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Highlights
- The effect of sample grain sizes on laboratory thermal maturation is investigated.
- The use of small blocks, rocks fragments, and powder were compared
- The use of small blocks lowered the amount of the generated hydrocarbons.
- Lower pore sizes and volumes are measured for the small blocks
- The impact of the sample grain sizes differs between thermal maturation systems

Abstract
To assess how the grain size/rock fabric of laboratory-matured shale samples impact oil, gas production, and the formation of pores during laboratory thermal maturation, cores (4.5 mm*1 cm) of bulk rock, millimetric equidimensional rock fragments (3*3 mm), and fine grind powder (<200µm) were obtained from an organic-rich mudstone (Kimmeridge Clay Fm. “KCF”, Yorkshire, UK) and artificially matured under anhydrous conditions. The composition of the organic matter (OM), porosity, and thermal maturity were characterized using nitrogen adsorption, Rock-Eval® VI pyrolysis, GC/TCD (Gas-Chromatography/Thermal Conductivity Detector), and GC/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry). While only a few differences in geochemical composition and porosity exist between rock fragments and powders, a greater gap is observed with the cores. Probably due to lower surface contact between the organic components themselves and clay mineral surfaces (which can act as a
catalyst of oil and gas generation) less intense OM thermal degradation processes were observed for the cores. The use of cores rather than powder and fragments results in: (i) the production of lower extractible OM concentrations; (ii) lower amounts of gas (C₁-C₅ and CO₂); (iii) lower pore volumes and smaller pore sizes during maturation. This preliminary work highlights the importance of considering the fabric of the rock being artificially matured and shows that the use of cores with an intact rock fabric (e.g. cores), closer to natural conditions, could be more suitable when studying OM thermal degradation and porosity. In comparison with previous works, this also demonstrated that depending on the maturation system used (e.g. semi-confined, confined) the differences in the amount of hydrocarbons generated and porosity observed between powder and cores during maturation could differ significantly and find their origin in different processes.
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1. Introduction

A further understanding of the variation of the porosity of mudstones during maturation is necessary to predict the spots favorable for successful exploitation of shale oil and gas (Clarkson et al., 2013; Katz and Arango, 2018; Ross and Bustin, 2009). To better explain how OM composition and thermal maturity affect organic-rich mudstone porosity, laboratory thermal maturations were increasingly used these last years since they ensure to obtain rocks of different thermal maturity from the same initial immature sample (Cao et al., 2021; Cavelan et al., 2019a, 2020a; Chen and Xiao, 2014; Guo et al., 2017; Han et al., 2019; Ko et al., 2016, 2018; Song et al., 2020, 2021; Tan et al., 2021; T. Wang et al., 2021; Y. Wang et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). Initially used to calculate kinetic parameters, assess variations of the kerogen structure, mass balance, or quantify oil generation potential, this method significantly improved our knowledge about oil and gas generation mechanisms and hydrocarbon expulsion processes (Behar et al., 2010, 2008, 2003, 1997, 1992; Behar and Vandenbroucke, 1986; Hou et al., 2021; Landais et al., 1994; Lewan, 1997; Michels et al., 1994; Monthioux et al., 1985) and their relation with the formation of pores and the storage capacity of shale reservoirs (Cavelan et al., 2019a;
Today several conventional and MBE (material balance equation) models used to estimate the gas sorption capacity of kerogen at different thermal maturity are still partly based on the knowledge acquired during these experiments (Alafnan, 2021; Alafnan et al., 2020; Ambrose et al., 2012; Ungerer et al., 2015). However, the experimental conditions (open, semi-confined, confined, the chosen duration/temperature, hydrous/anhydrous conditions, rock fabric) chosen for these maturations is known to influence OM thermal degradation processes (Behar et al., 2003; Cavelan et al., 2020a; İnan, 2000; Landais et al., 1994; Michels et al., 1995; Monthioux, 1988; Shao et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Suárez-Ruiz et al., 1994). The mineral phase, and especially clay minerals, is known to act as a support medium of the OM and a catalyst during its thermal transformations (Tannenbaum et al., 1986; Tannenbaum and Kaplan, 1985; Tissot and Welte, 2013). The relative distribution of OM and minerals in rocks, the size of the OM domains, and the degree of confinement of the system may influence oil and gas generation during burial (Michels et al., 1995, 1994; Suárez-Ruiz et al., 1994). In particular, during artificial maturation, OM diagenetic processes can be affected by the physical and morphological properties of the pyrolyzed sample (İnan, 2000; Shao et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Suárez-Ruiz et al., 1994). Probably due to better confinement achieved in core samples, these works observed different compositions and contents of hydrocarbons generated by the OM after the maturation of cores and powdered samples. In particular, they showed that the use of core samples may favor the expulsion of oil (Shao et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021). Considering the effect that the thermal degradation of OM can have on the development of mudstone porosity (Cavelan et al., 2020b; Chen and Xiao, 2014; Curtis et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2017; Hackley and Cardott, 2016; Han et al., 2019; Katz and Arango, 2018; Klaver et al., 2015; Ko et al., 2018; Löhr et al., 2015; Loucks et al., 2009; Mastalerz et al., 2013; Milliken et al., 2014; Song et al., 2021, 2020; T. Wang et al., 2021; Y. Wang et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021), we can suppose that the use of different rock particle sizes during artificial maturation may affect the thermal degradation of the OM, leading to different OM-hosted pore development. Indeed, Song et al. (2021) demonstrated that semi-closed pyrolysis of
Type-II lacustrine mudstones give different results in terms of hydrocarbon retention-expulsion mechanisms and pore development when performed on a powdered sample or cores.

