

# A new approach to thermal history modelling with detrital low temperature thermochronological data

Kerry Gallagher, Mauricio Parra

# ▶ To cite this version:

Kerry Gallagher, Mauricio Parra. A new approach to thermal history modelling with detrital low temperature thermochronological data. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2020, 529, pp.115872. 10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115872. insu-02317389

# HAL Id: insu-02317389 https://insu.hal.science/insu-02317389v1

Submitted on 16 Oct 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Earth and

Planetary Science Letters

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: EPSL-D-19-01000R1

Title: A new approach to thermal history modelling with detrital low temperature thermochronological data

Article Type: Letters

Keywords: detrital thermochronology; thermal history; inversion

Corresponding Author: Professor Kerry Gallagher,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Rennes 1

First Author: Kerry Gallagher

Order of Authors: Kerry Gallagher; Mauricio Parra

Abstract: We present an inverse modelling strategy to infer thermal history information from detrital low temperature thermochronological data from modern sediment sampling the outlet of a single catchment. As presented, the method relies on the assumption that the geological timescale thermal history was the same across the catchment. The detrital sample is assumed to represent a mixture of grains originating from a potentially unknown sampling of the present elevation range in the catchment. The approach also implements a method to infer a function describing the topographic sampling represented in the detrital sample. In practice, this may reflect variations in erosion with elevation but also lithological differences in the catchment (fertility) and the nature of erosion/transport processes in the catchment. A combination of detrital and in-situ bedrock data are recommended to improve the resolution of the topographic sampling function. We demonstrate the application of the approach to aset of fission track data from the Fundacion catchment in the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta in northern Colombia. The inferred thermal history suggest a period of rapid cooling initiated around 50-30 Ma, followed by slower cooling to the present day, consistent with the regional geological history. The topographic sampling function estimates suggest that the hypsometric distribution is not appropriate in terms of the contributions from different elevations to the detrital sample. Rather, the data imply a higher proportion of sampling from lower elevations close to the location of the outlet where the detrital sample was collected.

1	A new approach to thermal history modelling with detrital
2	low temperature thermochronological data
3	
4	Kerry Gallagher <sup>1</sup> and Mauricio Parra <sup>2</sup>
5	
6	1. Géosciences Rennes/OSUR, University of Rennes, Rennes, 35042, Rennes, France
7	kerry.gallagher@univ-rennes1.fr
8 9	2. Institute of Energy and Environment, University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Luciano Gualberto 1289, Cidade Universitária, 05508-010, Sao Paulo-SP-Brazil
10	mparra@iee.usp.br
11	
12	Corresponding author : Kerry Gallagher (kerry.gallagher@univ-rennes1.fr).
13	
14	

# 15 Abstract

We present an inverse modelling strategy to infer thermal history information from detrital 16 low temperature thermochronological data from modern sediment sampling the outlet of a 17 single catchment. As presented, the method relies on the assumption that the geological 18 timescale thermal history was the same across the catchment. The detrital sample is assumed 19 to represent a mixture of grains originating from a potentially unknown sampling of the 20 21 present elevation range in the catchment. The approach also implements a method to infer a function describing the topographic sampling represented in the detrital sample. In practice, 22 this may reflect variations in erosion with elevation but also lithological differences in the 23 24 catchment (fertility) and the nature of erosion/transport processes in the catchment. A combination of detrital and in-situ bedrock data are recommended to improve the resolution 25 of the topographic sampling function. We demonstrate the application of the approach to a set 26 of fission track data from the Fundación catchment in the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta in 27 northern Colombia. The inferred thermal history suggest a period of rapid cooling initiated 28 around 50-30 Ma, followed by slower cooling to the present day, consistent with the regional 29 geological history. The topographic sampling function estimates suggest that the hypsometric 30 distribution is not appropriate in terms of the contributions from different elevations to the 31 detrital sample. Rather, the data imply a higher proportion of sampling from lower elevations 32 close to the location of the outlet where the detrital sample was collected. 33

34

### 35 Keywords : Detrital thermochronology, thermal history, inversion

36

37

# 38 **1. Introduction**

Since the seminal papers defining the concept and calculation of closure temperature 39 (Dodson, 1973) and early applications of the concept (e.g. Wagner et al. 1977), one of the 40 main uses of temperature dependent geochronology, or thermochronology is to recover 41 information on the thermal histories of rocks. In doing this we exploit the fact that different 42 dating systems, defined by a combination of a specific mineral and isotope decay scheme, are 43 sensitive to different temperature ranges. This temperature sensitivity is generally manifested 44 in terms of losing the daughter product by some kind of thermally activated diffusion process, 45 and leads to a measured age younger than the formation age of the host rock. For high 46 temperature systems such zircon U-Pb, the measured age is often interpreted as the time at 47 which the host rock cooled below the appropriate closure temperature. The closure 48 temperature is a function of cooling rate, grain size and dating system specific kinetic and 49 geometry parameters as defined by Dodson (1973) and for zircon U-Pb is nominally around 50 1000-1100°C (e.g. Schoene 2014). 51

Low temperature thermochronology is typically defined to include apatite and zircon 52 (U-Th)/He and <sup>4</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>He dating, apatite and zircon fission track analysis and feldspar K-Ar and 53 <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating (see Reiners and Ehlers, 2005). These particular systems are sensitive to 54 temperatures from near surface temperatures (~30-40°C) to a maximum around 400°C but are 55 also characterised by a large range in temperature sensitivity relative to the nominal closure 56 temperature, referred to as the partial annealing zone for fission track analysis and the partial 57 retention zone for noble gas diffusion. This range in temperature sensitivity, and so loss of 58 daughter product, is then manifested as a progressive decrease in measured age depending on 59 how long a given rock sample has resided in, or has taken to cool across, the partial 60 retention/annealing zone. 61

For surface or bedrock samples collected from different elevations in a given 62 catchment or valley, this effect is typically represented as a vertical profile in which age 63 increases with elevation (e.g. Wagner and Reimers, 1972, Fitzgerald, et al. 1995, Valla et al. 64 2010). Often there is a change inferred in the slope of the age-elevation relationship, such that 65 the lower part of the profile has a steeper slope than the upper part. This can be interpreted as 66 either a change in erosion rate, an exhumed partial retention/annealing zone or more generally 67 as a combination of both. Such interpretations can be made in a relatively qualitative sense 68 (e.g. Fitzgerald et al. 1995), or in a more quantitative sense, typically involving the inference 69 of the cooling and/or erosion history through forward or inverse thermal history modelling 70 71 (Ehlers 2005, Gallagher et al. 2005, Valla et al. 2010, Braun et al. 2012, Fox et al. 2014).

