



HAL
open science

Importance of the advection scheme for the simulation of water 1 isotopes over Antarctica by atmospheric general circulation models: a case study for present-day and Last Glacial Maximum with LMDZ-iso

Alexandre Cauquoin, Camille Risi, Etienne Vignon

► To cite this version:

Alexandre Cauquoin, Camille Risi, Etienne Vignon. Importance of the advection scheme for the simulation of water 1 isotopes over Antarctica by atmospheric general circulation models: a case study for present-day and Last Glacial Maximum with LMDZ-iso. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 2019, 10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115731 . insu-02346765

HAL Id: insu-02346765

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-02346765>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Importance of the advection scheme for the simulation of water 2 isotopes over Antarctica by atmospheric general circulation 3 models: a case study for present-day and Last Glacial Maximum 4 with LMDZ-iso

5 Alexandre Cauquoin¹, Camille Risi², Étienne Vignon³

6 ¹Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Sciences, Bremerhaven, Germany

7 ²Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (LMD/IPSL), CNRS, Sorbonne
8 Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Paris, France

9 ³Environmental Remote Sensing Laboratory (LTE), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne,
10 Switzerland

11 *Correspondence to:* Alexandre Cauquoin (alexandre.cauquoin@awi.de)

12 *Keywords:* water stable isotopes, Antarctica, AGCM, advection, isotope-temperature gradient.

13 **Abstract.** Atmospheric general circulation models (AGCMs) are known to have a warm and isotopically enriched
14 bias over Antarctica. We test here the hypothesis that these biases are consequences of a too diffusive advection.
15 Exploiting the LMDZ-iso model, we show that a less diffusive representation of the advection, especially on the
16 horizontal, is very important to reduce the bias in the isotopic contents of precipitation above this area. The
17 choice of an appropriate representation of the advection is thus essential when using GCMs for paleoclimate
18 applications based on polar water isotopes. Too much diffusive mixing along the poleward transport leads to
19 overestimated isotopic contents in water vapor because dehydration by mixing follows a more enriched path
20 than dehydration by Rayleigh distillation. The near-air surface temperature is also influenced, in a more minor
21 way, by the diffusive properties of the advection scheme directly via the advection of the air and indirectly via
22 the radiative effects of changes in high cloud fraction and water vapor. A too diffusive horizontal advection
23 increases the temperature and so also contributes to enrich the isotopic contents of water vapor over Antarctica
24 through a reduction of the distillation. The temporal relationship, from Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to present-
25 day conditions, between the mean annual near-air surface temperature and the water isotopic contents of
26 precipitation for a specific location can also be impacted, with significant consequences on the paleo-
27 temperature reconstruction from observed changes in water isotopes.

28 1 Introduction

29 Water stable isotopologues (hereafter designated by the term “water isotopes”), are integrated tracers of the
30 water cycle. Especially, the isotopic composition recorded in polar ice cores enabled the reconstruction of past
31 temperature variations (Jouzel, 2013 and references therein). For example, low accumulation sites that are
32 typical on the East Antarctic Plateau (< 10 cm water-equivalent yr^{-1}) provided the longest ice core records,
33 allowing to reconstruct past climate over several glacial-interglacial cycles (Jouzel et al., 2007). However, the
34 interpretation of isotope signals remains challenging because of the numerous and complex processes involved

35 (water vapor transport, fractionation during the phase changes in the water cycle, distillation effect...). This is
36 particularly the case for Antarctica because this part of the world is subject to extreme weather conditions.

37 To improve our knowledge on the mechanisms controlling the water isotopes distribution, atmospheric general
38 circulation models (AGCMs) enhanced by the capability to explicitly simulate the hydrological cycle of the water
39 isotopes (H_2^{16}O , HDO, H_2^{17}O , H_2^{18}O) are now frequently used (Joussaume et al., 1984; Risi et al., 2010a; Werner
40 et al., 2011). Water isotopes in climate models have been used, for example, to better understand how the
41 climatic signal is recorded by isotopes in polar ice cores at paleoclimatic time scales (Werner et al., 2001).

42 However, some issues remain concerning the simulation of the climate over the Antarctic continent by AGCMs.
43 For example, they frequently present a near-surface warm bias over this area (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2006)
44 and isotopic values in precipitation that are not depleted enough compared to observations (Lee et al., 2007; Risi
45 et al., 2010a; Werner et al., 2011). This raises the question why many of the AGCMs have these warm and
46 enriched in heavy water isotopes biases over Antarctica.

47 In this paper, we hypothesize that one part of these biases is associated with an excessively diffusive water vapor
48 transport, i.e. transport that is associated with too much mixing. According to previous studies, the diffusive
49 properties of the advection scheme in the AGCMs, on the horizontal as well on the vertical, can have an impact
50 on the simulation of humidity and of its water isotope contents. On the horizontal, dehydration of air masses by
51 mixing with a drier air mass leads to more enriched water vapor than dehydration by condensation and
52 associated Rayleigh distillation (Galewsky and Hurley, 2010). For the same reason, poleward water vapor
53 transport by eddies (which act as mixing) leads to more enriched water vapor in Antarctica than transport by
54 steady advection (Hendricks et al., 2000). On the vertical, the excessive diffusion during water vapor transport
55 seems to be the cause of the moist bias found in most AGCMs in the tropical and subtropical mid and upper
56 troposphere, and of the poor simulation of isotopic seasonality in the subtropics (Risi et al., 2012). The diffusivity
57 of the advection scheme in the vertical has also important consequences on modeling of tracers like tritium by
58 affecting greatly its residence time in the stratosphere, and so its downward transport from the stratosphere to
59 the troposphere (Cauquoin et al., 2016).

60 The goal of this paper is to test whether the warm and enriched biases in Antarctica are associated with an
61 excessively diffusive water vapor transport, both on the horizontal and on the vertical. The diffusive character of
62 the advection can be varied by modifying either the advection scheme or the resolution of the simulation, and
63 we test both possibilities. Finally, we explore if a too diffusive water vapor transport can affect the temporal
64 water isotopes – temperature slope between the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21 ka) and present-day periods.

65 2 Model, simulations and data

66 2.1 Model and simulations

67 We use here the isotopic AGCM LMDZ-iso (Risi et al., 2010a) at a standard latitude-longitude R96 grid resolution
68 ($2.5^\circ \times 3.75^\circ$), and with 39 layers in the vertical spread in a way to ensure a realistic description of the
69 stratosphere and of the Brewer-Dobson circulation (Lott et al., 2005). Water isotopes are implemented in a way
70 similar to other state-of-the-art isotope-enabled AGCM (Risi et al., 2010a). The isotopic composition of glacier
71 R_{glacier} is calculated prognostically in the model. It is a precipitation-weighted average of the previous snow fall.
72 At each time step and in each grid box, it is updated as:

$$73 R_{\text{glacier}}(t + dt) = \frac{h_{\text{glacier}} \times R_{\text{glacier}}(t) + iso_{\text{snowfall}} \times dt}{h_{\text{glacier}} + H_2O_{\text{snowfall}} \times dt}, \quad (1)$$

74 with $h_{\text{glacier}} = 20 \text{ kg.m}^{-2}$ the height scale for the glacier, iso_{snowfall} and H_2O_{snowfall} the snowfall of isotopes and
75 standard water in $\text{kg.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ and $dt = 30 \times 60 \text{ s}$. No fractionation is assumed during the runoff and sublimation of
76 glaciers ice. The model has been validated at global scale for the simulation of both atmospheric (Hourdin et al.,
77 2006) and isotopic (Risi et al., 2010a) variables, and has been extensively compared to various isotopic
78 measurements in polar regions (Casado et al., 2013; Steen-Larsen et al., 2013, 2014, 2017; Bonne et al., 2014,
79 2015; Touzeau et al., 2016; Ritter et al., 2016; Stenni et al., 2016). LMDZ-iso is also able to simulate the H_2^{17}O
80 distribution (Risi et al., 2013) but we do not consider it here because the limitations inherent to the AGCMs lead
81 to strong uncertainties and numerical errors on the spatio-temporal distribution of this isotope. 2 years of spin-
82 up have been performed for all the simulations presented hereafter.

