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Abstract: Soil respiration (Rₛ), the production of carbon dioxide in soils, increases dramatically from deserts to forested ecosystems. Rₛ values thus provide a potential tool to identify past
ecosystems if recorded in sedimentary archives. Here, we propose a quantitative method to reconstruct past $R_s$ values from paleosols. This method reverses the soil paleobarometer, a proxy that estimates past atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration values (CO$_{2_{\text{atm}}}$) from paleosols while considering a narrow range of variation for $R_s$. We use past CO$_{2_{\text{atm}}}$ values from marine proxies to reconstruct soil respiration from a 20 million year-long isotopic record from northeastern Tibet covering the transition from the Eocene greenhouse to the Oligocene icehouse. We show that $R_s$ dropped at least 4-fold through the transition into the Oligocene icehouse, marking the spread of boreal desert-steppes of Central Asia. We show that increasing aridity and the decline of monsoonal rainfall, in parallel with global cooling, caused the fall of soil respiration. These highly dynamic $R_s$ emphasize the need for a systematic screening of paleosol isotopic data before using the soil paleobarometer to reconstruct CO$_{2_{\text{atm}}}$. 
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**Main Text:**

1. **Introduction**

   Soil respiration ($R_s$, flux, in gC/m$^2$/yr), the carbon dioxide emission from soil microbial activity and plant respiration through the soil surface, exceeds all other terrestrial-atmospheric carbon exchanges with the exception of gross primary productivity (Raich and Potter, 1995). Global fluxes of $R_s$ are estimated around $\sim$90 PgC/year (Zhao et al., 2017), roughly nine times more than anthropogenic emissions (Carey et al., 2016), and have increased by $\sim$0.05 PgC/year over the last five decades in response to rising temperatures (Jian et al., 2018). Its global dynamics are yet not well understood and it is unclear if and by how much $R_s$ will keep increasing (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010). The uncertainty in how the global flux of $R_s$ will respond to climate change is due in part to a high variability in $R_s$ values within modern ecosystems (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010). In forests, $R_s$ values are particularly high (commonly $> 900$ gC/m$^2$/yr) whereas they are very low in deserts and boreal steppes ($< 300$ gC/m$^2$/yr; Table 1; Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2018). The high amplitude of $R_s$ values with modern ecosystems has yet a positive side: it provides a discriminatory tool to the evolution of ecosystems for one who can reconstruct past $R_s$ values in sedimentary archives. With the exception of a few recent studies providing low-resolution, first-order estimates of $R_s$ values, for example for the
Carboniferous of Argentina (Gulbranson et al., 2011), the Jurassic of North America (Myers et al., 2012) or the Cenozoic of Asia (Caves-Rugenstein et al., 2018), there have been few attempts to quantify $R_s$ values in deeper time.

Soil CO$_2$—the CO$_2$ in the gas-filled soil pore spaces—is a mixture of atmospheric CO$_2$ (CO$_{2\text{atm}}$, concentration in ppmV) that diffuses through the soil and CO$_2$ produced at depth within the soil from respiration by organisms ($S$, concentration in ppmV). Mixing of atmospheric and respired CO$_2$ in well-drained soils yields a simple isotope mass balance relationship (Cerling, 1991; 1999) that links CO$_{2\text{atm}}$, $S$, the carbon isotopic composition of soil CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{soil}}$ recorded in soil carbonates), respired CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{resp}}$ recorded in soil organic matter), and atmospheric CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$, recorded in marine carbonates). Paleoclimatologists have used this relationship over the last three decades to reconstruct past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ from ancient soils by measuring or estimating these three carbon isotopic compositions while making assumptions about past values of $S$, an approach commonly called “soil paleobarometry” (Cerling, 1999; Ekart et al., 1999; Breecker et al., 2010). Others have used this relationship to reconstruct $S$ by making assumptions about past values of CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ (Myers et al., 2016; Looy et al., 2016).

There are unfortunately few direct measurements or estimates of $S$ in modern soils (Breecker et al., 2010; Montanez, 2013) and variations of $S$ between and within modern ecosystems is poorly constrained. Montanez (2013) illustrated how $S$ is variable between soil types; within soils of the same type, parameters like soil productivity, soil water saturation, plant growth season timing and duration are expected to influence $S$. However, $S$ can be linked to soil respiration $R_s$ by making simple assumptions about how CO$_2$ diffuses in soils (Cerling, 1999; Bowen and Beerling, 2004; Caves et al., 2016). This approach has the advantage of allowing us to compare estimated $R_s$ values with a broad database of modern soil respiration values in different ecosystems for paleoenvironmental interpretations (Table 1). Instead of applying this isotope mass balance relationship to reconstruct past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ or $S$, we here modify the paleobarometry approach to reconstruct past values of $R_s$ using soil-independent estimates of past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ (Caves-Rugenstein et al., 2018). We then apply this approach to several well-dated soil sequences in the Xining Basin, in northeastern Tibet (Fig. 1), in order to quantitatively reconstruct the evolution of soil respiration covering the late Paleogene Greenhouse to Icehouse transition, a period of declining CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ (Fig. 2a).
2. Method to reconstruct soil respiration rates

2.1 Principles

Reconstructing soil respiration rates from carbon isotopic data and CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values requires the use of three equations. First, mixing of atmospheric and respired CO$_2$ in soil follows the soil barometry equation first proposed by Cerling (1984), derived from an isotope mass balance relationship at soil depth $z$:

$$S(z) / \text{CO}_2\text{atm} = (\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soil}})/(\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soil}} - 1.0044 \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{resp}} - 4.4)$$

This equation links CO$_2$atm to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soil}}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{resp}}$ and $S(z)$, which is $S$ (in ppmV) at soil depth $z$. Note that $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{resp}}$ is recorded in soil organic matter and is thus directly recoverable (Breecker, 2013); paleo-$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ has been reconstructed in deep time from marine carbonates (Tipple et al., 2010).

