

Thermal stability of adsorbents used for gas chromatography in space exploration

Leila Bouchra, Cyril Szopa, A. Buch, David Coscia

▶ To cite this version:

Leila Bouchra, Cyril Szopa, A. Buch, David Coscia. Thermal stability of adsorbents used for gas chromatography in space exploration. Journal of Chromatography A, 2021, 1644 (May), pp.462087. 10.1016/j.chroma.2021.462087 insu-03177972

HAL Id: insu-03177972 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03177972

Submitted on 24 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 2 3	Thermal stability of adsorbents used for gas chromatography in space exploration
4	
E	L Rouchra ¹ C Stopa ^{1,2} A Ruch ³ D Coscia ¹
6	
7	¹ Laboratoire Atmosphère, Milieux, Observations Spatiales (LATMOS),
8 9	LATMOS/IPSL, UVSQ Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, CNES, 11 Bd d'Alembert, 78280 Guyancourt, France
10	
11	² Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
12	
13	³ Laboratoire Génie des Procédés et Matériaux, CentraleSupelec, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
14	
15	
16	
17	Submitted to J. Chrom. A
18	Version #3 (revised)
19	15 th March 2021
20	14 Pages 9 Figures 4 Tables
20	ITT ages, 5 rigures, 4 rables
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29 20	All correspondence should be addressed to:
3U 21	An correspondence should be addressed to. Pr Arril SZAPA
32	LATMOS/OVSO 11 houlevard d'Alembert 78280 Guvancourt
32	F-mail: cvril szona@latmos insl fr
34	Tel : +33 (0)1 80 28 52 89

35 Abstract

36 For analytical purpose, thermal desorption is now used in gas chromatographs developed to analyse 37 the chemical composition of planetary environments. Due to technical constraints, the thermal 38 desorption cannot be as finely controlled as in the laboratory resulting in possible thermal alteration 39 of the adsorbents used. For these reasons, the influence of heat on physical and chemical properties 40 of various adsorbents, either used or that could be used in gas chromatographs for space 41 exploration, is studied. If the adsorbents made of carbon molecular sieves and graphitised carbon 42 black that were tested show a very high thermal stability up to 800°C, the porous polymers tested 43 are highly degraded from a minimum temperature that depends on the nature of the polymer. Poly-44 2,6-diphenylphenylene oxide is shown to be the more thermally robust as it is degraded at higher 45 temperatures, confirming it is currently the best choice for analysing organic molecules with a space 46 instrument. Finally, the products of degradation of the porous polymers tested were analysed after 47 heating the porous polymers at 400°C and 800°C. They were identified and listed as potential 48 contaminants of analyses performed with this type of adsorbent. If the exposure to the higher 49 temperature produces numerous organic compounds, mainly aromatic ones, a few ones are also 50 detected at the lower temperature tested, meaning they should be considered as potential 51 contaminants. Again poly-2,6-diphenylphenylene oxide should be preferred because it releases less 52 organic compounds, the structure of which is completely specific to the adsorbent composition.

5354 Highlights

55 Thermal evolution of adsorbents used for GC in space exploration is studied up to 800°C

- 57 Graphitised carbon blacks and molecular sieves are thermally stable
- 58

60

56

- 59 Porous polymers are drastically degraded by the heat
- 61 Potential organic contaminants produced by porous polymers are tentatively identified and listed
- 62

63

64 Keywords

- 65 Gas chromatography
- 66 Thermal desorption
- 67 Adsorbents
- 68 Thermal stability
- 69 Space exploration
- 70
- 71

72 1. Introduction

73 Gas chromatography has been used for decades for space exploration [1]. Due to its robustness and 74 relative technical simplicity, this instrumentation has been part of the scientific payload of several 75 space probes that explored Venus [2], Mars [3, 4], comets [5] and even Titan [6]. It is used for the 76 analysis of the chemical composition of the atmospheres, and of condensed materials collected at 77 the surface or in the atmospheres of these bodies. It is favoured to characterize the molecular 78 composition of complex samples containing, or releasing, numerous gaseous compounds which 79 cannot be directly identified with spectrometry alone (e.g. [7]). Through time, the complexity of 80 space experiments increased to get more and more information from the analysed samples.

81 In particular, the preparation of samples (mainly the solid ones) has become more and more complex 82 for gas chromatography in space exploration, to reach the ambitious scientific goals of the 83 instruments, and to meet the technical constraints imposed by the flight of the space probes and the 84 in situ operations. For the last chromatographs we built to explore the surface of Mars, this results in 85 quite long times of transfer from the sampling system to the gas chromatographs. For this reason, 86 our team transposed the thermal desorption technique, commonly used in the laboratory to analyse 87 breath samples [8] or to monitor air composition [9], to space application. During the sampling 88 phase, it allows to trap the maximum amount of analytes (enrichment) and spatially focalize them for 89 the transfer duration. During the injection phase, it allows to transfer the trapped chemical species 90 into the chromatographic columns as fast as possible. The whole process is assumed to ensure to 91 have the best possible separation of the analytes with the best detection sensitivity. We did it first 92 successfully for the Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) experiment onboard the Curiosity rover currently 93 exploring the Mars surface [3], and we will improve it for future missions, as Exomars 2020 [10].

94 In this frame, adsorbents of different natures are used, depending on the chemical species to be 95 analysed. For example, in SAM, Carbosieve is used to trap the most volatile species and Tenax[®] (TA 96 and GR) for the other volatile compounds. Some of these adsorbents have an upper temperature of 97 thermal stability quite close to their usual recommended operational temperature (400°C as the limit 98 temperature against about 300 °C for operating Tenax[®]). Moreover, as on Earth, despite their 99 interest for trapping the analytes, adsorbent can be a source of organic molecules which are finally 100 observed in the chromatograms with the analytes released by the sample (see [11] for an example). Then, the compounds released by the adsorbent can be contaminants of the analysis and it is 101 102 important to limit their contribution, and to clearly identify them in order to discriminate them from 103 the analytes present in the samples. The knowledge of the nature of these possible contaminants 104 prior to the in situ measurement is of high importance because the operation limitations of space 105 instruments usually do not allow to perform systematic blank runs to identify background 106 contributions.

