) Effects of pH and fulvic acids concentration on the stability of fulvic acids - cerium (IV) oxide nanoparticle complexes. *Chemosphere* 144, 131-137. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.08.057

Otero N, Vitoria L, Soler A, Canals A (2005) Fertiliser characterisation: Major, trace and rare earth elements. *Appl. Geochem.*, 20, 8, 1473-1488. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2005.04.002

Palmer MR, Edmond JM (1993) Uranium in river water. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, 57 (20), 4947-4955. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(93)90131-F

1 Palomino D, Stoll S (2013) Fulvic acids concentration and pH influence on the stability  
2 of hematite nanoparticles in aquatic systems. *J Nanoparticles Research* 15, 1428-1436.  
3 doi: 10.1007/s11051-013-1428-5  
4

5 Pedro M, Le Boudec A, Davranche M, Dia A, Henin O (2011) How Does Organic  
6 Matter Constrain the Nature, Size and Availability of Fe nanoparticles for Biological  
7 Reduction ?, *J. of Colloid and Interface Sc.*, 359, 75-85. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2011.03.067  
8  
9

10 Petelet E, Luck LM, Othman D, Negrel P, Aquilina L (1998) Geochemistry and water  
11 dynamics of a medium-sized watershed: the Hérault, southern France, I. Organisation of  
12 the different water reservoirs as constrained by Sr isotopes, major, and trace elements.  
13 *Chem. Geol.*, 150 (1), 63-83. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2541(98)00053-9  
14  
15

16 Point D (2004) Speciation and Biogeochemistry of trace metals in the Adour estuary.  
17 Ph. D. Thesis, University of Pau  
18  
19

20 Probst JL, Bazerbachi A (1986) Solute and particulate transports by the upstream part of  
21 the Garonne River. *Sci. Geol. Bull.*, 39 (1), 79-98.  
22  
23

24 Ran Y, Fu JM, Sheng GY, Beckett R, Hart BT (2000) Fractionation and composition of  
25 colloidal and suspended particulate materials in rivers. *Chemosphere*, 41, 1–2, 33–43.  
26 doi: 10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00387-2  
27  
28

29 Roy S (1996) Utilisation des isotopes du Pb et du Sr comme traceurs des apports  
30 anthropiques et naturels dans les précipitations et les rivières du bassin de Paris. PhD  
31 Thesis, Université de Paris 7  
32  
33

34 Roy S, Gaillardet J, Allegre CJ (1999) Geochemistry of dissolved and suspended loads  
35 of the Seine River, France: anthropogenic impact, carbonate and silicate weathering.  
36 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, 63 (9), 1277-1292. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00099-X  
37  
38  
39

40 Roy S, Négrel P (2001) A Pb isotope and trace element study of rainwater from the  
41 Massif Central (France). *Sci. Tot. Environ.*, 277 (1-3), 225-239. doi: 10.1016/S0048-  
42 9697(00)00883-4  
43  
44

45 Stone M, Droppo IG (1996) Distribution of lead, copper and zinc in size-fractionated  
46 river bed sediment in two agricultural catchments of southern Ontario, Canada. *Environ.*  
47 *Pollut.*, 93 (3), 353-362. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(96)00038-3  
48  
49

50 Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1996) *Aquatic Chemistry*; Wiley Interscience: New York  
51  
52

53 Tercier-Waeber ML, Stoll S, Slaveykova V (2012) Trace metal behavior in surface  
54 waters: emphasis on dynamic speciation, sorption processes and bioavailability.  
55 *Archives des Sciences*, 65, 119-142, <http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:27739>  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 Tessier A, Rapin F, Carignan R (1985) Trace Metals in Oxidic Lake Sediments: Possible  
2 Adsorption Onto Iron Oxyhydroxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 49, 183-194. doi:  
3 10.1016/0016-7037(85)90203-0  
4

5 Tessier A, Fortin D, Belzile N, De Vitre RR, Leppard GG (1996) Metal Sorption to  
6 Diagenetic Iron and Manganese Oxyhydroxides and Associated Organic Matter:  
7 Narrowing the Gap between Field and Laboratory Measurements. *Geochim.*  
8 *Cosmochim. Acta* 60, 387-404. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(95)00413-0  
9

