

Carbon balance and spatial variability of CO2 and CH4 fluxes in a Sphagnum-dominated peatland in temperate climate

Benoît D'angelo, Fabien Leroy, Christophe Guimbaud, Adrien Jacotot, Renata Zocatelli, Sébastien Gogo, Fatima Laggoun-Défarge

▶ To cite this version:

Benoît D'angelo, Fabien Leroy, Christophe Guimbaud, Adrien Jacotot, Renata Zocatelli, et al.. Carbon balance and spatial variability of CO2 and CH4 fluxes in a Sphagnum-dominated peatland in temperate climate. Wetlands, 2021, 41 (5), 10.1007/s13157-021-01411-y. insu-03098261

HAL Id: insu-03098261 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03098261v1

Submitted on 5 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Carbon balance and spatial variability of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in a Sphagnum-dominated peatland in temperate climate

Benoît D'Angelo^{1,2}, Fabien Leroy^{2*}, Christophe Guimbaud¹, Adrien Jacotot², Renata Zocatelli²,
Sébastien Gogo², Fatima Laggoun-Défarge²

⁵ ¹Université d'Orléans, CNRS, LPC2E, UMR 7328, 45071 Orléans, France

⁶ ²Université d'Orléans, CNRS, BRGM, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071 Orléans, France

7

⁸ *Corresponding author, phone: + 33 2 38 49 48 58, email: <u>fabien.leroy@univ-orleans.fr</u>;

9 Postal address: Fabien Leroy, 1A Rue de la Férollerie, 45100 Orléans, France

10

Abstract. Peatlands are a highly effective natural carbon sink. However, the future of the carbon stored 11 in these ecosystems is still uncertain because of the pressure they undergo. As estimation of the 12 peatland carbon balance shows whether the system functions as carbon sink or source. La Guette 13 peatland is a temperate Sphagnum-dominated peatland invaded by vascular plants, mainly Molinia 14 *caerulea*. The studied site was hydrologically disturbed for years by a road crossing its southern part 15 16 and draining water out of the system. Our aim was to estimate the main carbon fluxes and to calculate 17 the carbon balance at the ecosystem scale. To reach this goal, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, DOC content as well as environmental variables were measured monthly for 2 years on 20 plots spread across the site 18 to taking into account spatial variability. The peatland carbon balance was estimated using empirical 19 models. Results showed that the CO₂ fluxes were above 1000 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. In 2013 and 2014 the 20 peatland was a net C source to the atmosphere with an emission of 220±33 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. These results 21 provided evidence that restoration should be performed in order to reduce the water losses and favour 22 the Sphagnum-dominance of this peatland. 23

24 Keywords: CO₂; CH₄; DOC; peatland; ecosystem respiration; gross primary production

25

26 **1 Introduction**

Peatlands are vegetated wetlands that can act as a powerful natural Carbon (C) sink. While they cover 27 only 3% of the emerged lands (Lappalainen, 1996), the C stored in their soils has been estimated to 28 range from 473 to 621 GtC (Yu et al, 2010), which represents more than 30% of the C stored in 29 terrestrial soils, estimated between 1500 to 2400 PgC (Ciais et al, 2013). Thus, peatlands are of a great 30 importance in the actual context of global changes and their preservation is essential to prevent the C 31 stored in soil to be released to the atmosphere. However, peatlands are mostly located at high latitudes 32 of the northern hemisphere (Strack, 2008) where the greatest climate changes are predicted to occur 33 by the IPCC models (Christensen et al, 2013). Under these modified climatic conditions, the behaviour 34 of peatlands in terms of C cycle is still uncertain. 35

Actually, the C balance in peatlands covers a wide range of C functioning, from sink to source (Beyer and Höper, 2015; Carroll and Crill, 1997; Koehler *et al*, 2011; Vanselow-Algan *et al*, 2015). However, the majority of these estimations were calculated on high latitudes sites, mostly in Northern Europe or in Canada where the winter is cold (Peichl *et al*, 2014; Strack and Zuback, 2013; Trudeau *et al*, 2014; Waddington and Roulet, 2000), even if few studies have been conducted in lower latitudes (below 50°) where warmer climatic conditions prevail (*e.g.* Bortoluzzi *et al*, 2006).

In addition to climatic pressure, peatlands can be submitted to anthropic disturbances, and temperate 42 peatlands have been widely transformed by the past into agricultural or forestry lands (Joosten and 43 Clarke, 2002). Drainage that leads to major hydrological disruption is usually a prerequisite before 44 peatland exploitation (Beyer et al, 2015). For example, lowering the water table level can change the 45 equilibrium between CO₂ and CH₄ emissions and thus modify the C balance of the ecosystem 46 (Chimner et al, 2017). Indeed, increasing the thickness of the oxic layer can lead to (i) higher CO₂ 47 fluxes to the atmosphere due to faster decomposition rates of soil organic matter and (ii) lower CH₄ 48 49 fluxes due to the decrease in CH₄ production and/or increase in CH₄ oxidation during its transport to the surface of the soil (Lund et al, 2012; Pelletier et al, 2007). As a result of both drainage and climatic 50 change, most temperate peatlands are now invaded by vascular plants (Berendse et al, 2001; Buttler et 51 al, 2015). 52

Invasive vegetation can play a major role in CO₂ and CH₄ emissions by (i) producing litter that is more 53 easily degradable than mosses, (ii) altering the growth of subservient species such as Sphagnum 54 mosses, and/or (iii) allowing more CH₄ to be transferred to the atmosphere through the plant 55 aerenchyma (Bubier et al, 2007; Francez and Vasander, 1995; Gogo et al, 2011; Armstrong et al., 56 2015). The role of the vegetation on the C fluxes are often related to a comparison between different 57 plant community composition (Ward et al., 2013; Noyce et al., 2014); however only a few of them 58 have attempted to integrate vegetation directly in a C balance model (Bortoluzzi et al, 2006; Kandel et 59 al., 2013; Leroy et al., 2019). 60