107 Usually, these by-products are produced through thermal decomposition or alteration of the 108 adsorbent. For space exploration, the need for building light, sturdy and relatively simple 109 instruments, added to the fact that environmental conditions of the instrument cannot be perfectly 110 stabilized, results in a much less accurate thermal control of the desorption unit compared to 111 laboratory instrumentation. As a consequence, some adsorbent present in the trap could be 112 submitted to a thermal stress (e.g. maximum temperature overshoot) sufficient to lead to an 113 alteration of the adsorbent. These are the main reasons why we performed a systematic study of the behaviour of various adsorbents of interest for space application, when submitted to temperatures 114 115 up to 800°C. This article presents observed changes of physical and chemical features of different 116 adsorbents commonly used in analytical chemistry when submitted to thermal stress, and the 117 lessons learned for their use in space instrumentation specifically.

118

119

120 2. Material and methods

121 2.1. Adsorbents

Three main types of adsorbents are commonly used for thermal desorption injection with gas chromatography: i. carbon black for organic molecules of low to medium weight; ii. porous polymers for organic molecules of medium to heavy weights; iii. and molecular sieves rather devoted to small molecules and noble gases. In this work, we studied these all three types of adsorbent materials which are listed in Table 1. We studied the all three categories of adsorbents, and we selected at least two different adsorbents of each type to compare their behaviour when submitted to thermal treatment.

129 For graphitized carbon blacks (GCB), Carbopack B is the more commonly used today but we also tested Carbopack C. For porous polymer, Tenax® TA is a critical adsorbent for analysing the trapping 130 131 and injection of organic molecules in the experiments developed to explore the surface of Mars [3, 132 10], and an adsorbent commonly used to monitor the Earth atmosphere composition [12]. This is the reason why in addition to its nature, we also studied the influence of the size of Tenax® TA particles 133 134 on its thermal behaviour. Tenax[®] GR is a mixture of Tenax[®] TA and GCB, and it is used for trapping 135 lower molecular weight compounds. Both Tenax[®] adsorbents are used in the SAM experiment [3]. Porapak Q is another type of porous polymer that could be used in a gas analyser onboard a future 136 137 Russian landing probe to the moon surface named Luna Resours [13]. Finally, among the molecular 138 sieves, we studied Carbosieve SIII which is also used in the SAM instrument on Mars, and Molsieve 139 5A which is of different chemical composition and could have an interest for future applications in 140 space exploration, especially for analysing very volatile organic and inorganic molecules, as well as 141 noble gases.

Adsorbent commercial name	Type of adsorbent	Density (g.mL ⁻¹)	Specific area (m².g ⁻¹)	Particle size (mesh)	Maximum operating temperature (°C)
Carbopack C	Graphitized carbon black	0.64	10	80-100	400
Carbopack B	Graphitized carbon black	0.38	100	60-80	400
Tenax [®] TA	Porous polymer	0.25	35	60-80	350
Tenax [®] TA	Porous polymer	0.29	35	80-100	350
Tenax [®] GR	Porous polymer	0.40	25	n.a.***	350
Porapak Q	Porous polymer	0.66	550	50-80	250
Carbosieve SIII*	Molecular sieve	0.72	975	n.a.	400
Molsieve 5A**	Molecular sieve	0.34	n.a.	80-120	300

142 Table 1 : Adsorbents used in this study and their main characteristics. Data from suppliers (Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich).

145 2.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

146 In order to physically characterize each adsorbent studied and their possible evolution when 147 submitted to pyrolytic conditions, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used. With this aim, a 148 few particles of each adsorbent, either taken from their original vial, or collected in the pyrolysis cup 149 after their heating, have been deposited on a double-sided tape composed of carbon to ensure the

 ^{*}Carbosieve SIII is a graphitized carbon black but its specific area makes places it in the molecular sieves category;
 Molsieve 5A is a zeolite carbon molecular sieve; *Not available.

electric conduction required for performing SEM measurements. The adsorbents were analysed in low vacuum mode at a 0.45 torr pressure with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV. The particles of adsorbent were observed in an Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM FEI Quanta 200).

153 2.3. Thermal Gravimetry Analysis and Evolved Gas Analysis

154 Thermal evolution study of most of the adsorbents selected were carried out using Thermal 155 Gravimetry Analysis (TGA). Among the thermal analysis techniques, TGA is commonly used for 156 characterizing the thermal properties of both inorganic and organic materials. It allows to measure 157 the sample mass loss as a function of the sample temperature and time. TGA analyses were 158 performed using a SENSYS evo (Setaram) TGA instrument, using a CALISTO software for data 159 recording and treatment. The samples were placed in a 100 µL platinum crucible. The average sample mass put in the crucible was approximately 20 mg for each analysis. The samples were 160 heated from the ambient temperature (~20°C) to 1000°C at a 10°C.min⁻¹ heating rate and in an argon 161 (chemically inert) atmosphere to prevent any reactivity of the sample with the carrier gas. Once the 162 163 maximum temperature was reached, the samples were kept for one hour at the final pyrolysis 164 temperature.

165 In complement to TGA, Evolved Gas Analyses (EGA) were performed to follow the chemical species released by the adsorbents as a function of temperature throughout their heating. With this aim we 166 167 used an EGA/PY-3030D micro-oven pyrolyser (Frontier Lab), installed on the split/splitless injector of 168 a gas chromatograph (GC/Trace GC Ultra, Thermo Scientific) coupled to a quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS/ISQ LT, Thermo Scientific). A short-deactivated (Siltek™ process of silicon layer 169 170 deposition, Restek) tube (2.5 m long, 0.10 mm internal diameter) made of stainless steel was 171 installed between the injector and the MS. This geometry is used to ensure a fast transfer of the 172 sample from the injector to the MS, and thus making the correlation between the sample 173 temperature and the volatile species detected with MS easy. Moreover, the gas flow restriction 174 induced by the capillary tube allows to maintain the pressure in the MS sufficiently low to operate it. 175 The main operating conditions of the GC-MS instrument were set as follows: the injector was used in 176 the split mode at a temperature of 250°C; the column temperature was kept at 250°C and the carrier 177 gas (Helium, 99.9999% purity, Air Liquide) flow rate was set constant to 0.5 mL.min⁻¹ with a split flow 178 rate of 10 mL.min⁻¹. The GC to MS transfer line was heated at 250°C as the electron ionization ion 179 source. The ionization energy was 70 eV and the ions produced were scanned in the 12 to 650 m/z 180 range.

For pyrolysis, we applied the following program of temperature to all the adsorbents: 280°C for 30 min, then heating at 10°C.min⁻¹ up to 800°C that was maintained for 5 min. The total time of the sequence was 82 min during which the mass spectrometer recorded the signal. Knowing the program of temperature of the pyrolyser as a function of time, the EGA curves representing the MS response as a function of the sample temperature can have been simply obtained by converting the time of analysis in temperature. A mass of 5.0±0.1 mg of each adsorbent was pyrolyzed. It was chosen as a trade-off between the sensitivity of the method and the saturation of the MS signal.

