



**HAL**  
open science

## Experimental determination of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities of mafic alkaline magmas from Canary Islands

María Jiménez-Mejías, Joan Andújar, Bruno Scaillet, Ramón Casillas

### ► To cite this version:

María Jiménez-Mejías, Joan Andújar, Bruno Scaillet, Ramón Casillas. Experimental determination of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities of mafic alkaline magmas from Canary Islands. *Comptes Rendus. Géoscience*, 2021, 353 (S2), pp.1-26. <10.5802/crgeos.84>. <insu-03429189>

**HAL Id: insu-03429189**

**<https://insu.hal.science/insu-03429189v1>**

Submitted on 15 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Géoscience*

### *Sciences de la Planète*

María Jiménez-Mejías, Joan Andújar, Bruno Scaillet and Ramón Casillas

**Experimental determination of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities of mafic alkaline magmas from Canary Islands**


Online first, 5th November 2021

<<https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.84>>

**Part of the Special Issue:** Perspectives on alkaline magmas

**Guest editor:** Bruno Scaillet (Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans, CNRS, France)

© Académie des sciences, Paris and the authors, 2021.  
*Some rights reserved.*

 This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Géoscience — Sciences de la Planète sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)*



---

Perspectives on alkaline magmas / *Perspectives sur les magmas alcalins*

# Experimental determination of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities of mafic alkaline magmas from Canary Islands

María Jiménez-Mejías<sup>®\*</sup>, a, b, c, d, Joan Andújar<sup>®</sup> a, Bruno Scaillet<sup>®</sup> a and Ramón Casillas<sup>®</sup> d

<sup>a</sup> Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans (ISTO), UMR 7327, Université d'Orléans, CNRS, BRGM, 1A rue de la Fêrolierie, F-45071 Orléans, France

<sup>b</sup> Geosciences Barcelona (GEO3BCN-CSIC), C/Lluís Solé i Sabarís s/n, 08028, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Instituto Geográfico Nacional, Centro Geofísico de Canarias, C/ La Marina 20, 2°, 38001 Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Biología Animal, Edafología y Geología. Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de La Laguna. C/Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez S/N. 38206. La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

*E-mails:* maria.jimenez@cnsr-orleans.fr (M. Jiménez-Mejías), juan.andujar@cnsr-orleans.fr (J. Andújar), bruno.scaillet@cnsr-orleans.fr (B. Scaillet), rcasilla@ull.es (R. Casillas)

**Abstract.** We present new H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubility data in mafic to intermediate alkaline magmas from Fasnía and Garachico volcanoes, Tenerife. H<sub>2</sub>O- and CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated experiments were conducted at ~50–400 MPa, 1200 °C, and *f*O<sub>2</sub> from 2 log units below the NiNiO solid buffer to 3.2 log units above it. Although existing solubility models for alkali-rich mafic magmas broadly describe H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> behaviour, associated errors are worthy of consideration since they usually exceed 15–20%. For this reason, we have determined the specific solubility laws of basanitic and phonotephritic melts from the Canary Islands. Results show similar H<sub>2</sub>O solubilities for both compositions, whereas the basanite can dissolve an average of ~45% more CO<sub>2</sub> than the phonotephrite. By combining these data, we have established a simple empirical model that allows us to calculate melt inclusion entrapment pressures accurately and, therefore, better understand the inner workings of volcanic oceanic islands. Application to El Hierro 2011–2012 and young (<20 ka) basanites from this location shows that previous barometric estimates were, on average, overestimated by 15–28%. Our results suggest that magmas rising from depth experienced a first but short episode of equilibration at 8–10 km, whereas the bulk of the crystallization occurred during the subsequent dyke injection, ascent, and degassing at *P* < 200 MPa (6–1.5 km).

**Keywords.** H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, Solubility, Canary Islands, Alkaline magmas, Melt inclusions, El Hierro.

Online first, 5th November 2021

---

\* Corresponding author.

## 1. Introduction

Understanding magmatic volatiles behaviour in terms of the maximum amount that can be dissolved in a silicate melt under a given set of conditions (pressure, temperature, melt composition, and redox state) is crucial for improving the knowledge of magmatic processes and degassing mechanisms involved in volcanic eruptions [Moore, 2008, Moore and Carmichael, 1998, Oppenheimer *et al.*, 2014].

Among other species ( $\text{CO}_2$ , S, F, and Cl), water is the most abundant volatile phase in magmas on Earth, exerting an important control on their physical and rheological properties [i.e., density, viscosity; Andújar and Scaillet, 2012a, Giordano *et al.*, 2008, Stolper, 1982a] and phase equilibria [Andújar *et al.*, 2015, 2017, Scaillet and Evans, 1999, Scaillet *et al.*, 2008]. The amount of volatiles along with the level, rate, and efficiency of the exsolution process (especially for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) during magma ascent, largely control the explosive–effusive style of an eruption [Andújar and Scaillet, 2012b, Burnham, 1979, Sparks, 1978]. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms that control the solubility of the different volatile species dissolved in magmas is crucial to decipher surface gas signals, changes in the eruptive dynamic, and correctly interpretate melt inclusion (MI) data.


For this reason, the solubility of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  and their speciation in melts have been the focus of numerous experimental studies, which have explored the dissolution behaviour of pure  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [e.g., Carroll and Blank, 1997, Lesne *et al.*, 2011a, Moore *et al.*, 1998, Mysen and Cody, 2004, Schmidt and Behrens, 2008, Stolper, 1982a,b], pure  $\text{CO}_2$  [e.g., Brooker *et al.*, 2001a,b, Dixon and Pan, 1995, Jendrzewski *et al.*, 1997, Lesne *et al.*, 2011b, Moore *et al.*, 2000, Mysen *et al.*, 1975, Pan *et al.*, 1991], and mixed  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ – $\text{CO}_2$  fluids [e.g., Allison *et al.*, 2019, Botcharnikov *et al.*, 2005, Dixon *et al.*, 1995, Dixon and Stolper, 1995, Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012, Iacovino *et al.*, 2013, Moussallam *et al.*, 2016, Newman and Lowenstern, 2002, Schanofski *et al.*, 2019, Shishkina *et al.*, 2010, 2014, Tamic *et al.*, 2001, Vetere *et al.*, 2014] over a large range of melt compositions and experimental  $P$ – $T$  conditions.

Pioneering works [e.g., Burnham and Jahns, 1962, Goranson, 1931, 1936] already pointed out the strong dependence of water solubility on pressure, melt composition, and to a lesser degree, temperature.

Theoretical considerations and spectroscopic studies show that water dissolves in silicate melts either as hydroxyl ( $\text{OH}^-$ ) groups [e.g., Burnham, 1975, Burnham and Davis, 1971, 1974] or  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules [e.g., Carroll and Blank, 1997, McMillan and Remele, 1986, Mysen and Virgo, 1986a,b, Newman *et al.*, 1986, Stolper, 1982a,b], and their relative proportion varying significantly with total water content. At low  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  concentrations (<1 wt%), the hydroxyl species predominates while at high  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{total}}$ , molecular water dominates [e.g., Silver *et al.*, 1990, Stolper, 1982a,b]. The  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility is also highly dependent on pressure and composition but compared to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , magmas can incorporate relatively low amounts of carbon under the same  $P$ – $T$  conditions. The difference in solubility gives rise to the exsolution of a  $\text{CO}_2$ -rich fluid at very initial stages of magma ascent, which gets enriched in water as decompression proceeds, in particular during the very last steps of ascent [e.g., Dixon *et al.*, 1995, Dixon and Stolper, 1995, Edmonds and Wallace, 2017]. Consequently,  $\text{CO}_2$  plays an important role in generating vapour-saturation conditions at crustal pressures with strong implications on eruption triggers and changes in the eruptive dynamic [e.g., Anderson, 1975, Newman and Lowenstern, 2002, Pichavant *et al.*, 2013].

The dependence of  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility with magma composition is commonly described as a function of the NBO/T parameter (average number of nonbridging oxygens per tetrahedrally coordinated cation), which is considered as a proxy for the degree of (de)polymerization of a silicate melt [e.g., Mysen *et al.*, 1982, Mysen, 1990, 1991]. The increase of NBO/T species in the melt enhances  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility [e.g., Brooker *et al.*, 2001a,b, Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012]. However, this parameter alone cannot fully capture the details of  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility behaviour observed in magmas of broadly similar compositions. In particular, CaO and  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  contents also play an important role in enhancing  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility in magmas [e.g., Behrens *et al.*, 2009, Dixon, 1997, Lesne *et al.*, 2011b, Moore, 2008, Scaillet and Pichavant, 2005, Vetere *et al.*, 2014].

Despite all the existing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and/or  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility models, associated errors generally exceed 15–20%, translating into differences of  $\pm 1$ –2 wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\pm 1000$ –2000  $\text{CO}_2$  ppm at  $\geq 300$  MPa, namely up to  $\pm 6$  km in depth. Such uncertainties have a significant impact on the estimates of the saturation and entrap-



**Figure 1.** (a) Geographic location of Canary Islands. Digital elevation model of Tenerife, showing the location of the two historical monogenetic eruptions of Fasnía (in green) and Garachico (in orange) and their sampling points (*green square* and *orange circle*, respectively). (b) Classification of the starting experimental glasses based on the total alkalis versus silica diagram [TAS, Le Bas *et al.*, 1986]. *The large dark green square* and *orange circle* represent the starting compositions of Fasnía (basanite) and Garachico (phonotephrite), respectively. *The smaller light green squares* (Fasnía) and *orange circles* (Garachico) correspond to experimental run products. Each symbol represents the average of 10–15 single EPMA analyses.

ment pressures of magmas and melt inclusions, and consequently, on the interpretation of storage conditions and degassing processes. Thus, in order to obtain the most accurate barometric information on magmas and related degassing processes, it is imperative to determine solubility laws for the most abundant species (H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub>) adapted to the magma composition emitted by each volcanic system.

Here we report the results of water and carbon dioxide solubility experiments performed on basanitic and phonotephritic magmas from Tenerife (Canary Islands, Figure 1) at 1200 °C and pressures ranging from 50 to 400 MPa. Concentrations of molecular water (H<sub>2</sub>O), hydroxyl groups (<sup>−</sup>OH), and carbonate ion complexes (CO<sup>−3</sup>) of quenched glasses were measured by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) on glasses drop-quenched at high pressures. Two other complementary and independent techniques, Nanoscale Secondary Ion Mass

Spectrometry (NanoSIMS) and Elemental Analyzer (EA) were used, when possible, to complement FTIR results.

These concentrations were subsequently used to establish empirical H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubility models specifically calibrated for the mafic–intermediate alkaline compositions from the Canary Islands, allowing us to calculate, first, the H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> fugacities and partial pressures and, second, to re-evaluate volatile entrapment conditions recorded by melt inclusions from different eruptions of El Hierro, including the 2011–2012 event [Longpré *et al.*, 2014, 2017, Taracsák *et al.*, 2019].

