

Urban-focused satellite CO2 observations from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3: A first look at the Los Angeles megacity

Matthäus Kiel, Annmarie Eldering, Dustin D. Roten, John C. Lin, Sha Feng, Ruixue Lei, Thomas Lauvaux, Tomohiro Oda, Coleen M. Roehl, Jean-Francois

Blavier, et al.

► To cite this version:

Matthäus Kiel, Annmarie Eldering, Dustin D. Roten, John C. Lin, Sha Feng, et al.. Urban-focused satellite CO2 observations from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3: A first look at the Los Angeles megacity. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2021, 258, pp.112314. 10.1016/j.rse.2021.112314. insu-03231646

HAL Id: insu-03231646 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03231646

Submitted on 21 May 2021 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Remote Sensing of Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rse



Urban-focused satellite CO₂ observations from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3: A first look at the Los Angeles megacity

Matthäus Kiel^{a,*}, Annmarie Eldering^a, Dustin D. Roten^d, John C. Lin^d, Sha Feng^{b,j}, Ruixue Lei^b, Thomas Lauvaux^c, Tomohiro Oda^{e,f,g}, Coleen M. Roehl^h, Jean-Francois Blavier^a, Laura T. Iraciⁱ

^a Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

^b Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA

^c Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA, CNRS, UVSQ/IPSL, Université Paris-Saclay, Orme des Merisiers, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^d Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

^e Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

^f Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research, Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, USA

^g Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

^h Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

ⁱ NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA

^j Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Greenhouse gas emissions Carbon dioxide Anthropogenic Fossil fuel Los Angeles Megacity Remote sensing OCO-3 TCCON WRF-Chem X-STILT

ABSTRACT

NASA's Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3 (OCO-3) was designed to support the quantification and monitoring of anthropogenic CO2 emissions. Its Snapshot Area Map (SAM) and target mode measurements provide an innovative dataset for carbon studies on sub-city scales. Unlike any other current space-based instrument, OCO-3 has the ability to scan large contiguous areas of emission hot spots like cities, power plants, and volcanoes. These measurements result in dense, fine-scale spatial maps of column averaged dry-air mole fractions of carbon dioxide (X_{CO₂}). For the first time, we present and analyze X_{CO₂} distributions over the Los Angeles megacity (LA) derived from OCO-3 SAM and target mode observations. Urban X_{CO_2} enhancements range from 0 – 6 ppm (median enhancements \simeq 2 ppm) relative to a *clean* background and show excellent agreement with nearby ground-based TCCON measurements of X_{CO₂}, OCO-3's dense observations reveal intra-urban variations of X_{CO₂}, over the city that have never been observed from space before. The spatial variations are mainly driven by the complex fossil fuel emission patterns and meteorological conditions in the LA Basin and are in good agreement with those from co-located TROPOMI measurements of co-emitted NO2. Differences between measured and simulated X_{CO2} enhancements from two models (WRF-Chem and X-STILT) are typically below 1 ppm with larger differences for some sub regions. Both models capture the observed intra-urban X_{CO2} gradients. Further, OCO-3's multi-swath measurements capture about three times as much of the city emissions compared to single-swath overpasses. OCO-3's frequent target and SAM mode observations will pave the way to constrain urban emissions at finer, sub-city scales.

1. Introduction

Urban areas account for more than 70% of the global anthropogenic CO_2 emissions to the atmosphere. The largest emitters are megacities, large urban systems with 10 million or more inhabitants (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2019). The megacities' rapid growth in population and economic power results in increasing

carbon emissions which impact the composition of the atmosphere and the climate on a global scale. For example, the world's 33 megacities emit more than 20% of the global anthropogenic fossil fuel CO_2 emissions, but cover only about 3% of the Earth's land surface (Stocker et al. 2013).

The Los Angeles megacity (LA) is one of the five largest fossil fuel CO₂ emitters in the world (\simeq 13.5 million inhabitants, \simeq 196.5 ± 43.7

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: matthaeus.kiel@jpl.nasa.gov (M. Kiel).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112314

Received 31 August 2020; Received in revised form 7 January 2021; Accepted 22 January 2021 Available online 1 March 2021 0034-4257/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). MtCO₂/year; Moran et al. 2018). It is an international aviation and intermodal transportation hub, including the Los Angeles International Airport, the Port of Los Angeles, and a vast network of interconnected freeways for transportation. Further, large parts of the California oil and gas industry are concentrated primarily in the Greater LA Basin. The city has an extensive oil drilling infrastructure, with several local oil refineries and storage facilities (Chilingar and Endres 2005).

The city's administration has set aggressive goals for a sustainable future. For example, the *LA Green New Deal Plan* aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 65% by 2035 from 2008 baseline levels, reaching carbon neutrality by 2045 (https://plan.lamayor.org/). To achieve these ambitious goals, it is important to monitor and report anthropogenic carbon sources regularly. In addition to reporting emissions on a voluntary basis or under climate action activities, atmospheric observations of greenhouse gases provide independent measurements that can help to monitor carbon sources as anthropogenic emissions are reflected in atmospheric CO_2 concentrations.

Several studies used atmospheric observations of CO₂ over LA to characterize fossil fuel emissions (Wunch et al. 2009; Brioude et al. 2013; Newman et al. 2013; Hedelius et al. 2018). Typically, urban emissions create persistent and localized CO₂ domes over and downwind of large cities that can be detected from space (Idso et al., 1998; Newman et al. 2013). For example, Kort et al. (2012) analyzed X_{CO₂} measurements over LA derived from observations collected by the Greenhouse gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) (Yokota et al. 2009; Kuze et al. 2009). They found statistically significant X_{CO_2} enhancements of $\simeq 3$ parts per million (ppm) compared to measurements over a nearby desert-like background site. Schwandner et al. (2017) analyzed single nadir track measurements over LA from NASA's Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2; Crisp et al., 2017; Eldering et al., 2017) and found that anthropogenic X_{CO_2} enhancements peak over the urban core ($\simeq 4{-}6$ ppm) and decrease through suburban areas to rural background values more than 100 km away.

Recent studies examined the utility of CO₂ data collected from OCO-2 to constrain whole city scale urban emissions including LA (Wu et al. 2018; Wu et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020). However, the OCO-2 type sampling has difficulties yielding information at sub-city scales. OCO-2 has a long revisit cycle of \simeq 16 days and a narrow swath of \leq 10.3 km. This only allows a small portion of urban emissions to be detected during a single overpass if the meteorological conditions are favorable. Also, OCO-2 follows a sun-synchronous orbit, meaning that all observations are temporally limited to local afternoon.

NASA's Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3 (OCO-3) includes an observation mode specifically designed to measure anthropogenic emissions and overcomes some of the above mentioned limitations. NASA launched the OCO-3 instrument on May 4, 2019 from Kennedy Space Center to the International Space Station (ISS). OCO-3 has the ability to scan large contiguous areas (approximately $80 \times 80 \text{ km}^2$) on a single overpass over emission hot spots like cities, power plants, or volcanoes. These observational modes are called Snapshot Area Map (SAM) and target mode and collect fine-scale spatial maps of X_{CO2} unlike what can be done with any other current space-based instrument (Eldering et al. 2019). For example, due to its agile 2-axis pointing mirror assembly (PMA), OCO-3 can measure X_{CO_2} over the entire LA Basin during the span of only two minutes by collecting multiple swaths of data, providing information about X_{CO2} distributions on sub-city scales. In addition, if the ISS orbit geometry is favorable, OCO-3 can collect SAM or target mode data over the same area within several days and at different times of the day, giving insights into day-to-day and diurnal variations of intra-urban X_{CO_2} distributions.

Here, we present and analyze the very first sub-city scale X_{CO_2} distributions over LA derived from target and SAM mode observations collected by OCO-3 in October 2019 and February 2020. We use data from nearby Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON, Wunch et al. 2011a) stations to validate the OCO-3 measurements over parts of LA and to calculate urban X_{CO_2} enhancements. The dense, high

resolution OCO-3 observations reveal intra-urban variations of X_{CO_2} over LA that have never been observed from space before. We relate the observed variations to the underlying meteorological conditions and colocated NO₂ measurements from the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI). Further, we compare the X_{CO_2} enhancements observed by OCO-3 with simulated values from two independent models that can resolve X_{CO_2} variations across the city: an Eulerian, gridded approach (WRF-Chem) and a time-inverted Lagrangian approach based on air parcels (X-STILT). Finally, we demonstrate the advantage of OCO-3's multi-swath measurement approach to capture large parts of city emissions compared to single-swath overpasses.

