

Agricultural Practices and Hydrologic Conditions Shape the Temporal Pattern of Soil and Stream Water Dissolved Organic Matter

Guillaume Humbert, Thomas Parr, Laurent Jeanneau, Rémi Dupas, Patrice Petitjean, Nouraya Akkal-Corfini, Valérie Viaud, Anne-Catherine Pierson-Wickmann, Marie Denis, Shreeram Inamdar, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Guillaume Humbert, Thomas Parr, Laurent Jeanneau, Rémi Dupas, Patrice Petitjean, et al.. Agricultural Practices and Hydrologic Conditions Shape the Temporal Pattern of Soil and Stream Water Dissolved Organic Matter. Ecosystems, 2020, 23 (7), pp.1325-1343. 10.1007/s10021-019-00471-w. insu-02417211

HAL Id: insu-02417211 https://insu.hal.science/insu-02417211

Submitted on 20 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Agricultural practices and hydrologic conditions shape the temporal

2 pattern of soil and stream water dissolved organic matter

3 Short title:

4 Spatiotemporal controls of soil and stream water DOM

- 5 Authors:
- 6 Guillaume Humbert^{*a} Thomas B. Parr^b, Laurent Jeanneau^c, Rémi Dupas^a, Patrice Petitjean^c, Nouraya Akkal-Corfini^a, Valérie
- 7 Viaud^a, Anne-Catherine Pierson-Wickmann^c, Marie Denis^c, Shreeram Inamdar^b, Gérard Gruau^c, Patrick Durand^a, Anne
- 8 Jaffrézic^a
- 9 * Corresponding author (Present address)
- 10 Sorbonne Université, UPEC, CNRS, IRD, INRA, IEES, F-75005 Paris, France
- 11 g.humbert86@gmail.com

12 Affiliations

- 13 ^a AGROCAMPUS OUEST, INRA, SAS, F-35000 Rennes, France
- 14 ^b University of Delaware, Department of Plant and Soil Science, Newark, DE, 19716, United States
- 15 ^c Univ Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes, F-35000 Rennes, France

16 Author contributions

- 17 GH, LJ, PP, ACPW, GG, and AJ designed the experiment, GH, LJ, RD, PP, MD collected the hydro-
- 18 chemical data. NAC and VV collected and analyzed the agricultural land and management data, GH
- 19 and TBP analyzed the data, all authors contributed to writing the paper.

20 Abstract

21 This study investigates the combined effects of land management, and hydrology on the temporal dynamics of dissolved organic matter (DOM) quantity and composition in stream water and 22 groundwaters in an agricultural watershed. We assessed dissolved organic carbon (DOC) 23 24 concentrations, DOM UV-Vis absorbance, and DOM fluorescence in groundwater under cultivated 25 upland, riparian grassland, and riparian woodland land covers, as well as in the stream water at the 26 watershed outlet and livestock-impacted runoff. During one year, stream water and groundwater 27 were monitored weekly to biweekly, complemented by sub-hourly stream sampling during 7 storm 28 events. Results showed that: i) Groundwater DOC concentration was lower in cultivated upland $(6.4 \pm 5.6 \text{ mg l}^{-1})$ than in riparian grassland and woodland (22.4 ± 13.7 mg l $^{-1}$ and 17.2 ± 9.9 mg l $^{-1}$, 29 30 respectively). ii) The proportion of microbially-processed compounds decreased in the order upland 31 cropland > riparian grassland > riparian woodland. iii) Principal component analysis (PCA) of 32 groundwater DOM revealed a change in composition indicating that low aromaticity microbially-33 processed compounds were preferentially exported to the stream. iv) PCA of stream DOM indicated 34 that seasonal increases in groundwater elevation expanded the contributing source areas, thereby 35 increasing the connectivity between upland croplands and the stream, which amplified the effects of 36 cultivation on fluvial DOM during the winter. v) Storm events occurring after manure application in 37 spring produced hot moments of manure-derived protein-like DOM transport to streams. Together, 38 these results suggest that cultivated uplands in agricultural lands using animal manure as fertilizer 39 may leach more DOM than vegetative buffers.

40 Key words: DOM fluorescence, groundwater, agricultural watershed, riparian soils, buffer strip,
41 animal manure

42 Highlights

43 - Cultivation increased microbially processed DOM in groundwater

Groundwater elevation increase amplified the effects of cultivation on fluvial DOM

45 - Manure-derived DOM can be transported to the stream during storm events

46 Introduction

47 Cropland and pasture account for nearly 40% of Earth's land surface (Foley and others 2005). The production and management practices (e.g. tillage, engineered drainage, and organic and 48 49 inorganic fertilizer application) used on these lands are biogeochemically reactive with direct and 50 indirect effects on the carbon cycle. Frequently overlapping temporally and spatially, the net effect of 51 these practices on carbon sequestration in terrestrial soils (Han and others 2016), transport to, and 52 processing in fluvial networks (Stanley and others 2012) varies with environmental context. Much of 53 the carbon export from terrestrial soils occurs as dissolved organic matter (DOM) (Stanley and others 54 2012) and during high discharge periods (Humbert and others 2015). The quantity and composition 55 of this terrestrially derived DOM affects aquatic ecosystem functions and derived ecosystem services 56 (Wallace and others 2008). However, a better understanding of the linkages between management 57 actions and ground and surface water DOM dynamics is needed to enhance science-based 58 management and restoration solutions (i.e. practices to maintain 'natural' DOM regimes; Stanley and 59 others 2012).

60 Agricultural land use and management affect the quantity and composition of soil and 61 stream water DOM. These influences can be conceptualized as the combined effects of short- and 62 long-term positive and negative feedbacks (Chantigny 2003). Liming, animal manure, and fertilizer 63 application are examples of practices with short-term effects on DOM quantity and quality. Shifts in 64 vegetative cover type and quantity of plant litter returned to the soil are examples of practices 65 driving long-term effects. In soils, forested and arable lands typically differ in DOM quantity and composition. The net effect of this is that forest soils typically contain a larger proportion of high 66 67 molecular weight DOM that is less microbially decomposed, whereas cropland or pasture commonly 68 contain a greater proportion of low molecular weight DOM that is more highly decomposed or 69 microbially derived (Chantigny 2003). However, the degree of difference between 'natural' and agricultural land covers depends on landscape context, spatial scale, and temporal scale (Stanley and 70 71 others 2012). Therefore, understanding the differences in DOM composition between arable soils

and non-cultivated soils, such as in tree or grass buffers may provide a useful tool in assessing degree
 of impact agriculture has on surface water and the effectiveness of best management practices in
 reducing that impact.

75 While these agriculturally driven changes to soil DOM quantity and composition do not 76 necessarily change the quantity of DOM exported from watersheds to surface waters, they do alter 77 the composition frequently towards more microbially-processed sources (Wilson and Xenopoulos 78 2009; Williams and others 2010; Graeber and others 2015). This may occur through several different 79 hydrologic and microbial processes. Subsurface drainage can transport microbially processed DOM to 80 streams from deep, organic-poor soil horizons (Dalzell and others 2011). In streams with sufficient 81 light, nutrient enrichment may enhance in-stream algal productivity and release of exudates (Stanley 82 and others 2012). Finally, terrestrial DOM altered by agricultural practices can be transported to the 83 stream from arable soils through seasonal and event-driven changes in water flow paths (Fuß and 84 others 2017; Fasching and others 2019). The dynamics of this process, while better understood at the 85 storm event scale (Naden and others 2010; Singh and others 2014) are understudied at the seasonal 86 scale (Shang and others 2018). However, the latter approach can contribute to better understand the 87 environmental and ecological controls that drive contradictory stream DOM responses to agricultural 88 management of lands (increasing the proportion of DOM compounds with high molecular weight; 89 Graeber and others 2012; Shang and others 2018).

90 Seasonal changes in groundwater elevation regulate the hydrologic connectivity between a 91 stream and its watershed resulting in variable source areas contributing to streamflow. Under the 92 assumption of conservative transport, each source area contributes a unique quantity and 93 composition of stream DOM (Laudon and others 2011). For example, Lambert and others (2013) 94 showed that a strong change in the isotopic composition of stream DOM toward the one of upland 95 sources was related to the rise of the upland groundwater. These drivers of stream DOM dynamics 96 are periodic mechanisms with greater seasonal than inter-annual variability (Humbert and others 97 2015). Agriculture impacts the spatial distribution and timing of OM inputs within a watershed and

98 seasonal and event-driven hydrology regulate the spatial extent of the contributing area, however,
99 their combined effects are seldom considered.