83 To quantify the effects of the prescribed advection scheme on water stable isotope values over Antarctica, we
84 first performed three sensitivity simulations with LMDZ-iso under present-day conditions for the post spin-up
85 period 1990-2008 (i.e. 19 model years), following the model setup from Cauquoin et al. (2016) i.e. simulations
86 follow the AMIP protocol (Gates, 1992), forced by monthly observed sea-surface temperatures and nudged by
87 the horizontal winds from 20CR reanalyses (Compo et al., 2011): (1) one control simulation with the van Leer
88 (1977) advection scheme (called VL), which is a second order monotonic finite volume scheme prescribed by
89 default in the standard version of the model (Risi et al., 2010a); and two other simulations whose the van Leer
90 advection scheme has been replaced by a single upstream scheme (Godunov, 1959) on (2) the horizontal plane
91 (UP_xy) and on (3) the vertical direction (UP_z). Depending on one tunable parameter, the LMDZ model can be
92 used with these 2 versions of the advection scheme according to the object of study (Risi et al., 2012). The
93 advection scheme in the simulations presented in the LMDZ-iso reference paper from Risi et al. (2010a) was set
94 erroneously to the simple upstream scheme rather than to the van Leer's scheme (Risi et al., 2010b), and has
95 little influence on their simulated spatial and temporal distributions of water isotopes at a global scale. However,
96 as we will show here, this has considerable effect on the spatial distribution of these proxies over region with
97 extreme weather conditions such as Antarctica. The 2-year spin-up time is enough to reach equilibrium. In the
98 VL simulation, the globally and annually average values of temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation for 1990 are

99 13.06°C and -7.53‰ respectively, very close to the average values over the whole period 1990-2008 (13.14°C
100 and -7.57 ‰) within the average interannual variability of 0.15°C and 0.06 ‰. The conclusion is the same if we
101 focus on the 60°S-90°S area instead: the average values of temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation for the year
102 1990 are -17.89°C and -25.45 ‰ respectively, very close to the average values over the whole period 1990-2008
103 (-17.71°C and -25.34 ‰) within the average interannual variability of 0.43°C and 0.29 ‰.

104 The detailed description of the mixing ratio by the van Leer's (1977) scheme and its comparison with the
105 upstream scheme (Godunov, 1959) can be found in the Appendix A of Cauquoin et al. (2016). To resume, the
106 mixing ratio at the left boundary of box i , $q_{i-1/2}$, is calculated as a linear combination of the mixing ratio in the
107 boxes $i-1$ and i in the van Leer's scheme whereas in the upstream scheme $q_{i-1/2} = q_{i-1}$. This means that in the
108 upstream scheme, even if the air mass flux from grid box $i-1$ to grid box i is very small, the air that is advected
109 into box i has the same water vapor mixing ratio as grid box $i-1$ as a whole. This makes the upstream scheme
110 much more diffusive. An example of the effect of these 2 different advection schemes in a very idealized case is
111 given in the figure 3 (top panel) of Hourdin and Armengaud (1999). In a single dimension case, a tracer
112 distribution is initially rectangle and is advected with a constant velocity such that Courant number is equal to
113 0.2. After 70 iterations, the initial rectangle shape is almost unchanged with the van Leer scheme, whereas it
114 spreads into a flat Gaussian shape with the upstream scheme. Quantitatively, almost half of the tracer mass
115 becomes outside the initial rectangle shape with the upstream scheme.

116 Increasing the grid resolution is equivalent to using an advection scheme that is less diffusive. Indeed, these
117 finite-difference schemes are discretization methods and so depend on the chosen spatial resolution. To check
118 that our findings and conclusions are consistent, we performed two more UP_xy and VL simulations under
119 present-day conditions with the same configuration as presented above but at the R144 resolution (latitude-
120 longitude grid resolution of $1.27^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$).

121 Finally, we evaluate the impacts of applying advection schemes with different diffusive properties on the
122 temporal relationship between water isotopic contents of precipitation and mean air surface temperature,
123 essential for paleo-temperature reconstructions. For this, we effected 12 years long (the two first years being
124 used for the spin-up) UP_xy and VL simulations for present-day "free" (i.e. not nudged by the 20CR reanalyses,
125 still following the AMIP protocol) and LGM conditions at the R96 resolution. For the LGM simulations, the PMIP3
126 protocol is applied (Braconnot et al., 2012). Orbital parameters and greenhouse gas concentrations are set to
127 their LGM values. ICE-5G ice sheet conditions are applied (Peltier, 1994). LMDZ-iso is forced by the climatological
128 sea-surface temperatures (SSTs) and sea ice from the IPSL-CM4 model (Marti et al., 2005). The SST simulated by
129 IPSL-CM4 for pre-industrial conditions (PI) has a global bias of -0.95 Kelvin with a cold bias in the mid-latitudes,
130 a warm bias on the eastern side of the tropical oceans and on the Southern Ocean, and a particularly strong cold
131 bias in the North Atlantic (Hourdin et al., 2013). To avoid confusing these biases with LGM – present-day signals,
132 we use the SSTs from an IPSL PI simulation in the following way to cancel out the biases in the IPSL model common
133 to both the LGM and PI simulations (see details in Risi et al., 2010a): $\text{SST forcing} = \text{SST}_{\text{LGM}} - \text{SST}_{\text{PI}} + \text{SST}_{\text{AMIP}}$. We
134 set the sea surface $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ to +1.2‰ and assume no glacial change in the mean deuterium excess in the ocean, as

135 in Risi et al. (2010a). Note once again that the 2-year spin-up time is enough to reach equilibrium. In the VL
136 present-day “free” simulation, the globally and annually averaged values of temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in
137 precipitation for the first post spin-up model year are 12.54°C and -8.27‰ respectively, very close to the average
138 values over the 10 years of simulation (12.54°C and -8.34‰) in comparison to the interannual variabilities of
139 0.06°C and 0.06‰. The conclusion still holds if we focus on the 60°S-90°S area instead: the average values of
140 temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation for the first post spin-up year are -22.03°C and -26.08‰ respectively, very
141 close to the average values over the 10 years of simulation (-22.04°C and -26.04‰) within the average
142 interannual variability of 0.10°C and 0.21‰.

143 We express the isotopic composition of difference water bodies in the usual δ -notation as the deviation from the
144 Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW). So for H_2^{18}O , the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value is calculated as $\delta^{18}\text{O} =$
145 $([\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}]/[\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}]_{\text{sample}} / ([\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}]/[\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}]_{\text{V-SMOW}} - 1) \times 1000$. Long-time mean δ values are then calculated as
146 precipitation-weighted mean. For the quantitative model-data comparisons, we retrieve the model values at
147 data geographical coordinates by bilinear interpolation. Without such an interpolation, i.e. considering the
148 nearest grid point instead, the root-mean-squared errors (RMSE) of mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and temperature from the VL
149 simulation differ only by 0.5‰ and 0.14°C compared to the results presented below, so the uncertainty
150 associated with the model-data co-location is small.