72 As it is generally erosion that controls the cooling history recorded in low temperature thermochronometers, an obvious extension of the vertical profile approach is to consider the 73 products of erosion, i.e. detrital thermochronology. Early applications of detrital 74 75 thermochronology considered distributions of single grain ages from sediments for different closure temperature systems such as zircon U-Pb, mica and feldspar <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar, or zircon and 76 apatite helium dating or fission track analysis, and relating these to possible source regions 77 over geological time (e.g., Ross and Bowring 1990, Copeland and Harrison 1990, Garver and 78 Brandon 1994, Carter and Bristow 2000, Tranel et al. 2011, Enklemann and Ehlers 2015, 79 Malusà and Fitzgerald 2019). 80

Furthermore, efforts have been made to exploit the distribution of detrital ages measured in modern sediments to inform us about the nature of erosion and tectonic processes in the recent geological past by predicting the expected distribution of detrital ages for a range of thermochronometric systems, including some of the lower temperature systems such as apatite (U-Th-Sm)/He (AHe) and apatite fission track (AFT) data. These predictions are often made using local vertical age profiles (Brewer et al., 2003, Ruhl and Hodges 2005, Stock et

al. 2006, Huntington and Hodges 2006, Malusà and Fitzgerald 2019) or using predictions as a 87 2D age-elevation surface, the ages being predicted from landscape and/or 3D thermal models 88 (e.g. Whipp et al 2009, Ehlers et al. 2015). These approaches require some form of 89 90 assumptions, either *a priori* or *a posteriori*, about the nature of sampling of the age-elevation distribution. This has commonly been taken to be the hypsometric distribution by default. In 91 this case, the detrital ages are assumed to be a mixture of ages from either a predicted or 92 observed age-elevation relationship, sampled according to the proportion of the present 93 topography in a given elevation range over the area of the relevant catchment or drainage 94 basin. A different approach was implemented by Avdeev et al. (2011) and Fox et al. (2015) in 95 96 which they inferred a distribution of age-elevation relationships and how these could be sampled over different elevations, as a function of variable erosion rate, to reproduce both 97 detrital and in situ bedrock age data. More recently, Braun et al. (2018) and Gemignani et al 98 99 (2018) proposed a form of statistical un-mixing of downstream variations in measured detrital ages which does not require any assumption about the sampling of topography. However, this 100 101 approach requires multiple detrital samples and the drainage system to traverse several (sub)-102 catchments, or regions, contributing different cooling signals to the final distribution.

Here we propose an inverse method to infer the thermal history from a modern detrital 103 104 sample from a single catchment. In this case, the implicit assumption is that any thermal history information recorded in the modern sediment reflects the same long term thermal 105 history experienced by bedrock samples in the catchment. The motivation for this approach is 106 107 firstly to assess whether modern detrital samples can provide similar thermal history information as a typical bedrock vertical profile. A lack of bedrock samples occur due to the 108 inaccessibility of some source regions, e.g. under ice as highlighted by Enkelmann and Ehlers 109 (2015), or for logistical reasons (cost, access). In the absence of bedrock samples, we will 110 need to make an assumption about how erosion processes sample the present day catchment 111

topography. We will use the phrase topographic sampling function (TSF) to describe the 112 sampling of the in situ or bedrock vertical profile data to produce modern sediment detrital 113 data. To relax the assumption that we know a priori this topographic sampling function, the 114 method we present allows us to treat this as an unknown. As we will focus on low 115 temperature thermochronometers, specifically apatite fission track analysis and apatite (U-Th-116 Sm)/He dating, it is important also to note a potential sampling bias related to variable 117 concentrations of a specific mineral (e.g. apatite), or fertility in the source region (e.g. Tranel 118 et al. 2011) and the effects of hydraulic sorting of different grain-size fractions (e.g. Malusà 119 and Garzanti 2019). Thus any inferred topographic sampling function will reflect a 120 combination of modern erosion and transport processes acting on the catchment and the 121 fertility of bedrock outcropping across it. 122

In the following, we describe the method we have developed to deal with extracting 123 thermal history information from detrital low temperature thermochronological data. Here we 124 focus on AFT and AHe data in particular, but the approach is readily extended to other data 125 types (e.g. ZHe) from the outlet of a given catchment. The detrital data may also be combined 126 with in situ bedrock data (the canonical vertical profile). An implicit assumption is that the 127 distribution of ages with elevation is effectively constant (or has minor and smooth 128 129 variations). The approach we present also allows us to infer the topographic sampling function. We show that in the case where we have both detrital and vertical profile data, this 130 approach allows us to infer the topographic sampling function with more confidence, than just 131 detrital data alone. Finally, we demonstrate the application of the method to an AFT dataset 132 from northern Colombia. 133

134

135

## 136 **2. Methodology**

The inverse thermal history modelling problem for a 1D vertical profile with in situ bedrock 137 samples has been previously described (Gallagher et al. 2005, Gallagher 2012) so we only 138 briefly review the main assumptions here. All samples in a vertical profile are assumed to 139 140 have experienced the same general form of thermal history with the difference between the thermal histories for the top and bottom samples defined by a temperature gradient that may 141 vary over time. It is implicit that lower elevation samples can never have been at lower 142 temperatures than higher elevation samples. Therefore, a vertical profile can not be cut by 143 faults active over the timescale relevant to the thermal history, nor can the thermal state of the 144 bedrock have been locally perturbed, for example by hot fluid circulation. For a given thermal 145 history we can make a prediction of the AHe/AFT age and track length distribution elevation 146 profiles by exploiting the appropriate diffusion/annealing models. By suitably combining the 147 predictions over the elevation range of a given catchment, we can similarly make a prediction 148 of the detrital age distribution. Thus, the detrital data can be considered as a sampling of 149 the vertical profile data in the catchment. It is important to highlight that dispersion in such 150 151 detrital data will reflect the combination of grains from different elevations (hence different thermal histories), but factors controlling the rates of annealing (AFT) and diffusion (AHe) 152 for each grain and also different sources of measurement error. Therefore, dispersion in AFT 153 data can occur due to variations in annealing kinetics attributable to chemical composition, 154 e.g. Cl content or a proxy compositional measure such as Dpar (Green 1988, Ketcham et al., 155 1999, 2007), as well as the statistical variability of single grain-ages inherent in the track 156 counting process (e.g. Galbraith 2005). We allow for these factors in the method we present 157 here. AHe data can show dispersion due to variation in whole grain geometries and sizes (e.g. 158 Farley, 2000), the presence of broken grains (Brown et al. 2013,), and variable diffusion 159 kinetics due to radiation damage related effects (Flowers et al. 2009; Gautheron et al. 2009, 160

Willet et al. 2017). Here, we allow for variable grain size, but not the effects of radiation damage on He diffusion in apatite nor incomplete or broken apatite grains. However, the general methodology we present could deal with both of these factors at the expense of increased computation time.