## 2. Experimental and analytical techniques

Solubility experiments and the characterization of run products were performed using established procedures which are detailed in Supplementary

Material. In short, experiments were performed in internally heated pressure vessels equipped with a drop quench set-up and allowing redox conditions to be controlled. Run products were characterized by EPMA (Electron Probe Micro-Analysis), SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy), FTIR, NanoSIMS (Nanoscale Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry), and EA (Elemental Analyzer) techniques.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Major element compositions and Fe-loss in experimental charges

The major element compositions of our experimental glasses are presented in Table S1 after recalculation to a 100% anhydrous basis. Almost all of our supra-liquidus melts are crystal-free and compositionally homogeneous, as indicated by the low standard deviations obtained (often  $\leq 0.4$  wt%), closely matching (within analytical errors) the starting material composition (Table 1). It should be noted that some samples (e.g., F3a, F4a and G3a) show slightly higher silica and lower iron contents than the starting composition (Table 1) due to some iron-loss towards the capsule [e.g., Grove, 1982, Hall *et al.*, 2004, Kawamoto and Hirose, 1994] or the crystallization of small Fe-oxides. The apparent loss or gain of FeO (all iron as FeO) was calculated as follows: for crystal-free charges and those containing a low amount of quench crystals (Table 2), the Fe-loss was estimated considering the iron content of experimental glasses ( $\text{FeO}_{\text{gl}}$ ) relative to such the starting material ( $\text{FeO}_{\text{st}}$ ) following the expression:  $100 \cdot \text{FeO}_{\text{gl}} / \text{FeO}_{\text{st}}$ ; for the three oxide-bearing charges G3a, F3a, and F4a, we performed least-square mass balance calculations to retrieve the phase proportions and iron-loss, considering the corresponding oxide and residual glass compositions. Overall, in  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -saturated experiments, approximately 80 to 100% of the iron was kept in the melt, except for FC4b, GC05, GC3b, and GC4b, in which the loss reaches 22–25%. Despite that the SEM inspection of these four charges did not reveal the presence of mineral phases within the glasses, we cannot rule out the possibility of an occasional crystallization of Fe–Ti oxides non-detectable by SEM ( $< 0.5 \mu\text{m}$  in size), which could explain such slightly higher iron-loss. Glasses from G3a, F3a, and F4a charges have lower iron contents compared to the starting material, owing to the crystallization of

different proportions of Fe–Ti oxides which depleted the residual melt in FeO (Table 2).

#### 3.2. $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ concentrations

As stated above, the water contents of our experimental glasses were analysed by FTIR and EA whenever possible. The comparison of the results shows that the retrieved  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  concentrations agree to less than 0.3 wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , even considering the associated analytical errors (Table 2) and are consistent with the measured  $^{16}\text{OH}^- / ^{28}\text{Si}^-$  ratio by the NanoSIMS. Given the similarities between the data, in the following we use the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contents obtained with FTIR.

For both compositions, the general trend is to increase the amount of dissolved water with pressure, from  $\sim 2.3$  wt% at 50 MPa up to 7.6 wt% at 400 MPa (Figure 2). In this case, the differences in composition of the studied materials (2–3.5 wt% in major oxides; Table 1) or imposed  $f\text{O}_2$  do not have any significant effects on water solubility. Based on the observed relationships, we have mathematically regressed the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  content- $P$  dependence according to expressions of the form  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{melt}} (\text{wt}\%) = a \cdot P^b$  ( $P$  in MPa) obtaining the equations shown in Figure 2. These expressions back-calculate analysed water contents to within  $\sim 2\%$ .

##### 3.2.1. Determination of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ fugacities

For any volatile species dissolved in a silicate melt that is fluid-saturated, the condition of equilibrium requires that the fugacity ( $f$ ) of each species ( $i$ ) in the melt equals that of the fluid, i.e., for water:  $f\text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{melt}} = f\text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ . At very low pressures (1 bar) and high temperatures ( $> 600$  °C), the fugacity of a pure gas is well described by the ideal gas law. However, as pressure increases, departure from ideality occurs, which is described by the fugacity coefficient,  $\Phi_i$ . For a pure fluid (i.e., one species  $i$ ), the relationship is

$$\Phi_i = f_i / P_{\text{tot}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $P_{\text{tot}}$  is total pressure (in bars).

In mixed fluids, the total pressure is replaced by the partial pressure ( $P_i$ ):

$$P_i = f_i / \Phi_i \quad (2)$$

With the constraint that:

$$P_{\text{tot}} = \sum P_i$$

So, assuming that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  are the main volatile species present in the melt, we obtain the

**Table 1.** Starting compositions (wt%)

Composition Sample	Basanite FAS <sup>a</sup>	Phonotephrite GCH <sup>a</sup>	Basanite Fasn <sup>b</sup>	Phonotephrite Garachico <sup>b</sup>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	45.08 <i>0.14</i>	48.06 <i>0.37</i>	44.46	48.56
TiO <sub>2</sub>	3.82 <i>0.13</i>	3.15 <i>0.15</i>	3.71	3.09
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	14.45 <i>0.17</i>	17.77 <i>0.20</i>	15.01	17.47
FeO <sup>c</sup>	12.51 <i>0.27</i>	9.43 <i>0.37</i>	12.12	9.32
MnO	0.28 <i>0.05</i>	0.19 <i>0.07</i>	0.19	0.20
MgO	7.16 <i>0.22</i>	3.98 <i>0.09</i>	7.71	4.05
CaO	10.82 <i>0.17</i>	8.42 <i>0.14</i>	10.68	8.80
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.60 <i>0.10</i>	5.34 <i>0.09</i>	3.83	5.40
K <sub>2</sub> O	1.57 <i>0.07</i>	2.19 <i>0.10</i>	1.54	2.21
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.71 <i>0.07</i>	1.25 <i>0.16</i>	0.76	1.25
Na <sub>2</sub> O + K <sub>2</sub> O	5.17	7.53	5.37	7.61
LOI			-0.55	-0.34
Total	100	100	100	100

Compositions normalized to 100% anhydrous melt.

<sup>a</sup>Oxide concentrations were measured by EPMA at ISTO-CNRS.

Standard deviations are italicized.

<sup>b</sup>Oxide concentrations determined by XRF analyses at CRPG-Nancy, France, for comparison.

<sup>c</sup>Total iron expressed as FeO.

following equations:

$$P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} / \Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \quad (3)$$

$$P_{\text{CO}_2} = f_{\text{CO}_2} / \Phi_{\text{CO}_2} \quad (4)$$

Therefore, since according to our FTIR, NanoSIMS and EA results, all charges devoted to study water solubility in melts are CO<sub>2</sub>-free (Table 2), the fugacity coefficient of pure H<sub>2</sub>O can be calculated at the corresponding *P* and *T* using the modified Redlich–Kwong equation of state [Holloway, 1977]. Then, the *f*H<sub>2</sub>O at given *P*–*T* of each charge is computed using


the expression

$$f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} * X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} * P_{\text{tot}}, \quad (5)$$

where *X*<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> is the mole fraction of water in the fluid. Since in H<sub>2</sub>O-saturated experiments, the *X*<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> is equal to one, the previous relationship can be transformed to

$$X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \frac{f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{\Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} * P_{\text{tot}}} \rightarrow X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1 \rightarrow f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} * P_{\text{tot}} \quad (6)$$

*P*<sub>tot</sub> (in bars).



**Figure 2.** Dissolved water contents in experimental glasses plotted as a function of pressure for (a) Fasnía basanite (green squares) and (b) Garachico phonotephrite (orange circles). The filled and empty symbols represent experiments performed at NNO + 1.4 and NNO + 3.2, respectively.

The calculated fugacities and the determined water contents were then regressed using power-law equations that allowed a close fit between both parameters ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) (Figure 3), yielding for each case the following equations:

$$f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{1200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}} = 10.6616 * (\text{wt\% H}_2\text{O})^{1.8774}$$

$$R^2 = 0.99 \quad \text{for Fasnía at NNO} + 3.2 \quad (7)$$

$$f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{1200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}} = 11.5813 * (\text{wt\% H}_2\text{O})^{1.8407}$$

$$R^2 = 0.99 \quad \text{for Fasnía at NNO} + 1.4 \quad (8)$$

$$f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{1200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}} = 10.3418 * (\text{wt\% H}_2\text{O})^{1.8693}$$

$$R^2 = 0.99 \quad \text{for Garachico at NNO} + 3.2 \quad (9)$$

$$f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{1200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}} = 9.9808 * (\text{wt\% H}_2\text{O})^{1.8725}$$

$$R^2 = 0.99 \quad \text{for Garachico at NNO} + 1.4 \quad (10)$$

These empirical relationships allow us to calculate the water fugacities of basanitic and phonotephritic melts from Tenerife at different oxidation states considering the dissolved water contents in residual glasses or melt inclusions with an accuracy of 95%.

### 3.3. CO<sub>2</sub>

The dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> contents that we present here correspond to those determined using the absorbances of the carbonate doublet (~1430 cm<sup>-1</sup> and ~1520 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the mid-infrared (MIR) since no molecular CO<sub>2</sub> was detected in our experimental glasses [Blank and Brooker, 1994, Botcharnikov *et al.*, 2005, Dixon *et al.*, 1995].

CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved content gradually increases with pressure from 278–484 ppm at 50 MPa up to 3400–5800 ppm at 400 MPa for the phonotephrite and the basanite, respectively (Figure 4). Contrary to H<sub>2</sub>O, our results define a negative relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> solubility and alkalinity since at a given  $P$ , the basanite dissolves up to 40–49% more CO<sub>2</sub> than its phonotephritic counterpart (Figure 5).

Even if no water was initially added to the CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing charges (Table 3), all the run products contain variable amounts of H<sub>2</sub>O, as evidenced in FTIR spectra (Figure S2c). H<sub>2</sub>O contents of CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing charges range from 0.18 up to 1.84 wt%, indicating that, despite our efforts, the experiments are not strictly CO<sub>2</sub> pure. The presence of water can be related to the following factors [e.g., Behrens *et al.*, 2009, Gaillard *et al.*, 2003, Holloway *et al.*, 1992, Lesne *et al.*, 2011b]:

- (1) The starting glass was synthesized at relatively oxidizing conditions compared to those imposed during experiments. The re-equilibration to the new  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  conditions generates H<sub>2</sub>O following the bulk reaction  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{FeO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The H<sub>2</sub> source comes from the Ar + H<sub>2</sub> gas used to pressurize the vessel.
- (2) To a lesser extent, during capsule preparation, both the silver oxalate used as a CO<sub>2</sub> source and the starting glass can adsorb small but finite amounts of atmospheric water.

**Table 2.** Experimental results

Sample	<i>P</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> <sup>a</sup> (°C)	Density (g/l) <sup>a</sup>	%FeO loss <sup>b</sup>	% Oxides <sup>c</sup>	<i>f</i> <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> <sup>d</sup> (MPa)	<i>f</i> <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> <sup>d</sup> (MPa)	XH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>e</sup>	XCO <sub>2</sub> <sup>e</sup>	ΦH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>f</sup>	ΦCO <sub>2</sub> <sup>f</sup>	ΔNNO <sup>g</sup>	Calculated log( <i>f</i> <sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub> ) <sup>g</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O E,A <sup>h</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O A3500 <sup>i</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O A4500 <sup>j</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O A5200 <sup>j</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O 4500 + 5200 <sup>j</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm) A1430 <sup>k</sup>	Comments for run products
F05	52	1200	2748	0.93		52		1		0.99	1.13	1.40	-6.32	2.54	<b>2.29</b>					
			28											0.07	0.19					
F1a	100	1200	2669	14.34		99		1		0.99	1.26	3.18	-4.53			1.65	1.72	<b>3.37</b>	3.32	oxides
			83											0.46	0.26			0.26		
F1c	108	1200	2750	1.24		107		1		0.99	1.29	1.44	-6.28			1.62	1.63	<b>3.25</b>	3.24	oxides
			22											0.26	0.17			0.17		
F2a	210	1200	2626	14.68		213		1		1.02	1.70	3.25	-4.45	4.84	4.81	2.25	2.58	<b>4.82</b>	4.81	oxides
			65											0.32	0.60			0.35		
F2b	210	1200	2720	5.19		213		1		1.02	1.70	1.38	-6.32			2.06	2.97	<b>5.03</b>	5.05	oxides
			27											0.59	0.27			0.27		
F3a	292	1200	2785	4.47*	6.6	308		1		1.05	2.27	3.18	-4.51	5.66	6.10	2.39	3.75	<b>6.14</b>	6.10	oxides
			87											0.10	0.63			0.32		
F3c2	319	1200	2727	4.88		341		1		1.07	2.51	1.34	-6.35			1.14	5.03	<b>6.17</b>	6.03	few quench crystals
			31											0.75	0.38			0.38		
F4a	411	1200	2690	4.53*	7.3	464		1		1.13	3.62	3.22	-4.44	6.90	7.20	1.28	6.06	<b>7.35</b>	7.20	oxides
			61											0.29	0.88			0.48		
F4b	397	1200	2737	5.50		444		1		1.12	3.42	1.20	-6.48	<b>7.26</b>						quench crystals
			36											0.35						
G05	52	1200	2661	-0.39		52		1		0.99	1.13	1.40	-6.32					<b>2.35</b>	2.35	few oxides
			30											0.25	0.25			0.24		
G1a	100	1200	2523	6.73		99		1		0.99	1.26	3.18	-4.53	3.48	3.45	1.71	1.65	<b>3.36</b>	3.45	few oxides
			78											0.60	0.40			0.24		
G1b	108	1200	2543	1.32		107		1		0.99	1.29	1.44	-6.28			1.98	1.69	<b>3.67</b>	3.71	few oxides
			16											0.47	0.23			0.23		
G2a	210	1200	2533	5.71		213		1		1.02	1.70	3.25	-4.45	5.15	4.92	2.57	2.55	<b>5.12</b>	4.92	few oxides
			81											0.05	0.56			0.30		
G2b	207	1200	2632	0.19		210		1		1.01	1.69	1.38	-6.32			2.09	3.14	<b>5.24</b>	5.36	oxides
			16											0.61	0.61			0.52		
G3a	292	1200	2642	3.17*	3.9	308		1		1.05	2.27	3.18	-4.51	6.20	6.21	2.55	3.77	<b>6.32</b>	6.21	oxides
			89											0.19	0.83			0.34		
G4a	411	1200	2567	6.07		464		1		1.13	3.62	3.22	-4.44	7.45	7.31	2.75	4.64	<b>7.38</b>	7.31	oxides
			61											0.08	1.05			0.35		
G4b	413	1200	2551	-1.13		468		1		1.13	3.66	1.20	-6.48			1.38	6.18	<b>7.56</b>	7.25	few quench crystals
			27											0.90	0.90			0.40		
FC05	60	1200	2746	12.63		16	51	0.266	0.734	0.99	1.13	0.37	-7.35					<b>484</b>	484	
			34											0.10	0.10			35		
FC1c	113	1200	2787	15.93		24	116	0.214	0.786	0.99	1.26	0.14	-7.58					<b>1205</b>	1205	
			33											0.12	0.12			55		