151 **2.2 Observations**

152 For analyzing the model performance over Antarctica under present-day conditions, we make use of the
153 observational isotope database compiled by Masson-Delmotte et al. (2008). We also focus especially on the East-
154 Antarctic plateau (defined by the black bold contour of 2500 m above sea level elevation in Figure 1) because
155 this area provides the main reconstructions of past climate based on the interpretation of water stable isotope
156 records. To compare the model-data agreement of our simulations with van Leer and upstream advection
157 schemes for atmospheric boundary layer, inversion temperatures, we use additional datasets for the EPICA
158 Dome C station (EDC: [75.10° S; 123.35° E]): the surface temperature, the 10m-temperature and the downward
159 longwave radiative flux at the surface (LW_{dn}) over the period 2011-2018 thanks to the CALVA program and the
160 Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BRSN) (Vignon et al., 2018 and references therein); and the observed
161 precipitable water from radiosoundings data for the period 2010-2017. We are aware that the observations
162 periods are not the same than our model period (1990-2008), giving a possible bias in the model-data
163 comparison. For the cloud cover, we use the CALIPSO-GOCCP observations (Chepfer et al., 2010) over the period
164 2007-2008. We compare these data with the high-level cloud fraction over EDC simulated by LMDZ-iso through
165 the COSP package (Bodas-Salcedo et al., 2011), which allows to simulate what the CALIOP instrument on-board
166 CALIPSO would measure if it was into orbit above the simulated atmosphere. The difference in LW_{dn} between
167 the simulations VL and UP_xy at EDC is also present all over the East Antarctic plateau, confirming that EDC is
168 representative of this area.

169 **3 Results and discussion**

170 **3.1 Model-data comparison for present-day conditions**

171 **3.1.1 Water stable isotopes**

172 Figure 1 shows the observed annual mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values in the snow surface in Antarctica compiled by Masson-
173 Delmotte et al. (2008) (Figure 1a) and the difference with the modeled annual $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation from the
174 UP_z (Figure 1b), UP_xy (Figure 1c) and VL (Figure 1d) simulations. The spatial average over the 60°S – 90°S area
175 of standard deviations of long-time $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD model values is of 0.97 and 7.48 ‰, respectively. The results
176 from the VL simulation are in better agreement with the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ observations over Antarctica (Figure 1d). This is
177 confirmed by the smaller root-mean-squared error of modeled $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation from the VL simulation,
178 calculated as the difference between the observed annual mean values and the LMDZ-iso results (RMSE = 4.47‰,
179 i.e. 12.2% of the observed mean Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value). The results from the VL simulation for the other isotopic
180 variable δD is also the closest of the observations with a RMSE of 40.93‰ (Table 1, red background). Our
181 simulated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation is very sensitive to the choice of the advection scheme on the horizontal plane,
182 with more enriched values when a more diffusive advection scheme is applied (Figure 1c). This is reflected by the
183 mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ model value and RMSE, higher by 3.16 and 4.31 ‰ than the VL simulation values. On the contrary,
184 the sensitivity of Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values to the diffusive properties of vertical advection is weak (Figure 1b) with
185 an RMSE very close of the VL one (4.84 ‰). The results from the VL simulation for the other isotopic variable δD
186 is also the closest of the observations with a RMSE of 40.93‰ (Table 1, red background). According to the
187 observations, the East-Antarctic plateau is where the water isotope values are the lowest (mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ below -
188 40‰, Figure 1a) due to the very low temperatures. Because of the extreme cold and dry conditions at this area,
189 one can see that the main disagreements between model outputs and observations are located at this place
190 (Figure 1 and blue background of Table 1). Again, the isotopic outputs from the VL simulation are in better
191 agreement with the observations (Table 1, blue background). These first results confirm that an excessively
192 diffusive water vapor transport influences significantly the simulated isotopic and temperature values over
193 Antarctica.

194 **3.1.2 Temperature**

195 The bias in temperature is deteriorated about in the same way when applying a more diffusive advection on the
196 vertical direction or on the horizontal plane, as shown with the RMSE of annual mean temperature of 7.50, 7.31
197 and 6.60°C for the UP_z, UP_xy and VL simulations respectively (Table 1, red background). This tendency is the
198 same when focusing on the East-Antarctic plateau. However, in average over the East Antarctic plateau, the
199 temperatures of -30.51, -30.69 and -31.54°C from the UP_z, UP_xy and VL simulations are all within the spatial
200 average of standard deviations of long-time temperature values (0.87°C). These values are all much warmer than
201 the average observed temperature (-36.93°C). This shows that other factors than advection are responsible for
202 the warm biases.

203 It has been suggested that the Antarctic warm bias in AGCMs could be linked to the general poor representation
204 of the polar atmospheric boundary layer and related atmospheric inversion temperatures in these models
205 (Krinner et al., 1997). Cesana and Chepfer (2012) have also shown that CMIP5 models generate too many high-
206 level clouds (i.e. above an altitude of 6.72 km), that can partly explain the overestimation of temperatures in
207 Antarctica, due to their effect on downwelling longwave radiation. To go further, we compare the seasonal
208 signals of surface temperature, near-surface thermal inversion (defined here as the difference between the 10m-
209 temperature and the surface temperature), downward longwave radiative flux at the surface (LW_{dn}), integrated
210 water vapor column and high cloud fraction at EPICA Dome C (EDC: [75.10° S; 123.35° E]) from VL and UP_xy
211 simulations with meteorological observations (see Figure 2 and the description of the data in section 2.2).

212 Both VL and UP_xy simulations have a warm bias at the surface (Figure 2a) and underestimate the near-surface
213 inversion (Figure 2b), especially during the austral winter. This is mostly explained by an overly active turbulent
214 mixing within stable boundary layers in LMDZ5 (Vignon et al., 2017). The disagreement with the observed near-
215 surface inversion at EDC is exacerbated in the UP_xy simulation because the modeled surface temperature is
216 more affected by the change of advection scheme than the modeled 10m-temperature. This is consistent with a
217 higher total LW_{dn} flux over EDC in the UP_xy simulation than in the VL one (Figure 2c), by around 5 W.m^{-2} in
218 summer and 8 W.m^{-2} in austral winter. The difference of LW_{dn} between our two simulations can be due to two
219 aspects: the fraction of high cloud and the amount of water vapor (e.g., Vignon et al., 2018). The modeled high-
220 level cloud fraction over EDC for the period 2007-2008 is overestimated compared to the CALIPSO-GOCCP
221 observations (Figure 2f). This finding is consistent with the results from Cesana and Chepfer (2012) for CMIP5
222 models and with Lacour et al. (2018) for dry areas over Greenland. This result also concurs with an overestimated
223 relative humidity with respect to ice in the troposphere compared to radiosoundings (not shown) during winter
224 seasons, especially in the UP_xy simulation. The disagreement of high cloud cover is clearly enhanced in the
225 UP_xy case, which is consistent with stronger LW_{dn} in the UP_xy simulation. The comparison of LW_{dn} under clear
226 sky in our two simulations (Figure 2d) can give us information about the contribution of cloud and water vapor
227 on the variations of total LW_{dn} (Figure 2c). The stronger simulated LW_{dn} in the UP_xy simulation is due mainly to
228 the high cloud in winter (70 %) and to both high cloud and water vapor during summer (42.5 and 57.5 %,
229 respectively). The effect of water vapor on downward longwave radiative flux is also confirmed by the higher
230 amount of precipitable water over EDC in the UP_xy simulation (Figure 2e). From these results, we deduce that
231 the warmer surface temperature in the UP_xy simulation is due to the higher air temperature (direct effect of
232 the horizontal upstream advection) and to the radiative amplification from high clouds and in a lesser extent
233 from water vapor (indirect effect of the horizontal upstream advection).

234 The near-surface warm bias in LMDZ-iso, which is most pronounced for the coldest temperatures (see Figure 3),
235 has the consequence that the distillation is not strong enough. Some microphysical processes and kinetic
236 fractionation at very low temperature can be missed too. These different aspects could contribute to an
237 overestimation of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD in precipitation over Antarctica. Finally, the 20CR reanalysis assimilate only
238 surface observations of air pressure and use the observed monthly sea surface temperature and sea ice
239 concentration as lower boundary conditions. These less strong constraints, compared to other reanalyses, may

240 cause biases on the surface temperature over the poles (A. Orsi, personal communication), that can impact our
241 isotopic delta values.

