



HAL
open science

Revealing a High Water Abundance in the Upper Mesosphere of Mars With ACS Onboard TGO

Denis A. Belyaev, Anna A. Fedorova, Alexander Trokhimovskiy, Juan Alday, Franck Montmessin, Oleg I. Korablev, Franck Lefèvre, Andrey S. Patrakeev, Kevin S. Olsen, Alexey V. Shakun

► **To cite this version:**

Denis A. Belyaev, Anna A. Fedorova, Alexander Trokhimovskiy, Juan Alday, Franck Montmessin, et al.. Revealing a High Water Abundance in the Upper Mesosphere of Mars With ACS Onboard TGO. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2021, 48 (10), pp.e2021GL093411. 10.1029/2021GL093411 . insu-03237572

HAL Id: insu-03237572

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-03237572>

Submitted on 26 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Revealing a High Water Abundance in the Upper Mesosphere of Mars with ACS onboard TGO

Denis A. Belyaev¹, Anna A. Fedorova¹, Alexander Trokhimovskiy¹, Juan Alday², Franck Montmessin³, Oleg I. Korablev¹, Franck Lefèvre³, Andrey S. Patrakee¹, Kevin S. Olsen², and Alexey V. Shakun¹

¹Space Research Institute (IKI), Moscow, Russia.

²Department of Physics, University of Oxford, UK.

³LATMOS/CNRS, Paris, France.

Corresponding author: Denis Belyaev (dbelyaev@iki.rssi.ru)

Key Points:

- For the first time, water relative abundances are reported in a previously unexplored altitude range: from 100 to 120 km
- Both the global dust storm (MY34) and the two perihelion seasons (MY34, 35) observed reveal 10–50 parts of H₂O per million by volume at 100–120 km
- Contributions of a single GDS and perihelion into the H escape from Mars are nearly equivalent, suggesting the repeatable seasonal effect dominates in the long term

19 Abstract

20 We present the first water vapor profiles encompassing the upper mesosphere of Mars, 100–120
21 km, far exceeding the maximum altitudes where remote sensing has been able to observe water
22 to date. Our results are based on solar occultation measurements by Atmospheric Chemistry
23 Suite (ACS) onboard the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO). The wavelength range observed
24 ($\sim 2.7 \mu\text{m}$) possesses strong CO_2 and H_2O absorption lines allowing sensitive temperature and
25 density retrievals. We report the maximum H_2O mixing ratio varying from 10 to 50 ppmv at
26 100–120 km during the global dust storm of MY34 and around southern summer solstice of
27 Martian Years (MY) 34 and 35. During other seasons water remains below 2–3 ppmv. The high
28 values above 100 km establish a bridge between the regular water enrichment observed below
29 (60–100 km) and the atomic hydrogen escaping from the exosphere.

30 Plain Language Summary

31 We report regular events of high abundances of the water vapor (H_2O) in the upper atmosphere
32 of Mars (100–120 km). So far, any water enrichment has not been revealed by remote sensing at
33 such high altitudes. Higher than 80 km, solar light breaks water vapor molecules into H and O
34 atoms, which may reach the exosphere and escape the planet. When Mars is closer to the Sun
35 (the perihelion season), the atmosphere's circulation intensifies, causing increased dust activity
36 with global dust storms (GDS), occurring every 3–4 Mars years. We observed during the second
37 halves of Martian years 34 and 35 (2018–2020), including one GDS and two perihelion seasons.
38 We report that the maximum water relative abundance reaches 10–50 parts per million in volume
39 (ppmv) at 100–120 km during the GDS and every perihelion season. These high values indicate
40 that the Martian atmosphere above 100 km regularly hosts large amounts of water, facilitating
41 the long-term escape of water from the planet.

42 1 Introduction

43 The vertical distribution of water vapor (H_2O) on Mars is an indicator of the intricate
44 coupling of distinct phenomena: temperature variations, cloud formation, sublimation, turbulent
45 and convective mixing, etc. H_2O is usually expected to be confined below the hygropause, which
46 is the level where the saturation condition is met and where water ice clouds may form, as occurs
47 on Earth. For the first time, this layer was established on Mars by ground-based microwave
48 soundings of Clancy et al. (1996) with a saturation level between 10–20 km around the aphelion,
49 i.e., Solar Longitudes (L_S) 70° , and 40–60 km around perihelion (L_S 250°). In parallel, Rodin et
50 al. (1997) reported water vapor profiles retrieved from the solar occultations made by Auguste
51 on Phobos-2 in 1989. The existence of a hygropause at 30–35 km (with a mixing ratio of 3 ppm)
52 in the northern spring ($L_S=0^\circ$ – 20°) near the equator was claimed. The first climatology of water
53 vapor profiles was derived from SPICAM-IR solar occultations on Mars Express (MEx)
54 (Fedorova et al., 2009; 2018; 2021; Maltagliati et al., 2013), covering eight Martian years. The
55 hygropause level was found to vary from 40 to 80 km depending on season, latitude, and dust
56 events.

