

Isotopic fractionation of water and its photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars

Juan Alday, Alexander Trokhimovskiy, Patrick G. J. Irwin, Colin F. Wilson, Franck Montmessin, Franck Lefèvre, Anna A. Fedorova, Denis A. Belyaev, Kevin S. Olsen, Oleg Korablev, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Juan Alday, Alexander Trokhimovskiy, Patrick G. J. Irwin, Colin F. Wilson, Franck Montmessin, et al.. Isotopic fractionation of water and its photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars. Nature Astronomy, 2021, 5, pp.943-950. 10.1038/s41550-021-01389-x . insu-03298958

HAL Id: insu-03298958 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03298958

Submitted on 2 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Isotopic fractionation of water and its photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars

Juan Alday[®]¹[⊠], Alexander Trokhimovskiy², Patrick G. J. Irwin[®]¹, Colin F. Wilson¹, Franck Montmessin[®]³, Franck Lefévre[®]³, Anna A. Fedorova², Denis A. Belyaev², Kevin S. Olsen[®]¹, Oleg Korablev[®]², Margaux Vals³, Loïc Rossi³, Lucio Baggio[®]³, Jean-Loup Bertaux³, Andrey Patrakeev² and Alexey Shakun²

The current Martian atmosphere is about five times more enriched in deuterium than Earth's, providing direct testimony that Mars hosted vastly more water in its early youth than nowadays. Estimates of the total amount of water lost to space from the current mean D/H value depend on a rigorous appraisal of the relative escape between deuterated and non-deuterated water. Isotopic fractionation of D/H between the lower and the upper atmospheres of Mars has been assumed to be controlled by water condensation and photolysis, although their respective roles in influencing the proportions of atomic D and H populations have remained speculative. Here we report HDO and H₂O profiles observed by the Atmospheric Chemistry Suite (ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter) in orbit around Mars that, once combined with expected photolysis rates, reveal the prevalence of the perihelion season for the formation of atomic H and D at altitudes relevant for escape. In addition, while condensation-induced fractionation is the main driver of variations of D/H in water vapour, the differential photolysis of HDO and H₂O is a more important factor in determining the isotopic composition of the dissociation products.

he isotope composition of the Martian atmosphere has often been used as a means to understand its evolution¹. Assuming that Earth and Mars were assembled from the same material, the relative enrichment of heavy isotopes in Martian atmospheric water vapour with respect to Earth suggests that the escape of water throughout Martian history has been substantial²⁻¹², consistent with the geomorphological and mineralogical imprints on the surface, which require the presence of liquid water on ancient Mars^{13,14}. Although these clues indicate that water was abundant in the past, the amount that existed remains uncertain. Estimations of the size of the ancient water reservoir on Mars from its isotope composition depend not only on the present-day atmospheric ratio, but also on the net escape fractionation factor, f. This factor relates the efficiency of escape of D with respect to H, including all fractionation processes occurring along the physical and chemical pathways by which the initial H₂O and HDO molecules are decomposed and ultimately escape to space by either thermal or non-thermal means¹⁵⁻¹⁸.

The initial part of the process by which water escapes to space comprises the production of atomic H and D from water vapour. For a long time, this production was assumed to be sourced by long-lived molecular hydrogen formed in the lower atmosphere and slowly ascending to the upper atmosphere, where it is dissociated into HCO_2^+ and H by its recombination with CO_2^+ (ref.¹⁹). Molecular hydrogen in the lower atmosphere is ultimately produced in the photodissociation of H_2O , which gives rise to odd-hydrogen (H, OH, HO₂) molecules that participate in the catalytic recombination of CO_2 , producing H_2 as a by-product of this recombination¹⁶. While this pathway gives rise to a steady supply of atomic hydrogen, observations of H in the upper atmosphere reveal variations not consistent with the sole production by molecular hydrogen^{20,21}. These variations might be instead produced by the direct dissociation of water vapour in the middle and upper atmospheres by UV photons and reactions with ions^{19,22,23}. Whether the H_2 - or H_2O -driven escape scenario is predominant, the photolysis of water vapour appears to make an important contribution. In this context, two factors can fractionate the production of deuterium relative to hydrogen: (1) the preferential photolysis of H_2O molecules relative to HDO depletes the dissociation products in deuterium²⁴; (2) the preferential condensation of HDO in water ice clouds²⁵ leaves the atmosphere depleted in the heavy isotopes above the condensation level, which can impact the supply of D/H to the upper atmosphere, if photolysis occurs above the cloud deck^{26,27}. Both of these mechanisms are expected to fractionate the isotopic composition of atomic hydrogen, although the effectiveness of each of these remains unknown.

We investigate the vertical distribution of the isotope composition (D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O) of water vapour in the Martian atmosphere using solar occultation measurements made using the mid-infrared (MIR) channel of the Atmospheric Chemistry Suite²⁸ (ACS) on board the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. ACS MIR is an echelle cross-dispersion spectrometer dedicated to solar occultation measurements in a spectral range between 2.2 and 4.4 µm. Its novel design allows the simultaneous selection of 9-25 contiguous diffraction orders within the entire spectral range of the instrument, achieving high resolving power ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 30,000$) in a wide instantaneous spectral range (0.15-0.3 µm). The dataset assembled for this study includes all available ACS MIR solar occultation observations made using secondary grating position 4, which allows the selection of ten diffraction orders (215-224) in a spectral range between 2.65 and 2.77 μm (3,600–3,770 cm $^{-1}),$ encompassing absorption bands of several isotopologues of carbon dioxide and water vapour (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3). To date, there are 627 solar occultations observed using secondary grating position 4, which account for approximately 14% of all available ACS MIR observations,

¹AOPP, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ²Space Research Institute (IKI), Moscow, Russia. ³LATMOS/CNRS, Guyancourt, France. ^{IM}e-mail: juan.aldayparejo@physics.ox.ac.uk

NATURE ASTRONOMY



Fig. 1 | Example of ACS MIR spectra and summary of the retrieval scheme. ACS MIR spectra shown in this figure were obtained in orbit 4409 (latitude 42° S, $L_s = 291^\circ$, local time 19 h). **a,b**, In step 1 of the retrieval scheme, H₂ ¹⁶O volume mixing ratio profiles (**a**) and pressure and temperature based on the absorption of CO₂ (**b**) are retrieved from one detector row in diffraction orders 223 and 224. **c-e**, In step 2, spectra from five different detector rows (**c**) are independently used to retrieve the volume mixing ratios (VMRs) of H₂ ¹⁶O, H₂ ¹⁸O and HD¹⁶O (**d**) from the spectral window in diffraction order 224, which allow the derivation of the D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratios (**e**). The shaded regions in each of the atmospheric vertical profiles (**b,d,e**) represent the associated uncertainties to each of the retrieved parameters. ppby, parts per billion by volume.

covering more than a full Martian year of observations and including more than half of Martian Year 34 (MY34) (solar longitude, L_s = 160-360°) and of MY35 (L_s = 0–356°). The observational coverage used in this dataset allows the analysis of the variations of the D/H ratio in three dimensions, including the vertical, latitudinal and seasonal effects.