10  
11  
12 Thurman EM (1985) *Organic Geochemistry of Natural Waters*; Martinus Nijhoff/Dr. W.  
13 Junk Publishers: Boston, USA. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-5095-5  
14

15  
16 Trivedi P, Aye L (2000) Modeling Cd and Zn Sorption to Hydrous Metal Oxides.  
17 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 2215-2223. doi: 10.1021/es991110c  
18

19  
20 Vialaron, C., 1993. *L'antimoine dans le département de Haute-Loire.* (Ed) Vialaron, pp.  
21 32.  
22

23  
24 Vignati D, Dominik J (2003) The Role of Coarse Colloids As a Carrier Phase for Trace  
25 Metals in Riverine Systems. *Aquat. Sci.* 65, 129-142. doi: 10.1007/s00027-003-0640-2  
26

27  
28 Wen LS, Santschi PH, Gill G A, Paternostro CL, Lehman R D (1997) Colloidal and  
29 Particulate Silver in River and Estuarine Waters of Texas, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 31  
30 (3), 723–731. doi:10.1021/es9603057  
31

## 32 **List of Tables**

33  
34  
35  
36

37 Table 1: Sampling sites, rivers, sampling time, GPS coordinates and altitude  
38

39  
40 Table 2: General parameters and major elements concentrations in the dissolved fraction  
41 (< 0.45 µm) of the three sampling campaign..  
42

43  
44 Table 3: Trace element concentrations (µg/L) in the dissolved fraction (< 0.45 µm) of  
45 the three sampling campaign..  
46

47  
48 Table 4: Sampling sites, rivers, sampling time, GPS coordinates and altitude  
49

50  
51 Table 5: General parameters and major elements concentrations (mg/L) in the filtered  
52 fraction (< 0.45 µm) of the three sampling campaign..  
53

54  
55 Table 6: Trace element concentrations (µg/L) in the filtered fraction (< 0.45 µm) of the  
56 three sampling campaign..  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Table 7: Partition of Fe and Mn in colloidal and filtered fraction C1 ( $< 0.01 \mu\text{m}$ ) and colloidal C2 ( $0.01\text{-}0.45 \mu\text{m}$ ) and a particulate fraction ( $P > 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ ). NA: not analyzed

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Geological map of the Loire basin, and location of the sampling points

Figure 2: Samples chemical composition: cationic relative proportion

Figure 3: Samples chemical composition: anionic relative proportion

Figure 4: (A) Bright field micrograph of an aluminosilicate colloidal particle (lower contrast) and adsorbed iron (higher contrast) (Cezerat), (B) X-EDS analysis on the lower contrasted particle and (C) X-EDS analysis on the higher contrasted particle.

Figure 5: (A) Bright field micrograph of an aluminosilicate colloidal particle (lower contrast) and adsorbed iron (higher contrast) (Massiac), (B) X-EDS analysis on the lower contrasted particle and (C) X-EDS analysis on the higher contrasted particle

Figure 6: (A) Bright field micrograph of an association of different particles (Vichy) and (B, C and D) X-EDS analysis on each of these particles particle

Figure 7: (A) Bright field micrograph of an association of particles (Chatillon) weathered (black arrow) and metallic oxides (dash line) and (B) X-EDS analysis on the whole image.

Figure 8: Variation of (a) Fe and (b) Mn concentrations in colloidal and dissolved fraction (C1  $< 0.01 \mu\text{m}$ , circles) and colloidal (C2  $0.01\text{-}0.45 \mu\text{m}$ , X) and a particulate fraction ( $> 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ , triangles) in function of particulate matter concentration




Fig.1: Geological map of the Loire basin and location of the sampling points (black point)




Fig.1: Samples chemical composition, cationic relative proportions




Fig.2: Samples chemical composition, anionic relative proportions.




Fig.4: (A) Bright field micrograph of an aluminosilicate colloidal particle (lower contrast) and adsorbed iron (higher contrast) (Cezerat), (B) X-EDS analysis on the lower contrasted particle and (C) X-EDS analysis on the higher contrasted particle.