Recent computation works for estimating the gas storage capacity of kerogen in shales are based on porosity parameters and in particular on Langmuir isotherms and Langmuir volumes which depend on the kerogen composition but also the porosity formed during maturation (Alafnan, 2021; Alafnan et al., 2020; Ambrose et al., 2012; He et al., 2019; Memon et al., 2020; Ungerer et al., 2015). The pore size distributions of shales may also largely influence gas-in-place calculation (Tolbert and Wu, 2015). Differences in specific surface area, pore-volume, or pore size distribution obtain between different thermal maturation conditions for the same maturity may, therefore, lead to differences in gas storage capacity and gas in place recovery estimations. Recent numerical works also demonstrated that the properties of the pore network such as the pore radius or the composition of the oils acquired during thermal maturation greatly influence the oil transport in shale micro-fractures and nanopores (Cui, 2019a). For example, the increase in the amount of generated asphaltenes may enhance the permeability of organic pores but reduce the permeability of inorganic pores and micro-fractures (Cui, 2019a), while the radius of pores and fractures controlled the permeability of shales that may impact pre-Darcy flow phenomena mechanisms (Cui, 2019b; Cui and Si, 2021). Therefore, an inaccurate estimation of these parameters (oil composition, oil quantity, pore sizes, etc.) linked to the choice of thermal maturation parameters could, for example, lead to an inaccurate estimation of the flow capacity/properties of the studied shale formation. However, to our knowledge, only Song et al. (2021) studied the impact of the rock fabric on the evolution of shale porosity during laboratory thermal maturation. This is insufficient to determine to which extent these results can be applied to all experimental thermal maturation works since they could depend on the maturation system used (semi-confined).

It is, therefore, necessary to better understand how these maturation conditions, which often differ from one study to another, affect the maturation of OM and the resulting porosity. To that end, cores, rock fragments, and powder of an immature mudstone from the Kimmeridge Clay Fm. (Yorkshire, UK) were artificially matured in autoclaves. The composition of the OM, thermal maturity, and
mesopore structure with increasing maturity were determined using nitrogen adsorption and bulk geochemical characterizations (Rock-Eval VI pyrolysis, GC-TCD (gas chromatography-thermal conductivity detector), and GC-MS (gas chromatography-mass spectrometry). The obtained data were compared with naturally matured rocks from the Vaca Muerta Fm. (Cavelan et al., 2020b, 2019b) to see which artificial sample series is closest to natural trends.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

