



First Detection of Solar Flare Emission in Mid-ultraviolet Balmer Continuum

Marie Dominique, Andrei Zhukov, Petr Heinzel, Ingolf E. Dammasch,
Laurence Wauters, Laurent Dolla, Sergei Shestov, Matthieu Kretzschmar,
Janet Machol, Giovanni Lapenta

► To cite this version:

Marie Dominique, Andrei Zhukov, Petr Heinzel, Ingolf E. Dammasch, Laurence Wauters, et al.. First Detection of Solar Flare Emission in Mid-ultraviolet Balmer Continuum. The Astrophysical journal letters, 2018, 867 (2), pp.L24. 10.3847/2041-8213/aaeace . insu-02940873

HAL Id: insu-02940873

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-02940873>

Submitted on 12 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FIRST DETECTION OF SOLAR FLARE EMISSION IN MIDDLE-ULTRAVIOLET BALMER CONTINUUM

MARIE DOMINIQUE,^{1,2} ANDREI N. ZHUKOV,^{1,3} PETR HEINZEL,⁴ INGOLF E. DAMMASCH,¹ LAURENCE WAUTERS,¹
LAURENT DOLLA,¹ SERGEI SHESTOV,^{1,5} MATTHIEU KRETZSCHMAR,⁶ JANET MACHOL,⁷ GIOVANNI LAPENTA,² AND
WERNER SCHMUTZ⁸

¹*Solar-Terrestrial Centre of Excellence – SIDC, Royal Observatory of Belgium, 3 Avenue Circulaire, 1180 Uccle, Belgium*

²*Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KUL), Celestijnenlaan 200b - bus 2400, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium*

³*Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Leninskie gory, GSP-1, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

⁴*Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, 25165 Ondřejov, Czech Republic*

⁵*Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninskii prospekt, 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

⁶*LPC2E, UMR 7328 Université d'Orléans and CNRS, 3a av. de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France*

⁷*NOAA/NCEI and University of Colorado/CIRES, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA*

⁸*PMOD/WRC, Dorfstrasse 33, 7260 Davos Dorf, Switzerland*

(Received July 17, 2018)

Submitted to ApJL

ABSTRACT

We present the first detection of solar flare emission at middle-ultraviolet wavelengths around 2000 Å by the channel 2 of the Large-Yield RAdiometer (LYRA) onboard the PROBA2 mission. The flare (SOL20170906) was also observed in the channel 1 of LYRA centered at the H I Lyman- α line at 1216 Å, showing a clear non-thermal profile in both channels. The flare radiation in channel 2 is consistent with the hydrogen Balmer continuum emission produced by an optically thin chromospheric slab heated up to 10000 K. Simultaneous observations in channels 1 and 2 allow the separation of the line emission (primarily from the Lyman- α line) from the Balmer continuum emission. Together with the recent detection of the Balmer continuum emission in the near-ultraviolet by IRIS, the LYRA observations strengthen the interpretation of broadband flare emission as the hydrogen recombination continua originating in the chromosphere.

Keywords: Sun: flares — Sun: chromosphere — Sun: UV radiation

1. INTRODUCTION

Solar flares and associated coronal mass ejections are the most powerful energy release events in the solar system. Surprisingly little is known about the distribution of the flare energy over the full solar spectrum (Veselovsky & Koutchmy 2006). Routine measurements of the X-ray and extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) emissions probe only a small part of the total energy radiated during a flare (e.g. Emslie et al. 2012). Most of the flare radiation is emitted at longer wavelengths, but observations in this spectral range covering spectral lines and broadband continua are rare (Kretzschmar 2011; Kleint et al. 2016). The parts of the solar spectrum between 1000 and 3000 Å, i.e. far ultraviolet (FUV), middle ultraviolet (MUV), and near ultraviolet (NUV), have a probably important but still poorly known contribution to the total energy emitted during flares (e.g. Woods et al. 2006; Milligan et al. 2014).