$S(z)$ is a function of the soil CO$_2$ production rate at the surface $\Phi_s$ (in mole/cm$^3$/s) following the equation of Cerling and Quade (1993) for the evolution of $S(z)$ through soil profile:

$$S(z) = (\Phi_s z_c^2 / (D_s \varepsilon)) (1 - \exp(-z/z_c))$$

This equation links $S(z)$ (here in mole/cm$^3$), $\Phi_s$ and various soil parameters including the diffusional constant for CO$_2$ $D_s$ (in cm$^2$/s), free-air soil porosity $\varepsilon$ (dimensionless quantity; Bowen and Beerling, 2004; Caves et al., 2016), and the characteristic depth of CO$_2$ production in soils $z_c$ (in cm). When integrated over total soil depth $z_p$ (in cm), this equation becomes:

$$R_s = \Phi_s z_c - \Phi_s z_c \exp(-z_p / z_c)$$

The carbon isotopic composition of soil CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soil}}$) can be retrieved from soil carbonates. Pedogenic carbonate commonly forms in equilibrium with soil CO$_2$; its carbon isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$) is directly linked to the isotopic composition of soil CO$_2$, with a fractionation factor depending on soil temperature $T$ (Romanek et al., 1992):

$$\alpha_{\text{carb-soil}} = 11.98 - 0.12 T \text{ where } \alpha_{\text{carb-soil}} = 1000 [(\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}} + 1000)/ (\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soil}} + 1000)-1]$$
Our method relies on a simple procedure. From measured $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ and $T$ at soil sampling depth $z_s$ (in cm), we determine $\delta^{13}C_{\text{soil}}$ with equation (4). With $\delta^{13}C_{\text{soil}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{resp}}$ measured from soil organic matter, $S(z_s)$ is calculated in ppmV with equation (1), assuming $CO_{2\text{atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ from independent studies (see below). $S(z_s)$ is converted in mole/cm$^3$ using the ideal gas law at temperature $T$, and used to is calculate $\Phi_s$ from equation (2) at depth $z_s$. $R_s$ is calculated from $\Phi_s$ and equation (3), both equations using a modern-like range of soil parameters $D_s$, $\varepsilon$, $z_p$, and $z_c$ values (Table 2). The sensitivity of this approach to model parameters is investigated in Caves et al. (2016). Among all parameters, $CO_{2\text{atm}}$ is the one with the most important impact on reconstructed $R_s$ values.

For our model, the diffusional constant for $CO_2$ was given a single value of $D_s=0.042$ cm$^2$/s; similarly, soil porosity was given a single value $\varepsilon=0.6$. These values correspond to averaged values in modern soils (Cerling and Quade, 1993; Caves et al., 2016) and are used as constant.

To take into account the uncertainty in soil characteristic depth $z_c$ and soil profile depth $z_p$, as well as in soil sampling depth $z_s$ (which is often not reported or hard to determine for paleosols because soil horizons are sometime lacking), these three parameters were given a random uniformly-distributed error around a pre-determined value: $z_p=100 \pm 20$ cm. $z_c = 25 \pm 10$ cm, $z_s=50 \pm 10$ cm. For $z_p$ and $z_c$, these values correspond to average values and observed variations in modern non tropical well-drained soils (Cerling and Quade, 1993; Caves et al., 2016); $z_s$ values correspond to the common depth of calcareous horizons in soils and the usual sampling depth in paleo-studies (Cerling, 1992; Retallack, 2005; Caves et al., 2016). We performed a Monte Carlo approach: for 20000 simulations, we randomly picked the value of these three parameters within their error interval and calculated $R_s$ every time. We then produced a mean (average) $R_s$ value and its standard deviation over the 20000 simulations.

### 2.2 Validation

We applied this approach to modern soils, with modern $CO_{2\text{atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ to test how accurately this model can predict modern soil respiration values. Values for soil $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{resp}}$ in different biomes were taken from three studies (Cerling, 1992; Cerling and Quade, 1993; Ekart et al., 1999); maximum temperature at 50 cm soil depth, when available, or growth season temperature + 5°C (following Breecker et al., 2009) were used as carbonate growth temperature $T$. Our method successfully reproduced average soil respiration for most biomes as
well as soil respiration from nearby sites (Caldwell et al., 1977; De Jong, 1981; Fouseki et al., 1981; Wang et al., 1993; Hudgens and Yavitt, 1997; Knapp et al., 1998; Craine et al., 1999; Tüfekcioğlu et al., 2010; Table 3). Only soils from temperate grasslands of North America exhibit lower-than-expected $R_s$ values; we attribute this misfit to the fact that the temperate grasslands of North America have experienced significant Holocene ecosystem restructuring with a change in the component of C$_4$ plants. This created temporal misfits between $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{resp}}$ as soil carbonates grow over long period of times (several kyr) and therefore time-average longer periods compared to organic matter (Wang et al., 1993). However, this source of discrepancies should not impact our Paleogene data derived from before the spread of C$_4$ plants in the Neogene.