Three cores (4.5 mm*1 cm), rock fragments “RF” (3*3 mm), and powder “PO” (<250µm) were obtained from one immature mudstone from the Kimmeridge Clay Fm. (Yorkshire, UK). The properties of this sample are presented in Tab.1. For each sample type, 1g was firstly oven-dried at 105°C for 12 hours, placed separately in gold tubes before being introduced into high-pressure autoclaves in stainless steel. Each sample was then laboratory- matured under confined and anhydrous conditions at 325°C, 390°C, and 470°C for 72 hours (600 bars, external hydrostatic pressure) with the protocol defined by Cavelan et al. (2019b).

2.2. Rock-Eval

To determine the hydrogen index (HI, mg HC./g TOC), T\text{max}, and total organic carbon (TOC, wt.%) contents of samples after maturation, 60 mg of each sample were crushed into powder and analyzed using new Rock-Eval 6® pyrolysis with the same procedure described in (Cavelan et al., 2019a). TOC was calculated from the integration of peaks S\text{1}, S\text{2}, S\text{3}CO, S\text{4}CO\text{2}, S\text{5}CO, and S\text{6}CO\text{2} (see Espitalie et al., 1985). The HI represents the amount of pyrolyzed hydrocarbons and was calculated with the following equation (equation 1):

\[ HI (mgHC/g \text{ TOC}) = \frac{S^{2} \times 100}{TOC} \quad (equation \ 1) \]
With $S_2$ the amount of hydrocarbons generated by the thermal cracking of the kerogen during the pyrolysis. The $T_{\text{max}}$ is often used as a maturity indicator and is derived from the $T_{\text{pic}}$ which is the temperature of the peak oil production during pyrolysis (see Espitalié et al., 1985).

2.3. Gas chromatography

After maturation, 2 cm$^3$ of gas were sampled with the protocol described in (Cavelan et al., 2019a) and injected in GC-TCD (Perkin Elmer® Clarus 580; Column Supelco® Carboxen 1010 Plot® Capillary: length: 30 m, film thickness: 0.15 µm, internal diameter 0.53 µm). Gas quantification was made using calibration curves and the areas of the peak of each gas on chromatograms. Then, 1g of all artificially matured samples was crushed into powdered and extracted in solvent (dichloromethane: methanol (1:1)) using ultrasonication. The extractible part of the OM (EOM) was then separated on a silica gel chromatography column and the fraction of aromatic (ARO), saturated (SAT), and polar hydrocarbons were then recovered separately by elution with different solvents using the protocol of Cavelan et al. (2020b). Asphaltene contents were obtained by the difference between the mass of the total extract and the mass of the recovered polar hydrocarbons, ARO and SAT. The compounds rich in Nitrogen, Sulfur, or Oxygen (NSO) represents the sum of the asphaltenes and polar hydrocarbons. The molecular composition of ARO and SAT were determined using a GC-MS Trace-GC Ultra (Column: Thermo Trace-Gold 5 MS, length 60 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 µm fitted by a mass spectrometer TSQ Quantum XLS. Compounds of interest in the ARO fraction were identified using retention times and mass spectra and quantified using their peak areas on ion-specific chromatograms. Squalane was the internal standard. Values of equivalent vitrinite reflectance ($R_c$, %) were then calculated using the methyldibenzothiophene ratios (MDTR), the dimethylphenanthrene ratios (DMPR), and the methylphenanthrene index (MPI-1) with the equation described by Radke et al. (1986) and Boreham et al. (1988). The mean of these three obtained $R_c$ values is the mean $R_c$ (%). Before and after maturation 60 mg of each sample were powdered and dried before being pyrolyzed using the Rock-Eval® VI method (Espitalié et al., 1985a, 1985b) to obtain: (i) the content of total organic carbon.
(TOC, in weight % of the rock); (ii) the oil-prone quality of the OM expressed as a Hydrogen Index (HI, mg of HC per g of TOC).