57 The observation of large amounts of water vapor in and above the middle atmosphere
58 (>40 km) was accompanied by the discovery of fast variations of the hydrogen corona over
59 several weeks (Chaffin et al., 2014; Clarke et al., 2014). This variability suggested a new
60 paradigm in the perception of how water escapes from Mars (Chaffin et al., 2017). So far, water
61 escape was thought to be controlled by a slow process involving H_2 , formed from the catalytic

62 recombination of carbon dioxide with odd hydrogen (McElroy and Donahue, 1972;
63 Krasnopolsky, 2002). The non-condensable H₂ can overcome the hygropause and reach the
64 mesosphere (80–120 km) transported by turbulent mixing or circulation. There it can dissociate
65 and release H atoms that will escape the planet once above the exobase.

66 Long-term observations revealed that water vapor transport from the troposphere into the
67 lower mesosphere of Mars occurs during major dust storms. In particular, a significant H₂O
68 enhancement in the middle atmosphere was observed during the global dust storm (GDS) in
69 2007 (MY28) with a rise of the hygropause altitude to >60 km (Fedorova et al., 2018; Heavens et
70 al., 2018). Sensitive solar occultation measurements by NOMAD and ACS NIR instruments
71 onboard the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) showed water reaching 80–100 km (Aoki et al.,
72 2019; Fedorova et al., 2020) during two storms in 2018–2019 (the global one at L_S 190°–220°
73 and the regional one at L_S 330° in MY34; Montabone et al., 2020). Fedorova et al. (2020)
74 revealed the water supersaturation at 70–90 km even in the presence of H₂O ice clouds not only
75 during the GDS but also near the Southern summer solstice (L_S~270°) when water reached 90–
76 100 km as well. Altogether, these studies promote a new mechanism for controlling H₂O escape
77 through direct delivery to above 80 km and further photodissociation (Chaffin et al., 2017;
78 Krasnopolsky et al., 2019). The only general circulation model describes an upward water flux
79 into the thermosphere (>120 km) for the GDS scenario (Shaposhnikov et al., 2019). They predict
80 high water volume mixing ratio (VMR) values: ~100 ppm at 100 km and ~200 ppm at 150 km
81 for the southern middle latitudes.

82 The discussion regarding a relative contribution of perihelion or GDS to the mesospheric
83 water enrichment was recently fed by SPICAM/MEx long-term observations covering Martian
84 Years 28 through 35. Here, Fedorova et al. (2021) claimed a regular rise of water abundance up
85 to 80–90 km in perihelion, which is compatible with GDS quantities. The new ACS/TGO dataset
86 confirms those conclusions for altitudes below 100 km in MY34–MY35 (Fedorova et al. 2020,
87 Alday et al., 2021). In parallel, during the perihelion season, the D/H ratio in water decreases
88 with altitude from 4–6 times SMOW (Standard Mean Ocean Water) in the lower atmosphere to
89 2–3 times in the middle one (50–70 km) as measured by ACS MIR (Alday et al., 2021) and
90 NOMAD (Villanueva et al., 2021) spectrometers. Alday et al. (2021) show that ultraviolet H₂O
91 photolysis dominates the production of H relative to D atoms in the upper atmosphere.

92 From above, ion chemistry in the thermosphere has been characterized by the NGIMS
93 mass-spectrometer on MAVEN (Benna et al., 2015) and interpreted by the ionospheric model of
94 Fox et al. (2015). Using NGIMS data, Stone et al. (2020) measured H₂O ion concentrations
95 around ~150 km for the 2014–2018 period (MY32–MY34). With the help of the model by Fox et
96 al., the relative abundance of water at this altitude on the dayside was found to vary seasonally
97 from 2 to 5 ppm. Several enhanced dusty episodes disrupt this seasonal signal: 7–9 ppm during
98 the regional storm of MY32, 10–20 ppm in the southern summer of MY33, and up to 60 ppm in
99 the GDS of MY34. Stone et al. (2020) concluded that water transport into the ionosphere and its
100 destruction are the main mechanisms in the overall hydrogen escape from Mars.