The analysis of the data and the retrieval of the atmospheric vertical profiles is performed using the NEMESIS (Nonlinear optimal Estimator for MultivariatE spectral analySIS) algorithm²⁹, which utilizes the optimal estimation framework³⁰. In particular, the retrieval scheme is performed in two steps. First, vertical profiles of pressure and temperature are retrieved from CO₂ absorption, along with the vertical distribution of the H₂¹⁶O volume mixing ratio. Second, the pressure and temperature profiles are fixed, and the retrieved H₂¹⁶O volume mixing ratio is used as an a priori estimate to retrieve the volume mixing ratios of H₂¹⁶O, H₂¹⁸O and HD¹⁶O, assuming an a priori isotopic composition of ¹⁸O/¹⁶O=1 and D/H=5 with respect to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water

(VSMOW; $D/H = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ and ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$), and using a spectral window suitable for the derivation of the isotopic ratios (Fig. 1). More details of the retrieval scheme and its validation are given in Supplementary Methods.

Figure 2 shows a summary of the climatology from the retrieved spectral parameters. During the observed period, both temperature and H₂O volume mixing ratio profiles show marked seasonal and latitudinal changes. During the southern hemisphere (SH) spring and summer seasons ($L_s = 180-270^\circ$ and $L_s = 270-360^\circ$) in both MY34 and MY35, Mars is close to perihelion ($L_s = 251^\circ$), and the greater dust heating and proximity to the Sun intensify the Hadley circulation^{31,32}, allowing warmer temperatures to expand to the middle atmosphere in both the northern and southern hemispheres. These greater temperatures move the hygropause (that is, level at which water vapour saturates) upwards to higher altitudes, allowing water vapour to migrate to the middle and upper atmospheres, reaching values of 40–80 and 20–40 ppmv (parts per million by volume) at 90 km in the southern and northern hemispheres,

NATURE ASTRONOMY

ARTICLES



Fig. 2 | **Climatology from the retrieved atmospheric parameters.** Values of the retrieved parameters as a function of altitude and solar longitude for the northern (left) and southern (right) hemispheres. **a**, Distribution of ACS MIR solar occultation observations, with the local time represented by the colour bar. **b**, Atmospheric temperature. **c**, Water vapour volume mixing ratio (VMR). **d**, D/H ratio in water vapour with uncertainties lower than 1VSMOW.

respectively. The ascension of water vapour to the middle atmosphere also occurs during the global dust storm in MY34 ($L_s = 190$ – 220°), when the hygropause is moved to approximately 80 km in both hemispheres. This behaviour is not observed during the same period in MY35, when the altitude of the hygropause is set around 40-50 km at mid-latitudes (Fig. 2). This difference evidences the impact of dust storms, which increase the dust heating and prevent the condensation of water vapour³³. During the northern hemisphere (NH) spring and summer seasons ($L_s = 0-90^\circ$ and $L_s = 90-180^\circ$), although high water vapour abundances (>150 ppmv) are observed in the NH, these are confined to altitudes below ~20 km. At high southern latitudes (>60°S) during this time, very low water vapour abundances (<1 ppmv) and temperatures (<150 K) are observed. These seasonal trends are in agreement with other observations³⁴⁻³⁷ and with the expectations from the simulations of a general circulation model³⁸.

The measurements also depict a very dynamic behaviour of the D/H ratio, varying from 1 to 6VSMOW, with an isotopic ratio representative of the present-day Martian atmosphere of

NATURE ASTRONOMY | www.nature.com/natureastronomy

 4.9 ± 0.4 VSMOW (Extended Data Fig. 1). During the SH summer, H₂O and HDO in both hemispheres expand into the middle atmosphere, exhibiting isotopic ratios between 4 and 6 VSMOW up to 40–60 km until they reach the saturation level, where water ice clouds can form^{39,40}. Above this level, the D/H ratio starts to decrease to values between 1 and 3 VSMOW, as predicted by the preferential condensation of HDO over H₂O during the formation of water ice clouds^{25,27}. The presence of isotopic fractionation in condensation processes is even clearer during the NH summer, which shows a steady decrease of the D/H ratio from 4–5 VSMOW at 10 km to 2–3 VSMOW at 20–30 km, due to a lower altitude of the hygropause (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2).

The variations in the D/H ratio are found to be positively correlated with those in the water vapour volume mixing ratio (Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.7 between D/H and $\log_{10}(H_2O)$), as shown in Extended Data Fig. 1. A logarithmic relation between D/H and water vapour abundance is predicted by the isotope fractionation during condensation²⁷, which therefore appears to be responsible for the observed variations. Similarly, the observed



Fig. 3 | Seasonal evolution of the isotopic fractionation of D/H during the photodissociation of water vapour. a, Evolution of the observational coverage of ACS MIR secondary grating position 4 observations. **b**, The column-integrated photolysis rate of water vapour exhibits large seasonal variations, revealing the perihelion season to be dominant in the production of OH and H. The estimated *R* between the value representative of the lower atmosphere (D/H = 4.9 VSMOW) and its photodissociation products also shows substantial seasonal variations, showing the largest fractionation during aphelion. **c**, The relative contributions of *R*, and *R*_n to *R* demonstrate the prevalence of the latter in shaping the isotopic composition of the photolysis products.

variations of the D/H ratio appear to be related to the atmospheric temperature: while the higher values of the D/H ratio (>4 VSMOW) are found at a wide range of temperatures (170–210 K), low values of D/H (<3 VSMOW) are only measured for T < 180 K. This correlation corroborates the hypothesis of the prominent role of condensation-induced fractionation, which is predicted to increase with decreasing temperatures^{4,5,25,41}.