242 **3.1.3 Spatial $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –temperature relationship**

243 We compare now our simulated spatial $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –temperature relationship and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values for a given temperature
244 to the ones from the data compiled by Masson-Delmotte et al. (2008). Over the full temperature range, the
245 spatial gradient is $0.83 \text{‰} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$ in the VL simulation, very close of the observed one ($0.80 \text{‰} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$). We make the
246 same comparison but by restricting the dataset to the ones on the East-Antarctic plateau (Figure 3). As noticed
247 previously, the average modeled temperature over Antarctica is overestimated whatever the simulation
248 considered. Especially, no simulated temperature reaches a value below -50°C . Yet, simulated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are
249 too depleted for the temperature range between -49°C and -43.5°C . As a consequence, a steeper modeled $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –
250 temperature gradient is observed for the lowest temperatures, giving a modeled global gradient of $1.24 \text{‰} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$
251 (thin orange line). If we restrict the fit to simulated temperatures higher than -43.5°C (vertical dashed line in
252 Figure 3), corresponding to the change of slope in the simulated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –temperature relationship (thick orange
253 line), the simulated gradient ($0.96 \text{‰} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$) is in more reasonable agreement with the one from the observations
254 ($0.85 \text{‰} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$).

255 We now discuss possible reasons that could explain why the simulated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –temperature slope is too steep at
256 very low temperatures. First, it could be related to missing representation of fractionation during sublimation
257 from the surface. As for all AGCMs equipped with water isotopes, fractionation at sublimation is not taken into
258 account in LMDZ-iso. However, this effect would lead to further decrease of the water vapor $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in polar region
259 and hence contribute to an even steeper $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ –temperature slope at low temperature (hence further accentuate
260 the mismatch). Second, the slope mismatch could be related to poorly represented kinetic fractionation. As in all
261 the other models equipped with water isotopes, the parameterization of kinetic effect during vapor-to-solid
262 condensation is represented empirically using a linear relationship between the supersaturation and the
263 condensation temperature (Risi et al., 2010a). A modification of the temperature can thus induce some change
264 in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of the condensate, but this effect is of second order compared to the distillation effect explaining
265 much of the slope between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and surface temperature. Third, the slope mismatch could be related to a poor
266 representation of the atmospheric boundary layer and of its related inversion temperature (Krinner et al., 1997;
267 Masson-Delmotte et al., 2006), as shown in the Figure 2. In LMDZ-iso, the warm bias in the simulated
268 condensation temperature is smaller than that in the simulated surface temperature. Therefore, the water vapor
269 masses continue to be distilled when moving away from the coast, while the cooling simulated at the surface
270 from the coast to the remote region of the East Antarctic plateau is much less steep than in the reality.

271 **3.2 Comparison of the different simulations**

272 **3.2.1 Effects of the diffusive properties of the advection scheme**

273 We compare here the results from our different present-day simulations at a R96 grid resolution. The UP_z
274 simulation (upstream vertical advection, Figure 1b) increases the bias a little in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, but its results stay relatively

275 close of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values from the VL simulation, indicated by the similar average values that differ only by 0.89‰
276 for all Antarctica (Table 1) that is smaller than the mean of the 60° S – 90° S standard deviations. On the other
277 hand, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ outputs from the UP_xy simulation (upstream horizontal advection, Figure 1c) display greater
278 differences with the VL simulation ones, and so with the isotopic data, as revealed by the mean UP_xy - VL
279 difference in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of 4.31‰. This is even more significant when focusing on the East-Antarctic plateau, with a
280 model-data difference in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ reaching 20‰ at some locations. The annual mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values from the
281 UP_xy simulation are increased by 6.39‰ and 44.26‰ compared to the VL simulation average values, in less
282 agreement with the observations as shown by their respective RMSE values (Table 1, blue background). It shows
283 that the diffusive property of the advection scheme on the horizontal plane is essential to better model the water
284 isotope distribution, especially over Antarctica. To go further, one can also compare the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values at a fixed
285 temperature for the UP_xy and VL simulations (Figure 3, red and orange crosses respectively). The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in
286 precipitation for a temperature of -32°C over the East-Antarctic plateau is already smaller by 6.1‰ in the VL
287 simulation. This very significant difference in initial $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ can be attributed to the proportion of mixing against
288 distillation that affects the water vapor during its transport. This lends support to our hypothesis that too much
289 diffusive mixing along the poleward transport leads to overestimated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ because dehydration by mixing
290 follows a more enriched path than dehydration by Rayleigh distillation (Hendricks et al., 2000; Galewsky and
291 Hurley, 2010). We expect the relative contribution of mixing vs. distillation to have the largest impact on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at
292 latitudes where eddies are the most active. This is probably why the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ difference between the VL and UP_xy
293 simulation becomes large in mid-latitudes, over the austral ocean before arriving at the Antarctica coast (not
294 shown), hence the difference in “initial” $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in Figure 3.

295 As noticed in section 3.1.2, all our simulations overestimate the average temperature in Antarctica and even
296 more on the East-Antarctic plateau. A more diffusive advection on the horizontal or on the vertical increases the
297 mean temperature value by 0.85 and 1.03°C respectively compared to the VL result. To explain such an influence
298 of the advection on the temperature over Antarctica, even secondary, one can hypothesize that the Antarctic
299 continent is better isolated, and so colder, when the advection of the model is less diffusive. If we focus now on
300 the link between the temperature and the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation over all the continent, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -temperature
301 gradients according to our different R96 simulations UP_z, UP_xy and VL are at 0.79, 0.69 and 0.83 ‰.°C⁻¹
302 respectively. The difference between the VL and UP_xy gradient shows an effect of diffusive properties of the
303 large-scale transport on the distillation process. This difference between the modeled $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -temperature
304 gradients is reduced if we restrict to the temperature range above -43.5°C over the East-Antarctic plateau, with
305 gradients of 0.93 and 0.96 ‰.°C⁻¹ according to the UP_xy and VL simulations respectively (Figure 3, red and
306 orange thick lines).

307 Since the simulated temperature difference between the UP_xy and VL simulations is in the error margin, i.e.
308 less than 1°C, we do not expect that the temperature difference explains the difference in spatial slopes. Rather,
309 the larger slope in simulation VL is due to the larger relative contribution of Rayleigh distillation compared to
310 mixing.

311 3.2.2 Effects of the horizontal grid resolution

312 We test now the hypothesis that to increase the horizontal resolution is equivalent to using an advection scheme
313 that is less diffusive. The Antarctica-mean results are summarized in the Table 2. Compared to the UP_xy R96
314 simulation, the average value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation is decreased by 4.31‰ when the advection scheme is
315 improved (VL R96), and by 2.42‰ when the horizontal resolution is increased (UP_xy R144), in better agreement
316 with the observations. The picture is the same for the δD outputs. The decrease of the mean modeled
317 temperature values, smaller than the mean of the long-time standard deviations on the 60° S – 90° S area, is the
318 same by changing the advection scheme or by increasing the resolution: by 0.85°C and 0.76°C respectively. The
319 best results are reached by improving both the advection scheme and the horizontal resolution at the same time,
320 with model-data differences in temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of 4.83°C and 0.88‰ respectively. This confirms that an
321 increase of the horizontal resolution plays the same role as an improvement in the representation of the
322 advection scheme on its horizontal plane. It is worth mentioning that the improvement in model-data agreement
323 using a higher horizontal grid resolution is probably not only due to an improved representation of the advection
324 in the model but, among others, to a better resolved Antarctic topography and near surface circulation. Our
325 results are consistent with the study of Werner et al. (2011) that shows, for an increased horizontal resolution,
326 a better agreement of the simulated isotopic delta values and of the water isotope-temperature gradient with
327 the observations. The seasonality in modeled Antarctic temperature and precipitation, which influences the
328 precipitation-weighted isotope values, is not altered by the change of advection scheme or horizontal grid
329 resolution.