2. Datasets

2.1. OCO-3 X_{CO2} data

The OCO-3 instrument is currently mounted to the Japanese Experimental Module - Exposed Facility (JEM-EF) on board of the ISS and collects solar radiance spectra over the sunlit hemisphere in four observational modes: nadir, glint, target, and SAM. In SAM mode, OCO-3's agile 2-axis PMA is commanded to collect nearly adjacent swaths of data, resulting in a small map of measurements spanning approximately 80×80 km², collected over a time span of approximately two minutes. During target mode observations, OCO-3 collects a series of relatively long segments (usually 5 or 6 segments) resulting in a sequence of overlapping along-track swaths. Similar to OCO-2, OCO-3's target mode observations provide the primary dataset for validation of the X_{CO2} product and are typically taken over sites that operate ground based TCCON instruments (Wunch et al. 2011a, 2017). The overlapping swaths can cover an area of up to $\simeq 20 \times 80 \text{ km}^2$ (compared to 20×20 km^2 for OCO-2), also creating small maps of X_{CO_2} over TCCON city sites. OCO-3 has also a slightly larger footprint size compared to OCO-2. The OCO-3 along track footprint size is \simeq 2.2 km at nadir and the cross-track footprint size is \leq 1.6 km, resulting in a slightly larger footprint area (3.5 km^2) compared to OCO-2 (3 km^2).

In this study, we focus on the first X_{CO_2} SAM and target mode observations taken by the OCO-3 instrument over LA in October 2019 and February 2020. We use the OCO-3 vEarly product which is publicly available through the NASA Goddard Earth Science Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) for distribution and archiving (http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/). This product uses the newest Atmospheric Carbon Observations from Space (ACOS, O'Dell et al. 2018) build 10 (b10) software suite using an early Ancillary Radiometric Product (ARP). The vEarly quality filtered and bias corrected X_{CO2} dataset shows reasonable performance for all viewing modes over land. As described in Taylor et al. (2020), the OCO-3 vEarly data contains time dependent residual pointing errors that occasionally exceed OCO-3's footprint size, especially for large azimuth and elevation positions of the PMA. The team is currently investigating possible error sources (including timing errors, mounting angles, PMACal correction table updates) to reduce pointing errors in the next reprocessing campaign. For our analysis, we carefully checked the OCO-3 target and SAM mode data for possible pointing errors by investigating radiance levels of OCO-3's O2 A-band data from coastline crossing. The pointing error in the data analyzed here appears to be below OCO-3's footprint size and the data is well suited for investigations of city scale X_{CO2} gradients. A detailed description of the OCO-3 vEarly X_{CO_2} data product and its data quality is given in (Taylor et al. 2020; Osterman et al. 2020).

2.2. TCCON X_{CO_2} data

The TCCON is a global network of high-resolution ground-based Fourier Transform Spectrometers that record solar spectra in the nearinfrared region. It provides measurements of column-averaged abundances of CO_2 and other atmospheric gases such as CH_4 and CO. TCCON is the primary validation source for the OCO-3 X_{CO_2} data product and has been used to validate data from multiple satellites, e.g. OCO-2, GOSAT, TROPOMI, and MOPITT (Wunch et al. 2011b; O'Dell et al. 2018; Borsdorff et al., 2018; Kiel et al. 2019; Hedelius et al. 2019).

Here, we use the GGG2014 dataset (Wunch et al. 2015) from two TCCON sites in and outside of LA: X_{CO_2} data from the Caltech TCCON instrument located in Pasadena, CA (Wennberg et al. 2014), a city northeast of LA which is part of the greater LA metropolitan area, and X_{CO_2} data from the TCCON instrument at NASA's Armstrong Flight Research Center (AFRC, Iraci et al. 2016), located about \simeq 100 km north of LA near Edwards, CA (see Fig. 1). We use the Caltech TCCON data to validate OCO-3 X_{CO_2} measurements over the northern part of LA and the TCCON AFRC X_{CO_2} dataset to derive background X_{CO_2} estimates for each OCO-3 overpass.

The AFRC instrument is separated from the greater LA metropolitan area by the San Gabriel mountains which form a natural border between the sparsely populated area around Edwards and LA (see Fig. 1). It is located about 25 km west-southwest of the Mojave desert, one of the driest deserts on Northern America. The biosphere around ARFC consists mainly of cacti and succulents. Both city and background observations are affected similarly by large scale CO_2 transport but the AFRC site is impacted minimally by local fluxes. At times the AFRC site is directly downwind of Bakersfield, measurements might be contaminated by anthropogenic CO_2 emissions and therefore not suitable as *clean* X_{CO_2} background values. HYSPLIT (Stein et al. 2016) back trajectory calculations indicate that this is not the case for the analyzed days here.

2.3. Surface wind data

The meteorological conditions in the LA Basin are complex due to local topography and the city's proximity to the coast. The wind experienced at any given location is highly variable in time and affects the spatiotemporal distribution of X_{CO_2} over LA. Typical day time circulations show onshore winds from south-west direction with low wind



Fig. 1. Location of the NCEP (black) and SCAQMD (red) wind stations within the LA Basin. The positions of the Caltech and AFRC TCCON instruments are indicated in blue. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

speeds ($\simeq 2$ m/s), but circulations can change dramatically from day to day, for example during Santa Ana events when strong easterly winds ($\simeq 8-13$ m/s) travel from the desert into the LA Basin (Rolinski et al. 2019).

We include hourly wind measurements (speed and direction) from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) ADP Global Surface Observational Weather Data in our analysis (NCEP 2004). The data are composed of surface weather reports operationally collected by NCEP and include land and marine surface reports. We use data from eight stations in and around the LA Basin in our study. In addition, we use hourly wind data from seven surface measurement stations operated by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). An overview of the station locations is given in Fig. 1.

2.4. WRF-Chem model simulations

Our Weather Research and Forecasting model with chemistry (WRF-Chem) setup and parameterizations follow the best combination concluded by Feng et al. (2016). The innermost domain (1.3 km spatial resolution in latitude and longitude) fully covers the OCO-3 SAM and target mode observations over LA. We choose the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al. 2020) for the meteorological initial and lateral boundary conditions and nudge the WRF-Chem transport to ERA5 through Four Dimensional Data Assimilation (Deng and Stauffer 2006). This modification offers better constraints to the model transport compared to the setup used in Feng et al. (2016). We use monthly 1×1 km² fossil fuel emission estimates from the Open-source Data Inventory for Atmospheric Carbon dioxide (ODIAC) Version 2019 (ODIAC2019, Oda et al. 2018) with refined point source information in and around LA. The CO₂ mole fractions at each model grid represent fossil fuel CO₂ mole fractions (note that we do not add background or biogenic CO2 mole fractions because we are only interested in CO₂ enhancements over LA). Finally, we apply OCO-3's pressure weighting functions (PWF) and averaging kernels (AK) to the model data following Rodgers and Connor (2003).

2.5. X-STILT simulations

We carry out simulations using the column-averaged Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (X-STILT) model to generate gridded X_{CO_2} values at column receptors separated by $\simeq 0.02^{\circ}$ latitude and $\simeq 0.02^{\circ}$ longitude across the LA Basin. From each receptor, an ensemble of air parcels is tracked backwards in time for -24 h from discretized heights within the column, all other model parameters are identical to the setup used in Wu et al. (2018). The PWF and AK are applied to the discretized release points within the column-receptor using values from the nearest available OCO-2 sounding (Wu et al. 2018).

The air parcels follow three-dimensional wind fields provided from NOAA's High Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR) system (Alexander et al. 2010). The locations and amount of time that parcels spent within the planetary boundary layer are used to calculate the *influence footprint*, indicating the influence that a particular source region has on the downwind column-receptor (Lin et al. 2003; Fasoli et al. 2018). Each influence footprint is convolved with the monthly ODIAC emissions (Oda and Maksyutov 2011; Oda et al. 2018) to determine the spatially resolved upwind contributions (in ppm) to the column-receptor location. Identical to our WRF-Chem model setup, we use the ODIAC2019 emission inventory with refined point source information in and around LA.

2.6. TROPOMI NO₂ data

The TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) on board of the Copernicus Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P) satellite was launched in 2017 and measures tropospheric column densities of several key atmospheric species. The spatial resolution is $\simeq 3.5 \times 5.5$ km² (from August

2019 onward) and provides almost daily global coverage before filtering soundings that are contaminated by clouds (Veefkind et al. 2012).

 $\rm NO_2$ can serve as an independent tracer of atmospheric pollution. It is simultaneously emitted with $\rm CO_2$ into the atmosphere as part of anthropogenic activities like fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning. Due to the short lifetime of NO_2 (\simeq hours), the pollutant typically remains close to the emission source and measured column densities can easily exceed NO_2 background concentrations by several orders of magnitude, making it a suitable proxy of co-emitted CO_2 from combustion sources.