(continued on next page)

**Table 2.** (continued)

Sample	<i>P</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> <sup>a</sup> (°C)	Density (g/l) <sup>a</sup>	%FeO loss <sup>b</sup>	% Oxides <sup>c</sup>	<i>f</i> <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> (MPa) <sup>d</sup>	<i>f</i> <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> (MPa) <sup>d</sup>	X <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> <sup>e</sup>	X <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> <sup>e</sup>	Φ <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> <sup>f</sup>	Φ <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> <sup>f</sup>	Δ <sub>NNO</sub> <sup>g</sup>	Calculated log( <i>f</i> /O <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>g</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O E.A. <sup>h</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O A4500 <sup>i</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O A5200 <sup>i</sup>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O 4500 + 5200 <sup>i</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm) Al430 <sup>j</sup>	Comments for run products
FC2b	210	1200	2748 23	20.33		31	306	0.145	0.855	1.02	1.70	-0.30	-8.00	<b>1.70</b>				<b>2713</b>	
FC3b	294	1200	2771 31	16.02		32	600	0.102	0.898	1.05	2.27	-0.73	-8.42	<b>1.72</b>				<b>4209</b>	
FC4b	397	1200	2779 19	22.55		35	1247	0.080	0.920	1.13	3.62	-1.00	-8.67	<b>1.84</b>				<b>5748</b>	few oxides
GC05	60	1200	2651 30	24.91		13	54	0.224	0.776	0.99	1.15	0.22	-7.50	<b>1.17</b>				<b>278</b>	
GC1a	102	1200	2669 49	-1.06		1	129	0.011	0.989	0.99	1.27	-0.52	-8.24	<b>0.30</b>				<b>620</b>	
GC2a	194	1200	2654 99	2.75		0	314	0.002	0.998	1.01	1.62	-1.89	-9.59	<b>0.19</b>				<b>1291</b>	few oxides
GC2b	207	1200	2638 33	13.51		16	324	0.074	0.926	1.01	1.69	-0.89	-8.59	<b>1.27</b>				<b>1419</b>	
GC3b	294	1200	2548 34	2.06		18	630	0.057	0.943	1.05	2.28	-1.24	-8.92	<b>1.35</b>				<b>2166</b>	
GC4a	399	1200	2586 124	13.20		1	1373	0.002	0.998	1.12	3.45	-2.16	-9.83	<b>0.12</b>				<b>3046</b>	few oxides
GC4b	413	1200	2555 27	24.46		22	1438	0.048	0.952	1.13	3.66	-1.40	-9.07	<b>1.54</b>				<b>3356</b>	
														<i>0.13</i>				<i>235</i>	

Volatile concentrations used to determine the solubility equations are listed in bold type. Numbers in italic: standard deviations.

<sup>a</sup>Density measured using Archimedes' method.

<sup>b</sup>Fe-loss estimated considering the iron content of experimental glasses (FeO<sub>g</sub>) relative to such the starting material (FeO<sub>st</sub>) following the expression: 100\* FeO<sub>g</sub>/FeO<sub>st</sub>.

<sup>c</sup>Proportion of oxides calculated from least squares mass balance.

<sup>d</sup>Fugacities of pure water were calculated using a modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state [Holloway, 1977], assuming that  $P_{H_2O} = P_{tot}$ . Fugacities at given *P-T* calculated following the expression:  $f_{H_2O} = \Phi_{H_2O} * X_{H_2O} * P_{tot}$ . In CO<sub>2</sub> experiments, fugacities were calculated using (7)–(10). See Sections 3.2 and 3.3 for explanation.

<sup>e</sup>Molar fraction of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in fluid phase. See Section 3.2.1 for details.


<sup>f</sup>Fugacity coefficients of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> used for each experiment.

<sup>g</sup>Oxygen fugacity calculated from NiO–NiPd metallic sensors.


<sup>h</sup>Elemental analyzer measurements in H<sub>2</sub>O-saturated experiments.

<sup>i</sup>FTIR measurements.

<sup>j</sup>Fe-loss estimated after performing least-square mass balance calculations to retrieve the phase proportions and iron-loss considering the corresponding oxide and residual glass composition.



**Figure 3.** Relationship between water fugacity [calculated with the modified Redlich–Kwong equation of state, Holloway, 1977] and dissolved water in the basanitic (a) and phonotephritic (b) melts (in wt%). Curves represent power regressions of the data ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ). The filled and empty symbols represent experiments performed at NNO + 1.4 and NNO + 3.2, respectively.




**Figure 4.** Dissolved  $CO_2$  measured in the experimental glasses of the Fasnia basanite at NNO – 0.3 (green squares) and the Garachico phonotephrite at NNO – 0.8 (filled orange circles), and NNO – 1.5 (empty orange circles); for which obtained power regression laws are  $CO_2 = 2.2202 \cdot P^{1.3233}$ ,  $CO_2 = 1.3733 \cdot P^{1.2969}$  and  $CO_2 = 2.7332 \cdot P^{1.1709}$ , respectively.

The combination of these factors explains the higher water contents analysed in moderately reduced experiments ( $f_{O_2} \sim NNO + 1.4$ ) compared to their oxidized counterparts (e.g., 1.54 wt%  $H_2O$  in GC4b and 0.24 wt%  $H_2O$  in GC4a, Table 2).

### 3.3.1. Determination of $CO_2$ fugacities

The presence of dissolved water in all the  $CO_2$  experiments have allowed us to calculate their water fu-



**Figure 5.**  $CO_2$  solubility plotted as a function of the melt alkalinity showing a negative correlation for our compositions. Squares correspond to the basanitic melt and circles to the phonotephritic melt. Experimental pressures are represented with different colours: light blue (50 MPa), dark blue (100 MPa), orange (200 MPa), grey (300 MPa), and yellow (400 MPa).

gacity using the power-law regressions defined above for both compositions (7)–(10). To achieve this goal, we assume that the low  $CO_2$  concentrations measured in our melts do not affect the thermodynamics of  $H_2O$  dissolution [e.g., Lesne *et al.*, 2011b].

**Table 3.** Details of experimental capsules

Name	<i>P</i> (MPa)	Mass H <sub>2</sub> O (mg)	Mass Ag <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (mg)	Mass rock (mg)	Final mass of CO <sub>2</sub> (mg)	X <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub>	wt% H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>a</sup> added	wt% CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup> added
<b>F1a</b>	100	4.20	–	60.10	–	1.00	6.53	–
<b>F2a</b>	210	5.90	–	60.30	–	1.00	8.91	–
<b>F3a</b>	292	5.60	–	60.60	–	1.00	8.46	–
<b>F4a</b>	411	6.00	–	60.10	–	1.00	9.08	–
<b>F05b</b>	52	3.61	–	80.01	–	1.00	4.32	–
<b>F1c</b>	108	7.11	–	79.80	–	1.00	8.18	–
<b>F2b</b>	210	8.00	–	80.00	–	1.00	9.09	–
<b>F3c2</b>	319	5.60	–	79.90	–	1.00	6.55	–
<b>F4b</b>	397	8.20	–	80.10	–	1.00	9.29	–
<b>G1a</b>	100	4.20	–	60.00	–	1.00	6.54	–
<b>G2a</b>	210	6.00	–	60.10	–	1.00	9.08	–
<b>G3a</b>	292	6.00	–	60.00	–	1.00	9.09	–
<b>G4a</b>	411	6.00	–	60.00	–	1.00	9.09	–
<b>G05</b>	52	3.70	–	80.00	–	1.00	4.42	–
<b>G1b</b>	108	7.00	–	80.00	–	1.00	8.05	–
<b>G2b</b>	207	8.00	–	80.00	–	1.00	9.09	–
<b>G4b</b>	413	8.00	–	80.00	–	1.00	9.09	–
<b>FC05</b>	60	–	0.82	80.01	0.87	–	–	1.08
<b>FC1</b>	113	–	6.00	80.00	1.74	–	–	2.13
<b>FC2</b>	210	–	6.10	80.00	1.77	–	–	2.16
<b>FC3</b>	294	–	9.00	80.00	2.61	–	–	3.16
<b>FC4</b>	397	–	9.10	80.00	2.64	–	–	3.19
<b>GC1a</b>	102	–	5.00	60.00	1.45	–	–	2.36
<b>GC2a</b>	194	–	5.00	60.00	1.45	–	–	2.36
<b>GC4a</b>	399	–	8.10	60.00	2.35	–	–	3.76
<b>GC05b</b>	60	–	3.00	80.00	0.87	–	–	1.07
<b>GC2b</b>	207	–	6.00	80.00	1.74	–	–	2.13
<b>GC3b</b>	294	–	9.00	80.00	2.61	–	–	3.16
<b>GC4b</b>	413	–	8.10	60.00	2.35	–	–	3.76

All represent amounts of starting material, liquid H<sub>2</sub>O, and silver oxalate (Ag<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) loaded into the capsule before experimental run.

Dashes indicate that no fluid was added to the capsule.

<sup>a</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O wt.% calculated as 100\*(mass H<sub>2</sub>O (mg)/mass H<sub>2</sub>O (mg) + mass rock (mg) + Final mass CO<sub>2</sub> (mg)).

<sup>b</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> wt.% calculated as 100\*(final mass CO<sub>2</sub>(mg)/mass H<sub>2</sub>O (mg) + mass rock (mg) + final mass CO<sub>2</sub> (mg)).