Solar spectra at the FUV to NUV wavelengths have been measured by rocket-borne and space-borne experiments (Durand et al. 1949; Bonnet & Blamont 1968; Curdt et al. 2001; Woods et al. 2012; Meftah et al. 2018). Semi-empirical quiet-Sun models have been developed (Vernazza et al. 1981; Fontenla et al. 1993). As described e.g. by Gingerich et al. (1971) and Phillips et al. (2008), below 1527 Å the quiet Sun spectrum consists of emission continua and emission lines (the strongest line being the H I Lyman- α line at 1216 Å) and is mostly produced by the chromosphere. Above \sim 1800 Å the spectrum consists of a number of continua blanketed by numerous absorption lines (Labs & Neckel 1972), mostly produced by the upper photosphere. The spectrum between 1527 Å and \sim 1800 Å is an absorption continuum with mostly emission lines, and is produced around the temperature minimum.

The FUV to NUV spectra taken during flares are quite rare (Cook & Brueckner 1979; Lemaire et al. 1984; Doyle & Cook 1992; Brekke et al. 1996). Woods et al. (2006) have observed FUV irradiance spectra for four of the largest flares of solar cycle 23; however, with the exception of the Mg IIk line, the flare signature above 1900 Å was too low to be detected. Heinzel & Kleint (2014) presented the first IRIS (Interface Region Imaging Spectrometer, De Pontieu et al. 2014) measurements of the Balmer continuum during flares in the NUV channel around 2826 Å. Other, quite rare flare detections in the Balmer continuum were made close to the Balmer recombination edge at 3646 Å by ground-based instruments (e.g. Hiei 1982; Neidig 1983; Kotrč et al. 2016). The contributions of the spectral line emission and continua into the total flare radiation may vary strongly, with either line or continuum emission being

dominant depending on time and location (Kleint et al. 2017). The hydrogen Balmer continuum is produced by the recombination of free electrons generated during strong flare heating in the chromosphere (Avrett et al. 1986). The flare emission in the recombination continua is expected to be almost synchronous with the non-thermal hard X-rays bremsstrahlung emission produced by the beam of accelerated electrons (see e.g. Heinzel & Kleint 2014).

In this Letter, we report the first detection of the solar flare emission in the middle-ultraviolet Balmer continuum, as measured by the Large-Yield RAdiometer (LYRA) onboard the PROBA2 mission.

2. DATA DESCRIPTION

LYRA (Hochedez et al. 2006; Dominique et al. 2013) onboard the PROBA2 mission takes high-cadence (nominally 20 Hz) solar irradiance measurements in four wide spectral channels (see first two columns of Table 1), two of which are in the FUV and MUV. Channel 1 (also called the Lyman- α channel) takes observations around the Lyman- α line and nearby continua. Channel 2 (historically called "Herzberg channel" due to its relevance to the Herzberg continuum of molecular oxygen in the Earth's atmosphere) observes between 1900 and 2220 Å.

LYRA was calibrated before the launch at the PTB/BESSY II synchrotron (Dominique et al. 2013). The pre-launch effective area for channels 1 and 2 is shown in Figure 1. One can notice that channel 2 has a high spectral purity, i.e. almost 100% of the measured signal effectively comes from the 1900 to 2220 Å wavelength range. However, this is not the case for channel 1, for which only 35% of the measured irradiance comes from the spectral range around Lyman- α , while 65% originates from a plateau in the channel responsivity around 2000 Å. The latter interval overlaps the spectral range of channel 2, which can be used to disentangle the emission measured in the two channels. The last two channels observe the soft X-rays/EUV range and respectively cover the 1–800 and 1–200 Å intervals.

We also use the data from the 1–8 Å channel of GOES-15 (acquired at a cadence of 2 s), as well as the Solar Dynamics Observatory/Heliopause and Magnetic Imager (SDO/HMI) continuum images (Schou et al. 2012) to determine the surface of the flaring region.

3. OBSERVATIONS

In September 2017, the NOAA AR 12673 produced 27 M-class flares and 4 X-class flares, among which the two strongest flares observed so far during the solar cycle 24: the X9.3 flare on September 6 and the limb X8.2 flare on September 10.




Figure 1. Solar radiance corresponding to typical quiet-Sun conditions and the increase (without the quiet-Sun background) of radiance produced by the flare. The spectrum I_{λ} (red line) of the flare of 2017 September 6 has been calculated following the procedure described in Section 4. The quiet-Sun spectrum (blue line) measured on 2010 January 7 is shown for comparison. The effective areas of the LYRA channels 1 (solid line) and 2 (dashed line) of the spare unit used during the flare campaign are overplotted in black.