A single TROPOMI overpass can be sufficient to identify strong NO₂ point sources. Reuter et al. (2019) showed that TROPOMI NO₂ measurements can help to identify urban CO₂ plumes. To better characterize the spatiotemporal variability of X_{CO_2} over LA, we relate X_{CO_2} from OCO-3 to co-emitted NO₂ concentration as observed by TROPOMI. We use the S5P offline Level 2 version 1.03.02 NO₂ data product (van Geffen et al. 2019). We filter for data with quality values below 0.5 which indicate soundings that are affected by clouds. We found co-located NO₂ data within ± 3 h of the OCO-3 overpasses on three days that we include in our analysis.

3. X_{CO₂} observations over the Los Angeles megacity

3.1. OCO-3 target and SAM measurements

We focus on three OCO-3 target mode overpasses and one SAM observation over LA in 2019 and 2020. The three target mode measurements were taken on October 7, 2019 at 12:50 local time (UTC + 7), October 11, 2019 at 11:12 (UTC + 7), and October 15, 2019 at 9:35 (UTC + 7). The SAM observation took place on February 24, 2020 at 12:00 (UTC + 8). The scanning time of each observation took approximately two minutes. A total of five to six overlapping swaths were recorded in target mode and six adjacent swaths in SAM mode. We only include measurements over land in our analysis. All four overpasses took place under clear-sky conditions leading to several hundred good soundings (Ntotal) per overpass after quality filtering (see Table 1). The target mode observations cover an area of approximately $20 \times 40 \text{ km}^2$ which we divide into three regions: 1) suburban: mainly residential areas including the Caltech TCCON site in Pasadena; 2) urban: a dense network of manufacturing factories and roads for transportation; and 3) coastal: a major shipping and industrial hub including multiple petroleum refineries supplying the Los Angeles International Airport and Port of Los Angeles. In addition, the SAM observation on February 24, 2020 extends to the San Fernando Valley, an urbanized valley north of the larger, more populous LA Basin. An illustration of the different regions covered by each overpass is given in Fig. A.11.

For all days, the X_{CO_2} values as a function of time are shown in Fig. 2. For the target observations, we observe similar X_{CO_2} variations for each overlapping swath. The median X_{CO_2} averaged over all swaths is 410.57 \pm 1.14 ppm on October 7, 2019, 408.68 \pm 0.73 ppm on October 11, 2019, and 410.51 \pm 0.86 ppm on October 15, 2019. For the SAM on February 24, 2020, the X_{CO_2} distribution varies for the adjacent swaths because each swath covered a different area over LA. The median X_{CO_2} averaged over all swaths is 415.66 \pm 1.28 ppm.

Table 1			
Summary of the	OCO-3 target and SAM observations	over	LA.

Date	Time (local)	Mode	# Swaths	N _{total}	Covered Area
Oct. 7, 2019	12:50	target	5	465	$ \simeq 20 \times 40 \text{ km}^2 $ $ \simeq 17 \times 40 \text{ km}^2 $ $ \simeq 20 \times 40 \text{ km}^2 $ $ \sim 2300 \text{ km}^2 \text{ (total)} $
Oct. 11, 2019	11:12	target	5	519	
Oct. 15, 2019	09:35	target	6	442	
Feb. 24, 2020	12:00	SAM	5	659	

3.2. Urban X_{CO_2} enhancements

To derive X_{CO_2} enhancements for each overpass, we subtract the background measurements taken by the AFRC TCCON instrument from the OCO-3 observations over LA. The difference provides the additional X_{CO_2} within the LA Basin mainly due to local emissions. We average AFRC TCCON X_{CO_2} values within ± 1 h of the OCO-3 mean overpass times to estimate a *clean* X_{CO_2} background. Then, we subtract the background from the OCO-3 X_{CO_2} to calculate enhancements over the city for each day.

We expect that the calculated enhancements are mainly driven by anthropogenic emissions. Several studies show that the solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence signal is low over the Greater LA Basin during the time period of the OCO-3 target and SAM observations (Frankenberg et al. 2011; Sun et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019). Kort et al. (2012) showed that enhancements over LA are a robust feature of the region attributable to anthropogenic emissions and not affected by seasonally varying changes in biospheric fluxes. Here, we assume that the city and background are similarly impacted by biospheric fluxes such that the calculated differences mainly represent enhancements due to local city emissions.

The X_{CO_2} background values and calculated city enhancements are shown in Fig. 2. We estimate similar background X_{CO_2} values of 408.35 \pm 0.22 ppm, 408.52 \pm 0.25 ppm, and 408.72 \pm 0.35 ppm for October 7, October 11, and October 15, 2019, respectively. On February 24, 2020, we estimate a background value of 413.55 \pm 0.29 ppm. The reported errors represent the variance of the TCCON X_{CO_2} values within \pm 1 h of the OCO-2 mean overpass time.

On three days (October 7, 2019, October 15, 2019, and February 24, 2020), the OCO-3 instrument measured X_{CO_2} abundances over LA (mainly outside the urban core) that match the X_{CO_2} background. On October 11, 2019, for large parts of the city, the X_{CO_2} background is higher than the observed X_{CO_2} , however, in good agreement with the background values on October 7 and 15, 2019.

 X_{CO_2} differences between the background and city can become negative due to local meteorological conditions, e.g., Santa Ana winds. Santa Ana winds are strong katabatic winds arising in higher altitudes and blowing down towards sea level, traveling from the east into the city (Rolinski et al. 2019). The AFRC TCCON measurements are minimally impacted by these events due to its remote location. However, Santa Ana winds ventilate the LA Basin and push urban emissions over the Pacific Ocean, leading to small or negative differences between background and city observations.

3.3. Intra-urban variability of X_{CO_2} enhancements

Fig. 3 shows X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA for the four OCO-3 overpasses. We aggregate target mode data into $0.02^{\circ} \times 0.02^{\circ}$ latitudelongitude grid cells for better visualization of the overlapping swaths. The observed X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA show different spatial distributions on all four days. In the following, we describe the observed intraurban variability of X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA sorted by complexity (simple to complex). Unless otherwise stated, we propagate the variability of the OCO-3 X_{CO_2} values within each sub region (defined in Fig. A.11) and the TCCON X_{CO_2} background uncertainty to calculate X_{CO_2} enhancement uncertainties.

On October 15, 2019, X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA are on average 1.79 \pm 0.80 ppm, with the largest enhancements over the industrial coastal area (2.71 \pm 0.71 ppm). SCAQMD and NCEP stations measured onshore winds (coming from west-south-west direction) with moderate wind speeds of 2–3 m/s within \pm 2 hours of the OCO-3 overpass (see Fig. 4).

On February 24, 2020, the wind stations measured slightly larger wind speeds (3–4 m/s), pushing airmasses (volumes of air) from the coast towards the southern urban city core, where the average enhancement is 2.88 ± 1.11 ppm with single sounding X_{CO_0}



Fig. 2. X_{CO_2} time series for the OCO-3 target and SAM observations. The individual swaths are up to $\simeq 20$ s long. The horizontal dashed line represents the AFRC TCCON background X_{CO_2} (the red shading indicates the background X_{CO_2} uncertainty). X_{CO_2} enhancements are indicated on the right-hand y-axis. The colors match the colour-coding in Fig. 3. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

enhancements of up to 6 ppm. The average enhancement over the valley is 1.39 ± 1.23 ppm and 1.82 ± 0.77 ppm over the suburbs.

On October 7, 2019, $X_{\rm CO_2}$ enhancements are on average 1.72 ± 0.88 ppm over the entire city. The largest enhancements occur over the suburban area with individual measurements reaching enhancements of up to 6.37 \pm 0.59 ppm. Similar to the overpasses on October 15, 2019 and February 24, 2020, the surface wind stations measured onshore winds but with wind speeds partially exceeding 6 m/s. We assume that the strong onshore winds push airmasses from the coastal and urban areas towards the suburbs where the San Gabriel Mountains form a natural barrier and atmospheric CO₂ accumulates.

On October 11, 2019, X_{CO_2} enhancements are on average 0.21 \pm 0.59 ppm over LA with the highest enhancements near the coast (1.09 \pm 0.69 ppm) and negative enhancements over large parts of the city (e.g. as low as -0.89 ± 0.41 ppm for individual measurements over the suburbs). As discussed in the previous section, wind data from the NCEP and SCAQMD ground based networks indicate Santa Ana like conditions with the wind traveling from east to west and wind speeds exceeding 10 m/s, pushing airmasses out of the LA Basin over the Pacific Ocean. HYSPLIT model runs indicate that the easterly winds push *clean* air from higher altitudes (up to 1500 m a.s.l.) into the LA Basin which causes negative X_{CO₂} enhancements (not shown here).

3.4. Comparisons to co-located TROPOMI NO2 measurements

Multiple studies demonstrated the usefulness of regionally colocated satellite observations of NO₂ and X_{CO_2} (Reuter et al. 2014; Hakkarainen et al. 2019). For example, Reuter et al. (2019) used TRO-POMI's NO₂ data to identify OCO-2 X_{CO_2} enhancements of localized (up to city-scale) emissions. Here, we relate X_{CO_2} from OCO-3 measurements to co-emitted NO₂ concentration as observed by TROPOMI to verify that the observed X_{CO_2} distributions represent a true signal that is driven by local emissions.