In this context, the aim of this study was to investigate what is the C-sink function of a disturbed temperate peatland and the mechanisms controlling it. Here, CO_2 fluxes and CH_4 emissions were monthly measured on twenty points distributed homogeneously over a temperate peatland recently invaded by a graminoid plants, *Molinia caerulea*. Then C fluxes measurements were related to biotic and abiotic factors to estimate the annual C budget by using CO_2 and CH_4 models including, or not, vegetation index. Thus, the hypotheses tested were:

- 67 (i) the drainage and the invasion by vascular plants promoted the C emissions and lead the
 68 peatland to act as a C source
- 69 (ii) the use of vegetation index into models leads to a better representation of fluxes

70 2. Materials and methods

71 **2.1 Description of the La Guette peatland**

The study was performed in La Guette peatland, a *Sphagnum* peatland located in France (Neuvy-sur-Barangeon, Cher, N 47°1944, E 2°1704; Fig. 1). It is a transitional poor fen (with a pH between 4 and 5 and a conductivity lower than 80 μ S m⁻²) with a maximum peat thickness of about 180 cm. Mean annual temperature was 11°C and mean annual rainfall 732 mm for the period 1971–2000 (Gogo et al., 2011). The site is drained in its south part by a road built before 1945 that crosses the peatland. In 2009 the drainage ditch of the road was scraped, lowering the output level and consequently increasing the water losses. This hydrological disturbance in addition to a fire (in 1970's) has probably contributed to the invasion of the site by vascular plants, mainly *Pinus sylvestris*, *Betula* spp. (*Betula verrucosa* and *pubescens*) and *Molinia caerulea*; This *Poaceae* is now invading numerous peatlands in Europe mainly, due to an increase of the nitrogen deposition and drainage (Chambers et al., 1999) and thus at the detriment of the specific peatland species composed of *Sphagnum cuspidatum* and *Sphagnum rubellum*, *Eriophorum augustifolium*, *Erica tetralix* and *Calluna vulgaris*.

84 **2.2 Carbon fluxes measurements and calculation**

In June 2011, 20 stations were set up on the peatland by dividing the area in twenty squares with a grid 85 and choosing randomly one plot (2 m²) within each square (stratified random sampling). This method 86 allowed a homogeneous spatial covering of the studied site (Fig. 1). Fluxes were monthly measured 87 between March 2013 and February 2015 using a semi-cylindrical transparent static chamber equipped 88 (30 cm of diameter, 30 cm height). The chamber was equipped with a HMP 75 sensor (Vaisala Oyi, 89 Vantaa, Finland) to record the temperature and air humidity variations within the chamber during the 90 incubations. In addition, a small fan allowed the air to be homogenized within the chamber (Pumpanen 91 et al, 2004). CO₂ fluxes were measured in each of the 20 stations during twenty field campaigns thanks 92 93 to a CO₂ sensor (GMP 343 model, Vaisala Oyi, Vantaa, Finland) placed inside the chamber. Fluxes were measured at light for Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE) and with the chamber covered with a light 94 insulating fabric to simulate night conditions and measure the Ecosystem Respiration (ER). Each 95 measurement lasted between 3 to 5 minutes, and the CO₂ concentration inside the chamber was 96 recorded at 5Hz. CH₄ fluxes were measured thanks to the SPIRIT instrument, an Infrared Spectrometer 97 developed by the Laboratory of Physics and Chemistry of Environment and Space, (LPC2E, Orléans, 98 France, Guimbaud et al, 2016, 2011; Robert, 2007). For logistical reasons (weight and long start-up 99 time of SPIRIT), CH₄ measurements were only performed during 12 field campaigns and on only 5 100 101 stations. These measurements regularly required to access to the plots, so, wooden planks was used as mobile pontoons to limits disturbances 102

103 CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were then calculated as follow:

104
$$F = \frac{dX}{dt} * \frac{P}{R*T} * \frac{V}{S}$$
(1)

With F the net gas flux (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); dX/dt the gas concentration (μ mol mol⁻¹) variation during the incubation time (s); P the atmospheric pressure (Pa); R the ideal gas constant (8.3144621 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹); T the average temperature (K) within the chamber during the incubation; V the total volume of the system (m³); and S the chamber surface (0.283 m²). For CO₂ fluxes, F corresponds to NEE when measured in light, and to ER when measured in the dark.

In addition with gas fluxes, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) flux was estimated monthly during the studied period. Water was sampled at the peatland outlet, filtered at 0.45 μ m and *in-situ* acidified with 2 drops of H₃PO₄. The DOC concentration was then determined in the laboratory thank to a TOC-LCPH analyser (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

114 **2.2 Monitoring of environmental variables and vegetation cover**

Soil-meteorological variables were automatically monitored at a 30-min frequency during the entire 115 studied period thanks to an automatic station installed on the site in November 2010 (Fig. 1). 116 Parameters recorded were the total rainfall, net solar radiation, atmospheric pressure, wind direction 117 and speed, air temperature, relative humidity and soil temperature at -5,-10, -20, and -40 cm depths. In 118 119 addition, four automatic piezometer spread into the peatland allowed to record the Water Table Level (WTL) variations at the ecosystem scale (Binet et al, 2013). In addition to automatic measurements, 120 manual recording of WTL, Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR), soil temperatures (-5, -10, -121 15, -20, -25, -30, -40, -50, -60, -70, -80, -90, -100 cm depth) and atmospheric pressure were realized 122 at each measurement campaign. 123

A vegetation Index (VI) was calculated (Eq. 2) by summing the percentage of vegetation cover in each soil collar following three distinct plant strata: the muscular (*Sphagnum* spp.), herbaceous (*Molinia caerulea* and *Eriophorum augustifolium*), and shrub (*Erica tetralix* and *Calluna vulgaris*) strata divided by the total potential cover TC (TC = n x 100, n being the number of vegetation strata recorded):

$$129 IV = \frac{MS + HS + SS}{TC} (2)$$

- 130 With MS, HS and SS the percentages of cover of the muscular, herbaceous and shrub strata, and TC
- 131 the sum of percentages of the three strata.