At the time of these events, LYRA was performing a special flare observation campaign, involving one of its spare unit (i.e. its calibration unit, or unit 1). As this unit was only sporadically opened over the mission, it is relatively well preserved from the ageing process that otherwise affects the instrument (BenMoussa et al. 2013), so it delivered clear observations of the X9.3 flare in all channels. Although about 35% and 20% of the sensitivity has been lost since the launch in channels 1 and 2 respectively, the degradation, which is thought to be caused by the deposit of a ~ 10 nm-thick layer of carbon on the entrance filter, did not modify the spectral characteristics of the instrument.

The LYRA data set for the X9.3 flare is rather unique. The SXR/EUV channels of LYRA (channels 3 and 4) are specifically used for monitoring solar flares and have captured hundreds of them, but flare observations are relatively rare in channel 1 (Kretzschmar et al. 2013). The X9.3 flare was the first flare detected in the channel 2 of LYRA.

The X8.2 flare, despite being the second strongest flare of the solar cycle, did not produce any signature in LYRA channels 1 and 2. This may be due to the fact that at least one of the footpoints of this flare was located behind the solar limb, hiding the source of the chromospheric emission (see also Chamberlin et al. 2018). Channels 3 and 4, which are the only channels

of LYRA measuring coronal emissions, provided clear observations of the flare.

The increase of irradiance produced by the X9.3 flare observed by LYRA¹ and by GOES (in the 1–8 Å pass-band) is listed in Table 1 and shown in Figure 2. The estimated residual Lyman- α irradiances listed in Table 1 were extracted from LYRA channel 1 following the procedure described in Section 4. In Figure 2, the pre-flare irradiance has been subtracted from each timeseries.

Unfortunately, no hard X-ray measurements are available for this flare. Therefore, we plotted in Figure 2 the derivative of the GOES data, which constitutes a good proxy for the flare non-thermal emission (Neupert 1968). One immediately sees from Figure 2 that the emission in channels 1 and 2 looks different from the one in GOES and LYRA channel 4: it is highly modulated and is peaking around 5 minutes earlier. It is similar to the derivative of the GOES 1-8 Å curve. It confirms the non-thermal temporal behavior of emission observed in LYRA channels 1 and 2.

4. SPECTRAL MODELING

To assess what causes the flare emission in the channel 2 of LYRA, we need to model the flare spectrum around 2000 Å. Emission in the hydrogen free-

¹ The data in the LYRA channel 3 look very similar to the data taken in channel 4 and are not shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Solar irradiance during the X9.3 flare of 2017 September 6 (with the pre-flare irradiance subtracted), observed by GOES (orange line) and LYRA channels 1, 2 and 4 (respectively the purple, green, and black lines for E_1 , E_2 and E_4), as well as the Lyman- α residual irradiance E'_1 (red line) extracted from E_1 . The LYRA data were rebinned to the cadence of 1 s. The time derivative of GOES 1–8 Å data is also shown (blue line) as a proxy of the non-thermal flare emission. Different scales were used for the various time series for the sake of clarity.

bound and free-free continua, in the H^- continuum, as well as in spectral lines, has been considered in the literature (Damé & Cram 1983; Damé & Vial 1985; Avrett et al. 1986; Neidig et al. 1993; Kerr & Fletcher 2014; Heinzel et al. 2017). For strong flares in the wavelength range of interest, the emission in the free-bound continuum is expected to be by far the strongest (Avrett et al. 1986; Neidig et al. 1993).