¹⁸O/¹⁶O is found to be much less variable than the D/H ratio. The value representative of the present-day atmospheric ratio is found to be 1.14 ± 0.08 VSMOW, which is in line with values reported in previous studies^{3,11}. We observe variations ranging between 0.9 and 1.3 VSMOW, although this level of variability is in the same range as the typical measurement uncertainty, which makes it difficult to disentangle potential variations of the isotopic ratios from statistical noise. Expectations from isotopic fractionation in condensation processes predict a preferential condensation of H₂ ¹⁸O over H₂ ¹⁶O, similar to the D/H ratio, but with a lower magnitude^{25,42,43}. The absence of measured variability does not rule out the presence of fractionation processes though, since they might be smaller than, and therefore masked by, the measurement uncertainty (Extended Data Fig. 1).

Estimations of how H_2O and HDO molecules are fractionated as they are photodissociated into lighter molecules (OH + H) are made with the photolysis code of the Global Climate Model developed at the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique^{24,44}. These calculations are combined with ACS MIR water vapour density vertical profiles to estimate the dissociation rates, which reveal the perihelion season to be dominant in the photodissociation of water vapour, with rates approximately 10–100 times greater than those found close to aphelion (Fig. 3). Our calculations suggest that the bulk of H_2O photolysis during perihelion occurs near 50–60 km in the SH, while the maximum photolysis rates during aphelion are found at 10 km in the NH (Extended Data Figs. 3 and 4). Photochemical models predict atomic H to be quickly destroyed in the lower atmosphere, while its chemical lifetime increases exponentially with altitude⁴⁵. Therefore, during the aphelion season, the formed H will quickly be destroyed while recombining with O₂ (ref. ⁴⁶). However, during perihelion, the longer lifetime of most formed H together with the intensified atmospheric circulation can potentially transport atomic H to higher altitudes^{31,33}. In fact, Chaffin et al.²² predict that an increase of the water abundance of 80 ppmv at 60 km yields an increase in the H escape flux by a factor of approximately five, and Krasnopolsky¹⁹ suggests that the hydrogen escape flux may be approximated by a function of the water abundance at 80 km. This result is consistent with measurements of the H and D Lyman α brightness, representative of upper-atmospheric atomic H and D densities, which show peak values around perihelion47-49.

We calculated the fractionation factor relating the D/H ratio in the photolysis products to the mean D/H ratio representative of water in the lower atmosphere $(R = (D/H)_{\text{products}}/(D/H)_{\text{bulk}})$, taking into account the impact of both photolysis-induced fractionation R_p (that is, fractionation due to the difference in the photolysis cross-sections of HDO and H₂O; $R_p = J_{\text{HDO}}/J_{\text{H2O}}$, where J_{HDO} and J_{H2O} are the photolysis rates of HDO and H₂O, respectively) and condensation-induced fractionation R_c (that is, fractionation due to the depletion in D/H of the parent water molecules with respect to the average D/H ratio of water in the lower atmosphere; $R_c = (D/H)_{\text{parent}}/(D/H)_{\text{bulk}}$). More details of how these calculations are performed are given in Supplementary Methods. Our calculations suggest that, while the dissociation products are expected to have a D/H ratio about 2–2.5 times lower than the average D/H ratio in the lower atmosphere (D/H_{bulk}=4.9±0.4VSMOW) dur-

ing perihelion ($R \approx 0.4$ –0.5), R would decrease to 0.2 during aphelion (Fig. 3b). However, the dissociation rates are lower during the aphelion season than during perihelion and are therefore expected to have a minor contribution to the annual average. Consequently, the annual average D/H fractionation factor is heavily weighted towards perihelion.

While condensation-induced fractionation appears to be the main driver of the variations of the D/H ratio in atmospheric water vapour, it has a minor influence on shaping the isotopic composition of the dissociation products as compared with photolysis-induced fractionation. During perihelion, at the altitudes at which photolysis is more efficient (40–60 km), while water vapour is still not affected by condensation ($R_c \approx 1$), the photolysis-induced fractionation factor is expected to be about $R_p \approx 0.4$ –0.6 (Extended Data Fig. 3). During aphelion, the role of condensation-induced fractionation increases with respect to perihelion ($R_c \approx 0.5$ –0.7), but so does the role of photolysis-induced fractionation ($R_p \approx 0.3$ –0.5), which increases due to the intensified photolysis near the surface (Extended Data Fig. 3). Besides, as previously mentioned, the role of the aphelion season in the dissociation of water vapour is expected to be negligible with respect to perihelion.

The calculations of the fractionation factor *R* are representative of photolysis below ~60 km, where the ACS MIR measurements are sensitive to HDO (Fig. 2). At these altitudes, the formed H and D will not participate in the direct escape of these species in the upper atmosphere. Instead, most formed OH and H will ultimately recombine into H₂ and H₂O and contribute to escape at much longer timescales. This is consistent with the measurement of D/H in molecular hydrogen, which was found to be approximately 2.5 times smaller than the D/H ratio in water $(R=0.4)^{16}$. Further calculations will be needed to determine the relative impact of condensation and photolysis-induced fractionation above 60 km, where the direct photolysis of water can contribute to escape at short timescales. Our results provide new insights for resolving the old paradigm regarding the role of the different mechanisms to fractionate the D/H ratio of water vapour in the lower atmosphere and that of its dissociation products, leading to the enrichment of D/H in the atmosphere as it escapes into space^{24,26,50}.

Similarly as for HDO, H₂¹⁶O molecules are expected to have a different UV absorption cross-section than H₂¹⁶O, which can impact the isotope composition of the dissociation products of water vapour and the efficiency with which ¹⁸O reaches the upper atmosphere⁵¹. We estimate that, while the dissociation products are expected to be about 2-2.5-fold depleted in D/H with respect to the ratio in water vapour in the lower atmosphere, this factor would just be 1.025 for the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio ($R_{\rm p}$ (¹⁸O/¹⁶O) \approx 0.975), revealing a much higher efficiency of ¹⁸O being transferred to the dissociation products with respect to D. This might explain the dichotomy between the high enrichment in the heavy isotope of the D/H ratio $(\sim 5 \text{ VSMOW})$ as opposed to the low enrichment in the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio (~1.14 VSMOW): while ¹⁸O is efficiently transferred to the photolysis products of water vapour, HDO molecules are less efficiently photolysed, decreasing the D/H ratio of the lighter species that ultimately escape to space. Therefore, as H and O escape from the Martian atmosphere, they will more efficiently enrich the D/H ratio than the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio. Nevertheless, it must also be noted that, while H_2O is the major reservoir of hydrogen in the atmosphere of Mars, oxygen atoms in water vapour are expected to interact with those from CO₂ and minerals in the crust, which adds an extra degree of complexity to the connection between O isotopic ratios and atmospheric escape.^{17,52,53}.