2.3 Limitations

Our model has several important limitations that need to be acknowledged before any interpretation of reconstructed $R_s$ values.

Soil carbonates only form when the ground dries and soil respiration decreases after seasonal rainfall; this phenomenon is favored during warmer seasons because high soil temperatures decrease calcite solubility, and evaporation and plant evapotranspiration increase calcium activity in the soil water (Breecker et al., 2009). In most areas, including in our study area, Tibet, carbonate growth occurs mostly during the summer (Quade et al., 2013); it is unclear if carbonate growth occurs before monsoonal rainfall in June (Quade et al., 2013) or during soil dewatering after individual monsoonal storms (Hough et al., 2014). Therefore, an alternative way to think about the respiration rates reconstructed here is that they represent minimum summer season respiration rates.

The direct implication of this seasonal bias is that reconstructed $R_s$ by our approach might overestimate annual respiration rates. There are unfortunately very few available measurements of summer season respiration rates in the world-wide Soil Respiration Database (often <10 measurements per ecosystem world-wide; Table 1), and minimum summer season respiration rates are virtually undocumented. Summer is synchronous or shortly follows the plant growing season in most places and summer respiration rates should thus be higher than annual rates. This is confirmed by the few measurements available in the Soil Respiration Database, showing summer values 1x to 6x times greater than annual rates. However, as our reconstructed $R_s$ values likely reflect minimum summer soil respiration rates, this over-estimation might be insignificant and is not expressed at the modern test localities discussed in the previous section.
A second limitation when dealing with isotopic datasets including many soil and/or paleosol data is that important factors other than soil respiration may affect reconstructed $R_s$ values. Changes in soil type or soil grain-size in particular (Montanez 2013; Breecker, 2013) would result in artificially varying $R_s$ values as our model includes a limited range of soil parameters (for $D_s$, $\varepsilon$, $z_p$, and $z_c$). For a given soil type and grain-size, an increase of soil water saturation would result in a significant decrease of both $D_s$ and $\varepsilon$ values (Cerling and Quade, 1993); in our model, decreasing $D_s$ and $\varepsilon$ results in an increase in the reconstructed $R_s$. This needs to be kept in mind for the following application.

3. Application to Paleogene soils from northeastern Tibet

3.1 Geological context

The 100-km wide Xining Basin lies at the transition between the Asian desert belt and the semi-arid Chinese Loess Plateau—the deserts’ dust trap (Fig.1; Licht et al., 2016). The basin attains elevations of ~2000 m but likely stood near sea-level during the late Paleogene, 40 to 23 million years ago (Page et al., 2019). Present-day rainfall is sparse (~350 mm of annual precipitation) and monsoonal, with 70% of precipitation occurring during the summer and the remaining part occurring during the winter from westerly-derived moisture (Page et al., 2019); the contribution of westerly-derived moisture might have been more significant in the Paleogene (Meijer et al., 2019). In contrast to the present-day dominance of boreal steppe vegetation, Eocene vegetation was dominated by desert-steppe shrubs together with temperate deciduous forest taxa, indicating a more temperate landscape of desert-steppe and woodlands (Hoorn et al., 2012); late Eocene sediment also contains conifer pollen blown in from the surrounding highlands (Hoorn et al., 2012; Page et al., 2019). Paleogene sedimentary units are dominated by carbonate-bearing red mudstone deposited on a dry mudflat, partly composed of dust and forming poorly developed aridisols (Licht et al., 2016), with cyclic gypsum deposits formed from the evaporation of playa lakes (Abels et al., 2011; Meijer et al., 2019); gypsum bed thicknesses decrease through the late Eocene and they eventually completely disappear through the EOT, indicating increased aridity (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007; Abels et al., 2011). There is no change in pedogenic style, paleosol texture or grain-size in the red mudstones through the studied interval (Abels et al., 2011; Licht et al., 2014).
3.2 Stable isotope analysis

We collected 219 samples of carbonate-bearing mudstones from the Xining Basin along three previously dated (and temporally overlapping) sections covering the time interval from the middle Eocene to the earliest Miocene, at the locality of Shuiwan (Abels et al., 2011), Tiefo (Bosboom et al., 2014), and Xieja (Dai et al., 2006). These sections have been dated at high resolution by a combination of magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy (Dai et al., 2006; Abels et al., 2011; Bosboom et al., 2014; Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007; Fang et al., 2019). The cyclicity of gypsum / red mudstone alternations in the Xining record allows us to date Eocene samples at the resolution of one obliquity cycle (41 kyr). Oligocene samples are dated by magnetostratigraphy only, due to the lack of clear cyclicity in the Oligocene part of the Xining record; we estimate the uncertainty around the age of our Oligocene samples to be ~80-100 kyr based on accumulation rate variation (Dai et al., 2006). Test samples were prepared in thin section and examined using cathodoluminescence and polarized light microscopy to evaluate the origin and potential for diagenesis of the carbonates (Page et al., 2019). Carbonates were identified as vadose-grown, pedogenic cements and did not show any evidence for subsequent diagenetic alteration. Pedogenic vadose-grown cements precipitate from soil water and commonly display the same oxygen and carbon isotope values and growth season as pedogenic nodules (Quade and Roe, 1999; Fan et al., 2018). Our diagenetic screening and identification of the origin of the Xining carbonates is thoroughly discussed in a previous publication (Page et al., 2019).