We therefore adopt the hypothesis that the increase of the irradiance in channel 2 during the flare is primarily due to enhancement of the free-bound continuum of Hydrogen. To calculate the Balmer continuum, we assume that the emission is produced by an optically thin chromospheric slab of plasma with the electron density n_e that is enhanced due to increased ionization during the flare. This model was tested e.g. by Neidig et al. (1993), and for Paschen continuum by Kerr & Fletcher (2014) and recently by Heinzel et al. (2017). Dominant contribution of the Balmer continuum in MUV and NUV was also predicted by Avrett et al. (1986). The input parameters for a simple slab model are the electron temperature T , the electron density n_e , and the thickness of the emitting layer L . The emissivity in the hydrogen recombination continua takes the form (Hubeny & Mihalas 2015):

$$\eta_\nu^i = n_e^2 F_i(\nu, T), \quad (1)$$

where $i=2$ or 3 for Balmer or Paschen continuum, respectively, and ν is the frequency of the continuum radiation. The function F_i is expressed as

$$F_i(\nu, T) = 1.166 \times 10^{14} g(i, \nu) T^{-3/2} B_\nu(T) \times \frac{e^{h\nu_i/kT} (1 - e^{-h\nu/kT})}{(i\nu)^3}, \quad (2)$$

where ν_i is the continuum-head frequency, $g(i, \nu)$ the Gaunt factor, $B_\nu(T)$ the Planck function, and h and k are the Planck and Boltzmann constants, respectively (Hubeny & Mihalas 2015). For an optically-thin case, this emissivity is multiplied by L to get the continuum radiance I_ν (i.e. the specific intensity). Here we assumed an equality between proton and electron densities which is a good approximation in a flaring chromosphere. Furthermore, for the sake of simplicity we took $g(i, \nu)=1$, which is accurate enough for the considered continua. Here the continuum radiance I_ν has units $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$, which we convert to I_λ in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{\AA}^{-1}$ by multiplying I_ν with the factor $3 \times 10^{18}/\lambda^2$, where λ is the continuum wavelength in Å.

We assumed a typical flare slab temperature of 10000 K. Then, we adjusted the emission measure $n_e^2 L$ so that the resulting spectral radiance, once converted into irradiance, multiplied by the instrumental response of the channel 2 of LYRA (see dashed line in Figure 1) and integrated over its bandpass, provides a result consistent with the channel 2 measurements at any time

Table 1. Characteristics of the X9.3 flare of 2017 September 6 observed by LYRA and GOES. The Lyman- α residual E'_1 is obtained from the channel 1 irradiance E_1 after subtraction of the contribution of the hydrogen Balmer continuum derived from channel 2 irradiance E_2 (see Section 4). E'_1 is dominated by the emission in a few strong lines, mostly the Lyman- α and the C lines in the 1200–1550 Å range.

channel	Bandpass, Å	Pre-flare irradiance, erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	Peak irradiance (11:58 UT), erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	Flare increase, erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	Flare increase, %
channel 1 (Lyman- α)	1200 – 1230 ^a	6.85	6.92	0.07	0.97
channel 2 (Herzberg)	1900 – 2220 ^a	690.1	692.6	2.5	0.35
channel 3 (Aluminum)	1 – 800	4.2	30.0	25.8	614
channel 4 (Zirconium)	1 – 200	1.45	25.5	24.05	1658
Lyman- α residual	1200–1550	-	-	0.05	-
GOES	1 – 8	0.007	1.35	1.34	19185

^aThe bandpass provided here is as listed in [Dominique et al. \(2013\)](#). See Figure 1 for the detailed spectral transmissions of LYRA channels 1 and 2 that are of importance for this work.

t :

$$E_2(t) = C_2 \int_{\lambda} A \frac{I_{\lambda}(t)}{d^2} S_2(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda \quad (3)$$

where E_2 is the irradiance measured by the channel 2 of LYRA, d is the Sun-Earth distance, C_2 is the calibration coefficient of the channel 2, S_2 is the effective area of channel 2. A is the emitting area estimated using the method by Mravcová & Švanda (2017) to be 240 Mm² at 11:58 UT (the peak time in LYRA channel 2) based on the SDO/HMI observations of the flare in the wing of the Fe I 6173 Å line (M. Švanda, private communication).

The value of the emission measure $n_e^2 L$ producing a spectrum that matches the observations of channel 2 was found to be of $9.1 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-5}$ at the time of the peak of the flare. Heinzel et al. (2017) derived the slab thickness around 200 km for a limb flare detected by SDO/HMI. Considering this value as a representative value for the Balmer-continuum formation region, this results in electron densities of the order of $6.7 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$, consistent with the values found by Neidig et al. (1993) and Kerr & Fletcher (2014). Under these conditions, we estimate the optical thickness at 2000 Å to be around 0.015, which confirms the hypothesis of an optically thin slab.