The TROPOMI instrument collected data over LA within three hours of the OCO-3 overpass on three days analyzed here. On October 7, 2019 the TROPOMI overpass was $\simeq 1$ h after the OCO-3 overpass, and on October 11, 2019, $\simeq 3$ h after the OCO-3 overpass. On February 24, 2020, the TROPOMI overpass took place $\simeq 25$ min after the OCO-3 overpass. There is no co-located data within five hours of the OCO-3 overpass on October 15, 2019.

A direct comparison between data from both instruments is challenging due to the short lifetime of NO_2 and the temporal mismatch (in addition to instrumental differences and sensitivities), however, the spatial distribution of TROPOMI's NO_2 over LA is similar to the spatial

distribution of X_{CO_2} measured by OCO-3: higher NO₂ concentrations over the suburbs to the northeast on October 7, 2019; low NO₂ concentrations over large parts of the city on October 11, 2019; and enhanced NO₂ concentration over the urban core on February 24, 2020 (see Fig. 5).

TROPOMI's wide-swath NO₂ measurements help to set the X_{CO_2} city enhancements into context. TROPOMI NO₂ measurements are taken in the UV–visible spectral region (425–465 nm) and are less sensitive to clouds and aerosols compared to OCO-3. The observed spatial correlation between both datasets suggests that the observed X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA are dominated by local X_{CO_2} emissions and are less likely due to remaining artifacts in the OCO-3 vEarly X_{CO_2} data product.

3.5. Summary

Our derived X_{CO_2} enhancements of 0 – 6 ppm (with median enhancements under 2 ppm) over LA are in good agreement with previous studies (Wunch et al. 2009; Kort et al. 2012; Wong et al. 2015; Hedelius et al. 2018). On three days, the OCO-3 target and SAM observations capture X_{CO_2} values that are comparable to background X_{CO_2} values measured at the AFRC TCCON site. This demonstrates that OCO-3's multi-swath measurement approach reasonably captures city enhancements and background values at the same time on a single overpass. Moreover, OCO-3 measurements reveal fine-scale, intra-urban X_{CO2} variations over LA with unprecedented spatial coverage. Comparisons to data from NCEP and SCAQMD wind stations show that these fine-scale, intra-urban X_{CO₂} variations are highly sensitive to the underlying complex meteorological conditions in the LA Basin. Further, the observed intra-urban X_{CO2} variations are in good agreement with co-located TROPOMI NO₂ data. Data from both instruments show similar spatial distributions over LA.

4. Validation against TCCON

TCCON is the primary validation source for the OCO-3 X_{CO_2} data product. Here, we compare OCO-3 X_{CO_2} data collected during the target and SAM measurements over LA with coincident TCCON X_{CO_2} data from the Caltech instrument located in Pasadena. The instrument is located in the suburbs north-east of the urban city center, an area that was sampled by OCO-3 during all four overpasses (see Fig. 3). For our analysis, we include OCO-3 soundings within $\pm 0.1^\circ$ in latitude and longitude (an area of approximately 20 \times 20 km²) around the Caltech TCCON instrument. In the following, we compare absolute X_{CO_2} values rather than X_{CO_2} enhancements.



Fig. 3. OCO-3 X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA for the three target mode measurements in October 2019 and the SAM observation on February 24, 2020. The target mode measurements extend from the suburbs through the urban to the coastal area. In addition, the SAM observation collects data over the Valley. The markers indicate the Caltech TCCON instrument (\star_1), Downtown LA (\star_2), the Los Angeles International Airport (\star_3), and the San Fernando Valley (\star_4). Target mode data is aggregated into 0.02° × 0.02° latitude-longitude grid cells for better visualization of the overlapping swaths.



Fig. 4. Wind rose diagrams for October 7, 2019 (upper left), October 11, 2019 (upper right), October 15, 2019 (lower left), and February 24, 2020 (lower right) using data from NCEP and SCAQMD surface wind stations within the LA Basin. Shown are wind directions and wind speeds within ± 2 h of the OCO-3 overpass times. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 5. TROPOMI NO₂ tropospheric columns over LA on October 7 and 11, 2019 and on February 24, 2020. Markers represent the same locations as in Fig. 3.

Table 2

Measured X_{CO_2} values by OCO-3 and Caltech TCCON over the suburban area north-east of LA. N_{OCO} indicates the number of individual OCO-3 soundings contributing to the comparison on each day.

Date	N _{OCO}	TCCON X _{CO2} [ppm]	OCO-3 X _{CO2} [ppm]
Oct. 7, 2019	111	411.19 ± 0.92	410.88 ± 1.12
Oct. 11, 2019	183	408.75 ± 1.08	408.47 ± 0.57
Oct. 15, 2019	137	409.84 ± 0.57	410.04 ± 0.66
Feb. 24, 2020	53	415.92 ± 0.38	415.90 ± 0.83



Fig. 6. Relationship between the median X_{CO_2} values for the four OCO-3 target, SAM, and co-located TCCON observations. The dashed line represents the one-to-one line.

We take TCCON data into account within ± 30 min of the OCO-3 overpass time for all days except for October 11, 2019 when we expand the time window to ± 2 h due to a limited number of TCCON measurements. To further improve our co-location criterion, we only take OCO-3 soundings into account along the line of sight (LOS) of the TCCON instrument. We apply an averaging kernel correction following O'Dell et al. (2018) and convolve the TCCON retrieved CO₂ profile with the OCO-3 column AK before comparing to OCO-3 data. The magnitude of the AK correction is on average 0.16 ppm. Finally, we calculate the median X_{CO_2} value for each OCO-3 overpass and co-located TCCON data.

On all four days, the $\rm X_{\rm CO_2}$ values are in excellent agreement with the

TCCON data (see Table 2). The comparisons indicate a root mean square error (rmse) of 0.23 ppm and a nearly perfect correlation between both data sets (see Fig. 6). This comparison shows that OCO-3's target and SAM observations capture the day to day variability of several ppm in X_{CO_2} as seen by the TCCON instrument over Pasadena.

5. Model comparison

5.1. Sampling of model output

We choose the model output closest to the OCO-3 overpass time on each day and sample the modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements at the location of each OCO-3 footprint. For target overpasses we aggregate the sampled model data into $0.02^\circ \times 0.02^\circ$ latitude-longitude grid cells. The median X_{CO_2} enhancements for each model and region are summarized in Fig. 7. Maps of the modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements, in native resolution and sampled at the location of the OCO-3 footprints, as well as the differences between modeled and OCO-3 X_{CO_2} enhancements are depicted in Fig. 8 for WRF-Chem and Fig. 9 for X-STILT. Unless otherwise stated, we use the median of all soundings that fall into the valley, coastal, urban, and suburban region (see Fig. A.11) to describe enhancements and differences between model and observation. The reported uncertainties represent the variability of the modeled X_{CO_2} values within each sub region.

5.2. Comparison between modeled and observed intra-urban variability of $X_{\rm CO_2}$

On October 7, 2019, modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements agree within the calculated errors over the suburbs. OCO-3 observed median X_{CO_2} enhancements of 3.07 \pm 1.08 ppm. The WRF-Chem median enhancement is 2.30 \pm 0.36 ppm over the suburbs and 1.84 \pm 0.60 ppm for X-STILT over the same region (see Figs. 8 and 9). In contrast to the OCO-3 observations, the median enhancement from X-STILT is larger over the urban area than over the suburbs or coastal region. Over the same region near the coast, WRF-Chem enhancements are significantly smaller (0.35 \pm 0.16 ppm) compared to OCO-3 (1.86 \pm 0.65 ppm).

Nonetheless, the modeled enhancements capture most of the observed intra-urban variability. The full domain of the WRF-Chem model run (see Fig. 8, upper left) indicates a latitudinal and longitudinal shift (in south-east direction) between model and observation. This shift, which is likely driven by a combination of model transport errors and potential errors and biases in the emission inventory, demonstrates the model's ability to capture intra-urban X_{CO_2} gradients: larger X_{CO_2} enhancements over the suburbs and decreasing through the urban core to the coast (see Fig. 7). The same holds for the X-STILT model run where a longitudinal shift (in north direction) is apparent between the modeled and observed X_{CO_2} enhancement maps (see Fig. 9, upper left). On this



Fig. 7. Observed and modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA and for the suburban, urban, and coastal regions. The boxes extend from the first quartile Q1 (25th percentile) to the third quartile Q3 (75th percentile) of the data. The red horizontal line in each box indicates the median enhancement. The whiskers show the range of the data defined as $1.5 \cdot (Q3 - Q1)$. Outliers are marked as'×'. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

day, wind stations measured on shore winds within ± 1 h of the OCO-3 overpass, whereas HYSPLIT back trajectory calculations up to 6 h prior to the OCO-3 overpass indicate complex circular winds, attesting the highly variable meteorological conditions in the LA Basin.

