

# Experimental field study on the fatigue and failure mechanisms of coastal chalk cliffs: Implementation of a multi-parameter monitoring system (Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, France)

Pauline Letortu, Nicolas Le Dantec, Emmanuel Augereau, Stéphane Costa, Olivier Maquaire, Robert Davidson, Cyrille Fauchard, Raphaël Antoine, Reynald Flahaut, Yan Guirriec, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Pauline Letortu, Nicolas Le Dantec, Emmanuel Augereau, Stéphane Costa, Olivier Maquaire, et al.. Experimental field study on the fatigue and failure mechanisms of coastal chalk cliffs: Implementation of a multi-parameter monitoring system (Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, France). Geomorphology, 2022, 408, pp.108211. 10.1016/j.geomorph.2022.108211. insu-03636861

# HAL Id: insu-03636861 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03636861

Submitted on 11 Apr 2022  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Experimental field study on the fatigue and failure mechanisms of coastal chalk cliffs: Implementation of a multi-parameter monitoring system (Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, France)



Pauline Letortu, Nicolas Le Dantec, Emmanuel Augereau, Stéphane Costa, Olivier Maquaire, Robert Davidson, Cyrille Fauchard, Raphaël Antoine, Reynald Flahaut, Yan Guirriec, Laurent Longuevergne, Jérôme de la Bernardie, Laurence David

PII: S0169-555X(22)00104-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2022.108211

Reference: GEOMOR 108211

To appear in: *Geomorphology* 

Received date: 26 November 2020

Revised date: 8 March 2022

Accepted date: 16 March 2022

Please cite this article as: P. Letortu, N. Le Dantec, E. Augereau, et al., Experimental field study on the fatigue and failure mechanisms of coastal chalk cliffs: Implementation of a multi-parameter monitoring system (Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, France), *Geomorphology* (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2022.108211

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Experimental field study on the fatigue and failure mechanisms of coastal chalk cliffs: implementation of a multi-parameter monitoring system (Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, France)

Pauline Letortu<sup>a\*</sup>, Nicolas Le Dantec<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Emmanuel Augereau<sup>a,c,e</sup>, Stéphane Costa<sup>e</sup>, Olivier Maquaire<sup>e</sup>, Robert Davidson<sup>e</sup>, Cyrille Fauchard<sup>f</sup>, Raphaël Antoine<sup>f</sup>, Reynald Flahaut<sup>g</sup>, Yan Guirriec<sup>g</sup>, Laurent Longuevergne<sup>h</sup>, Jérôme de la Bernardie<sup>h</sup>, Laurence David<sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Univ Brest, CNRS, LETG, IUEM, F-29280 Plouzané, France; <u>Pauline.letortu@univ-brest.fr</u>, <u>emmanuel.augereau@univ-brest.fr</u>

<sup>b</sup>Cerema, Direction Eau Mer et Fleuves, 60270 Margny-lè :-Cc mpiègne, France

<sup>c</sup>Univ Brest, CNRS, Geo-Ocean, IUEM, F-29280 Ploutane, France

<sup>d</sup>Univ Brest, European Institute for Marine Studie (13/EM), CNRS, UMS 3113, F-29280 Plouzané, France; nicolas.ledantec@univ-brest.

\*Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, SNF.S, IDEES, F-14000 Caen, France; stephane.costa@unicaen.fr, olivier.n.cquaire@unicaen.fr, robert.davidson@unicaen.fr

<sup>f</sup>Cerema Normandie Centre, Resea c'i vam ENDSUM, F-76121 Le Grand-Quevilly, France; cyrille.fauchard@cerema.fr, rappe=l.antoine@cerema.fr

<sup>g</sup>Cerema Normandie Centre, rogional Laboratory of Rouen, Earth Sciences group, F-76121 Le Grand-Quevilly, Fran :e; <u>vynald.flahaut@cerema.fr</u>, <u>van.guirriec@cerema.fr</u>

<sup>h</sup>University of Rennes 1, CNRS, Géosciences, F-35042 Rennes, France; <u>laurent.longuevergne@univ-rennes1.fr</u>, jerome.delabernardie@univ-rennes1.fr

<sup>i</sup>CNRS, LETG, IUEM, F-29280 Plouzané, France; <u>laurence.david@univ-brest.fr</u>

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 (0)290915588, pauline.letortu@univ-brest.fr