- 188
- 2.4. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry analysis of the products of decomposition of theadsorbents

The goal of this measurement is the identification of the products of degradation of the adsorbents, if they exist, as they can be possible contaminants in the chromatograms. With this aim, the same experimental set-up as described for the EGA measurements was used. Only the deactivated tube was replaced by a MXT-5 (Restek) chromatographic column with the following 195 geometry: 30 m long, 0.25 mm internal diameter and 0.25 µm stationary phase film thickness. This is 196 a metallic column (Siltek[™] process) with a stationary phase made of poly diphenyl (5%) dimethyl 197 (95%) siloxane bonded to the deactivated inner wall of the column. The operating conditions were 198 similar except the flow rate that was set to 1.0 mL.min⁻¹ constant, and the program of temperature was 35°C for 2 min then heating at 10°C.min⁻¹ up to 300°C maintained for 3 min. The MS was used in 199 200 the full scan mode to detect all the ions in the 10-450 m/z range. The mass spectrum of the chemical 201 species detected were compared to those of the National Institute of Standards and Technology 202 (NIST) reference mass spectra library for identification. The less retained compounds (up to about 20 203 min retention) are identified with a significant degree of confidence. The more retained compounds 204 have a more complex structure and usually a lower signal to noise ratio that increase the uncertainty 205 of the mass spectra. The identification of the corresponding chemical species can be considered as 206 essentially tentative. But the chemical structures given should be quite similar to the detected 207 molecule's one.

208 As the adsorbents are heated too slowly to perform a brief injection of the gases released into 209 the chromatographic column, a cryofocusing system (Frontier) was used to cool down the head of 210 the column at the liquid dinitrogen temperature throughout the pyrolysis, and then to inject the 211 species condensed in a small volume by stopping the cryocooling and heating the column. For each 212 adsorbent studied, a specific program of temperature was used for pyrolysis. The different pyrolysis 213 steps are summarized in Table 2 for each adsorbent. After each step, the molecular species released 214 after reaching the final temperature were analysed with GC-MS. Prior to perform the pyrolysis itself, 215 a first step consisted in desorbing the species trapped on the adsorbent by heating them at 280°C for 216 20 min, temperature to which the tested adsorbents are not decomposed, as observed on the EGA 217 and TGA analyses (even if Porapak Q could be slightly degraded), and because 280°C is very close to 218 the upper temperatures (300-350°C) usually used to clean the adsorbents in order to limit the 219 possible contamination [14-16].

For this study, we focused only on the main materials that were observed to decompose thermally, meaning Tenax[®] TA (60-80 mesh) and Porapak Q. Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of these two organic polymers. Tenax[®] GR was not studied as it is composed in part of Tenax[®] TA which is the source of the mass loss observed using TGA. 5.0±0.1 mg of material was introduced in the pyrolyser for Tenax[®] TA (60-80 mesh) and Carbosieve SIII, and 1.0±0.1 mg of material for Porapak Q.

225

Adsorbent	Initial	First pyrolysis step	Second pyrolysis step
	temperature		
Carbosieve SIII	80°C (5 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 300°C (5 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 800°C (3 min)
Tenax [®] TA	280°C (5 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 400°C (3 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 800°C (3 min)
(60-80 mesh)			
Porapak Q	280°C (5 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 400°C (5 min)	10°C.min ⁻¹ up to 800°C (3 min)

226Table 2 : Pyrolysis steps used to characterize the nature of the molecules released by the adsorbents when they decompose227into volatile molecules. For Tenax® TA and PorapakTM Q were first heated at 280°C for desorbing the species trapped on the228adsorbents, before starting the pyrolysis.

229 3. Results and discussion

230

231 3.1. Thermal decomposition

- 232 233
- 233 <u>TGA analysis</u>
- 234

The use of TGA allowed to follow the mass loss of each sample of adsorbent as a function of the temperature. The results obtained for all the tested adsorbents are presented in Figure 2.

237 On Figure 2, we can observe two general trends. First, there are adsorbents keeping their 238 mass almost constant up to 1000°C, the maximum temperature. This behaviour is representative of 239 materials which are very stable to the temperature. The corresponding adsorbents are the molecular 240 sieves and the graphitized carbon blacks. The mass loss observed at the lowest temperatures (from 241 the ambient to $\approx 200^{\circ}$ C) for Molsieve 5A is attributed to the desorption of atmospheric gases which 242 are efficiently trapped by this material. It is not observed for the carbon molecular sieves tested 243 which are not efficient to trap these low mass species (see [17] as an example for an application to space science). This is not fully surprising to observe such a stability as the materials produced to 244 make these adsorbents are usually obtained by controlled pyrolytic processes occurring usually at 245 246 temperatures up to 700- 800°C. Now, when looking more carefully at the curves, we observe a small 247 loss of mass for these materials (≈4% for Carbopack B, ≈5% for Carbosieve SIII, and ≈2% for Molsieve 248 5A), the loss occurring rather at the highest temperatures (typically above 800°C). This indicates a 249 very limited decomposition that should impact the superficial part of the adsorbent only or this could 250 be ascribed to moisture vaporization [18, 19].

251 Then, we observe that the adsorbents made of porous polymers are resistant to the increase of 252 temperature up to a threshold temperature for which they are subjects to a significant mass loss of 253 tens of percent. This corresponds to a massive thermal decomposition that should be accompanied 254 by an outgassing of volatile molecules. Once this large mass loss is finished, we observe at the higher 255 temperatures that the adsorbents still lose mass but at a much lower rate. It is interesting to notice 256 that the massive mass loss is observed at the same temperature for Tenax[®] TA and Tenax[®] GR, as the material decomposing for both adsorbents is the same, *i.e.* Tenax[®] TA. It shows that the presence of 257 258 graphitized carbon black in Tenax[®] GR does not catalyse the thermal decomposition of Tenax[®] TA. 259 Moreover, the mass loss of Tenax[®] GR (≈45%) is about 30% less important than for Tenax[®] TA (≈55%) 260 as there is only 70% wt of Tenax[®] TA in Tenax[®] GR. The results obtained are therefore consistent with 261 the composition of Tenax[®] GR. For Porapak Q, we observe that the temperature of massive mass loss 262 is lower than for Tenax[®] TA (450°C instead of 550°C), in a range of temperatures similar to those 263 observed in previous studies [20], and that the mass loss is much more significant (\approx 80%). As for 264 Tenax[®], after the massive mass loss, Porapak Q continues to lose mass but at a much lower rate, down to ≈95%. These observations demonstrate that Porapak Q is made of a material much more 265 266 fragile to the heat than Tenax[®] TA.