101 We used the data of the middle infrared spectrometer of the Atmospheric Chemistry Suite
102 (ACS MIR) onboard the ExoMars TGO, which measures water vapor VMR and atmospheric
103 density in a wide range of altitudes, from the troposphere to the lower thermosphere, using the
104 strong absorption bands of H₂O and CO₂ around 2.66–2.70 μm. A high spectral resolution and a
105 good signal-to-noise ratio of ACS MIR allows the measurements of water profiles up to 120 km,
106 the altitude unreachable for the ACS NIR, and SPICAM spectrometers, sensing the 1.38 μm

107 absorption band (Fedorova et al., 2020; 2021). The strong H₂O absorption around 2.6 μm is also
108 used by NOMAD, yielding water profiles up to ~90 km (Aoki et al., 2019; Villanueva et al.,
109 2021).


110 Here we report the first water vapor abundance measurements in the upper mesosphere
111 (up to 120 km) of Mars. The goal of our paper is to compare the mesospheric water behavior
112 between the second halves of MY34 and MY35 when the high H₂O content is observed. We aim
113 to clarify the dominant role of H₂O delivery to the upper mesosphere: either it belongs to
114 sporadic dust events (GDS or a strong regional storm) or it also occurs regularly, every martian
115 year, around Southern summer solstice. For that, we analyze seasonal and latitudinal variations
116 of H₂O VMR vertical profiles retrieved from the ACS MIR solar occultation experiment.

117 2 Measurements and dataset overview

118 2.1 ACS MIR spectroscopy and retrievals

119 ACS MIR, a solar occultation cross-dispersion echelle spectrometer, records spectra from
120 a set of adjacent diffraction orders (from 10 to 20 per occultation) projected onto a 2D detector
121 array (Korablev et al., 2018). To retrieve high altitude water vapor abundances together with the
122 atmospheric temperature and pressure, we use MIR spectra from the diffraction order #223. They
123 cover a narrow wavelength interval of 2.66–2.68 μm (3733–3753 cm⁻¹), including a part of the
124 2.7-μm CO₂ absorption band and a few strong H₂O lines near 2.66 μm (Fig. 1a, 1b, Fig. S1). The
125 instrument's spectral resolution is ~0.15 cm⁻¹, while the signal-to-noise ratio ranges from 2,000
126 to 4,000, which provides high sensitivity for detections in the upper atmosphere where
127 atmospheric constituents are low. Temperature (Fig. 1c) is retrieved by fitting a synthetic model
128 to the CO₂ rotational band taking advantage of its temperature dependence under the assumption
129 of hydrostatic equilibrium. This procedure was applied iteratively, with feedback of the retrieved
130 temperature to the model. The temperature measurements were then validated against those
131 made by MIR near the 2.6 μm CO₂ band (Alday et al., 2019) and by ACS NIR around the 1.58
132 μm band (Fedorova et al., 2020). As a result, one occultation session allows us to simultaneously
133 retrieve profiles of pressure and temperature (from CO₂ absorption bands) and the H₂O number
134 density (Fig. 2c). The water abundance can then be expressed relative to the total atmospheric
135 density, that is, in VMR (in ppmv). Specific details of the algorithms pertaining to this work can
136 be found in the Supporting Information.

137 The dataset analyzed here consists of a series of transmission spectra obtained during a
138 solar occultation while the line of sight of the instrument progressively penetrates from the upper
139 into deeper layers of the atmosphere, or vice versa (see examples in Fig. 1a, 1b). The
140 transmission is determined as the solar spectrum ratio measured through the atmosphere to the
141 reference one, taken from the data above a tangential height of 200 km. This altitude level is
142 negligibly attenuated by the atmosphere even within the very strong CO₂ band system at 2.7 μm.
143 The typical integration time is 2 seconds that provides an altitude resolution ranging from 0.5 to
144 2.5 km, depending on the occultation duration. It gives sufficiently fine vertical sampling for an
145 atmosphere whose scale height ranges from 5 to 10 km depending on temperature. The ACS
146 MIR field of view projected at the limb is estimated to be around 1-3 km in altitude equivalent.



147

148 **Figure 1.** Example ACS MIR spectra and profiles of the retrieved quantities. Measured
 149 transmission spectra (red) at tangent altitudes of 115.1 km (**a**) and 39.5 km (**b**) are compared
 150 with the best-fit models, including both CO₂ and H₂O absorptions (black), and only CO₂
 151 absorption (green). Blue arrows indicate water absorption lines. Zoom in (**a**) shows a part with
 152 the strongest H₂O absorption detected at 115 km. (**c**) Retrieved vertical profiles of temperature
 153 (left), number densities (center), and H₂O volume mixing ratio (VMR) (right). Black triangles
 154 mark H₂O upper limits (see Supporting Information for the description of uncertainties).