100 Riparian wetlands are typically a dominant source of DOM export during stormflow in the 101 headwater watersheds (Morel and others 2009), however in agricultural watersheds, management 102 practices can introduce novel sources of DOM which may come to dominate exports during 103 stormflows (Naden and others 2010; Singh and others 2014). During a brief period (<1 month) 104 following surficial manure application, storm events can cause the 'pulsed' transport of manure-105 derived DOM to stream ecosystems. During these pulses, the flux of manure-derived DOM is small 106 (0.004-0.016%) relative to the total mass of manure applied (Naden and others 2010; Singh and 107 others 2014). However, strong changes in DOM optical properties indicated that its contribution to 108 stream water DOM exceeded those from other terrestrial sources. This leaves a large pool of 109 manure-derived organic matter that can feed medium to longer-term biogeochemical reactive 110 processes and transports. This longer-term potential of the flux and its DOM signature have not been 111 investigated, but may be important as seasonal variations in groundwater hydrology enhance the 112 connectivity of agricultural lands with surface waters.

113 To address the critical knowledge gap regarding the effect that land management has on 114 aquatic DOM, we investigated how different watershed sources, cultivated soils receiving manure 115 applications and non-cultivated riparian grassland and forest, contribute to stream water DOM. We 116 hypothesized that seasonal interactions between hydrology and agricultural practices would impact 117 the composition of DOM in stream water and groundwater. We tested this hypothesis by characterizing DOM quantity and composition in the stream and its primary DOM sources of an 118 119 intensively farmed watershed (i.e. groundwater in the riparian grasslands, riparian woodlands and 120 upland croplands; livestock-impacted runoff) during different hydrologic conditions (i.e. seasonal and 121 storm) over the course of a year. Our specific objectives were (i) to differentiate stream water DOM sources using their fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) composition; (ii) to describe the 122 123 dynamics in DOM composition of groundwater over the year; and (iii) to assess the contribution of

124 various sources to stream DOM across seasonal and event-driven hydrologic conditions. By providing

a mechanistic understanding of source contributions to the stream DOM quantity and composition,

126 this study furthers efforts to assess the impact that agricultural practices may have on the

127 environment.

128 Materials and methods

129 Study watershed

The Kervidy-Naizin watershed (KN) is a 5 km² agricultural watershed that is part of the Agrhys 130 131 environmental research observatory in Brittany, France (Figure 1). The watershed is drained by an intermittent 2nd order stream. The riparian zone is close-canopied with little light reaching the stream 132 channel. The climate is temperate oceanic, with average annual (2000-2014) rainfall of 845 mm, 133 specific discharge of 341 mm, and temperature of 11.2 °C. Elevation ranges from 93-135 m above sea 134 135 level, with gentle slopes < 5% (Figure 1a). Previous research on groundwater fluctuations and soil 136 moisture deficit assessments in this watershed have defined three hydrologic periods or 137 "hydroseasons" (Figure S1; see details in Humbert and others 2015): (i) riparian rewetting (hereafter 138 'rewetting'; October-December 2013), characterized by increasing riparian wetland soil moisture; (ii) 139 rise of upland groundwater (hereafter 'wetting'; December 2013-March 2014), characterized by high 140 groundwater elevation in the upland domain, prolonged waterlogging of wetland soils, and lateral 141 water flows to the stream from wetland soils; and (iii) drying of watershed soils (hereafter 'drying'; 142 April-May 2014), as groundwater draws down.

143 Ninety percent of the total watershed area is used for agriculture (Figure 1b, Table S1). These 144 areas are fertilized in the spring with both organic (predominantly swine slurry) and mineral 145 fertilizers. The cropping systems used in this watershed and the area allocated to each crop type 146 have changed little over the past 20 years (Viaud and others 2018). See detailed land use and 147 management summary in Text S1 and Table S1. Soils are silty loams, classified as Luvisols (IUSS 148 Working Group and others 2006), well-drained in the upland domain and waterlogged in valley 149 bottoms (Figure 1a). Approximately 20% of the watershed topsoil (< 0.4 m depth), mostly around the stream network, is seasonally waterlogged and can be hydrologically connected to the stream (Figure
1a). On average, these seasonally waterlogged soils are covered by cropland (55%), permanent
grassland (29%, i.e. permanent grassland and fallow lands), and woodland (7%). While tile drains
were installed > 40 years ago, they have not been maintained. Therefore, it is unlikely that significant
quantities of runoff from upland croplands bypasses riparian areas via tile drains.





Figure 1. Location and maps of the study-site. (a) Elevation map of the KN watershed and periodically waterlogged soils
with redoximorphic features above 0.4 m deep (grey area). (b) Land use map and location of parcels amended with swine
slurry before or during the water year 2013-2014. Artificial area gathers housing and livestock buildings. Not available areas
are agricultural parcels where agricultural practices applied were unknown.

160 Identification of DOM sources

Previous studies established that stream water DOM in the KN watershed was primarily 161 allochthonous and derived from the upper layers of riparian wetland and hillslope soils (Texts S2 and 162 163 S3; Morel and others 2009; Lambert and others 2013). Accordingly, groundwater (or soil pore water) 164 was sampled in six sites from soil horizons at depths ranging from 0.1 to 1 m (two to four horizons 165 per site). The six sites were selected in the seasonally waterlogged zone representing three different 166 land covers at different distances from the stream (i.e. riparian grassland and riparian woodland 167 closer to the stream, and upland cropland further from the stream) (Figure 1 and Table 1). 168 Management at the cropland sites was consistent with the other cropping systems in place in the KN 169 watershed (Tables 1 and S1); with maize-cereals-vegetables rotation covering 35% of the total

170 watershed area and 47% of the seasonally waterlogged area. In addition to groundwater DOM

171 sources, livestock-impacted DOM can be transported to the stream through the surface and

- 172 subsurface runoff from farmyards, manure amended soils, and septic tanks (Baker 2002; Old and
- 173 others 2012). Swine-slurry-impacted runoff previously characterized by Jaffrezic and others (2011)
- 174 was used as the end member for DOM from this source in this study (Text S4).

175 **Table 1.** Selected characteristics of sampling sites.

Land use	Vegetation	Adjacent field	Organic fertilization of field/adjacent field
Upland cropland K2 - K3			
cropland	maize (Zea mays)	-	400 kg C ha ⁻¹ of swine slurry applied on May 2, 2014 (after maize sowing)
Riparian woodland K1			
woodland buffer strip 50 m wide	shrubs and trees (Populus negro, Salix coprea, Betula alba)	maize residues (Zea mays)	400 kg C ha ⁻¹ of swine slurry applied on May 2, 2014
Riparian grassland G2 and G3			
grassland buffer strip 50 m wide	unfertilized herbaceous species (Dactylis glomerata, Agrostis canina)	winter barley (Hordeum vulgare)	400 kg C ha ⁻¹ of swine slurry applied on April 9, 2014
Riparian woodland M			
woodland buffer strip 60 m wide	shrubs and trees (Populus negro, Salix coprea, Betula alba)	maize residues (Zea mays)	n.a.

176 Hydrological monitoring and water sampling

Stream discharge (I s⁻¹) was calculated from the stream stage (m) recorded at one minute 177 178 intervals using a float-operated sensor (Thalimèdes OTT, ±2 mm) and a stage-discharge relationship 179 (Figure 2a). Groundwater depth was recorded at 15-minute intervals using pressure sensors 180 (Orpheus Mini OTT, ±2 mm) along two transects of 2-10 m deep piezometers (G2-3 and K1-3, Figures 181 1 and S1). 182 A variable sampling frequency approach was used to capture the effects of land management 183 on stream water and groundwater DOM over time, and seasonal and pulsed change in water flow 184 paths (Figure 2b). Stream water and groundwater were sampled during regularly scheduled 185 'baseflow' sampling campaigns for DOC concentration and DOM composition every 7 or 14 days from 186 October 2013 to May 2014 (20 sampling campaigns total). The effects of land management (i.e. land 187 cover and practices) on stream water and groundwater DOM over time were investigated with these 188 samples collected whatever the discharge and groundwater levels. Although baseflow sampling

189 occasionally occurred on rising or falling limbs of the hydrograph, the composition and dynamics 190 reported here indicate that scheduled sampling predominantly reflects baseflow DOM composition. 191 Hereafter we refer to these as baseflow samples. Sampling frequency decreased to every 14 days 192 after the transition from the rewetting to the wetting period (i.e. from January 2014) due to less 193 temporal variation in hydrology and DOM composition. Drying prevented stream water sampling 194 prior to October 28, 2013, and groundwater sampling from June through October 2014. In addition, 195 stream water was sampled manually on a daily basis at the watershed outlet for dissolved organic 196 carbon concentration ([DOC]). This allowed us to assess the validity of weekly sampling for capturing 197 the stream DOM dynamics (Figure 2b). Finally, the changes in stream water DOM with management 198 practices over pulsed changes in water flow paths were investigated for seven storm events spanning 199 3 hydrologic seasons (1 rewetting season, 4 wetting season, 2 drying season) and maximum discharges ranging from 49-794 l s⁻¹. We collected samples for DOM quantity and composition at 200 201 30-minute intervals using a refrigerated (4°C) ISCO sampler.