165

# 166 **2.1 The forward problem**

The general forward problem is illustrated in figure 1 (and see also Gallagher 2012). We 167 initially specify the model (m) as a thermal history, given by a series of time-temperature 168 169 points and the temperature difference, or temperature gradient, between the uppermost and lowermost elevations in a hypothetical vertical profile that covers the maximum range of 170 elevation in the catchment. Combined with the appropriate diffusion/annealing models, we 171 can make a prediction of the age/length-elevation profiles. As a consequence of the factors 172 leading to dispersion described above, these will be distributions, rather than point values, at a 173 given elevation. These distributions need to be propagated into the predicted final detrital 174 age/length distributions. To generalise, we will refer to the kinetic parameter and grain size 175 variables as control variables and these will be represented by  $\kappa$  and the range of possible 176 values defined by  $\kappa_{min}$  and  $\kappa_{max}$ . In the following, we will present the approach considering 177 just one general control variable. Then we can write the total predicted detrital distribution, 178 conditional on the thermal history model, m, as 179

180 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p) = \int_{\kappa_{min}}^{\kappa_{max}} \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} d_p(z,\kappa|m)p(z)p(\kappa) dz d\kappa$$
(1)

181 where  $d_p(z, \kappa | m)$  is the predicted distribution for elevation z and control parameter value  $\kappa$ , 182  $p(\kappa)$  is the probability distribution on the control variable, and p(z) is the probability of 183 sampling from elevation z, or what we refer to above as the topographic sampling function (TSF). Often the TSF is assumed to be equivalent to the hypsometric distribution. We return to this later, but for the moment we state that if we specify a thermal history and the TSF in a catchment, we can predict what age/length distributions we expect to see in a detrital sample with grains from that catchment.

#### 188 2.1.1 Predicting the AFT age and length distributions

For a given thermal history and kinetic parameter, we predict the noise-free fission track age and length distribution at a given elevation. For the age, we use the external detector method (EDM) age equation (e.g., Hurford and Green, 1983) to calculate the spontaneous to induced track density ratio,  $\rho_s/\rho_i$  for a given thermal history. We can convert the predicted AFT age into the equivalent  $\rho_s/\rho_i$ . Following Galbraith (2005) and Gallagher (1995), we use a binomial distribution to sample values of the spontaneous to induced track counts ratio  $N_s/N_i$ . The parameter of the binomial distribution is given as

196 
$$\theta = \frac{\rho_s / \rho_i}{1 + \rho_s / \rho_i}$$
(2a)

and given a selected total number of track counts,  $N_s + N_i$ , the probability for the number of spontaneous tracks is given as

199 
$$p(N_s|N_s + N_i) = \frac{(N_s + N_i)!}{N_s!N_i!} \,\theta^{N_s} (1 - \theta)^{N_i}$$
(2b)

200

We choose a given number of ages to sample (e.g. up to 100 grain ages for a given compositional group at each elevation), randomly select  $N_s + N_i$  (from a specified range, e.g. 50 and 500, or based on the range of values measured in a detrital sample), then randomly sample for  $N_s$  from the binomial distribution. The result gives a value of  $N_s/N_i$  which can then be converted into an AFT age and the error using the standard EDM error equation. We

repeat this process for the specified number of sampled ages and the predicted distribution is 206 207 then calculated by summing all the individual Gaussian distributions defined with the mean equal to the individual AFT age and the calculated error as the standard deviation. 208 Alternatives to assuming a Gaussian kernel for each individual age include assuming  $N_s/N_i$ 209 is distributed as a Gaussian, or that, for a given  $N_i + N_s$ ,  $N_s$  is binomially distributed (Brandon 210 1996, Galbraith 2005). The process of adding kernel distributions gives a continuous 211 predicted AFT age distribution for a given apatite kinetic parameter at a given elevation 212 accounting for the Poissonian variation of single-grain ages expected due to the counting 213 214 process. The AFT track length distributions are similarly predicted directly from the forward model (e.g. Green et al. 1989, Gallagher 1995, Ketcham et al 1999) for each kinetic parameter 215 group and elevation. Next, both the age and length distributions at each elevation are then 216 217 combined for all control variable groups using a weighting based on the number of grains/lengths in each kinetic parameter group. In practice, these groups are defined from the 218 measured values in the actual detrital sample. Finally, we combine the elevation dependent 219 220 distributions using the TSF as weights to produce the final detrital distribution for the AFT data. 221

#### 222 2.1.2 Predicting the AHe age distribution

For a given thermal history, we predict the noise-free AHe age as a function of elevation and 223 grain size, using the spherical grain equivalent radius (Farley 2000). To predict a distribution 224 of ages for a given elevation and grain size, we resample a Gaussian distribution with a mean 225 defined by the predicted AHe age and a standard deviation of 10% of the calculated age error 226 to produce 50 single grain ages for each grain size group at each elevation. These are then 227 combined using a weighting, again based on the number of grains in each grain size group in 228 the actual detrital sample. Finally, we combine the elevation dependent distributions using the 229 230 TSF as weights to produce the final detrital distribution for the AHe data.

231

# 232 2.2 Inverse problem

233 The inverse problem is stated as follows: if we have a set of measured detrital AFT and AHe data from a single catchment, we want to recover the catchment thermal history and also 234 potentially the topographic sampling function. We define the observed detrital data as d =235  $(d_1, d_2...d_i, ...d_N)$ , a vector of length N, made up of a N<sub>c</sub> AFT single grain ages, N<sub>1</sub> track length 236 data and N<sub>He</sub> AHe single grain ages, each with some form of explicit or implicit measure of 237 uncertainty (e.g. a reported measurement error on an AHe age, an assumed measurement error 238 239 on a track length, or a Poisson related distribution associated with track counts). We use the forward model approach described above to predict the age/length distributions as a function 240 of elevation over the maximum elevation range of the catchment. The approach we present 241 can also incorporate any available bedrock vertical profile data in the catchment generally 242 allowing better inference of both the thermal history and the topographic sampling function. 243

244

#### 2.2.1 Inference of the thermal history

For the moment, we concentrate just of the thermal history inference problem. We implement the same form of transdimensional Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (McMC) algorithm described in Gallagher (2012) and we will not repeat the details. In brief, the thermal history parameters are defined as an unknown number of time temperature points, each point with an associated temperature gradient which may be specified to be constant or variable over time. The prior distributions for these thermal history parameters are defined to be uniform, i.e. equal probability between an upper and lower limit. Given a thermal history model, and using  $d_p$  as a prediction (age or length) for that model, we define total predicted detrital age or length distribution for a given elevation and control variable,  $\kappa_i$ , as  $p(d_p | \kappa_i, z)$ . This can be integrated over elevation with the TSF (= p(z)) as

255 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p|\kappa_j) = \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} p(d_p|\kappa_j, z) p(z) dz$$
(3)

To reduce the computational time, we can calculate the distributions for a finite number of control variable groups at a finite number of elevations. Then we can write the equation above in discrete form as

259 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p|\kappa_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} p(d_p|\kappa_j, z_k) p(z_k)$$
(4)

where  $N_z$  is the number of elevation groups, which is selected to cover the range of topography in the catchment of interest and we assume  $p(z_k)$  is constant for each elevation group, defined by the range  $z_{(k-1)/2} > z_k > z_{(k+1)/2}$  and  $z_{(k-1)/2}$  is the midpoint between  $z_{k-1}$  and  $z_k$  and a similar definition for  $z_{(k+1)/2}$ .