So, once the  $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  of each charge and the fugacity coefficients derived from the Redlich–Kwong equation of state are obtained [Holloway, 1977], the corresponding water molar fraction ( $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ ) can be determined by reorganizing (5):

$$X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \frac{f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{\Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} * P_{\text{tot}}} \quad (11)$$

We assume an ideal mixing between real fluids (Lewis and Randall rule) rather than a real mixing since the latter has a minor effect on computed fugacity coefficients at our experimental conditions [ $\leq 400$  MPa and  $1200$  °C; Holloway, 1977]. To calculate the molar fraction of  $\text{CO}_2$ , we further assume that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  are the sole species present in the fluid phase [Holloway and Blank, 1994, Pawley *et al.*, 1992], so in this case

$$X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + X_{\text{CO}_2} = 1 \rightarrow X_{\text{CO}_2} = 1 - X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \quad (12)$$

Therefore, the  $\text{CO}_2$  fugacity of each experiment at the given  $P$ – $T$  conditions was determined as

$$f_{\text{CO}_2} = \Phi_{\text{CO}_2} * X_{\text{CO}_2} * P_{\text{tot}} \quad (13)$$

The  $\text{CO}_2$ -contents- $f_{\text{CO}_2}$  data base (up to 400 MPa) was used to derive third-order polynomial equations which provided the closest fit for the entire dataset ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ; Figure 6):

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{CO}_2}^{1200\text{ °C}} &= 7.2170794265 \times 10^{-9} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^3 \\ &\quad - 2.5187981515 \times 10^{-5} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^2 \\ &\quad + 0.122917162244295 * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)} \\ R^2 &= 0.99 \quad \text{for Fasnía at NNO} - 0.3 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$


$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{CO}_2}^{1200\text{ °C}} &= 1.8778812876 \times 10^{-8} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^3 \\ &\quad + 1.2729586197 \times 10^{-5} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^2 \\ &\quad + 0.1744557037290380 * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)} \\ R^2 &= 0.99 \quad \text{for Garachico at NNO} - 0.8 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{CO}_2}^{1200\text{ °C}} &= 2.7077368969 \times 10^{-8} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^3 \\ &\quad + 1.0456281240 \times 10^{-6} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)}^2 \\ &\quad + 0.1963801023546240 * \text{CO}_2 \text{ (ppm)} \\ R^2 &= 0.99 \quad \text{for Garachico at NNO} - 1.5 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is important to note that the application of these equations (14)–(16) is restricted to melt compositions, water contents (0.18–2 wt%), and pressures (50–400 MPa) similar to those investigated here.

#### 4. Discussion

Despite the frequent occurrence of alkaline magmas in specific volcanic settings (i.e., oceanic islands, in-



**Figure 6.** Relationship between the  $\text{CO}_2$  fugacity of the Fasnía basanite at NNO – 0.3 (green squares) and the Garachico phonotephrite at NNO – 0.8 (empty orange circles) and NNO – 1.5 (filled orange circles), calculated with an MRK equation of state [Holloway, 1977], and the amount of  $\text{CO}_2$  dissolved in the melts (in ppm). Curves represent third-order polynomial regressions of the data ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ). See Section 3.3 for explanation.


traplate settings),  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  solubility data of basanitic–phonotephritic melts are still scarce. Their calc-alkaline to mid-alkaline counterparts have been the focus of extensive research concerning volatile solubilities, from which various models allowing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ – $\text{CO}_2$  melt content to be calculated have been derived [e.g., Dixon, 1997, Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012, Lesne *et al.*, 2011a,b, Papale *et al.*, 2006, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014, among others]. Whereas these works highlighted the relatively minor control exerted by composition on  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  solubility in mafic melts at given  $P$ – $T$ , this is not the case of  $\text{CO}_2$ , for which variations in alkalinity, Fe-content, and oxidation state impact to various extents the solubilities of C-bearing species. For this reason, each model incorporates different compositional [i.e.,  $\Pi$ ,  $\Pi^*$ ; Dixon, 1997, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014 respectively] or structural parameters [i.e., NBO/O, NBO/T; Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012, Vetere *et al.*, 2014 respectively] to take into account the compositional effect on their calculations. However, despite their seemingly universal character, the application of existing models to a given set of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ – $\text{CO}_2$  melt inclusion contents results in a non-negligible difference in the calculated

vapour-saturation conditions, differences between model outputs reaching in some cases  $\pm 200$ – $400$  MPa [equivalent to 6–12 km of depth, i.e., Longpré *et al.*, 2017]. Obviously, such an uncertainty strongly impacts our understanding of the architecture of the plumbing system of the volcano, hence the interpretation of related degassing processes. Below, the aforementioned models are applied to our experimental charges and calculated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ – $\text{CO}_2$  contents are compared to our measurements. Our aim is not to carry out an exhaustive comparison with all existing experimental works or solubility models since this has been already performed elsewhere [i.e., Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012, Iacovino *et al.*, 2013, Ni and Keppler, 2013, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014] but merely to evaluate the applicability of available models in the Canarian context.

#### 4.1. Comparison with $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ experimental data

Overall, the water concentrations measured in this work are in good agreement (within errors) with those obtained on similar basanitic, alkali basalts or even mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) compositions at equivalent  $P$ – $T$  conditions (Figure 7). For example, Shishkina *et al.* [2014] and Holloway and Blank [1994] [this last reporting data from Cocheo, 1993] present  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -saturated experiments performed on two basanites at 100 MPa and 1250 °C and 1200 °C, respectively, both containing  $\sim 3.2$  wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , an amount that closely matches those measured on our 100 MPa equivalent basanitic charges (3.3–3.4 wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 1200 °C; Table 2). Similarly, the study of Dixon *et al.* [1995] performed on a MORB at 50 MPa and 1200 °C reports water contents (2.2 wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , experiment 21H) comparable to those from Fasnía basanite under the same  $P$ – $T$  conditions (2.3 wt%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ).

Regarding our phonotephritic composition, Figure 7 shows that up to 300 MPa it displays a similar  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  solubility compared to the K-rich phonotephritic lava from Mt. Mellone (Alban Hills, Italy) studied by Behrens *et al.* [2009] at 1200–1250 °C, and the alkali basalts from Lesne *et al.* [2011a] at 1200 °C. In contrast, our sample dissolves between 0.5 to 1 wt% less water above this pressure (>300 MPa) than the other two compositions. At first sight, the higher solubility displayed by the Behrens *et al.* [2009] phonotephrite above



**Figure 7.** Comparison between the water contents measured in this study versus results of various other mafic to intermediate compositions at pressures from 50 MPa to 400 MPa (see Section 4.1 for explanation). Data at 500 MPa are shown for visualization. The *filled* and *empty orange circles* represent our Garachico experiments performed at NNO + 1.4 and NNO + 3.2, respectively. The *filled* and *empty green squares* correspond to Fasnía experiments performed under different  $f\text{O}_2$  conditions (NNO + 1.4 and NNO + 3.2, respectively). The solubility curves of Fasnía (*green line*) and Garachico (*orange line*) at NNO + 3.2 are shown.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -pure experimental results from other studies are represented as *violet diamonds* [Alb-1, Behrens *et al.*, 2009], *grey cross-square* [Etna, Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012], *yellow triangles* [VES-9, ETN-1 and PST-9, Lesne *et al.*, 2011a], *green triangles* [A2549-basanite, OB93-alkali basalt, Etna and MORB, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014]. The wide range of the data comes from the different compositions used, or the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$  ratios added initially in each study.

300 MPa can be explained by its alkali-rich character (9.5 wt%  $K_2O + Na_2O$ ) compared to the Garachico phonotephrite (7.5 wt%  $K_2O + Na_2O$ ), as suggested by Lesne *et al.* [2011a]. However, Lesne *et al.* [2011a] determined approximately the same water content as Behrens *et al.* [2009] (~8.3 wt%) at an almost equivalent pressure (384 MPa) for a tephrite which is compositionally equivalent (in terms of  $Na_2O + K_2O$ ) to Garachico. Thus, in this case, the variations in the total alkali content of a melt cannot alone explain the different solubility trends observed above 300 MPa. In detail, the Tenerife and Vesuvius samples mostly differ by their K/Na ratios (3.1 for Ves-9 and 0.4 for Garachico), which suggests that the K-rich character of the Vesuvius compositions may be responsible for their enhanced water solubility above 300 MPa. We conclude that the total alkali content is not the sole parameter controlling water solubility, the K/Na ratio likely playing an important role on the amount of  $H_2O$  that can be incorporated into alkaline mafic melts. In summary, the lack of significant differences (>1 wt%  $H_2O$ ) between the water contents measured on mafic to intermediate alkaline compositions, in this and equivalent studies, confirms the minor effect of magma composition on their  $H_2O$  solubility at crustal  $P$  (up to 300 MPa), in particular for a given magma family [i.e., basalts *sensu stricto*; Lesne *et al.*, 2011a, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014]. We cannot rule out the possibility that at higher pressures ( $\geq 400$ –500 MPa), the effect of composition on water solubility is more significant [as in Benne and Behrens, 2003], but exploring volatile solubility at these conditions was beyond the scope of this study.

#### 4.2. Application of available water-solubility models

Predicted  $H_2O$  contents by the aforementioned models are listed in Table S2. Overall, for the basanitic composition, there is a good concordance (considering associated errors) between predicted and measured water contents in the pressure range 50–100 MPa. Above these pressures, we observe a slight overestimate of ~10–15% at 200 MPa, rising to 20% as pressure increases from 300 MPa to 400 MPa.

Whereas for the previous composition, the predictions made by the three considered models progressively deviated from the experimental values, this

is not the case of the phonotephrite, where back-calculated  $H_2O$  contents and foretold solubility behaviour are strongly model-dependent. As for the basanite, the contents returned by the models of Lesne *et al.* [2011a] and Shishkina *et al.* [2014] at 50–100 MPa are in reasonable agreement with our measurements (Table S2). However, above these pressures, the two empirical equations proposed by these authors overestimate the measured values in our experimental melts; the maximum observed differences (1–2 wt%) were obtained at 300–400 MPa.

Conversely, the Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] empirical equation systematically underestimates measured water contents by 0.5–1.2 wt% for the phonotephritic melt. In general, the three models better predict the solubility of water in basanitic melts ( $\pm 1$  wt%) compared to phonotephritic compositions at conditions up to 300 MPa.

#### 4.3. Comparison with other $CO_2$ or $H_2O$ – $CO_2$ experimental studies

Only a few studies have explored in some detail the  $CO_2$  solubility behaviour of basanites and phonotephrites at crustal  $P$ – $T$  conditions [Behrens *et al.*, 2009, Holloway and Blank, 1994, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014, Allison *et al.*, 2019].

As previously mentioned, our results define a negative relationship between  $CO_2$  solubility and alkalinity (Figure 5). This trend is in contrast with the results obtained in similar works, in which a positive relationship between alkalinity and dissolved  $CO_2$  was observed [Lesne *et al.*, 2011b, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014]. A similar (but less apparent) relationship is observed between  $CO_2$  content and the aluminium saturation and agpaite index, or NBO/O but, based on the poor correlation existing between the different parameters ( $R^2 = 0.6$  in the first case and  $R^2 < 0.5$  in the last two), we do not recommend their use for describing the  $CO_2$  solubility in this type of magmas.

To a first order, the difference between previous works and our results can be related to the compositional variations of the starting materials used in each study, which in some cases exceeds 20% relative [i.e.,  $Na_2O + K_2O$ , FeO; CaO; Behrens *et al.*, 2009, Dixon, 1997, Lesne *et al.*, 2011b, Moore, 2008, Vetere *et al.*, 2014]. These differences highlight again the strong control that magma composition exerts on  $CO_2$  solubility, a factor that should be carefully considered

when applying H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility laws that were not specifically calibrated for the target composition.


From a more general perspective, we observe a good correspondence between the CO<sub>2</sub> contents measured in our basanite and that of Shishkina *et al.* [2014]; however, at 300 MPa, the basanite of Shishkina *et al.* [2014] incorporates up to 1300 ppm more than our starting material (Figure 8). In contrast, the basanite of Holloway and Blank [1994], systematically dissolves lower contents (~807 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> at 1200 °C and 100 MPa) compared to the two other basanites. The most significant difference between the three compositions is their CaO content: a positive relationship is indeed observed between CaO and the glass CO<sub>2</sub> contents.

