

Soil and Aboveground Carbon Stocks in a Planted Tropical Mangrove Forest (Can Gio, Vietnam)

Truong van Vinh, Cyril Marchand, Khanh Tran Vu Linh, Adrien Jacotot,

Thanh Nho Nguyen, Michel Allenbach

► To cite this version:

Truong van Vinh, Cyril Marchand, Khanh Tran Vu Linh, Adrien Jacotot, Thanh Nho Nguyen, et al.. Soil and Aboveground Carbon Stocks in a Planted Tropical Mangrove Forest (Can Gio, Vietnam). Wetland Carbon and Environmental Management, 2021. insu-03388141

HAL Id: insu-03388141 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03388141v1

Submitted on 21 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Soil and above-ground carbon stocks in a planted tropical mangrove forest (Can Gio,				
2	Vietnam)				
3					
4	Truong Van VINH ^{a,b,c} , Cyril MARCHAND ^{b,c,*} , Tran Vu Khanh LINH ^a , Adrien JACOTOT ^{b,c,d} ,				
5	Nguyen Thanh NHO ^e , Michel ALLENBACH ^c				
6					
7					
8 9	^a Department of Forest Resources Management, Faculty of Forestry, Nong Lam University HCMC, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam				
10	^b IMPMC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Sorbonne Université, CNRS,				
11	MNHN, Noumea, New Caledonia, France				
12	^c Université de la Nouvelle-Calédonie (UNC), ISEA, EA 7484, Noumea, New Caledonia, France				
13	^d Université d'Orléans, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071, Orleans, France				
14	^e Faculty of Environmental and Food Engineering, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Vietnam				
15					
16	*Corresponding author: cyril.marchand@unc.nc				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					

- 24 Abstract
- 25

26 Can Gio mangrove is the largest in Vietnam, developing on approximately 35000 hectares. This forest was partially destroyed during the Vietnamese war. A restoration 27 28 program was developed between the late 70s and the early 90s, using *Rhizophora apiculata* 29 Blume propagules. Currently, the Can Gio mangrove forest regenerates naturally and presents 30 a specific species zonation along the intertidal elevation gradient. *Rhizophora* dominates the 31 inner forest at the highest elevation, while at an intermediate location, Rhizophora and 32 Avicennia cohabit with other scattered species. The lowest position is colonized by Avicennia. Within this context, the main objectives of this study were to determine the soil 33 physicochemical characteristics, as well as the quality (C/N ratios and δ^{13} C) and the quantity 34 35 (carbon content and stocks) of the organic matter stored beneath each mangrove stand. In 36 addition, we were interested in determining the above-ground biomass and the total carbon 37 stocks of the ecosystem (without considering the below-ground biomass). Carbon stocks of the Can Gio mangrove forest ranged from 150 to 479 Mg C ha⁻¹, with up to 86 % of the C 38 stored in the upper meter of the soil. The inner forest has the highest stock, followed by the 39 40 transitional forest, and the fringe forest. The depth extension of the root system of the current 41 forest was estimated, and its contribution to the soil carbon stock was calculated, using the 42 adjacent mudflat as a proxy for the antecedent stocks. Our results show that, for the last 40 43 years, the current mature planted *Rhizophora* forest stored 25.26 Mg C ha⁻¹. Consequently, 44 mangrove plantation and restoration after the war was a success in terms of carbon storing. 45 We suggest that the destruction of the Can Gio mangrove forests for urban development would induce the loss of an efficient CO₂ sink. 46

47

48 **1. Introduction**

49 Mangroves forests cover around 137760 km² between 30° N and 40° S, with the largest percentage of their surface observed between 20° N and 20° S (Giri et al., 2011). Mangroves 50 51 provide significant ecosystem services such as habitat for various terrestrial and marine animals 52 that are critical for the coastal biodiversity in the tropics (Alongi, 2008; Mumby et al., 2004; 53 Nagelkerken et al., 2008; Saenger, 2002), reef against erosion and natural disasters (Alongi, 54 2008; Barbier, 2006), or even as a trap for suspended and contaminant materials (Kathiresan, 55 2004; Rivera-Monroy et al., 1999). In addition, mangroves strongly contribute to the economic growth of emerging countries (Mukherjee et al., 2014), providing charcoal, firewood, and 56 57 construction materials for local communities.

Due to their high productivity (average of 218 ± 72 Tg C yr⁻¹) (Bouillon et al., 2008), 58 59 the anoxic character of their soils that limits organic matter degradation (Kristensen et al., 2008), their high storage capacity (Breithaupt et al., 2012) and their global distribution, 60 61 mangroves play a key role in carbon cycling in the coastal ocean (Kauffman et al., 2011). 62 Mangroves can store carbon both in their biomass and soils; however, this ability depends on many parameters and may be highly variable. Their biomass varies notably according to latitude 63 64 with higher biomass under the tropics (Saenger and Snedaker, 1993), climate with higher biomass under the wet than under the dry tropics (Adame et al., 2013), and even the age of the 65 66 forest (Fromard et al., 1998). In addition, a large part of the carbon content may be stored in 67 their soil, with up to 98 % and up to 90 % for estuarine and coastal mangroves, respectively (Donato et al., 2011). Their potential soil carbon storage was recently estimated at 1023 Mg C 68 ha⁻¹ (Donato et al., 2011), much higher than other highly productive ecosystems, such as rain 69 forests (218.6 Mg C ha⁻¹), peat swamps (370.1 Mg C ha⁻¹), or salt marshes (537.8 Mg C ha⁻¹) 70 71 (Alongi, 2014). However, mangrove soil carbon stocks depend also on various parameters, such 72 as the latitudinal position of the mangrove, the tree species developing at the surface, soil salinity, and even nutrient availability (Adame et al., 2013; Alongi, 2002; Jacotot et al. 2018;
Kauffman et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2015; Sanders et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013).
Particularly, their position within the tidal zone or along an estuary appears to be critical to their
potential soil carbon storage capacities. For example, in an estuarine mangrove forest
developing in Mexico, Adame et al. (2015) reported soil carbon stocks ranging from 744 to 912
Mg C ha⁻¹ for the upper estuary and from 537 to 1115 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the lower estuary.

79 Recently, Vietnam became the first Asian country to implement a national program of 80 payment for forest environmental services (PFES). In Vietnam, mangroves cover around 81 270000 ha (FAO, 2015) with more than 80 % distributed in the southern part of the country, 82 below 10° N latitude (Hawkins et al., 2010). The Can Gio mangrove, located between Ho Chi 83 Minh City (HCMC) and the South China Sea (Bien Dong in Vietnamese), is actually the largest 84 contiguous mangrove in Vietnam with a surface of around 35000 ha. During the Vietnamese 85 war (1964-1971), approximately 57% of this mangrove was destroyed by the spraying of a 86 mixture of herbicides and defoliants (Ross, 1975). After the war, in the late 70s and early 90s, 87 a vast reforestation program was implemented by the HCMC Forest Department, and most of 88 the Can Gio area was replanted using *Rhizophora apiculata* Blume propagules collected in the 89 Mekong Delta. In January 2000, the mangrove forest of Can Gio was registered in the UNESCO 90 World Network of Biosphere Reserves list. In addition, the environmental value of the Can Gio 91 mangrove forest was taken into account in the National Strategy on Climate Change and Sea 92 Level Rise by the Vietnamese government. Currently, the Can Gio mangrove forest is highly 93 diversified, with a total of 77 mangrove species (35 true mangroves and 42 associates) (Tri et 94 al., 2000), whose main species present a specific zonation along the intertidal elevation 95 gradient. This zonation includes, from the lowest to the highest position: i) a fringe forest that 96 is dominated by Avicennia alba; ii) a transitional forest, composed of a mixture of R. apiculata 97 A. alba, A. officinalis and sparse Excoecaria agallocha and Sonneratia alba; and iii) an inner

98 forest, which is mainly composed of mature R. apiculata. It was recently demonstrated that the 99 mineralization of mangrove-derived organic matter has a key role in sustaining coastal food 100 webs in the Can Gio estuary (David et al. 2018a, David et al. 2019). Recent studies also showed 101 that the total carbon stocks in the Can Gio mangrove forest could reach 1000 Mg C ha⁻¹ (Dung 102 et al., 2016; Nam et al., 2016) and that the below-ground carbon accumulation rates may reach 3.24 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (MacKenzie et al., 2016). However, these studies were interested neither in 103 104 soil organic carbon (SOC) quality nor in the influence of soil elevation on carbon stocks of the 105 different stands.