2.4. Vitrinite reflectance

To confirm the calculated vitrinite reflectance values obtained from biomarker ratios (Rc), vitrinite reflectance (Rv) was measured on fragments and cores after thermal maturation. Measurements were carried on polished rock sections made from cores and fragments using the preparation and calibration protocol described in (Cavelan et al., 2020b). For each sample, each Rv value is the mean of 50 random measurements.

2.5. Nitrogen adsorption measurements

After maturation, the volume, the distribution of the pore sizes (PSD), and the BET surface area were measured using nitrogen adsorption (Quantachrome® NOVA 2200e). Before analysis, each sample was crushed to a particle size < 250 µm before being oven-dried for 12 hours (110°C). The samples were then outgassed for 24h (105°C) directly in the apparatus. The volume of pores accessible to nitrogen was determined using the liquid molar volume of nitrogen adsorbed at the relative pressure (P/Po) = 0.99 with the following equation (equation 2):

\[
Pore\, volume\, (cm^3/g) = \frac{\text{amount of N}_2\, \text{adsorbed at P/Po}=0.99\, (cm^3\, \text{STP}/g)}{647\, (N_2\, \text{liquid volume ratio at 77 K})}
\] 

\text{(equation 2)}

PSDs were calculated on the adsorption isotherms using the method of Barrett et al. (1951) (often called the “BJH method”). BET surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller method applied to the adsorption isotherm (BET) between P/Po 0.03 and 0.33 (Brunauer et al., 1938). Each analysis of BET surface area was done with a minimum of 15 points. Analyses were done at the liquid nitrogen temperature (77K).

Preliminary results obtained by CO\(_2\) (Quantachrome - Autosorb-1-MP-6) and N\(_2\) (Micromeritics® ASAP -2420) adsorption measurements using the Dubinin-Radushkevich model (DR), Dubinin-Astakhov between P/Po ranging from 0.0001 to 0.01 (adsorption isotherms), and the Horwath-Kawazoe
model between P/Po ranging from 1 to 0.35 showed that the studied samples are predominantly mesoporous. Microporosity (<2 nm) represents less than 8% of the pore volume and specific surface. Therefore, only mesoporosity (2-50 nm) and part of the macroporosity (> 50 nm) were investigated in this work.

Three replicates of thermal maturations were made from the same sample for each degree of maturity and each type of rock fabric. The presented results show the mean values and standard deviations obtained for these triplicates.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Influence of particle size of the pyrolyzed rock on the amount and composition of generated hydrocarbons.

Variation of calculated (Rc) and measured vitrinite reflectance (Rv), HI, T\text{max}, and concentrations of gas, SAT, NSO, and ARO shows that the three series of samples evolved from the oil to the gas window after heating from 325°C to 470°C (Tab.2, Fig.1). Whatever the rock fabric of the samples, the early stage of oil production was reached after heating at 325°C (Rc ~0.71%). After maturation at 390 and 470°C, the decrease of the HI, SAT, ARO, and NSO contents, the increase of C1-C5 hydrocarbons gases, and CO2 concentrations indicate the entry in the gas window (Tab.2, Fig.1). This is well substantiated by the Rc of 1.28% and 2.41% calculated after heating at 390°C and 470°C (Tab.2).