The effect of magma composition in controlling CO<sub>2</sub> solubility is also seen for the phonotephrite: Behrens *et al.* [2009] identified K as an element that enhances CO<sub>2</sub> solubility [see also Scaillet and Pichavant, 2005]. At 200 MPa and 1200–1250 °C, their phonotephrite (with 5 wt% more K<sub>2</sub>O) dissolves 2870 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> compared to 1291 ppm for Garachico (Table 2). However, the compositional difference between both phonotephrites is also due to CaO which, in the melt of Behrens *et al.* [2009], is ~3 wt% higher than in our sample. Thus, the combined effects of CaO and K<sub>2</sub>O likely explain the differences in the CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities as already pointed out by previous studies [e.g., Behrens *et al.*, 2009, Botcharnikov *et al.*, 2006, Lesne *et al.*, 2011b, Liu *et al.*, 2005, Moore, 2008].


#### 4.4. Application of available CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility models

For CO<sub>2</sub>, we have tested three different models to verify their ability to reproduce the CO<sub>2</sub> contents of our experimental runs and hence, their applicability to the Canary Islands magmas (Table S2). The first model we checked is that of Dixon [1997], who, to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in alkaline magmas, proposed an empirical equation that incorporates a compositional parameter ( $\Pi$ ) to account for both the ability of the different network modifier elements to form carbonate species and the degree of melt depolymerization.

In Figure 9, we plot the factor  $\Pi$  of Dixon [1997] against the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations analysed in our two compositions at the  $P$ – $T$  conditions considered by



**Figure 8.** Comparison between the CO<sub>2</sub> contents measured in this study and the experimental results from other mafic to intermediate melts with no water added. The *empty orange circles* represent our phonotephritic composition at NNO – 0.8 and its solubility curve (*orange line*). The *empty green squares* correspond to the basanitic composition at NNO – 1.5 and its solubility curve (*green line*). Results from other studies correspond to *violet diamonds* [Alb-1, Behrens *et al.*, 2009], *grey cross-square* [Etna, Iacono-Marziano *et al.*, 2012], *yellow triangles* [VES-9, ETN-1 and PST-9, Lesne *et al.*, 2011a], *green triangles* (A2549-basanite, OB93-alkali basalt, Etna and MORB, Shishkina *et al.*, 2014) and *blue square* [basanite from Holloway and Blank, 1994]. The wide range of certain data comes from the different compositions used in those studies. See Sections 4.3 and 4.4 for more explanations.



**Figure 9.** Correlation of the  $\Pi$  parameter [Dixon, 1997] with (a) the dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  contents measured in Fasnía, Garachico, and other mafic melts [MORB, Kilauea, basanite, and leucitite from Dixon, 1997] at 100 MPa and 1200°; and (b) the silica content of each composition.


this author, and we obtained a similar linear relationship between both parameters. However, this trend cannot explain the difference in the  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations calculated by Dixon's model for our basanitic and phonotephritic melts. Whereas for the former, the parameterization yields a content that closely matches the one measured here (1222 and 1205 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$ , respectively), for Garachico phonotephrite, Dixon's model predicts a  $\text{CO}_2$  content twice less the measured value (332 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$  instead of 620 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$  measured). To explain such a difference, we hypothesize that in our samples, the order of increasing ability of a cation to enhance  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility may be different from that assumed by Dixon [1997] [ $\text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{K}^+ > \text{Na}^+ \gg \text{Mg}^{2+} \approx \text{Fe}^{2+}$ , according to Spera and Bergman, 1980]. Therefore, the role played by the different cations may vary depending on magma composition and  $P$ - $T$ - $f\text{O}_2$  conditions. The other possibility is that the model of Dixon [1997] was calibrated using the data obtained on the basanite of Holloway and Blank [1994], which as stated before, dissolves less  $\text{CO}_2$  than Fasnía basanite at the same  $P$ - $T$  conditions (see above). However, the detailed study of cation effects on  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility is beyond the scope of this work.

The second model considered here is the one of Shishkina *et al.* [2014], who explored the solubility of this gas species over a large range of magmatic compositions (42–51  $\text{SiO}_2$  wt%) and  $P$ - $T$  conditions between 50–500 MPa and 1200 to 1250 °C. These authors derived a new equation to calculate the  $\text{CO}_2$  content in magmatic melts (see this work for further

details), which incorporates an updated parameterization of the  $\Pi$  factor of Dixon [1997] termed  $\Pi^*$  since the former is derived from a narrower range of magma compositions (40–49  $\text{SiO}_2$  wt%) and requires normalization at 100 MPa. Therefore, Shishkina *et al.* [2014] fitted an exponential equation in terms of  $\ln(\text{CO}_2)$  as a function of  $\Pi$  (or  $\Pi^*$ ) and  $\ln P$  to predict the  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility over the explored range of compositions. Overall, this model predicts lower than observed  $\text{CO}_2$  contents for the Fasnía basanite and Garachico phonotephrite, with differences achieving >20% above  $P \geq$  at 200 MPa (Table S2).

Third, we have tested three variants of the Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] model for our compositions at 1200 °C and pressures from 50 to 400 MPa: (1)  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility model as a function of pressure ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -free system), (2)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ - $\text{CO}_2$  solubility model that considers the composition of the fluid phase ( $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ ), and (3) equilibrium pressures at 1200 °C for given  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  contents in the melt. Model predictions were calculated using the web application of Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] under the mentioned  $P$ - $T$  conditions. Input uncertainties were:  $\pm 5$  °C,  $\pm 20$  bars and the standard deviation associated with the EPMA analysis of each major element oxides.

In the first case, the model underestimates the  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility in the basanitic melt by 15–20%, the higher differences arising at 400 MPa. Contrarily, for the phonotephrite, the first variant of the model highly overestimates the  $\text{CO}_2$  contents, exceeding 50% in some cases (Table S2). Similar variations are also observed in  $\text{CO}_2$  contents calculated for the



**Figure 10.** H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubility data from the experiments of (a) the Fasnias basanite (*green squares*) at NNO-0.3 and (b) the Garachico phonotephrite (*orange circles*) at NNO+0.8, compared with the predicted solubility curves (*lighter coloured lines*) calculated with the H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> model of Iacono-Marziano et al. [2012] at 1200 °C, pressures between 50 and 400 MPa, different water molar fractions (from  $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 0$  to  $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1$ ) and the starting compositions (in Table 1). *Lighter coloured error bars* represent the uncertainties associated with the Iacono-Marziano's model at 400 MPa (in *blue*), 300 MPa (in *yellow*), and 200 MPa (in *grey*) for water molar fractions of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3, respectively, and are shown at the right side of the diagram. *Diamonds* represent H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> contents measured in the Etna experimental glasses by Iacono-Marziano et al. [2012] and the different colours correspond to different pressures as described below. *Thick and darker coloured lines* correspond to isobars obtained in this study for different pressures 50 MPa (in *light blue*), 100 MPa (in *orange*), 200 MPa (in *grey*), 300 MPa (in *yellow*), and 400 MPa (in *dark blue*). Symbol error bars indicate the uncertainty of FTIR measurements (propagated errors). There is a significant overestimation of the H<sub>2</sub>O solubility at  $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1$  in the basanitic melt and the CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in the phonotephritic melt (see Section 4.4 for details).

Garachico phonotephrite using the mixed H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> model. Comparatively, as for the first variant, the mixed model slightly underestimates the CO<sub>2</sub> solubility by 10–20% for our basanitic charges.

The solubility curves were computed with the H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> model for water molar fractions varying

from  $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 0$  to  $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1$ . As shown in Figure 10 and Table S2, the model yields contrasted results depending on the composition and the volatile species considered in the calculations. Surprisingly, the mixed solubility calculations return H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents for our experiments that differ considerably from

those predicted by the pure-volatile solubility variants, but in better agreement (10–20%) with our results (see above).

For the Fasnía basanite, the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> model predicts extremely high solubilities and saturation pressures for pure H<sub>2</sub>O and mixed-volatile runs, increasing the differences in the back-calculated contents by up to 30–50% as the pressure rises (i.e., 16 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O at 400 MPa; Figure 10a). Comparatively, the differences with the experimental values are relatively lower when the phonotephritic composition is used in the calculations, since saturation pressures and volatile contents differ by 10–40%. However, for these samples, results from the CO<sub>2</sub> solubility model are doubled compared to experiments (Figure 10b). This is not a trivial difference, since the isobars predicted by the Iacono-Marziano's model clearly differ from those determined in our CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated experiments.

Another important issue that needs to be considered when using the mixed equation of Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] is the errors associated with the calculated CO<sub>2</sub> contents, which at pressures above 100 MPa, exceed 1000–2000 ppm (Figure 10, Table S2). If these errors are projected on the mixed H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility isobars (see error bars associated with the solubility curves for a given pressure and water molar fraction in Figure 10a, b), the uncertainty in the location of a given isobar over the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> diagram proportionally increases with pressure and H<sub>2</sub>O content. This results in an important overlapping of the curves at  $P \geq 200$  MPa. Neglecting the associated CO<sub>2</sub> errors will have important consequences, not only when applying the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> model to calculate the saturation and entrapment pressures of melt inclusions having basanitic–phonotephritic compositions but also for the interpretation of storage conditions and associated degassing processes: errors of  $\pm 1000$  or 2000 ppm translate into depth differences of up to 6 km (see Figure 10). For this reason, we do not recommend the use of this model to calculate H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities of mafic to intermediate magmas from Canary Islands, since these compositions are outside the range considered by Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012], as also pointed out by Shishkina *et al.* [2014].

Finally, we tested the equilibrium pressure model of Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] to back-calculate the equilibration or entrapment pressure of a given melt (i.e., MI) when H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents are

known. Results obtained for our H<sub>2</sub>O-saturated experiments are underestimated by 20–50% for the basanitic melts (at 50–400 MPa) and overestimated by ~10–40% in the phonotephrite at 50–300 MPa, whereas at 400 MPa, the model underestimates it. For the CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated experiments, model predictions yield similar pressures for our basanite (within 10–20%) but underestimate by ~30% the phonotephritic melt (Table S2).

#### 4.5. *Application of the empirical power laws to melt inclusions data from El Hierro, Canary Islands*

The Canary Islands are an important alkaline group of oceanic volcanoes which have been the focus of a large number of volcanological studies. Up to recently there was limited knowledge of the magmatic volatile budget associated with this system [e.g., Gurenko and Schmincke, 2000, Hansteen *et al.*, 1991, 1998, Wallace, 1998]. Yet, the recent eruption of El Hierro in 2011–2012 has provided a unique opportunity to fill this gap [e.g., Longpré *et al.*, 2017].

Our experimental results allow us to revisit samples of the 2011–2012 submarine eruption at El Hierro, Canary Islands [Longpré *et al.*, 2017], and those from young (<20 ka) tephra collected at different locations in El Hierro [Taracsák *et al.*, 2019], owing to the compositional similarities between the bulk-rock, MI, and our starting materials. As a first step, we have used the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O dissolved in the MI which give minimum entrapment pressures [e.g., Esposito *et al.*, 2011, 2014, Gennaro *et al.*, 2019, Steele-Macinnis *et al.*, 2011]. However, MIs often have vapour bubbles containing a substantial portion of bulk volatiles [e.g., Moore *et al.*, 2015, Rose-Koga *et al.*, 2021], so, whenever possible, the restored CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (dissolved + exsolved) were considered in our calculations. We note that even if the investigated MI contained detectable amounts of S, Cl [Longpré *et al.*, 2017, Taracsák *et al.*, 2019], these volatiles are considered to have a minor effect on calculated pressures [e.g., Lesne *et al.*, 2011c], though their consideration would inevitably increase calculated P values [e.g., Lesne *et al.*, 2015].