Methods

Retrieval scheme. The retrieval scheme is performed in two steps. First of all, the pressure and temperature profiles are retrieved from the CO_2 absorption in diffraction order 223, while the vertical profile of the H_2 ¹⁶O volume mixing ratio

is retrieved from diffraction order 224. Second, the pressure and temperature profiles are fixed, and the retrieved H₂ ¹⁶O volume mixing ratio is used as an a priori estimate to retrieve the volume mixing ratios of H₂ ¹⁶O, H₂ ¹⁸O and HD¹⁶O in diffraction order 224, assuming an a priori isotopic composition of ¹⁸O/¹⁶O = 1 and D/H = 5 with respect to VSMOW, and using a spectral window suitable for the derivation of the isotopic ratios. All gaseous absorption is modelled using precomputed look-up tables using line-by-line modelling. The absorption of CO₂ is modelled using the spectroscopic parameters listed in the 2016 edition of the HITRAN database⁵⁴. In the case of H₂O we use spectroscopic parameters suitable for a CO₂-rich atmosphere⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸.

Pressure and temperature profiles are retrieved from the CO₂ absorption under the assumptions of a known CO₂ volume mixing ratio, which is obtained from the Mars Climate Database⁵⁹, and an atmosphere in hydrostatic equilibrium. Traditionally, this retrieval technique consisted of retrieving a density profile from CO₂ absorption, and deriving the pressure and temperature profiles from the hydrostatic and ideal gas laws^{60,61}. In this study, the same assumptions are made, but retrieving a continuous profile of temperature and the pressure at a given tangent height $p(z_r)$. The rest of the pressure levels are recomputed on the basis of the hydrostatic equation given by

$$p(z) = p(z_r) \exp\left(-\int_{z_r}^{z} \frac{g(\bar{z})M(\bar{z})}{T(\bar{z})k_{\rm B}} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\right) \tag{1}$$

where g(z) is the gravity, M(z) is the molecular mass and $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant ($k_{\rm B}$ = 1.38 × 10⁻²³ J K⁻¹). This retrieval procedure has the advantage of updating the temperatures in each iteration, and consequently updating the temperature dependence of the strengths of the different absorption lines. To perform these retrievals, a spectral window in diffraction order 223 between 3,741 and 3,753 cm⁻¹ is selected, choosing a row from the detector frame corresponding to maximum intensity, which yields the highest signal-to-noise ratio.

The initial retrieval of the vertical distribution of H₂ ¹⁶O volume mixing ratio is also performed using the maximum-intensity row, but using a spectral window between 3,759.5 and 3,767 cm⁻¹ in diffraction order 224, which encompasses strong lines of H₂ ¹⁶O visible up to high altitudes (>100 km). Comparison of the retrieved H₂ ¹⁶O with those derived from simultaneous measurements by the near-infrared channel of ACS (NIR)³⁵ using the absorption band at 1.38 µm revealed a bias between the retrieved water vapour abundances using the two datasets when observing high water vapour densities (Supplementary Fig. 4). This bias is presumably related to the saturation of the water vapour absorption lines, which impact the sensitivity of the line along the line of sight. To reconcile the retrievals from the two datasets, we select a smaller spectral window (3,763–3,765.4 cm⁻¹) including weaker absorption lines of H₂ ¹⁶O. In this case, the retrievals are in good agreement with those retrieved from ACS NIR spectra at low altitudes (Supplementary Fig. 4).

The retrieval of the D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratios is performed using the spectral window between 3,763 and 3,765.4 cm⁻¹ in diffraction order 224. However, in this case, given the smaller number and strength of the absorption lines, five different rows from the detector frame are independently retrieved and later combined, increasing the effective vertical resolution of the measurements, as well as the confidence of the retrievals. The independent retrieved profiles of H₂ ¹⁶O, H₂ ¹⁸O and HD¹⁶O volume mixing ratio are combined using a weighted average, in which the weights are given by the inverse of the retrieved variance at each altitude ($\sigma(z)^{-2}$), after applying a correction to mitigate the effect of the a priori uncertainty in the retrieval errors^{11,62}. The uncertainty of the weighted-average profiles is calculated considering not only the retrieved uncertainties of each independent retrieval, but also the dispersion of each of the independent retrieved profiles, which is considered to provide a more accurate representation of the true uncertainty of the retrieval (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Uncertainty of the retrieval (Supplementary Fig. 5). Once the vertical profiles of the H₂ ¹⁶O, H₂ ¹⁸O and HD¹⁶O mixing ratios are calculated, it is possible to derive the D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotopic ratios following D/H = $\frac{[HD^{16}O]}{2[H_2 \cdot ^{16}O]}$ and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O = $\frac{[H_2 \cdot ^{16}O]}{[H_2 \cdot ^{6}O]}$, which are then normalized using VSMOW (D/H = 1.6 × 10⁻⁴ and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O = 2.0 × 10⁻³). The uncertainties in the isotope

ratios are then calculated using
$$\sigma_{D/H} = (D/H) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{HD}^{-16}O}{[HD^{16}O]}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{H2}^{-16}O}{[H2^{-16}O]}\right)^2}$$
 and

 $\sigma_{^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}} = ({}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{_{\text{H}_2}}}{[\text{H}_2} {}^{18}\text{O}]}{[\text{H}_2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{_{\text{H}_2}}}{[\text{H}_2} {}^{16}\text{O}]}\right)^2}.$

Although the retrievals made with the spectral window between 3,763 and 3,765.4 cm⁻¹ are accurate at the altitudes relevant for the calculation of the isotopic ratios, the absorption lines disappear at altitudes lower than those in the spectral window between 3,759.5 and 3,767 cm⁻¹. To derive H₂ ¹⁶O profiles with low uncertainties at all altitudes, we calculate the weighted average of the retrievals made with the two spectral windows. These averaged profiles are validated against simultaneous ACS NIR measurements.

Estimation of the average D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotopic ratios. Understanding the variability of the isotopic ratios is essential to disentangle the values inferred from localized measurements, which are subject to fractionating processes, and the isotopic ratio representative of the Martian atmosphere. Extended Data Fig. 1 shows a histogram of the measured values with uncertainties lower than

NATURE ASTRONOMY

0.8 VSMOW for the D/H ratio and 0.13 VSMOW for the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio, as well as their relation to other atmospheric parameters.