On October 11, 2019, both modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements are in good agreement with the space-based observations. The largest differences occur near the coast where OCO-3 enhancements (1.09 \pm 0.69 ppm) appear higher than both models (WRF-Chem: 0.20 ± 0.12 ppm; X-STILT: 0.41 ± 0.08 ppm; see Fig. 7). The simulated full domains of both models indicate that the strong easterly winds carry urban emissions out over the Pacific Ocean.

On October 15, 2019, modeled X_{CO2} enhancements agree well over the urban region (OCO-3: 1.91 \pm 0.70 ppm; WRF-Chem: 1.84 \pm 0.53 ppm; X-STILT: 1.95 ± 0.63 ppm). Over the coastal region, both modeled X_{CO2} enhancements are biased low against the observation. On this day, the OCO-3 overpass took place in the morning (\simeq 9:35 PDT). For LA, the marine boundary layer traps nighttime emissions in the basin for several hours until the Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) collapses after the sun heats up the surface and speeds up the vertical mixing. This usually happens around local noon (Feng et al. 2016). Simulating the correct PBL height is challenging for many models. Moreover, the full domain of the X-STILT simulation (see Fig. 9, third row left) shows individual X_{CO₂} plumes near the coast that are directed towards the Pacific Ocean. This is in contrast to the surface wind measurements that suggest onshore winds during the time of the overpass. This indicates a wind direction bias between the driving HRRR meteorological fields and the SCAQMD and NCEP surface measurements and is likely to cause lower than observed X_{CO₂} enhancements over the suburbs and near the coast.

On February 24, 2020, both models underestimate the observed enhancements over the entire scene (OCO-3: 2.11 \pm 1.28 ppm; WRF-Chem: 1.06 \pm 0.53 ppm; X-STILT: 0.89 \pm 0.77 ppm). The largest bias is over the urban and coastal areas with modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements lower than the observation. The same holds for the suburbs and valley but with smaller differences between model and observation. Even though both models underestimate the absolute observed X_{CO_2} enhancements, they capture the relative spatial variability over LA as observed by OCO-3: low X_{CO_2} enhancements over the suburbs and increasing through the urban core to the coastal area and, as expected, smaller enhancements in the less populated valley.

5.3. X-STILT-based emission source attribution

Here, we use the X-STILT model to allocate observed X_{CO_2} enhancements to surface-based sources. These sources, represented by ODIAC2019, have the potential to contribute to modeled X_{CO_2} enhancements. Using X-STILT's unique backwards-in-time approach, contributing surface sources are identified for each modeled X_{CO_2} value at each receptor in the domain (see Fig. 9, left column). After sampling this domain at individual OCO-3 sounding locations (i.e., receptors), associated surface contributions are summed for each receptor, and then divided by the number of receptors within the domain. Fig. 10 shows the spatially resolved X_{CO_2} enhancements due to the various emission sources across LA for the suite of OCO-3 measurement receptors, on average.

In the three target mode cases, the contributing surface sources lie directly below the OCO-3 track. CO_2 emitted from these sources has little time to undergo advective transport. Thus, anthropogenic sources in these regions will contribute the most to observations. Surface emissions from the 24 h period leading up to the overpass time are also included. The lower surface CO_2 emissions to the northwest and southeast demonstrate the complex meteorology associated with the LA Basin.

The effects of the Santa Ana winds are also evident in surface contributions. On two occasions (October 7, 2019 and October 15, 2019), surface contributions originate from the San Fernando Valley to the northwest and along the coast to the southeast. The wind fields on both days appear relatively calm, however, on October 11, 2019, the surface CO_2 contribution arrives predominantly from the east of the target overpass. This corresponds to strong easterly Santa Ana winds. These winds minimize CO_2 from the San Fernando Valley and coastal region. The three target observations demonstrate that even though space-based X_{CO_2} measurements are collected in consistent geographic regions, the sources of X_{CO_2} enhancements are highly variable.

Expanding beyond target observations, the unique SAM mode that OCO-3 offers *sees* more surface CO_2 emissions than alternative sampling modes. The SAM mode case included in Fig. 10 collects higher magnitudes of surface emissions over larger areas than target mode observations. These larger areas are useful for measuring rural-to-urban and sub-city gradients in addition to X_{CO_2} regional background values.

Further, we analyze how much more of the CO₂ surface emissions are captured by OCO-3's SAM measurements compared to a single nadir



Fig. 8. WRF-Chem X_{CO_2} enhancements over LA on October 7, 2019 (first row), October 11, 2019 (second row), October 15 (third row), and February 24, 2020 (fourth row). Shown are the simulated X_{CO_2} enhancements over the Greater LA Metropolitan Area in native spatial resolution (left column), sampled at the OCO-3 footprint locations (center column), and the difference between WRF-Chem and observed OCO-3 X_{CO_2} enhancements (ΔX_{CO_2} defined as model minus observation, right column). Data is aggregated into 0.02° × 0.02° latitude-longitude grid cells for target mode observations. Markers represent the same locations as in Fig. 3.

Remote Sensing of Environment 258 (2021) 112314







Fig. 10. Surface-based sources of CO₂ contributing to modeled X_{CO_2} values, as informed by X-STILT and ODIAC. Shown are the averaged contributions (in ppm) contributing to the overall mean of the modeled X_{CO_2} in the domain. Values are gridded at the resolution of the ODIAC emission inventory (1 × 1 km²). The white polygons indicate the position of the OCO-3 footprints (receptors).

track over LA. For that, we calculate the individual, relative, surface emission contributions to the mean multi-swath X_{CO_2} value that is modeled by X-STILT (see Fig. A.12, left). Then, we calculate relative surface emission contributions for a single swath overpass. For that, we choose the center swath of the OCO-3 SAM observation on Feb. 24, 2020 to *mimic* a single nadir track over LA (see Fig. A.12, right). The comparison shows that the adjacent swaths of OCO-3's SAM observation capture about three times as much of the CO₂ surface emissions compared to a single nadir track over LA. OCO-3's high-resolution subcity observations provide insights into the variability of urban CO₂ contributions and can pave the way towards localized emission reduction strategies.

5.4. Discussion

The magnitude of the observed X_{CO₂} enhancements over LA is in good agreement with modeled enhancements from WRF-Chem and X-STILT. Median X_{CO₂} differences between model and observation are typically below 1 ppm over LA, with larger differences for some sub regions. The uncertainty spread is larger for OCO-3 than for both models and, in general, largest when X_{CO2} enhancements are large as well. Model transport errors can result in latitudinal and longitudinal shifts between modeled and observed X_{CO2} maps. Nonetheless, both models are able to capture intra-urban X_{CO_2} gradients similar to the OCO-3 observations. The spatial distribution of X_{CO₂} over LA is caused by a combination of different meteorological conditions (wind speed, wind direction, PBL height, vertical mixing, etc.) over a time span of hours. It is challenging to fully capture these complex conditions by models. For example, even small biases in the modeled wind speeds and wind directions can results in differences between model and observation of the order of several ppm (Feng et al. 2016).

As discussed in Sect. 3.2, the underlying biospheric fluxes are small for the area and time period analyzed here. However, this is not necessarily true during the height of the growing season (May–July). During this time, a potential biospheric uptake outside of the urban region might lower the background X_{CO_2} and urban enhancements may appear larger. Further, we assume that both city and background are affected similarly by biospheric activity. On the other hand, a study analyzing flask samples of isotopic ¹⁴CO₂ in the LA Basin indicates that biospheric fluxes can contribute to surface CO₂ enhancements by up to 20%, especially during the northern hemisphere winter season (Miller et al. 2020). In the future, continuous measurements of urban biosphere fluxes are needed to further study the impact of lawns, parks, or golf courses in the city on anthropogenic emission signals.

On all four days, both models tend to underestimate the observed X_{CO₂} enhancements near the coast where most of the point sources are located. We cannot rule out that a small portion of the modelobservation differences are driven by potential biases in emission estimates and spatial distributions in the ODIAC2019 emission inventory (Gurney et al. 2019; Oda et al. 2019). In this study, we use monthly ODIAC2019 fossil fuel emission estimates without hourly scaling (Nassar et al. 2013). Emissions in megacities have typically two peaks during the morning and afternoon traffic and a daytime minimum around local noon. However, this effect is rather small and contributes minimally (\simeq 0.2 ppm) to the model-observation differences. The lack of explicit traffic emissions in the ODIAC2019 inventory is also unlikely to cause the observed differences. We do not see significant intra-urban scale differences particularly due to traffic when we compare WRF-Chem simulation over LA using ODIAC2019 and Hestia (Oda et al., 2021, not shown here). In general, model-observation differences can be due to the errors in the transport, emissions, and observation itself, or all combined and will be investigated in future studies.