##### 4.5.1. *The 2011–2012 submarine eruption at El Hierro*

This is the most recent eruption of the Canary archipelago and the first to be fully monitored in

real time [López et al., 2012]. Magma products emitted during this eruption were petrologically characterized by different authors [Martí et al., 2013b, Meletlidis et al., 2015, among others] but only Longpré et al. [2014, 2017] analysed the melt inclusions (MIs) trapped in phenocrysts (mainly olivines). MIs are basanitic–phonotephritic in composition [42–50 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub>; Longpré et al., 2014], contain remarkably high concentrations of dissolved volatiles, with up to 3420 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, 3 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O, and 5080 ppm S [Longpré et al., 2017]. Saturation pressures were previously calculated in this study using the models of Iacono-Marziano et al. [2012], Newman and Lowenstern [2002], Papale et al. [2006] and Shishkina et al. [2014]. Depending on the model, the retrieved pressures vary from 260 to 630 MPa; however, for consistency with the applied degassing software [D-compress, Burgisser et al., 2015] Longpré et al. [2017] considered only the results given by the Iacono-Marziano et al. [2012] model, since this was already implemented in the above software. This gave a more restricted entrapment pressure range between 7 to 260 MPa for olivine hosted MIs, corresponding to depths ≤10 km beneath El Hierro. Additionally, Longpré et al. [2017] calculated H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubilities as a function of pressure at 1150 °C for the 2011–2012 average matrix glass composition.

In order to compare these data with our results, we assume that the possible variations in the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility for a temperature difference of 50 °C are within the associated error [Holloway and Blank, 1994].


The application of our basanitic empirical solubility laws to the 2011–2012 El Hierro melt inclusions yields entrapment pressures between 11 and 280 MPa, with a maximum difference of +33 MPa (~1.5 km) compared to those determined by Longpré et al. [2017]. In both cases, the distribution of H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> suggests that these magmas experienced a continuous degassing from 260–280 MPa down to 50–100 MPa (Figure 11). Although Longpré et al. [2017] have not analysed the inclusion-hosted bubbles, they have obtained a theoretical estimate of how much CO<sub>2</sub> may be sequestered in the bubble using the method of Shaw et al. [2008]. From 29 theoretically reconstructed MI, we can apply our model to 15 MI with ≤6153 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> (which fall within our calibrated range), resulting in very similar entrapment pressures. Only two of them give  $P > 280$  MPa, cor-

responding to 337 and 432 MPa (Figure 11). These new pressures are in full agreement with petrological and seismic data, which indicate a storage/re-equilibration level at 10–15 km [López et al., 2012, Martí et al., 2013b].

The other set of 14 MI yields reconstructed CO<sub>2</sub> contents between 0.8–1.3 wt% to up to 2.3 wt%, which prompted the authors to estimate entrapment pressures ≥1 GPa, equivalent to depths ≥30 km. Whereas those MI containing 0.8–1.1 wt% CO<sub>2</sub> would represent magmas rising from deep levels (600–800 MPa or 20–25 km), in line with information gained from fluid inclusions, cpx–melt geobarometry and volcano-tectonic seismicity [Hansteen et al., 1991, 1998, Klügel et al., 2015, Longpré et al., 2014, 2017, López et al., 2012], those with CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations between 1.3–2.3 wt% are more problematic to interpret since they point to entrapment levels amid 1–2 GPa (≥30–40 km) using the solubility data of Holloway and Blank [1994] for basanitic compositions. Such pressures–depths clearly exceed those recorded by other methods during the unrest episode [Domínguez Cerdeña et al., 2013, López et al., 2012].

#### 4.5.2. Information gained on young (<20 ka) basanites from El Hierro

To test if the previous 2011–2012 volatile contents are representative of the recent (<20 ka) mafic volcanism of El Hierro, Taracsák et al. [2019] analysed the composition and volatile contents of MIs from tephra samples collected at different locations on El Hierro island. Most of the analysed MIs have H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents comparable to those analysed in the recent 2011–2012 eruption (Figures 11–12), varying mainly between 0.1–2 wt% and <2500 ppm, respectively. The relatively high MI volatile contents (i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> up to 3600 ppm and S up to 4290 ppm) along with the occasional presence of large bubbles (>10 vol.%) within MI suggest that the different magmas were volatile-saturated at the time of entrapment. However, independently of their volatile endowment, almost all the considered magmas experienced a similar degassing during their ascent towards the surface (Figure 12). Taracsák et al. [2019] also used D-compress [Burgisser et al., 2015] to calculate both the mixed H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility isobars and melt inclusion volatile saturation pressures at 1200 °C. In this case, the highest volatile saturation




**Figure 11.** H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubility curves determined in basaltic experimental glasses (*thick lines*) at 50 MPa (in *light blue*), 100 MPa (in *red*), 200 MPa (in *grey*), 300 MPa (in *yellow*), and 400 MPa (in *dark blue*), compared to solubility curves calculated by Longpré *et al.* [2017] with the model of Iacono-Marziano *et al.* [2012] (*dashed grey lines*). *Green squares* represent the basaltic glasses from this study. *Green, orange, and blue circles* correspond to olivine-hosted MI glass phases, reconstructed MI (bubble + glass), and averaged matrix glass composition from El Hierro 2011–2012 eruption, respectively (Figure 4a in Longpré *et al.* [2017]). Solubility curves from Longpré *et al.* [2017] are moderately overestimated compared to the isobars of this study. Melt inclusions distribution suggests a continuous degassing from pressures of 260–280 MPa down to 50–100 MPa.

pressures calculated for their MI fall between 150–355 MPa (6–13 km) or 350–700 MPa (13–24 km), depending on whether the CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the MI glass is only considered or that stored in bubbles is also into account.

Similar to the recent 2011–2012 eruption, we applied our empirical laws to determine MIs entrapment pressures. For the <20 ka eruptions, our calculations (using only dissolved H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents of MI) yield somewhat lower *P*-saturation values, from 16 to 258 MPa (Figure 12), most of the data falling between the 100–200 MPa isobars. This implies a difference in the equilibration conditions for the MI glass of ~100 MPa, or equivalent to 3–4 km depth. Regarding the restored volatile contents

of melt inclusions (i.e., glass + bubble CO<sub>2</sub>), Taracsák *et al.* [2019] have studied 47 inclusion-hosted bubbles, 25 of which contained detectable CO<sub>2</sub>. Of these, 8 MI glass phases were also analysed, and the entrapment pressures for the 6 MIs with CO<sub>2</sub> falling within the calibrated range of the empirical equations, vary between 262 and 443 MPa (or 8–13 km depth). Two MIs have CO<sub>2</sub> contents (0.9–1.2 wt%) outside model calibration range. Using the data of Holloway and Blank [1994], these high CO<sub>2</sub> contents suggest deeper entrapment levels at ~1 GPa (~30 km).

In summary, our results suggest that the last, but also recent (<20 ka), magmas erupted at El Hierro record a main episode of crystallization-entrapment at 250–443 MPa followed by a second one at *P* <



**Figure 12.** Comparison between H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> contents from olivine- and clinopyroxene-hosted basanite melt inclusions from El Hierro, Canary Islands [Taracsák *et al.*, 2019] and the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility curves (*dashed lines*) determined for our basanitic melt (*green squares*) at pressures from 50 MPa to 400 MPa. *Light blue circles* represent MI glass phases and *orange circles* correspond to reconstructed melt inclusions (bubble + glass). Coloured borders indicate pairs of unreconstructed and reconstructed MI. Most melt inclusions (glass phases) are located between the 100–200 MPa isobars (equivalent to 3–6 km), whereas the restored MI gives higher pressures (280–440 MPa).

200 MPa–50 MPa, corresponding to depths of 8–13 km and 6–1.5 km, respectively (Figures 11 and 12).

Over the range of pressures proposed, our deeper estimates coincide with the location of the crust–mantle discontinuity at El Hierro, which according to previous authors [e.g., González *et al.*, 2013, Klügel *et al.*, 2015, Martí *et al.*, 2013a,b], operated as the main level of crystallization for the magmas erupted in the 2011–2012 event (i.e., at 10–15 km). These observations are consistent with the upper depths of the seismic swarm observed during the unrest period of the 2011–2012 submarine eruption [Domínguez Cerdeña *et al.*, 2013, López *et al.*, 2012], those estimated using length–thickness ratios of feeder dykes at El Hierro [11–13 km; Becerril *et al.*, 2013], and the

low-pressure equilibration recorded by some cpx-hosted fluid inclusions [280–450 MPa, Longpré *et al.*, 2014]. Compared to the above, our crystallization–entrapment depths  $\leq 6$  km are consistent with the interpretation of geodetic data associated with the 2011–2012 event [González *et al.*, 2013] and the presence of high-density bodies (i.e., possibly representing cumulates) at shallow depths beneath the island, both suggesting the low-pressure equilibration conditions experienced by these magmas prior to their eruption [1–6 km; Montesinos *et al.*, 2006]. This last episode may explain the formation of volatile-poor melt inclusions and, thus, the lower calculated entrapment pressures for these magmas.

Altogether, the distribution of volatile contents from El Hierro MIs, the dominant population composition of the host olivines (Fo 78–80), their crystal shape, and the new range of MI entrapment conditions inferred in our work, allow us to provide a robust scenario for the plumbing system feeding basanitic eruptions at El Hierro. Magmas rising from the deep mantle [ $>20$ –25 km; López *et al.*, 2012, Martí *et al.*, 2013a,b] experience a first but “short” (1–2 months, according to olivine diffusion modelling and the scarce occurrence of Fo  $> 84$ –88 olivines recording these conditions [Longpré *et al.*, 2014, Martí *et al.*, 2013b]; Figure 11–12) crystallization episode at 8–13 km. The subsequent fast injection of dykes from this first level of magma ponding towards the surface [Becerril *et al.*, 2013] produces the isothermal (?) syn-eruptive crystallization (characterized by Fo<sub>78–80</sub>) accompanied by progressive H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> degassing of the magma. This dynamic scenario promotes the rapid growth of crystals, as witnessed by disequilibrium textures [e.g., incomplete, resorbed, skeletal; see Martí *et al.*, 2013b, Welsch *et al.*, 2012] along with the entrapment of melt aliquots having different compositions and variable volatile contents, recording their journey towards the surface.

## 5. Conclusions

We report new H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> solubility data in basanitic and phonotephritic melts representative of the Canary Islands magmas, from which empirical solubility laws have been derived. Whereas H<sub>2</sub>O displays broadly similar behaviour in both compositions, this is not the case of CO<sub>2</sub>, for which the role of cations such as Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, and Mg<sup>+</sup> signif-

icantly impact CO<sub>2</sub> solubility [e.g., Dixon, 1997], in a manner still not well accounted for by existing models. Our study suggests that for compositions having similar total alkali contents, differences in CaO, MgO, and FeO\* may play an important role in enhancing or decreasing the CO<sub>2</sub> solubility.

The application of existing H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> empirical models yields reasonable water contents for our compositions at low pressures (50–200 MPa), whereas the difference increases up to 1–2 wt% at 300–400 MPa. For CO<sub>2</sub>, the amounts calculated by the models differ compared to those measured by at least 10–20% and up to 85%. As stated above, the observed differences reflect the incomplete compositional coverage of available models.

Application of our results at El Hierro suggests a simple scenario in which magmas rising from the deep mantle (>25 km) experience a first transient episode of crystallization at 8–13 km, followed by the crystallization occurred during the subsequent dyke injection, ascent, and degassing at  $P \leq 200$  MPa (6–1.5 km).

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by (1) the Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans (ISTO-CNRS), the LABEX VOLTAIRE (ANR-10-LABX-100-01), and the PLANEX projects (11-EQPX-0036); (2) the European Volcano Early Warning System (EVE) project grant agreement: 826292 through the Group of Volcanology of Geociencias Barcelona (GEO3BCN-CSIC), and (3) the National Geographic Institute of Spain (IGN, Canary Islands). We thank L. Remusat from the MNHN in Paris for his support with NanoSIMS analysis; Ida Di Carlo and Aneta Slodczyk from ISTO-CNRS for their assistance in EPMA and FTIR analysis, respectively; and Ma J. Blanco, Francisco Quirós and Stavros Meletlidis from IGN, and Michel Pichavant, for their help in field tasks, as well as Joan Martí from GEO3BCN-CSIC for discussions and field support. We kindly thank the constructive comments of two anonymous reviewers and the editorial handling of the Editor François Chabaux.

### Supplementary data

Supporting information for this article is available on the journal's website under <https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.84> or from the author.