132 2.3 Modelling of high-frequency ER, GPP, FCH4 and DOC

- The C balance estimation was conducted in 3 successive steps: i) calibration to establish the relationship between gas fluxes and environmental variables; ii) evaluation to determine the relevance of these relationships in a broader context; and iii) interpolation to integrate these relationships over time and to calculate a Net Ecosystem Carbon Balance (Chapin et al, 2006):
- 137 NECB=GPP-ER-F_{CH4}-F_{DOC}-F_{DIC}-F_{PC}-F_{VOC}-F_{CO}

(3)

138 with GPP (Gross Primary Production), FCH4, FDOC, FDIC, FPC, FCOV, FCO, the fluxes of CH4, Dissolved

139 Organic Carbon (DOC), Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), Particulate Carbon (PC), Volatile Organic

- 140 Carbon (VOC) and Carbon Monoxide (CO), respectively. In terms of quantity, the first 4 terms are the 141 most abundant and were estimated in the present study to calculate the C budget. By convention the 142 negative sign refers to carbon lost by the ecosystem.
- The available data were averaged by field campaign to reduce the spatial variability of the fluxes and then used to produce a high-frequency (1-hour) dataset. For this, the relationship between gas fluxes and environmental variables was fitted using non-linear regression curves. Robustness of adding a variable to the models was evaluated following three comparative criteria. First, the adjusted R² (R²_a; Eq. 4) were used to estimate the proportion of explained variance:

148
$$R_a^2 = 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\sum(y - \hat{y})^2}{\sum(y - \bar{y})^2}\right)\right) * \frac{n - 1}{n - p - 1}$$
(4)

- with R^2 the traditional R^2 , y the measured data, \hat{y} the modelled data, n the number of observations and p the number of predictors.
- 151 Then, the Normalized Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE; Eq. 5) was applied to evaluate the 152 differences between measured and modelled data points respectively:

153
$$NRMSE = 100 * \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Sigma(y-\hat{y})}{n}\right)}}{\hat{y}}$$
 (5)

154 with y the average of the measured data.

Finally, the relevance of adding a new variable in the model was estimated by the Akaike Information
Criterion (AIC; Eq. 6; Akaike, 1974; Burnham and Anderson, 2002).

157
$$AIC = -2 \times log(L) + 2 \times p \tag{6}$$

158 with L the maximum likelihood.

The NEE, ER, and CH₄ fluxes were modelled separately and the annual carbon balance was calculated 159 as the sum of the model interpolations at a 1h time step. This interpolation was done made using (i) 160 the high frequency measurement values for temperature and (ii) a linear interpolation between the 161 punctual measurements for vegetation. For all gaseous fluxes two models are presented: one using 162 temperature as this model is widely used (Ballantyne et al. 2014) and, the other being the best model 163 estimation using vegetation (Bortoluzzi et al, 2006; Kandel et al, 2013). Evaluation of models 1 and 2 164 165 for GPP, ER and FCH₄ was realized using an independent dataset that includes CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes conducted in the same peatland in 2014 and using similar techniques as in the present study 166 (unpublished data). 167

For GPP, the saturated GPP (GPP_{sat}) was first calculated following June *et al.* (2004) (Eq. 7), and secondly by a modified version of the equation that incorporates the vegetation index (Eq. 8):

170
$$GPP_{sat}-1=a^*e^{\left(\frac{T-b}{c}\right)^2}$$
(7)

171
$$GPP_{sat}-2=(a*VI+d)*e^{\left(\frac{T-b}{c}\right)^2}$$
 (8)

Then, GPP-1 and GPP-2 were calculated using Eq. 9 proposed by Bubier *et al.* (1995) and reused in many studies (e.g. Bortoluzzi *et al*, 2006; Worrall *et al*, 2009):

174
$$GPP = \frac{GPP_{sat} * i * PAR}{GPP_{sat} + i * PAR}$$
(9)

- with a the rate of electron transport at light saturation (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), b the optimal temperature for a (°C), and c the difference in temperature from b at which GPP_{sat} equals to e⁻¹ of its value at b (June *et al*, 2004), T the air temperature (°C), and VI the vegetation index.
- 178 Concerning ER, the equation proposed by Luo et Zhou (2006) was used for ER-1 and modified with
- the integration of the herbaceous strata (HS) for ER-2:

180 ER-1=
$$a^* e^{(b*T)}$$
 (10)

181 ER-2= $(a^{*}HS+c)^{*}e^{(b^{*}T)}$

with T the air temperature (°C); a, b and c the fitted parameters and HS the cover of the herbaceous
strata (%).

Finally, modelled NEE have been calculated from GPP and ER as NEE = GPP - RE. Negative values of NEE correspond to an emission of carbon from the ecosystem to the atmosphere and positive values to a fixation of carbon.

187 The two models of CH₄ fluxes were estimated following:

188
$$F_{CH4}-1=a^*e^{(b*T)}$$
 (12)

189
$$F_{CH4}-2=a^*e^{(b*VI)}$$
 (13)

190 with T the air temperature ($^{\circ}$ C); a and b the fitted parameters and IV the vegetation index.