106 Therefore, the main objectives of this study were: i) to evaluate the soil physicochemical 107 parameters, the organic matter quality, and the vegetation characteristics of three different 108 mangrove stands and of the adjacent mudflat developing along the elevation gradient, ii) to 109 determine the carbon stocks in the biomass and in the first meter of soil, and iii) to calculate the 110 soil carbon stocks related to forest plantation. We hypothesized that carbon stocks in the soil 111 and in the biomass depend on the mangrove species and, therefore, to the position of the stand 112 along the intertidal elevation gradient. To reach our goals, core samples were taken within each 113 of the four stands. Supplementary cores were also collected in the adjacent mudflat to serve as 114 a soil reference (i.e., before the colonization of the soil by the mangrove). Then, physicochemical parameters (pH, redox, and salinity) and the distribution of C/N ratios and 115 116 δ^{13} C stable isotopes with depth in each stand were measured. Carbon stocks were determined 117 by combining bulk density and total organic carbon content for the soil and by using biomass 118 measurements with specific (Vinh et al., 2019) and generic allometric equations for the above-119 ground stocks.

- 120 **2. Methods**
- 121 **2.1. Study site**

122 The present study was conducted in the Can Gio mangrove forest in Southern Vietnam 123 (Fig. 1a). This mangrove forest of around 35000 ha is the largest contiguous mangrove in 124 Vietnam. Located in the district of Can Gio, one of the 24 districts of Ho Chi Minh City, the 125 Can Gio mangrove forest is at the deltaic confluence of the rivers Sai Gon, Dong Nai, and Vam 126 Co, flowing into the East Sea (Bien Dong in Vietnamese). The Can Gio mangrove forest is 127 composed of several species that follow a specific zonation determined by soil elevation. From 128 the lowest to the highest intertidal zone, the forest is composed of a mudflat that is totally 129 denuded of vegetation; a fringe forest, dominated by Avicennia spp. (mainly A. alba); a 130 transitional forest, mainly composed of R. apiculata, A. alba, A. officinalis, as well as sparse 131 Excoecaria agallocha and Sonneratia alba; and an inner forest dominated by mature R. 132 apiculata.

133 The climate in this region is typically monsoonal (type Am in Köppen-Geiger 134 classification) with two main seasons: a wet season from May to November and a dry season 135 from December to April. The annual average rainfall is 1816 mm, spread over 154 rainy days, 136 with approximately 80 % of the rainfall occurring during the wet season. As a result, the flow 137 of sediments transported by the river crossing the mangrove forest during the wet season is 138 elevated (~160 million tons (Milliman and Meade, 1983)), leading to the accretion of the deltaic 139 plain downstream. Conversely, during the dry season, the fresh water flow is strongly reduced, 140 and the mangrove forest is severely affected by saline intrusions. The Can Gio estuary is 141 characterized by irregular semi-diurnal tides with a tidal range of 2 to 4 m.

142

2.2. Field measurements and carbon stocks

143 2.2.1 Elevation

The elevation of each stand relative to mean sea level (MSL) was measured with a dyetype tide gauge (Clough, 2014; English et al., 1997; Schmitt and Duke, 2014). First, the cotton

146 tape was soaked in a water soluble food dye and attached to a wooden stake of about 2.5 m in 147 length. At low tide, the wooden stakes were inserted deeply into the soil starting from the river 148 edge. The distance between the two columns was 2 m in the mudflat, 5 m in the fringe forest, 149 and then every 10 m until the inner forest. After high tide, we measured the height of the 150 washout line above the ground (Albers and Schmitt, 2015; Clough, 2014). In this study, data 151 from the Vung Tau tide station, Viet Nam (10.3333° N, 107.0667° E) were used to report our 152 data relative to MSL in this zone. The MSL at Vung Tau tide station in 2016 was 2.16 m. To 153 determine MSL at the study site, the following equation was used: MSL = highest tide value – 154 MSL at Vung Tau. Monthly and annual mean sea levels and the daily tide series are collected 155 and published by the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (Pissierssens, 2002).

156 2.2.2. Cores collection and soil physicochemical parameters

157 Soil cores were collected in triplicate with a gouge auger (1 m long, 3 cm wide) attached 158 to a cross handle. Cores were collected during the dry season of 2016 and the wet season of 159 2017 from the surface to a depth of 100 cm in each mangrove stand as S1 in the mudflat, S2 in 160 the fringe forest, S3 in the transitional forest, and S4 in the inner forest (Fig. 1c). The auger was 161 carefully inserted into the soil to minimize disturbance of the core surface, twisted, and finally 162 removed, following the method used by MacKenzie et al. (2016). Each core was then separated into different depth intervals: 0 - 2.5 cm, 2.5 - 5 cm, 5 - 7.5 cm, 7.5 - 10 cm, 10 - 15 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 10 - 15 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 10 - 15 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 15 - 10 cm, 10 - 15 163 164 20 cm, 20 - 25 cm, 25 - 30 cm, and then every 10 cm from 30 to 100 cm. For each interval, one subsample of a known volume was collected and placed in a zippered bag that was 165 166 immediately sealed in aluminum foil to minimize gas exchange and stored in a frozen box until 167 it reached the laboratory. All samples were, then, dried by freeze-drying at -52 °C until a constant weight was achieved. Finally, the dry bulk density (DBD) of each sample was 168 169 determined by dividing its dry mass by its fresh volume.

Additional cores were collected to measure pore-water salinity, redox potential (Eh),
and pH. Pore-water salinity was measured with a hand-held refractometer. Redox potential and

pH were measured using, respectively, a combined Pt-Ag/Ag-Cl electrode and a glass electrode,
both connected to a pH/mV/T meter (Marchand et al., 2004). The pH electrode was calibrated
prior to sampling using three standard solutions of pH 4, 7, and 10 at 25 °C (National Institute
of Standards and Technology, USA), and the redox electrode was checked prior to utilization
with a 0.43 V standard solution and demineralized water (Marchand et al., 2004; Thanh-Nho et al., 2017).

178 2.2.3. Soil TOC, TN,
$$\delta^{13}$$
C, and soil carbon stocks

179 Soil total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and δ^{13} C values were determined 180 using an elemental analyzer coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Integra2, Sercon, 181 UK). The analytical precisions of the analyzer were checked using the IAEA-600 caffeine 182 standard (IAEA Nucleus) and were less than 1 % for TOC, 0.15 % for N, and 0.3 % for δ^{13} C. 183 All the analyses were performed at the French Institute for the Sustainable Development (IRD) 184 of Noumea, New Caledonia, France. The δ^{13} C values are reported permil (‰) deviations from 185 a Pee-Dee Belemnite (PDB) limestone carbonate as the standard using the following equation:

186
$$\delta^{13} C(\%_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}_{sample} \\ -1 \\ \frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}_{standard} \end{pmatrix} \times 1000$$
(Eq. 1)

187 The soil carbon stocks of each mangrove stand (Mg C ha⁻¹) were determined using the
188 following equation:

2.2.4. Above-ground carbon stocks

In November 2016, three transects (150 m long and 20 m wide) were established following an elevation gradient in the mangrove forest (Fig. 1c). All transects encompassed the four different stands of the mangrove (i.e., the mudflat, the fringe, the transitional, and the inner forests). All trees within the transects were counted and their DBH at 1.3 m above the soil were measured, except for *R. apiculata*, whose diameters were measured just above the highest prop 196 root.

For *R. apiculata*, the above-ground biomass was calculated using the allometric
equation specifically developed for this species in Southern Vietnam (Vinh et al., 2019):

199

$$WR_{Total} = 0.38363 * DBH^{2.2348}$$
 (Eq. 3)

For the other species, the above-ground biomass was determined using the common allometricequations developed by Komiyama et al. (2005).

202
$$W_{Total} = 0.251 * DBH^{2.46}$$
 (Eq. 4)

where, in Eq. 3, WR_{Total} is the total above-ground biomass of *R. apiculata* (kg), and D is the diameter above the highest prop root of *R. apiculata* (cm); and in Eq. 4. W_{Total} is the total aboveground biomass biomass of the other species (kg), and DBH is the diameter at breast height of the other species (cm).

Above-ground carbon stocks were then estimated by multiplying the above-ground biomass by a carbon conversion factor. Two conversion factors were used in this study: 0.4409 for *R.apiculata*, which is the specific carbon conversion factor for this species (Vinh et al., 2019), and 0.451 for the other species (Hiraishi et al., 2014). Eventually, the total above-ground carbon stocks per area (Mg C ha⁻¹) were calculated by summing the above-ground carbon stock of *R. apiculata* and the one for the other trees using the tree density per hectare.