### References

- Allison, C. M., Roggensack, K., and Clarke, A. B. (2019). H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in alkali-rich mafic magmas: new experiments at mid-crustal pressures. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 174, article no. 58.
- Anderson, A. T. (1975). Some basaltic and andesitic gases. *Rev. Geophys.*, 13, 37–55.
- Andújar, J., Martel, C., Pichavant, M., Samaniego, P., Scaillet, B., and Molina, I. (2017). Structure of the plumbing system at Tungurahua volcano, Ecuador: Insights from phase equilibrium experiments on July–August 2006 eruption products. *J. Petrol.*, 58, 1249–1278.
- Andújar, J. and Scaillet, B. (2012a). Experimental constraints on parameters controlling the difference in the eruptive dynamics of phonolitic magmas: the case of Tenerife (Canary Islands). *J. Petrol.*, 53, 1777–1806.
- Andújar, J. and Scaillet, B. (2012b). Relationships between pre-eruptive conditions and eruptive styles of phonolite–trachyte magmas. *Lithos*, 152, 122–131.
- Andújar, J., Scaillet, B., Pichavant, M., and Druitt, T. H. (2015). Differentiation conditions of a basaltic magma from Santorini, and its bearing on the production of andesite in arc settings. *J. Petrol.*, 56, 765–794.
- Becerril, L., Galindo, I., Gudmundsson, A., and Morales, J. M. (2013). Depth of origin of magma in eruptions. *Sci. Rep.*, 3, article no. 2762.
- Behrens, H., Misiti, V., Freda, C., Vetere, F., Botcharnikov, R. E., and Scarlato, P. (2009). Solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in ultrapotassic melts at 1200 and 1250 C and pressure from 50 to 500 MPa. *Am. Mineral.*, 94, 105–120.
- Benne, D. and Behrens, H. (2003). Water solubility in haplobasaltic melts. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 15, 803–814.
- Blank, J. and Brooker, R. (1994). Experimental studies of carbon dioxide in silicate melts: solubility, speciation, and stable carbon isotope behavior. In Carroll, M. and Holloway, J., editors, *Volatiles in Magmas*, pages 157–186. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston.
- Botcharnikov, R., Freise, M., Holtz, F., and Behrens, H. (2005). Solubility of C–O–H mixtures in natural melts: new experimental data and application range of recent models. *Ann. Geophys.*, 48, 633–646.
- Botcharnikov, R. E., Behrens, H., and Holtz, F. (2006). Solubility and speciation of C–O–H fluids in an-

- desitic melt at  $T = 1100\text{--}1300$  °C and  $P = 200$  and 500 MPa. *Chem. Geol.*, 229, 125–143.
- Brooker, R. A., Kohn, S. C., Holloway, J. R., and McMillan, P. F. (2001a). Structural controls on the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in silicate melts: Part I: bulk solubility data. *Chem. Geol.*, 174, 225–239. 6th International Silicate Melt Workshop.
- Brooker, R. A., Kohn, S. C., Holloway, J. R., and McMillan, P. F. (2001b). Structural controls on the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in silicate melts: Part II: IR characteristics of carbonate groups in silicate glasses. *Chem. Geol.*, 174, 241–254. 6th International Silicate Melt Workshop.
- Burgisser, A., Alletti, M., and Scaillet, B. (2015). Simulating the behavior of volatiles belonging to the C–O–H–S system in silicate melts under magmatic conditions with the software D-Compress. *Comput. Geosci.*, 79, 1–14.
- Burnham, C. W. (1975). Water and magmas; a mixing model. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 39, 1077–1084.
- Burnham, C. W. (1979). The importance of volatile constituents. In Yoder, H. S., editor, *The Evolution of the Igneous Rocks*, pages 439–482. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Burnham, C. W. and Davis, N. F. (1971). The role of H<sub>2</sub>O in silicate melts; I, P–V–T relations in the system NaAlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O to 10 kilobars and 1000 degrees C. *Am. J. Sci.*, 270, 54–79.
- Burnham, C. W. and Davis, N. F. (1974). The role of H<sub>2</sub>O in silicate melts; II, Thermodynamic and phase relations in the system NaAlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O to 10 kilobars, 700 degrees to 1100 degrees C. *Am. J. Sci.*, 274, 902–940.
- Burnham, C. W. and Jahns, R. H. (1962). A method for determining the solubility of water in silicate melts. *Am. J. Sci.*, 260, 721–745.
- Carroll, M. R. and Blank, J. G. (1997). The solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O in phonolitic melts. *Am. Mineral.*, 82, 549–556.
- Cocheo, P. A. (1993). The solubility of water in basanitic melts at low pressures. Master's thesis. Arizona State University.
- Dixon, J. E. (1997). Degassing of alkalic basalts. *Am. Mineral.*, 82, 368–378.
- Dixon, J. E. and Pan, V. (1995). Determination of the molar absorptivity of dissolved carbonate in basanitic glass. *Am. Mineral.*, 80, 1339–1342.
- Dixon, J. E. and Stolper, E. M. (1995). An experimental study of water and carbon dioxide solubilities in Mid-Ocean Ridge Basaltic liquids. Part II: Applications to degassing. *J. Petrol.*, 36, 1633–1646.
- Dixon, J. E., Stolper, E. M., and Holloway, J. R. (1995). An experimental study of water and carbon dioxide solubilities in Mid-Ocean Ridge Basaltic liquids. Part I: Calibration and solubility models. *J. Petrol.*, 36, 1607–1631.
- Domínguez Cerdeña, I., del Fresno, C., and Gomis Moreno, A. (2013). Seismicity patterns prior to the 2011 El Hierro eruption. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 104(1), 567–575.
- Edmonds, M. and Wallace, P. J. (2017). Volatiles and exsolved vapor in volcanic systems. *Elements*, 13, 29–34.
- Esposito, R., Bodnar, R. J., Danyushevsky, L. V., de Vivo, B., Fedele, L., Hunter, J., Lima, A., and Shimizu, N. (2011). Volatile evolution of magma associated with the Solchiaro eruption in the Phlegrean Volcanic District (Italy). *J. Petrol.*, 52, 2431–2460.
- Esposito, R., Hunter, J., Schiffbauer, J., Shimizu, N., and Bodnar, R. J. (2014). An assessment of the reliability of melt inclusions as recorders of the pre-eruptive volatile content of magmas. *Am. Mineral.*, 99, 976–998.
- Gaillard, F., Schmidt, B., Mackwell, S., and McCammon, C. (2003). Rate of hydrogen–iron redox exchange in silicate melts and glasses. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 67, 2427–2441.
- Gennaro, E., Iacono-Marziano, G., Paonita, A., Rotolo, S. G., Martel, C., Rizzo, A. L., Pichavant, M., and Liotta, M. (2019). Melt inclusions track melt evolution and degassing of Etnean magmas in the last 15 ka. *Lithos*, 324–325, 716–732.
- Giordano, D., Russell, J. K., and Dingwell, D. B. (2008). Viscosity of magmatic liquids: A model. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 271, 123–134.
- González, P. J., Samsonov, S. V., Pepe, S., Tiampo, K. F., Tizzani, P., Casu, F., Fernández, J., Camacho, A. G., and Sansosti, E. (2013). Magma storage and migration associated with the 2011–2012 El Hierro eruption: Implications for crustal magmatic systems at oceanic island volcanoes. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 118, 4361–4377.
- Goranson, R. W. (1931). Solubility of water in granite magmas. *Eos, Trans. AGU*, 12, 183–183.
- Goranson, R. W. (1936). Silicate-water systems: The solubility of water in albite-melt. *Eos, Trans. AGU*, 17, 257–259.
- Grove, T. L. (1982). Use of FePt alloys to eliminate

- the iron loss problem in 1 atmosphere gas mixing experiments: Theoretical and practical considerations. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 78, 298–304.
- Gurenko, A. A. and Schmincke, H. U. (2000). S concentrations and its speciation in Miocene basaltic magmas north and south of Gran Canaria (Canary Islands): constraints from glass inclusions in olivine and clinopyroxene. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 64, 2321–2337.
- Hall, L. J., Brodie, J., Wood, B. J., and Carroll, M. R. (2004). Iron and water losses from hydrous basalts contained in Au 80 Pd 20 capsules at high pressure and temperature. *Mineral. Mag.*, 68, 75–81.
- Hansteen, T. H., Andersen, T., Neumann, E.-R., and Jelsma, H. (1991). Fluid and silicate glass inclusions in ultramafic and mafic xenoliths from Hierro, Canary Islands: implications for mantle metasomatism. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 107, 242–254.
- Hansteen, T. H., Klügel, A., and Schmincke, H. U. (1998). Multi-stage magma ascent beneath the Canary Islands: evidence from fluid inclusions. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 132, 48–64.
- Holloway, J. and Blank, J. (1994). Application of experimental results to C–O–H species in natural melts. *Rev. Mineral.*, 30, 187–230.
- Holloway, J. R. (1977). Fugacity and activity of molecular species in supercritical fluids. In Fraser, D. G., editor, *Thermodynamics in Geology*, NATO Advanced Study Institutes Series (Series C, Mathematical and Physical Sciences), pages 161–181. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Holloway, J. R., Pan, V., and Guðmundsson, G. (1992). High-pressure fluid-absent melting experiments in the presence of graphite: oxygen fugacity, ferric/ferrous ratio and dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 4, 105–114.
- Iacono-Marziano, G., Morizet, Y., Le Trong, E., and Gaillard, F. (2012). New experimental data and semi-empirical parameterization of H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in mafic melts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 97, 1–23.
- Iacovino, K., Moore, G., Roggensack, K., Oppenheimer, C., and Kyle, P. (2013). H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in mafic alkaline magma: applications to volatile sources and degassing behavior at Erebus volcano, Antarctica. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 166, 845–860.
- Jendrzewski, N., Trull, T. W., Pineau, F., and Javoy, M. (1997). Carbon solubility in Mid-Ocean Ridge basaltic melt at low pressures (250–1950 bar). *Chem. Geol.*, 138, 81–92.
- Kawamoto, T. and Hirose, K. (1994). Au–Pd sample containers for melting experiments on iron and water bearing systems. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 6, 381–385.
- Klügel, A., Longpré, M.-A., García-Cañada, L., and Stix, J. (2015). Deep intrusions, lateral magma transport and related uplift at ocean island volcanoes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 431, 140–149.
- Le Bas, M. J., Maitre, R. W. L., Streckeisen, A., and Zanettin, B. (1986). IUGS Subcommission on the systematics of igneous rocks. A chemical classification of volcanic rocks based on the total alkali-silica diagram. *J. Petrol.*, 27, 745–750.
- Lesne, P., Kohn, S. C., Blundy, J., Witham, F., Botcharnikov, R. E., and Behrens, H. (2011c). Experimental simulation of closed-system degassing in the system basalt–H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub>–S–Cl. *J. Petrol.*, 52, 1737–1762.
- Lesne, P., Scaillet, B., and Pichavant, M. (2015). The solubility of sulfur in hydrous basaltic melts. *Chem. Geol.*, 418, 104–116.
- Lesne, P., Scaillet, B., Pichavant, M., and Beny, J.-M. (2011b). The carbon dioxide solubility in alkali basalts: an experimental study. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 162, 153–168.
- Lesne, P., Scaillet, B., Pichavant, M., Iacono-Marziano, G., and Beny, J.-M. (2011a). The H<sub>2</sub>O solubility of alkali basaltic melts: an experimental study. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 162, 133–151.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., and Behrens, H. (2005). Solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O in rhyolitic melts at low pressures and a new empirical model for mixed H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in rhyolitic melts. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 143, 219–235.
- Longpré, M.-A., Klügel, A., Diehl, A., and Stix, J. (2014). Mixing in mantle magma reservoirs prior to and during the 2011–2012 eruption at El Hierro, Canary Islands. *Geology*, 42, 315–318.
- Longpré, M.-A., Stix, J., Klügel, A., and Shimizu, N. (2017). Mantle to surface degassing of carbon- and sulphur-rich alkaline magma at El Hierro, Canary Islands. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 460, 268–280.
- López, C., Blanco, M. J., Abella, R., Brenes, B., Rodríguez, V. M. C., Casas, B., Cerdeña, I. D., Felpeto, A., Villalta, M. E., de Fresno, C., del García, O., García-Arias, M. J., García-Cañada, L., Moreno, A. G., González-Alonso, E., Pérez, J. G., Iribarren, I., López-Díaz, R., Luengo-Oroz, N., Meletlidis, S., Moreno, M., Moure, D., Pablo, J. P., de Roderó, C.,