Abstract: Between November 2018 and January 2020, a continuous multi-parameter survey, using nine types of sensors, was carried out on a coastal chalk cliff in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (Normandy, France) with the objective of gaining a deeper understanding of the forcing agents and processes that lead to cliff fatigue and failure. This paper will present the survey instrumentation, the results on the internal characteristics of the chalk massif, initial results (from November 2018 to March 2019) of the thermal subsurface behaviour along the cliff face, and the analyses of the observed cliff-top ground motior and the movement of existing fractures on the cliff face in relation to forcing agents. Ou mc in results show that 1) the magnitude of cliff-top displacement on this coastal chalk cliff is consistent with prior studies conducted in different settings showing rather high dicplacement amplitudes (up to 50 µm in relatively calm conditions) likely to be related to chair elasticity; 2) the displacement on existing fractures is partly controlled by the tidal immilitude, with a threshold response, but not only. Statistical analyses help the identification of other controls. The processing of the entire dataset from November 2018 to January 2020 with a combined analysis of multiple sensors output is expected to provide furthe, ir sugnt on cliff fatigue and failure.

Keywords: Cliff erosion; rock ta'' triggering mechanisms; continuous monitoring; instrumentation; Normar dy

### 1. Introduction

Recent research on the evolution of rocky coasts, in particular the studies using environmental seismology, has led to an improved but incomplete understanding of cliff fatigue and cliff failure mechanisms (for a review, see Kennedy et al., 2014). Predicting when and where cliff failure will take place is difficult, but the stakes are high with the everincreasing attractiveness of coastal areas (leading to population growth) (Balk et al., 2009), and climate change-related environmental changes that may contribute to the heightened vulnerability of coastal cliffs (IPCC, 2021, 2022). In such a context, natural hazards planning has become an essential component in the coastal many ement toolkit to help coastal communities, infrastructure, historical sites and economic sectors (tourism, fishing, leisure) to face up to these threats.

Cliff failure results from the interaction of bcth ntramal (e.g., rock strength, tectonics) and external factors. In coastal cliff environmental, these external factors can include subaerial – including subsurface – agents (e.g., precipitation (Duperret et al., 2002; Brooks et al., 2012; Bernatchez et al., 2021; Young et *et.*, 2021), water table fluctuations (Hutchinson, 1969; Lageat et al., 2006; Pierre and tachousse, 2006), variations in temperature (Bernatchez et al., 2011, 2021; Letortu et al., 2013), marine actions (e.g., mean sea-level variations, tide, sea state (Guilcher, 1954; Robinson, 1977; Sunamura, 1977; Carter and Guy, 1988)) and anthropogenic phenomeria (e.g., coastal defence structures that modify sediment transport (Costa et al., 2004)). In other environments, such as glacial and mountain regions, monitoring projects have identified the influence of rainfall (e.g., Rapp, 1960; André, 1997; Ilinca, 2009; Zielonka and Wrońska-Wałach, 2019; Mainieri et al., 2020), snowmelt (Reid et al., 1988), freeze-thaw cycles (e.g. Wieczorek and Jäger, 1996; Matsuoka and Sakai, 1999; Ilinca, 2009) and solar heating (Collins and Stock, 2016), to be the main triggering mechanisms for rock fall activity.

More often than not, major erosion factors are identified at specific coastal sites across the world (for a review, see Prémaillon et al., 2018). However, only a few studies have compiled

databases combining precise records of coastal cliff failure events and the associated forcing factors (e.g., Bernatchez et al., 2011; Letortu et al., 2015a; Young et al., 2021) enabling the contribution of erosion processes to be assessed. Since 2000, several studies have focused on wave-generated coastal cliff-top ground motions using broadband seismometers (Adams et al., 2002, 2005; Young et al. 2011, 2012, 2013, 2016; Dickson and Pentney, 2012; Norman et al., 2013; Earlie et al., 2015; Vann Jones (née Norman) et al., 2015; Kennedy et al., 2018; Laute et al., 2018; Vann Jones et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2019). Although current understanding of wave-cliff coupling is by no means complete, cliff-top ground motions have been linked with specific marine processes be eved to bring about these ground motions, and four frequency bands have been ditting lished (limits slightly vary from one site to another): (1) Infragravity waves (IG) (0.005-0.05 Hz) which are mainly derived from swell (Bertin et al., 2018). It has been reported that the loading of the shore platform by IG waves causes a slow swaying motion at the slif top (e.g., Adams et al., 2005; Young et al., 2011); (2) Swell, i.e., typical surf ce gravity waves (SF) (0.05-0.1 Hz), which also contributes to cliff-top swaying motions, at frequencies higher than IG (Young et al., 2011, 2013); (3) Double frequency (DF, (0.1-0.3 Hz), which corresponds to standing waves generated by wave/wave interactions; (4) High frequency (HF) (> 1 Hz), which is generated by a combination of local pheromena such as the direct impact of waves on the cliff face (Adams et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2011) or on the shore platform (Dickson and Pentney, 2012; Poppeliers and Mallinson 2015), wind buffeting (Norman et al., 2013) and parasitic waves, mostly from anthropogenic sources. Cliff-top ground motions are good proxies for environmental forcing (Norman et al., 2013) and wave impact forcing using individual wave field measurements (Thompson et al., 2019), however, questions remain on the transfer function between wave action and cliff response, the spatial representativeness of the recorded ground displacement measurements, and the implication of these cliff-top micromovements in terms of cliff failure.