267 268

269 Evolved Gas Analysis

270 On Figure 3 is plotted the evolution of total ion current of the mass spectrometer detector as a 271 function of the temperature for each adsorbent. All the adsorbents were submitted to this 272 diagnostic. Two main behaviours are observed.

273 First, all the adsorbents based on porous polymers show a significant outgassing observed 274 when MS detector response significantly increase. For all three Tenax[®] adsorbents tested, this 275 outgassing is observed from about 475°C to 800°C with a maximum observed at 570°C. The major part of the gases are shown to be released from 475°C to 677°C. The major ion observed throughout 276 277 the outgassing has m/z=246, which is typical of the m/z value of the ion formed from 2,6-diphenyl-p-278 phenylene oxide which is the monomer of the material composing Tenax[®]. For Porapak Q, the 279 outgassing occurs at lower temperatures, basically from 300°C to about 750°C with a maximum gas 280 release observed around 450°C. The major ions observed throughout the outgassing have m/z=117 and 132. These m/z values are typical from methylstyrene and ethylstyrene ions respectively. The 281 peak observed is broader than those observed for Tenax® and most of the outgassing occurs from 282 283 350°C to 600°C. This may due to the fact that Porapak Q is made of a copolymer of 284 ethylvinylbenzene/divinylbenzene, making its decomposition slower than for pure 2,6-diphenyl-pphenylene oxide polymer. Therefore, it can be concluded that porous polymers are not chemically 285 stable when heated up to 800°C, resulting in a probable loss of their adsorption properties and a 286 287 possible contamination of the analytical set up with the gaseous molecular species produced if the 288 minimum temperature at which they decompose is reached. As Porapak Q releases gases at lower temperature than Tenax[®], it means it is more fragile when submitted to thermal stress. This explains why its maximum operating temperature recommended by the suppliers is much lower for Porapak Q compared to Tenax[®] (Table 1). It can be noticed that these maximum operating temperatures are effectively lower than the minimum temperatures at which the porous polymers start to release gases. It must also be underlined that results obtained with EGA are in agreement with the TGA measurements.

295 Now focusing on the different Tenax[®] adsorbents studied, we observe that the outgassing is 296 similar for both adsorbents. The only difference observed is the peak area with more material 297 outgassed for Tenax® TA (60-80 mesh) and the less observed for Tenax® GR. As the mass of 298 adsorbent sampled is the same, it means there are chemical differences that should explain this 299 observation. As said for interpreting TGA data, Tenax® GR contains 70% of Tenax® TA and 30% of 300 graphitized carbon black, this last adsorbent being stable with the temperature. It thus results that 301 the Tenax[®] GR sample has 30% less material that can decompose compared to pure Tenax[®] TA and 302 this explains why the amount of gases observed to be released by Tenax[®] GR is the lowest one, the 303 corresponding peak area being ≈77% of the pure Tenax[®] TA peak area. Concerning the two pure 304 Tenax[®] TA samples, we observe that the adsorbent with bigger particles (60-80 mesh) releases more 305 gases than the adsorbent with smaller particles (80-100 mesh). It thus indicates that there is a particle size effect in the release of products of degradation and bigger particles are more subject to 306 307 decompose than smaller ones.

On Figure 3, the second behaviour observed is an absence of gases released by the molecular sieves and graphitized carbon blacks, whatever the temperature is. This confirms the results obtained with TGA that shows that these adsorbents are all thermally stable up to 800°C. The only exception to this rule is Carbopack C which shows a low outgassing around 550°C (major ions observed have m/z=91, 105 and 119, typical from alkylated aromatic species as toluene, or dimethylbenzene). This degassing may be due to the fact that the surface area is smaller for this adsorbent than for the other two.

315

316 3.2. Evolution of the adsorbent grains morphology

As we demonstrated the heating of adsorbents up to 800°C could have a significant effect on adsorbents, we tried to follow the physical evolution of the adsorbent particles with temperature. We limited this approach to Porapak Q, Tenax[®] TA and Carbosieve SIII as they are representative of the main behaviour observed for adsorbent to thermal stress and described above.

Figure 4 shows images obtained before and after heating Porapak Q adsorbent particles up to 321 322 800°C. It can be observed that the particle size drastically decreased from \sim 230 μ m down to \sim 80 μ m, 323 but the particles keep a roughly spherical shape. This size decrease is compatible with the significant 324 mass loss of material observed both with TGA and EGA measurements. Looking at a given particle, 325 the soft and homogenous spherical shape observed before thermal treatment, changed to a multi-326 faces carved surface. If we have no information about surface roughness of the particles with SEM, 327 the decrease of the size of the particle should drastically limit its specific surface, and then its 328 adsorption power. As an organic polymer, Porapak Q material should have significantly graphitized at 329 the temperatures used for the pyrolysis, resulting in a modification of the adsorption properties.

Figure 5 shows images obtained before and after heating Tenax[®] TA (60-80 mesh) adsorbent particles to 800°C. As for Porapak Q, the particle size drastically decreased by a factor of about 2, but the particles roughly kept their initial shape. This size decrease is compatible with the significant mass loss of material observed with TGA and EGA measurements. Looking at a given particle, the surface globally looks like similar before and after the heating. But looking closer to the surface, it seems that the highly porous and sinuous surface observed initially, was flattened by the thermal
 stress. This could indicate a decrease of the porosity of the adsorbent which could induce a loss of
 adsorption efficiency. Similarly, to Porapak Q, the decrease of the size of the particles, and the likely
 graphitization of the polymer should drastically limit its adsorption properties.

339

340 Figure 6 shows images obtained before and after heating Carbosieve SIII adsorbent particles to 341 800°C. In this case, the particles keep their original size and shape after heating them up to 800°C. 342 This is consistent with the absence of mass loss observed with TGA and EGA measurements. The only 343 change observed is related to the surface roughness of the particles. Indeed, if initially the particle 344 surface is flat and soft, after heating up to 800°C, we observe a fluffier material. This shows that if 345 Carbosieve SIII is globally thermally resistant, its structure is modified by the thermal treatment. And 346 this change should modify its adsorption properties as the manufacturer recommend not to use it at 347 temperatures higher than 400°C (Table 1).