Fig.5: (A) Bright field micrograph of an aluminosilicate colloidal particle (lower contrast) and adsorbed iron (higher contrast) (Massiac), (B) X-EDS analysis on the lower contrasted particle and (C) X-EDS analysis on the higher contrasted particle.




Fig.6: (A) Bright field micrograph of an association of different particles (Vichy) and (B, C and D) X-EDS analysis on each of these particles




Fig.7: (A) Bright field micrograph of an association of particles (Chatillon) weathered (white dash line) and metallic oxides (white arrow) and (B) X-EDS analysis on the whole image.




Fig.8: Variation of (A) Fe/Fe<sub>T</sub> and (B) Mn/Mn<sub>T</sub> concentrations (% , typical error is less than 10%) in dissolved fraction (C1, <0.01 μm, ● & red line), colloidal (0.01<C2<-0.45 μm, x & black line) and particulate fraction (>0.45 μm, ▲& blue line) in function of total particulate matter concentration.

Table 1: Sampling sites, rivers, sampling time, GPS coordinates and altitude

Lieu	Rivière	GPS coordinates		Alt (m)	Average annual flow (m <sup>3</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> )
		East	North		
Cézérat	Ruisseau de Cézérat	2° 53' 56"	45° 12' 38"	1028	ns
Allanche	Allanche	2° 56' 0"	45° 13' 47"	998	1.23
Massiac Aval	Alagnon	3° 11' 26"	45° 16' 16"	525	6.44
Brugailles	Alagnon	3° 12' 12"	45° 20' 15"	488	ns
Charbonnier	Alagnon	3° 17' 27"	45° 24' 54"	415	ns
Vichy	Allier	3° 25' 10"	46° 11' 36"	250	141
Nevers	Loire	3° 4' 16"	47° 0' 44"	157	182
Chatillon	Loire	2° 46' 5"	47° 25' 28"	129	355

Average annual flow is obtained from the French hydrology bank. ns, no control station in the French hydrology bank

Table 2: General water parameters and major elements concentrations in the filtered fraction (< 0.45 µm) in the three sampling campaign. All concentrations are reported in mg/l.