330 3.2.3 Effects on the LGM to present-day change in temperature

331 We evaluate now the effects of the diffusive properties of the advection scheme on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – temperature
332 temporal slope at different Antarctic locations between LGM and present-day. For this, we compare the VL and
333 UP_xy LGM simulations with their present-day “free” counterparts (i.e. without nudging). Figure 4a shows VL
334 simulated temporal slopes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – temperature at each location as $\Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}/\Delta T$. Over East Antarctica, the
335 simulated temporal slope becomes larger inland, going from near to 0 ‰.°C⁻¹ on the coast to 2 ‰.°C⁻¹ on the
336 deep East-Antarctic plateau. Over West Antarctica, the temporal slopes are more heterogeneous and show
337 variations from 0.1 to 1 ‰.°C⁻¹. The slopes at WDC (Wais Divide Core, square symbol), Siple Dome (triangle
338 symbol), Vostok (circle symbol) and EDC (cross symbol) are of 0.80, 0.66, 0.73 and 0.37 ‰.°C⁻¹ respectively
339 (calculated by considering the 9 grid cells centered on each drill location). Figure 4b shows the differences
340 between the UP_xy and VL simulated slopes. As the spatial slopes from the VL simulation are higher than the
341 UP_xy one, it is expected that the LGM to present-day temporal slopes are larger in the VL simulation than in the
342 UP_xy one because of the colder temperatures and of the higher relative contribution of the Rayleigh distillation.
343 This is the case on the western part of the continent where the UP_xy temporal slopes are smaller than the VL
344 ones by up to 0.6 ‰.°C⁻¹. On the East-Antarctic plateau, the VL $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – temperature slopes are larger between the
345 longitudes 100°E and 140°E than in UP_xy as well. The slope values are smaller in VL than in UP_xy only over the
346 center of the continent, which corresponds to the lowest simulated temperature values and so where the

347 gradient between the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and temperature values is much steeper (see 2nd paragraph of section 3.1.3),
348 especially for LGM conditions.

349 We highlight now the consequences that an excessively diffusive horizontal advection may have on the LGM
350 cooling reconstructed from simulated temporal slopes. For this, we calculated the difference in the LGM to
351 present-day change in temperature deduced from the observed changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and simulated slopes ($\Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}/\Delta T$)
352 by VL and UP_xy, at WDC and Siple Dome stations for West Antarctica, and Vostok and EDC stations for East
353 Antarctica (Table 3). For WDC, Siple Dome and Vostok stations, the average changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ between LGM and
354 present-day are larger in the VL simulation, -11.98, -9.88 and -3.90 ‰ respectively, than in the UP_xy simulation
355 (-7.52, -6.24 and -2.07 ‰ respectively). For the EDC station, the difference in LGM to present-day change in
356 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ between our VL and UP_xy simulations can be considered in the margin error (-1.97 and -1.70 ‰ for VL and
357 UP_xy simulations respectively). The values deduced from the VL simulation are also in better agreement with
358 the observations (Table 3). As noticed previously, the temporal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -temperature gradients at WDC, Siple Dome,
359 Vostok and EDC are also larger in the VL simulation by 57%, 65%, 92% and 26% respectively, compared to the
360 values deduced from the UP_xy simulation. As a consequence, if one applies the slope from the UP_xy simulation
361 instead of the VL one, we would overestimate the present-day-to-LGM cooling at these stations by 4.34, 5.83,
362 3.76 and 2.95°C respectively. Even if the change in the temporal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -temperature gradient at EDC is relatively
363 smaller than for the other stations, the consequence on the reconstructed present-day-to-LGM cooling value is
364 still important. These results show that a more diffusive advection scheme on the horizontal plane can affect
365 greatly the LGM to present-day temperature change deduced from observed $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation.

366 **4 Conclusions**

367 We have tested with LMDZ-iso if the warm and isotopically enriched biases in Antarctica, frequently observed in
368 the AGCMs, are associated with the diffusive property of the advection scheme. The simulated water isotope
369 contents in Antarctica are very sensitive to the diffusive character of the water vapor transport on the horizontal
370 plane. The higher the contribution of mixing (i.e. diffusion), the more enriched the precipitation. These findings
371 are even more striking for the East-Antarctic plateau where the main ice cores allowing paleoclimate
372 reconstructions are located. Moreover, because the diffusive character of the large-scale transport influences
373 the temperature in this region, even in a light way, this has an impact on the modeled water isotopic composition
374 through the Rayleigh distillation. So, we conclude here that the excessive numerical diffusion has a large
375 influence on the enriched isotopic bias. For the spatial isotope-temperature relationship over the East-Antarctic
376 plateau observed in LMDZ-iso, this latter is improved for the temperatures above -43.5°C, in more reasonable
377 agreement with the observations. At the lowest temperatures (i.e still over the East-Antarctic plateau), that the
378 model is not able to reach, the non-linearity observed in our simulations (the spatial $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – temperature
379 relationship is steeper for the lowest temperatures) can be unlikely explained at first order to missing or poorly
380 represented kinetic fractionation. One can speculate that the water masses continue to be distilled when
381 moving away from the coast, hence depleting the water vapor in heavy isotopes while the modeled temperature
382 decrease from the coast to the remote region of the East Antarctic plateau is much less steep than in the reality.

383 This more pronounced effect in the case of a more diffusive horizontal advection scheme could be due to the
384 deteriorated representation of the inversion temperature. The temporal isotope–temperature relationship at
385 some locations in Antarctica can be influenced by the diffusive properties of the advection scheme on its
386 horizontal domain. As for the spatial gradient, an excessive numerical diffusion has the consequence to decrease
387 the isotope-temperature temporal gradient, leading to a wrong estimation of the LGM to present-day
388 temperature change deduced from observed $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Our study demonstrates that a representation of the
389 advection scheme in the AGCMs taking into account water isotopes and isotopic gradients, especially on the
390 horizontal domain, is an important step toward a more realistic modeling of water isotopes over Antarctica.
391 Another way to improve this aspect is to increase the spatial resolution, which has the same effect as applying a
392 less diffusive advection scheme on the water isotopic composition and the temperature. This study shows again
393 the importance of using water stable isotopes in GCMs for the evaluation and quantification of the processes
394 influencing the hydrological cycle, including the advection of water vapor. We expect our main results (excessive
395 diffusive advection leading to warmer temperatures, moister boundary layer and more enriched water vapor) to
396 be robust and to hold in other models as well. However, the quantitative response to the advection scheme may
397 be modulated by the representation of boundary layer processes and high-cloud microphysics in each model.

398 *Acknowledgements.* We thank A. Landais for her useful suggestions on this manuscript, J.-B. Madeleine and C.
399 Genthon for their kind support about inversion temperatures over EDC, and R. Guzman, C. Listowski and H.
400 Chepfer for their help with the GOCCP data. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of IDRIS under
401 the allocation 0292 made by GENCI. The research leading to these results has received funding from the
402 European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/20072013)/ERC
403 grant agreement no. 30604. We thank Christophe Genthon and the CALVA program for acquiring and distributing
404 meteorological data at Dome C (<http://www.lmd.jussieu.fr/~cgenthon/SiteCALVA/CalvaBackground.html>) with
405 the support of the french polar institute (IPEV). We also acknowledge the WCRP-BSRN network and Angelo Lupi
406 for the dissemination of radiation data. Radiosoundings data at Dome C are freely distributed in the framework
407 of the IPEV/PNRA Project “Routine Meteorological Observation at Station Concordia”— www.climantartide.it.