Groundwater samples were collected with zero-tension lysimeters installed close to piezometers. Three months prior to the start of our study, lysimeters were installed at 6 sites in triplicate (spaced ca. 1 m apart) in 13 soil layers for a total of 39 lysimeters. The lysimeters collected free-draining soil solution while maintaining in situ-anoxic conditions.

All samples were stored in polypropylene bottles in the dark at 4°C until filtration and
analysis. All manually collected samples were filtered within 24 hours, while automated ISCO samples
were typically filtered within 1 week. All filtration was performed with cellulose acetate membrane
filters (0.22 µm, Sartorius) prewashed with 500 ml of deionized water and then rinsed with sample.



Figure 2. Hydrochemical monitoring from October 2013 to May 2014. (a) Mean daily discharge. The rectangle with solid thick lines indicates the time period when more than 70% of organic amendment applications occurred. Arrows indicate the sampled events. (b) Stream water dissolved organic carbon concentration ([DOC]) from daily grab samples, storm events (both in black dots), and regularly scheduled samples (open dots, squares, and triangles for the rewetting, wetting, and drying periods, respectively).

216 DOM characterization

210

Filtered samples were analyzed for DOC concentration and DOM composition. The concentration of DOC was analyzed using the high-temperature catalytic oxidation method as TC-IC (Shimadzu TOC-V_{CSH}, ±5%). Dissolved organic matter composition was characterized using ultra-violet and visible light (UV-Vis) absorbance and excitation-emission matrix (EEM) fluorescence. The UV-Vis absorbance measures the light-absorbing DOM (colored DOM or CDOM) and fluorescence measures the part of CDOM that, after absorbing light, reemits it (FDOM; Coble 2007). The UV-Vis absorbance of DOM was measured in a 10 mm quartz cell on a spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer Lambda 20) at

0.5 nm intervals from 200 to 600 nm. Specific ultraviolet absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA₂₅₄; 224 I mg C⁻¹·m⁻¹) was calculated as the UV-Vis absorbance at 254 nm per meter (m⁻¹) divided by the DOC 225 226 concentration (mg C·l⁻¹). Samples with higher values of SUVA₂₅₄ are typically more aromatic 227 (Weishaar and others 2003). Fluorescence analysis was performed using a Perkin-Elmer LS 55 228 scanning spectrofluorometer. The EEMs were collected by scanning fluorescence emissions (em.) between 250 nm to 600 nm in 0.5 nm increments at a scan speed of 1500 nm min⁻¹ at each of the 229 230 excitation (ex.) wavelengths from 200-420 nm in 5 nm increments. All EEMs were then corrected for 231 instrumental bias following the manufacturer's method, inner-filter effects (Ohno 2002), and dilution 232 (where necessary). If the prior UV-Vis measurements indicated that the absorbance at 254 nm was greater than 0.3, samples were diluted to <0.2 so the inner-filter correction procedure would be 233 234 valid (Ohno 2002).

235 Data handling and statistical analysis

236 Parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC) was used to identify statistically independent classes of 237 fluorescent molecules (Stedmon and Rasmus 2008). The analysis was performed from 715 238 groundwater and stream water sampled during the study period. Prior to conducting PARAFAC, a DI 239 blank was subtracted from all EEMS and their fluorescence intensities were normalized by the area 240 under the Raman peak at ex. 350 nm. The PARAFAC modeling was performed in Matlab R2008b 241 using the drEEM v.0.2.0 toolbox as described by Murphy and others (2013). Due to noise at low ex. and high em. wavelengths, we only modeled the EEM region within ex. 250-420 nm and em. 250-524 242 243 nm. To save computation time, we modeled em. at 2 nm intervals. The final model was validated by 244 split-half validation. The EEMs previously obtained from DOM in swine-slurry-impacted runoffs were not used in modeling, rather they were fit to our validated model to estimate the PARAFAC scores of 245 246 livestock-impacted DOM sources (R² ranging from 0.85 to 0.95, n= 6; Jaffrezic and others 2011). For each component, we report PARAFAC results as absolute scores (F_{max} values; Raman Units (RU)) as 247 248 well as percent abundance (%; individual component score divided by the sum of absolute scores for 249 all identified components in a sample x 100). We compared the PARAFAC components modeled from 250 our data set to previous studies, using a modified Tucker's Congruence Coefficient (mTCC), with

values \geq 0.95 indicative of a close match (Parr and others 2014).

252 In addition to PARAFAC components, we calculated three fluorescence indices. The fluorescence index (FI) describes the relative dominance of microbially vs. terrestrially derived 253 254 organic matter in a sample; higher values (greater than 1.4) indicate a preponderance of microbial 255 DOM and lower values (less than 1.4) indicate predominantly terrestrial sources (Cory and others 256 2010). The humification index (HIX) describes the condensation of DOM (Ohno 2002); values range 257 from 0 to 1 and higher values indicate more condensed DOM (i.e. with higher aromaticity and 258 molecular weight). The β : α ratio, or freshness index, ranges from 0 to 1; relatively higher values 259 indicate more recently derived DOM (Wilson and Xenopoulos 2009).

260 All statistical analyses were performed in [R] (R Core Team, 2014). We estimated the 261 correlations between variables with Spearman's rank-order correlations (r_s; cor.test). We used 262 principal components analysis (PCA) to understand the responses of DOM composition as measured 263 by PARAFAC fluorescence components in stream water and groundwater to different land uses and 264 land management practices through time (FactoMineR R package; Husson and others 2013). 265 Specifically, we constructed three separate PCA analyses to assess the DOM sources diversity and the 266 contribution of these sources to stream DOM across seasonal and event-driven hydrologic conditions 267 using the percent abundance of fluorescence components. These PCAs analyzed: 1) changes in 268 composition of groundwater DOM with land cover, season and time (432 groundwater samples); 2) 269 changes in composition of stream water DOM with seasonal and pulsed changes in water flow paths 270 (93 stream water samples); 3) changes in stream DOM with management practices (31 stream water 271 samples). In all PCA analyses five supplementary variables ([DOC], SUVA₂₅₄, FI, HIX, β : α) were 272 displayed to facilitate interpretation of the PARAFAC PCA results. Supplementary variables or 273 individuals are not used in the initial PCA computation, rather their coordinates are calculated based 274 on their correlations with the variables used in the PCA (Text S5).

275

Univariate and multivariate statistics were used in addition to the PCAs to assess our

276 hypotheses. Significant differences among land covers were assessed for each variable by applying a 277 paired Monte Carlo permutation test stratified by sampling date to account for potential temporal 278 variations (oneway_test, coin R package, 9999 iterations; Hothorn and others 2008). In complement 279 to the first of the three PCAs, the significance of land cover control on the composition of 280 groundwater DOM was tested using global and pairwise permutative multivariate analyses of 281 variance based on the percent abundance of fluorescence (PERMANOVA; adonis, vegan R package, 282 999 iterations; Oksanen and others 2006). Finally, we tested for the presence of dispersion effects in 283 the PERMANOVA (betadisp, vegan R package, 999 iterations; Oksanen and others 2006), to ensure 284 that the differences resulted from different mean values of groups and not from differences in 285 within-group variance (Anderson 2001). 286 Results 287 Spatial variations in quantity and composition of groundwater DOM 288 PARAFAC modeling resolved a five-component model ('Kervidy-Naizin model'; abbreviated 289 KN1-5; Figure S2), with components similar in shape and location to those observed in previous 290 studies (Table S3). Across samples, components KN1 and KN4 comprised 37.1 ± 2% and 14.8 ± 3.6% 291 of total fluorescence, respectively. Both of these components have been associated with plant-292 derived and microbial-derived materials (McGarry and Baker 2000; Cory and McKnight 2005). The 293 KN2 fluorophore accounted for $26.8 \pm 3.9\%$ of total fluorescence and it resembled fluorescence 294 associated with plant-derived aromatic compounds in previous studies (McGarry and Baker 2000; 295 Fellman and others 2009). The KN3 component $(17.9 \pm 3.5\%)$ resembled components highly 296 associated with forested land covers (Stedmon and Markager 2005; Lapierre and Frenette 2009). 297 Component KN5 $(3.4 \pm 2.8\%)$ resembled protein-like fluorescence frequently associated with 298 microbially-derived DOM (Cory and McKnight 2005; Fellman and others 2009; Singh and others 299 2013).