The obtained spectral radiance increase produced by the flare (without the quiet-Sun background) is shown with the red line in Figure 1. A composite mean quiet-Sun background spectrum is also shown as the blue line for comparison. This spectrum was obtained by merging the full-Sun integrated datasets taken on 2010 January 7 by three spectrometers: TIMED/SEE (Woodraska et al. 2004) from 1000 to 1300 Å, SORCE/SOLSTICE (Rottman et al. 1993) from 1300 to 3100 Å, and SORCE/SIM (Harder et al. 2005) from 3100 to 4000 Å, and converting it into spectral radiance per unit of emitting surface assuming the uniform emission of the quiet Sun disk.

As was mentioned in Section 2, only 35% of the irradiance measured by the channel 1 of LYRA at low solar activity comes from the spectral range around Lyman- α , while 65% originates from a plateau in the channel responsivity around 2000 Å. Once the Balmer continuum spectrum has been calculated based on the measurements of channel 2, its contribution can be subtracted from the channel 1 measurements:

$$E'_1(t) = E_1(t) - C_1 \int_{\lambda} A \frac{I_{\lambda}(t)}{d^2} S_1(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda. \quad (4)$$

The remaining emission that we call here "Lyman- α residual" consists mostly of the hydrogen Lyman- α emission and a few strong lines, the most prominent of

them being the Si III line at 1206 Å, the C II line at 1335 Å, the Si IV doublet around 1400 Å, the Si II line at 1533 Å, and the C IV doublet at 1548 Å (Avrett et al. 1986; Simões et al. 2018). According to Table 1, the Lyman- α residual contributes around 70% to the total flare emission measured in channel 1 of LYRA.

If the entirety of the remaining signal were attributed to the emission in the Lyman- α line, here modelled by a Gaussian centered at 1216 Å with a 1 Å FWHM (although the line, far from being Gaussian, has extended wings), then the line would be around 500 times more intense than the Balmer continuum, as shown by the peak on the red curve in Figure 1. It is important to note however that even if the Lyman- α line were responsible for most of the remaining signal, the contribution of other neighbouring lines should not be excluded. In comparison to the line contributions, the emission in the continua around Lyman- α is expected to be small.

5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The X9.3 flare on 2017 September 6 was observed by PROBA2/LYRA in its four channels. This was the first LYRA observation of a solar flare in the MUV wavelengths around 2000 Å. We demonstrated that the emission detected at these wavelengths by LYRA is consistent with the hydrogen Balmer continuum emission produced by an optically thin chromospheric slab heated up to 10000 K. The densities around $6.7 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$ required for the slab thickness of around 200 km are consistent with previous works (Neidig et al. 1993; Kerr & Fletcher 2014; Heinzel et al. 2017). Simultaneous observations in channels 1 and 2 of LYRA allow the separation of the line emissions (primarily from the hydrogen Lyman- α line at 1216 Å) from the Balmer continuum emission generated at longer wavelengths.

Recently, the Balmer continuum emission from an X1 flare was observed by IRIS around 2826 Å, as reported by Heinzel & Kleint (2014). Our radiance at the flare peak computed at 2000 Å is $5.7 \times 10^5 \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Å}^{-1}$. Converting this to IRIS NUV we get $2.3 \times 10^6 \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Å}^{-1}$, about eight times more as compared to the value given by Heinzel & Kleint (2014) for a weaker X1 flare. We can also convert our radiance to wavelength 6173 Å used by SDO/HMI (i.e. dominated by Paschen continuum), getting the value $2.6 \times 10^5 \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Å}^{-1}$. This latter value can be compared with visible-continuum flare detections, but one has to keep in mind that our value of the radiance is the mean value averaged over the flare area. Also a comparison with HMI enhancement may be problematic because during strong flares the HMI 'continuum' signal

seems to be strongly contaminated by the flare emission in the Fe I line (Švanda et al. 2018).