Filtration à 0.45 µm	Lieu	Sampling date	River	Q	pH	T °C	O2 %	O2 mg/l	Cond 25°C µS/cm	Alc meq/l	DOC mg/l	SPM mg/l	Si mg/l	Cl mg/l	NO3 mg/l	SO4 mg/l	Na mg/l	K mg/l	Ca mg/l	Mg mg/l	IB %	TDS mg/l	TDS/SPM	
				m3/s																				
Sep-03	Cézérat	9/4/2003		ns	7.8	11.5	111	11.5	121	1.03	2.4			3.1	3.3	2.7	5.1	2.3	8.4	5.5	-5.59	90		
	Allanche	9/4/2003	Allanche	0.1	7.8	10.2	59	6.9	131	1.09	3.2			3.6	3.1	2.3	4.9	2.5	10.4	6.9	5.79	97		
	Massiac	9/4/2003		1.2	8.2	15.9	90	9.7	201	1.27	3.3	< 2		11.9	4.7	8.3	9.7	3.7	14.5	7.9	1.74	133		
	Brugailles	9/4/2003	Allagnon	ns	8.3	16.7	103	9.9	203	1.37	3.0			12.1	3.8	9.1	11.3	3.8	15.0	8.0	1.75	143		
	Charbonnier	9/4/2003		ns	8.1	18.5	100	9.4	215	1.43	3.7	3		13.1	3.8	10.1	12.2	4.0	16.5	8.3	3.60	151	50	
	Vichy		Allier																					
	Nevers	9/5/2003	Loire	18.8	7.9	19.1	86	9.6	277	1.63	3.4	9		20.0	3.8	16.6	17.1	5.0	26.9	6.6	5.70	192	21	
Chatillon	9/5/2003		ns	8.3	19.0	94	8.7	321	2.01	3.4	25		22.0	3.2	18.7	17.5	5.2	36.2	6.4	4.76	229	9		
Apr-04	Cézérat	3/29/2004		ns	7.9	9.7	97	11.1	75	0.68	3.1	< 2	6.83	2.3	3.1	1.8	4.4	0.9	5.8	3.5	-5.07	67		
	Allanche	3/30/2004	Allanche	1.5	7.8	5.2	101	12.8	79	0.71	2.8	3	6.76	3.0	3.2	1.9	4.0	1.1	6.7	3.9	-2.72	71	24	
	Massiac	3/31/2004		6.3	7.9	6.3	100	12.3	119	0.87	2.7	< 2	7.38	9.5	4.0	4.6	6.9	2.0	9.3	5.0	-5.76	98		
	Brugailles	3/31/2004	Allagnon	ns	8.6	8.9	108	12.5	118	0.85	2.5	< 2	7.11	7.8	2.9	5.1	7.2	2.1	9.2	5.0	1.22	95		
	Charbonnier	4/1/2004		ns	7.9	7.4	105	12.6	129	0.90	2.8	< 2	7.14	8.7	3.2	5.9	8.1	2.1	10.7	5.2	3.49	103		
	Vichy	4/1/2004	Allier	144.0	8.0	10.0	109	12.3	216	1.34	3.0	< 2	6.37	15.7	7.7	15.0	13.1	3.2	21.3	5.9	-0.72	162		
	Nevers	4/2/2004	Loire	160.0	7.9	10.9	98	10.8	267	1.55	3.6	8	5.79	16.5	8.8	17.0	12.6	3.4	26.3	6.1	-2.49	183	23	
Chatillon	4/2/2004		ns	8.0	11.5	98	8.7	279	1.61	3.6	6	4.86	17.4	10.2	17.6	11.8	3.3	31.3	5.2	-1.70	190	32		
Sep-04	Cézérat			ns																				
	Allanche	8/30/2004	Allanche	0.5	8.4	15.2	112	11.3	128	1.31	3.9	5	8.3	3.2	2.7	1.6	5.7	1.7	10.4	6.7	-7.81	117	23	
	Massiac	9/1/2004		1.6	7.9	13.3	107	11.2	161	1.11	3.2	2	9.1	9.1	0.3	5.1	9.2	2.9	12.3	6.7	10.5	122	61	
	Brugailles	9/1/2004	Allagnon	ns	8.9	16.9	127	12.2	162	1.18	3.0	< 2	8.3	9.2	0.9	5.7	10.4	2.7	12.6	6.7	8.01	127		
	Charbonnier	9/2/2004		ns	7.3	15.5	93	9.2	248	1.41	9.0	5	7.9	11.2	2.3	9.3	11.8	3.0	15.1	8.1	3.27	152	30	
	Vichy	9/2/2004	Allier	58.8	8.3	20.6	135	12.2	290	1.80	7.9	7	7.8	19.9	6.6	19.2	19.3	4.1	28.0	7.8	4.16	216	31	
	Nevers	9/2/2004	Loire	74.9	8.3	21.4	125	11.1	277	1.73	7.5	9	6.6	17.8	5.5	18.3	15.5	3.9	30.3	6.8	5.22	204	23	
Chatillon	9/3/2004		ns	8.4	20.4	121	10.9	291	1.80	3.7	14	4.6	18.2	5.5	19.4	14.7	3.9	35.8	5.8	6.54	212	15		

Daily flow is obtained from French hydrology bank. ns, no control station in the French hydrology bank. Alc is the alkalinity. IB is the ionic balance. Alkalinity is assumed to equal to HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration.

Table 3: Trace element concentrations in the filtered fraction (< 0.45 µm) for the three sampling campaign. All concentrations are reported in µg/l.