300 The quantity and composition of DOM varied with land cover. Groundwater [DOC] varied 301 significantly (p < 0.05) among riparian grassland (22.4 ± 13.7 mg l⁻¹; mean ± standard

deviation) > riparian woodland (17.2 \pm 9.9 mg l^{-1}) > upland cropland (6.4 \pm 5.6 mg l^{-1}) (Figure S3a). The 302 303 relative abundance of microbially processed and protein-like DOM in groundwater decreased in the 304 order upland cropland > riparian grassland > riparian woodland (p < 0.05); with averaged FI 305 (1.66 > 1.46 > 1.43), β:α ratio (0.57 > 0.47 > 0.43), %KN4 (20 > 14 > 12) and %KN5 (4 > 3 > 2) (Figures 306 S3d-e, and S3i-j). Conversely, mean %KN2 increased (p < 0.05) among the three different land covers 307 with cropland (22) < grassland (28) < woodland (30) (Figure S3g). The DOM composition was more 308 aromatic in riparian grassland and woodland than in upland cropland; with higher average values 309 (p < 0.05) for SUVA₂₅₄ (3.64-3.77 > 2.86; Figure S3b), HIX (0.97 > 0.95; Figure S3c) and for %KN3 310 (19-20 > 16; Figure S3h). The %KN1 was higher in average in the upland cropland than in the riparian 311 lands (39 > 36; Figure S3f).

312 This difference in groundwater DOM composition among the land covers was depicted by a 313 PCA presented in Figure 3. The principal component axis (PC) 1 distinguished the origin of DOM 314 (plant-derived vs. microbially processed) and PC 2 distinguished its character (protein-like vs. 315 aromatic) (Figure 3a). Although the PCA (Figures 3b) shows distinct locations of land cover centroids, 316 the analysis of group dispersions heterogeneity suggests that dispersion effects are also present. This 317 means that PERMANOVA results cannot be conclusively interpreted to provide significant evidence 318 that land cover influenced the DOM composition (Table S4). Although being close to each other in 319 the PCA analysis, grassland and woodland DOM differed significantly from each other (F = 23.1, 320 p < 0.01), as revealed by the pairwise comparisons (Table S4). While the centroid of cropland DOM 321 was distinct from grassland and woodland (p < 0.01), it also had higher dispersion (p < 0.001), likely 322 due to the higher range of groundwater sampling depth and short-term effects of agricultural 323 practices.





325 Figure 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) of groundwater fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) composition. 326 The first two axes explain 88% of the variance in percent abundance PARAFAC components for the soil solutions of different 327 land covers. (a) Loading plot showing the contribution of percent abundance of PARAFAC components to the computing of 328 the first two principal components (PC). Blue dashed arrows indicate supplementary variables ([DOC], SUVA₂₅₄, FI, HIX, β : α) 329 not used in the calculation of the PARAFAC component PCA, but do facilitate its interpretation. (b) Scores plot showing 330 annual and seasonal centroids aggregated by land cover. Annual centroids were built from 126, 119 and 187 samples for 331 cropland, grassland, and woodland, respectively. Centroids of rewetting, wetting and drying periods were built from 34-70, 332 73-98 and 11-19 samples, respectively. (c) Close-up view of scores plot showing temporal dynamics of centroids aggregated 333 by land cover. Centroids were built from 2-12, 1-12 and 4-15 samples for cropland, grassland, and woodland, respectively. 334 The FDOM composition determined for the swine-slurry-impacted runoff is presented as supplementary individual in 335 figures b and c. Its location on the score plot is indicated with brackets [PC1, PC2].

336 Temporal variation in quantity and composition of groundwater DOM

The composition and quantity of DOM were highly variable over time. However, groundwater [DOC] presented similar patterns among the three different land covers (Figure S4). Associated with these [DOC] dynamics, Figures S5 to S9 show for each land cover the temporal variations in the groundwater % abundance of fluorescence components. Figures 3b and c map these changes in the first two axes of the PCA performed from groundwater FDOM composition.

342 From the third sampling date of the rewetting period, groundwater median [DOC] decreased from 10.5 to 2.5 mg l⁻¹ in cultivated upland and from 26.5 to 6.3 mg l⁻¹ in riparian grassland (Figure 343 344 S4). Main changes were observed for fluorescence components %KN4 and %KN5, with medians 345 increasing by factors ranging from 1.3 to 2.2 (Figures 3c, S5-9). Groundwater median [DOC] remained rather stable over this period in riparian woodlands (ranging from 13.9 to 16.4 mg l⁻¹), with a DOM 346 347 composition mainly characterized by a progressive decrease in median %KN5 from 1.73 to 1.17. 348 These changes occurred during a dry period (18 days without precipitation) within the 72-day long 349 rewetting period (216 mm of total rainfall; Figure S1). Lower groundwater elevations were monitored 350 in riparian grassland and cultivated upland than in riparian woodland.

351 Median groundwater [DOC] decreased during the wetting period (i.e. from December to February; Figure S4); from 8.2 to 2.8 mg l^{-1} in cultivated upland, from 30.5 to 10.2 mg l^{-1} in riparian 352 grassland, and from 16.4 to 10.8 mg l⁻¹ in riparian woodland. The decrease in %KN5 and increase in 353 354 %KN3 were the common dynamics observed for groundwater DOM composition of all land covers 355 (Figures 3c, S7, and S9). Contrasted dynamics were observed among the land covers for others 356 fluorescence components; with low decrease in median %KN2, and low increase in median %KN4 of 357 both upland cropland and riparian grassland groundwater, while these components remained rather 358 stable in riparian woodland groundwater (Figures 3c, S6, and S8).

Increasing median groundwater [DOC] was monitored in all land covers from March to May 2014 (Figure S4). This trend was rather progressive in riparian grassland and woodland, while occurring mainly during the transition from wetting to drying period in upland cropland (increasing

from 2.8 to 6.4 mg l⁻¹ on average). Concurrently, median %KN5 more than doubled for groundwater 362 363 DOM composition of all land covers (Figures 3c, S7, and S9). The protein-like signature of livestock-364 impacted DOM sources was detected in cropland groundwater throughout the drying period, with the May samples, collected after manure was applied to the fields having at least a 60% higher 365 366 abundance of protein-like compounds compared to pre manure application April samples. A similar 367 signature was detected in the DOM groundwater of riparian grassland, but only on April 15 (first 368 green triangle in Figure 3c), though its composition was more microbially processed than the other 369 samples between February and May.

Superimposed on these patterns, pulsed [DOC] were observed in the groundwater of all land covers subsequently to rain events responsible for increased groundwater levels during the transition from rewetting to wetting period and on February 4 (Figures S1 and S4). Concurrently, the median %KN5 doubled at the transition period in the riparian woodland groundwater and %KN5 increased by factors ranging from 1.1 to 1.5 in groundwater DOM of all land covers on February 4 (Figures 3c and S9).