213 **2.3.** Statistical analyses

A parametric two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the significant effects (p < 0.05) of seasons and sites on Eh, pH, and pore-water salinity. A two-way ANOVA was also applied to assess the significant differences (p < 0.05). A Student's t-test was used to test significant differences (p < 0.05) between δ^{13} C values, C/N ratios, and C concentrations of an upper layer from 0 to 40 cm and a lower layer from 40 to 100 cm, and for Eh and pH for the transitional forest and inner forest. All statistical analyses were performed using XLSTAT software version 2017.4 for Mac OS10.13.4.

221 **3. Results**

222

3.1. Can Gio mangrove distribution

The relative elevations of the soil surface at the four sampling stations increased from the river toward the inner forest. The *A. alba* forest developed between +20 and +30 cm above MSL, the transitional forest between +30 and +40 cm, and the *Rhizophora* stand between +40and +75 cm above MSWL (Fig. 2).

The fringe forest had a mean DBH value of 10.7 cm, a tree density of 1327 ind ha⁻¹, and a basal area of 11.9 m² ha⁻¹. The latter parameter increased to the inner forest with a value reaching 28.6 cm; the inner forest was also characterized by the highest mean DBH, 18.6 cm. The transitional forest was characterized by the highest tree density, 3727 individuals per hectare (ind ha⁻¹), and the lowest DBH, 8.5 cm (Table 1).

3.2. Soil physicochemical parameters (pore-water salinity, Eh, and pH)

233 Pore-water salinity remained relatively stable along the core profiles during both seasons 234 in the mudflat as well as in the fringe and the transitional forests, with values ranging from 10.0 235 to 23.3, 18.0 to 28.3, and 12.0 to 30.0, respectively (Fig. 3 a, d, g). However, in the inner forest, 236 pore-water salinity increased from 10.0 and 27.3 at the soil surface to 18.0 and 31.7 at 20 cm 237 depth, during the dry and the wet season, respectively. Below 20 cm, pore-water salinity 238 remained stable until 100 cm of depth (Fig. 31). When integrating the entire sampled profile, 239 the season had a significant effect (p < 0.001) on pore-water salinity, with higher values during 240 the dry season in all stands, with the exception of the transitional forest where no significant 241 differences (p > 0.05) in mean pore-water salinity were observed. In addition, pore-water salinity was significantly different between the four zones in both seasons (p < 0.001). Mean 242 243 values were 20.07 ± 1.78 and 12.47 ± 3.48 in the mudflat, 24.8 ± 1.8 and 19.8 ± 1.3 in the fringe 244 forest, 19.0 ± 1.8 and 19.5 ± 3.5 in the transitional forest, and 29.5 ± 2.8 and 16.3 ± 4.5 in the 245 inner forest, for the dry and the wet season, respectively. Consequently, pore-water salinity increased along the intertidal elevation gradient with lower values at the lowest position andthe higher values in the higher position.

248 In the four zones, Eh values decreased with depth, from 150 to -50 mV in the mudflat, 249 from -50 to -250 mV in the fringe and the transitional forests and from -50 to -400 mV in the 250 inner forest (Fig. 3 b, e, h, m). When integrating the whole cores, from the top to the bottom, at 251 100 cm depth, Eh significantly (p < 0.001) decreased from the mudflat to the inner forest. Mean 252 values were -10.7 ± 104.9 and 46.6 ± 90.9 mV in the mudflat, -52.2 ± 70.0 and -107.9 ± 84.1 253 mV in the fringe forest, 44.7 ± 104.5 and -118.9 ± 93.6 mV in the transitional forest, and -268.9254 \pm 185.9 and -117.4 \pm 57.2 mV in the inner forest, for the dry and the wet season, respectively. 255 However, although Eh was higher during the dry season than during the wet season, these 256 differences were not significant in any of the stands (p > 0.05, Table 2). Finally, Eh values in 257 the upper layer (0 - 40 cm) were significantly different (p < 0.05) from the lower layer (40 - 100 cm)258 100 cm) for both the transitional forest and inner forests.

259 Concerning pH, values did not vary significantly during both seasons in the mudflat, 260 with values ranging from 6.9 to 7.5 (Fig. 3c, f, k and n). For the other stands, pH values varied 261 with depth and with season, from 6.2 to 7.6. When integrating the whole core (i.e., from the soil surface to 100 cm depth), pH values were significantly different between stands (p < 0.001), 262 263 with higher mean values in the mudflat, followed by the fringe and the transitional forests, and 264 with the lowest mean value in the inner forest. In addition, there were significant differences (p 265 < 0.05) between the upper layer (0 - 40 cm) and the lower layer (40 - 100 cm) for both the 266 transitional and inner forests.

267

3.3. Soil organic matter characteristics (DBD, TOC, TN, δ^{13} C, and C/N ratios)

For all stands, DBD values remained stable along the soil profile, from the surface to 100 cm depth. However, mean DBD values for the first meter of soil were statistically different (p < 0.001) between each stand. The mean DBD values were 0.52 ± 0.06 , 0.62 ± 0.02 , $0.63 \pm$ 271 0.03, and 0.64 \pm 0.08 g cm⁻³ in the mudflat, the fringe, the transitional, and the inner forests, 272 respectively.

273 In the mudflat and in the fringe forest, TOC values were stable from the soil surface to 274 the bottom of the core, with mean values of 2.42 ± 0.34 and 3.2 ± 0.27 %, respectively (Fig. 4 275 a). In the transitional and the inner forests, TOC values between the upper (0 - 40 cm) and the 276 deep layers (40 - 100 cm) were significantly different (p < 0.05). Mean TOC values were 4.14 277 \pm 1.05 and 7.03 \pm 3.10 % for the transitional forest and 4.36 \pm 1.31 and 6.75 \pm 2.80 % for the 278 inner forest for the upper and the deep layers, respectively (Fig. 4 a). In addition, the average 279 TOC values for the first meter of soil were significantly different (p < 0.001) between the four 280 zones.

C/N ratios were relatively stable throughout the entire sampled soil profile in the mudflat 281 282 and in the fringe forest with values ranging from 11.2 to 12.1 and from 11.2 to 15.7, respectively 283 (Fig. 4 b). However, similar to TOC, C/N ratios between the upper 40 cm of soil in the 284 transitional and inner forests were statistically different (p < 0.05) when compared to the lower 285 60 cm. The mean values of C/N ratios were 12.2 and 18.2 for the transitional forest and 17.5 286 and 25.7 for the inner forest for the upper and the lower layers, respectively (Fig. 4 b). In addition, when considering the complete sampled profile, C/N ratios were significantly 287 288 different (p < 0.001) between the four stands and increased landward. The mean values were 289 11.61 ± 0.22 , 12.08 ± 1.09 , 14.44 ± 4.53 , and 22.78 ± 5.49 for the mudflat, the fringe forest, the 290 transitional forest, and the inner forest, respectively.

291 Concerning δ^{13} C, in the mudflat and in the inner forest, the values slightly increased 292 from -30 ‰ and -32 ‰ at the soil surface to -27 ‰ and -31 ‰ at 20 cm depth and then remained 293 relatively stable until the bottom of the cores (Fig. 4 c). In the fringe forest, δ^{13} C values rapidly 294 dropped from -27 ‰ at the soil surface to -32 ‰ at 10 cm depth, and then gradually increased 295 to a mean value of -29‰ from 20 to 80 cm depth. However, a second rapid drop to -34 ‰ was observed in the profile at 90 cm depth (Fig. 4 c). Finally, in the transitional forest, δ^{13} C values decreased from -29 ‰ at the soil surface to -30 ‰ at 25 cm depth and then remained stable until 100 cm depth (Fig. 4 c). When integrating the entire core, the mean δ^{13} C were significantly different between the four zones (p < 0.001), with mean values of -28 ± 0.8 ‰, -30 ± 2.3 ‰, -29 ± 1.4 ‰, and -31 ± 0.3 ‰ in the mudflat, the fringe, the transitional forest, and the inner forests, respectively.