348 349

3.3. Volatile products of degradation

The programs of temperatures used to heat the different adsorbents were defined from the results obtained with TGA and EGA analyses. The different ranges of temperature defined correspond to different steps of mass loss from the adsorbents observed with TGA, which may correspond to steps of decomposition releasing different volatile molecules. By sharing the pyrolysis in steps, we wanted to test this assumption.

355 Figure 7 shows the chromatograms obtained when analysing the gases released by Carbosieve 356 SIII after the pyrolysis. It can be observed that only a few compounds are detected. If we except the 357 peaks attributed to column bleeding, only methanol, water and carbon dioxide are detected. 358 Methanol and water are mostly observed at the lowest temperatures the adsorbent is heated, 359 meaning these chemical species should be mainly desorbed from the adsorbent, and that they are not products of decomposition of Carbosieve SIII. Water comes from the atmosphere whereas 360 methanol probably comes from residual solvent used to clean the material used to prepare the 361 362 sample for pyrolysis. Carbon dioxide is observed on both chromatograms. This is compatible with 363 background CO₂ present in the carrier gas which is trapped by the cold trap. This analysis confirms 364 that Carbosieve SIII does not decompose into volatile species when heated to high temperatures, as 365 already shown by TGA.

Figure 8 shows the chromatograms obtained after analysing the products of pyrolysis of Tenax[®] TA (60-80 mesh) for the two steps of the pyrolysis it was submitted to. Most of the chemical species identified in these chromatograms are listed in Table 3.

369 For the lower pyrolysis temperatures range (from 280 to 400°C), water and carbon dioxide mainly 370 coming from the air present in the background of the carrier gas are detected. In addition to 371 products of decomposition of the column's stationary phase, a few polyaromatic compounds are 372 observed late in the chromatogram. These polyaromatic molecules tentatively identified from their 373 mass spectra, have a structure which is compatible with products of degradation from Tenax® TA 374 because they include aromatic and cyclic structures that bear oxygen atoms. In spite of the detection 375 of these degradation products, we can notice that they are limited in number, and that they have a 376 really characteristic molecular structure compared to usual organic molecules targeted by the 377 chromatographs used for space exploration. This demonstrates that Tenax[®] TA is quite thermally 378 stable up to 400°C, confirming the observations done with TGA and EGA analyses. This also in 379 accordance with the maximum recommended operating temperature given by the supplier (350°C), 380 which should be the temperature around which these compounds should start to be released by 381 Tenax[®] TA.

382 For the higher pyrolysis temperature range (Figure 8b), numerous organic compounds are detected 383 in addition to air and products of degradation of the column stationary phase (Table 3). Most of these compounds are monoaromatic or polyaromatic compounds bearing oxygen or not. The two 384 385 compounds the more retained detected after the low range of temperature pyrolysis are not present 386 in this chromatogram. This is likely due to the higher temperatures used in this case that should 387 prevent the formation of these species, or that could degrade them in lighter ones. The most 388 abundant compounds detected in the chromatogram is the alcohol derived from 2,6-diphenyl-p-389 phenylene oxide (peak #14 in Figure b). This observation is in agreement with the TGA 390 measurements. But many other molecules are observed in various abundances, with molecular 391 structures which can be easily derived from the decomposition or rearrangement of 2,6-392 diphenylphenylene oxide. Then, the detected molecules must be released by Tenax® TA. This 393 experiment shows the dramatic effect of heating Tenax® TA at temperatures higher than 400°C 394 because the number and the amount of degradation compounds produced is significant. In addition 395 to the certain alteration of its adsorption properties, this result shows that this adsorbent must not 396 be heated at temperatures higher than 400°C because it can produce numerous organic molecules 397 that can interfere with the detection and identification of molecules present in the analysed sample. 398 Indeed, many of the degradation products detected (like benzene or phenol) are not strictly 399 characteristic of the adsorbent because these chemical species can be found in many environments, 400 including planetary ones [21]. Then their presence in the chromatograms measured in situ would 401 lead to confusing situations for the identification of molecules indigenous to the probed 402 environment.

403 As a conclusion, this study shows that Tenax® TA is quite stable when heated up to about 400°C, 404 releasing only a low amount of polyaromatic molecules very specific to the polymer, but Tenax® TA is 405 significantly degraded when heating it up 800°C, leading to the massive release of numerous 406

280-400°C fir	st pyrolysis step (desorption)	400-80	0°C second pyrolysis step (degradation)
Retention time (min)	Compounds name	Retention time (min)	Identified compounds name
3.1	¹ CO ₂	3.3	¹ CO ₂
3.7	² H ₂ O	5.0/B ^a	² H ₂ O
13.7	*Stationary phase fragment	6.8/B	³ benzene
18.4	Stationary phase fragment	11.4/B	⁴ toluene
21.2	Stationary phase fragment	13.8	*Stationary phase fragment
23.7	Stationary phase fragment	15.2	⁵ ethylbenzene
26.0	Stationary phase fragment	15.7	ethynylbenzene
28.0	Stationary phase fragment	16.2	⁶ styrene
29.5	1,1,3,3 tetramethylbutyl phen-2-ol ^b	17.0	methylethyl-benzene isomer
34.4	m-tertphenyl-2-ol	17.6	propenylbenzene
40.3/B	³ not identified	17.8	propylbenzene
49.3/B	⁴ not identified	18.2	benzaldehyde
		18.4	*Stationary phase fragment
		18.5	phenol
		18.6	propenyl-2-benzene
		18.9	benzofuran

molecules susceptible to contaminate the analysis.