155

2.2 Data selection

156


To reveal the upper mesospheric water we focused on the second halves of MY34 and
 157 MY35, which correspond to ACS MIR observations from May 2018 to March 2019 and from
 158 April 2020 to January 2021. The selected dataset comprises 187 occultation sessions in the

159 Northern Hemisphere and 156 sessions in the Southern Hemisphere, encompassing seasonal
160 periods from L_S 180° to 355° in MY34 and from L_S 185° to 356° in MY35 (Fig. 2a). Figure 2a
161 shows the latitude coverage with the corresponding aerosol activity, which was defined for each
162 occultation as an altitude level where the slant opacity equals 0.3 (~0.75 of the atmospheric
163 transmittance in the continuum). Measurements in the Northern Hemisphere occurred mostly in
164 the mid-latitude range, which is between 40°N and 70°N. In the South, occultations before,
165 during the GDS ($L_S < 230^\circ$), and around the regional storm of MY34 (L_S 320°–330°) were
166 performed close to the polar region (60°S–90°S), while perihelion observations (L_S 270°) were
167 made in mid-latitudes. Only a few sessions occurred in the equatorial region at $L_S \sim 240^\circ$ and
168 $L_S \sim 300^\circ$ of MY34 and at $L_S \sim 210^\circ$ and $L_S \sim 280^\circ$ of MY35. These observations are accompanied
169 by a higher aerosol loading than those, which are close to the Poles (Fig. 2a). The overall map of
170 the MY34 dust climatology can be found in (Montabone et al., 2020).

171 **3 Seasonal variation of altitude profiles**

172 Observations in the second half of MY34 and MY35 uncover events, which drastically
173 perturbed the temperature and water vapor vertical distributions. The peculiar pattern to compare
174 with is the MY34 GDS and perihelion periods in MY34 versus MY35, which had no GDS but a
175 regular dust activity in its second half. The seasonal variation of the processed altitude profiles is
176 presented in Figure 2(b,c). We binned the profiles into intervals of 2° in solar longitude (L_S) and
177 2 km in altitude. Depending on the L_S and altitude sampling, the value in each bin is calculated
178 as the weighted mean of one to five individual points. We excluded all points with uncertainties
179 exceeding 20 K in temperature and 1-sigma in the H_2O mixing ratio. The second rejection
180 criterion corresponds to the detection limit ($\sim 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) of water number density (see in Fig. 1c)
181 that defines the seasonal variations of the uppermost detectable points in Figure 2c.

182 We observe temperature (Fig. 2b) and H_2O (Fig. 2c) peaking in the middle atmosphere
183 (40–80 km) during the GDS of MY34, L_S 190°–220°, and an additional smaller peak at L_S 320°–
184 330°, corresponding to a regional storm. Moreover, the rise of water vapor to higher altitudes, up
185 to the mesopause at 110–130 km where temperature encounters a minimum, is observed during
186 two perihelion intervals (L_S 250°–290°) of MY34 and MY35. Here, the Southern summer (Fig.
187 2c, right panel) is accompanied by a more humid mesosphere (40–60 ppm of H_2O) than the
188 Northern Winter (Fig. 2c, left panel) where the mean mesospheric water reaches 20–30 ppmv on
189 average between 80 and 120 km. On the contrary, out of the perihelion peak or dust events, i.e.
190 for the selected data at the beginning of the MY34 GDS and at the very end of MY 34, 35, water
191 content above 80 km never exceeds 2–3 ppmv.



192


193 **Figure 2.** Seasonal map of atmospheric temperature and H₂O mixing ratio during the second half
 194 of MY34 and MY35. The data are plotted in function of L_S and altitude for the Northern (left)
 195 and the Southern (right) hemispheres. (a) Latitudinal distribution of the ACS-MIR solar
 196 occultations, depending on an altitude level where the aerosol slant opacity (τ) equals 0.3. (b)
 197 Temperature. (c) Volume mixing ratio (VMR) of water vapor. Grey frames outline time intervals
 198 of the global dust storm (GDS) in MY34 and the two perihelions in MY34 (Per34) and MY35
 199 (Per35).

200 **4 H₂O variations around perihelion**

201 To quantify seasonal trends of water content in the mesosphere, we selected three altitude
 202 layers corresponding to 80 km, 100 km, and 110–120 km. The first layer, which corresponds to
 203 the lower mesosphere, is accessible in all profiles (Fig. 2c) when the vapor concentration exceeds
 204 the detection limit of $\sim 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, even in low water loading periods. A value of H₂O VMR at 80
 205 km could be derived in every individual altitude profile when interpolating between adjacent
 206 points below and above this level. The water content at 100 km was found similarly, though
 207 based on a smaller number of profiles. Water at 110–120 km, in the upper mesosphere, shows up
 208 only in stormy periods and around perihelion (Fig. 2c).