Following on from this, we can write the total predicted detrital age or length distribution, summed over the control variables (with probability distribution  $p(\kappa_i)$ ) as

266 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\kappa}} p\left(d_p | \kappa_j\right) p(\kappa_j)$$
(5)

where  $N_{\kappa}$  is the number of control variable (e.g. compositional or grain size) groups, which can be based on the range of values in a given detrital sample, and  $p(\kappa_j)$  is a distribution based on the number of samples with a value in a range defined by  $\kappa_{j,min} < \kappa_j < \kappa_{j,max}$ . We can either assign an observed datum to a given control variable group (which we do for AFT age and length data using a kinetic parameter, e.g., Cl, Dpar), or using the upper and lower limits for the group bounding a given control variable value, we can interpolate the predictions for the specific value for a given grain (which we do for AHe age data for grainsize).

275

# 276 2.2.2 Estimating the Topographic Sampling Function (TSF)

277 We can exchange the order of the summation in equation (4) and write

278 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p|z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} p(d_p|\kappa_j, z_k) p(\kappa_j)$$
(6)

279 and

280 
$$p_{detrital}(d_p) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} p\left(d_p | z_k\right) p(z_k) \tag{7}$$

From this we see there is a linear relationship between the predicted detrital distribution, 281 integrated over the range of control variables, and the topographic sampling function (p(z))282 above). This lets use a linear inverse method to estimate the latter. The only complication is 283 that  $p(z) \ge 0$ , so we implement the iterative non-negative least squares method presented by 284 Kim et al. (2013). This gives an optimal TSF in the least squares sense in that it minimises the 285 sum of squares between the predicted and observed detrital distributions. Another approach to 286 infer the TSF is to implement a probabilistic McMC sampling method as used by Avdeev et 287 al. (2011) and Fox et al. (2015) to constrain erosion rate over elevation with detrital 288 thermochronology, or more generally in change point modelling by Gallagher et al (2011). 289 This approach can directly represent the TSF as a weighting function over a given elevation 290 range, and using transdimensional sampling, it is possible to assess the required complexity of 291 the TSF. At this stage, we have not fully implemented this approach, as it proves to be 292 considerably more computationally intensive that the optimal least squares approach 293 mentioned above. 294

The elevations where we calculate the age or length distributions do need not correspond to the elevations used to define the topographic sampling function (e.g. this could be the hypsometric distribution defined at regular elevation intervals). As the predicted distributions for a given control variable and elevation are smooth, we can interpolate the predicted discrete ages or distributions to the intermediate elevations. We do this interpolation using the approach described in Read (1999), which relies on weighted linear interpolation between the cumulative distributions.

#### 302 2.2.3 The likelihood function

In general terms, the likelihood is a measure of how well predictions from a given model represent the observed data. This can be defined (up to a constant of proportionality) as the probability of having the observed data, given the predictions from a given (thermal history and topographic sampling function) model. For the detrital data, we use the predicted detrital age and length distributions to form the likelihood function. In the following, the distributions are all normalised so they integrate to one, and can be treated as probability distributions.

If we consider detrital AFT data first, the i-th observation,  $d_i$ , from the j-th control variable 309 group j,  $\kappa_i$ , will be either a fission track single grain age or an individual track length 310 311 measurement and the control variable group will be defined as a range of some kinetic parameter such Cl content or Dpar. In practice, we use the mean of the kinetic parameter in 312 the appropriate range to make the predictions for that kinetic parameter group as described 313 earlier, and this distribution is used for all observed data relevant to that group. For AHe age 314 data, we use the same interpolation procedure mentioned above such that, for a given 315 measured radius, we interpolate between the 2 distributions predicted for the two radii that 316 bracket the measured radius. The interpolated distribution, appropriate for the actual effective 317 grain radius, is used as the likelihood function for that grain. To incorporate additional control 318

variables, such as radiation damage controlled diffusion, typically parameterised by models
based on effective uranium (eU) (e.g. Flowers et al; 2009, Gautheron et al. 2009), we would
follow a similar approach defining a range of eU values based on those in the detrital sample.
The likelihood for a given AHe age could then be based on 2D interpolation of the predicted
ages as a function of grain size and eU.

The likelihood for a single datum,  $d_i$ , given the thermal history model,  $p(d_i|m)$ , can be defined as the integral or sum of the predicted distributions over elevation, for the appropriate control variable value, weighted by the topographic sampling function,  $p(z_k)$ , for elevation  $z_k$ 

328 
$$p(d_i|m) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} p(d_i|\kappa_j, z_k, m) p(z_k)$$
(8)

329

Here we have dropped the dependence on  $\kappa_j$  on the left hand side as it is implicit for the given observation.

The definitions of the likelihood functions implicitly allow for the possibility that the probability of an observed value, with its associated control variable, may be zero over a range of elevations, i.e. the predicted distributions over that elevation range do not include the value of that observation.

The total likelihood is the product of all the individual likelihoods for each individual observation, given as

338 
$$p(d|m) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(d_i|m)$$
 (10a)

In practice, the preference is to use the log likelihood, defined as

340

341 
$$L(d|m) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Ln(p(d_i|m))$$

342

The methodology described here has been implemented in the software QTQt, and so the inversion approach for the thermal history, implementing trans-dimensional Markov chain Monte Carlo, closely follows given in Gallagher (2012). This implementation readily allows detrital data and the classical in situ (bedrock) vertical profile data to be combined, as we just need to add the individual sample log likelihood values for a given thermal history.

(10b)

348

## 349 **3. Application to real data**

To demonstrate the application of the methodology described above, we give results using 350 synthetic AHe and AFT data in the supplementary material, showing the approach works. 351 Here we focus on a data set from the Fundación catchment in the Sierra Nevada de Santa 352 Marta in northwest Colombia (see figure 2a). This catchment an ideal location for testing our 353 method, given its small size (~14,500 km<sup>2</sup>), high relief (~4 km), a relatively homogeneous 354 lithology with abundance of apatite-rich granitoids and gneisses and a combination of in situ 355 and detrital AFT data. The present day elevation in the catchment ranges from 180 to 3900 m 356 and in figure 2b, we show the present day hypsometry, as a cumulative distribution, which 357 shows that less than 20% of the topography is above 2500 m. Villagomez et al. (2011) 358 reported AFT data from 9 samples forming a vertical profile over an elevation range from 300 359 to 2700 m (Table S1, supplementary material). For logistical reasons, it was not possible to 360 collect samples from the last 1200 m. We have also recently produced a new suite of detrital 361 99 AFT single grain ages and 21 track length (and angle to c-axis) measurements from a 362

sample collected at the outlet of the catchment (Parra et al., in press, Tables S2, S3, 363 supplementary material). This combined data set lets us compare the thermal histories 364 inferred from the vertical profile data alone, the detrital data alone and the two data sets 365 together, as well as the case when we treat the topographic sampling function (TSF) as 366 unknown. We implement the approach described above by using hypothetical or dummy 367 samples located at 300 m intervals over the total elevation range of the Fundación catchment. 368 For a given thermal history, we predict the age and length distributions for these dummy 369 samples at each elevation, and then combine the distributions, weighted by the topographic 370 sampling function, to produce the final predicted detrital age and length distributions. 371 Initially, we fix the TSF to be equivalent to the present day hypsometry and consider only 372 inference of the thermal history. Subsequently, we relax this assumption and allow inference 373 of both the thermal history and TSF. 374