High frequency of DOC fluxes (F_{DOC}) have been extrapolated using the monthly measured concentrations of DOC and an estimation of the water quantities leaving the ecosystem (D) using a hydrological model specifically calibrated for the La Guette peatland (Binet *et al*, 2013):

$$194 \quad F_{\text{DOC}} = D^*[\text{DOC}] \tag{14}$$

195 **2.5 Spatial variability of CO₂ fluxes**

To estimate the spatial variability of the CO_2 fluxes, the best models were also calibrated for each of the 20 plots individually. Hence the model parameters R^2a and NRMSE were estimated for each measurement point as well as the annual CO_2 flux.

199 **3. Results**

200 **3.1 Environmental monitoring**

Variations of air temperature, rainfall, and water table level are presented in Fig. 2. Between 2011 and 2015 the mean annual air temperature measured by the meteorological station varied between 9.5 and 203 11.5°C (Fig. 2A), with a high seasonal variability. In addition, average air temperature measured in 204 the 20 stations during the field campaigns ranged from 6 to 32°C. Total rainfall recorded on the site 205 for 2014 and 2015 were quite similar with 935 and 940 mm, respectively (Fig. 2B). The WTL measured 206 by the automatic piezometers ranged between -17.5 and -0.1 cm during the studied period, with clear seasonal variability (Fig. 2C). The average WTL manually measured in the 20 stations during the field
campaigns showed a similar cyclicity (Fig. 2C) with deeper level during summer (maximum depth of
-18 and -10.5 cm in 2013 and 2014, respectively) than during winter. The seasonal variability of
vegetation was controlled by the graminoids which started to grow earlier in 2014 (April) than in 2013
(May) (Fig. 3). Also, in 2014 the vegetation index (VI) was slightly higher than in 2013, at 0.45 and
0.51 respectively.

213 3.2 CO₂, CH₄ and DOC fluxes

Results of CO₂ fluxes (NEE, ER and calculated GPP) are presented in Fig. 2. CO₂ fluxes (GPP and 214 ER) showed a clear seasonal trend with a maximum in summer. In 2013 the GPP averaged maximum 215 occurred at the end of July reaching $12.80\pm4.91 \,\mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$ and ER $9.43\pm3.48 \,\mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$, with the 216 maximum of both variables reached at the end of July (Fig. 2A and B). In 2014 the GPP and ER 217 averaged maxima were reached earlier, in June with $13.16\pm4.70 \,\mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$ and $7.83\pm2.55 \,\mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{-2}$ 218 s⁻¹ respectively (Fig. 2A and B). Averages values of GPP for 2013 and 2014 were 7.12±5.19 and 219 $6.56\pm4.72 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ respectively. For ER, the average values were, for 2013 and 2014, 4.27\pm3.16 220 and $3.63\pm2.56 \ \mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 4 A and B). Mean values of NEE for 2013 and 2014 221 were 2.85 \pm 3.05 and 2.93 \pm 2.77 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 4 C). In comparison to CO₂, CH₄ 222 emissions showed a lower magnitude with fluxes below 0.3 umol m⁻² s⁻¹. A clear difference was also 223 visible between 2013 and 2014 respectively (Fig. 5). In 2013 CH₄ average fluxes were 0.04±0.03 µmol 224 m⁻² s⁻¹, whereas they reached 0.10 \pm 0.08 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹in 2014. For both years, the average DOC 225 concentrations measured at the outlet of the peatland were 18.5 ± 7.7 mg L⁻¹. Thus, the average 226 cumulated flux of DOC leaving the peatland for 2013 and 2014 was 12±1 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ (8±1 and 16±1 227 $gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ in 2013 and 2014, respectively). 228

229 **3.3 Model selection**

Comparisons between modelled and measured values of ER, GPP and CH₄, using models 1 and 2
during calibration and evaluation are presented in Fig. 6. During models' calibration, incorporation of
vegetation in the ER model (leading to ER-2) improved the representation of ER, yielded to a higher

 R^2 and a lower NRMSE than without vegetation (ER-1, Fig. 6A and Table 1). However, the difference 233 between ER-1 and ER-2 is low but the AIC, which decreased from 47 to 35, confirmed this observation 234 (Table 1). ER was estimated to 1286 ± 231 and 1261 ± 164 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ for ER-1 and ER-2, respectively. 235 Concerning GPP, GPP-2 realized a higher R²_a score, a lower NRMSE, and a lower AIC compared to 236 GPP-1 (Fig. 6C and Table 1). Resulting cumulated fluxes were 1290±400 and 1070±203 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ 237 for GPP-1 and GPP-2. For CH₄, only CH₄-2 (including vegetation) that showed a satisfying result (Fig. 238 6E and Table 1) was used to estimate the CH₄ cumulated fluxes. Evaluation of the GPP models showed 239 high NRMSE values with 47 and 58 % for GPP-1 and GPP-2 respectively (Fig. 6D and Table 1). CH₄ 240 evaluation led to even higher NRMSE values with 68 % for F_{CH4}-2. R²_a negative values due to the use 241 of non-linear regression were here irrelevant (Fig. 6F and Table 1). On the contrary, the ER models 242 led to high R²_a and low NRMSE values with ER-2 performing better than ER-1: R²_a values increased 243 from 0.16 to 0.59 and NRMSE values decreased from 35 to 23 % (Fig. 6E and Table 1). 244