408 **References**

409 Bodas-Salcedo, A., Webb, M. J., Bony, S., Chepfer, H., Dufresne, J., Klein, S. A., Zhang, Y., Marchand, R., Haynes,
410 J. M., Pincus, R., and John, V. O.: COSP: Satellite simulation software for model assessment, *Bull. Amer. Meteor.*
411 *Soc.*, 92, 1023–1043, doi:10.1175/2011BAMS2856.1, 2011.

412 Bonne, J.-L., Masson-Delmotte, V., Cattani, O., Delmotte, M., Risi, C., Sodemann, H., and Steen-Larsen, H. C.: The
413 isotopic composition of water vapour and precipitation in Ivittuut, southern Greenland, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14,
414 4419-4439, doi:10.5194/acp-14-4419-2014, 2014.

415 Bonne, J.-L., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Risi, C., Werner, M., Sodemann, H., Lacour, J.-L., Fettweis, X., Cesana, G.,
416 Delmotte, M., Cattani, O., Vallelonga, P., Kjær, H. A., Clerbaux, C., Sveinbjörnsdóttir, Á. E., and Masson-Delmotte,

417 V.: The summer 2012 Greenland heat wave: In situ and remote sensing observations of water vapor isotopic
418 composition during an atmospheric river event, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 2970–2989, doi:10.1002/2014JD022602,
419 2015.

420 Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner,
421 B. and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 2, 417-424,
422 doi:10.1038/nclimate1456, 2012.

423 Brook, E. J., White, J. W. C., Schilla, A. S. M., Bender, M. L., Barnett, B., Severinghaus, J. P., Taylor, K. C., Alley, R.
424 B. and Steig, E. J.: Timing of millennial-scale climate change at Siple Dome, West Antarctica, during the last glacial
425 period, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 24, 1333–1343, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2005.02.002, 2005.

426 Casado, M., Ortega, P., Masson-Delmotte, V., Risi, C., Swingedouw, D., Daux, V., Genty, D., Maignan, F., Solomina,
427 O., Vinther, B., Viovy, N., and Yiou, P.: Impact of precipitation intermittency on NAO-temperature signals in proxy
428 records, *Clim. Past*, 9, 871–886, doi:10.5194/cp-9-871-2013, 2013.

429 Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Risi, C., Fourré, É., Stenni, B., and Landais, A.: Modeling the global bomb tritium
430 transient signal with the AGCM LMDZ-iso: A method to evaluate aspects of the hydrological cycle. *J. Geophys.*
431 *Res. Atm.*, 121, 12,612–12,629, doi:10.1002/2016JD025484, 2016.

432 Cesana, G. and Chepfer, H.: How well do climate models simulate cloud vertical structure? A comparison between
433 CALIPSO-GOCCP satellite observations and CMIP5 models, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L20803, doi:
434 10.1029/2012GL053153, 2012.

435 Chepfer, H., Bony, S., Winker, D., Cesana, G., Dufresne, J. L., Minnis, P., Stubenrauch, C. J. and Zeng, S.: The GCM
436 Oriented CALIPSO Cloud Product (CALIPSO-GOCCP), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D00H16, doi:10.1029/2009JD012251,
437 2010.

438 Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Matsui, N., Allan, R. J., Yin, X., Gleason, B. E., Vose, R. S.,
439 Rutledge, G., Bessemoulin, P., Brönnimann, S., Brunet, M., Crouthamel, R. I., Grant, A. N., Groisman, P. Y., Jones,
440 P. D., Kruk, M. C., Kruger, A. C., Marshall, G. J., Maugeri, M., Mok, H. Y., Nordli, Ø., Ross, T. F., Trigo, R. M., Wang,
441 X. L., Woodruff, S. D. and Worley, S. J. : The Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 137, 1–
442 28. doi:10.1002/qj.776, 2011.

443 EPICA Community Members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, *Nature*, 429(6992), 623–628,
444 doi:10.1038/nature02599, 2004.

445 Galewsky, J., and Hurley, J. V.: An advection-condensation model for subtropical water vapor isotopic ratios, *J.*
446 *Geophys. Res. Atm.*, 115, D16116, doi:10.1029/2009JD013651, 2010.

447 Gates, W. L.: AMIP: The Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 73, 1962–1970,
448 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1992)073<1962:ATAMIP>2.0.CO;2, 1992.

449 Godunov, S. K.: Finite-difference methods for the numerical computations of equations of gas dynamics, *Math.*
450 *Sb*, 7, 271–290, 1959. Hendricks, M. B., DePaolo, D. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Space and time variation of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD
451 in precipitation: Can paleotemperature be estimated from ice cores?, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14, 851–861,
452 doi:10.1029/1999GB001198, 2000.

453 Hourdin, F. and Armengaud, A.: The Use of Finite-Volume Methods for Atmospheric Advection of Trace Species.
454 Part I: Test of Various Formulations in a General Circulation Model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 127, 822–837,
455 doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1999)127<0822:TUOFVM>2.0.CO;2, 1999.

456 Hourdin, F., Musat, I., Bony, S., Braconnot, P., Codron, F., Dufresne, J.-L., Fairhead, L., Filiberti, M.-A.,
457 Friedlingstein, P., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Krinner, G., Levan, P., Li, Z.-X., and Lott, F.: The LMDZ4 general circulation
458 model: climate performance and sensitivity to parametrized physics with emphasis on tropical convection. *Clim.*
459 *Dynam.*, 27, 787–813, doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0158-0, 2006.

460 Hourdin, F., Foujols, M.-A., Codron, F., Guemas, V., Dufresne, J.-L., Bony, S., Denvil, S., Guez, L., Lott, F., Ghattas,
461 J., Braconnot, P., Marti, O., Meurdesoif, Y. and Bopp, L.: Impact of the LMDZ atmospheric grid configuration on
462 the climate and sensitivity of the IPSL-CM5A coupled model, *Clim. Dynam.*, 40(9), 2167–2192,
463 doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1411-3, 2013.

464 Joussaume, S., Sadourny, R., and Jouzel, J.: A general circulation model of water isotope cycles in the atmosphere,
465 *Nature*, 311, 24–29, doi:10.1038/311024a0, 1984.

466 Jouzel, J.: A brief history of ice core science over the last 50 yr, *Clim. Past*, 9, 2525–2547, doi:10.5194/cp-9-2525-
467 2013, 2013.

468 Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Cattani, O., Dreyfus, G., Falourd, S., Hoffmann, G., Nouet, J., Johnsen, S. J.,
469 Leuenberger, M., Oerter, H., Parrenin, F., Raisbeck, G., Schwander, J., Souchez, R., Selmo, E., Stenni, B., Stocker,
470 T., and Werner, M.: Orbital and millennial antarctic climate variability over the last 800,000 years, *Science*, 317,
471 793–796, doi:10.1126/science.1141038, 2007.

472 Krinner, G., Genthon, C., Li, Z. X., and LeVan, P.: Studies of the Antarctic climate with a stretched-grid general
473 circulation model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D12), 13,731–13,745, doi:10.1029/96JD03356, 1997.

474 Lacour, A., Chepfer, H., Miller, N., Shupe, M., Noel, V., Fettweis, X., Gallee, H., Kay, J. E., Guzman, R., and Cole, J.:
475 How well are clouds simulated over Greenland in climate models? Consequences for the surface cloud radiative
476 effect over the ice sheet, *J. Climate*, 31, 9293–9312, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0023.1, 2018.

477 Landais, A., Barkan, E., and Luz, B.: Record of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and ^{17}O -excess in ice from Vostok Antarctica during the last
478 150,000 years, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L02709, doi:10.1029/2007GL032096, 2008.