302

3.4. Carbon stocks in the above-ground biomass and in the soils of the different stands

Above-ground carbon stocks were estimated at 24.3 ± 5.1 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the fringe 303 forest, 91.7 \pm 29.4 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the transitional forest, and 118.8 \pm 9.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the inner 304 305 forest. In addition, above-ground carbon stocks were significantly different between the 306 different stands (p < 0.001). No above-ground biomass was measured in the mudflat due to the 307 absence of vegetation in this zone. Soil carbon stocks increased with the elevation gradient, 308 with higher values in the inner forest (360.4 Mg C ha⁻¹), followed by the transitional forest 309 (219.8 Mg C ha⁻¹), the fringe forest (157.6 Mg C ha⁻¹), and the mudflat (150.2 Mg C ha⁻¹) (Fig. 310 5). In addition, soil carbon stocks were significantly different between the different stands (p < 1311 0.001). Eventually, the total carbon stocks (without the below-ground biomass) were 150.2 \pm 19.6, 181.9 ± 24.9 , 311.5 ± 28.1 , and 479.2 ± 32.6 Mg C ha⁻¹, for the mudflat, the fringe, the 312 313 transitional forest, and the inner forest, respectively (Fig. 5).

314 **4. Discussion**

315 4.1. Mangrove zonation in Can Gio

Between 1978 and 1994, a vast mangrove reforestation program was undertaken with *R. apiculata* as a primary species (Hong and San, 1993). When *Rhizophora* stands were established, the mangrove area extended through natural regeneration and rapid colonization of mudflats along riverbanks notably by *A. alba*, which can be considered as a pioneer species 320 (see for example Balke et al. (2011); Brunt and Davies (2012); Naidoo and Naidoo (2017); 321 Proisy et al. (2009)) (Fig. 2 a, b). This fringe forest developed at lower elevations as compared 322 to the R. apiculata forest and was separated from the latter by a transitional forest composed of 323 several species. Mangrove zonation often manifests itself as a mosaic that varies according to 324 physical, biological, and chemical interactions established between plant and substrate in a 325 given area. Pore-water salinity was often considered as the main driver of the zonation 326 (Banerjee et al., 2013; Marchand et al., 2012; 2011), because mangrove plants have different 327 abilities to cope with this factor (Ellison, 1998; Mckee, 1993; Walsh, 1974). For instance, under semi-arid climate, pore-water salinity increased landward, where evaporation processes were 328 329 intense due to the rare periods of immersions and low precipitation and could reach to a value 330 greater than 50. As a consequence, Avicennia trees, which can cope with high salinity (Kendall 331 and Skipwith, 1969; Khan and Aziz, 2001; Marchand et al., 2004; Ukpong, 1997), developed 332 at higher tidal position than the Rhizophora trees, which colonized the seaward zone of the 333 mangrove forest. In Can Gio, the zonation was the opposite because pore-water salinity never 334 reached such high values. In fact, pore-water salinity increased from the fringe forest to the 335 interior forest due to its higher elevation that induced more evaporation, but it was never higher 336 than 30. The Can Gio mangrove forest is an estuarine mangrove with freshwater inputs from 337 the Sai Gon and the Dong Nai Rivers. Along the estuary, salinity values ranged from 2 to 26 338 during the year; and even at the mouth of the estuary, salinity never reached the value of 339 seawater, possibly due to the high freshwater inputs from these two rivers and also from the 340 Mekong delta, which is further south of the study site (David et al., 2018b; Thanh-Nho et al., 341 2018). Furthermore, during the rainy season, the intense rainfall brought additional freshwaters 342 that induce a dilution of pore-water salinity, with mean values for the mature Rhizophora forest 343 decreasing from 29 to 16. In the latter stand, salinity also increased with depth, notably because 344 dilution with rainwater occurred in the upper sediment during the rainy season, but also possibly

because dissolved salts could migrate at depth through convection processes and accumulatethere (Marchand et al., 2004).

347

4.2. Influence of mangrove development on soil properties

348 Organic carbon (OC) content in mangrove sediments usually ranges from 0.5 % to 15 %, 349 with a median value of 2.2 % (Kristensen et al., 2008). In the Can Gio forest, soil OC increased 350 with increasing elevation landward, ranging from 2.42 % in the low intertidal zone to 5.82 % 351 in the high intertital zone, indicating that the interior forest had accumulated a larger organic 352 carbon stock. The interior forest studied here is composed of mature Rhizophora trees, which 353 were planted in 1978. Therefore, mangrove-derived organic matter had accumulated in its soil 354 for almost 40 years at the date of the coring. Rhizophora trees under tropical climates as in 355 southern Vietnam are highly productive (Alongi, 2014), which can positively increase soil 356 carbon stocks. In addition, this stand was the furthest from the tidal creek; and as a consequence, 357 tidal flushing of leaf litter was reduced. Leaf litter can accumulate and increase the soil carbon 358 stocks. Conversely, due to their more recent development, lower productivity, and proximity to 359 tidal creeks, the soils of the fringe stand composed of A. alba contained less organic carbon 360 than the mature inland Rhizophora forest.

361 These gradients of elevation, length of tidal immersion, and carbon content strongly 362 influence redox conditions and pH of the soil. Redox potential (Eh) decreased with increasing 363 elevation from the mudflat to the mature Rhizophora stand. Additionally, within the Rhizophora 364 stand, the redox condition became rapidly anoxic with depth (Fig. 3). We suggest that the higher 365 organic content beneath this stand induced a higher electron acceptor demand, which were less 366 renewed by the tides due to its high position in the tidal zone. The higher redox values measured 367 beneath the Avicennia stands may also be related to the ability of this mangrove species to aerate 368 the sediment through its root system as described by Scholander et al. (1955). Hesse (1961) 369 also observed that Rhizophora soils were anoxic most of the time and sulfidic. This difference

370 between the two species was later confirmed in different countries and was suggested to be 371 related to different organic enrichment of the soil, specific abilities of the root system, and 372 different positions in the tidal zone (Marchand et al., 2004; Marchand et al., 2011; Mckee, 373 1993). pH values also varied along the elevation gradient of the studied tidal zone, decreasing 374 from 6.8 in the mudflat to 6.2 in the mature *Rhizophora* stand. We suggest that the increased 375 organic content and its decay processes were responsible for this soil acidification. In addition, 376 in anoxic mangrove soils, sulfides minerals could precipitate (Balk et al., 2016), and slight 377 modifications of the redox conditions could induce their oxidation, which could result in soil 378 acidification (Noel et al., 2017; 2014).

379 4.3. Characterization of soil organic matter with depth and along the intertidal elevation 380 gradient

381 In mangrove soils, organic matter is usually a mixture between autochthonous organic 382 matter (leaf litter, roots debris, and microphytobenthos) and allochthonous organic matter 383 derived from marine and/or terrestrial origins (Kristensen et al., 2008). However, in a distinct 384 area, the respective contribution of each source in the carbon pool depends on several 385 parameters, including trees productivity, mangrove position, tidal range, freshwater inputs, position of in the intertidal zone, etc. In the Can Gio mangrove soils, δ^{13} C and C/N ratios ranged 386 387 between -28 ‰ to -31 ‰ and between 12 to 22, respectively, and were consistent with those 388 previously reported (Bouillon et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2017, Jacotot et al., 2018). However, 389 OM quality differed along the intertidal elevation gradient, with higher C/N ratios and depleted 390 δ^{13} C values as the elevation increased. These gradients suggested an increased contribution in 391 the upper intertidal zone of mangrove-derived organic matter, characterized by elevated C/N 392 ratios and depleted δ^{13} C values (Bosire et al., 2005; Jennerjahn and Ittekkot, 1997; Kristensen 393 et al., 2008; Marchand et al., 2005). These results were consistent with our previous hypothesis 394 of a higher enrichment of the soil resulting from mangrove development and a greater

395 contribution of *Rhizophora* leaf litter in the inner forest due to the higher productivity of the 396 stand, the limited tidal export, and the anoxic character of the soils that limits OM decay process 397 and favors its accumulation. Conversely, towards the tidal creek side of the mangrove, the enriched δ^{13} C and lower C/N ratios, close to 12, suggested a greater contribution of 398 399 phytoplankton or phytobenthos and/or more degraded higher plant debris. In a recent study 400 (Vinh et al., 2020), we showed that leaf litter in the Avicennia stand was more rapidly 401 decomposed, and that decay rates were even enhanced during the monsoon. Consequently, we 402 suggest that the position of the stand along the intertidal elevation gradient and its species 403 composition influenced the organic matter characteristics of the soil.