376 Temporal variation in quantity and composition of stream water DOM

377 The quantity and composition of groundwater DOM from the three land covers constrained 378 the ones observed in stream water over time (Figure S3). Stream water [DOC] (5.3 ±4 mg l⁻¹) was 379 consistently lower (p < 0.05) than [DOC] in riparian grassland and woodland groundwaters, but was 380 not significantly different from [DOC] in upland croplands groundwaters (Figure S3a). Daily stream [DOC] decreased from approximately 12 to 3 mg l⁻¹ over the course of the water year (Figure 2b), 381 382 with a more pronounced decrease when the water year began. Pulsed increases in concentration 383 were observed during the storm events and the rises in groundwater elevation, but were 384 progressively damped over the water year (Figures 2b, 4 and 6), as evidenced by the lack of marked 385 increase in [DOC] in February, whereas high discharge was monitored. Contrasting with this general 386 pattern, high [DOC] (i.e. >30 mg l^{-1}) was monitored during stormflow on April 23 (Figures 2b and 6d). 387 Associated with these concentration dynamics, temporal variations in the DOM composition

388 of the stream water are detailed in figure \$10 and depicted in the PCA presented in Figures 4 and 5. It 389 was conducted with the % abundance of fluorophores obtained from both baseflow and stormflow 390 samples of the rewetting and wetting periods. The PC axes 1 and 2 distinguished the stream DOM 391 sources; with PC1 negatively related to the DOM composition of riparian woodland groundwater, 392 and PC2 positively related to the DOM composition of cultivated upland groundwater (Figure 4a). 393 During the rewetting period, the composition of stream water DOM was closely related to 394 the composition of riparian woodland DOM; in the PCA plot, the ordination spaces occupied by 395 stream water and woodland groundwater FDOM overlapped (Figure 4c). Concurrent with the 396 decrease in stream water [DOC] reported during this period, a progressive increase in aromatic 397 compounds within the composition of stream water DOM can be inferred from the increase in %KN3 398 (from 15.4% to 19.3%). During the wetting period, two patterns are depicted (Figure 4e). First, the 399 composition of stream water DOM became relatively enriched in terrestrial microbially-processed 400 compounds (increases in %KN1 and %KN4, respectively; Figure S10a and d) and resembled the DOM 401 signature of upland croplands (Figure 4e). This does not exclude the contributions to the stream 402 water DOM of riparian woodland and grassland groundwater DOM. However, this riparian 403 groundwater contribution to stream water DOM has decreased during the wetting period as 404 compared to the rewetting period; there is an increasing separation between stream water and 405 riparian groundwater FDOM within the ordination spaces of PCA plot. Noticeably, the greatest 406 change in stream DOM composition occurred approximately two weeks after the rise in groundwater 407 level during the onset of the wetting period (on January 7, 2014). Second, similar to the pattern 408 observed during the rewetting period, %KN3 progressively increased in the composition of stream 409 water DOM over the course of the wetting period (Figures S10b-c). When the wetting period ended 410 (on April 1, 2014), the composition of stream water DOM decreased in %KN1 and %KN4 (by 4.7% and 411 2.6%, respectively), which was more similar to the composition of riparian groundwaters (Figure 4e).



412

413 Figure 4. Baseflow dynamics of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) composition in stream water during the 414 rewetting and wetting periods. (a) In the PCA plots, the first two principal components (PC) explain 75% of the variance in 415 percent abundance of PARAFAC components for the stream water samples of rewetting and wetting periods (baseflow and 416 stormflow samples). Loading plot showing the contribution of percent abundance of PARAFAC components to the 417 computing of the first two PCs. Blue dashed arrows indicate supplementary variables ([DOC], SUVA₂₅₄, FI, HIX, β : α) not used 418 in the calculation of the PARAFAC component PCA, but do facilitate its interpretation. (b and d) Mean daily discharge (grey 419 area) and [DOC] for the daily samples (black cross) and the samples collected manually weekly or fortnightly (white 420 symbols) over the rewetting and wetting periods. Note the changes in axis scales for discharge. (c and e) Score plots 421 showing the temporal dynamics of the stream water FDOM composition over the rewetting and wetting periods. The 422 temporal dynamics of centroids aggregated by land cover as depicted in Fig. 3c are presented. Centroids were built from 2-

423 12, 1-12 and 4-15 samples for cropland, grassland, and woodland, respectively. Note that each white symbol resulted from
424 the DOC concentration or the FDOM composition of a unique sample collected at the watershed outlet.

425 The composition of stream water DOM differed between the storm events and depended on 426 the hydroseason in which it occurred (Figures 5 and S11). In the rewetting period, during the first 427 storm event, DOM had a fluorescent composition similar to that of riparian woodland groundwater, 428 and exhibited little change in quantity and composition over the course of the event (Figures 5a and 429 b). Relative to the rewetting period, stream water DOM in the first storm event sampled during the 430 wetting period had a slightly more aromatic composition, with an increase in %KN3 from 17.3 ±0.9 to 431 18.7 ±0.5 (Figures 5b, 5d, and S11). The DOM signature of stream water was similar to both riparian 432 grassland and woodland sources. As compared to the DOM signature of the first event of the wetting 433 period, the mean %KN5 progressively decreased (from 3.2 ±0.7 to 2.5 ±0.3), while the mean %KN4 434 increased (from 13 ± 0.3 to 14.2 ± 0.3). However, the contribution of upland cropland DOM to the 435 stream water DOM was lesser in the stormflow of the wetting period than in baseflow of this period 436 (Figures 5f-5j).





Figure 5. Storm flow dynamics of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) composition in stream water during
rewetting and wetting periods. (a, c, e, g, i) Discharge (grey area) and [DOC] for storm events (white symbols) and daily
samples (black cross). Note the changes in axis scales for discharge and concentration. (b, d, f, h, j) Score plots showing the
change in stream water FDOM composition during storm events. Mean and standard deviation of FDOM composition
aggregated by land cover type are presented for soil solutions sampled before or after (rewetting, figure b) the storm
event. Note that each white symbol resulted from the DOC concentration or the FDOM composition of a unique sample
collected at the watershed outlet.



448 stormflow of the drying period. The first and second PC axes (PC1, PC2) distinguish stream DOM 449 sources. The PC1 represented a gradient of DOM derived from livestock-impacted source to DOM 450 derived primarily from riparian woodland groundwater. The PC2 represented a gradient of increasing 451 contributions from groundwater underlying cultivated uplands (Figure 6a). Although increasing in 452 %KN5 as compared to DOM composition of wetting period baseflow (Figure S10), little variation was 453 observed over the course of the drying period baseflow, the stream DOM composition reflected a 454 mixture of riparian grassland and woodland sources. However, livestock-impacted DOM clearly 455 altered the amount and composition of stream water DOM during the two storm events.

456 The livestock-impacted DOM source used in this study had protein-like component KN5 that 457 was six to twelve times more abundant than terrestrial DOM sources. This is confirmed by the visual 458 inspection of residuals that resulted from KN fluorophores fitting to the swine-slurry-impacted 459 runoff. While the model fits the swine slurry endmember EEMs well, it appears to contain a protein-460 like component that is not fully explained by the surface and groundwater DOM model. This 461 component may not be transferred to stream water and groundwater due to rapid abiotic (soil 462 interactions) or biotic (microbial degradation) removal. During storms following swine slurry 463 application, increases in protein-like fluorescence (%KN5 ranged from 3.7 to 21) relative to baseflow 464 samples were approximately four times greater than the increases observed during storms occurring 465 before swine slurry application. For example, during the storm event on April 23, the composition of 466 stream water DOM started out similar to riparian grassland and woodland DOM. Over the course of 467 the storm its composition developed characteristics indicating high increases (2-5 fold over baseflow 468 samples) in the contributions from upland cropland and livestock-impacted sources (Figure 6e). The 469 transfer to the stream of swine slurry applied to the soils was quickly mitigated. During the event on 470 22 May, the magnitude of increase in protein-like fluorescence relative to baseflow samples was 471 <1.5. The temporal pattern was reversed, the stormflow DOM was first a mixture of DOM originating 472 from upland cropland treated with swine-slurry and then a mixture of DOM originating from riparian 473 grassland and woodland (Figure 6g).



475 Figure 6. Principal component analysis (PCA) of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) composition in stream water 476 of drying period. The first two axes explain 79% of the variance in percent abundance of PARAFAC components for the 477 stream samples (baseflow and storm event samples). (a) Loading plot showing the contribution of percent abundance of 478 PARAFAC components to the computing of the first two PCs. Blue dashed arrows indicate supplementary variables ([DOC], 479 SUVA₂₅₄, FI, HIX, β:α) not used in the calculation of the PARAFAC component PCA, but do facilitate its interpretation. (b, d, 480 and f) Discharge (averaged daily in b; grey area) and [DOC] for baseflow stream water and storm events (white symbols) 481 and daily samples (black cross). Note the changes in axis scales for discharge and concentration. (c) Score plots showing the 482 temporal dynamics of the stream water FDOM composition during the drying period. (e and g) Score plots showing the 483 change in stream FDOM composition during storm events of the drying period. Axis scales differed to better map the 484 temporal dynamics during the events. The FDOM composition aggregated by land cover type is presented for soil solutions

485 sampled during the drying period (c) and before the storm event (e and g). Centroids were built from 2-12, 1-12 and 4-15 486 samples for cropland, grassland, and woodland, respectively. Similarly, the FDOM composition determined for the swine-487 slurry-impacted runoff is presented as supplementary individual in figures c, e, and g. Its location on the score plot can be 488 indicated with brackets [PC1, PC2]. Note that each white symbol resulted from the DOC concentration or the FDOM

489 composition of a unique sample collected at the watershed outlet.