The observed values of the D/H ratio show variability ranging from 1–2 to 5–6 VSMOW, with uncertainties typically lying within the range 0.3–0.5 VSMOW. The estimation of the D/H ratio representative of the present-day Martian atmosphere requires the subtraction of climatological effects that impact the isotopic composition at certain times and locations. Climatological fractionation effects are expected to be minimal when observing high temperatures and water vapour abundances, when water is fully vaporized and free from condensation⁴. Under these conditions, most of the observations yield D/H ratios between 4 and 5 VSMOW, with the most frequent value being equal to 4.9 VSMOW (Extended Data Fig. 1). Higher values of the D/H ratio (5–6 VSMOW) are observed in certain instances, although this may simply be an artefact due to the intrinsic uncertainty of the measurements ($\sigma \approx 0.4$ VSMOW), as shown in Extended Data Fig. 1. Therefore, we estimate the value representative of the present-day atmosphere to be D/H = 4.9 \pm 0.4 VSMOW.

In the case of the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio, the observed variations are smaller than those observed in D/H, ranging from approximately 0.9 to 1.3 VSMOW, and showing no apparent correlation with water abundance or temperature (Extended Data Fig. 1). With typical uncertainties lying between 0.08 and 0.12 VSMOW, it is not possible to disentangle potential variations of the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio in the Martian atmosphere from the statistical noise of the instrument. From the measured ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio throughout more than one Martian year, we estimate the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio representative of the Martian atmosphere to be 1.14 ± 0.08 VSMOW, where the uncertainty corresponds to the lower bound of the typical measured uncertainties. Within the observed variations, our results are consistent with the measurement made with the Sample Analysis at Mars instrument on the Curiosity Rover, which revealed an enrichment of the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratio of 1.08 ± 0.010 VSMOW (ref. ³), and with previous solar occultation measurements made with ACS MIR secondary grating position 5, which yielded a value of 1.20 ± 0.08 VSMOW (ref. ¹¹).

Estimation of the fractionation factor between water vapour and its photolysis products. Accurate estimations of the total amount of water vapour lost

broughout Martian history from isotopic measurements require an understanding of the relative efficiencies with which the different isotopologues escape into space. This relative efficiency is represented by f_s which includes all fractionating processes from the start as H₂O molecules, until they escape into space by either thermal or non-thermal means^{15,16,18}. The escape fractionation factor is defined as

$$f = \frac{(D/H)_{escape}}{(D/H)_{bulk}} = \frac{\phi_D/\phi_H}{([HDO]/2[H_2O])_{bulk}},$$
(2)

where (D/H)_{escape} represents the ratio of escaping fluxes for deuterium ($\phi_{\rm D}$) and hydrogen ($\phi_{\rm H}$), and we estimate (D/H)_{bulk} = 4.9 ± 0.4 VSMOW. The first part of this escape process includes the photolysis of H₂O, giving rise to OH and H. The D/H ratio of the photolysis products may be estimated using

$$(D/H)_{\text{products}}(z) = (D/H)_{\text{parent}}(z) \frac{J_{\text{HDO}}(z)}{J_{\text{H_2O}}(z)},$$
(3)

where $J_{\rm HDO}$ and $J_{\rm H_2O}$ are in s⁻¹. Note that the D/H ratio of the parent molecules being photolysed might be different from the D/H ratio of the bulk of the atmosphere if water vapour is fractionated in the lower atmosphere, leading to a localized enrichment or depletion with respect to the average lower-atmosphere value. Therefore, the *R* between the isotopic composition of the photolysis products and that of the bulk of the atmosphere can be calculated as

$$R(z) = \frac{(D/H)_{\text{products}}}{(D/H)_{\text{bulk}}} = \frac{(D/H)_{\text{parent}}}{(D/H)_{\text{bulk}}} \frac{J_{\text{HDO}}(z)}{J_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(z)}.$$
 (4)

Therefore, it is the combination of the following two factors.

The fractionation between the D/H ratio of the atmospheric bulk representative of the Martian lower atmosphere, and the D/H ratio of the parent H_2O molecules, which might be subject to fractionation processes occurring in the lower atmosphere. Given that the observed variations in the D/H ratio in H_2O appear to be consistent with the expectations from condensation-induced fractionation, this factor represents the effect that condensation processes have in fractionating the isotopic composition between the bulk and the photolysis products, and is given by

$$R_{\rm c}(z) = \frac{({\rm D/H})_{\rm parent}}{({\rm D/H})_{\rm bulk}}.$$
(5)

The fractionation inherent in the photolysis of H_2O , which differentiates between the H_2O and HDO because of their different ultraviolet cross-sections²⁴ (Supplementary Fig. 9). Therefore, the fractionation factor inherent in the photolysis mechanism is given by

$$R_{\rm p}(z) = \frac{J_{\rm HDO}(z)}{J_{\rm H_2O}(z)}.$$
(6)

To estimate the efficiency of these processes to fractionate the isotopes during their photodissociation, the absorption cross-sections of H₂O and HDO are implemented in the photolysis code of the Global Climate Model developed at the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique^{24,44}. The middle panel in Supplementary Fig. 9 shows the photolysis rates of H₂O and HDO calculated for four solar zenith angles (0°, 30°, 60°, 85°) and at a Sun–Mars distance of 1.52 AU. At high altitudes, the photolysis rates of HDO and H₂O are dominated by the absorption at wavelengths $\lambda < 180$ nm, which exhibit similar cross-sections, leading to little fractionation. At lower altitudes, the absorption by CO₂ becomes important, impeding the ability of photons with $\lambda < 180$ nm to penetrate to the lower altitudes. Instead, the photolysis of H₂O and HDO at lower altitudes is driven by photons with $\lambda > 180$ nm, which yield lower photolysis rates due the lower absorption cross-section at these wavelengths, but higher fractionation between the two isotopologues.