404 Surprisingly, an organic-rich layer was observed at depth beneath the transitional and 405 the inner forests from 40 to 100 cm depth. In the mangroves of southern Vietnam, MacKenzie 406 et al. (2016) determined an average vertical accretion rate of around 0.99 ± 0.09 cm yr⁻¹. This 407 vertical accretion rate was relatively elevated and reflected notably the high sedimentation rate 408 characterizing most Asian estuaries. During the wet season, strong rainfalls induce high erosion 409 rates in the upper watersheds. As a result, high quantity of sediments are transported by the 410 rivers and deposited along the coastlines, notably in mangrove forests. Following the accretion 411 rate determined by MacKenzie et al. (2016), the upper layer observed in this study (from 0 to 412 40 cm depth) would have started to be deposited 40 years ago, which almost corresponds to the 413 beginning of the reforestation program started in 1978 (Hong and San, 1993). Consequently, 414 we suggest that the upper layer corresponds to the development of the current forest (0 - 40)415 cm), and the lower layer (40 - 100 cm) accumulated before mangrove destruction during the 416 Vietnamese war. Interestingly, this buried layer was at least 50 % enriched in carbon compared 417 to the upper layer for the same sediment thickness and was also characterized by higher C/N 418 ratios (9.7 vs. 22.3 and 14.0 vs. 37.1, for the upper and the lower layers, respectively) and 419 depleted δ^{13} C values (-30.7‰), which suggested that the former forest was more productive 420 and/or accumulated organic carbon during a long period.

421

4.4. Influence of mangrove development on carbon stocks

Carbon stocks in the above-ground biomass were 118.8 ± 9.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the inner 422 forest, 91.7 \pm 29.4 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the transitional forest, and 23.3 \pm 5.1 Mg C ha⁻¹ in the fringe 423 424 forest. Differences between stands may be related to the age of the forests, as the inner forest 425 was planted 40 years ago, while the other stands naturally regenerated recently. In addition, 426 Rhizophora trees that dominates the inner forest and extends into the transitional forest are 427 generally more productive than Avicennia ones (Komiyama et al., 2008) that colonize the fringe 428 forest. Nevertheless, these results were in the range of those previously observed from other studies in Southern Vietnam, with values ranging from 13.4 to 210.7 Mg C ha⁻¹ (Dung et al., 429 430 2016; Tue et al., 2014). However, the above-ground carbon stocks in Can Gio were much lower 431 than those in other mangrove forests. For example, in Malaysia, the above-ground carbon stocks reached 202.9 Mg C ha⁻¹ (Putz and Chan, 1986). This difference may be explained by the age 432 433 of the forest, as the forest in Malaysia has developed for 80 years-twice the age of the one in 434 Can Gio, and by silvicultural activities (Vinh et al., 2019).

435 In Can Gio, soil carbon stocks ranged from 150 to 360 Mg C ha⁻¹, which was consistent 436 with and even higher than the reported values for other mangrove forests in Vietnam, ranging from 144 to 233 Mg C ha⁻¹ (Dung et al., 2016; Tue et al., 2014). However, these values were 437 lower than the ones of tropical mangroves that ranged from 337 to 640 Mg C ha⁻¹ (Adame et 438 439 al., 2013; Castillo et al., 2017; DelVecchia et al., 2014; Hossain, 2014; Kauffman et al., 2011). 440 We suggest that partial destruction of the mangrove during the Vietnamese War may have 441 prevented organic matter to accumulate for a while and probably allowed the existing material 442 to be eroded by tides and freshwater circulation, explaining these low values for soil carbon 443 stocks. Soil carbon stocks in the mangrove of Can Gio represented between 70 to 86 % of its

total carbon stocks (without the below-ground biomass) and was consistent with other IndoPacific mangrove forests (Donato et al., 2011; Kauffman et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014).

446 Recently, some authors suggested that integration depth is of major concern when 447 determining carbon stocks in mangrove forests (Lunstrum and Chen, 2014; Marchand, 2017; 448 Jacotot et al., 2018). In Can Gio, integrating the soil carbon stocks down to one meter takes into 449 account the stocks linked to the development of the current forest, replanted after the 450 Vietnamese war as discussed above, and a part of the stocks that accumulated before the 451 destruction of the forest. When considering only the development of the current forest (i.e., 0 -40 cm, assuming a sedimentation rate of 0.99 ± 0.09 cm yr⁻¹ as discussed above), soil carbon 452 453 stocks were much at 85.7 ± 33.92 Mg C ha⁻¹ in the inner forest. We did not calculate this stock 454 for the other stands considering that it was a natural regeneration that occurred later than the 455 planting and had an OM quality depth profile different from the mature Rhizophora forest. In 456 addition, knowing the age of the forest and the amount of carbon stored in its soil since its 457 development allowed the precise contribution of this forest to the enrichment in soil organic 458 matter to be determined. Doing this, the antecedent carbon stocks (i.e., the stocks that were 459 present before the apparition of the forest) must be determined (Lal, 2005). In our study, the 460 stocks in the mudflat were chosen as a proxy for the antecedent carbon stocks. Therefore, for the last 40 years, the actual forest contributed to an enrichment of 25.26 Mg C ha⁻¹ in the inner 461 forest. As a result, the carbon burial rate in the mature *Rhizophora* was ~0.6 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 462 which is lower than the global value of 1.35 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ reported by Bouillon et al. (2008). 463 464 However, our result may be underestimated, considering that the mudflat was probably enriched by mangrove-derived organic matter, as suggested by the depleted δ^{13} C values and the high 465 466 TOC content. Nevertheless, this study demonstrated that increasing mangrove areas by either 467 restoration or expansion is an important way of increasing carbon storage in the coastal ocean, 468 and mangroves must be considered in future climate change mitigation programs.

469 **5. Conclusions**

Degraded by the spraying of defoliants during the Vietnam War, mangrove forests in Can Gio Estuary successfully recovered through replantation and natural regeneration. They now store a high amount of carbon both in their biomass and in their soils. Their destruction for infrastructure development along the coastline would result in the loss of an efficient CO₂ sink.

474 The main conclusions of this study can be summarized as follow:

1. C stocks in the above-ground biomass were significantly different between stands, increasing landward, reaching up to 118.8 ± 9.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the mature *Rhizophora* stand. Differences in carbon stocks in the above-ground biomass between stands were related to different forest ages, mangrove species, and tree densities, the latter being managed by thinning.

479 2. The specific zonation, with planted *Rhizophora* trees at the highest elevation in the 480 tidal zone (with a limited pore-water salinity value due to a monsoon-dominated climate), and 481 natural colonization of the river banks by Avicennia trees resulted in gradients in the soil 482 physicochemical properties from the mudflat to the inner forest. Due to their more recent 483 development, lower productivity, and proximity to tidal creeks, the soils of the fringe stand 484 composed of A. alba contained less organic carbon than the mature inland Rhizophora forest. 485 These gradients of elevation and of carbon content strongly influenced the redox conditions and 486 pH in the soil; with both decreasing from the mudflat to the mature *Rhizophora* stand.

3. Regarding soil organic matter quality, the δ^{13} C and C/N ratio values suggested a higher contribution of mangrove-derived organic matter in the inner forest, most probably because of its age, the high productivity of the stand, and the distance from the tidal creek that limits leaf litter flushing. At depth, beneath the mature *Rhizophora* stand and the transitional forest, an increased organic content combined with depleted δ^{13} C values and C/N ratio increase suggested an elevated contribution of vascular plant debris to the soil organic matter pool. We 493 suggest that this enrichment reflected the past mangrove forest before its destruction during the494 war.

495 4. Soil carbon stocks in the mature *Rhizophora* forest, down to one meter, represented 496 almost three times the stock in the above-ground biomass. However, when considering only the 497 upper soil, which was related to current forest development as evidenced by δ^{13} C values and 498 C/N ratios, stocks in the soil and in the above-ground biomass were similar, and the carbon 499 burial rate was lower than 1 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

500 In a future research effort, the net ecosystem productivity of the Can Gio mangrove 501 forest, Southern Vietnam's largest, should be studied, possibly using the eddy-covariance 502 technique. The influence of the monsoon on its productivity should also be considered.

503

504 Acknowledgements

505 This study was supported by an ARTS grant from IRD, and by the Air Liquide 506 Foundation. The authors would like to thank Mr. Tran Nhat Toan, Mr. Le Anh Tuan, and Mr. 507 Truong Van Hoang for their valuable assistance during fieldwork. We also thank Leocadie 508 Jamet and Jean-Louis Duprey from the LAMA laboratory for their assistance in the analyses. 509 We also would like to express our gratitude to the authorities of Can Gio District People 510 Committee, CGMBR, Vam Sat Ecological Tourist Centre – Phu Tho Tourist Company who 511 facilitated the collection of field data.