The contribution of other continua around 2000 Å (which are usually produced by the quiet photosphere, see Bonnet & Blamont 1968) is probably small (Avrett et al. 1986). Our value of the peak radiance at 2000 Å is consistent with models of Avrett et al. (1986) and lies somewhere between the radiance produced by their F2 and F3 models. This may contribute to better understanding of the physics of white-light flares, although we are detecting enhancements in MUV, not in the white (visible) light. However, the conversion to Paschen-continuum enhancement is a signature of the white-light flare.

Reports of flares in Lyman- α in the literature (e.g. Lemaire et al. 1984; Rubio da Costa et al. 2009; Kretzschmar et al. 2013; Milligan et al. 2017) are relatively rare and often debated. A recent paper by Milligan & Chamberlin (2016) questioned the origin of the Lyman- α flare emission reported by broad-band instruments (in particular SDO/EVE, Woods et al. 2012, and LYRA), as these detections displayed a thermal-like temporal profile and peaked much later than the non-thermal emission, contrarily to the spectroscopic observation by Lemaire et al. (1984). They suggested that these observations might rather correspond to out-of-band emission. LYRA produced very few observations of flares in its Lyman- α channel (channel 1, see Kretzschmar et al. 2013) due to its fast degradation (BenMoussa et al. 2013). The previous few LYRA observations were all acquired with its nominal or the main backup unit, and they showed a thermal behaviour similar to that described by Milligan & Chamberlin (2016). The X9.3 flare on 2017 September 6 is the first flare

observed by the channel 1 of the calibration unit, which was better preserved from degradation.

The temporal correlation of the flare emission measured by LYRA channels 1 and 2 with the GOES derivative confirms that the emission in those channels comes from regions of non-thermal behaviour. The Lyman- α residual irradiance clearly follows a non-thermal profile. It is therefore likely that the anomalous behaviour (reported by Milligan & Chamberlin 2016) of the previous detections by SDO/EVE and in channel 1 of the other two units of LYRA is of instrumental origin (in the case of LYRA, it is probably due to the fast degradation of the nominal unit and the broad spectral range of the main backup unit).

A limitation of the presented observations is that LYRA integrates the solar flux over the full solar disk and over wavelengths. This does not allow for a clear separation of different continua and spectral lines in the wavelength range of interest (1150–2500 Å). Spatially and spectrally resolved observations of flares over a wide wavelength range (including the visible light) are necessary to constrain the physics of the broad-band emission in flares (Veselovsky & Koutchmy 2009).

LYRA is a project of the Centre Spatial de Liège, the Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos and the Royal Observatory of Belgium funded by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO) and by the Swiss Bundesamt für Bildung und Wissenschaft. M. D., L. D., S. S., and A. N. Z. thank the European Space Agency (ESA) and BELSPO for their support in the framework of the PRODEX Programme. P.H. acknowledges a partial support by the Czech Funding Agency through the grant No. 16-18495S.

Facilities: PROBA2/LYRA

REFERENCES

- Avrett, E. H., Machado, M. E., & Kurucz, R. L. 1986, The lower atmosphere of solar flares, p. 216 - 281, 216
- BenMoussa, A., Gissot, S., Schühle, U., et al. 2013, SoPh, 288, 389
- Bonnet, R. M., & Blamont, J. E. 1968, SoPh, 3, 64
- Brekke, P., Rottman, G. J., Fontenla, J., & Judge, P. G. 1996, ApJ, 468, 418
- Chamberlin, P., Woods, T., Didkovsky, L., & Eparvier, F., Jones, A., Machol, J., Mason, J., Snow, M., Thiemann, E., Viereck, R., Woodraska, D. 2018, Space Weather, 16
- Cook, J. W., & Brueckner, G. E. 1979, ApJ, 227, 645
- Curdt, W., Brekke, P., Feldman, U., et al. 2001, A&A, 375, 591
- Rubio da Costa, F., Fletcher, L., Labrosse, N., & Zuccarello, F. 2009, Åp, 507, 1005
- Damé, L., & Cram, L. 1983, SoPh, 87, 329
- Damé, L., & Vial, J.-C. 1985, ApJL, 299, L103
- De Pontieu, B., Title, A. M., Lemen, J. R., et al. 2014, SoPh, 289, 2733
- Dominique, M., Hochedez, J.-F., Schmutz, W., et al. 2013, SoPh, 286, 21
- Doyle, J. G., & Cook, J. W. 1992, ApJ, 391, 393
- Durand, E., Oberly, J. J., & Tousey, R. 1949, ApJ, 109, 1