209 Observed variations during perihelion for the three selected levels are presented in Figure
 210 3 for both Martian Years. The number of MIR observations at Position #4 is low during the
 211 stormy events of MY34. Nevertheless, a comparison with MY35 reveals a significant excess of
 212 H₂O mixing ratio during the GDS: by a factor of 6–8 at 80 km (Fig. 3c) and by a factor of 3–5 at
 213 100–120 km from L_S 190° to 220° (Fig. 3a, 3b). A surge at L_S 320°–330° reveals the effect of the
 214 regional dust storm of MY34, which delivers far less water into the mesosphere than the GDS.
 215 Around the perihelion, L_S=240°–300° water behaves almost identical between MY34 and MY35.
 216 For both Martian Years, the maximum H₂O mixing ratio was observed near the Southern
 217 summer solstice (L_S~270°), reaching values of 40–80 ppm at 80 km, 30–60 ppm at 100 km, and
 218 20–50 ppm at 110–120 km. In the Northern winter solstice, it varied from 20 to 40 ppm at all

219 levels, 80 through 120 km. We compare our results at 80 km with the corresponding ACS NIR
 220 dataset derived from the MY34 profiles of Fedorova et al. (2020). The NIR dataset is five times
 221 denser than used in the present work, and it observed the H₂O variations in greater detail,
 222 especially during the dust events of MY34.



223
 224 **Figure 3.** Seasonal trends of H₂O volume mixing ratio (VMR) at three altitude levels, 80, 100,
 225 120 km. The season is the second half of MY34 (in blue) and of MY35 (in red). Each point
 226 corresponds to an individual vertical profile: weighted mean value obtained in between 110-120
 227 km (a), and interpolated value for the levels of 100 km (b) and 80 km (c). Data at 80 km in grey
 228 (c) are taken from the ACS NIR profiles (Fedorova et al., 2020).


229 4 Discussion and Conclusions

230 For the first time, we report observations of H₂O relative abundances in a previously
 231 unexplored altitude range (from 100 to 120 km). There we find 10–30 ppm of water vapor during
 232 the MY34 GDS and 20–50 ppm around the perihelion point (L_S=250°–290°) of MY34 and
 233 MY35 in both hemispheres. Our stormy retrievals at 100–120 km are of the same order of
 234 magnitude as MAVEN NGIMS results reported by Stone et al. (2020) at ~150 km. Surprisingly,
 235 NGIMS water relative abundances reveal a mission-wise maximum of H₂O at 150 km during the
 236 MY34 GDS, whereas we repeatedly observe the annual maximum around the Southern summer
 237 solstice both in MY34 and MY35.

238 NGIMS measures ions from which neutral H₂O VMRs at 150 km were derived and
 239 injected into a 1D photochemical model. The model was adjusted to reproduce the H₂O
 240 abundance at 150 km inferred from the [H₂O⁺] ions measured by NGIMS under two scenarios:
 241 low water, corresponding to 2 ppm prescribed at 80 km in a non-GDS case; and high water, of 40
 242 ppm in a GDS case (Stone et al., 2020; as corrected in March 2021). Notably, all the solar
 243 occultation observations performed by TGO and MEX to date (Fedorova et al., 2018, 2020,
 244 2021; Aoki et al., 2019; Villanueva et al., 2021), including the present dataset (Fig. 3a), report

245 even higher water vapor VMRs, of 50–80 ppm during the GDS. Stone et al. (2020) indicate a
 246 systematic uncertainty of 69% on their neutral H₂O inference, which brings MAVEN, TGO, and
 247 MEX values to an agreement within error bars.

248 It is important to consider how ACS’s high altitude water vapor abundances combine
 249 with photolysis since this process has been hypothesized to be essential, if not the dominant,
 250 source for the H atoms observed in the exosphere (Chaffin et al., 2017). The conclusion of Stone
 251 et al. (2020) insists on the GDS’s predominance and related ion chemistry in the H atoms’
 252 production. Our observations suggest that while the GDS period corresponds to the maximum of
 253 water abundance at 80 km, H₂O at 120 km peaks only later, at the Southern summer solstice,
 254 when it is twice as large as during the GDS. This enhanced solstice maximum suggests that
 255 relative water abundance declines more rapidly above 80 km during the GDS than after, during
 256 perihelion (Fig. 4).