#### 375 In situ bedrock data alone

In figure 3a, we show firstly the inferred expected thermal history based on the in situ vertical 376 profile AFT data of Villagomez et al. (2011). The McMC sampler was run for 100,000 377 iterations, and the results presented here are derived from the last (post-burn-in) 50,000 378 iterations. We used the multi-compositional annealing model of Ketcham et al. (2007) with 379 Dpar as the kinetic parameter, with values sampled from a normal distribution with the 380 measured values for the mean and standard deviation as the parameters of the distribution. 381 The inferred thermal history implies a period of rapid cooling between 50 and 30 Ma, 382 followed by a decrease in cooling rate for the uppermost samples. Using the dummy samples 383 at 300 m intervals, with a range of kinetic parameter equivalent to that measured in the 384 385 detrital sample, we also can predict the range of ages we expect for the dummy samples for all thermal histories accepted by the McMC sampling and these are also shown on figure 3b. The 386 thermal histories, inferred from the in situ data alone, predict a range of AFT ages whose 387

width increases with elevation above the last in situ sample at 2700 m profile. In figure 3c, we 388 389 show the predicted detrital age distribution for the thermal history in 3a (assuming that the catchment is sampled according to the hypsometric curve). In terms of visual comparison, the 390 predicted distribution is best compared to the continuous distribution (in light blue in figure 391 3c) rather than the histogram. The continuous distribution is a kernel density representation of 392 the observed grain ages and errors, tending to smooth out isolated peaks present in the 393 histogram, which we do not expect the predicted distribution (also a kernel density 394 representation) to reproduce. The predicted distribution in this case has a peak about 20 Ma 395 older than the observed peak, and also lacks the tail of older ages (> 60-70 Ma). These 396 397 discrepancies could be due to the thermal histories not predicting old enough ages at higher elevation, and/or the topographic sampling function not sampling the predicted vertical profile 398 distributions to capture the older ages at higher elevations. 399

#### 400 Detrital data alone

Next we use only the catchment outlet detrital AFT data to infer the thermal history. The main 401 model run parameters were the same as the previous run and we used the hypsometric curve 402 as the TSF to produce the predicted detrital distribution. The results are shown in figure 4. 403 404 The thermal history also shows a cooling episode starting around 50 Ma, but does not imply a change in cooling rate. As we would expect, the detrital age distribution is better reproduced 405 as just the detrital data were used for the inference of the thermal history. Using this thermal 406 history to predict the in situ vertical profile, the data from lower elevations are less well 407 predicted than the previous example while the data from the upper samples are better 408 reproduced. We can see from figure 4b that the predicted ages above 3000m are generally 409 410 older, and the range narrower than in the previous case. Consequently, we can reproduce the older ages in the detrital sample, albeit with a relatively small proportion of the total predicted 411 elevation profile being sampled by the hypsometric curve as the TSF. 412

18

#### 413 In situ and detrital data jointly

In this case, we combine the data from the previous two examples in a joint inversion, and 414 again using the hypsometric curve as the TSF. The results are given in figure 5. Relative to 415 the two previous examples, the inferred thermal history is most similar to the detrital data 416 only case, but with the cooling event starting a little earlier, but still around 50 Ma, and a 417 lower implied temperature gradient at that time. Relative to the detrital data only case (fig. 4), 418 the combined data reproduces the detrital age distribution less well (over-predicting the peak 419 age by about 10 Ma) and the vertical profile data better, except perhaps the uppermost in situ 420 sample. The opposite tendencies are the case relative to the in situ only results (fig. 3). Given 421 the previous results, this is not unexpected as some kind of compromise solution between the 422 two solutions based on just the in situ vertical profile or detrital data sets. 423

#### 424 In situ and detrital data jointly, and inference of TSF

425 The results of the previous examples are based on assuming the TSF is given by the hypsometric curve. Now we relax that condition and use the combined in situ and detrital data 426 to infer the TSF. To achieve this we first consider a proposed thermal history, and predict the 427 detrital distributions using the hypsometric curve as an initial TSF model. Then we use the 428 iterative non-negative least squares algorithm of Kim et al. (2013) to estimate a TSF that 429 provide the optimal fit (in the least squares sense) to the observed data. Given this least 430 squares estimate for the TSF, we calculate the likelihood for the detrital data as defined 431 earlier. The combined thermal history-TSF proposed model may or may not be accepted 432 433 during the McMC sampling, as at any given iteration the acceptance or rejection of a proposed model is effectively based on the likelihood function value relative to that of the 434 current model (the accepted model from the previous iteration). We present an uncertainty 435 436 range for the least squares TSF estimates that represents the 95% credible interval for all

accepted models. This implied uncertainty can be considered optimistic (too small) as we use
only the optimal (non-negative least squares) solutions for each accepted thermal history,
rather than a population of estimates that would be obtained with an McMC sampling method,
as mentioned earlier.

The results are shown in figure 6. Now, the expected thermal history is most similar to that obtained using just the in situ vertical profile data, but the peak of younger ages in the observed detrital data is better reproduced. Relative to the input hypsometric curve, the inferred topographic sampling function implies a greater contribution from lower elevations, such that 50% comes from < 700m and correspondingly less at the highest elevation. The few older detrital ages are not well predicted for the expected model, but we see the average of all predicted detrital distributions does have a small contribution around 80-90 Ma.

448 The surface geology shows two main lithological groups, Precambrian gneisses with amphibolite and granulite facies that occupy 22% of the total area and crop out at lower 449 elevations, and Jurassic and Triassic granitoids that constitute the rest of the catchment, at 450 both lower and higher elevations (Figure 2). Both groups contain apatite, as shown by the 451 bedrock data set used in this paper, which include samples from both lithological groups. If 452 we rule out uneven fertility the inferred TSF then implies that it is the contribution from lower 453 elevations that lets us reproduce the young peak in the detrital data. While this makes sense in 454 terms of proximity to the outlet where the detrital sample was collected, and perhaps reflects 455 local river incision, a test of this inference would be to obtain U-Pb ages on the apatite grains, 456 which would clearly distinguish the relative contributions of a Precambrian source from a 457 Triassic-Jurassic source. Furthermore, the fertility in terms of apatite yield vary significantly 458 459 in the same lithology (e.g. by x100, Tranel et al 2011), and a more detailed assessment of this factor in the source region and its modification during transport would be required to 460

substantiate this interpretation of the inferred TSF. Finally, we assume that the TSF is thesame for the same elevations across the catchment.

The geological interpretations of the thermal history models are beyond the scope of this contribution, but the inferred timing of cooling initiation from the detrital data alone is consistent with that from the in situ data, but lacks the same detail on later changes in cooling rate. Widespread Oligocene-Miocene (30-15 Ma) ZHe and AFT ages over a 2 km interval of elevation and the lack of younger ages in the northwest Santa Marta range have been used to infer this two-phase cooling, with deceleration taking place sometime in the last 15 My (Villagómez et al., 2011, Patiño et al., 2019).