245 **3.4 Calculation of Net Ecosystem Carbon Balance (NECB)**

NECB (estimated here as GPP-ER-F_{CH4}-F_{DOC}) was calculated first without inclusion of vegetation in 246 the model (GPP-1, ER-1) and then with vegetation (GPP-2, ER-2), at the exception of the calculation 247 of CH₄ for which only the model with vegetation was used (CH₄-2). Whether model 1 or 2 was used, 248 the NECB showed that the peatland was a source of carbon to the atmosphere in 2013 and 2014 with 249 -26 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ and -220 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ for model 1 or 2, respectively (Table 2). The high difference in 250 the estimation of NECB was principally due to the GPP estimations (Table 1). In addition, NECB was 251 higher in 2013 than in 2014 (Table 2). NECB was mainly driven by CO₂ fluxes as CH₄ and DOC fluxes 252 are two orders of magnitude lower. However, in terms of the Global Warming Potential (GWP), CH₄ 253 fluxes are not negligible with CH₄ having a GWP 34 times higher than CO₂ for the 100-year time 254 horizon (Myhre et al, 2013). 255

256 **3.4 Spatial variability**

The calibration of ER-2 and GPP-2 on each measurement plot enabled the spatial variability of the CO₂ fluxes on the site to be estimated. For ER-2, the R^2_a of the individual plots were above 0.5 (with

the exception of measurement plot n°10) and the NRMSE values were below 50% (Fig. 7). The model 259 parameter variations ranged from 0 to 0.015 for a, from 0.035 to 0.11 for b, and from 0.18 to 1.03 for 260 c. For GPP-2 the R²_a were above 0.5 and the NRMSE values between 20 and 60% (with the exception 261 of point n°10). Model parameters for GPP-2 showed higher variations: between -6.1 and 66 for a, 23.9 262 and 90.4 for b, 6.2 and 60.0 for c and -10.7 and 27.1 for d (Fig. 8). For both measurement years, 263 cumulated GPP fluxes ranged from 511 to 1420 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹, with most values around 1100 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ 264 ¹ and an average of 1052 ± 238 gC m⁻² vr⁻¹. For ER, the cumulated fluxes ranged from 842 to 2363 gC 265 m^{-2} yr⁻¹ with an average of 1215±362 gC m^{-2} yr⁻¹ (Fig. 7 and 8). 266

267 **4. Discussion**

268 4.1 Rationale behind the high C fluxes recorded and the C source functioning

CO₂ fluxes measured at La Guette peatland were above 1000 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ for the GPP and the ER, 269 whatever the model used. These fluxes were high compared to those of boreal peatlands. Trudeau et 270 al. (2014) and Peichl et al. (2014) found GPP and ER fluxes between 100 and 500 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ 271 approximately for sites located in Quebec, Canada and in Northern Sweden respectively. However, 272 these large fluxes were close to those found for sites with a high Mean Annual Temperature (MAT). 273 For instance, Beyer and Höper (2015) found for a site with similar vegetation (Molinia caerulea, 274 Eriophorum augustifolium, Sphagnum spp.) and with a MAT of 8.6°C, GPP fluxes between 534 and 275 1058 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ and ER fluxes between 420 and 1052 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. Thus, the large fluxes measured in 276 La Guette peatland can be related to the relatively warmer climate compared to peatlands at higher 277 latitudes. However, a MAT alone cannot explain why the system functioned as a source of C as other 278 studies have shown that peatlands with a MAT above 8°C can still be a C sink. For instance, Koehler 279 et al. (2011) estimated an uptake of -29.7 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ in an Atlantic blanket bog with a MAT of 10.6°C 280 for a 6-year average (2003-2008). The water table drawdown favours oxic reactions in a thicker soil 281 layer, thus it is often adduced to explain the sources of C in peatlands. During the two years of 282 measurements, La Guette peatland experienced favourable hydrological conditions due to heavy 283 rainfall leading to high water table levels (Bernard-Jannin et al, 2018). In spite of this, the system 284

functioned as a source to the atmosphere. Moreover, these high water table levels was spatially and temporally similar during the time course of the experiment (2013-2014, two exceptionally wet years), which did not allow to include this factor during the modelling processes. Higher variation of the water table depth could have brought to use equations with this parameters as Luan et al. (2015) to explain the GPP or Leroy et al. (2019) to model the CO_2 and CH_4 emissions. Nevertheless, inclusion of environmental parameters have to be considered up to their contribution to the models and to the models parsimony (Baird et al., 2019).

This C source function if the La Guette peatland is now also shown thanks to an Eddy-covariance 292 tower and this with C fluxes similar as reported here (Jacotot et al., in prep). The main hypothesis 293 concerning the mechanisms behind the C source function of the La Guette is linked to recent invasion 294 of the site by *Molinia caerulea*, which is suspected to modify the C dynamic of the ecosystem. The 295 observed C flux intensities and losses are often related to the plant community of the peatland. Vascular 296 plants have higher gaseous C fluxes than bryophytes in poor fens (Leroy et al, 2019; Rydin and 297 Jeglum, 2013) and a shift in peatland plant communities, especially those reducing Sphagnum 298 dominance in favor of vascular vegetation, is expected to have significant effects on carbon storage 299 (Dieleman et al., 2015). Now, the site is almost entirely invaded by vascular plants, especially Molinia 300 caerulea in the graminoid strata (Gogo et al, 2011). The occurrence of this plant can stimulate the C 301 uptake compared to Sphagnum peatland (Leroy et al., 2019). However it also significantly increase the 302 ER respiration and CH₄ emissions through different physiological, phenological, and ecological traits 303 (Gogo et al., 2011; Leroy et al., 2019). The ratio GPP/ER should be further assessed, especially in the 304 context of interaction between different functional types (D'Angelo, 2015) 305