Half of every sample was decarbonated with 6N HCl twice and washed with 18 M-ohm water several times for acid removal; Carbon content and organic matter δ¹³C values were then measured with a Costech elemental analyzer coupled to a Thermo MAT253 gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometer (University of Washington) or with a Flash Elemental Analyzer 1112 connected to a Thermo MAT253 gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Goethe University-Senckenberg BiK-F Stable Isotope Facility, Frankfurt). Measured carbon isotopic compositions were corrected using in-house reference materials. International reference materials USGS 24 and IAEA-CH-7 were analyzed along with the samples to check for accuracy. The other half of every sample was reacted with 105 % orthophosphoric acid; carbonate δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C values were measured with a Kiel III Carbonate Device coupled to a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometer (University of Washington) or with Thermo GasBench II interfaced with a Thermo MAT 253 gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Goethe
University-Senckenberg BiK-F Stable Isotope Facility, Frankfurt). Measured carbon content, carbon and oxygen isotopic compositions were corrected using in-house reference materials as well as NBS18 and NBS19 carbonate reference materials. All $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values are expressed relative to VPDB. All data are available in Supplementary Data S1.

3.3 Reconstruction of soil respiration rates

For our Paleogene samples, we modified the Monte Carlo approach to take into account additional uncertainties in sample ages, carbonate growth temperature, and past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ values.

The age uncertainty was considered to be $\pm$ 80 kyr for all samples, corresponding to ~2 obliquity cycles. Carbonate growth temperatures were calculated from clumped isotopic values from a subset of 20 samples of the same dataset, already published in Page et al. (2019): temperatures were attributed to each additional sample in the dataset by calculating a moving average across the available clumped isotope temperatures (rolling windows: 2.4 Myr); uncertainties correspond to the maximum standard error observed over the time window (between 4 to 7°C; Fig. 2b). $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{resp}}$ are from red mudstone carbonates (Fig. 2c) and organic matter (Fig. 2d).

We used two different CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ scenarios for past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values. Our first CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ scenario is based on a moving average across a late Paleogene CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ database, excluding data based on soil paleobarometry. CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values are taken from a rolling window (width: 4 Myr) across a cleaned database of existing CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values downloaded from Gavin Foster's website (Foster, 2019) of marine proxies (boron; Anagnostou et al., 2016; and alkenones; Pagani et al., 2011) to which we added leaf stomata proxies (Steinthorsdottir et al., 2016); all data and references are in Supplementary Data S2, as well as the final T and CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ age models used here. CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values are randomly picked within the quartiles Q1 and Q3 in the time window (Fig. 2a). We alternatively used a synthetic one-step scenario with a sudden twofold decrease of CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ at 33.9 Ma (Fig. 2a): 800 ± 200 ppmV before 33.9 Ma, 400 ± 200 ppmV after 33.9 Ma. This scenario corresponds to scenarios used to explain the onset of ice-sheet expansion at the Eocene-Oligocene Transition (Licht et al., 2014) (EOT), and is identified in several individual marine records (Pagani et al., 2011). Note that rolling windows for the moving averages for T and CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ are particularly large due to the lack of carbonate growth temperature or CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ data during some intervals of the Paleogene. Finally, $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ is randomly picked at $-6 \pm 0.25$‰, corresponding to the atmospheric
CO₂ carbon isotopic composition of the 40-20 Ma time window (Tipple et al., 2010). Diffusional constant for CO₂ Dₛ and soil porosity ε were used as constant as no change of grain-size or pedogenic style is observed in the sampled sections.

Allowing the Monte Carlo approach to cover a wide range of T can in a few cases result in incompatible (δ¹³C(soil), δ¹³C(resp)) pair values, leading to unrealistic, anomalously high or negative S(z) values from equation (1) for which the fractionation rule between soil-respired and soil carbon (Cerling, 1999) δ¹³C_soil − 1.0044 δ¹³C_resp - 4.4 >> 0 is not respected. To avoid these inconsistencies, our Monte Carlo procedure rejects any soil temperature T that results in δ¹³C_soil − 1.0044 δ¹³C_resp - 4.4 < 0.5; this threshold results in only realistic Rₛ values (Rₛ < 10,000 gC/m²/yr). This procedure rejects 1 to 4% of the randomly picked T.

We finally performed the same Monte Carlo approach as for the modern samples: for 20000 simulations, we randomly picked the value of the six parameters within their error interval (z_p, z_c, z_s, age, T, CO₂atm) for each of the 219 data points, and calculated S(z) and Rₛ for every data point. A temporal moving average (rolling window: 0.5 Myr) and its standard deviation are then calculated over all the 20000 simulations (Fig. 2e and 2f). The Matlab code used to compute the soil respiration for modern and Paleogene data is provided in the supplementary material.