257
 258 **Figure 4.** Altitude profiles of average H₂O volume mixing ratio (VMR) during the GDS (MY
 259 34) and the perihelion season (MY 34, 35). The dataset used includes all ACS MIR observations
 260 highlighted in Fig. 2: GDS of MY34 ($L_S=195^\circ-220^\circ$), brown average curve, and individual MIR
 261 detections; the bin around the perihelion point ($L_S=250^\circ-295^\circ$) (blue). Upper panels: MY34 (a);
 262 lower panels: MY35 (b). The left and right panels are for the Northern and Southern
 263 hemispheres. Averaged profiles are presented with 1-sigma dispersion over 2-km altitude bins.
 264 The GDS curves are also indicated for MY35 to facilitate comparison.

265 In Figure 4, we combined altitude profiles from GDS-only ($L_S 195^\circ-220^\circ$) and perihelion
 266 ($L_S 250^\circ-295^\circ$) intervals to compare averaged vertical trends between them and to estimate an
 267 integral escape flux of the atomic hydrogen in each case. For that, we applied the model of
 268 Chaffin et al. (2017), which predicts the atmospheric escape rate depending on the water
 269 injection into different altitudes (see Figure 3 of their paper). Our rough calculations show that
 270 the H escape flux is about $5.7 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ during GDS for both hemispheres, while around
 271 perihelion point it is $4.0 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the Northern Hemisphere and $6.0 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the

272 Southern one in both Martian Years. These values were derived when integrating the flux over
273 altitudes from 20 to 120 km and in time intervals of 25 sols for GDS (L_S 195°–220°) and 45 sols
274 for perihelion (L_S 250°–295°). Thus, we claim nearly equivalent contributions from a single
275 GDS and the perihelion period into the hydrogen escape by the high water enrichment in the
276 middle/upper atmosphere. Fedorova et al. (2021) come to a similar conclusion based on
277 SPICAM/MEx water profiles up to 80 km. A GDS occurs every 3–4 martian years on average
278 (Zurek and Martin, 1993; Wang and Richardson, 2015), and the yearly perihelion contribution to
279 the hydrogen escape should prevail by a factor of ≥ 3.5 . Moreover, the enhanced circulation and
280 heating of the atmosphere in perihelion driven by the hemispheric dichotomy of Mars
281 (Richardson and Wilson, 2002) might be, in the long term, a more robust climate phenomenon
282 rather than GDS, likely affected by changing obliquity of the planet (Laskar, 2004).

283 Overall, our results cannot be easily reconciled with water values inferred from NGIMS
284 ion measurements, suggesting the thermosphere hosts much more water during the GDS than
285 during the rest of the year. However, we note that the only time when Stone et al. (2020)
286 reported measurements around perihelion concerned the L_S interval between 240° and 265° of
287 MY33 (Figure 4 of Stone et al., 2020). It showed the same brutal trend as during the onset of the
288 MY34 GDS, with values far exceeding those reported for the regional dust storm of MY32, still
289 a factor of 3 smaller than during the GDS.

290 Our results remain in line with Fedorova et al. (2020, 2021) conclusion about the
291 perihelion season being the main conveyor of water to high altitudes on a long-term basis. A
292 bridge between the ACS measurements presented here and NGIMS measurements leaves room
293 for an additional or refined photochemical process that could link H_2O relative abundances at
294 120 km with those inferred from NGIMS at 150 km. Both measurements bring unique
295 constraints in our attempt to understand how the water in the lower atmosphere connects with the
296 escaping hydrogen in the exosphere, an essential step before extrapolating the water escape back
297 in time safely.

298 **Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data**

299 ExoMars is a joint space mission of the European Space Agency (ESA) and Roscosmos.
300 The ACS experiment is led by the Space Research Institute (IKI) in Moscow, assisted by
301 LATMOS in France. Retrievals and analysis of temperature and water distributions in IKI are
302 funded by grant #20-42-09035 of the Russian Science Foundation. The ACS MIR data are
303 available from ESA's Planetary Science Archive at
304 <https://archives.esac.esa.int/psa/#!Table%20View/ACS=instrument>. The retrieved data with
305 altitude profiles of H_2O VMR for the GDS and perihelion intervals are available at
306 [https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/995y7ymdgm/draft?a=daa72362-898d-4c86-8a13-](https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/995y7ymdgm/draft?a=daa72362-898d-4c86-8a13-023b4b59134c)
307 [023b4b59134c](https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/995y7ymdgm/draft?a=daa72362-898d-4c86-8a13-023b4b59134c).

308 **References**

309 Alday, J., Wilson C. F., Irwin, P. G. J., Olsen, K. S., Baggio, L., Montmessin, F., et al. (2019).
310 Oxygen isotopic ratios in Martian water vapour observed by ACS MIR on board the
311 ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 630, A91. doi:10.1051/0004-
312 6361/201936234.