4.2 Implication of taking vegetation into account in the C budget estimation

The plants functional type often explained large of variation of the CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes (Armstrong et al., 2015). In this way, it was thus important to include the vegetation in our models, especially with the occurrence of *Molina caerulea*. Indeed, this species shows a high growth variability during the growing season (e.g. number of leaves, leave length) that could impact the C fluxes (more leaves, more GPP). However, its integration into models is seldom carried out (Bortoluzzi et al., 2006; Görres et al.,

2014), probably because of the difficulty in measuring the pertinent variables (leaf area index, leaves 312 number). Including vegetation in models has led to a better representation of CO₂ fluxes. These results 313 were in accordance with Bortoluzzi et al. (2006) who also found a lower NRMSE when vegetation 314 was included in the model. Another way to take the vegetation into account is to use the Ratio 315 Vegetation Index (RVI), which is a measurement of incoming and reflected radiation. This method 316 was used by Görres et al. (2014) and led to an improvement for GPP modelling but not for ER. 317 However, such an improvement using the RVI for ER modelling was found by Kandel et al. (2013) 318 on a cultivated fen peatland in Denmark. Although the calibration of the model was as good for ER as 319 for GPP, evaluation of the models presented more contrasted results since only ER-2 showed a high 320 R_a^2 and a low NRMSE. For F_{CH4}-2 the evaluation revealed that the interpretation of the model outputs 321 should be limited to the current study and could not be used to extrapolate fluxes for other times and 322 spaces. Nevertheless, as hypothesis, model evaluations indicated that the inclusion of vegetation 323 increased the model's capability to represent CO₂ fluxes. 324

325 **4.3 The DOC exports**

The quantities of DOC exported by La Guette peatland are in the same order of magnitude of those present in the literature (e.g. Waddington and Roulet, 2000; Worrall., 2009) but have a low impact on C balance compared to CO_2 fluxes. The doubling of the DOC flux observed in 2014 compared to 2013 is linked to a greater quantity of water leaving the bog with similar DOC contents. At the same time, the average water table measured in 2014 is slightly higher than that measured in 2013 and precipitation is of the same order of magnitude (Fig. 2).

332 **4.4 ER and GPP spatial variability**

Calibration of the individual CO₂ fluxes at the 20 measurement points showed a large range of cumulated flux estimation (Fig. 7 and 8). Nonetheless, the average of these fluxes was coherent with those estimated at the ecosystem scale with the average of the 20 points: 1215 vs. $1261 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and 1052 vs. 1070 for ER and GPP respectively (Table 1). The ER estimates are very close for the two vears, which is consistent with the relatively similar water table also observed. The difference in air

temperature between 2013 and 2014 (9.1 and 10.1 °C respectively) is not sufficient to observe a 338 significant difference. The order of magnitude of the spatial variability was the same between ER and 339 GPP estimated within one site (this study) and ER and GPP estimated in different sites by Jacobs et 340 al. (2007). For ER, the spatial variability found within La Guette peatland was also larger than the 341 inter-annual variability measured by Beyer et al. (2015), in which the difference between the maximum 342 and minimum annual fluxes was 429 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ on a temperate fen used as grassland. With the 343 acquired data, no patterns have been found between the location of the points and the model parameters 344 or with the measured environmental variables that would enable this spatial variability to be linked to 345 specific local environmental conditions. Indeed, this variability does not seem geographically 346 distributed or related to gradient of environmental parameters with spatial and temporal similarity of 347 the air temperature and water table levels. However, a first approach by grouping points per vegetation 348 classes (with dominance of the muscular, herbaceous or shrubs strata) shows promising results in order 349 to explain this spatial variability (D'Angelo, 2015). 350

351 Conclusions

In this study we have shown that despite high water table levels, La Guette peatland acted as a C source 352 to the atmosphere for the 2 years of measurements, in 2013 and 2014. This was partly explained by 353 the high mean annual temperatures that led to large fluxes. However, it is probable that the site history 354 also played a significant role: after several dry years, the peatland was just starting to refill during the 355 2 measurement years, probably leading to air trapped in the porosity that favoured oxic organic matter 356 degradation. Longer observations are needed to catch the long-term dynamics in terms of hydrological 357 cycle and site history leading to hysteresis effects. The vegetation had a significant effect when 358 included in ER models despite the difficulty in monitoring the vegetation profile without disturbances. 359 However, such an effect was not as clear for the GPP models. As a result, the ER models had a narrower 360 range of estimations (more precise when vegetation is included) than the GPP ones, indicating that ER 361 models seem more reliable. As a result, this study advocates the inclusion of vegetation in models, 362 even in a simplistic way. These results also emphasize the importance of model evaluation as it 363

outcomes may be quite different from the calibration and this even for models with very well-fitted parameters. Accessing these differences might help to estimate the interpretative power of such models in a broader context. Finally, the estimations of the CO₂ fluxes on the 20 measurement points showed a large spatial variability. The order of magnitude of this variability estimated on one site was the same as those reported between different sites. More studies are needed to better quantify the spatial variability within a site, and to allow a better estimation of the uncertainty of the greenhouse gas budget estimated at larger scales.

371

372 Author contribution.

- BD, SG and FLD designed the experiment
- BD, SG, CG, FL and FLM collected data
- BD, SG, CG, FL and FLM performed model simulations and data analysis
- BD and FL prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors
- 377 AJ and RZ reviewed and corrected the manuscript
- 378
- 379 Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Labex VOLTAIRE (ANR-10-LABX-100-01)
- and CARBIODIV project (2012-00073566). The authors gratefully acknowledge A. Menneguerre for
- 381 his contribution to gas measurements and P. Jacquet and C. Robert for their assistance in SPIRIT
- maintenance. We also thank E. Rowley-Jolivet for revision of the English version.