Concerning the extracted OM composition, differences exist between series, especially between the cores and the two other sample types: RF and PO. The few differences observed between the PO and RF are less than 10% and are thus not significant. Even if special care has been taken to sample all series on one small and homogeneous rock, these differences can simply be explained by slight intern variations in the initial composition of the rock or by the slight differences attached to the OM extraction and analyses. These differences are therefore not sufficient to reveal any effect of the sample rock fabric on OM thermal degradation between these series. The experimental thermal maturation of powder and rock fragments gives thus comparable results and can be compared. Moreover, differences are greater
with the core series (Fig.1). The cores generated 25% less EOM (including NSO, ARO, and SAT concentrations) during the generation of oil (Rc ~0.71%). The relative proportions of ARO, SAT, and NSO are nevertheless similar between RF and PO series (~14%, 18%, and 68% respectively). A similar difference is observed during gas generation. While only a few negligible differences are observed between RF and PO during the condensate wet gas zone (Rc ~1.28%) and the dry gas zone (Rc ~2.41%), the cores generated ~ 30% fewer concentrations of C_1-C_5 and 50% to 84% fewer concentrations of CO_2 (Fig.1). All series of samples come from the same immature rock (a mudstone rich in OM) treated with the same experimental protocol, these differences are thus probably influenced by the fabric of the laboratory-matured samples. This supports previous results (İnan, 2000; Shao et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Suárez-Ruiz et al., 1994) and confirms that the fabric of the rock affects the thermal maturation of the OM and thus the production of oil and gas. These results are in agreement with the observations of Shao et al. (2018), which shows that after thermal maturation under anhydrous conditions of shale samples from the Eagle Ford, the cores generated less C_1-C_5 and CO_2 concentrations than powdered samples. However, contrary to our study, Suárez-Ruiz et al. (1994) and Song et al. (2021) found that the cores had the highest oil yield than the powdered series during artificial thermal maturation. Based on previous works (Monthioux, 1988; Monthioux et al., 1985), Suárez-Ruiz et al. (1994) proposed that the increase of the grain size (e.g using cores) favored the retention of the pyrolysis effluents, allowing an increase in (i) the retention time of the compounds in the system; (ii) in the partial pressure of the effluents at the origin of the increase in oil yield during maturation. This assumption is also supported by Song et al. (2021). The thermal maturation of Suárez-Ruiz et al. (1994) and Song et al. (2021), was carried out using an open and semi-closed pyrolysis system respectively, in which the effluents are collected progressively during maturation. In the system of Suárez-Ruiz et al. (1994) no means of confinement is applied on samples, the different degree of confinement of the two sample series during oil generation created by the better ability of the cores to retain oil is therefore very important. In our case, all samples are matured in a confined environment inside sealed gold cells under inert gas and external hydrostatic pressure. This system has two advantages: (i) whatever the rock particle size, the
effluents are trapped in contact with the sample during maturation; (ii) although the means of
confinement used in this system was not similar to the lithostatic pressure present in natural basins, it
permits to reproduce for all series a certain degree of confinement required to accurately reproduce the
thermal degradation of kerogen under laboratory conditions (Monthioux et al., 1985). According to these
differences of artificial maturation conditions, we can therefore suggest that, in our case, variations in
effluents’ retention time or in the degree of confinement induced by the sample particle size that is being
pyrolyzed are limited. The experimental device used by Song et al. (2021) reproduced both hydrostatic
and lithostatic pressure conditions. The degree of confinement used is therefore also different from our
experiments. This probably explains why we obtained so different results compared with these studies.
However, this highlights the importance of the choice of laboratory thermal maturation conditions.
Results from maturations under different conditions are not strictly comparable with each other or with
natural samples. Results from laboratory maturation experiments should therefore be interpreted with
cautions. Concerning our experiments in anhydrous, closed, and confined conditions, the processes at the
origin of the variations of oil and gas generation between our sample series are to be found elsewhere.
We can suggest that the decrease in the sample particle size has: (i) increased the contact surface between
the different OM components favoring the OM thermal degradation and increasing the yield of generated
hydrocarbons; (ii) increased the contact surface between the OM and clay minerals. Previous works
have indeed shown that the presence of Smectite or Illite (abundant in the Kimmeridge clay, Cavelan et
al., 2019a) can act as catalysts for OM thermal degradation reactions (Huizinga et al., 1987;
Tannenbaum et al., 1986; Tannenbaum and Kaplan, 1985). They showed that the strong adsorption
capacities of these clay minerals and their ability to absorb and retain the heavy polar OM components
favor the production of light, gaseous hydrocarbons, and the production of CO$_2$ during the cracking of
OM. Increasing the surface contact between OM, all the effluents and the mineral surfaces have thus
probably greatly favored the thermal degradation of the OM in the RF and the PO series compared to
the cores during our laboratory simulations. This may explain the higher oil yield of the RF and the PO
during oil generation but especially their higher C$_1$-C$_5$ and CO$_2$ concentrations during gas generation
Shao et al. (2018) found comparable results for the Eagle Ford shales but suggested that the higher production of CO\textsubscript{2} was caused by an accelerated carbonates decomposition by the organic acids released by the OM thermal degradation. However, the upper Cretaceous Eagle Ford Shale is composed of carbonates with more than 80 wt% calcite (Ko et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2018). KCF samples contain less than 25\% carbonates (Tab.1, Cavelan et al., 2019a), we can therefore conclude that the measured CO\textsubscript{2} is also partly generated from the OM thermal degradation. Comparison with gas-matured Vaca Muerta rocks with similar TOC shows that cores give the closest EOM, ARO, and NSO concentrations during gas generation (Fig.1). The use of cores during artificial maturation may be more suitable to represent natural OM thermal degradation and that the decrease in the sample particle size may create an artificial enhancement of the OM thermal degradation process in the studied laboratory conditions.