	19.4	ethenylmethylbenzene isomer
	19.6	ethenylmethylbenzene isomer
	19.8	propynylbenzene isomer
	19.9	methylphenol isomer
	20.2	acetophenone
	20.9	methylbenzofuran isomer
	21.2	*Stationary phase fragment
	21.7	methylindene isomer
	21.8	4-methylbenzylvinylether
	21.9	methylindene isomer
	22.3	⁷ Naphtalene
	22.7	3-phenyl-3buten-2-one
	22.8	2,3 dihydro-3-methyl Inden-1-one
	23.7	*Stationary phase fragment
	23.9	methyl naphthalene isomer
	24.3	methyl naphthalene isomer
	25.0	4-phenyl 3-butene-2-one
	25.3/B	⁸ biphenyl
	25.8	diphenylmethane
	26.6	methylbiphenyl isomer
	26.7	methylbiphenyl isomer
	27.1	⁹ hydroxybiphenyl isomer
	27.2	dibenzofuran
	27.9	methylphenylphenol isomer
	27.95	phenalene isomer
	28.0	4-phenyl-4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione
	28.2	methylphenylphenol isomer
	29.3/B	¹⁰ hydroxybiphenyl
	30.3	phenanthrene
	30.8	¹¹ biphenyldiol isomer
	30.9	9-vinylanthracene
	31.0	2-dibenzofuranol
	31.1	terphenyl isomer
	32.2	¹² phenylnaphtalene isomer
	33.7	phenylmethyl naphthalene isomer
	33.9/B	¹³ tertphenyl isomer
	35.3/B	¹⁴ m-tertphenyl-2-ol
	35.6	phenyldibenzofuran isomer
	35.7	¹⁵ phenyldibenzofuran isomer
	37.5/B	¹⁶ tertphenylol isomer
	38.1/B	¹⁷ 4,4 hydroxyphenoxybiphenyl
	38.8/B	¹⁸ not identified
	39.4	9-phenyl-9-fluorenol
	41.4	terphenyl-phenyl isomer
	42.1	4-triphenylmethylphenol

43.3	Triphenylphenol msse 322 proeminente
44.4	Triphenylphenol
48.6	Triphenylphenol
50.6	Triphenylphenol

Table 3 : Retention time and name of each compound released by Tenax® TA (60-80 mesh) after each heating step applied
to the adsorbent. Indices refer to the peak attribution given in Figure 8. °B is indicated when the corresponding peak is broad
in the chromatogram .^bChemical species written in italics are tentatively identified only, with NIST match score typically
below 800.

Figure 9 shows the chromatograms obtained after analysing the products of pyrolysis of Porapak Q for the two steps of the pyrolysis it was submitted to. Most of the chemical species identified in

413 these chromatograms are listed in Table 4.

414 For the lower pyrolysis temperatures range (from 280 to 400°C, Figure 9a), water and carbon dioxide 415 mainly coming from the air present in the background of the carrier gas are detected. In addition to 416 products of decomposition of the column's stationary phase, several aromatic and cyclic organic 417 molecules are observed in the chromatogram. The detected molecules are mostly monoaromatic 418 molecules which are either ramified or associated to a cyclic structure (aromatic or not). Such 419 molecular structures are compatible with the pyrolysis of the ethylvinylbenzene-divinylbenzene 420 copolymer that leads to the production of a monoaromatic motifs, combined with products of the 421 alteration or modification of the linear carbon chain of the polymer. Then, for this organic polymer, 422 the number and the amount of compounds released at relatively low temperature is significant. 423 Moreover, the nature of the compounds, even if they are specific to the copolymer, can be difficult 424 to discriminate from organic molecules indigenous to samples that can be analysed in planetary 425 environments, like on Titan that is a medium rich in hydrocarbons (see [22-24] for instance). 426 Therefore, Porapak Q is an adsorbent relatively thermally weak at 400°C, producing several organic 427 molecules that can interfere with the analysis of a sample. This explains why its maximum 428 recommended operating temperature given by the supplier (250°C) is lower than for Tenax[®] TA.

429 For the higher pyrolysis temperature range (Figure 9b), numerous organic compounds are detected 430 in addition to air and products of degradation of the column stationary phase (Table 4). As for low 431 temperature pyrolysis, the majority of the detected compounds are monoaromatic alkylated 432 hydrocarbons. A few biphenylic hydrocarbons are probably present in the last part of the 433 chromatogram, but the uncertainty in the attribution of the chemical species from comparison with 434 the NIST mass spectra library prevents their strict identification, as numerous peaks in this region of 435 the chromatogram (for retention times longer ~than 30 min). These molecular groups being 436 characteristic from those of ethylvinylbenzene-divinylbenzene, the detected molecules must be 437 released by Porapak Q. As for Tenax® TA, this experiment shows the dramatic effect of heating this 438 adsorbent to temperatures higher than 400°C because the number and the amount of degradation 439 compounds produced is significant. In addition to the certain alteration of its adsorption properties, 440 this result shows that this adsorbent must not be heated at temperatures higher than 400°C because 441 it can produce numerous organic molecules that can interfere with the detection and identification 442 of molecules present in the analysed sample. It can also be noticed that the number of species 443 detected when pyrolyzing Porapak Q to 800°C is significantly higher than for Tenax® TA, showing that 444 ethylvinylbenzene-divinylbenzene can produce a larger variety of molecules compared to 2,6-445 diphenylphenylene oxide.

As a conclusion, this study shows that Porapak Q is relatively unstable when thermally stressed. Its
use requires to limit strictly its heating to relatively low temperatures (250°C from the supplier)
whether it should start to produce many organic molecules susceptible to contaminate the analysis.

280-400°C first pyrolysis step (desorption)		400-800°C second pyrolysis step (degradation)	
Retention time (min)	Identified compounds name	Retention time (min)	Identified compounds name
3.1	¹ CO ₂	3.2	¹ CO ₂
3.8	² H ₂ O	3.4	butene isomer
13.6	* Stationary phase fragment	4.1/B ^a	² H ₂ O
16.0	³ styrene	5.4	isobutyronitrile
17.9	ethylmethylbenzene isomer	6.2	³ benzene
18.4	Stationary phase fragment	11.1	4toluene
18.7	⁴ propenyl-2-benzene	13.7	* Stationary phase fragment
20.5/B	⁵ ethenylethylbenzene isomer	15.1	ethylbenzene
20,7/B	⁵ ethenylethylbenzene isomer		
21.0	diethenylbenzene isomer	15.5/B	⁵xylene isomer
21.3	diethenylbenzene isomer	16.3/B	⁶ styrene
21.8	methylbutenylbenzene ^b	17.9/B	⁷ ethylmethylbenzene isomer
22.2	⁶ not identified	18.5	* Stationary phase fragment
22.4	not identified	18.9	⁸ propenyl-2-benzene
22.7	not identified	19.4	methylmethylethylbenzene isomer
23.4	ethylphenylethanone isomer	19.8	diethylbenzene isomer
23.7	⁷ phenylbutenone isomer	20.0	diethylbenzene isomer
24.0	phenylbutenone isomer	20.8/B	⁹ ethenylethylbenzene isomer
32.2	not identified	21.4	diethenylbenzene isomer
32.4	not identified	21.5	methylbutenylbenzene
32.6	not identified	21.9	ethenyltrimethylbenzene isomer
		22.1	not identified
		22.2	ethenyltrimethylbenzene isomer
		22.3	not identified
		22.5	¹⁰ not identified
		22.8	ethylindene
		23.1	methyleneethylmethylbenzene isomer
		23.4	ethylmethylethylbenzene isomer
		23.6	bismethylethenylbenzene isomer
		23.8/B	¹¹ phenylbutenone isomer
		24.1/B	¹² phenylbutenone isomer
		24.9	¹³ methylethenylphenylethanone isomer
		25.1	phenylbutenone isomer
		25.2	not attributed
		25.3	methylethenylphenylethanone isomer
		25.4	vinylbenzoic acid isomer
		25.9	biphenyl