479 Landais, A., Ekaykin, A., Barkan, E., Winkler, R., and Luz, B.: Seasonal variations of ^{17}O -excess and d-excess in snow
480 precipitation at Vostok station, East Antarctica, *J. Glaciol.*, 58(210), 725–733, doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J237, 2012.

481 Lee, J.-E., Fung, I., DePaolo, D. J., and Henning, C. C.: Analysis of the global distribution of water isotopes using
482 the NCAR atmospheric general circulation model, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, 112, D16306,
483 doi:10.1029/2006JD007657, 2007.

484 Lott, F., Fairhead, L., Hourdin, F., and Levan, P.: The stratospheric version of LMDz: Dynamical climatologies,
485 Arctic oscillation, and impact on the surface climate, *Clim. Dynam.*, 25(7-8), 851–868, doi:10.1007/s00382-005-
486 0064-x, 2005.

487 Marti, O., Braconnot, P., Bellier, J., Benshila, R., Bony, S., Brockmann, P., Cadule, P., Caubel, A., Denvil, S.,
488 Dufresne, J.-L., Fairhead, L., Filiberti, M.-A., Foujols, M.-A., Fichet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gosse, H., Grandpeix, J.-
489 Y., Hourdin, F., Krinner, G., Lévy, C., Madec, G., Musat, I., de Noblet, N., Polcher, J., and Talandier, C.: The new
490 IPSL climate system model: IPSL-CM4, Tech. Rep. 26, IPSL, Paris, 2005.

491 Masson-Delmotte, V., Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Charbit, S., Krinner, G., Ritz, C., Guilyardi, E., Jouzel, J., Abe-
492 Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Gladstone, R. M., Hewitt, C. D., Kitoh, A., LeGrande, A. N., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Motoi, T.,
493 Ohgaito, R., Otto-Bliesner, B., Peltier, W. R., Ross, I., Valdes, P. J., Vettoretti, G., Weber, S. L., Wolk, F., and Yu, Y.:
494 Past and future polar amplification of climate change: climate model intercomparisons and ice-core constraints,
495 *Clim. Dynam.*, 26, 513–529, doi:10.1007/S00382-005-0081-9, 2006.

496 Masson-Delmotte, V., Hou, S., Ekaykin, A., Jouzel, J., Aristarain, A., Bernardo, R. T., Bromwich, D., Cattani, O.,
497 Delmotte, M., Falourd, S., Frezzotti, M., Gallée, H., Genoni, L., Isaksson, E., Landais, A., Helsen, M., Hoffmann, G.,
498 Lopez, J., Morgan, V., Motoyama, H., Noone, D., Oerter, H., Petit, J. R., Royer, A., Uemura, R., Schmidt, G. A.,
499 Schlosser, E., Simões, J. C., Steig, E., Stenni, B., Stievenard, M., v. d. Broeke, M., v. d. Wal, R., v. d. Berg, W.-J.,
500 Vimeux, F., and White, J. W. C.: A review of Antarctic surface snow isotopic composition: observations,
501 atmospheric circulation and isotopic modelling, *J. Climate*, 21, 3359–3387, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI2139.1,
502 2008. Peltier, W. R.: Ice age paleotopography, *Science*, 265, 195–201, doi:10.1126/science.265.5169.195, 1994.

503 Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., and Jouzel, J.: Water-stable isotopes in the LMDZ4 general circulation model: Model
504 evaluation for present-day and past climates and applications to climatic interpretations of tropical isotopic
505 records, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, 115, D12118, doi:10.1029/2009JD013255, 2010a.

506 Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., and Jouzel, J.: Correction to “Water-stable isotopes in the LMDZ4 general circulation
507 model: Model evaluation for present-day and past climates and applications to climatic interpretations of tropical
508 isotopic records”, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, 115, D24123, doi:10.1029/2010JD015242, 2010b.

509 Risi, C., Noone, D., Worden, J., Frankenberg, C., Stiller, G., Kiefer, M., Funke, B., Walker, K., Bernath, P., Schneider,
510 M., Bony, S., Lee, J., Brown, D., and Sturm, C.: Process-evaluation of tropospheric humidity simulated by general
511 circulation models using water vapor isotopic observations: 2. Using isotopic diagnostics to understand the mid

512 and upper tropospheric moist bias in the tropics and subtropics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D05304,
513 doi:10.1029/2011JD016623, 2012.

514 Risi, C., Landais, A., Winkler, R., and Vimeux, F.: Can we determine what controls the spatio-temporal distribution
515 of d-excess and ^{17}O -excess in precipitation using the LMDZ general circulation model?, *Clim. Past*, 9, 2173–2193,
516 doi: 10.5194/cp-9-2173-2013, 2013.

517 Ritter, F., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Werner, M., Masson-Delmotte, V., Orsi, A., Behrens, M., Birnbaum, G., Freitag, J.,
518 Risi, C., and Kipfstuhl, S.: Isotopic exchange on the diurnal scale between near-surface snow and lower
519 atmospheric water vapor at Kohlen station, East Antarctica, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1647–1663, doi: 10.5194/tc-
520 10-1647-2016, 2016.

521 Schoenemann, S. W., Steig, E. J., Ding, Q., Markle, B. R., and Schauer, A. J.: Triple water-isotopologue record from
522 WAIS Divide, Antarctica: Controls on glacial-interglacial changes in $^{17}\text{O}_{\text{excess}}$ of precipitation, *J. Geophys. Res.*
523 *Atmos.*, 119, 8741–8763, doi:10.1002/2014JD021770, 2014.

524 Steen-Larsen, H. C., Johnsen, S. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stenni, B., Risi, C., Sodemann, H., Balslev-Clausen, D.,
525 Blunier, T., Dahl-Jensen, D., Ellehøj, M. D., Falourd, S., Grindsted, A., Gkinis, V., Jouzel, J., Popp, T., Sheldon, S.,
526 Simonsen, S. B., Sjolte, J., Steffensen, J. P., Sperlich, P., Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A. E., Vinther, B. M., and White, J. W.
527 C. : Continuous monitoring of summer surface water vapor isotopic composition above the Greenland Ice Sheet,
528 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4815–4828, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4815-2013, 2013.

529 Steen-Larsen, H. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Hirabayashi, M., Winkler, R., Satow, K., Prié, F., Bayou, N., Brun, E.,
530 Cuffey, K. M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Dumont, M., Guillevic, M., Kipfstuhl, S., Landais, A., Popp, T., Risi, C., Steffen, K.,
531 Stenni, B., and Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A. E. : What controls the isotopic composition of Greenland surface snow?,
532 *Clim. Past*, 10, 377–392, doi:10.5194/cp-10-377-2014, 2014.

533 Steen-Larsen, H. C., Risi, C., Werner, M., Yoshimura, K., and Masson-Delmotte, V.: Evaluating the skills of isotope-
534 enabled general circulation models against in situ atmospheric water vapor isotope observations, *J. Geophys.*
535 *Res. Atmos.*, 122, 246–263, doi:10.1002/2016JD025443, 2017.

536 Stenni, B., Masson-Delmotte, V., Selmo, E., Oerter, H., Meyer, H., Röthlisberger, R., Jouzel, J., Cattani, O., Falourd,
537 S., Fischer, H., Hoffmann, G., Iacumin, P., Johnsen, S. J., Minster, B., and Udisti, R.: The deuterium excess records
538 of EPICA Dome C and Dronning Maud Land ice cores (East Antarctica), *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 29, 146–159,
539 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.10.009>, 2010.

540 Stenni, B., Scarchilli, C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Schlosser, E., Ciardini, V., Dreossi, G., Grigioni, P., Bonazza, M.,
541 Cagnati, A., Karlıcek, D., Risi, C., Udisti, R., and Valt, M.: Three-year monitoring of stable isotopes of precipitation
542 at Concordia Station, East Antarctica, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 2415–2428, doi:10.5194/tc-10-2415-2016, 2016.