512

513 References

Adame, M.F., Kauffman, J.B., Medina, I., Gamboa, J.N., Torres, O., Caamal, J.P., Reza, M.,
Herrera-Silveira, J.A., 2013. Carbon Stocks of Tropical Coastal Wetlands within the

- 516 Karstic Landscape of the Mexican Caribbean. PLoS ONE 8, e56569.
 517 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056569
- Adame, M.F., Santini, N.S., Tovilla, C., Vázquez-Lule, A., Castro, L., Guevara, M., 2015.
 Carbon stocks and soil sequestration rates of tropical riverine wetlands. Biogeosciences
 12, 3805–3818. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-3805-2015
- Albers, T., Schmitt, K., 2015. Dyke design, floodplain restoration and mangrove comanagement as parts of an area coastal protection strategy for the mud coasts of the
 Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Wetlands ecology and management 23, 991–1004.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-015-9441-3
- Alongi, D.M., 2014. Carbon Cycling and Storage in Mangrove Forests. Annual Review of
 Marine Science 6, 195–219. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135020
- Alongi, D.M., 2012. Carbon sequestration in mangrove forests. Carbon Management 3, 313–
 322. https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.12.20
- 529 Alongi, D.M., 2008. Mangrove forests: Resilience, protection from tsunamis, and responses to
- 530 global climate change. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 76, 1–13.
 531 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.08.024
- 532 Alongi, D.M., Tirendi, F., Clough, B.F., 2000. Below-ground decomposition of organic matter
- 533 in forests of the mangroves Rhizophora stylosa and Avicennia marina along the arid coast
- 534 of Western Australia. Aquatic Botany 68, 97–122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-</u>
 535 <u>3770(00)00110-8</u>
- Andersen, F., Kristensen, E., 1988. Oxygen microgradients in the rhizosphere of the mangrove
 Avicennia marina. Marine Ecology Progress Series 44, 201–204.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps044201

- Balk, M., Keuskamp, J.A., Laanbroek, H.J., 2016. Potential for Sulfate Reduction in Mangrove
 Forest Soils: Comparison between Two Dominant Species of the Americas. Front.
 Microbiol. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01855
- 542 Balke, T., Bouma, T.J., Horstman, E.M., Webb, E.L., Erftemeijer, P.L., Herman, P.M., 2011.
- 543 Windows of opportunity: thresholds to mangrove seedling establishment on tidal flats.
 544 Marine Ecology Progress Series 440, 1–9.
- 545 Banerjee, L.K., 1993. Influence of salinity on mangrove zonation, in: Towards the Rational Use
 546 of High Salinity Tolerant Plants, Tasks for Vegetation Science. Springer, Dordrecht, pp.
 547 181–186. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1858-3_19
- 548 Barbier, E.B., 2006. Natural barriers to natural disasters: replanting mangroves after the 549 tsunami. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 4, 124–131. 550 https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0124:NBTNDR]2.0.CO;2
- 551 Bosire, J.O., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Kairo, J.G., Kazungu, J., Dehairs, F., Koedam, N., 2005.
- 552Litter degradation and CN dynamics in reforested mangrove plantations at Gazi Bay,553Kenya.BiologicalConservation126,287–295.
- 554 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.06.007
- 555 Bouillon, S., Borges, A.V., Castañeda-Moya, E., Diele, K., Dittmar, T., Duke, N.C., Kristensen,
- 556 E., Lee, S.Y., Marchand, C., Middelburg, J.J., others, 2008. Mangrove production and
- 557 carbon sinks: a revision of global budget estimates. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 22.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB003052
- Bouillon, S., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Rao, A., Koedam, N., Dehairs, F., 2003. Sources of organic
 carbon in mangrove sediments: variability and possible ecological implications.
- 561 Hydrobiologia 495, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025411506526

- Breithaupt, J.L., Smoak, J.M., Smith, T.J., Sanders, C.J., Hoare, A., 2012. Organic carbon burial
 rates in mangrove sediments: Strengthening the global budget: Mangrove organic carbon
 burial rates. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 26. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GB004375
- 565 Brunt, M.A., Davies, J.E. (Eds.), 1994. The Cayman Islands: Natural History and 566 Biogeography, Monographiae Biologicae. Springer Netherlands.
- 567 Castillo, J.A.A., Apan, A.A., Maraseni, T.N., Salmo, S.G., 2017. Soil C quantities of mangrove
 568 forests, their competing land uses, and their spatial distribution in the coast of Honda Bay,
 569 Philippines. Geoderma 293, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.025
- 570 Clough, B., 2014. Site Assessment Guidelines for Mangrove Rehabilitation in Bac Lieu
 571 Province, Vietnam 41.
- 572 Curran, M., Cole, M., Allaway, W.G., 1986. Root Aeration and Respiration in Young Mangrove
 573 Plants (Avicennia marina (Forsk.) Vierh.). J Exp Bot 37, 1225–1233.
 574 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/37.8.1225
- 575 David, F., C. Marchand, P. Taillardat, N. Thanh Nho, and T. Meziane. (2018a). Nutritional
 576 composition of suspended particulate matter in a tropical mangrove creek during a tidal
 577 cycle (Can Gio, Vietnam). Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Sciences 200, 126-130.
- 578 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.10.017</u>
- 579 David, F., Meziane, T., Tran-Thi, N.-T., Truong Van, V., Thanh-Nho, N., Taillardat, P.,
 580 Marchand, C., (2018b). Carbon biogeochemistry and CO₂ emissions in a human impacted
- 581 and mangrove dominated tropical estuary (Can Gio, Vietnam). Biogeochemistry 1–15.
- 582 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0444-z</u>
- David, F., C. Marchand, N. Thanh-Nho, P. Taillardat, and T. Meziane. (2019). Trophic
 relationships and basal resources utilization in the Can Gio Mangrove Biosphere Reserve
 (Southern Vietnam). Journal of Sea Research 145, 35–43.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.12.006

- 587 DelVecchia, A.G., Bruno, J.F., Benninger, L., Alperin, M., Banerjee, O., Morales, J. de D.,
- 588 2014. Organic carbon inventories in natural and restored Ecuadorian mangrove forests.
 589 PeerJ 2, e388. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.388
- 590 Donato, D.C., Kauffman, J.B., Murdiyarso, D., Kurnianto, S., Stidham, M., Kanninen, M.,
- 591 2011. Mangroves among the most carbon-rich forests in the tropics. Nature Geoscience
- 592 4, 293–297. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1123
- 593 Dung, L.V., Tue, N.T., Nhuan, M.T., Omori, K., 2016. Carbon storage in a restored mangrove
- 594 forest in Can Gio Mangrove Forest Park, Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Forest Ecology and
- 595 Management 380, 31–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.08.032</u>
- 596 Ellison, J.C., 1999. Impacts of sediment burial on mangroves. Marine Pollution Bulletin 37,
- 597 420–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(98)00122-2
- 598 English, S.S., Wilkinson, C.C., Baker, V.V., 1997. Survey manual for tropical marine resources.
 599 Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Fromard, F., Puig, H., Mougin, E., Marty, G., Betoulle, J.L., Cadamuro, L., 1998. Structure,
 above-ground biomass and dynamics of mangrove ecosystems: new data from French
- 602 Guiana. Oecologia 115, 39–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050489
- 603 Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L.L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J., Duke, N.,
- 604 2011. Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation
- 605 satellite data. Global Ecology and Biogeography 20, 154–159.
 606 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x
- Hawkins, S., Robertson, S., Thu Thuy, P., Xuan To, P., McNally, R., Van Cuong, C., Dart, P.,
- Kuan Phuong, P., Brown, S., Vu, N., 2010. Roots in the water: legal frameworks for
 mangrove PES in Vietnam.