3.2. Influence on rock mesoporosity

After heating at 325°C, the volume of pores and specific surface areas of the cores were low (0.26 cm\textsuperscript{3}/100g and 2.0 m\textsuperscript{2}/g respectively) showing a poorly interconnected/low rock porosity. At this maturity stage the PO and the RF exhibit similar specific surface areas, volumes of pores, and PSDs (Fig.2A) which are mainly composed of ~50\% of mesopores and macropores (Fig.2B). Then, with increasing maturity the specific surface area and the volume of pores increase in all series due to the appearance of pores with a diameter > 10 nm (Fig.2). This evolution in porosity may mark the formation of OM-hosted pores formed in response to oil and gas production (Bernard et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2021; Cavelan et al., 2020b, 2019a; Chalmers and Bustin, 2008; Curtis et al., 2012; Han et al., 2017; Ko et al., 2018, 2016; Loucks et al., 2009; T. Wang et al., 2021). While a few differences in PSDs, specific surface areas and pore volumes were observed between RF and PO series (Fig.2), for which similar quantity and composition of generated hydrocarbons were observed (Fig.1), significant differences in PSD, specific surface areas, pore volumes, EOM, SAR, ARO, NSO, and gas content exist between core series and the other series. During the production of gas, the pore volume of the cores is systematically lower with fewer pores larger than 10 nm (Fig.2A, B). On the contrary, the cores have larger specific surface areas due to the presence of smaller pores (<10 nm). Cores generated fewer hydrocarbons (liquid and
gaseous) during thermal maturation and therefore, have a lower oil and gas yield (Fig. 1). The lower conversion of organic compounds in cores, related to the larger sample particle size, seems to lead to less or slower pore development. Thus, for similar thermal maturation conditions and maturity, porosity formed in cores is less developed, poorly connected (explaining why pores are inaccessible to nitrogen and not measurable in the oil window).

Comparison with naturally gas-matured Vaca Muerta samples showed once again, that the specific surface area and the PSD of core samples after maturation at 390°C and 470°C are the closest to the natural samples (Fig. 2A, B). However, the pore volumes of Vaca Muerta samples are lower than for RF and PO and significantly higher than for the cores (Fig. 2A). This may be related to the different mineralogy of the Vaca Muerta rocks (dominated by quartz) and KCF (dominated by clay, Cavelan et al., 2019a) which may influence the pore structure and the ability of the rock to preserve its porosity from deformation during maturation.

