



HAL
open science

CRITICAL PEAT project : The importance of hydrology for Carbon Reactivity along with atmosphere - peatland interactions. Preliminary results from the Frasné peatland monitoring (Jura Mountains, France).

Alexandre Lhosmot, G. Bertrand, M. Steinmann, M.L. Toussaint, C. Bertrand, L. Collin, A. Ponçot, G. Magnon, H. Jeanton, A.V. Walter, et al.

► To cite this version:

Alexandre Lhosmot, G. Bertrand, M. Steinmann, M.L. Toussaint, C. Bertrand, et al.. CRITICAL PEAT project : The importance of hydrology for Carbon Reactivity along with atmosphere - peatland interactions. Preliminary results from the Frasné peatland monitoring (Jura Mountains, France).. AGU Fall Meeting 2019, Dec 2019, San Francisco, United States. <insu-03064923>

HAL Id: insu-03064923

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-03064923v1>

Submitted on 14 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



I Peatlands: a threatened 3 pillar equilibrium

~3% of emerged surfaces (Joosten and Clarke, 2002)
 ~30% of soil carbon (Parish et al., 2008), an underestimated stock? (Nichols et al., 2019)
 ~10% of global freshwater resources (Joosten and Clarke, 2002)

Global threats
 Global air temperature increase (IPCC, 2014), rainfall regime changes (Trenberth, 2011)

Local threats
 Peat extraction and drainage (XVIIIth to XXth century)
 Restoration (2015/2016)

Peatland observatory in Frasnée (Jura mountains, NE France) since 2008

Monitoring of possible hydro-ecological changes.

Retroaction ?

The Frasnée peatland is representative of a sensitive compartment of the Critical Zone combining water, carbon and biodiversity stakes.

II Context: a pedo-geological mosaic?

Geological framework
 A large Jurassic syncline of the Jura arc: karstified limestones overlain by moraines. Mountainous area at 800 to 1100 m asl. Frasnée peatland at 834 m asl.

Pedological framework

A diversified peatland patchwork: ecological mosaic (vegetation, peat fiber rate) (Briot, 2004; Grosvernier, 2005), variable peat thickness (Collin, 2016).

These heterogeneities could favor a patchwork-like hydro-ecological functioning.

III Materials and methods

Peatland observatory since 2008

- Meteorology (e.g. P, T)
- Hydrology (Water Table Depth, WTD)

Eddy Covariance Tower since 2018

- Gross primary prod.
- Ecosystem respiration
- Net ecosystem exchanges
- Evapotranspiration
- Methane fluxes

Water Sampling throughout the peatland

- Geochemical characterization
- Outlet monitoring

Vanessa Outlet Eddy Tower

IV A Hydro-geochemical mosaic?

Electrical Conductivity (EC; Nov. 2018): A vertical mosaic?

Water isotopic signature (Aug. 2016): A mosaic of water origins and fates?

Local precipitation? Regional GW? Evaporation

• Heterogeneous vertical EC gradient throughout the peatland. → Irregular peat/moraine contact? Small-scaled permeability and pressure changes as suggested by Hare et al. (2017)?

• Deepest water (< -50 cm) close to the LMWL (1200 m).
 • Enriched superficial water (> -50 cm) suggesting evaporation.

→ 2 hypotheses : (1) Water/moraine interaction may vary laterally due to variable residence times, leading to contrasted EC. (2) Alternatively, punctual injection of karstic water at the bottom of the peatland.

V 10 years of hydro-meteorological monitoring, decomposition, and relationships

Seasonal and multi-year trend correlations

- Seasonal T variability explains 67% of the WTD variability, highlighting the importance of evapotranspiration for seasonal WTD changes.
- In contrast, P seasonality is not relevant for seasonal WTD changes, but P multi-year trend may explain 44% of the WTD multi-year trend.

→ Ongoing climate evolution implies contrasted effects with variable temporal scales over the peatland WTD.