- Emslie, A. G., Dennis, B. R., Shih, A. Y., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 759, 71
- Fontenla, J. M., Avrett, E. H., & Loeser, R. 1993, *ApJ*, 406, 319
- Gingerich, O., Noyes, R. W., Kalkofen, W., & Cuny, Y. 1971, *SoPh*, 18, 347
- Harder, J., Lawrence, G., Fontenla, J., Rottman, G., & Woods, T. 2005, *SoPh*, 230, 141
- Heinzel, P., & Kleint, L. 2014, *ApJL*, 794, L23
- Heinzel, P., Kleint, L., Kašparová, J., & Krucker, S. 2017, *ApJ*, 847, 48
- Hiei, E. 1982, *SoPh*, 80, 113
- Hochedez, J.-F., Schmutz, W., Stockman, Y., et al. 2006, *Advances in Space Research*, 37, 303
- Hubeny, I., & Mihalas, D., *Theory of Stellar Atmospheres*, Princeton University Press, 2015
- Kerr, G. S., & Fletcher, L. 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 98
- Kleint, L., Heinzel, P., Judge, P., & Krucker, S. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 88
- Kleint, L., Heinzel, P., & Krucker, S. 2017, *ApJ*, 837, 160
- Kotrč, P., Procházka, O., & Heinzel, P. 2016, *SoPh*, 291, 779
- Kretzschmar, M. 2011, *A&A*, 530, A84
- Kretzschmar, M., Dominique, M., & Dammasch, I. E. 2013, *SoPh*, 286, 221
- Labs, D., & Neckel, H. 1972, *SoPh*, 22, 64
- Lemaire, P., Choucq-Bruston, M., & Vial, J.-C. 1984, *SoPh*, 90, 63
- Meftah, M., Damé, L., Bolsée, D., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 611, A1
- Milligan, R. O., Kerr, G. S., Dennis, B. R., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, 70
- Milligan, R. O., & Chamberlin, P. C. 2016, *Ap*, 587, A123
- Milligan, R. O., Fleck, B., Ireland, J., Fletcher, L., & Dennis, B. R. 2017, *ApJL*, 848, L8
- Mravcová, L., & Švanda, M. 2017, *NewA*, 57, 14
- Neidig, D. F. 1983, *SoPh*, 85, 285
- Neidig, D. F., Kiplinger, A. L., Cohl, H. S., & Wiborg, P. H. 1993, *ApJ*, 406, 306
- Neupert, W. M. 1968, *ApJL*, 153, L59
- Phillips, K. J. H., Feldman, U., & Landi, E. 2008, *Ultraviolet and X-ray Spectroscopy of the Solar Atmosphere*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2008.
- Rottman, G. J., Woods, T. N., & Sparn, T. P. 1993, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 10
- Schou, J., Scherrer, P. H., Bush, R. I., et al. 2012, *SoPh*, 275, 229
- Simões, P. J. A., Reid, H. A. S., Milligan, R. O., & Fletcher, L. 2018, *arXiv:1808.01488*
- Švanda, M., Jurčák, J., Kašparová, J., & Kleint, L. 2018, *ApJ*, 860, 144
- Vernazza, J. E., Avrett, E. H., & Loeser, R. 1981, *ApJS*, 45, 635
- Veselovsky, I. S., & Koutchmy, S. 2006, *Advances in Space Research*, 37, 1576
- Veselovsky, I. S., & Koutchmy, S. 2009, *Advances in Space Research*, 43, 995
- Woodraska, D. L., Woods, T. N., & Eparvier, F. G. 2004, *Proc. SPIE*, 5660, 36
- Woods, T. N., Kopp, G., & Chamberlin, P. C. 2006, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 111, A10S14
- Woods, T. N., Eparvier, F. G., Hock, R., et al. 2012, *SoPh*, 275, 115