### 3.4 Calculation of soil water δ¹⁸O

In addition to respiration rates, we plotted Xining pollen assemblages (Fig. 2g; Page et al., 2019) and the measured carbon content in our samples (Fig 2h). We also reconstructed soil water δ¹⁸O values from carbonate δ¹⁸O values as a proxy for rainfall isotopic composition, that can be regionally linked to climatic parameters (Licht et al., 2014; Caves et al., 2016). Soil water δ¹⁸O was calculated from carbonate δ¹⁸O values using the standard calcite-water fractionation equation (Kim and O’Neil, 1997) linking soil water δ¹⁸O, carbonate δ¹⁸O and carbonate growth temperature. For each carbonate sample, the temperature and associated temperature uncertainty were attributed from clumped isotope-based temperature, the same way as for the calculation of Rₛ (Fig. 2b). For 20000 simulations, we randomly picked the value of carbonate growth within its error interval for each of the 219 data points, and calculated soil water δ¹⁸O for every data point. A temporal moving average (rolling window: 0.5 Myr) and its standard deviation are then calculated over all the 20000 simulations (Fig. 2i). The resulting standard deviation per soil water δ¹⁸O is in average 0.6 ‰, and always lower than 0.9 ‰.
Soil water δ¹⁸O values for Xining are then compared with modern rainfall δ¹⁸O values from Lanzhou, GNIP station located 200 km away from Xining (Araguas-Araguas et al., 1998; Hough et al., 2011). Lanzhou is at lower elevation than the modern-day Xining Basin, making it a better comparison location for the Paleogene; Lanzhou today receives more late spring and summer rainfall (85 % of total annual rainfall) than Xining. Both the difference in elevation and in monsoonal rainfall contribution make modern Xining rainfall δ¹⁸O ~1-2 ‰ lower in average than Lanzhou rainfall (Zhang et al., 2002).

All our code is now available in the supplementary material as Matlab files.

4. Results

For both CO₂ atm scenarios, reconstructed Eocene S(z) are high: 4044 ± 1350 (1σ) ppmV for the data-based CO₂ atm scenario, 3869 ± 1276 (1σ) ppmV for the synthetic CO₂ atm scenario on average during the 39 to 35.5 Ma period. These scenarios result in high Rs values, in the range of annual soil respiration found in well-drained soils of temperate grasslands and woodlands (Fig. 2f): 758 ± 256 (1σ) gC/m²/yr for the data-based CO₂ atm scenario, 725 ± 242 (1σ) gC/m²/yr for the synthetic CO₂ atm scenario. These Rs values are in agreement with a temperate landscape of desert-steppe and woodlands as seen in pollen data (Hoorn et al., 2012).

During a short interval in the Eocene, between 37.5 and 36 Ma, S(z) values peak up to ~7500 ppmV while Rs values reach ~1300 gC/m²/yr, higher than annual soil respiration found today in most temperate ecosystems. Considering that there is no change of pedogenic style or grain-size during this interval, we narrow the potential mechanisms responsible for this excursion down to three non-exclusive scenarios. First, these values could potentially reflect increased summer-bias in the soil respiration record, driving reconstructed S(z) and Rs values above annual averages. They could also indicate soil water saturation, thereby limiting CO₂ diffusion in the soil and biasing Rs values towards high values. Finally, they could also reflect a short drop of CO₂ atm that would not be recorded in our database and drive reconstructed S(z) and Rs values up.

Reconstructed S(z) and Rs values then drastically decline between 35.5 to 34 Ma, reaching values lower than 1000 ppmV for S(z) (960 ± 103 and 488 ± 57 ppmV for both scenarios respectively) and lower than 200 gC/m²/yr for Rs (179 ± 19 and 91 ± 10 gC/m²/yr for both scenarios respectively) between the EOT and the Oi-1 glaciation at 33.5 Ma. This is recorded in a significant increase in soil carbonate δ¹³C values, increasing by 2-3 ‰ during the latest Eocene.
(Fig. 2c). Shortly after the Oi-1 glaciation, soil organic matter δ^{13}C values drop by 2-3 ‰ (Fig. 2d) keeping the reconstructed S(z) and R_s low until the end of our record in the latest Oligocene. This drop is associated with a stabilization to low values for the soil carbon content (Fig. 2h).

5. Discussion

5.1 Paleoenvironmental implications

Taken at face value, lower soil organic matter δ^{13}C values after the Oi-1 glaciation suggest less water stress on plants and a change to a wetter biome (Kohn, 2010). However, the lower soil carbon content (Fig. 2h) and the disappearance of lacustrine deposits (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007) rather indicate a change to a more desertic ecosystem. This is corroborated by the decrease in the representation of Eocene steppe floras at the expense of conifer pollen (Fig 2g; Hoorn et al., 2012; Page et al., 2019), as modern desert vegetation areas on the Tibetan Plateau display unusually high amount of conifer pollen carried by winds over long distances (Herzschuh, 2007). A shift from a warm temperate desert-steppe and woodland ecosystem to a similar-to-modern cold boreal desert-steppe could reduce water stress on plants and is more compatible with low respiration rates (cf Table 1).