470

#### 471 **4. Concluding statements**

We have presented a method to infer thermal histories from detrital thermochronological data 472 from a single catchment. We can model a hypothetical vertical profile, allowing for variations 473 in control variables, such as annealing kinetics for AFT, and grain size for AHe, and then 474 sample this according to a specified or inferred topographic sampling function (TSF). The 475 detrital data can also be combined with in situ bedrock thermochronological data and this will 476 vield more reliable inference of the TSF. In this contribution we use a least squares method to 477 infer an optimal TSF for a given thermal history. At the expense of greater computational 478 time, the TSF could also be sampled using a transdimensional McMC approach such as that 479 applied to change point models (e.g. Gallagher et al. 2011, Fox et al. 2015). This would allow 480 us to estimate credible intervals on the TSF for individual thermal histories. 481

In practice an inferred TSF will potentially reflect a combination of different factors,
such as how erosion processes and production and transport of detrital material may vary with

21

elevation and also lithological controls on fertility and mineral fractionation/destruction during transport. Depending on the scientific question of interest, the resolution of these different contributing factors will require more detailed study of lithological compositions and yield, and independent constraints on recent erosion rates (e.g. <sup>10</sup>Be, Fox et al . 2015)

A key assumption is that the thermal history is effectively the same across the 488 catchment and it is this thermal history that is represented in a catchment outlet detrital 489 sample. This could be relaxed to allow, for example, for a major fault in catchment by having 490 different thermal histories either side of the fault. This scenario then introduces more 491 complexity to the modelling process, as we need to infer the contributions from each side 492 present in a single detrital sample. Detrital sampling in upstream tributaries on both sides of 493 fault may provide additional information to help constrain the contributions in the outlet 494 sample. Overall, we suggest the approach as presented is best suited to small, confined 495 catchments with one major outlet, such as the Fundación catchment example we present here. 496 497 We have considered only single grain detrital data with unknown specific source regions within the watershed (e.g. collected from a sand). Using aliquots of single grains separated 498 from pebbles or clasts (e.g. Fitzgerald et al. 2019) may be a useful extension of this approach 499 as such each aliquot will necessarily come from the same source region in the catchment. 500 Recent developments in analytical methods such LA-ICP-MS methods for fission track and 501 He dating provide additional data such as apatite U-Pb ages and REE spectra for the same 502 grains used for detrital thermochronology. Such data would also provide independent 503 information on potential source regions within a catchment, particularly if combined with 504 similar data from in situ samples. Finally, the approach we have presented could, in principle, 505 be applied to older sediments. However, in the absence of bedrock thermochronometry (and 506 other independent detrital source rock data), the TSF will clearly be less well constrained. To 507 estimate the TSF, we also need to specify the effective elevation range sampled by the 508

sediments. The structure of the inferred TSF will depend on that range and is validity difficult 509 to assess in the absence of information on the proportions and fertility of different source rock 510 lithologies. Also, we would also need to allow for the post-depositional thermal history. If the 511 sediments have not been buried deep enough to sample the relevant partial annealing or 512 retention zones, then this may have a relatively minor effect in terms of recovering the pre-513 depositional thermal history. However, increasing burial depth will progressively overprint 514 the provenance related signal, reducing the resolution of the pre-depositional thermal history 515 to perhaps just the time the grains in the sediment cooled below the effective closure 516 temperature, or entered the partial annealing or retention zones. 517

518

#### 519 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Nathan Niemi and Matthew Fox for useful reviews that helped us clarify certain aspects of this paper. Also we thank Maxime Bernard, Juan Sebastián Echeverri, Ana María Patiño, Philippe Steer, and Peter van der Beek for comments and discussion over the last year or so. This work was facilitated through a FAPESP-CNRS binational project (FAPESP Sprint 2017/ 50276-3). M.P thanks FAPESP JP Project 2013/03265-5 for funding the data acquisition.

526

#### 527 **References**

- Avdeev B., Niemi, N., Clark, M.K., 2011. Doing more with less: Bayesian estimation of
   erosion models with detrital thermochronometric data Earth. Planet. Sci. Letts., 305, 385 395.
- Brandon, M.T., 1996. Probability plots for fission-track grain-age samples, Rad. Meas., 26,
   663-676.
- Braun, J., Gemignani, L., van der Beek, P., 2018. Extracting information on the spatial
  variability in erosion rate stored in detrital cooling age distributions in river sands. Earth
  Surf. Dyn. 6, 257–270. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-6-257-2018.
- Braun, J., van der Beek, P., Valla, P., Robert, X., Herman, F. Glotzbach, C., Pedersen, V.,
  Perry, C., Simon-Labric, T. Prigent C. 2012. Quantifying rates of landscape evolution and
  tectonic processes by thermochronology and numerical modeling of crustal heat transport
  using PECUBE. Tectonophysics, 524-525, 1-28
- Brewer, I.D., Burbank, D.W., Hodges, K.V., 2003. Modelling detrital cooling-age populations:
   insights from two Himalayan catchments, Basin Res. 15, 305-320.
- Brown, R.W., Beucher, R., Roper, S., Persano, C., Stuart, F., Fitzgerald, P., 2013. Natural age
  dispersion arising from the analysis of broken crystals, part I. Theoretical basis and
  implications for the apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronometer. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
  Acta, 122. 478-497.
- Carter A, Bristow C.S., 2000. Detrital zircon geochronology: Enhancing the quality of
   sedimentary source information through improved methodology and combined U-Pb and
   fission-track techniques. Basin Res., 12, 47-57
- Copeland, P. and Harrison, T.M., 1990. Episodic rapid uplift in the Himalaya revealed by
   <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar analysis of detrital K-feldspar and muscovite, Bengal Fan. Geology 18, 354-357.
- Dodson, M. H, 1973. Closure temperature in cooling geochronological and petrological
   systems, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 40, 259–274.
- Ehlers, T. A., Szameitat, A., Enkelmann, E., Yanites, B. J., Woodsworth, G. J., 2015.,
  Identifying spatial variations in glacial catchment erosion with detrital thermochronology,
  J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 120, 1023–1039, doi:10.1002/2014JF003432.
- Ehlers, T.A., Farley, K.A., Rusmore, M.E., and Woodsworth, G.J., 2006, Apatite (U- Th)/He
  signal of large-magnitude accelerated glacial erosion, southwest British Columbia.
  Geology, v. 34, p. 765-768.
- Enklemann, E. and Ehlers, T.A., 2015. Evaluation of detrital thermochronology for
   quantification of glacial catchment denudation and sediment mixing. Chem. Geol., 411
   299–309
- Farley K.A., 2000. Helium diffusion from apatite: general behavior as illustrated by Duragno
   fluorapatite. J Geophys Res 105, 2903-2914
- Fitzgerald, P. G., Sorkhabi, R. B., Redfield T. F., Stump E., 1995. Uplift and denudation of
   the central Alaska Range: A case study in the use of apatite fission-track thermo chronology to determine absolute uplift parameters. J. Geophy. Res., 100, 20175–20191.
- Fitzgerald, P.G., Malusà, M.G. Muñoz, J.A., 2019. Detrital thermochronology using
   conglomerates and cobbles IN : Malusà, , M.G. and Fitzgerald, P.G. (Editors), Fission Track Thermochronology and its Application to Geology, Springer International Publ.
   AG, 295-314.