490 Discussion

491 Tillage, cropping and fertilizer application alter the quantity, composition, and timing of DOM export. These agricultural practices increase the proportion of protein-like compounds likely to be 492 493 exported at sub-hourly and annual time-scales (December-May; processes 1 and 2 in Figure 7). More 494 generally, these practices increased the signature of microbial processing in and reduced the 495 quantity of groundwater DOM under cultivated uplands compared to grassland and tree buffer 496 strips. In addition, our results suggested that seasonal waterlogging and hydrological connection to 497 the stream of soils drives the major changes in their DOM composition by increasing the proportion 498 of aromatic compounds (October-March; process 3 in Figure 7).



499

500 Figure 7. Sketch diagram summarizing the temporal dynamics of DOM composition in stream water and groundwater under

501 the combined impacts of land use, management, and hydrology. It summarizes the results of the principal component

analysis conducted in this study. The numbers '1' to '3' refer to the changes in DOM composition discussed in the text and

503 observed in stormflow shortly following application of swine slurry on cultivated uplands, baseflow of the wetting period,

and in groundwater during both the rewetting and wetting periods, respectively.

505

Short-term effects of swine slurry application on stream water and groundwater DOM

506 Climate and biogeochemically reactive management practices interact at different time 507 scales and affect the timing of agriculturally-derived DOM export. Export of swine slurry applied in 508 the uplands was greatest in the spring, when precipitation events occurred during or after 509 application periods, and briefly impacted stream water and groundwater (process 1 in Figure 7). 510 Livestock-impacted DOM was enriched in protein-like compounds (Baker 2002; Naden and others 511 2010) distinguishing it from stream water and groundwater DOM sources. In May, we observed the 512 highest abundance of protein-like compounds in the groundwater of upland croplands – after approximately 400 kg ha⁻¹ of swine slurry was applied. Similarly on April 15th, 6 days after application 513 514 of swine slurry in the adjacent field, we observed a pulse of protein-like compounds in the grassland 515 buffer strip groundwater. Finally, transport to the stream water of swine slurry applied on cropland 516 was evidenced during a storm event in the main application period, with pronounced increases in 517 stream water [DOC] and abundance of protein-like compounds. Similar vertical and lateral transports 518 of animal wastes were reported elsewhere at plot and watershed scales (Naden and others 2010; 519 Singh and others 2014). With precipitation intensities and durations predicted to increase across 520 Europe, careful planning of the application of organic and inorganic soil amendment will be essential 521 for protecting waterways from agricultural pollutions (European Environment Agency 2017).

522 Long-term effects of agricultural land management on the composition of groundwater DOM

523 The diversity and frequency of operations performed on the cropland (harvest removal, 524 fertilization, liming, tillage and pest management; Table S1) likely mitigate the significance of 525 differences in DOM composition observed between cultivated and non-cultivated lands. For 526 example, the strong short-term effects of swine slurry on DOM composition (see above) obscures 527 longer-term effects that management of land for cropping may have on DOM composition and 528 quantity through direct and indirect mechanisms. These mechanisms could result in lower topsoil 529 [DOC] and more protein-like and less aromatic groundwater DOM in upland cropland than in riparian 530 grassland and woodland (i.e. PARAFAC components KN1, KN4, and KN5; Figure 7).

531 Each agricultural practice can have a persistent effect on groundwater DOM through indirect 532 mechanisms that influence the processing of organic matter in arable soils. For example, previous 533 work showed that animal manure applications could explain persistent differences in DOM composition of cropland groundwater (Ohno and others 2009; Bilal and others 2010). They showed 534 535 that these signatures were particularly persistent in soils amended with swine slurry which was 536 consistent with the results presented here. Possible explanations for the persistence of the swine-537 slurry signature in amended soils include: (i) the sorption of manure-derived compounds to binding 538 sites left free due to herbicides and biomass removal (Kaiser and Kalbitz 2012); (ii) the consumption 539 or production alteration of the protein-like DOM either directly or by changing the soil microbial 540 community (Haynes and Naidu 1998; Peacock and others 2001). Tillage of arable soils would also 541 partially explain the change in DOM quantity and composition through soil aggregate disruption and 542 increasing the rate of soil organic matter mineralization (Balesdent and others 2000; Toosi and 543 others 2012).

544 Management practices controlling vegetative biomass and the organic amendments directly 545 influence both DOM quantity and composition. Biomass removal during crop harvest combined with 546 herbicide suppression of weed growth decreases the C inputs to soil and subsequently may decrease 547 the C_{org} content of soil and concentration of DOC in groundwater under cropland (Chantigny 2003). 548 The lower Corg content observed in cultivated upland compared to riparian grassland and woodland 549 in this study were consistent with these mechanisms (Table S2). In addition to this, Ohno and Bro 550 (2006) reported between- and within-groups variations in the fluorescence signatures of DOM 551 extracted from plants (crops and wetland plants), manures and tree leaves, with the highest 552 variations observed within the manures group. Persistent effects of these materials on groundwater 553 DOM can be hypothesized through repetitive throughfall and/or biomass incorporation in soils 554 combined with adsorption processes to soil particles (Kalbitz and others 2000). An additional indirect mechanism that would involve interactions between the initial quality of the inputs and the 555 556 decomposer community can also be inferred (Wickings and others 2012). The significance of

differences in composition of groundwater DOM observed here between riparian grassland and
 woodland, where no management practice occurs seems consistent with these hypotheses.

559 Seasonal hydrologic control of the impact of agriculture on the composition of stream water DOM

560 Globally, agriculture frequently increases the abundance of more microbially processed DOM 561 in streams compared to watersheds dominated by 'natural' land cover, but our study suggests that 562 the strength of this effect may be seasonal, weakening when low groundwater levels sustain the 563 stream discharge. In headwater watersheds, the composition of stream water DOM depended on the 564 specific landscape elements connected to the stream (Laudon and others 2011). Although upland 565 croplands are located further from the stream than riparian grasslands and forests, they can 566 significantly affect the composition of stream water DOM during hydrologic wet periods when the 567 rising water table provides a hydrologic pathway to reconnect these soils.

568 The seasonal reconnection of upland croplands to the stream increased the abundance of 569 more microbially processed DOM in streams (process 2 in Figure 7). Similarly, Wilson and Xenopoulos 570 (2009) found that the strength of the correlation between agricultural land use and indicators of 571 aromaticity depended on soil moisture conditions in watersheds of Ontario, Canada; with soil 572 wetness being negatively related to stream DOM aromaticity. In contrast with these results, Graeber 573 and others (2012) observed low seasonal variation in DOM quantity and composition of agriculturally 574 impacted watersheds. The high drainage density reported in the latter study (>76% of agricultural 575 watersheds area) could explain this lack of seasonality in the stream water DOM. Indeed, subsurface 576 drainage lowers the variations of groundwater level and/or of transit time in vadose zone, transporting to the stream whatever the season DOM from deep soil horizons (Dalzell and others 577 2011). However, microbially processed DOM with low rather than high aromaticity is expected to be 578 579 transported to the stream through subsurface drainage.

580 Preferential leaching loss of protein-like DOM from waterlogged soils

581 Due to its richness in microbially processed compounds with low aromaticity, the cropland 582 DOM pool would be more readily depleted than other watershed DOM pools. Protein-like, 583 microbially-processed DOM was preferentially and progressively leached from waterlogged soils 584 during the rewetting and wetting periods. Continuous waterlogging of all sampled soil profiles in the 585 riparian woodland during the rewetting period and in all land covers during the wetting period 586 altered the DOM that remained in groundwater towards a more aromatic and less protein-like 587 composition (process 3 in Figure 7). In addition, [DOC] decreased in watershed groundwaters during 588 these periods without increasing mineralization of soil organic matter (Figure S4). Buysse and others 589 (2016) reported for KN watershed soils low CO₂ efflux during winter and related this low biological 590 activity to decreasing temperatures and soil waterlogging. The combined lack of chemical moieties 591 necessary to form strong interactions with mineral surfaces and greater water solubility of proteins 592 could explain the preferential elution and transport of hydrophilic or low aromaticity compounds to 593 surface waters (Chassé and others 2015). This preferential leaching and export of low molecular 594 weight protein-like compounds from soils to surface waters is also consistent with the observed 595 decrease in groundwater [DOC] and increase in groundwater DOM aromaticity.