	26.5	methylbiphenyl isomer
	27.0	methylbiphenyl isomer
	From 28 to 41	Numerous peaks which cannot be identified from their spectrum. But their spectrum contains ions typical from monoaromatic fragments for most of them
	30.5	bisphenylmethylpropane
	31.0	diphenylpentene

451 Table 4: Retention time and name for each compound released by Porapak Q after each heating step applied to the

452 adsorbent. Indices refer to the peak attribution given in Figure 9. ^aB is indicated when the corresponding peak is broad in the 453 chromatogram .^bChemical species written in italics are tentatively identified only, with NIST match score typically below 800.

454

4. Conclusion

455 456

457 Among the adsorbents used, or that could be potentially used, in the development of instruments for 458 space exploration, this study shows that carbon molecular sieves and graphitized carbon blacks are 459 mechanically and chemically inert materials when submitted to temperatures usually used for 460 thermal desorption (~300°C) and pyrolysis (800°C). Without presuming about the effect of thermal 461 treatment on the adsorption properties of these materials, this result proves that these adsorbents 462 can be used without any risk of contamination or degradation of the analytical system. Concerning 463 the porous polymers tested, both are fragile materials when submitted to temperatures higher than 464 \sim 300°C but Tenax[®] TA is more robust as a significant degradation is observed only from 600°C. As 465 these adsorbents have similar adsorption properties, this means that Tenax® TA should be preferred 466 as it is more able to resist to local or global overheats that can occur in space instrumentation. 467 Moreover, Porapak Q produces more organic degradation products than Tenax® TA, which should be 468 difficult to discriminate from analytes because they are mostly common monoaromatic 469 hydrocarbons. Tenax[®] TA produces volatile degradation products which can be more easily 470 identified due to their specific molecular structure, at least at reasonable temperatures relevant to 471 thermal desorption (~300°C). These results therefore strengthen the choice of adsorbents that were, 472 or are still used for thermal desorption in space instrumentation, and they will be useful for the 473 development of new instruments, and for the interpretation of the data collected in the planetary 474 environments explored.

475

476 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the funding support of the French national space agency, the Centre
National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) (μ-GC Research and Technology program grant).

479

480 They also thank Nathalie Ruscassier and Jamila El Bekri from CentraleSupelec, for their help to 481 acquire images with Scanning Electron Microscopy, and TGA measurements.

482

483 References

484 [1] R. Sternberg, F. Raulin, C. Szopa, A. Buch, C. Vidal-Madjar, GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY | Gas

- 485 Chromatography in Space Exploration, in: I.D. Wilson (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Separation Science,
- 486 Academic Press, Oxford, 2007, pp. 1-13.
- 487 [2] V.I. Oyama, G.C. Carle, F. Woeller, J.B. Pollack, Laboratory Corroboration of the Pioneer Venus Gas
- 488 Chromatograph Analyses, Science, 205 (1979) 52.
- 489 [3] P. Mahaffy, C. Webster, M. Cabane, P. Conrad, P. Coll, S. Atreya, R. Arvey, M. Barciniak, M. Benna,
- 490 L. Bleacher, W. Brinckerhoff, J. Eigenbrode, D. Carignan, M. Cascia, R. Chalmers, J. Dworkin, T. Errigo,
- 491 P. Everson, H. Franz, R. Farley, S. Feng, G. Frazier, C. Freissinet, D. Glavin, D. Harpold, D. Hawk, V.