3.3 General implications

Considering these results and previous works (Shao et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Suárez-Ruiz et al., 1994), production, retention of hydrocarbons, and the composition of the oil and gas released by an organic-rich mudstone during artificial thermal maturation depend on the rock fabric of the pyrolyzed sample. This generates changes in the evolution of mesoporosity, specific surface areas, and pore volumes. While this confirms the close link existing between the OM thermal degradation reactions and porosity of OM-rich mudstones, it shows the importance of choosing the most appropriate thermal maturation parameters to simulate, as best as possible, the conditions of natural systems. As expected, in the light of our results natural samples seem better represented by core samples, which exhibit the most singular pore volumes and PSD after maturation and the closest features to naturally matured Vaca Muerta rocks. This suggests that confined anhydrous thermal maturation experiments previously carried out on powder and rock fragments may overestimate the amount of hydrocarbons generated and the associated pore volume that develops simultaneously. As observed between these three maturations
experiments and according to previous works, it must nevertheless be kept in mind that these results show that the magnitude of these differences is influenced by the artificial maturation conditions (degree and means of containment, hydrous pyrolysis…). Indeed, contrary to our observations in confined environments, in semi-confined conditions, the use of powder seems to underestimate the yields of generated oil and C4-C5 gases and to overestimate the yields of C1-C3 hydrocarbons (Song et al., 2021).

Predicting the differences between experimental maturation on powder, fragments or cores is, therefore, not so easy. In recent years, a great number of works that aimed to better understand the physical-chemical processes affecting the OM in source rocks, the porosity, and the storage capacity of unconventional reservoirs were based on laboratory thermal maturation experiments (e.g. Cavelan et al., 2020b, 2020c, 2019a; Gafurova et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2017; Ko et al., 2018, 2016; Song et al., 2020; T. Wang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2018). Many of these papers compare their results with each other although they often used different thermal maturation systems and sample rock fabrics. It is clear from these results that this could introduce biases into the estimations of hydrocarbon production, permeability, gas storage capacity based on these results. For example, the amount of NSO (including asphaltenes) generated during cores maturation is 35-50% lower during the oil window than for powder and fragments (Fig.1). Recent numerical models showed that the increase in the amount of generated asphaltenes may enhance the permeability of organic pores but reduce the permeability of inorganic pores and micro-fractures of shales (Cui, 2019a). The organic or inorganic pore permeability parameters of the same shale sample estimated by these mathematical models could, therefore, vary greatly for the same thermal maturity depending on the sample rock fabric used. Numerical models showed also that a change in pore sizes, distribution, connectivity, or shape will influence the permeability of the rock and its flow properties (Cui, 2019a, 2019b). Our results show that maturations on powder or fragments lead to different PSD and especially a lower number of micropores than maturations on cores. Recent computations showed that the PSD, and in particular the number of micropores in shales, has a strong influence on the gas in place calculation (Tolbert and Wu, 2015). In our thermal maturation conditions, maturations of powder and fragments may, therefore, lead to an
underestimation of the amount of gas in place calculated by these models compared to maturations on cores. In general, models for estimating the gas sorption/storage capacity of kerogen or gas in place in shales use specific surface area or pore volume data based on Langmuir models (Alafnan et al., 2021; Alafnan et al., 2020; Ambrose et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2019; Memon et al., 2020; Tolbert and Wu 2015; Ungerer et al., 2015). The differences in pore volume and sizes observed between our thermal maturation experiments on cores, fragments, and powder, therefore, show that the choice of maturation parameters is crucial to ensure consistent estimates of shale reservoirs’ properties. Most recent studies aimed to improve their models for predicting the quality and recoverability of the studied reservoirs using thermal maturation. It is therefore urgent to consider the effect of the experimental conditions used for thermal maturations to move towards standardization of thermal maturation techniques for a better and reliable understanding of the factors affecting hydrocarbon production, yields, and shale porosity (and without introducing biases due to differences in experimental maturation conditions between studies).