- Flowers, R.M., Ketcham, R.A., Shuster, D.L., Farley, K.A. 2009. Apatite (U-Th)/He
  thermochronometry using a radiation damage accumulation and annealing model.
  Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73, 2347-2365.
- Fox, M., Herman, F., Willett, S.D., May, D.A., 2014. A linear inversion method to infer
  exhumation rates in space and time from thermchronological data, Earth Surf. Dynam., 2,
  47-65.
- Fox, M., Leith, K., Bodin, T., Balco, G. and Shuster, D.L., 2015. Rate of fluvial incision in
   the Cen tral Alps constrained through joint inversion of detrital <sup>10</sup>Be and
   thermochronometric data. Earth Planet. Sci. Letts., 411., 27-36.
- Galbraith, R.F., 2005., Statistics for Fission Track Analysis; Chapman and Hall/CRC, 240 p.
- Gallagher, K. 1995. Evolving thermal histories from fission track data, Earth Planet. Sci.
   Letts., 136, 421-435.
- Gallagher, K., 2012. Transdimensional inverse thermal history modelling for quantitative
   thermochronology, J. Geophys Res. 117, B02408, doi:10.1029/2011JB00882.
- Gallagher, K., Bodin, T. Sambridge, M, Weiss, D, Kylander, M, Large, D., 2011. Inference of
   abrupt changes in noisy geochemical records using Bayesian transdimensional
   changepoint models, Earth Planet. Sci. Letts., 311, 182-194.
- Gallagher, K., Stephenson, J., Brown R., Holmes, C. Fitzgerald, P. 2005. Low temperature
   thermochronology and modelling strategies for multiple samples 1 : vertical profiles,
   Earth Planet Sci. Letts., 237, 193-208.
- Garver J.I., Brandon M.T., 1994. Fission-track ages of detrital zircon from Cretaceous strata,
   southern British Columbia: Implications for the Baja BC hypothesis. Tectonics 13, 401 420
- Gautheron, C., Tassan-Got, L., Barbarand, J., Pagel, M. 2009. Effect of alpha-damage
   annealing on apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronology. Chemical Geology, 266, 157-170.
- Gemignani, L., van der Beek, P.A., Braun, J. Najman,Y. ,Bernet, M. , Garzantie, E. and
  Wijbrans, J.R., 2018. Downstream evolution of the thermochronologic age signal in the
  Brahmaputra catchment (eastern Himalaya): Implications for the detrital record of
  erosion. Earth. Planet. Sci. Letts., 499, 48-61.
- Gómez, J., Montes, N.E., Nivia, Á. & Diederix, H., compilers. 2015. Geological Map of
   Colombia 2015. Scale 1:1 000 000. Servicio Geológico Colombiano, 2 sheets. Bogotá.
- Green P.F., 1988. The relationship between track shortening and fission track age reduction in
   apatite: combined influences of inherent instability, annealing anisotropy, length bias and
   systems calibration. Earth Planet Sci Lett 89, 335-352
- Green P.F., Duddy I.R., Laslett G.M., Hegarty K.A., Gleadow A.J.W., Lovering J.F. 1989.
   Thermal annealing of fission tracks in apatite 4. Quantitative modeling techniques and extension to geological timescales. Chem Geol 79, 155-182
- Huntington, K. W., Hodges, K.V. 2006. A comparative study of detrital mineral and bedrock
  age-elevation methods for estimating erosion rates, J. Geophys. Res., 111, F03011,
  doi:10.1029/2005JF000454.
- Hurford, A. J., and Green, P. F., (1983), The zeta age calibration of fission-track dating:
  Isotope Geoscience, v. 1, no. 4, p. 285-317.
- Ketcham, R.A., Carter, A., Donelick, R.A., Barbarand, J., Hurford, A.J., 2007. Improved
   modeling of fission-track annealing in apatite. American Mineralogist 92, 799–810.

- Ketcham, R.A., Donelick, R.A., Carlson,W.D., (1999). Variability of apatite fission-track
  annealing kinetics. III. Extrapolation to geological timescales. American Mineralogist 84,
  1235–1255.
- Kim, D., Sra, S., Dhillon, I.S., 2013., A non-monotonic method for large-scale non-negative
   least squares. Optimization Meth. & Software, 28, 1012-1039.
- Malusà, M.G. and Garzanti, E. 2019. The Sedimentology of Detrital Thermochronology, IN :
   Fission-Track Thermochronology and its Application to Geology, Malusà, M.G. and
   Fitzgerald; P. (Editors) 123-143
- Malusà, M.G. and Fitzgerald, P.G. 2019. Application of Thermchronology to Geologic
  Problems : Bedrock and Detrital Approaches, IN : Malusà, , M.G. and Fitzgerald, P.G.
  (Editors), Fission-Track Thermochronology and its Application to Geology, Springer
  International Publ. AG, 191-200.
- Parra, M., Echeverri, S., Patiño, A.M., Ramírez-Arias, J.C., Mora, A., Sobel, E.R., Almendral,
  A., Pardo, A., in press, Cenozoic Evolution of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, In:
  Gómez Tapias, J., Almanza, M.F., Ochoa, A. (eds), The Geology of Colombia Book,
  Servicio Geológico Colombiano.
- Patiño, A. M., Parra, M., Ramírez, J. C., Sobel, E. R., Glodny, J., Almendral, A., and
  Echeverri, S., (2019), Thermochronological constraints on Cenozoic exhumation along
  the southern Caribbean: The Santa Marta range, northern Colombia, in Horton, B. K., and
  Folguera, A., eds., Andean Tectonics, Elsevier, p. 103-132. Read, A.L. 1999. Linear
  interpolation of histograms, Nucl. Instr. and Methods in Physics Res. A 425, 357-360.
- Reiners, P.W., Ehlers, T.A., 2005. Low-Temperature thermochronology : Techniques,
   Interpretations, and Applications. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, v. 58, 1.
   Min. Soc. Am. Geochem Soc.
- Ross., GH.M., Bowring, S.A., 1990. Detrital Zircon Geochronology of the Windermere
  Supergroup and the Tectonic Assembly of the Southern Canadian Cordillera, J. Geology,
  98, 879-893.
- Ruhl, K.W., Hodges, K.V., 2005. The use of detrital mineral cooling ages to evaluate steady
   state assumptions in active orogens: An example from the central Nepalese Himalaya.
   Tectonics 24, 1-14.
- Schoene, B. (2014) U–Th–Pb Geochronology, Treatise on Geochemistry, Volume 4 : the
   Crust, Ch. 4.10, 341-378.
- Stock, G.M., Ehlers, T.A., Farley, K.A., 2006. Where does sediment come from? Quantifying catchment erosion with detrital apatite (U–Th)/He thermochronometry. Geology 34, 725–728.
- Tranel, L.M. Spotila, J.A., Kowalewski, M.J., Waller, C.M. 2011. Spatial variation of erosion
   in a small glaciated basin in the Teton Range, Wyoming, based on detrital apatite (U Th)/He thermochronology. Basin Research, 23, 571-590.
- Valla, P.G., Herman., F., van der Beek, P.A., Braun, J., 2010. Inversion of
   thermochronological age-elevation profile to extract independent estimates of denudation
   and relief history I: Theory and conceptual model, Earth Planet. Sci. Letts., 295, 511-522
- Villagomez, D., Spikings, R;, Mora, A., Guzman, G., Ojeda, G., n, Cortés, E;, van der Lelij, R.
   2011. Vertical tectonics at a continental crust- oceanic plateau plate boundary zone:
- 659 Fission track thermochronology of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia,
- 660 Tectonics, 30, TC4004, doi:10.1029/2010TC002835