- 313 Alday, J., Trokhimovskiy, A., Irwin, P. G. J., Wilson, C. F., Montmessin, F., Lefèvre, F., et al.
 314 (2021). Photolysis controls the isotopic composition of water products escaping Mars'
 315 atmosphere. *Nature Astronomy* (revised version).
- 316 Aoki, S., Vandaele, A. C., Daerden, F., Villanueva, G. L., Liuzzi, G., Thomas, I. R., et al. (2019).
 317 Water Vapor Vertical Profiles on Mars in Dust Storms Observed by TGO/NOMAD.
 318 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 124(12), 3482-3497.
 319 doi:10.1029/2019je006109.
- 320 Benna, M., Mahaffy, P. R., Grebowsky, J. M., Fox, J. L., Yelle, R. V., & Jakosky, B. M. (2015).
 321 First measurements of composition and dynamics of the Martian ionosphere by
 322 MAVEN's Neutral Gas and Ion Mass Spectrometer. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42,
 323 8958–8965, doi:10.1002/2015GL066146.
- 324 Chaffin, M., Deighan, J., Schneider, N., & Stewart, A. (2017). Elevated atmospheric escape of
 325 atomic hydrogen from Mars induced by high-altitude water. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(3),
 326 174. doi:10.1038/ngeo2887.
- 327 Clancy, R. T., Grossman, A. W., Wolff, M. J., James, P. B., Rudy, D. J., Billawala, Y. N., et al.
 328 (1996). Water vapor saturation at low altitudes around Mars aphelion: A key to Mars
 329 climate? *Icarus*, 122(1), 36-62. doi:10.1006/icar.1996.0108.
- 330 Fedorova, A., Bertaux, J.-L., Betsis, D., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Maltagliati, L., & Clarke,
 331 J. (2018). Water vapor in the middle atmosphere of Mars during the 2007 global dust
 332 storm. *Icarus*, 300, 440–457.
- 333 Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D.
 334 A., et al. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric
 335 water during the dusty season. *Science*, 367(6475), 297-300.
 336 doi:10.1126/science.aay9522.
- 337 Fedorova, A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Lefèvre, F., Trokhimovskiy, A., & Bertaux, J. L.
 338 (2021). Multi-annual monitoring of the water vapor vertical distribution on Mars by
 339 SPICAM on Mars Express. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 126,
 340 e2020JE006616. doi:10.1029/2020JE006616.
- 341 Fox, J. L., Benna, M., Mahaffy, P. R., & Jakosky B. M. (2015). Water and water ions in the
 342 Martian thermosphere/ionosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 8977–8985,
 343 doi:10.1002/2015GL065465.
- 344 Gamache, R. R., Faese, M., & Renaud, C. L. (2016). A spectral line list for water isotopologues
 345 in the 1100–4100 cm⁻¹ region for application to CO₂-rich planetary atmospheres. *Journal*
 346 *of Molecular Spectroscopy*, 326, 144-150. doi:10.1016/j.jms.2015.09.001.
- 347 Gordon, I. E., Rothman, L. S., Hill, C., Kochanov, R. V., Tan, Y., Bernath, P. F., et al. (2017).
 348 The HITRAN2016 molecular spectroscopic database. *Journal of Quantum Spectroscopy*
 349 *and Radiative Transfer*, 203, 3–69. doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2017.06.038.
- 350 Heavens, N. G., Kleinböhl, A., Chaffin, M. S., Halekas, J. S., Kass, D. M., Hayne, P. O., et al.
 351 (2018). Hydrogen escape from Mars enhanced by deep convection in dust storms. *Nature*
 352 *Astronomy*, 2(2), 126-132. doi:10.1038/s41550-017-0353-4