596 The preferential leaching loss of protein-like DOM from waterlogged soils combined with a 597 seasonal change in production rates of these compounds could explain the seasonal dynamics of 598 stream water [DOC] observed in many headwater watersheds (Mehring and others 2013; Wilson and 599 others 2013). During both the rewetting and wetting periods, the progressive change in DOM 600 composition of watershed groundwater towards higher aromaticity suggests that the remaining 601 DOM moieties are less readily transported from soils to the stream. During these periods, supply 602 limitation of watersheds drives the stream water [DOC] and the pool of readily leachable DOM is not 603 replenished. Consequently, the amount of DOM that can be transported to the stream diminishes 604 and the stream water [DOC] decreases. Conversely during drying periods, transport limitation of 605 watersheds drives the stream water [DOC]. The production rate of readily reachable DOM moieties 606 (i.e. molecules with lower aromaticity) in watershed soils exceeds the rate of DOM export from these 607 soils. In larger rivers and open canopied headwater streams, autochthonous production can 608 significantly contribute to DOM fluxes (Kaplan and Bott 1982). However, in our system where the

riparian canopy is closed and little light is transmitted to the stream, we broadly estimate that these
fluxes would only have been significant on the last sampling date (on May 27th) where they could
have represented <25% of the DOM exported daily (Morel 2009).

612 In summary, this paper addressed the effects of agriculture on both groundwater and stream 613 water DOM over one water year in a headwater watershed. The PARAFAC analysis of fluorescence 614 EEM data applied in this study allowed a detailed mechanistic understanding of sources and 615 transport mechanisms within an agricultural watershed. Hot moments of manure-derived protein-616 like compounds transport to the stream water were evidenced during storm events following the 617 initial application of swine slurry on upland croplands. The seasonal rise in upland groundwater 618 drives longer period of alteration of the stream water DOM towards a more microbially processed 619 composition typical of upland croplands amended with swine slurry. These dynamics observed at 620 watershed scale were confirmed by the one observed among DOM pools. Low aromatic protein-like 621 compounds are preferentially leached from waterlogged soils that are hydrologically connected to 622 the stream.

623 Since microbially processed DOM has been shown to support high rates of in-stream 624 microbial activity (Williams and others 2010), several environmental issues related to the DOM 625 derived from cultivated and amended soils can be expected (e.g. depressed O₂ levels below those 626 required for aquatic life; increased production potential of greenhouse gas). Given these risks, two 627 management options that have to be tested further can be proposed. (i) The buffer strips 628 enlargement to include all the waterlogged topsoils that can be seasonally connected to the stream network through groundwater fluctuations. (ii) The determination of appropriate periods of animal 629 630 manure applications based on groundwater fluctuations and the watershed soil moisture rather than 631 calendar (fixed) periods.

632 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency [Project MOSAIC ANR-12-AGRO0005]; the French Ministry of Scientific Research; the University of Rennes 1; and the French national

- 635 institute for agricultural research. Long-term monitoring in the Kervidy-Naizin watershed was
- 636 supported by 'ORE AgrHys' (<u>http://www6.inra.fr/ore_agrhys_eng/</u>). We thank all those who helped
- with the field and lab work: Armelle Racapé, Gilles Dutin, Yannick Hamon, Nicolas Gilliet and Valentin
 Lemée.
- 639

640 References

- Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol
 26:32–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x
- Baker A. 2002. Fluorescence properties of some farm wastes: implications for water quality
 monitoring. Water Res 36:189–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00210-X
- Balesdent J, Chenu C, Balabane M. 2000. Relationship of soil organic matter dynamics to physical
 protection and tillage. Soil Tillage Res 53:215–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S01671987(99)00107-5
- Bilal M, Jaffrezic A, Dudal Y, Le Guillou C, Menasseri S, Walter C. 2010. Discrimination of Farm Waste
- 649 Contamination by Fluorescence Spectroscopy Coupled with Multivariate Analysis during a 650 Biodegradation Study. J Agric Food Chem 58:3093–100. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf903872r
- Buysse P, Flechard CR, Hamon Y, Viaud V. 2016. Impacts of water regime and land-use on soil CO2
 efflux in a small temperate agricultural catchment. Biogeochemistry 130:267–88.
- 653 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-016-0256-y

Chantigny MH. 2003. Dissolved and water-extractable organic matter in soils: A review on the
influence of land use and management practices. Geoderma 113:357–80.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(02)00370-1

Chassé AW, Ohno T, Higgins SR, Amirbahman A, Yildirim N, Parr TB. 2015. Chemical Force
Spectroscopy Evidence Supporting the Layer-by-Layer Model of Organic Matter Binding to Iron
(oxy)Hydroxide Mineral Surfaces. Environ Sci Technol 49:9733–41.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01877

- 661 Coble PG. 2007. Marine optical biogeochemistry: The chemistry of ocean color. Chem Rev 107:402–
 662 18.
- Cory RM, McKnight DM. 2005. Fluorescence spectroscopy reveals ubiquitous presence of oxidized
 and reduced quinones in dissolved organic matter. Environ Sci {&} Technol 39:8142–9.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0506962
- Cory RM, Miller MP, McKnight DM, Guerard JJ, Miller PL. 2010. Effect of instrument-specific response
 on the analysis of fulvic acid fluorescence spectra. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 8:67–78.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2010.8.67
- 669 Dalzell BJ, King JY, Mulla DJ, Finlay JC, Sands GR. 2011. Influence of subsurface drainage on quantity
- and quality of dissolved organic matter export from agricultural landscapes. J Geophys Res
- 671 Biogeosciences 116:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001540
- European Environment Agency. 2017. Heavy precipitation assessment. Eur Environ Agency.
 7fc060b1a7be41adba441f0e844ebeb3
- Fasching C, Wilson HF, D'Amario SC, Xenopoulos MA. 2019. Natural Land Cover in Agricultural
 Catchments Alters Flood Effects on DOM Composition and Decreases Nutrient Levels in
 Streams. Ecosystems:1–16. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10021-019-00354-0
- Fellman JB, Miller MP, Cory RM, D'Amore D V., White D. 2009. Characterizing dissolved organic
 matter using PARAFAC modeling of fluorescence spectroscopy: A comparison of two models.
- 679 Environ Sci Technol 43:6228–34. https://doi.org/10.1021/es900143g
- Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, Chapin FS, Coe MT, Daily GC, Gibbs
 HK, Helkowski JH, Holloway T, Howard EA, Kucharik CJ, Monfreda C, Patz JA, Prentice IC,
 Ramankutty N, Snyder PK. 2005. Global consequences of land use. Science (80-) 309:570–4.
- 683 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111772
- Fuß T, Behounek B, Ulseth AJ, Singer GA. 2017. Land use controls stream ecosystem metabolism by
 shifting dissolved organic matter and nutrient regimes. Freshw Biol.
 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/fwb.12887. Last accessed 16/01/2017

- 687 Graeber D, Boëchat IG, Encina-Montoya F, Esse C, Gelbrecht J, Goyenola G, Gücker B, Heinz M, 688 Kronvang B, Meerhoff M, Nimptsch J, Pusch MT, Silva RCS, Von Schiller D, Zwirnmann E. 2015. 689 Global effects of agriculture on fluvial dissolved organic matter. Sci Rep 5:16328. 690 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16328 691 Graeber D, Gelbrecht J, Pusch MT, Anlanger C, von Schiller D. 2012. Agriculture has changed the amount and composition of dissolved organic matter in Central European headwater streams. 692 693 Sci Total Environ 438:435–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.08.087 Han P, Zhang W, Wang G, Sun W, Huang Y. 2016. Changes in soil organic carbon in croplands
- 695 subjected to fertilizer management: A global meta-analysis. Sci Rep 6:27199. 696 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27199
- 697 Haynes RJ, Naidu R. 1998. Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical conditions: A review. Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems 51:123-37. 698 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009738307837 699
- 700 Hothorn T, Hornik K, Wiel MA van de, Zeileis A. 2008. Implementing a Class of Permutation Tests: The 701 coin Package. J Stat Softw 28:1–23. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v028.i08
- Humbert G, Jaffrezic A, Fovet O, Gruau G, Durand P. 2015. Dry-season length and runoff control 702 703 annual variability in stream DOC dynamics in a small, shallow groundwater-dominated 704 agricultural watershed. Water Resour Res 51:7860–77. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017336
- 705 Husson F, Josse J, Le S, Mazet J. 2013. FactoMineR: Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis and Data 706 Mining with R. http://cran.r-project.org/package=FactoMineR
- 707 IUSS Working Group WRB, others. 2006. World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resour 708 Rep 103.
- 709 Jaffrezic A, Jardé E, Pourcher A-M, Gourmelon M, Caprais M-P, Heddadj D, Cottinet P, Bilal M, Derrien 710 M, Marti R, Mieszkin S. 2011. Microbial and Chemical Markers: Runoff Transfer in Animal
- 711 Manure–Amended Soils. J Environ Qual 40:959. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2010.0355
- 712 Kaiser K, Kalbitz K. 2012. Cycling downwards - dissolved organic matter in soils. Soil Biol Biochem

33

52:29–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.002

- Kalbitz K, Solinger S, Park JH, Michalzik B, Matzner E. 2000. Controls on the dynamics of dissolved
 organic matter in soils: A review. Soil Sci 165:277–304.
- Kaplan LA, Bott TL. 1982. Diel fluctuations of DOC generated by algae in a piedmont stream1. Limnol
 Oceanogr 27:1091–100. http://doi.wiley.com/10.4319/lo.1982.27.6.1091

Lambert T, Pierson-Wickmann AC, Gruau G, Jaffrezic A, Petitjean P, Thibault JN, Jeanneau L. 2013.