- Wagner G.A., Reimer G.M., 1972. Fission track tectonics: The tectonic interpretation of
   fission track apatite ages, Earth Planet. Sci. Letts. 14(2), 263-268.
- Wagner G.A., Reimer G.M., Jager E., 1977. Cooling ages derived by apatite fission track,
   mica Rb-Sr, and K-Ar dating: the uplift and cooling history of the central Alps. Mem.
   Inst. Geol. Mineral. Univ. Padova 30:1–27
- Whipp, D. M., Jr., Ehlers, T.A., Braun, J., Spath, C. D. 2009. Effects of exhumation
  kinematics and topographic evolution on detrital thermochronometer data, J. Geophys.
  Res., 114, F04021, doi:10.1029/2008JF001195.
- Willett, C.D., Fox, M., Shuster, D.L., 2017. A helium-based model for the effects of radiation
  damage annealing on helium diffusion kinetics in apatite. Earth. Planet. Sci. Letts., 477,
  195-204.
- 672

673

# 674 Figure Captions

Figure 1.

The conceptual model to predict detrital distributions for thermochronological data.

(a) Yellow stars represent a typical in situ or bedrock vertical profile, that may not cover the
whole elevation range in a catchment. The green star represents a modern sediment detrital
sample from the outlet of the catchment. To model the detrital sample, we use a set of
hypothetical of dummy samples spanning the total elevation range of the catchment.

Given a specified thermal history (b), and the hypothetical samples, we can predict an age elevation profile (c) for AFT and AHe (and similarly for track length distributions, not shown), using a range of control variables (CV). These are kinetic parameters for AFT and grain size for AHe. In practice the control variables are defined from the values and groups defined for a real detrital sample. This allows us to produce a set of AHe and AFT ages for the dummy samples at each elevation. Note that these predictions do not contain any statistical dispersion.

(d) By resampling the predicted ages for each control group variable at each elevation 50-100
 times and adding expected statistical variations, we can produce a distribution of ages for
 each control group variable. These are then summed, weighted by the specified proportion of
 each CV group (the thick line in (d)).

692 (e) We produce CV weighted distributions for each elevation

(f) These are summed over the elevation range and weighted by the topographic sampling
function (TSF) to give a prediction of the detrital distribution for AHe and AFT at the outlet
of the catchment.

- 696
- 697 Figure 2.

(a) Location of in situ (blue circles) and detrital (yellow circle) samples, with the AFT

centrals ages, recalculated from the data of Villagomez et al. (2011), in the Fundación

catchment (black outline) and a geological map (modified from Gómez et al. 2015) for the

701Santa Marta de Sierra Nevada, northern Colombia.SMB = Santa Marta Boundary fault.

(b) Present day distribution of elevation (SRTM 90m), or hypsometry, in the Fundación
 catchment. The black line is a running mean, and the red line is the cumulative distribution of

- 703 catchment704 elevation.
- 705 Figure 3.

(a) Inferred thermal history from just in situ bed rock samples from the Fundación catchment.
The blue and red lines indicate the thermal histories for the uppermost and lowermost elevation bedrock samples, with the 95% credible range on each. The grey lines are for other in situ samples in the vertical profile, while the yellow lines are thermal histories for dummy samples. The red box is the prior distribution for time-temperature points, and the black box is an initial constraint to force the thermal history to start at a temperature the total annealing/degassing temperatures for fission tracks and He in apatite.

- (b) Observations and predictions using the thermal history in (a). Blue circles = observed AFT
- ages, red diamonds = observed AFT Mean track length (MTL). The predictions are shown by
- the dashed lines. The predicted AFT ages also include those for the dummy samples and the
- horizontal lines show the 95% credible range on the predicted age at each elevation for all
- 717 accepted thermal histories.
- (c) Predicted detrital AFT age distribution using the thermal history in (a), assuming the
- topographic sampling function (TSF) is the present day hypsometry (see figure 2). The
- histogram and light blue line represent the observed detrital AFT ages. The red line is the
- 721 predicted distribution for the thermal history in (a), and the black line is the average of the
- 722 predicted detrital distributions for all accepted thermal histories.
- 723
- Figure 4
- (a) Inferred thermal history from just the detrital sample at the outlet of the Fundacióncatchment. See the caption for figure 3 for more details.
- (b) Observations and predictions using the thermal history in (a).
- (c) Predicted detrital AFT age distribution using the thermal history in (a), assuming the
- topographic sampling function (TSF) is the present day hypsometry.
- 730
- 731 Figure 5
- (a) Inferred thermal history from both the in situ samples and the detrital sample at the outletof the Fundación catchment. See the caption for figure 3 for more details.
- (b) Observations and predictions using the thermal history in (a).
- 735 (c) Predicted detrital AFT age distribution using the thermal history in (a), assuming the
- topographic sampling function (TSF) is the present day hypsometry.
- 737
- Figure 6
- (a) Inferred thermal history from both the in situ samples and the detrital sample at the outlet
- of the Fundación catchment, allowing for the TSF to be different to the present day
- 741 hypsometry. See the caption for figure 3 for more details.
- (b) Observations and predictions using the thermal history in (a) and the inferred TSF shownin (c).
- (c) Predicted detrital AFT age distribution using the thermal history in (a), together with the
- inferred TSF, estimated with a non-negative least squares method, using the present dayhypsometry as a starting model. See main text for details.
- 747

#### Figure 1 Click here to download Figure: Figure 1.pdf



Figure 1



Figure 2

Figure 3 Click here to download Figure: Figure 3.pdf



Figure 4 Click here to download Figure: Figure 4.pdf



Figure 5 Click here to download Figure: Figure 5.pdf



Figure 6 Click here to download Figure: Figure 6.pdf



Figure 2 (high-resolution) Click here to download Figure (high-resolution): Figure 2\_(high res).pdf

Supplementary material for online publication only Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Gallagher\_Parra\_Supplementary Material.docx