383 **References:**

- Armstrong A, Waldron S, Ostle NJ, Richardson H, Whitaker J (2015). Biotic and abiotic factors
 interact to regulate northern peatland carbon cycling. Ecosystems, 18(8), 1395-1409.
- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification, in: Selected Papers of Hirotugu
 Akaike. Springer, pp. 215–222.
- Baird AJ, Green SM, Brown E, Dooling GP (2019). Modelling time-integrated fluxes of CO2 and CH4
 in peatlands: A review. Mires and Peat, 24.
- Ballantyne DM, Hribljan JA, Pypker TG, Chimner, RA (2014) Long-term water table manipulations
 alter peatland gaseous carbon fluxes in Northern Michigan. Wetl. Ecol. Manag. 22: 35–47.
 Doi:10.1007/s11273-013-9320-8
- Berendse F, Van Breemen N, Rydin H, Buttler A, Heijmans M, Hoosbeek MR, Lee J.A, Mitchell E,
 Saarinen T, Vasander H (2001) Raised atmospheric CO2 levels and increased N deposition

- cause shifts in plant species composition and production in Sphagnum bogs. Glob. Change
 Biol. 7: 591–598.
- Bernard-Jannin L, Binet S, Gogo S, Leroy F, Défarge C, Jozja N, Zocatelli R, Perdereau L, Laggoun Défarge F (2018) Hydrological control of dissolved organic carbon dynamics in a rehabilitated
 Sphagnum-dominated peatland: a water-table based modelling approach. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci. 22: 4907–4920. Doi:10.5194/hess-22-4907-2018
- Beyer C, Höper H (2015) Greenhouse gas exchange of rewetted bog peat extraction sites and a
 Sphagnum cultivation site in northwest Germany. Biogeosciences 12: 2101–2117.
 Doi:10.5194/bg-12-2101-2015
- Beyer C, Liebersbach H, Höper H (2015) Multiyear greenhouse gas flux measurements on a temperate
 fen soil used for cropland or grassland. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 178: 99–111.
 Doi:10.1002/jpln.201300396
- Binet S, Gogo S, Laggoun-Défarge F (2013) A water-table dependent reservoir model to investigate
 the effect of drought and vascular plant invasion on peatland hydrology. J. Hydrol. 499: 132–
 139. Doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.06.035
- Bortoluzzi E, Epron D, Siegenthaler A, Gilbert D, Buttler A (2006) Carbon balance of a European
 mountain bog at contrasting stages of regeneration. New Phytol. 172: 708–718.
- Bubier JL, Moore TR, Bellisario L, Comer NT, Crill PM (1995) Ecological controls on methane
 emissions from a Northern Peatland Complex in the zone of discontinuous permafrost,
 Manitoba, Canada. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 9: 455–470. Doi:10.1029/95GB02379
- Bubier JL, Moore TR, Bledzki LA (2007) Effects of nutrient addition on vegetation and carbon cycling
 in an ombrotrophic bog. Glob. Change Biol. 13: 1168–1186. Doi:10.1111/j.13652486.2007.01346.x
- 418 Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model selection, in: A Primer on Natural Resource Science.
- Buttler A, Robroek BJ, Laggoun-Défarge F, Jassey VE, Pochelon C, Bernard G, Delarue F, Gogo S,
 Mariotte P, Mitchell EA (2015) Experimental warming interacts with soil moisture to
 discriminate plant responses in an ombrotrophic peatland. J. Veg. Sci. 26: 964–974.
- 422 Carroll P, Crill P (1997). Carbon balance of a temperate poor fen. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 11: 349–
 423 356.
- Chimner RA, Pypker TG, Hribljan JA, Moore PA, Waddington JM (2017). Multi-decadal changes in
 water table levels alter peatland carbon cycling. Ecosystems, 20(5), 1042-1057.
- Christensen JH, Krishna Kumar K, Aldrian E et al (2013) The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
 Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
 pp. 1217–1308. Doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.028
- Ciais P, Sabine C, Bala G et al (2013) The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I
 to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 465–570.
 Doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015
- 434 D'Angelo, B. (2015). Variabilité spatio-temporelle des émissions de GES dans une tourbière à
 435 Sphaignes: effets sur le bilan carbone (Doctoral dissertation).
- Dieleman CM, Branfireun, BA, McLaughlin JW, Lindo Z. (2015). Climate change drives a shift in
 peatland ecosystem plant community: implications for ecosystem function and stability. Global
 change biology, 21(1), 388-395. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12643 Francez AJ, Vasander H (1995) Peat
 accumulation and peat decomposition after human disturbance in French and Finnish mires.
 Acta Oecologica 16: 599–608.
- Gogo S, Laggoun-Défarge F, Delarue F, Lottier N (2011) Invasion of a Sphagnum-peatland by Betula
 spp and Molinia caerulea impacts organic matter biochemistry. Implications for carbon and
 nutrient cycling. Biogeochemistry 106: 53–69. Doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9433-6
- Görres CM, Kutzbach L, Elsgaard L (2014) Comparative modeling of annual CO2 flux of temperate
 peat soils under permanent grassland management. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 186: 64–76.
 Doi:10.1016/j.agee.2014.01.014