Analyzing X-STILT's source-receptor sensitivities, the sensitivity of downwind CO_2 variations to upwind fluxes, show that OCO-3's SAM observations capture about three times as much of the city emissions compared to single-swath overpasses. Future studies using OCO-3 target

and SAM observations will help to constrain city emissions on sub-city scales and offer insights to examine potential errors and biases in emission inventories.

6. Summary

OCO-3's multi-swath measurement approach allows collection of dense maps of X_{CO_2} over emission hot spots and is potentially able to capture intra-urban X_{CO2} variations from space with unprecedented spatial resolution. Here, we presented and analyzed X_{CO_2} distributions over LA derived from target and SAM mode observations taken by OCO-3. The observed X_{CO_2} distributions over LA are highly sensitive to the underlying, complex meteorological conditions. X_{CO2} measurements over the suburbs, located north east of LA, show excellent agreement with TCCON X_{CO2} measurements collected in Pasadena, CA. We calculated X_{CO₂} city enhancements using AFRC TCCON X_{CO₂} measurements as clean background values. Our derived enhancements of 0-6 ppm are in good agreement with previous studies. On three days, the OCO-3 target and SAM observations were not only able to reveal unique intra-urban X_{CO2} distributions over LA, but also captured X_{CO2} values that agree with background concentration measured by the AFRC TCCON instrument. This demonstrates that OCO-3's multi-swath measurement approach is able to capture city enhancements and background values at the same time on only one single overpass. Comparisons of the observed and modeled X_{CO₂} enhancements from two independent models (WRF-Chem and X-STILT) show an overall good agreement. Median X_{CO_2} differences between model and observation are typically below 1 ppm over LA with larger differences over some sub regions. Both models are able to capture the observed intra-urban X_{CO_2} gradients. Analyzing X-STILT's source-receptor sensitivities, we found that OCO-3's SAM observations capture about three times as much of the city emissions compared to single-swath overpasses. The source-receptor sensitivity study also shows that even though space-based X_{CO_2} measurements are collected over the same region, the sources of X_{CO_2} enhancements are highly variable. OCO-3's SAM and target mode measurements provide an innovative dataset for carbon studies on sub-city scale. The emission coverage by OCO-3 will help to constrain urban emissions at finer spatiotemporal scales, especially in regions with limited ground-based monitoring capabilities. This work shows that future wide-swath CO₂ missions with OCO-3 type dense sampling and short revisit times, for example GeoCarb (Moore III et al. 2018), AimNorth (Nassar et al. 2019), or CO2M (Sierk et al. 2019), can play a major role in quantifying enhancements over urban areas, potentially monitoring the effectiveness and progress of localized CO₂ emission reduction policies.

Author contributions

M.K.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing; A.E.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing; D.D.R.: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing; J.C.L.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing; S.F.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing; R.L.: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing; T.L.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing; T.O.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing; C.M.R.: Resources, Data curation, Writing - review & editing; J.F.B.: Resources, Data curation, Writing review & editing; L.T.I.: Resources, Data curation, Writing review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

We declare no conflicts of interest with this research.

Acknowledgements

We thank Paul O. Wennberg for contributions, guidance, and support of the Caltech TCCON measurements. We thank James Podolske for contributions and technical support of the AFRC TCCON instrument. Part of the research described in this paper was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) [prime contract number 80NM0018D0004]. D.D.R. and J.C.L. were supported by the NASA base grant no. 80NSSC19K0196. S.F. and R.L. have been funded jointly by the NASA grant no. 80NSSC18K1313 (subcontracted from the Universities Space Research Association #05783–01 to Penn State). T.L. was supported by the French research program Make Our Planet Great Again (Project CIUDAD). T.O. was

117.84°W

117.43°W

supported by the NASA Carbon Cycle Science Program (grant no. NNX14AM76G) and the Orbiting Carbon Observatory mission (grant no. 80NSSC18K1313). C.M.R. was supported by NASA's Carbon Cycle and Ecosystems research program (grant no. NNX17AE15G). Sentinel-5 Precursor is a European Space Agency (ESA) mission on behalf of the European Commission (EC). The TROPOMI payload is a joint development by ESA and the Netherlands Space Office (NSO). The Sentinel-5 Precursor ground-segment development has been funded by ESA and with national contributions from the Netherlands, Germany and Belgium. Contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data 2019–2020.

Appendix A. Additional figures



Fig. A.11: Different regions of the LA megacity covered by the OCO-3 target and SAM observations for all four days.



Fig. A.12: Relative surface contributions to the total averaged X_{CO_2} value as determined by X-STILT for the February 24, 2020 SAM observation (left) and for the center swath of the same SAM observation mimicking a single nadir track over the LA megacity. The relative surface influence values add up to 100% for the SAM and to \simeq 32% for the single nadir track. Values are gridded at the resolution of the ODIAC emission inventory (1 \times 1 km²). The white polygons indicate the position of the OCO-3 footprints receptors.

References

- Alexander, C.R., Weygandt, S., Smirnova, T., Benjamin, S., Hofmann, P., James, E., Koch, D., 2010. High Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR): Recent Enhancements and Evaluation during the 2010 Convective Season.
- Borsdorff, T., aan de Brugh, J., Hu, H., Hasekamp, O., Sussmann, R., Rettinger, M., Hase, F., Gross, J., Schneider, M., Garcia, O., Stremme, W., Grutter, M., Feist, D.G., Arnold, S.G., De Mazière, M., Kumar Sha, M., Pollard, D.F., Kiel, M., Roehl, C., Wennberg, P.O., Toon, G.C., Landgraf, J., 2018. Mapping carbon monoxide pollution from space down to city scales with daily global coverage. Atmos. Measure. Tech. 11, 5507–5518. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5507-2018.
- Brioude, J., Angevine, W.M., Ahmadov, R., Kim, S.W., Evan, S., McKeen, S.A., Hsie, E.Y., Frost, G.J., Neuman, J.A., Pollack, I.B., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T.B., Holloway, J., Brown, S.S., Nowak, J.B., Roberts, J.M., Wofsy, S.C., Santoni, G.W., Oda, T., Trainer, M., 2013. Top-down estimate of surface flux in the Los Angeles Basin using a mesoscale inverse modeling technique: assessing anthropogenic emissions of CO, NO_x and CO₂ and their impacts. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 3661–3677. https://doi. org/10.5194/acp-13-3661-2013.
- Chilingar, G.V., Endres, B., 2005. Environmental hazards posed by the los Angeles basin urban oilfields: an historical perspective of lessons learned. Environ. Geol. 47, 302–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-004-1159-0.
- Crisp, D., Pollock, H.R., Rosenberg, R., Chapsky, L., Lee, R.A.M., Oyafuso, F.A., Frankenberg, C., O'Dell, C.W., Bruegge, C.J., Doran, G.B., Eldering, A., Fisher, B.M., Fu, D., Gunson, M.R., Mandrake, L., Osterman, G.B., Schwandner, F.M., Sun, K., Taylor, T.E., Wennberg, P.O., Wunch, D., 2017. The on-orbit performance of the orbiting carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) instrument and its radiometrically calibrated products. Atmos. Measure. Tech. 10, 59–81. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-59-2017.
- Deng, A., Stauffer, D.R., 2006. On improving 4-km mesoscale model simulations. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 45, 361–381. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAM2341.1.
- Eldering, A., Wennberg, P.O., Crisp, D., Schimel, D.S., Gunson, M.R., Chatterjee, A., Liu, J., Schwandner, F.M., Sun, Y., O'Dell, C.W., Frankenberg, C., Taylor, T., Fisher, B., Osterman, G.B., Wunch, D., Hakkarainen, J., Tamminen, J., Weir, B., 2017. The orbiting carbon observatory-2 early science investigations of regional carbon dioxide fluxes. Science 358. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5745.
- Eldering, A., Taylor, T.E., O'Dell, C.W., Pavlick, R., 2019. The OCO-3 mission: measurement objectives and expected performance based on 1 year of simulated data. Atmos. Measure. Tech. 12, 2341–2370. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-2341-2019.
- Fasoli, B., Lin, J.C., Bowling, D.R., Mitchell, L., Mendoza, D., 2018. Simulating atmospheric tracer concentrations for spatially distributed receptors: updates to the stochastic time-inverted Lagrangian transport model's R interface (STILT-R version 2). Geosci. Model Dev. 11, 2813–2824. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2813-2018.