To obtain an insight into the importance of seasonal effects on the photolysis of water and its isotopic fractionation, the photolysis rates from the model at solar zenith angle 60°, representative of mean dayside conditions, are scaled to the relevant Sun-Mars distance, and multiplied by the water vapour number densities retrieved from the ACS MIR spectra during the summer seasons in the southern and northern hemispheres (Extended Data Fig. 3a). These estimated dissociation rates show very different seasonal behaviours. During the SH summer, the stronger Hadley circulation allows water to expand into the middle atmosphere in both hemispheres, where photolysis increases, reaching a maximum of H₂O dissociation rates at approximately 50 km in the SH (104-105 cm-3 s-1), and at about 40 km in the NH $(10^3-10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1})$. On the other hand, during the NH summer, the lower altitude of the hygropause confines water vapour to the near-surface, where photolysis is very inefficient, yielding maximum dissociation rates at 10 km (10³ cm⁻³ s⁻¹). The column-integrated photolysis rates are also shown in Extended Data Fig. 3b for the perihelion and aphelion seasons observed with ACS MIR. These calculations evidence the prevalence of the perihelion season for the dissociation of water, with rates between 10 and 100 times higher than those found during aphelion.

The lower panels in Extended Data Fig. 3c show the *R* factors associated with condensation-induced and photolysis-induced fractionation. It is shown that, during the perihelion season, condensation-induced fractionation is not effective at 50 km ($R_c \approx 1$), where the dissociation rates peak. At this altitude, R_p is between 0.4 and 0.5, meaning that the photolysis products will be depleted in D/H by a factor of 2–2.5 with respect to the average D/H of water vapour in the lower atmosphere. During the aphelion season, photodissociation of water vapour peaks closer to the surface, and both condensation-induced and photolysis-induced fractionation become more important.

Similarly, the lowermost panels in Extended Data Fig. 3d show the fractionation factors integrated over the column. However, while the calculation of the photolysis-induced column-integrated fractionation factor can be performed using all altitudes at which H₂O is observed, the condensation-induced fractionation factor can be calculated using just the altitudes at which the measurements are sensitive to HDO, and missing part of the column. Therefore, the condensation-induced fractionation factor is just shown when the column-integrated photolysis rates where the measurements are sensitive to HDO (yellow points in Extended Data Fig. 3b) are at least 75% of those using all altitudes at which H₂O is observed (red points in Extended Data Fig. 3b). The results show a higher fractionation factor during the aphelion season for both photolysis-induced and condensation-induced fractionation, as compared with the perihelion season. However, as most of the photodissociation of water vapour molecules occurs during perihelion, the average *R* is expected to be heavily weighted towards perihelion.

To calculate the seasonal evolution of the column-integrated photolysis rates and fractionation factor (Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 4), these are binned in L_s with a step of 10°. The average R in each bin is calculated using the column-integrated photolysis rate as a weight. To visualize the relative role of photolysis-induced fractionation and condensation-induced fractionation in Fig. 3, we calculate their relative contributions to the total R using $C_p(\%) = \frac{1-R_p}{-(R_p+R_c)} \times 100$ and $C_c(\%) = \frac{1-R_p}{2-(R_p+R_c)} \times 100$. Similarly, as for HDO, H₂ ¹⁸O molecules are expected to have a different UV

Similarly, as for HDO, H₂¹⁸O moleculés are expected to have a different UV absorption cross-section than H₂¹⁶O, which can impact the isotope composition of the dissociation products of water vapour and the efficiency with which ¹⁸O can reach the upper atmosphere. To our knowledge, there are no available cross-sections for H₂¹⁸O. However, theoretical methods⁵¹ to quantify the photoinduced isotopic fractionation predict most noticeable differences in the absorption cross-sections of H₂¹⁸O and H₂¹⁶O for $\lambda > 180$ nm, similar to the H₂O–HDO dichotomies (Supplementary Fig. 9). However, while the enrichment function of the cross-sections of HD¹⁶O over H₂¹⁶O ($\epsilon(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm HDO}}{\sigma_{\rm HPO}} - 1\right) \times 100$) is about $\epsilon = -60\%$ for $\lambda > 180$ nm, it is only about $\epsilon = -2.5\%$ in the case of H₂¹⁸O. Considering that most of the dissociation of water occurs during the perihelion season between 40 and 60 km, while H₂¹⁶O molecules are about 2.5 times more

NATURE ASTRONOMY

ARTICLES

efficiently dissociated than the HD¹⁶O ones (R_p (D/H) \approx 0.4), they will be just about 1.025 times more efficiently dissociated than the H₂¹⁸O ones (R_p (¹⁸O/¹⁶O) \approx 0.975).

Data availability

The datasets generated by the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter instruments analysed in this study are available in the ESA Planetary Science Archive (PSA) repository, https://archives.esac.esa.int/psa, following a six months prior access period, following the ESA Rules on Information, Data and Intellectual Property. The data products generated in this study (retrieved atmospheric parameters) are available on https://github.com/juanaldayparejo/opendata.

Code availability

The spectral fitting and retrievals were performed using the NEMESIS radiative transfer and retrieval algorithm²⁹. The code can be downloaded from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4303976