Importantly, the 4- to 7-fold drop in reconstructed R_s is achieved by the EOT and before the change to colder conditions at the Oi-1 glaciation. The onset of R_s decline is almost synchronous with the appearance of the first conifer pollen in Xining, suggesting that the long-term, gradual decrease of global temperature during the late Eocene could explain part of this decline (Pagani et al., 2011). But the onset of R_s decline precedes most of the temperature decrease during the transition into the Oligocene icehouse, marked by the Oi-1 glaciation (Miller et al., 2009), as reflected in the Xining Basin clumped isotope temperature record (Page et al., 2019). It is thus likely that local temperature changes alone did not significantly affect the drop of reconstructed R_s. The Xining pollen record does not exhibit any fundamental change of ecosystem between 37 and 34 Ma. However, a decrease in vegetation cover and associated loss of soil carbon could have significantly weakened soil respiration. This interpretation is in agreement with the stabilization to low values for the soil carbon content after the EOT (Fig. 2h) and increased aridity shown by the stepwise disappearance of lacustrine beds (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007; Abels et al., 2011).
An alternative way of interpreting our data is to consider that our proxy only records a snapshot of soil respiration during carbonate growth and that the drop of $R_s$ could be explained by a gradual shift of carbonate formation outside the summer growing season, the monsoonal season in Tibet (Breecker et al., 2010). Eocene carbonate growth temperatures from the clumped isotopic record in Xining display large-magnitude changes that can only be explained by a combination of temperature variations and shifts of carbonate growth between warmer and cooler months (Page et al., 2019). These shifts to cooler months have been interpreted to reflect a decline of monsoonal intensity, increasing the proportion of carbonate growing in spring, when the soils start to dry out after the winter rains (Page et al., 2019). Such a scenario is compatible with our reconstructed $R_s$, as the drop of $R_s$ could be explained by a long-term shift of carbonate growth to earlier months. The shift to more negative soil organic matter $\delta^{13}C$ values would thus require an increase in winter westerly-derived moisture to reduce water-stress on plants. However, there is no systematic correlation between carbonate growth temperature (Fig. 2b) and reconstructed $R_s$ during the Eocene part of our record. This suggests that changes of carbonate growth season did not play the only role, and that both ways of interpreting our data are required: a long-term, late Eocene decrease in monsoonal intensity would have reduced vegetation cover (Hoorn et al., 2012) and weakened soil respiration as well as shifted the carbonate growth season to the spring.

Soil water oxygen isotope ratios $\delta^{18}O$ (Fig. 2i) reconstructed from the Xining carbonates provide insight into regional rainfall patterns. Eocene soil water displays highly dispersed $\delta^{18}O$ values indicative of a combination of late spring to summer, mostly monsoonal water and winter, westerly-derived water, and strong soil evaporative effects that shift soil $\delta^{18}O$ to higher values (Quade et al., 1989). Average $\delta^{18}O$ values gradually decrease into the late Eocene and soil water $\delta^{18}O$ values converge to westerly-derived moisture values in the earliest Oligocene, reflecting an apparent absence of East Asian monsoonal moisture in the soil hydrological budget. These values corroborate both the long-term aridification trend culminating at the EOT (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007; Abels et al., 2011) and the decrease in East Asian monsoonal intensity (Page et al., 2019), likely responsible for the regional aridification, which would have resulted in a significant dwindling of vegetation cover and weakened soil respiration. This chronology indicates that the first step of the expansion of Central Asian deserts is tightly linked to global cooling and likely poorly related to Tibetan uplift (Liu et al., 2015).
The chronology of desertification in the Xining Basin differs from what is seen in other Tibetan basins. Paleobotanical and sedimentological data suggest the persistence of wet conditions further west in the Qaidam Basin during the Oligocene (Song et al., 2020) and a shift to wetter conditions further south in the Jianshuan Basin ~2 Ma before the EOT (Sorrel et al., 2016). The higher paleoelevation of these two basins likely favored wetter paleoenvironments (Wu et al., 2018; Song et al., 2020); nonetheless, these results indicate that alternate sources of atmospheric moisture to Tibet (westerly winds, South Asian monsoon) remained significant during the Oligocene. In particular, the onset of desertification in the Xining Basin is 10 million years before the appearance of the first ergs in western China (Zheng et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2020), indicating that westerly-derived moisture remained a significant source of humidity further west for millions of years after the EOT (Fig. 1).

5.2 Implications for soil paleobarometry

It has already been recognized that secular changes in soil respiration in paleosols at a given locality can be quite large (e.g. Cotton et al., 2015). Our results confirm these findings and raise doubts about the accuracy of past CO$_2$$_{atm}$ data acquired with the soil paleobarometry approach, that uses a narrow range of soil respiration values, either related to the pedogenetic style of the paleosol (Montanez, 2013) or to the depth of the calcic horizon, often seen as controlled by rainfall (Retallack, 2009; Cotton and Sheldon, 2012). To illustrate this, we run the method developed here by assuming known soil respiration at 400 ± 100 gC/m$^2$/yr and calculate the resulting evolution of atmospheric CO$_2$$_{atm}$ through our record. This range of soil respiration values correspond to a range for S(\xi) of 228 ± 528 ppmV in our model, overlapping with values commonly used in other global CO$_2$$_{atm}$ reconstructions based upon soil carbonate $\delta^{13}$C (2000 to 2500 ppmV; Breecker et al., 2010; Foster et al., 2017); these soil respiration values also overlap with average values used in paleobarometry for arid soils (Montanez, 2013) or for a constant sampling depth of 40 to 60 cm (Retallack, 2009), as found in our record.