- Feng, S., Lauvaux, T., Newman, S., Rao, P., Ahmadov, R., Deng, A., Díaz-Isaac, L.I., Duren, R.M., Fischer, M.L., Gerbig, C., Gurney, K.R., Huang, J., Jeong, S., Li, Z., Miller, C.E., O'Keeffe, D., Patarasuk, R., Sander, S.P., Song, Y., Wong, K.W., Yung, Y. L., 2016. Los Angeles megacity: a high-resolution land–atmosphere modelling system for urban co₂ emissions. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 16, 9019–9045. https://doi. org/10.5194/acp-16-9019-2016.
- Frankenberg, C., Fisher, J.B., Worden, J., Badgley, G., Saatchi, S.S., Lee, J.E., Toon, G.C., Butz, A., Jung, M., Kuze, A., Yokota, T., 2011. New global observations of the terrestrial carbon cycle from GOSAT: patterns of plant fluorescence with gross primary productivity. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38 https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2011GL048738.
- van Geffen, J.H.G.M., Eskes, H.J., Boersma, K.F., Massakkers, J.D., Veefkind, J.P., 2019. TROPOMI ATBD of the total and tropospheric NO2 data products. In: Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document S5P-KNMI-L2–0005-RP. Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute.
- Gurney, K.R., Liang, J., O'Keeffe, D., Patarasuk, R., Hutchins, M., Huang, J., Rao, P., Song, Y., 2019. Comparison of global downscaled versus bottom-up fossil fuel co2 emissions at the urban scale in four u.s. urban areas. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 124, 2823–2840. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028859.
- Hakkarainen, J., Ialongo, I., Maksyutov, S., Crisp, D., 2019. Analysis of four years of global XCO₂ anomalies as seen by orbiting carbon Observatory-2. Remote Sens. 11, 850. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11070850.
- Hedelius, J.K., Liu, J., Oda, T., Maksyutov, S., Roehl, C.M., Iraci, L.T., Podolske, J.R., Hillyard, P.W., Liang, J., Gurney, K.R., Wunch, D., Wennberg, P.O., 2018. Southern California megacity CO₂, CH₄, and CO flux estimates using ground- and space-based remote sensing and a Lagrangian model. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 16271–16291. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16271-2018.
- Hedelius, J.K., He, T.L., Jones, D.B.A., Baier, B.C., Buchholz, R.R., De Mazière, M., Deutscher, N.M., Dubey, M.K., Feist, D.G., Griffith, D.W.T., Hase, F., Iraci, L.T., Jeseck, P., Kiel, M., Kivi, R., Liu, C., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oh, Y.S., Ohyama, H., Pollard, D.F., Rettinger, M., Roche, S., Roehl, C.M., Schneider, M., Shiomi, K., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Sweeney, C., Té, Y., Uchino, O., Velazco, V.A., Wang, W., Warneke, T., Wennberg, P.O., Worden, H.M., Wunch, D., 2019. Evaluation of MOPITT version 7 joint TIR–NIR X_{co} retrievals with TCCON. Atmos. Measure. Tech. 12, 5547–5572. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5547-2019.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hölm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de

Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., Thépaut, J.N., 2020. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quart. J. Royal Meteorol. Soc. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803.

- Idso, C.D., Idso Jr., S.B., Balling, Robert C., 1998. The urban CO₂ dome of Phoenix, Arizona. Phys. Geogr. 19, 95–108. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02723646.1998.10642642.
- Iraci, L.T., Podolske, J., Hillyard, P.W., Roehl, C., Wennberg, P.O., Blavier, J.F., Allen, N., Wunch, D., Osterman, G.B., Albertson, R., 2016. TCCON Data From Edwards (US), Release GGG2014R1. TCCON Data Archive, hosted by CaltechDATA. https://doi. org/10.14291/tccon.ggg2014.edwards01.R1/1255068.
- Kiel, M., O'Dell, C.W., Fisher, B., Eldering, A., Nassar, R., MacDonald, C.G., Wennberg, P. O., 2019. How bias correction goes wrong: measurement of XCO₂ affected by erroneous surface pressure estimates. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 12, 2241–2259. https:// doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-2241-2019.
- Kort, E.A., Frankenberg, C., Miller, C.E., Oda, T., 2012. Space-based observations of megacity carbon dioxide. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2012GL052738.
- Kuze, A., Suto, H., Nakajima, M., Hamazaki, T., 2009. Initial onboard performance of TANSO-FTS on GOSAT. In: Advances in Imaging. Optical Soc. Am. https://doi.org/ 10.1364/FTS.2009.FTuC2 p. FTuC2.
- Lin, J.C., Gerbig, C., Wofsy, S.C., Andrews, A.E., Daube, B.C., Davis, K.J., Grainger, C.A., 2003. A near-field tool for simulating the upstream influence of atmospheric observations: the Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) model. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 108. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003161.
- Miller, J.B., Lehman, S.J., Verhulst, K.R., Miller, C.E., Duren, R.M., Yadav, V., Newman, S., Sloop, C.D., 2020. Large and seasonally varying biospheric co2 fluxes in the los Angeles megacity revealed by atmospheric radiocarbon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 117, 26681–26687. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2005253117.
- Moore III, B., Crowell, S.M.R., Rayner, P.J., Kumer, J., O'Dell, C.W., O'Brien, D., Utembe, S., Polonsky, I., Schimel, D., Lemen, J., 2018. The potential of the geostationary carbon cycle observatory (geocarb) to provide multi-scale constraints on the carbon cycle in the americas. Front. Environ. Sci. 6, 109. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fenvs.2018.00109.
- Moran, D., Kanemoto, K., Jiborn, M., Wood, R., Többen, J., Seto, K.C., 2018. Carbon footprints of 13000 cities. Environ. Res. Lett. 13, 064041 https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1748-9326/aac72a.
- Nassar, R., Napier-Linton, L., Gurney, K.R., Andres, R.J., Oda, T., Vogel, F.R., Deng, F., 2013. Improving the temporal and spatial distribution of CO₂ emissions from global fossil fuel emission data sets. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 917–933. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2012JD018196.
- Nassar, R., McLinden, C., Sioris, C.E., McElroy, C.T., Mendonca, J., Tamminen, J., MacDonald, C.G., Adams, C., Boisvenue, C., Bourassa, A., Cooney, R., Degenstein, D., Drolet, G., Garand, L., Girard, R., Johnson, M., Jones, D.B., Kolonjari, F., Kuwahara, B., Martin, R.V., Miller, C.E., O'Neill, N., Riihelä, A., Roche, S., Sander, S. P., Simpson, W.R., Singh, G., Strong, K., Trishchenko, A.P., van Mierlo, H., Zanjani, Z.V., Walker, K.A., Wunch, D., 2019. The atmospheric imaging mission for northern regions: aim-north. Can. J. Remote. Sens. 45, 423–442. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07038992.2019.1643707.
- NCEP, 2004. ADP global surface observational weather data, October 1999 continuing. Res. Data Arch. National Center Atmos. Res. Comput. Inform. Syst. Lab. https://doi. org/10.5065/4F4P-E398.
- Newman, S., Jeong, S., Fischer, M.L., Xu, X., Haman, C.L., Lefer, B., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B., Kort, E.A., Andrews, A.E., Peischl, J., Gurney, K.R., Miller, C.E., Yung, Y.L., 2013. Diurnal tracking of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions in the Los Angeles basin megacity during spring 2010. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 4359–4372. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4359-2013.
- Oda, T., Maksyutov, S., 2011. A very high-resolution (1 km x 1 km) global fossil fuel CO_2 emission inventory derived using a point source database and satellite observations of nighttime lights. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 543–556. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-543-2011.
- Oda, T., Maksyutov, S., Andres, R.J., 2018. The open-source data inventory for anthropogenic CO₂, version 2016 (ODIAC2016): a global monthly fossil fuel CO₂ gridded emissions data product for tracer transport simulations and surface flux inversions. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 10, 87–107. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-87-2018.
- Oda, T., Bun, R., Kinakh, V., Topylko, P., Halushchak, M., Marland, G., Lauvaux, T., Jonas, M., Maksyutov, S., Nahorski, Z., Lesiv, M., Danylo, O., Horabik-Pyzel, J., 2019. Errors and uncertainties in a gridded carbon dioxide emissions inventory. Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang. 24, 1007–1050. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11027-019-09877-2.
- Oda, T., Román, M.O., Wang, Z., Stokes, E.C., Sun, Q., Shrestha, R.M., Feng, S., Lauvaux, T., Bun, R., Maksyutov, S., Chakraborty, S., Paynter, I., Kalb, V.L., 2021. US cities in the dark: mapping man-made carbon dioxide emissions over the contiguous US using NASA's Black Marble nighttime lights product. Urban Remote Sens. In press.
- O'Dell, C.W., Eldering, A., Wennberg, P.O., Crisp, D., Gunson, M.R., Fisher, B., Frankenberg, C., Kiel, M., Lindqvist, H., Mandrake, L., Merrelli, A., Natraj, V., Nelson, R.R., Osterman, G.B., Payne, V.H., Taylor, T.E., Wunch, D., Drouin, B.J.,

Oyafuso, F., Chang, A., McDuffie, J., Smyth, M., Baker, D.F., Basu, S., Chevallier, F., Crowell, S.M.R., Feng, L., Palmer, P.I., Dubey, M., García, O.E., Griffith, D.W.T., Hase, F., Iraci, L.T., Kivi, R., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Ohyama, H., Petri, C., Roehl, C. M., Sha, M.K., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Te, Y., Uchino, O., Velazco, V.A., 2018. Improved retrievals of carbon dioxide from orbiting carbon Observatory-2 with the version 8 ACOS algorithm. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 11, 6539–6576. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/amt-11-6539-2018.