4. Conclusions

Laboratory thermal maturations of cores, fragments, and powder from the same organic-rich Kimmeridge Clay mudstone were compared. The results reveal that only a few differences of OM geochemical composition and porosity exist between rock fragments and powder series for each stage of maturation while cores give different results. Probably due to the lower surface contact between the organic components themselves and clay mineral surfaces (which can act as a catalyst for oil and gas generation) in cores, the OM undergoes a less effective thermal degradation characterized by (i) the production of lower SAT, ARO and NSO concentrations during oil production; (ii) lower C₁-C₅ and CO₂ concentrations during gas generation. This is marked by lower/less interconnected pore volume and smaller pores in the cores. This work shows that the amount of oil and gas and porosity may be influenced by the sample particle size used for laboratory thermal maturation. These experiments highlight, therefore, the importance of considering the particle size of the rock that is being pyrolyzed when studying OM thermal degradation and its relation with mudstones porosity. However, if these maturations in a confined and anhydrous environment on powder and fragments overestimate the
quantity of oil and C1-C3 hydrocarbons generated during thermal maturations compared to samples with
an intact fabric (i.e cores), the opposite tendency has been observed in previous maturations in semi-
confined environments. The comparison with equivalent (in terms of TOC and OM composition)
naturally gas-mature rocks from the Vaca Muerta Fm. show that cores give the closest results to natural
trends and appear thus, more suitable for artificial thermal maturation. Indeed, the use of powder or
fragments leads to significant variations in composition and amount of generated hydrocarbons, specific
surface areas, PSD, and pore volumes which can lead to a significant deviation from natural trends.
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### Table 1. Location and main properties of the studied sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleaveland Basin (UK)</td>
<td>Kimmeridge Clay</td>
<td>Ebberston87</td>
<td>69.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mineralogy (wt.%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Albite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rock Eval parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOC (wt.%)</th>
<th>S1 (mgHC/g rock)</th>
<th>S2 (mgHC/g rock)</th>
<th>IH (mgHC/g TOC)</th>
<th>IO (mg CO2/g TOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vitrinite reflectance (%)**

|       | 0.43       |

---

*(Cavelan et al., 2019b); (Cavelan et al., 2019a)*
Tab. 2. Mean calculated vitrinite reflectance (Rc), measured vitrinite reflectance (Rv), TOC, and Hydrogen index (HI) after maturation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MDTR(^2)</th>
<th>RMDTR(^3)</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>MPI-1(^4)</th>
<th>RMPI</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>DMPR(^2)</th>
<th>RDMPR</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>Mean Rc</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>HI (mg/g TOC)</th>
<th>TOC (wt.%)</th>
<th>Tmax</th>
<th>Rv (%)</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder 325°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder 390°C</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder 470°C</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)MDTR: ratio of methyl dibenzothiophene (Radke et al., 1986); \(R_{MDTR}\) (%) calculated with the equation of Radke, (1988). \(^2\)DMPR: ratio of dimethylphenanthrene (Radke et al., 1986) \(R_{DMPR}\) was calculated with the equation of Radke (1988). \(^3\)MPI-1: index of methylphenanthrene (Radke et al., 1986). \(R_{MPI-1}\) calculated with the equation of Boreham et al. (1988) for \(R_v\) between 0.3-1.7% and \(R_v\) > 1.7%.
Fig. 1. Evolution of Extractable Organic Matter (EOM), NSO, saturated, aromatic, $C_1-C_5$ hydrocarbon gases, and CO$_2$ concentrations as a function of the sample type during maturation. Comparison with Vaca Muerta samples (similar composition of the OM with the Kimmeridge Clay, Vitrinite reflectance $= 1.65$ and 1.69%, TOC = 5.0 and 6.7 wt.%, HI = 43 and 19 mg/g HC, Cavelan et al., 2019a).
Fig. 2. A) Pore volume, BET surface area, and B) BJH pore size distribution for the different rock fabric after artificial maturation. B) displays the relative contribution to the total pore volume of pores over any range of pore sizes. Comparison with Vaca Muerta samples (Cavelan et al., 2020b, 2019b). The PSD curves presented in the figure are considered to be the most representative among the three triplicates but PSD curves obtained for each triplicate are comparable (less than 10% of variation).
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