Received: 14 December 2020; Accepted: 6 May 2021; Published online: 24 June 2021

References

- 1. Jakosky, B. M. Mars volatile evolution: evidence from stable isotopes. *Icarus* **94**, 14–31 (1991).
- Owen, T., Maillard, J. P., de Bergh, C. & Lutz, B. L. Deuterium on Mars: the abundance of HDO and the value of D/H. *Science* 240, 1767–1767 (1988).
- 3. Webster, C. R. et al. Isotope ratios of H, C, and O in CO₂ and H₂O of the Martian atmosphere. *Science* **341**, 260–263 (2013).
- Villanueva, G. L. et al. Strong water isotopic anomalies in the Martian atmosphere: probing current and ancient reservoirs. *Science* 348, 218–221 (2015).
- 5. Krasnopolsky, V. A. Variations of the HDO/H₂O ratio in the Martian atmosphere and loss of water from Mars. *Icarus* **257**, 377–386 (2015).
- Aoki, S. et al. Seasonal variation of the HDO/H₂O ratio in the atmosphere of Mars at the middle of northern spring and beginning of northern summer. *Icarus* 260, 7–22 (2015).
- 7. Encrenaz, T. et al. A map of D/H on Mars in the thermal infrared using EXES aboard SOFIA. *Astron. Astrophys.* **586**, A62 (2016).
- Encrenaz, T. et al. New measurements of D/H on Mars using EXES aboard SOFIA. Astron. Astrophys. 612, A112 (2018).
- Khayat, A. S., Villanueva, G. L., Smith, M. D. & Guzewich, S. D. IRTF/ CSHELL mapping of atmospheric HDO, H₂O and D/H on Mars during northern summer. *Icarus* 330, 204–216 (2019).
- 10. Vandaele, A. C. et al. Martian dust storm impact on atmospheric H_2O and D/H observed by ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. *Nature* **568**, 521–525 (2019).
- Alday, J. et al. Oxygen isotopic ratios in Martian water vapour observed by ACS MIR on board the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. *Astron. Astrophys.* 630, A91 (2019).
- 12. Villanueva, G. L. et al. Water heavily fractionated as it ascends on Mars as revealed by ExoMars/NOMAD. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabc8843 (2021).
- Carr, M. H. & Clow, G. D. Martian channels and valleys: their characteristics, distribution, and age. *Icarus* 48, 91–117 (1981).
- Baker, V. R. Water and the Martian landscape. *Nature* 412, 228–236 (2001).
 Krasnopolsky, V. On the deuterium abundance on Mars and some related
- problems. *Icarus* **148**, 597–602 (2000). 16. Krasnopolsky, V. A. Mars' upper atmosphere and ionosphere at low, medium, and high solar activities implications for avalution of water *L* Caselus Bas
- and high solar activities: implications for evolution of water. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **107**, 11-1-11-11 (2002).
- Fox, J. L. & Hać, A. Isotope fractionation in the photochemical escape of O from Mars. *Icarus* 208, 176–191 (2010).
- Cangi, E. M., Chaffn, M. S. & Deighan, J. Higher Martian atmospheric temperatures at all altitudes increase the D/H fractionation factor and water loss. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 125, e2020JE006626 (2020).
- 19. Krasnopolsky, V. A. Photochemistry of water in the Martian thermosphere and its effect on hydrogen escape. *Icarus* **321**, 62–70 (2019).
- Clarke, J. T. et al. A rapid decrease of the hydrogen corona of Mars: the Martian hydrogen corona. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 8013–8020 (2014).
- 21. Chaffin, M. S. et al. Unexpected variability of Martian hydrogen escape. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 314–320 (2014).
- Chaffin, M., Deighan, J., Schneider, N. & Stewart, A. Elevated atmospheric escape of atomic hydrogen from Mars induced by high-altitude water. *Nat. Geosci.* 10, 174–178 (2017).
- 23. Stone, S. W. et al. Hydrogen escape from Mars is driven by seasonal and dust storm transport of water. *Science* **370**, 824–831 (2020).
- 24. Cheng, B.-M. et al. Photo-induced fractionation of water isotopomers in the Martian atmosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26**, 3657–3660 (1999).
- Merlivat, L. & Nief, G. Fractionnement isotopique lors des changements d'état solide-vapeur et liquide-vapeur de l'eau á des températures inférieures á 0°C. *Tellus* 19, 122–127 (1967).

- Bertaux, J.-L. & Montmessin, F. Isotopic fractionation through water vapor condensation: the deuteropause, a cold trap for deuterium in the atmosphere of Mars. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 106, 32879–32884 (2001).
- Montmessin, F., Fouchet, T. & Forget, F. Modeling the annual cycle of HDO in the Martian atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 110, E03006 (2005).
- Korablev, O. et al. The Atmospheric Chemistry Suite (ACS) of three spectrometers for the ExoMars 2016 Trace Gas Orbiter. *Space Sci. Rev.* 214, 7 (2018).
- 29. Irwin, P. et al. The NEMESIS planetary atmosphere radiative transfer and retrieval tool. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **109**, 1136–1150 (2008).
- Rodgers, C. D. Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice (Series on Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics Vol. 2, World Scientific, 2000).
- Shaposhnikov, D. S., Medvedev, A. S., Rodin, A. V. & Hartogh, P. Seasonal water 'pump' in the atmosphere of Mars: vertical transport to the thermosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 4161–4169 (2019).
- Olsen, K. S. et al. The vertical structure of CO in the Martian atmosphere from the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. *Nat. Geosci.* 14, 67–71 (2021).
- Neary, L. et al. Explanation for the increase in high-altitude water on Mars observed by NOMAD during the 2018 global dust storm. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2019GL084354 (2020).
- Trokhimovskiy, A. et al. Mars' water vapor mapping by the SPICAM IR spectrometer: five Martian years of observations. *Icarus* 251, 50–64 (2015).
- Fedorova, A. A. et al. Stormy water on Mars: the distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. *Science* 367, 297–300 (2020).
- Aoki, S. et al. Water vapor vertical profiles on Mars in dust storms observed by TGO/NOMAD. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 124, 3482–3497 (2019).
- Fedorova, A. et al. Multi-annual monitoring of the water vapor vertical distribution on Mars by SPICAM on Mars Express. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 126, e2020JE006616 (2020).
- Montmessin, F., Forget, F., Rannou, P., Cabane, M. & Haberle, R. M. Origin and role of water ice clouds in the Martian water cycle as inferred from a general circulation model. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* 109, E10004 (2004).
- Liuzzi, G. et al. Strong variability of Martian water ice clouds during dust storms revealed from ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter/NOMAD. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 125, e2019JE006250 (2020).
- 40. Luginin, M. et al. Properties of water ice and dust particles in the atmosphere of Mars during the 2018 global dust storm as inferred from the Atmospheric Chemistry Suite. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **125**, e2020JE006419 (2020).
- Lamb, K. D. et al. Laboratory measurements of HDO/H₂O isotopic fractionation during ice deposition in simulated cirrus clouds. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 5612–5617 (2017).
- Majoube, M. Fractionation factor of ¹⁸O between water vapour and ice. Nature 226, 1242–1242 (1970).
- Casado, M. et al. Experimental determination and theoretical framework of kinetic fractionation at the water vapour-ice interface at low temperature. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 174, 54–69 (2016).
- 44. Lefévre, F. Three-dimensional modeling of ozone on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 109, E07004 (2004).
- 45. González-Galindo, F. Extension of a Martian general circulation model to thermospheric altitudes: UV heating and photochemical models. *J. Geophys. Res.* **110**, E09008 (2005).
- Lefévre, F. & Krasnopolsky, V. in *The Atmosphere and Climate of Mars* (eds Haberle, R. M. et al.) 405–432 (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- Bhattacharyya, D., Clarke, J. T., Bertaux, J.-L., Chaufray, J.-Y. & Mayyasi, M. A strong seasonal dependence in the Martian hydrogen exosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 8678–8685 (2015).
- Halekas, J. S. Seasonal variability of the hydrogen exosphere of Mars. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 122, 901–911 (2017).
- Clarke, J. T. et al. Variability of D and H in the Martian upper atmosphere observed with the MAVEN IUVS echelle channel. J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys. 122, 2336–2344 (2017).
- Krasnopolsky, V. A., Mumma, M. J. & Gladstone, G. R. Detection of atomic deuterium in the upper atmosphere of Mars. *Science* 280, 1576–1580 (1998).
- 51. Miller, C. E. & Yung, Y. L. Photo-induced isotopic fractionation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 105, 29039–29051 (2000).
- Jakosky, B. M. & Phillips, R. J. Mars' volatile and climate history. Nature 412, 237–244 (2001).
- Jakosky, B. M., Pepin, R. O., Johnson, R. E. & Fox, J. Mars atmospheric loss and isotopic fractionation by solar-wind-induced sputtering and photochemical escape. *Icarus* 111, 271–288 (1994).
- Gordon, I. et al. The HITRAN2016 molecular spectroscopic database. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 203, 3–69 (2017).
- Régalia, L. et al. Laboratory measurements and calculations of line shape parameters of the H₂O-CO₂ collision system. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* 231, 126–135 (2019).
- Devi, V. M. et al. Line parameters for CO₂- and self-broadening in the ν₁ band of HD¹⁶O. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 203, 133–157 (2017).