- 610 Hesse, P.R., 1961. Some differences between the soils of *Rhizophora* and *Avicennia* mangrove
- 611 swamps in Sierra Leone. Plant and Soil 14, 335–346.
 612 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01666292
- 613 Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Troxler, T.G.,
- 614 2014. 2013 supplement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas
 615 inventories: Wetlands, IPCC, Switzerland.
- 616 Hong, P.N., San, H.T., 1993. Mangroves of Vietnam. Iucn.
- 617 Hossain, M., 2014. Carbon pools and fluxes in Bruguiera parviflora dominated naturally
- 618 growing mangrove forest of Peninsular Malaysia. Wetlands Ecol Manage 22, 15–23.
- 619 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-013-9318-2.
- 620 Jacotot, A., Marchand, C., Rosenheim, B. E., Domack E., Allenbach, M., 2018. Mangrove
- 621 soil carbon stocks along an elevation gradient: influence of the late Holocene marine
- 622 regression (New Caledonia). Marine Geology 404, 60670.
- Jennerjahn, T.C., Ittekkot, V., 1997. Organic matter in sediments in the mangrove areas and
 adjacent continental margins of Brazil. 1. Amino acids and hexosamines. Oceanolica Acta
 20, 359–369.
- Kathiresan, K., 2003. How do mangrove forests induce sedimentation? Revista de Biología
 Tropical 51, 355–360.
- Kauffman, J.B., Donato, D., 2012. Protocols for the measurement, monitoring and reporting of
 structure, biomass and carbon stocks in mangrove forests. Center for International
 Forestry Research (CIFOR), Bogor, Indonesia.
- Kauffman, J.B., Heider, C., Cole, T.G., Dwire, K.A., Donato, D.C., 2011. Ecosystem Carbon
 Stocks of Micronesian Mangrove Forests. Wetlands 31, 343–352.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-0148-9

- Kendall, C.G.S.C., Skipwith, P.A. d. E., 1969. Geomorphology of a Recent Shallow-Water
 Carbonate Province: Khor Al Bazam, Trucial Coast, Southwest Persian Gulf. GSA
 Bulletin 80, 865–892. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-
- 637 7606(1969)80[865:GOARSC]2.0.CO;2
- Khan, M.A., Aziz, I., 2001. Salinity tolerance in some mangrove species from Pakistan.
 Wetlands Ecology and Management 9, 229–233.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011112908069
- Komiyama, A., Ong, J.E., Poungparn, S., 2008. Allometry, biomass, and productivity of
 mangrove forests: A review. Aquatic Botany 89, 128–137.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.006
- Komiyama, A., Poungparn, S., Kato, S., 2005. Common allometric equations for estimating the
 tree weight of mangroves. Journal of Tropical Ecology 21, 471–477.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467405002476
- Kristensen, E., Bouillon, S., Dittmar, T., Marchand, C., 2008. Organic carbon dynamics in
 mangrove ecosystems: A review. Aquatic Botany, Mangrove Ecology Applications in
 Forestry and Costal Zone Management 89, 201–219.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.005
- Lacerda, L.D., Ittekkot, V., Patchineelam, S.R., 1995. Biogeochemistry of Mangrove Soil
 Organic Matter: a Comparison BetweenRhizophoraandAvicenniaSoils in South-eastern
 Brazil. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 40, 713–720.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1995.0048
- Lal, R., 2005. Forest soils and carbon sequestration. Forest Ecology and Management, Forest
 Soils Research: Theory, Reality and its Role in TechnologY 220, 242–258.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.015

- Lamb, A.L., Wilson, G.P., Leng, M.J., 2006. A review of coastal palaeoclimate and relative
 sea-level reconstructions using δ13C and C/N ratios in organic material. Earth-Science
 Reviews 75, 29–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.10.003
- Leopold, A., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Chaduteau, C., Allenbach, M., 2013. Influence of
 mangrove zonation on CO 2 fluxes at the sediment–air interface (New Caledonia).
 Geoderma 202, 62–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.03.008
- Liu, H., Ren, H., Hui, D., Wang, W., Liao, B., Cao, Q., 2014. Carbon stocks and potential
 carbon storage in the mangrove forests of China. Journal of Environmental Management
- 666 133, 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.037
- Lunstrum, A., Chen, L., 2014. Soil carbon stocks and accumulation in young mangrove forests.
 Soil Biology and Biochemistry 75, 223–232.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.008
- Luong, N.V., Tateishi, R., Hoan, N.T., 2015. Analysis of an impact of succession in mangrove
 forest association using remote sensing and GIS technology. Journal of Geography and
 Geology 7, 106. https://doi.org/10.5539/jgg.v7n1p106
- 673 MacKenzie, R.A., Foulk, P.B., Klump, J.V., Weckerly, K., Purbospito, J., Murdiyarso, D.,
- 674 Donato, D.C., Nam, V.N., 2016. Sedimentation and belowground carbon accumulation
- rates in mangrove forests that differ in diversity and land use: a tale of two mangroves.
- 676 Wetlands ecology and management 24, 245–261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-016-</u>
 677 9481-3
- Marchand, C., 2017. Soil carbon stocks and burial rates along a mangrove forest
 chronosequence (French Guiana). Forest Ecology and Management 384, 92–99.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.030

Marchand, C., Allenbach, M., Lallier-Vergès, E., 2011. Relationships between heavy metals
distribution and organic matter cycling in mangrove sediments (Conception Bay, New
Caledonia). Geoderma 160, 444–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.10.015

- Marchand, C., Baltzer, F., Lallier-Vergès, E., Albéric, P., 2004. Pore-water chemistry in
 mangrove sediments: relationship with species composition and developmental stages
 (French Guiana). Marine Geology 208, 361–381.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.04.015
- Marchand, C., Disnar, J.-R., Lallier-Vergès, E., Lottier, N., 2005. Early diagenesis of
 carbohydrates and lignin in mangrove sediments subject to variable redox conditions
 (French Guiana). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 69, 131–142.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.06.016
- Marchand, C., Fernandez, J.-M., Moreton, B., Landi, L., Lallier-Vergès, E., Baltzer, F., 2012.
 The partitioning of transitional metals (Fe, Mn, Ni, Cr) in mangrove sediments
 downstream of a ferralitized ultramafic watershed (New Caledonia). Chemical Geology
 300–301, 70–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.018
- McKee, K.L., 1993. Soil physicochemical patterns and mangrove species distribution–
 reciprocal effects. Journal of ecology 477–487. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2261526
- McKee, K.L., Mendelssohn, I.A., 1987. Root metabolism in the black mangrove (Avicennia germinans (L.) L): Response to hypoxia. Environmental and Experimental Botany 27, 147–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(87)90065-7
- Mizanur Rahman, M., Nabiul Islam Khan, M., Fazlul Hoque, A.K., Ahmed, I., 2015. Carbon
 stock in the Sundarbans mangrove forest: spatial variations in vegetation types and
 salinity zones. Wetlands Ecology and Management 23, 269–283.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-014-9379-x

Mukherjee, N., Sutherland, W.J., Dicks, L., Hugé, J., Koedam, N., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., 2014.
 Ecosystem Service Valuations of Mangrove Ecosystems to Inform Decision Making and
 Future Valuation Exercises. PLoS One 9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107706

708 Mumby, P.J., Edwards, A.J., Arias-González, J.E., Lindeman, K.C., Blackwell, P.G., Gall, A.,

- 709Gorczynska, M.I., Harborne, A.R., Pescod, C.L., Renken, H., 2004. Mangroves enhance
- the biomass of coral reef fish communities in the Caribbean. Nature 427, 533–536.

711 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02286

- 712 Nagelkerken, I., Blaber, S.J.M., Bouillon, S., Green, P., Haywood, M., Kirton, L.G., Meynecke,
- 713 J.-O., Pawlik, J., Penrose, H.M., Sasekumar, A., Somerfield, P.J., 2008. The habitat

function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: A review. Aquatic Botany,

715 Mangrove Ecology – Applications in Forestry and Costal Zone Management 89, 155–

716 185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.007

- Naidoo, G., Naidoo, K., 2017. Are pioneer mangroves more vulnerable to oil pollution than
 later successional species? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 121, 135–142.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.05.067
- Nam, V.N., Sasmito, S.D., Murdiyarso, D., Purbopuspito, J., MacKenzie, R.A., 2016. Carbon
 stocks in artificially and naturally regenerated mangrove ecosystems in the Mekong
 Delta. Wetlands ecology and management 24, 231–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273015-9479-2
- Noël, V., Juillot, F., Morin, G., Marchand, C., Ona-Nguema, G., Viollier, E., Prévot, F., Dublet,
 G., Maillot, F., Delbes, L., Marakovic, G., Bargar, J.R., Brown, G.E., 2017. Oxidation of
 Ni-Rich Mangrove Sediments after Isolation from the Sea (Dumbea Bay, New
 Caledonia): Fe and Ni Behavior and Environmental Implications. ACS Earth and Space
 Chemistry 1, 455–464. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00005

- Noël, V., Marchand, C., Juillot, F., Ona-Nguema, G., Viollier, E., Marakovic, G., Olivi, L.,
- 730 Delbes, L., Gelebart, F., Morin, G., 2014. EXAFS analysis of iron cycling in mangrove
- 731 sediments downstream a lateritized ultramafic watershed (Vavouto Bay, New Caledonia).
- 732
 Geochimica
 et
 Cosmochimica
 Acta
 136,
 211–228.