Filtration à 0.45 µm	Lieu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Cu	As	Rb	Sr	Sb	Ba	Pb	U	
		µg/l											
Sept 2003	Cézérat	54.8	5.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	6.9	74	0.0	3	0.0	0.0	
	Allanche	156	7.9	0.9	0.6	0.2	9.3	118	0.0	5	0.0		
	Massiac	54.5	8.4	0.9	1.3	1.2	8.7	130	3.3	10	0.1	0.1	
	Brugailles	48.6	9.1	0.8	1.2	3.1	8.2	129	7.3	12	0.0	0.1	
	Charbonnier	59.2	17.6	1.0	1.5	3.9	8.1	135	6.5	14	0.3	0.1	
	Vichy												
	Nevers	11.1	3.8	1.4	1.6	6.2	7.4	157	0.9	40	0.2	0.6	
	Chatillon	10.3	18.3	1.6	10.1	4.7	7.1	163	0.8	48	0.3	0.7	
Sept 2004	Cézérat	55.5	1.9	0.5	0.6	0.2	3.4	50	0.0	2	0.0	0.0	
	Allanche	55.6	4.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	3.8	75	0.0	3	0.0	0.0	
	Massiac	30.3	3.2	0.7	0.8	0.7	4.0	84	2.0	9	0.0	0.0	
	Brugailles	25.2	1.9	0.7	1.0	2.5	3.5	76	4.2	9	0.0	0.0	
	Charbonnier	22.4	2.7	0.6	1.0	2.1	3.5	81	4.2	11	0.0	0.0	
	Vichy	26.3	10.1	1.4	1.4	6.4	4.2	141	1.1	28	0.2	1.1	
	Nevers	19.3	2.3	1.0	1.5	5.2	3.6	153	0.9	38	0.1	1.1	
	Chatillon	12.2	2.2	1.0	3.3	3.2	3.1	141	0.6	43	0.0	0.9	
Sept 2004	Cézérat												
	Allanche	210	6	1.3	0.8	0.7	9.6	124	0.0	5	0.1	0.0	
	Massiac	5.13	46	1.1	1.3	1.1	7.8	119	5.4	13	0.5	0.1	
	Brugailles	3.99	41	1.2	1.4	2.7	7.5	119	9.2	12	0.1	0.1	
	Charbonnier	7.83	37	1.3	1.7	3.3	7.8	143	7.7	17	0.1	0.1	
	Vichy	12.4	42	2.3	2.2	11	9.4	217	1.3	33	0.3	1.7	
	Nevers	5.64	36	2.2	2.2	9	7.2	196	1.1	45	0.2	1.4	
	Chatillon	4.75	17	2.3	4.1	6	6.1	174	0.8	47	0.2	1.2	

Table 4: Partition of Fe and Mn in dissolved fraction C1 (< 0.01 µm), colloidal fraction (0.01 ≤ C2 ≤ 0.45 µm) and particulate fraction (P > 0.45 µm),. NA : not analysed

Filtration			April-04		September-04	
			Fe %	Mn%	Fe%	Mn%
<b>Cézérat</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	28.1	36.4	NA	NA
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	32.8	6.8	NA	NA
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	39.1	56.8	NA	NA
<b>Allanche</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	31.2	51.0	19.7	6.1
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	27.4	3.9	43.0	23.0
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	41.4	45.1	37.3	70.9
<b>Massiac</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	42.7	65.9	2.8	13.4
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	33.3	6.3	60.9	54.4
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	24.0	27.8	36.3	32.2
<b>Brugailles</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	18.9	46.7	2.2	13.8
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	52.1	15.6	42.6	51.5
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	29.0	37.7	55.2	34.6
<b>Charbonier</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	25.5	60.4	6.0	12.3
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	42.9	10.3	23.5	33.1
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	31.6	29.3	70.5	54.6
<b>Vichy</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	28.4	77.3	1.8	9.0
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	30.0	4.8	45.1	28.9
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	41.7	17.9	53.1	62.1
<b>Nevers</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	12.5	22.3	2.2	8.8
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	23.6	4.4	17.4	23.0
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	63.9	73.2	80.3	68.1
<b>Chatillon</b>	<b>C1</b>	<0.01	10.5	19.6	0.7	8.8
	<b>C2</b>	0.01-0.45	14.4	2.5	14.1	13.4
	<b>P</b>	> 0.45	75.2	77.9	85.2	77.8



Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
graphical abstract.pptx