Instead of using CO$_2$$_{atm}$ as an input, with its values being randomly picked within an error interval, we use instead R$_s$ as an output, with values randomly picked within this 400 ± 100 gC/m$^2$/yr window. Reconstructed CO$_2$$_{atm}$ values increase 2- to 5-fold between the late Eocene and the late Oligocene, contradicting other CO$_2$$_{atm}$ and temperature records and the geological record of glaciation across the EOT (Fig. 3). These results highlight that our knowledge of past CO$_2$$_{atm}$
variations, often based on soil paleobarometry as an important component of current datasets (Foster et al., 2017), may be significantly biased by large differences in $R_s$ values in deep time.

A potential leverage to avoid such bias would be to select paleosol samples for which soil respired CO$_2$ at sampling depth $S(z_s)$ and CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ are “balanced” (in equal concentration), as proposed by Breecker (2013). This can be ensured by selecting paleosols for which equation (1) has a narrow range of values around 1. This approach rejects all our Eocene CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ reconstructions due to their high respiration rates, but our Oligocene soils would still pass this test while yielding unrealistic values for CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ (> 2000 ppmV). This overestimation is due to the fact that the soil respiration values commonly used for CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ reconstructions are too high for our soils, highlighting the need for better independent constraints on past $S(z_s)$. Alternatively, Montanez (2013) showed that many modern soils with moderate to high soil respiration values have a narrow range of ($\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{soil}}$, $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{resp}}$) couplets and proposed to use threshold ($\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}}$, $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{resp}}$) values to screen data for the use of soil paleobarometry. Montanez’s screening criterion ($12.2\%_o < \delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}} - \delta^{13}$C$_{\text{atm}} < 15.8\%_o$) corresponds to selecting paleosol data for which $S(z_s)$ is x1.5 to 3x the value of CO$_{2\text{atm}}$, and reject paleosols with a low soil respiration. When applied to our dataset, this approach rejects most of our data except for Eocene data of the interval 38–36 Ma, when $S(z)$ values peak above 5000 ppmV. This second approach for screening soil paleobarometry data is more satisfying, as the reconstructed CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values for the 38–36 Ma interval, at 693 ± 198 ppmV (1σ) on average, appear in good agreement with other CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ proxies (Fig. 3).