- Osterman, G., O'Dell, C., Eldering, A., Fisher, B., Crios, D., Cheng, C., Frankenberg, C., Lambert, A., Gunson, M., Mandrake, L., Wunch, D., 2020. Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 & 3 Data Product User's Guide, Operational Level 2 Data Versions 10 and Lite File Version 10 and VEarly. URL. https://docserver.gesdisc. eosdis.nasa.gov/public/projct/0C0/0C02_0C03_B10_DUG.pdf.
- Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Hilboll, A., Richter, A., Schneising, O., Hilker, M., Heymann, J., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J.P., 2014. Decreasing emissions of NO_x relative to CO₂ in East Asia inferred from satellite observations. Nat. Geosci. 7, 792–795. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2257.
- Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Schneising, O., Krautwurst, S., O'Dell, C.W., Richter, A., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J.P., 2019. Towards monitoring localized CO₂ emissions from space: CO-located regional CO₂ and NO₂ enhancements observed by the OCO-2 and S5P satellites. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 9371–9383. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acc-19-9371-2019.
- Rodgers, C.D., Connor, B.J., 2003. Intercomparison of remote sounding instruments. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 108 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002299.
- Rolinski, T., Capps, S.B., Zhuang, W., 2019. Santa Ana winds: a descriptive climatology. Weather Forecast. 34, 257–275. https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-18-0160.1.
- Schwandner, F.M., Gunson, M.R., Miller, C.E., Carn, S.A., Eldering, A., Krings, T., Verhulst, K.R., Schimel, D.S., Nguyen, H.M., Crisp, D., O'Dell, C.W., Osterman, G.B., Iraci, L.T., Podolske, J.R., 2017. Spaceborne detection of localized carbon dioxide sources. Science 358. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5782.
- Sierk, B., Bézy, J.L., Löscher, A., Meijer, Y., 2019. The European CO2 Monitoring Mission: observing anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions from space. In: Sodnik, Z., Karafolas, N., Cugny, B. (Eds.), International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2018, International Society for Optics and Photonics. SPIE, pp. 237–250. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2535941.
- Stein, A.F., Draxler, R.R., Rolph, G.D., Stunder, B.J.B., Cohen, M.D., Ngan, F., 2016. NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 96, 2059–2077. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1.
- Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P., 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1–30. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/CB09781107415324.004 book section SPM.
- Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Köhler, P., Magney, T., 2018. Overview of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) from the orbiting carbon Observatory-2: retrieval, cross-mission comparison, and global monitoring for GPP. Remote Sens. Environ. 209, 808–823. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.02.016.
- Taylor, T.E., Eldering, A., Merrelli, A., Kiel, M., Somkuti, P., Cheng, C., Rosenberg, R., Fisher, B., Crisp, D., Basilio, R., Bennett, M., Cervantes, D., Chang, A., Dang, L., Frankenberg, C., Haemmerle, V.R., Keller, G.R., Kurosu, T., Laughner, J.L., Lee, R., Marchetti, Y., Nelson, R.R., O'Dell, C.W., Osterman, G., Pavlick, R., Roehl, C., Schneider, R., Spiers, G., To, C, Wells, C., Wennberg, P.O., Yelamanchili, A., Yu, S., 2020. OCO-3 early mission operations and initial (vEarly) XCO₂ and SIF retrievals. Remote Sens. Environ. 251, 112032.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2019. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision. United Nations Publications, New York. https://doi. org/10.18356/b9e995fe-en.
- Veefkind, J., Aben, I., McMullan, K., Förster, H., de Vries, J., Otter, G., Claas, J., Eskes, H., de Haan, J., Kleipool, Q., van Weele, M., Hasekamp, O., Hoogeveen, R., Landgraf, J., Snel, R., Tol, P., Ingmann, P., Voors, R., Kruizinga, B., Vink, R., Visser, H., Levelt, P., 2012. TROPOMI on the ESA Sentinel-5 precursor: a GMES mission for global observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer applications. Remote Sens. Environ. 120, 70–83. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.irse.2011.09.027.
- Wennberg, P.O., Wunch, D., Roehl, C., Blavier, J.F., Toon, G.C., Allen, N., 2014. TCCON data from Caltech (US), Release GGG2014R1. TCCON Data Archive, hosted by CaltechDATA. Doi: 10.14291/tccon.ggg2014.pasadena01.R1/1182415.
- Wong, K.W., Fu, D., Pongetti, T.J., Newman, S., Kort, E.A., Duren, R., Hsu, Y.K., Miller, C. E., Yung, Y.L., Sander, S.P., 2015. Mapping CH₄: CO₂ ratios in Los Angeles with CLARS-FTS from Mount Wilson, California. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 241–252. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-241-2015.
- Wu, D., Lin, J.C., Fasoli, B., Oda, T., Ye, X., Lauvaux, T., Yang, E.G., Kort, E.A., 2018. A lagrangian approach towards extracting signals of urban CO₂ emissions from satellite observations of atmospheric column CO₂ (XCO₂): X-stochastic timeinverted lagrangian transport model (x-stilt v1). Geosci. Model Dev. 11, 4843–4871. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-4843-2018.

- Wu, D., Lin, J.C., Oda, T., Kort, E.A., 2020. Space-based quantification of per capita CO₂ emissions from cities. Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 035004 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab68eb.
- Wunch, D., Wennberg, P.O., Toon, G.C., Keppel-Aleks, G., Yavin, Y.G., 2009. Emissions of greenhouse gases from a north american megacity. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36 https:// doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039825.
- Wunch, D., Toon, G.C., Blavier, J.F.L., Washenfelder, R.A., Notholt, J., Connor, B.J., Griffith, D.W.T., Sherlock, V., Wennberg, P.O., 2011a. The Total carbon column observing network. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 369 https://doi. org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0240.
- Wunch, D., Wennberg, P., Toon, G., Connor, B., Fisher, B., Osterman, G., Frankenberg, C., Mandrake, L., O'Dell, C., Ahonen, P., Biraud, S., Castano, R., Cressie, N., Crisp, D., Deutsher, N., Eldering, A., Fisher, M., Griffith, D., Gunson, M., Heikkinen, P., Keppel-Aleks, G., Kyro, E., Lindenmaier, R., Macatangay, R., Mendonca, J., Messerschmidt, J., Miller, C., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oyafuso, F., Rettinger, M., Robinson, J., Roehl, C., Salawitch, R., Sherlock, V., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Tanaka, T., Thompson, D., Uchino, O., Warneke, T., Wofsy, S., 2011b. A method for evaluating bias in global measurements of CO₂ total columns from space. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 12317–12337. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12317-2011.
- Wunch, D., Toon, G.C., Sherlock, V., Deutscher, N.M., Liu, C., Feist, D.G., Wennberg, P. O., 2015. Documentation for the 2014 TCCON Data Release. TCCON Data Archive, hosted by CaltechDATA. Doi: 10.14291/TCCON.GGG2014.DOCUMENTATION.R0/1 221662.
- Wunch, D., Wennberg, P.O., Osterman, G., Fisher, B., Naylor, B., Roehl, C.M., O'Dell, C., Mandrake, L., Viatte, C., Kiel, M., 2017. Comparisons of the orbiting carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) XCO₂ measurements with TCCON. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 10, 2209.
- Ye, X., Lauvaux, T., Kort, E.A., Oda, T., Feng, S., Lin, J.C., Yang, E.G., Wu, D., 2020. Constraining Fossil Fuel CO2 Emissions From Urban Area Using OCO-2 Observations of Total Column CO₂. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 125, e2019JD030528 https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019JD030528.
- Yokota, T., Yoshida, Y., Eguchi, N., Ota, Y., Tanaka, T., Watanabe, H., Maksyutov, S., 2009. Global concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ retrieved from GOSAT: first preliminary results. SOLA 5, 160–163. https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2009-041.
- Yu, L., Wen, J., Chang, C.Y., Frankenberg, C., Sun, Y., 2019. High-resolution global contiguous SIF of OCO-2. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 1449–1458. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2018GL081109.