- 492 Holmes, C. Johnson, A. Jones, P. Jordan, J. Kellogg, J. Lewis, E. Lyness, C. Malespin, D. Martin, J.
- 493 Maurer, A. McAdam, D. McLennan, T. Nolan, M. Noriega, A. Pavlov, B. Prats, E. Raaen, O. Sheinman,
- 494 D. Sheppard, J. Smith, J. Stern, F. Tan, M. Trainer, D. Ming, R. Morris, J. Jones, C. Gundersen, A.
- 495 Steele, J. Wray, O. Botta, L. Leshin, T. Owen, S. Battel, B. Jakosky, H. Manning, S. Squyres, R. Navarro-
- 496 GonzÃilez, C. McKay, F. Raulin, R. Sternberg, A. Buch, P. Sorensen, R. Kline-Schoder, D. Coscia, C.
- 497 Szopa, S. Teinturier, C. Baffes, J. Feldman, G. Flesch, S. Forouhar, R. Garcia, D. Keymeulen, S.
- 498 Woodward, B. Block, K. Arnett, R. Miller, C. Edmonson, S. Gorevan, E. Mumm, The Sample Analysis at
- 499 Mars Investigation and Instrument Suite, Space Science Reviews, 170 (2012) 401-478.
- 500 [4] D.R. Rushneck, A.V. Diaz, D.W. Howarth, J. Rampacek, K.W. Olson, W.D. Dencker, P. Smith, L.
- 501 McDavid, A. Tomassian, M. Harris, K. Bulota, K. Biemann, A.L. LaFleur, J.E. Biller, T. Owen, Viking gas 502 chromatograph-mass spectrometer, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 49 (1978) 817-834.
- 503 [5] F. Goesmann, H. Rosenbauer, R. Roll, C. Szopa, F. Raulin, R. Sternberg, G. Israel, U.J. Meierhenrich,
- 504 W.H.P. Thiemann, G. Munoz-Caro, COSAC, the cometary sampling and composition experiment on 505 Philae, Space Science Reviews, 128 (2007) 257-280.
- 506 [6] H. Niemann, S.K. Atreya, S.J. Bauer, K. Biemann, B. Block, G.R. Carignan, T.M. Donahue, R.L. Frost,
- 507 D. Gautier, J.A. Haberman, D. Harpold, D.M. Hunten, G. Israel, J.I. Lunine, K. Mauersberger, T.C.
- Owen, F. Raulin, J.E. Richards, S.H. Way, The Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer for the Huygens
 Probe, Space Science Review, 104 (2002) 551-590.
- 510 [7] C. Freissinet, D.P. Glavin, P.R. Mahaffy, K.E. Miller, J.L. Eigenbrode, R.E. Summons, A.E. Brunner, A.
- 511 Buch, C. Szopa, P.D. Archer, H.B. Franz, S.K. Atreya, W.B. Brinckerhoff, M. Cabane, P. Coll, P.G.
- 512 Conrad, D.J. Des Marais, J.P. Dworkin, A.G. Fairén, P. François, J.P. Grotzinger, S. Kashyap, I.L. ten
- 513 Kate, L.A. Leshin, C.A. Malespin, M.G. Martin, F.J. Martin-Torres, A.C. McAdam, D.W. Ming, R.
- 514 Navarro-González, A.A. Pavlov, B.D. Prats, S.W. Squyres, A. Steele, J.C. Stern, D.Y. Sumner, B. Sutter,
- 515 M.P. Zorzano, M.S.L.S.T. the, Organic molecules in the Sheepbed Mudstone, Gale Crater, Mars,
- 516 Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 120 (2015) 2014JE004737.
- 517 [8] M. Xu, Z. Tang, Y. Duan, Y. Liu, GC-Based Techniques for Breath Analysis: Current Status,
- 518 Challenges, and Prospects, Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry, 46 (2016) 291-304.
- 519 [9] A. Cecinato, C. Balducci, D. Mastroianni, M. Perilli, Sampling and analytical methods for assessing
- 520 the levels of organic pollutants in the atmosphere: PAH, phthalates and psychotropic substances: a
- 521 short review, Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 19 (2012) 1915-1926.
- 522 [10] F. Goesmann, W.B. Brinckerhoff, F. Raulin, W. Goetz, R.M. Danell, S.A. Getty, S. Siljeström, H.
- 523 Mißbach, H. Steininger, R.D. Arevalo, A. Buch, C. Freissinet, A. Grubisic, U.J. Meierhenrich, V.T.
- 524 Pinnick, F. Stalport, C. Szopa, J.L. Vago, R. Lindner, M.D. Schulte, J.R. Brucato, D.P. Glavin, N. Grand, X.
- Li, F.H.W. van Amerom, M.S.T. the, The Mars Organic Molecule Analyzer (MOMA) Instrument:
- 526 Characterization of Organic Material in Martian Sediments, Astrobiology, 17 (2017) 655-685.
- 527 [11] P. Axel Clausen, P. Wolkoff, Degradation products of Tenax TA formed during sampling and
- thermal desorption analysis: Indicators of reactive species indoors, Atmospheric Environment, 31
 (1997) 715-725.
- 530 [12] A. Kumar, I. Víden, Volatile Organic Compounds: Sampling Methods and Their Worldwide Profile 531 in Ambient Air, Environ Monit Assess, 131 (2007) 301-321.
- 532 [13] L. Hofer, P. Wurz, A. Buch, M. Cabane, P. Coll, D. Coscia, M. Gerasimov, D. Lasi, A. Sapgir, C.
- 533 Szopa, M. Tulej, Prototype of the gas chromatograph–mass spectrometer to investigate volatile
- species in the lunar soil for the Luna-Resurs mission, Planetary and Space Science, 111 (2015) 126-133.
- 536 [14] A. Sonnette, M. Millet, R. Ocampo, L. Alleman, P. Coddeville, Tenax-TA Spiking Approach of
- 537 Thermal Desorption Coupled to GC–MSMS for the Quantification of PAHs in Indoor Air and Dust,
- 538 Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds, 37 (2017) 170-177.
- 539 [15] L. Chu, S. Deng, R. Zhao, J. Deng, X. Kang, Comparison of Adsorption/Desorption of Volatile
- 540 Organic Compounds (VOCs) on Electrospun Nanofibers with Tenax TA for Potential Application in
- 541 Sampling, PLOS ONE, 11 (2016) e0163388.

- 542 [16] B. Glas, B. Stenberg, H. Stenlund, A.-L. Sunesson, A novel approach to evaluation of adsorbents
- 543 for sampling indoor volatile organic compounds associated with symptom reports, Journal of 544 Environmental Monitoring, 10 (2008) 1297-1303.
- 545 [17] S.A. Aseev, M.V. Gerasimov, M.A. Zaitsev, A.G. Sapgir, Analysis of volatile lunar compounds: The
- study of gas retention time on Carbosieve SIII adsorbent with respect to temperature, CosmicResearch, 54 (2016) 358-365.
- 548 [18] F. Cataldo, A study on the thermal stability to 1000°C of various carbon allotropes and
- 549 carbonaceous matter both under nitrogen and in air, Fullerenes, Nanotubes and Carbon
- 550 Nanostructures, 10 (2002) 293-311.
- 551 [19] F. Cataldo, A study on the thermal stability of the. photopolymer, the ozopolymer, and the
- photochlorinated derivative of C60 fullerene, Fullerene Science and Technology, 9 (2001) 55-61.
- 553 [20] C.N. Caşcaval, V. Neagu, The thermal degradation of copolymers of vinyltoluene and
- divinylbenzene, Polymer Degradation and Stability, 45 (1994) 423-427.
- 555 [21] S. Vinatier, B. Schmitt, B. Bézard, P. Rannou, C. Dauphin, R. de Kok, D.E. Jennings, F.M. Flasar,
- 556 Study of Titan's fall southern stratospheric polar cloud composition with Cassini/CIRS: Detection of 557 benzene ice, Icarus, 310 (2018) 89-104.
- 558 [22] C.M. Anderson, R.E. Samuelson, D. Nna-Mvondo, Organic Ices in Titan's Stratosphere, Space
- 559 Science Reviews, 214 (2018) 125.
- 560 [23] M.L. Cable, S.M. Horst, R. Hodyss, P.M. Beauchamp, M.A. Smith, P.A. Willis, Titan Tholins:
- 561 Simulating Titan Organic Chemistry in the Cassini-Huygens Era, Chem. Rev., 112 (2012) 1882-1909.
- 562 [24] M. Morisson, C. Szopa, N. Carrasco, A. Buch, T. Gautier, Titan's organic aerosols: Molecular
- 563 composition and structure of laboratory analogues inferred from pyrolysis gas chromatography mass
- 564 spectrometry analysis, Icarus, 277 (2016) 442-454.

565

566



