- Guimbaud C, Catoire V, Gogo S et al (2011) A portable infrared laser spectrometer for flux
 measurements of trace gases at the geosphere–atmosphere interface. Meas. Sci. Technol. 22:
 075601. Doi:10.1088/0957-0233/22/7/075601
- Guimbaud C, Noel C, Chartier M, Catoire V, Blessing M, Gourry JC, Robert C (2016) A quantum
 cascade laser infrared spectrometer for CO2 stable isotope analysis: Field implementation at a
 hydrocarbon contaminated site under bio-remediation. J. Environ. Sci. 40: 60–74.
 Doi:10.1016/j.jes.2015.11.015
- Jacotot A, Gogo S, Perdereau L, Paroissien JB, Guimbaud C, Laggoun-Défarge F (in prep) High
 frequency measurements of CO2 and CH4 fluxes in a temperate peatland dominated by Molinia
 caerulea (La Guette, France).
- Jacobs CMJ, Jacobs AFG, Bosveld FC et al (2007) Variability of annual CO₂ exchange from Dutch
 grasslands. Biogeosciences 4, 803–816. Doi:10.5194/bg-4-803-2007
- Joosten H, Clarke D (2002) Wise Use of Mires and Peatlands. International Mire Conservation Group
 and International Peat Society. Jyväsk. FI.
- June T, Evans JR, Farquhar GD (2004) A simple new equation for the reversible temperature
 dependence of photosynthetic electron transport: a study on soybean leaf. Funct. Plant Biol.
 31: 275–283.
- Kandel TP, Elsgaard L, Lærke PE (2013) Measurement and modelling of CO2 flux from a drained fen
 peatland cultivated with reed canary grass and spring barley. GCB Bioenergy 5: 548–561.
 Doi:10.1111/gcbb.12020
- Koehler AK, Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2011) How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an
 Atlantic blanket bog? Glob. Change Biol. 17: 309–319. Doi:10.1111/j.13652486.2010.02180.x
- 470 Lappalainen E (1996) Global Peat Resources (International Peat Society, Jyskä). Finland.
- 471 Leroy F, Gogo S, Guimbaud C, Bernard-Jannin L, Hu Z, Laggoun-Défarge F (2017) Vegetation
 472 composition controls temperature sensitivity of CO2 and CH4 emissions and DOC
 473 concentration in peatlands. Soil Biol. Biochem. 107: 164–167.
 474 Doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.005
- Leroy F, Gogo S, Guimbaud C, Bernard-Jannin L, Yin X, Belot G, Shuguang W, Laggoun-Défarge F
 (2019). CO2 and CH4 budgets and global warming potential modifications in Sphagnum dominated peat mesocosms invaded by Molinia caerulea. Biogeosciences, 16, 4085-4095.
- Luan J, Liu S, Wu J, Wang M, Yu Z. (2018). The transient shift of driving environmental factors of
 carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in Tibetan peatlands before and after hydrological
 restoration. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 250, 138-146.
- Lund M, Christensen TR, Lindroth A, Schubert P (2012) Effects of drought conditions on the carbon
 dioxide dynamics in a temperate peatland. Environ. Res. Lett. 7: 045704. Doi:10.1088/1748 9326/7/4/045704
- 484 Noyce GL, Varner RK, Bubier JL, Frolking S. (2014). Effect of Carex rostrata on seasonal and
 485 interannual variability in peatland methane emissions. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 486 Biogeosciences, 119(1), 24-34.
- Peichl M, Öquist M, Löfvenius MO, Ilstedt U, Sagerfors J, Grelle A, Lindroth A, Nilsson MB (2014)
 A 12-year record reveals pre-growing season temperature and water table level threshold
 effects on the net carbon dioxide exchange in a boreal fen. Environ. Res. Lett. 9: 055006.
 Doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/5/055006
- Pelletier L, Moore TR, Roulet NT, Garneau M, Beaulieu-Audy V (2007) Methane fluxes from three
 peatlands in the La Grande Riviere watershed, James Bay lowland, Canada. J. Geophys. Res.
 Biogeosciences 112.
- Pumpanen J, Kolari P, Ilvesniemi H et al (2004) Comparison of different chamber techniques for
 measuring soil CO2 efflux. Agric. For. Meteorol. 123: 159–176.
 Doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.12.001
- 497 Robert C (2007) Simple, stable, and compact multiple-reflection optical cell for very long optical
 498 paths. Appl. Opt. 46: 5408–5418.
- 499 Rydin H, Jeglum JK (2013) The biology of peatlands, 2e. Oxford university press.
- 500 Strack M (2008) Peatlands and climate change. Int. Peat Soc. Finl. 227.

- Strack M, Zuback YCA (2013) Annual carbon balance of a peatland 10 yr following restoration.
 Biogeosciences 10: 2885–2896. Doi:10.5194/bg-10-2885-2013
- Trudeau NC, Garneau M, Pelletier, L (2014) Interannual variability in the CO2 balance of a boreal
 patterned fen, James Bay, Canada. Biogeochemistry 118: 371–387.
- Vanselow-Algan M, Schmidt SR, Greven M, Fiencke C, Kutzbach L, Pfeiffer EM (2015) High
 methane emissions dominated annual greenhouse gas balances 30 years after bog rewetting.
 Biogeosciences 12: 4361–4371. Doi:10.5194/bg-12-4361-2015
- Ward SE, Ostle NJ, Oakley S, Quirk H, Henrys PA, Bardgett RD (2013). Warming effects on
 greenhouse gas fluxes in peatlands are modulated by vegetation composition. Ecology letters,
 16(10), 1285-1293.
- Waddington JM, Roulet NT (2000) Carbon balance of a boreal patterned peatland. Glob. Change Biol.
 6: 87–97. Doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00283.x
- Worrall F, Burt TP, Rowson JG, Warburton J, Adamson JK (2009) The multi-annual carbon budget
 of a peat-covered catchment. Sci. Total Environ. 407: 4084–4094.
- Yu Z, Loisel J, Brosseau DP, Beilman DW, Hunt SJ (2010) Global peatland dynamics since the Last
 Glacial Maximum. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37. Doi:10.1029/2010GL043584

517