VI Preliminary results from 1,5 year of Eddy Covariance monitoring

Potential links with hydrology

$NEE = R_{ECO} + GPP$

Atmospheric CO₂ fluxes

- The peatland was a CO₂ sink in summer 2019, but a source of CO₂ during the rest of the time.
- C balance of the peatland since July 2008: +167,8 gC/m².

Outlet flow monitoring

- Low EC during high flow (winter), high EC in summer.
- Mobilization of strongly mineralized deep peat water or input of GW (moraines, karst) during dry periods.

→ C sink function ($NEE < 0$) partially dependent on the hydrological conditions. In particular, during the maximum metabolism period ($ET > 0$), great WTD (below -0,20 m) seems to be associated with a source function ($R_{ECO} > |GPP|$). In contrast, when WTD is closer to the surface, $|GPP| > R_{ECO}$.

VII Take Home Messages: Synthesis → Perspective

- Water supply from local P + regional GW? → To be evaluated through to LMWL under construction.
- WTD evolution strongly controlled by long-term P trend and seasonal T trend: multiscale temporal sensitivity → Hydro-climatic modelling for IPCC's scenarios (RCP's 4.5 and 8.5) until 2100 in progress.
- Atmosphere-peatland C exchange potentially changing when WTD = -0,20 m during active metabolism period. Lack of hindsight of restoration impact. → Need to integrate the interaction between the C, water, biodiversity pillars: CH₄ and CO₂ flux measurements combined with hydro-ecological conditions across the mosaic (WTD, peat T°, water quality, snow cover, vegetation type) starting in 2020 (See you next year? ;-)).

Bibliography: Briot, M., 2004. Restauration des capacités biogènes des tourbières: Etude hydrogéologique, hydrologique, et pédologique d'une zone sous influence d'un drain dans la Réserve Naturelle de Frasnée (Doubs, France). Master, Univ. de Franche-Comté, Besançon.
 Collin, L., 2016. J. Etablissement d'un modèle conceptuel du fonctionnement hydro-écologique de la tourbière de Frasnée. Master, Univ. de Franche-Comté, Besançon.
 Duraffourg M., Palacio P., 1981. J. Etude géologique, géophysique, géotechnique et hydrologique du synclinal de Frasnée-Bonnevaux (Doubs). Thèse, Univ. de Franche-Comté, Besançon.
 Grosvernier, P., 2005. Expertise hydroécologique de la tourbière de Forbonnet (Commune de Frasnée). Cabinet Lin'eco, SMMMAH.
 Hare, D. K., et al., 2017. Hydrogeological controls on spatial patterns of groundwater discharge in peatlands. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 21, 6031–6048.
 Joosten, H., and Clarke, D., 2002. Wise use of mires and peatlands: background and principles including a framework for decision-making. International Peat Society, Int. Mire Conservation Group.
 Leroux, A., 2010. Caractérisation et évolution des flux détritiques et authigènes en contexte lacustre carbonaté au cours du Tardiglaciaire et de l'Holocène (Lac Saint-Point, Haute-Savoie du Jura) : implications paléoclimatiques et paléoenvironnementales. Thèse, Univ. de Franche-Comté, Besançon.
 Nichols, I.E., Peters, D.M., 2019. Rapid expansion of northern peatlands and doubled estimate of carbon storage Nat. Geosci. 12, 913–921.
 Parish, F., et al., 2008. Assessment on peatlands: biodiversity and climate change : Main report. Global Environment Centre, Kuala Lumpur & Wetlands International, Wageningen.
 Pachauri, R.K., Mayer, L., Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Eds.), 2015. Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland.
 Poncoteau, A., et al., 2019. 10 ans de suivi et 8 modèles climatiques permettent l'estimation des impacts du changement climatique sur le fonctionnement hydrologique de la tourbière de Frasnée (Massif du Jura, France). 3^{ème} Journée de Modélisation des Surfaces Continentales, Paris-Sorbonne, 14-15th Nov. 2019.
 Trenberth, K., 2011. Changes in precipitation with climate change. Clim. Res. 47, 123–138.