- 57. Devi, V. M. et al. Line parameters for CO_2 broadening in the ν_2 band of HD¹⁶O. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. **187**, 472–488 (2017).
- Devi, V. M. et al. Line parameters for CO₂- and self-broadening in the ν₃ band of HD¹⁶O. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 203, 158–174 (2017).
- 59. Forget, F. et al. Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmosphere from the surface to above 80 km. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **104**, 24155–24175 (1999).
- 60. Quémerais, E. et al. Stellar occultations observed by SPICAM on Mars Express. J. Geophys. Res. 111, E09S04 (2006).
- Montmessin, F. et al. Stellar occultations at UV wavelengths by the SPICAM instrument: retrieval and analysis of Martian haze profiles. J. Geophys. Res. 111, E09S09 (2006).
- Irwin, P. G. et al. Probable detection of hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) in Neptune's atmosphere. *Icarus* 321, 550–563 (2019).

Acknowledgements

The ExoMars mission is a joint mission of the European Space Agency (ESA) and Roscosmos. The ACS experiment is led by the Space Research Institute (IKI) in Moscow, assisted by LATMOS in France. This work was funded by Roscosmos, the National Centre for Space Studies of France (CNES), the Ministry of Science and Education of Russia, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) (PDF 178-516895-2018) and the UK Space Agency (ST/T002069/1, ST/R001502/1 and ST/P001572/1). L.R. acknowledges the support he received from the Excellence Laboratory 'Exploration Spatiale des Environnements Planétaires (ESEP)' and from CNES. M.V. is supported by DIM ACAV labelled by the Île-de-France region for the research (Domaine d'Intérêt Majeur, Astrophysique et Conditions d'Apparition de la Vie). Science operations are funded by Roscosmos and ESA.

Author contributions

Atmospheric retrievals from the ACS MIR spectra and interpretation of the retrieved parameters were performed by J.A. A.T., P.G.J.I., C.F.W., F.M., A.A.F., D.A.B. and K.S.O. provided input and help with spectral fitting and retrievals. Processing of the spectra was done at LATMOS by L.B. and at IKI by A.T. A.A.F. provided ACS NIR profiles for comparison and validation of the dataset. F.L. generated the photolysis rates of water vapour. F.M., F.L., O.K., M.V., L.R. and J.-L.B. provided input on the analysis and interpretation of the retrieved atmospheric parameters. The ACS instrument was operated by A.T., A.P. and A.S. All co-authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01389-x.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01389-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.A.

Peer review information Nature Astronomy thanks Shohei Aoki, Vladimir Krasnopolsky and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Crown 2021

NATURE ASTRONOMY

ARTICLES



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Histogram of the measured D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotope ratios, as well as their relation with the water vapour mixing ratio and temperature. Only the points with uncertainties of D/H <1 VSMOW and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O <0.13 VSMOW are shown. The black dashed lines on the histogram plots represent typical measurement uncertainties, centred at D/H = 4.9 ± 0.4 VSMOW and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O = 1.14 ± 0.11 VSMOW. The colour of the points on the plots in the middle and right columns represents the density of measured points. The red cross in the temperature panels represents the most frequently measured value of the isotope ratios at temperatures > 180 K, which we take as the average non-fractionated isotope ratios in the Martian atmosphere.

NATURE ASTRONOMY



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Evolution of the water vapour mixing ratio and the D/H and {}^{18}O/{}^{16}O isotopic ratios in the perihelion and aphelion seasons of MY34 and MY35. The different panels show the retrieved profiles separated in different seasons, with the colour of the lines representing the latitude of the observations. For the clarity of the figure, only the points with uncertainties of D/H <1 VSMOW and ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ < 0.13 VSMOW are shown.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | Comparison of the estimated impact of fractionation during photolysis for the perihelion and aphelion seasons during MY34 and MY35. **a**, Calculated H₂O dissociation rates, with the colour of the lines representing the latitude of the observations. **b**, Column-integrated photolysis rates (red dots) as a function of latitude. The yellow dots represent the column-integrated photolysis rates when using the part of the column in which the measurements are sensitive to HDO. **c**, Fractionation factor *R* between the D/H ratio representative of water vapour in the lower atmosphere and that of the photolysis products for the cases of photolysis-induced (black line) and condensation-induced fractionation (coloured lines). **d**, Column-integrated fractionation factor *R* as a function of latitude for the cases of photolysis-induced (blue dots) and condensation-induced (yellow dots) fractionation, as well as the combination of the two (red dots). The condensation-induced fractionation factor is only plotted if the column-integrated photolysis rate using the part of the column in which the measurements are sensitive to HDO (yellow dots in b) is at least 75% of that calculated when using the whole column (red dots in b).

NATURE ASTRONOMY



Extended Data Fig. 4 | Evolution of the water vapour photolysis rates and D/H fractionation factor. a, Latitudinal coverage of the ACS MIR observations, with the colour of the points representing the column-integrated photolysis rate of H_2O . **b**, Column-integrated photolysis rate of H_2O . **c**, Column-integrated photolysis rates of H_2O above (green dots) and below (yellow dots) 60 km. **d**, Column-integrated fractionation factor *R* for the cases of photolysis-induced (blue dots) and condensation-induced (yellow dots) fractionation, as well as the combination of the two (red dots).