- 353 Jakosky, B. M. (1985). The seasonal cycle of water on Mars. *Space Science Review*, *41*, 131–
 354 200. doi:10.1007/BF00241348
- 355 Korablev, O. I., Montmessin, F., Trokhimovskiy, A., Fedorova, A. A., Shakun, A. V., Grigoriev,
 356 A. V., et al. (2018). The Atmospheric Chemistry Suite (ACS) of Three Spectrometers for
 357 the ExoMars 2016 Trace Gas Orbiter. *Space Science Review*, *214*(1).
 358 doi:10.1007/s11214-017-0437-6
- 359 Krasnopolsky, V. A. (2002). Mars' upper atmosphere and ionosphere at low, medium, and high
 360 solar activities: implications for evolution of water. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
 361 *107*(E12), 5128. doi:10.1029/2001JE001809.
- 362 Krasnopolsky, V. A. (2015). Variations of the HDO/H₂O ratio in the martian atmosphere and
 363 loss of water from Mars. *Icarus*, *257*, 377–386. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2015.05.021.
- 364 Krasnopolsky, V. A. (2019). Photochemistry of water in the martian thermosphere and its effect
 365 on hydrogen escape. *Icarus*, *321*, 62–70. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2018.10.033.
- 366 Laskar, J., Correia, A.C.M., Gastineau, M., Joutel, F., Levrard, B., Robutel, P. (2004). Long term
 367 evolution and chaotic diffusion of the insolation quantities of Mars. *Icarus*, *170*(2), 343–
 368 364. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2004.04.005.
- 369 Malathy Devi, V., Benner, D. C., Sung, K., Crawford, T. J., Gamache, R. R., Renaudc, C. L., et
 370 al. (2017). Line parameters for CO₂- and self-broadening in the ν₃ band of HD¹⁶O.
 371 *Journal of Quantum Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, *203*, 158–174.
 372 doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2017.02.020.
- 373 Maltagliati, L., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Fedorova, A., Forget, F., Määttänen, A., Lefèvre,
 374 F., & Bertaux, J.-L. (2013). Annual survey of water vapor vertical distribution and water–
 375 aerosol coupling in the martian atmosphere observed by SPICAM/MEx solar
 376 occultations. *Icarus*, *223*, 942–962. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2012.12.012.
- 377 Marquardt, D. (1963). An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters".
 378 SIAM. *Journal on Applied Mathematics*, *11*(2), 431–441. doi:10.1137/0111030.
- 379 Millour, E., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Vals, M., Zakharov, V., Montabone, L., et al. (2018). *The Mars*
 380 *Climate Database (version 5.3)*. Paper presented at the Mars Science Workshop "From
 381 Mars Express to ExoMars", held 27-28 February 2018 at ESAC, Madrid, Spain, id.68.
 382 <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/mars-science-workshop-2018>.
- 383 McElroy, M. B., & Donahue, T. M. (1972). Stability of the Martian atmosphere. *Science*,
 384 *177*(4053), 986-8 986. doi:10.1126/science.177.4053.986.
- 385 Montabone, L., Spiga, A., Kass, D. M., Kleinböhl, A., Forget, F., & Millour, E. (2020). Martian
 386 Year 34 column dust climatology from Mars Climate Sounder observations:
 387 Reconstructed maps and model simulations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*,
 388 *125*(8), e06111. doi:10.1029/2019JE006111.
- 389 Owen, T., Maillard, J. P., de Bergh, C., & Lutz, B. L. (1988). Deuterium on Mars: The
 390 abundance of HDO and the value of D/H. *Science*, *240*, 1767–1770.
 391 doi:10.1126/science.240.4860.1767.

- 392 Richardson, M. I., & Wilson, R. J. (2002). Investigation of the nature and stability of the Martian
 393 seasonal water cycle with a general circulation model. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
 394 *107*(E5), 5031, doi:10.1029/2001JE001536.
- 395 Rodin, A. V., Korablev, O. I., & Moroz, V. I. (1997). Vertical distribution of water in the near-
 396 equatorial troposphere of Mars: water vapor and clouds. *Icarus*, *125*(1), 212-229.
 397 doi:10.1006/icar.1996.5602.
- 398 Shaposhnikov, D. S., Medvedev, A. S., Rodin, A. V., & Hartogh, P. (2019). Seasonal water
 399 “pump” in the atmosphere of mars: Vertical transport to the thermosphere. *Geophysical*
 400 *Research Letters*, *46*, 4161–4169. doi:10.1029/2019GL082839.
- 401 Stone, W., Yelle, R. V., Benna, M., Lo, D. Y., Elrod, M. K., & Mahaffy P. R. (2020). Hydrogen
 402 escape from Mars is driven by seasonal and dust storm transport of water. *Science*,
 403 *370*(6518), 824–831. doi:10.1126/science.aba5229.
- 404 Villanueva, G. L., Liuzzi, G., Crismani, M. M. J., Aoki, S., Vandaele, A. C., et al. (2021). Water
 405 heavily fractionated as it ascends on Mars as revealed by ExoMars/NOMAD. *Science*
 406 *Advances*, *7*(7), eabc8843. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc8843.
- 407 Wang, H., & Richardson, M. I. (2015). The origin, evolution, and trajectory of large dust storms
 408 on Mars during Mars years 24-30 (1999-2011). *Icarus*, *251*, 112-127.
 409 doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2013.10.033.
- 410 Zurek, R. W. & Martin, L. J. (1993). Interannual variability of planet-encircling dust storms on
 411 Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *98*(E2), 3247-3259. doi:10.1029/92JE02936.