543 Touzeau, A., Landais, A., Stenni, B., Uemura, R., Fukui, K., Fujita, S., Guilbaud, S., Ekaykin, A., Casado, M., Barkan,
544 E., Luz, B., Magand, O., Teste, G., Le Meur, E., Baroni, M., Savarino, J., Bourgeois, I., and Risi, C.: Acquisition of
545 isotopic composition for surface snow in East Antarctica and the links to climatic parameters, *The Cryosphere*,
546 10, 837–852, doi: 10.5194/tc-10-837-2016, 2016.

547 van Leer, B.: Towards the ultimate conservative difference scheme. IV. A new approach to numerical convection,
548 *J. Comput. Phys.*, 23, 276–299, doi:10.1016/0021-9991(77)90095-X, 1977.

549 Vignon, E., Hourdin, F., Genthon, C., Gallée, H., Bazile, E., Lefebvre, M.-P., Madeleine, J.-B., and Van de Wiel, B. J.
550 H.: Antarctic boundary layer parametrization in a general circulation model: 1-D simulations facing summer
551 observations at Dome C, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122, 6818– 6843, doi:10.1002/2017JD026802, 2017.


552 Vignon, E., Hourdin, F., Genthon, C., Van de Wiel, B. J. H., Gallée, H., Madeleine, J.-B., and Beaumet, J.: Modeling
553 the Dynamics of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Over the Antarctic Plateau With a General Circulation Model,
554 *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 10, 98– 125, doi:10.1002/2017MS001184, 2018.

555 Vimeux, F., Masson, V., Delaygue, G., Jouzel, J., Petit, J. R., and Stievenard, M.: A 420,000 year deuterium excess
556 record from East Antarctica: Information on past changes in the origin of precipitation at Vostok, *J. Geophys.*
557 *Res.*, 106(D23), 31,863–31,873, doi:10.1029/ 2001JD900076, 2001.

558 WAIS Divide Project Members: Onset of deglacial warming in West Antarctica driven by local orbital forcing,
559 *Nature*, 500, 440–444, doi:10.1038/ nature12376, 2013.

560 Werner, M., Heimann, M., and Hoffmann, G.: Isotopic composition and origin of polar precipitation in present
561 and glacial climate simulations, *Tellus B*, 53, 53–71, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2001.01154.x, 2001.

562 Werner, M., Langebroek, P. M., and Carlsen, T.: Stable water isotopes in the ECHAM5 general circulation model:
563 Toward high-resolution isotope modeling on a global scale, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, 116, D15109,
564 doi:10.1029/2011jd015681, 2011.



565

566 **Figure 1: Map of Antarctica showing (a) the observed $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values from the compilation by Masson-Delmotte et al. (2008),**
 567 **(b) the difference between the simulated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation and the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ observations for the UP_z, (c) UP_xy and (d)**
 568 **VL simulations. The bold black line shows the contour of 2500 m above sea level elevation.**

569

570

571


572

573

	Mean data	Mean UP_z	RMSE UP_z	Mean UP_xy	RMSE UP_xy	Mean VL	RMSE VL
T (°C)	-36.93	-30.51	7.50	-30.69	7.31	-31.54	6.60
$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (‰)	-36.76	-34.85	4.84	-31.43	7.63	-35.74	4.47
δD (‰)	-289.62	-272.28	43.76	-251.34	62.00	-279.49	40.93
T (°C)	-47.46	-39.49	8.38	-39.88	7.99	-40.71	7.23
$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (‰)	-46.77	-42.27	5.03	-37.37	9.69	-43.76	3.80
δD (‰)	-366.98	-325.37	43.79	-291.99	76.44	-336.25	33.58


574 Table 1: Observed and simulated annual mean values of temperature (T), $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD for the full Antarctic dataset (red
575 background) and restricted to the East-Antarctic plateau (blue background), and the corresponding RMSE.

576



577

578 Figure 2: Multi-year monthly mean variations of (a) surface temperature, (b) near-surface thermal inversion (defined as
579 the difference between the 10m-temperature and the surface temperature), (c and d) total and clear-sky component of
580 downward longwave radiative flux at the surface, (e) precipitable water and (f) high cloud fraction above EPICA Dome C.
581 The red and blue curves correspond to the VL and UP_xy simulation results, respectively. A comparison with observations
582 (see section 2.2) is made when possible (dashed black lines).




583

584 Figure 3: Relationship between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and temperature on the East-Antarctic plateau according to the observations (black)
 585 and the UP_z (blue), UP_xy (red) and VL (orange) simulations. For each simulation outputs, two linear regressions have
 586 been conducted: one on the full East-Antarctic plateau dataset (thin lines) and one on the same dataset without the
 587 temperatures below -43.5°C (bold lines) indicated by the vertical dashed line. The corresponding formulas of these latter
 588 are also shown.

	Mean data	Mean UP_xy R96	Mean VL R96	Mean UP_xy R144	Mean VL R144
T ($^\circ\text{C}$)	-36.93	-30.69	-31.54	-31.45	-32.10
$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (‰)	-36.76	-31.43	-35.74	-33.85	-37.64
δD (‰)	-289.62	-251.34	-279.49	-267.17	-289.55

589 Table 2: Comparison of the observed annual mean values of temperature (T), $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD for the full Antarctic dataset
 590 with four different LMDZ-iso simulations, combining different horizontal resolutions (R96 and R144) and different
 591 advection schemes (UP_xy and VL).

592



593

594 **Figure 4: (a) Temporal slope ($\Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}/\Delta T$) between the present-day (PD) and LGM according to the VL simulations. (b)**
 595 **Difference with the temporal slope deduced from the UP_xy simulations. The grey areas indicate where the LGM-PD**
 596 **difference in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ is lower than the standard deviation of the interannual variability. Square, triangle, circle and cross**
 597 **symbols represent the WDC, Siple Dome, Vostok and EDC sites respectively.**

Site	Latitude	Longitude	LGM-PD $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{obs}}$ (‰)	LGM-PD $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{UP_xy}}$ (‰)	LGM-PD $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{VL}}$ (‰)	Slope UP_xy (‰·°C ⁻¹)	Slope VL (‰·°C ⁻¹)	Difference in reconstructed ΔT (°C)
WDC	-79.47	-112.08	-9.44	-7.52	-11.98	0.51	0.80	-4.34
Siple Dome	-81.67	-148.82	-9.8	-6.24	-9.88	0.40	0.66	-5.83
Vostok	-78.47	106.87	-5.66	-2.07	-3.90	0.38	0.73	-3.76
EDC	-75.10	123.35	-6.74	-1.70	-1.97	0.31	0.37	-2.95

598 **Table 3: Sites of interest and their geographical coordinates, observed LGM to present-day changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (Wais Divide:**
 599 **WAIS Divide Project Members (2013), Schoenemann et al. (2014); Siple Dome: Brook et al. (2005), Schoenemann et al.**
 600 **(2014); Vostok: Vimeux et al. (2001), Landais et al. (2008, 2012); EDC: EPICA Community Members (2004), Stenni et al.**
 601 **(2010)), simulated LGM to present-day changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (UP_xy and VL simulations), simulated temporal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -temperature**
 602 **slopes and VL-UP_xy difference in reconstructed temperature change deduced from the observed $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ changes and the**
 603 **simulated temporal slopes. This difference is calculated as $-(slope_{UP_xy} - slope_{VL}) \times \Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{obs}} / slope_{VL}^2$. Negative**
 604 **signs indicate that when using the UP_xy temporal slope, we overestimate the LGM cooling compared to using the VL**
 605 **temporal slope. The model values are based on the spatial averages over the 9 grid cells surrounding the ice cores**
 606 **geographical coordinates.**