6. Conclusions

Our new approach to reconstruct soil respiration rates, when applied to the Xining paleosol record, reveals highly dynamic soil respiration through time. The location of our study, at the edge of the East Asian monsoonal domain, makes its paleoenvironments particularly sensitive to global climate change and might explain the significant amplitude of $R_s$ variation through our record. The significant drop in vegetation cover interpreted from pollen data, soil respiration rates, and temperature mark the onset of the first cold desert-steppes of central China across the EOT. It confirms a major desertification step in Central Asia through the fall into the Oligocene Icehouse associated with decreasing monsoonal intensity.
Our results also emphasize that the soil paleobarometry approach to reconstruct past CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ should be used with a careful screening of paleosol isotopic data; changes of soil CO$_2$ are at least as sensitive to changes of CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ as to secular changes in environmental factors and soil respiration, which are poorly constrained in the stratigraphic record. Nevertheless, this sensitivity to soil respiration can be leveraged to yield critical constraints on the sensitivity of poorly understood biogeochemical fluxes. Although restricted to mid-latitudes, where pedogenic carbonates form, our new approach opens avenues for a detailed documentation of soil respiration and desert expansions and retreats in deep time (when CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ is constrained), with important implications for our understanding of past ecosystems and hydrological budgets.
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Table 1. Annual and summer soil respiration (Rs) for terrestrial biomes. Average, standard deviation, median and quartiles are calculated from the Soil Respiration Database (SRDB) Version 4.1 (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2018), excluding cultivated sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>n (sites)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>1σ</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2 (median)</th>
<th>Q3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Desert/steppe/Tundra</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2198</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Forest</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3900</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal wetlands</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Desert</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>23046</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Forest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Forest</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical Forest</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6328</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4390</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>1741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Forest</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>8136</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>1679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measured annual soil respiration rate S (in gC/m²/yr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n (sites)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>1σ</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2 (median)</th>
<th>Q3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Deser...</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3030</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Forest</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3938</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal wetlands</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Desert</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>1626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Forest</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2651</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4038</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11171</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>3512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Forest</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>1578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical Forest</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Grassland/shrubland</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Forest</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>2874</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Annual and summer soil respiration (Rs) for terrestrial biomes. Average, standard deviation, median and quartiles are calculated from the Soil Respiration Database (SRDB) Version 4.1 (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2018), excluding cultivated sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth of soil profile</td>
<td>$z_p$ (in cm) 100 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic soil depth of CO$_2$ production</td>
<td>$z_c$ (in cm) 25 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling depth in soil</td>
<td>$z_s$ (in cm) 50 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>$\varepsilon$ 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusional constant for CO$_2$ in soil</td>
<td>$D_s$ (in cm$^2$/s) 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon isotopic composition of the atmospheric CO$_2$</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ (in %o) modern: -6.5 Paleogene data: -6 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the paleosol</td>
<td>Age (in kyr or Myr) Modern: 0 Paleogene: Estimated age by magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy ± 80 kyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate growth temperature</td>
<td>$T$ (in °C) Modern: literature (see Supplementary Table 3) Paleogene: moving average across Xining clumped isotope temperatures of Page et al., (2019) (time windows: 2.4 Myr); uncertainty corresponds to the maximum standard error observed over the time window (from 3 to 7 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration</td>
<td>$CO_{2\text{atm}}$ (in ppmV) Modern: (a) moving average (time windows: 3.6 Myr) across a database of existing pCO$_2$ values excluding data coming from soil paleobarometry (Beerling and Royer, 2011; Zhang et al. 2013; Steinhorsdottir et al., 2016); error corresponds to quartiles Q1 and Q3 in the time window; (b) synthetic one-step pCO$_2$ evolution with 800 ± 200 ppmV before 33.9 Ma, 400 ± 200 ppmV after 33.9 Ma. Paleogene: two sets:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2. Model parameters for the soil respiration reconstructions. The table displays values for Monte Carlo parameters and their uniformly-distributed uncertainty, used for both modern soils (Table 3) and paleosols.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>Boreal grassland</th>
<th>Temperate Desert</th>
<th>Temperate grassland</th>
<th>Temperate forest</th>
<th>Mediterranean grassland/shrubland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median, Q1 &amp; Q3 soil</td>
<td>191 (91-495)</td>
<td>203 (98 - 352)</td>
<td>730 (367 - 1032)</td>
<td>730 (510 - 964)</td>
<td>641 (342 - 910)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>respiration rate for the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biome (in gC/m²/yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx respiration rate</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>184-187</td>
<td>624-768</td>
<td>144-215</td>
<td>189-681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at nearby site (in gC/m²/yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>480-580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>418-515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source paper for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Billes et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isotopes and T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tufekcioğlu et al (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fousochi and Margaris (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{resp}}$ (in ‰)</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}}$ (in ‰)</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (in °C)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modeled S(z) (in ppmV)</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled R (in gC/m²/yr)</td>
<td>220 ± 43 (1σ)</td>
<td>299 ± 59 (1σ)</td>
<td>235 ± 46 (1σ)</td>
<td>140 ± 27 (1σ)</td>
<td>150 ± 29 (1σ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Median soil and measured soil respiration compared to modelled soil respiration for various sites of different biomes. Median soil respiration values are from Table 1; note that measured respiration do not come from the same sites as isotopic and temperature data as both types of data are currently unavailable at the same sites. The carbonate growth temperature used for the model is either the growth season temperature + 5°C (following Breeker et al., 2009), or the maximal temperature at 50 cm depth, when available (Cerling and Quade, 1993).
Fig. 1. Map of Asia and its different biomes (Peel et al., 2007), with location of the Xining Basin (XB) and Tibetan Plateau (TP, black line) and moisture-carrier wind directions feeding the Asian desert belts (blue arrows).
Fig. 2: CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ evolution through the late Paleogene compared with soil carbonate growth temperature, soil carbon isotopic composition, reconstructed soil respiration $R_s$, pollen assemblages and soil water oxygen isotopic ratio in Xining. (a) Paleogene CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ values (black dots with standard errors) and the two CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ scenarios (shaded areas) used to reconstructed $R_s$. (b) Carbonate growth temperature derived from clumped isotopes (black dots with standard errors; Page et al., 2019); shaded area corresponds to the temperature model used to reconstruct $R_s$ (moving average across the values); (c) Carbonate $\delta^{13}$C and (d) organic matter $\delta^{13}$C values (uncertainty < 0.01 ‰ V-PDB); (e) Reconstructed $S(z)$ and (f) soil respiration $R_s$ in Xining for both CO$_{2\text{atm}}$ scenarios (average and standard deviation); $R_s$ is compared with soil respiration of temperate and boreal ecosystems (Q1, Q3 and median of Supplementary Table S1); blue arrow indicates the effect of soil water saturation on reconstructed $R_s$; (g) Proportion in pollen assemblages of temperate forest, desert/steppe and conifer taxa in Xining sediment (Page et al., 2019); (h) Carbon content in decarbonated sediment (in %); (i) Soil water oxygen isotopic ratios of carbonate samples (1σ < 1
‰, not shown) compared to seasonal rainfall isotopic composition in nearby Lanzhou city (Summer monsoon: May to Oct.; Winter westerlies: Nov. to Apr.; Araguas-Araguas et al., 1998); orange arrow indicates the effect of evaporation on soil water isotopic ratios.

Fig. 3. Reconstructed CO$_{2atm}$ using the standard soil paleobarometry approach. The CO$_{2atm}$ values are reconstructed using Rs values of 400 ± 100 gC/m$^2$/yr (see text). Reconstructed CO$_{2atm}$ values are close to CO$_{2atm}$ data from marine proxies (black dots with error bars) during the 38-36 Ma window but climb above 1000 ppmV in the Oligocene, reaching values episodically up to 2500 ppmV, five times more than what is predicted by other proxies.

Highlights

- We reconstruct past soil respiration rates from paleosols in northeastern Tibet.
- Soil respiration dropped at least 4-fold during the transition into the Oligocene.
- Boreal desert-steppes of Central Asia date back to the Oligocene.