 733
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.019
- 734 Pissierssens, P., 2002. Manual on Sea Level Measurement and Interpretation. UNESCO.
- Prasad, M.B.K., Kumar, A., Ramanathan, A.L., Datta, D.K., 2017. Sources and dynamics of
 sedimentary organic matter in Sundarban mangrove estuary from Indo-Gangetic delta.
 Ecological Processes 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-017-0076-6
- 738 Proisy, C., Gratiot, N., Anthony, E.J., Gardel, A., Fromard, F., Heuret, P., 2009. Mud bank
- colonization by opportunistic mangroves: A case study from French Guiana using lidar
- data. Continental Shelf Research, On the dynamics of mud deposits in coastal areas 29,
 632–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2008.09.017
- Putz, F.E., Chan, H.T., 1986. Tree growth, dynamics, and productivity in a mature mangrove
 forest in Malaysia. Forest ecology and management 17, 211–230.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(86)90113-1
- Rivera-Monroy, V.H., Torres, L.A., Bahamon, N., Newmark, F., Twilley, R.R., 1999. The
 Potential Use of Mangrove Forests as Nitrogen Sinks of Shrimp Aquaculture Pond
 Effluents: The Role of Denitrification. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 30, 12–
- 748 25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.1999.tb00313.x
- Ross, P., 1975. The mangroves of South Vietnam: the impact of military use of herbicides, in:
- 750 Proceedings of the International Symposium on Biology and Management of Mangroves.
- 751 Gainesville, Florida: Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.
- 752 pp. 695–709.

- Saenger, P., 2002. Introduction: The Mangrove Environment, in: Mangrove Ecology,
 Silviculture and Conservation. Springer, pp. 1–10.
- Saenger, P., Snedaker, S.C., 1993. Pantropical trends in mangrove above-ground biomass and
 annual litterfall. Oecologia 96, 293–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317496
- 757 Sanders, C.J., Smoak, J.M., Naidu, A.S., Sanders, L.M., Patchineelam, S.R., 2010. Organic
- carbon burial in a mangrove forest, margin and intertidal mudflat. Estuarine, Coastal and

759 Shelf Science 90, 168–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.08.013

- Schmitt, K., Duke, N.C., 2016. Mangrove Management, Assessment, and Monitoring, in:
 Tropical Forestry Handbook. Springer, pp. 1725–1759.
- 762 Thanh-Nho, N., Strady, E., Nhu-Trang, T.-T., David, F., Marchand, C., 2018. Trace metals
- 763 partitioning between particulate and dissolved phases along a tropical mangrove estuary
- 764
 (Can Gio, Vietnam). Chemosphere
 196, 311–322.

 765
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.189
- Thibodeau, F.R., Nickerson, N.H., 1986. Differential Oxidation of Mangrove Substrate by
 Avicennia germinans and Rhizophora mangle. American Journal of Botany 73, 512–516.
 https://doi.org/10.2307/2444255
- Tue, N.T., Dung, L.V., Nhuan, M.T., Omori, K., 2014. Carbon storage of a tropical mangrove
- forest in Mui Ca Mau National Park, Vietnam. Catena 121, 119–126.
- 771 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2014.05.008
- Ukpong, I.E., 1997. Vegetation and its relation to soil nutrient and salinity in the Calabar
 mangrove swamp, Nigeria. Mangroves and Salt Marshes 1, 211–218.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009952700317
- 775 Ukpong, L.E., 1995. Vegetation and soil acidity of a mangrove swamp in southeastern Nigeria.
- 776 Soil use and management 11, 141–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475777 2743.1995.tb00512.x

- Vafaei, S., Soosani, J., Adeli, K., Fadaei, H., Naghavi, H., Pham, T.D., Tien Bui, D., 2018.
- 779 Improving Accuracy Estimation of Forest Aboveground Biomass Based on Incorporation
- 780 of ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 and Sentinel-2A Imagery and Machine Learning: A Case Study
- 781 of the Hyrcanian Forest Area (Iran). Remote Sensing 10, 172.
 782 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10020172
- Vinh, T.V., Marchand C., Linh, T.V.K., Vinh, D.D., Allenbach, M. 2019. Allometric models
 to estimate above-ground biomass and carbon stocks in a Rhizophora apiculata tropical
 mangrove forest (Southern Vietnam). Forest Ecology and Management.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.12.017
- Vinh, T.V., Allenbach, M., Linh, T.V.K., Marchand C., 2020. Changes in leaf litter quality
 during its decomposition in a tropical planted mangrove forest (Can Gio, Vietnam).
 Frontiers in Environmental Science. Biogeochemical Dynamics. https://doi:
 10.3389/fenvs.2020.00010
- Vo, Q.T., Oppelt, N., Leinenkugel, P., Kuenzer, C., 2013. Remote sensing in mapping
 mangrove ecosystems—An object-based approach. Remote Sensing 5, 183–201.
 https://doi:10.3390/rs501018
- 794 Wachid, M.N., Hapsara, R.P., Cahyo, R.D., Wahyu, G.N., Syarif, A.M., Umarhadi, D.A.,
- Fitriani, A.N., Ramadhanningrum, D.P., Widyatmanti, W., 2017. Mangrove canopy
 density analysis using Sentinel-2A imagery satellite data, in: IOP Conference Series:
- Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing, p. 012020.
- Walsh, G.E., 1974. Mangroves: a review, in: Ecology of Halophytes. Elsevier, pp. 51–174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-586450-3.X5001-X
- Wang, G., Guan, D., Peart, M.R., Chen, Y., Peng, Y., 2013. Ecosystem carbon stocks of
 mangrove forest in Yingluo Bay, Guangdong Province of South China. Forest Ecology
 and Management 310, 539–546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.08.045

803 Table 1. Vegetation structure in the different zones. MF: Mudflat; FF: Fringe forest; TF:

804 Transition forest; IF: Interior forest; Aa: Avicennia alba; Ao: Avicenia officinalis; Ct: Ceriops

805 tagal; Aa: Sonneratia alba; Ra: Rhizophora apiculata, AGC above-ground C stock, SC soil C

stock.

Sites	Species	DBH (cm)	Tree density ha ⁻¹	Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)
MF	-	-	-	-
FF	Aa, Ao	10.7	1327	11.9
TF	Aa, Ao, Ct, Sa, Ra	8.5	3727	21.2
IF	Ra	18.6	1127	28.4

807

Table 2: Two-way ANOVA tests for pH, Eh and salinity values showing the effect of sampling site and seasons on soil parameters. * and *** indicate statistically significant effects for p-Values < 0.05 and < 0.001, respectively .ns means no statistically significant difference (p >0.05).

Doromotors	n	Source of variation		
r al allicici s	11	Sites	Seasons	Interaction
pH	150	48.9***	5.9*	22.8***
Eh	150	19.1***	1.4 ns	11.9***
Pore-water salinity	150	1137.7***	203.4***	23.7***

812

813

814 **Figures captions**

815

Figure 1: Study sites location. (A) Vietnam map, (B) Can Gio Estuary and (C) Site study withthree transects from the mudflat to the inner forest.

818

819 Figure 2: Mangrove distribution along the transect at Can Gio mangrove, (a) and (b) distribution 820 of mangrove species from river towards the land, (c) elevation of the intertidal zone and 821 mangrove zonation.

822

Figure 3: Mean salinity, Eh and pH values beneath the different mangrove zones studied:
Mudflat (a, b, c), fringe forest (d, e, f), transitional forest (g, h, k), and inner forest (l, m, n).
Orange lines present the values measured during the dry season and blue lines present the values
measured during the rainy season.

827

Figure 4: C contents (a), C/N ratios (b) and δ^{13} C values (c) profiles. Black, light brown, orange, blue, green lines represent mudflat, fringe forest, transition forest, and mature *Rhizophora*, (d) picture of buried dead trunk of *Rhizophora* below the actual root system.

831

Figure 5: Ecosystem C stocks in the different sites along the elevation gradient. Green bars represent above-ground C stock, grey bars represent soil C stocks from 0 to 40 cm depth, orange bars represent below-ground C stocks from 40 to 100 cm depth, blue bars represent belowground C stock from 0 to 100 cm depth. Solid vertical black lines present the standard deviation (SD).

837

838