- Romero, E., Sainz-Maza, S., Domingo, M. A. S., Torres, P. A., Trigo, P., and Villasante-Marcos, V. (2012). Monitoring the volcanic unrest of El Hierro (Canary Islands) before the onset of the 2011–2012 submarine eruption. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, article no. L13303.
- Martí, J., Castro, A., Rodríguez, C., Costa, F., Carrasquilla, S., Pedreira, R., and Bolos, X. (2013b). Correlation of magma evolution and geophysical monitoring during the 2011–2012 El Hierro (Canary Islands) submarine eruption. *J. Petrol.*, 54, 1349–1373.
- Martí, J., Pinel, V., López, C., Geyer, A., Abella, R., Tárrega, M., Blanco, M. J., Castro, A., and Rodríguez, C. (2013a). Causes and mechanisms of the 2011–2012 El Hierro (Canary Islands) submarine eruption: El Hierro eruption. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 118, 823–839.
- McMillan, P. F. and Remmele, R. L. (1986). Hydroxyl sites in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass: A note on infrared and Raman spectra. *Am. Mineral.*, 71, 772–778.
- Meletlidis, S., Roberto, A. D., Cerdeña, I. D., Pompilio, M., García-Cañada, L., Bertagnini, A., Benito-Saz, M. A., Carlo, P. D., and Aparicio, S. S. M. (2015). New insight into the 2011–2012 unrest and eruption of El Hierro Island (Canary Islands) based on integrated geophysical, geodetical and petrological data. *Ann. Geophys.*, 58, article no. 0546.
- Montesinos, F. G., Arnoso, J., Benavent, M., and Vieira, R. (2006). The crustal structure of El Hierro (Canary Islands) from 3-D gravity inversion. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 150, 283–299.
- Moore, G. (2008). Interpreting H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents in melt inclusions: Constraints from solubility experiments and modeling. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.*, 69, 333–362.
- Moore, G. and Carmichael, I. S. E. (1998). The hydrous phase equilibria (to 3 kbar) of an andesite and basaltic andesite from western Mexico: constraints on water content and conditions of phenocryst growth. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 130, 304–319.
- Moore, G., Chizmeshya, A., and McMillan, P. F. (2000). Calibration of a reflectance FTIR method for determination of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in rhyolitic glasses. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 64, 3571–3579.
- Moore, G., Vennemann, T., and Carmichael, I. S. E. (1998). An empirical model for the solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O in magmas to 3 kilobars. *Am. Mineral.*, 83, 36–42.
- Moore, L. R., Gazel, E., Tuohy, R., Lloyd, A. S., Esposito, R., Steele-MacInnis, M., Hauri, E. H., Wallace, P. J., Plank, T., and Bodnar, R. J. (2015). Bubbles matter: An assessment of the contribution of vapor bubbles to melt inclusion volatile budgets. *Am. Mineral.*, 100, 806–823.
- Moussallam, Y., Morizet, Y., and Gaillard, F. (2016). H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in low SiO<sub>2</sub>-melts and the unique mode of kimberlite degassing and emplacement. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 447, 151–160.
- Mysen, B. O. (1990). Relationships between silicate melt structure and petrologic processes. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 27, 281–365.
- Mysen, B. O. (1991). Relations between structure, redox equilibria of iron, and properties of magmatic liquids. In Perchuk, L. L. and Kushiro, I., editors, *Physical Chemistry of Magmas, Advances in Physical Geochemistry*, pages 41–98. Springer, New York, NY.
- Mysen, B. O., Arculus, R. J., and Eggler, D. H. (1975). Solubility of carbon dioxide in melts of andesite, tholeiite, and olivine nephelinite composition to 30 kbar pressure. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 53, 227–239.
- Mysen, B. O. and Cody, G. (2004). Solubility and solution mechanism of H<sub>2</sub>O in alkali silicate melts and glasses at high pressure and temperature. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 68, 5113–5126.
- Mysen, B. O. and Virgo, D. (1986a). Volatiles in silicate melts at high pressure and temperature. Water in melts along the join NaAlO<sub>2</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> and a comparison of solubility mechanisms of water and fluorine. *Chem. Geol.*, 57(1986), 333–358.
- Mysen, B. O. and Virgo, D. (1986b). Volatiles in silicate melts at high pressure and temperature. Interaction between OH groups and Si<sup>4+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>. *Chem. Geol.*, 57(1986), 303–331.
- Mysen, B. O., Virgo, D., and Seifert, F. A. (1982). The structure of silicate melts: Implications for chemical and physical properties of natural magma. *Rev. Geophys.*, 20, 353–383.
- Newman, S. and Lowenstern, J. B. (2002). Volatile-Calc: a silicate melt–H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> solution model written in Visual Basic for excel. *Comput. Geosci.*, 28, 597–604.
- Newman, S., Stolper, E. M., and Epstein, S. (1986). Measurement of water in rhyolitic glasses; calibra-

- tion of an infrared spectroscopic technique. *Am. Mineral.*, 71, 1527–1541.
- Ni, H. and Keppler, H. (2013). Carbon in silicate melts. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.*, 75, 251–287.
- Oppenheimer, C., Fischer, T. P., and Scaillet, B. (2014). Volcanic degassing: process and impact. In Holland, H. D. and Turekian, K. K., editors, *Treatise on Geochemistry*, pages 111–179. Elsevier, Oxford, 2nd edition.
- Pan, V., Holloway, J. R., and Hervig, R. L. (1991). The pressure and temperature dependence of carbon dioxide solubility in tholeiitic basalt melts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 55, 1587–1595.
- Papale, P., Moretti, R., and Barbato, D. (2006). The compositional dependence of the saturation surface of H<sub>2</sub>O+CO<sub>2</sub> fluids in silicate melts. *Chem. Geol.*, 229, 78–95.
- Pawley, A. R., Holloway, J. R., and McMillan, P. F. (1992). The effect of oxygen fugacity on the solubility of carbon–oxygen fluids in basaltic melt. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 110, 213–225.
- Pichavant, M., Di Carlo, I., Rotolo, S. G., Scaillet, B., Burgisser, A., Le Gall, N., and Martel, C. (2013). Generation of CO<sub>2</sub>-rich melts during basalt magma ascent and degassing. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 166, 545–561.
- Rose-Koga, E. F., Bouvier, A.-S., Gaetani, G. A., Wallace, P. J., Allison, C. M., Andrys, J. A., Angeles de la Torre, C. A., Barth, A., Bodnar, R. J., Bracco Gartner, A. J. J., Butters, D., Castillejo, A., Chilson-Parks, B., Choudhary, B. R., Cluzel, N., Cole, M., Cottrell, E., Daly, A., Danyushevsky, L. V., DeVitre, C. L., Drignon, M. J., France, L., Gaborieau, M., Garcia, M. O., Gatti, E., Genske, F. S., Hartley, M. E., Hughes, E. C., Iveson, A. A., Johnson, E. R., Jones, M., Kagoshima, T., Katzir, Y., Kawaguchi, M., Kawamoto, T., Kelley, K. A., Koornneef, J. M., Kurz, M. D., Laubier, M., Layne, G. D., Lerner, A., Lin, K.-Y., Liu, P.-P., Lorenzo-Merino, A., Luciani, N., Magalhães, N., Marschall, H. R., Michael, P. J., Monteleone, B. D., Moore, L. R., Moussallam, Y., Muth, M., Myers, M. L., Narváez, D. F., Navon, O., Newcombe, M. E., Nichols, A. R. L., Nielsen, R. L., Pamukcu, A., Plank, T., Rasmussen, D. J., Roberge, J., Schiavi, E., Schwartz, D., Shimizu, K., Shimizu, K., Shimizu, N., Thomas, J. B., Thompson, G. T., Tucker, J. M., Ustunisik, G., Waelkens, C., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, T. (2021). Silicate melt inclusions in the new millennium: A review of recommended practices for preparation, analysis, and data presentation. *Chem. Geol.*, 570, article no. 120145.
- Scaillet, B. and Evans, B. W. (1999). The 15 June 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo. I. Phase equilibria and pre-eruption  $P$ – $T$ – $f_{\text{O}_2}$ – $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  conditions of the dacite magma. *J. Petrol.*, 40, 381–411.
- Scaillet, B. and Pichavant, M. (2005). A model of sulphur solubility for hydrous mafic melts: application to the determination of magmatic fluid compositions of Italian volcanoes. *Ann. Geophys.*, 48(4/5), 671–698.
- Scaillet, B., Pichavant, M., and Cioni, R. (2008). Upward migration of Vesuvius magma chamber over the past 20,000 years. *Nature*, 455, 216–219.
- Schanofski, M., Fanara, S., and Schmidt, B. C. (2019). CO<sub>2</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O solubility in K-rich phonolitic and leucitic melts. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 174, article no. 52.
- Schmidt, B. C. and Behrens, H. (2008). Water solubility in phonolite melts: Influence of melt composition and temperature. *Chem. Geol.*, 256, 259–268. 8th Silicate Melt Workshop.
- Shaw, A. M., Hauri, E. H., Fischer, T. P., Hilton, D. R., and Kelley, K. A. (2008). Hydrogen isotopes in Mariana arc melt inclusions: Implications for subduction dehydration and the deep-Earth water cycle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 275, 138–145.
- Shishkina, T. A., Botcharnikov, R. E., Holtz, F., Almeev, R. R., Jazwa, A. M., and Jakubiak, A. A. (2014). Compositional and pressure effects on the solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in mafic melts. *Chem. Geol.*, 388, 112–129.
- Shishkina, T. A., Botcharnikov, R. E., Holtz, F., Almeev, R. R., and Portnyagin, M. V. (2010). Solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O- and CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing fluids in tholeiitic basalts at pressures up to 500 MPa. *Chem. Geol.*, 277, 115–125.
- Silver, L. A., Ihinger, P. D., and Stolper, E. (1990). The influence of bulk composition on the speciation of water in silicate glasses. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 104, 142–162.
- Sparks, R. S. J. (1978). The dynamics of bubble formation and growth in magmas: A review and analysis. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 3, 1–37.
- Spera, F. J. and Bergman, S. C. (1980). Carbon dioxide in igneous petrogenesis. I. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 74, 55–66.
- Steele-Macinnis, M., Esposito, R., and Bodnar, R. J. (2011). Thermodynamic model for the effect of

- post-entrapment crystallization on the H<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> systematics of vapor-saturated, silicate melt inclusions. *J. Petrol.*, 52, 2461–2482.
- Stolper, E. (1982a). Water in silicate glasses: An infrared spectroscopic study. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 81, 1–17.
- Stolper, E. (1982b). The speciation of water in silicate melts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 46, 2609–2620.
- Tamic, N., Behrens, H., and Holtz, F. (2001). The solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in rhyolitic melts in equilibrium with a mixed CO<sub>2</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O fluid phase. *Chem. Geol.*, 174, 333–347.
- Taracsák, Z., Hartley, M. E., Burgess, R., Edmonds, M., Iddon, F., and Longpré, M.-A. (2019). High fluxes of deep volatiles from ocean island volcanoes: Insights from El Hierro, Canary Islands. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 258, 19–36.
- Vetere, F., Holtz, F., Behrens, H., Botcharnikov, R. E., and Fanara, S. (2014). The effect of alkalis and polymerization on the solubility of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in alkali-rich silicate melts. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 167, article no. 1014.
- Wallace, P. J. (1998). Water and partial melting in mantle plumes: Inferences from the dissolved H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations of Hawaiian basaltic magmas. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 3639–3642.
- Welsch, B., Faure, E., Famin, V., Baronnet, A., and Bachèlery, P. (2012). Dendritic crystallization: A single process for all the textures of olivine in basalts? *J. Petrol.*, 54, 539–574.