- 719Hydrologically driven seasonal changes in the sources and production mechanisms of dissolved720organic carbon in a small lowland catchment. Water Resour Res 49:5792–803.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20466
- Lapierre JF, Frenette JJ. 2009. Effects of macrophytes and terrestrial inputs on fluorescent dissolved
 organic matter in a large river system. Aquat Sci 71:15–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027 009-9133-2
- Laudon H, Berggren M, Ågren A, Buffam I, Bishop K, Grabs T, Jansson M, Köhler S. 2011. Patterns and 725 726 Dynamics of Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) in Boreal Streams: The Role of Processes, 727 Connectivity, and Scaling. Ecosystems 14:880–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9452-8 McGarry SF, Baker A. 2000. Organic acid fluorescence: Applications to speleothem 728 729 palaeoenvironmental 19:1087-101. reconstruction. Quat Sci Rev 730 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(99)00087-6
- Mehring AS, Lowrance RR, Helton AM, Pringle CM, Thompson A, Bosch DD, Vellidis G. 2013.
 Interannual drought length governs dissolved organic carbon dynamics in blackwater rivers of
 the western upper Suwannee River basin. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences 118:1636–45.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002415
- Morel B. 2009. Transport de Carbone Organique Dissous dans un bassin versant agricole à nappe
 superficielle.
- Morel B, Durand P, Jaffrezic A, Gruau G, Molenat J. 2009. Sources of dissolved organic carbon during
 stormflow in a headwater agricultural catchment. Hydrol Process 23:2888–901.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7379

- Murphy KR, Stedmon CA, Graeber D, Bro R. 2013. Fluorescence spectroscopy and multi-way
 techniques. PARAFAC. Anal Methods 5:6557–66. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ay41160e
- 742Naden PS, Old GH, Eliot-Laize C, Granger SJ, Hawkins JMB, Bol R, Haygarth P. 2010. Assessment of743natural fluorescence as a tracer of diffuse agricultural pollution from slurry spreading on744intensely-farmedgrasslands.WaterRes44:1701–12.
- 745 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.11.038
- Ohno T. 2002. Fluorescence inner-filtering correction for determining the humification index of
 dissolved organic matter. Environ Sci Technol 36:742–6. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0155276
- Ohno T, Bro R. 2006. Dissolved Organic Matter Characterization Using Multiway Spectral
 Decomposition of Fluorescence Landscapes. Soil Sci Soc Am J 70:2028.
 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0005
- 751 Ohno T, He Z, Tazisong IA, Senwo ZN. 2009. Influence of tillage, cropping, and nitrogen source on the 752 chemical characteristics of humic acid, fulvic acid, and water-soluble soil organic matter 753 fractions of а long-term cropping system study. Soil Sci 174:652-60. https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.0b013e3181c30808 754
- 755 Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P. 2006. Vegan: community ecology package. https://cran.r 756 project.org/package=vegan
- Old GH, Naden PS, Granger SJ, Bilotta GS, Brazier RE, Macleod CJA, Krueger T, Bol R, Hawkins JMB,
 Haygarth P, Freer J. 2012. A novel application of natural fluorescence to understand the sources
 and transport pathways of pollutants from livestock farming in small headwater catchments. Sci
- 760 Total Environ 417–418:169–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.013
- Parr TB, Ohno T, Cronan CS, Simon KS. 2014. ComPARAFAC: A library and tools for rapid and
 quantitative comparison of dissolved organic matter components resolved by parallel factor
 analysis. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 12:114–25. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.114
- 764 Peacock AD, Mullen MD, Ringelberg DB, Tyler DD, Hedrick DB, Gale PM, White DC. 2001. Soil

Biochem 33:1011–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00004-9
R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Shang P, Lu YH, Du YX, Jaffé R, Findlay RH, Wynn A. 2018. Climatic and watershed controls of dissolved organic matter variation in streams across a gradient of agricultural land use. Sci Total

microbial community responses to dairy manure or ammonium nitrate applications. Soil Biol

- 770 Environ 612:1442–53. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969717323409
- 771 Singh S, Dutta S, Inamdar S. 2014. Land application of poultry manure and its influence on
- spectrofluorometric characteristics of dissolved organic matter. Agric Ecosyst Environ 193:25–
- 773 36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.04.019
- 774 Singh S, Inamdar S, Scott D. 2013. Comparison of Two PARAFAC Models of Dissolved Organic Matter
- 775 Fluorescence for a Mid-Atlantic Forested Watershed in the USA. J Ecosyst 2013:1–16.
- 776 https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/532424
- 777 Stanley EH, Powers SM, Lottig NR, Buffam I, Crawford JT. 2012. Contemporary changes in dissolved
- 778 organic carbon (DOC) in human-dominated rivers: Is there a role for DOC management? Freshw

779 Biol 57:26–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02613.x

- 780 Stedmon CA, Markager S. 2005. Resolving the variability in dissolved organic matter fluorescence in a
- temperate estuary and its catchment using PARAFAC analysis. Limnol Oceanogr 50:686–97.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2005.50.2.0686
- Stedmon CA, Rasmus B. 2008. Characterizing dissolved organic matter fluorescence with parallel
 factor analysis: a tutorial. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 6:572–9.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.572b
- 786 Toosi ER, Castellano MJ, Singer JW, Mitchell DC. 2012. Differences in Soluble Organic Matter After 23
- 787 Years of Contrasting Soil Management. Soil Sci Soc Am J 76:628.
 788 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2011.0280
- 789 Viaud V, Santillàn-Carvantes P, Akkal-Corfini N, Le Guillou C, Prévost-Bouré NC, Ranjard L, Menasseri-
- Aubry S. 2018. Landscape-scale analysis of cropping system effects on soil quality in a context of

791 crop-livestock farming. Agric Ecosyst Environ 265:166-77. 792 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.06.018 793 Wallace AJB, Eggert SL, Meyer JL, Webster JR. 2008. Multiple trophic levels of a forest stream linked 794 litter inputs. Science (80-277:102-4. to terrestrial) 795 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5322.102 Weishaar JL, Aiken GR, Bergamaschi BA, Fram MS, Fujii R, Mopper K. 2003. Evaluation of specific 796 797 ultraviolet absorbance as an indicator of the chemical composition and reactivity of dissolved 798 organic carbon. Environ Sci Technol 37:4702–8. https://doi.org/10.1021/es030360x 799 Wickings K, Grandy AS, Reed SC, Cleveland CC. 2012. The origin of litter chemical complexity during 800 decomposition. Ecol Lett 15:1180–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01837.x 801 Williams CJ, Yamashita Y, Wilson HF, Jaffe R, Xenopoulos MA. 2010. Unraveling the role of land use 802 and microbial activity in shaping dissolved organic matter characteristics in stream ecosystems. 803 Limnol Oceanogr 55:1159–71. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1159 804 Wilson HF, Saiers JE, Raymond PA, Sobczak W V. 2013. Hydrologic Drivers and Seasonality of Dissolved Organic Carbon Concentration, Nitrogen Content, Bioavailability, and Export in a 805 Forested New England Stream. Ecosystems 16:604-16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-806 807 9635-6 808 Wilson HF, Xenopoulos MA. 2009. Effects of agricultural land use on the composition of fluvial dissolved organic matter. Nat Geosci 2:37–41. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo391 809 810

811