There is a knowledge gap about how much each triggering factor contributes to cliff failure (Lim et al., 2010; Naylor et al., 2010; Kennedy et al., 2014), which is difficult to overcome as

unpicking the multifactorial elements involved to identify each dominant factors' contribution is an intricate process. The difficulty of this task stems from:

1) Difficulties in measuring failure events and underlying processes with sufficient temporal resolution (Lawler, 2005; Lim et al., 2010; Bernatchez et al., 2011);

2) Variable time lag between the forcing signature and the failure event, thus complicating the identification of correlations and highlighting the need for long-term monitoring (Viles, 2013);

3) Presence of a structural context and marine weather conditions that are often site-specific, and a time variable (including both meteorological variability and climate change) thus making it difficult to transpose results (Lee, 2008).

In order to overcome the first two above-mentioned crie. tific barriers, an ambitious, multiparameter and continuous monitoring survey (13 monuls, from November 2018 to January 2020) was implemented at a chalk cliff site in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (Normandy, France), based on prior field monitoring experiments carried out in Brittany (Letortu et al., 2017; Laute et al., 2018). This work in Normandy is part of the RICOCHET project (2017-2021, http://anr-ricochet.unicaen.fr.), funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR). The parameters that way selected for monitoring were the key environmental ones evoked in the literature - clin face/cliff top/cliff foot (temperature, precipitation, wind, tide, waves, etc.), internal (water able, temperature), and cliff behaviour (cliff-top ground motion, fracturing, deformation, possible failure events). Using a dataset that combined a precise record of cliff behaviour and the internal and external factors at very high temporal and spatial resolutions, the objective was to determine the contribution of different factors and processes in cliff evolution in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer. It is expected that our study will provide insight to support model development for better forecasting of cliff failure/retreat, which in turn will help to address coastal management issues related to land planning in response to cliff erosion risks.

This first paper on this dataset has two objectives: (1) to describe the innovative instrumentation and the dataset (from November 2018 to January 2020), (2) to present the

results on the geophysical properties of the studied chalk site, and the initial results (from November 2018 to March 2019) on the subsurface thermal behaviour along the cliff face, the cliff-top ground motion observed, and the evolution of the existing fractures on the cliff face (opening or closure) in relation to external forcing factors.

### 2. Study area

### 2.1. Regional setting

The coastal cliffs of Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer are around 10 o 25 m high and located along the French side of the Channel in Seine-Maritime (Normand  $\gamma$ , NV France). Tidal range is 8 m (macrotidal environment). The configuration of the Chantel means that swell is limited along this coast: significant swell height  $H_{1/3}$  is less than 2 m for 95% of the time and greater than 3 m for 0.3% of the time, i.e. about 25 h y<sup>-1</sup> (Te<sup>3</sup>so. 1986). However, wind wave height may be high with a maximum significant wave beight of 4.3 m in Dieppe (one-year return period) (Augris et al., 2004). Geologic (I), the "Pays de Caux" plateau terminates at the coastline in cliffs made up of Upper Cretaceous chalk interbedded with flint bands (Pomerol et al., 1987; Mortimore and Dupurret 2004). There are some subtle contrasts in rock strength in the different stages of chalk: in some areas, the residual flint formation over these chalk strata (Laignel, 1997; Costa et al., 2006b) has been replaced by a bed of clay and sandy sediments of around '0-30 m thick from the Paleogene age (Bignot, 1962), which is particularly present in S inte-Marguerite-sur-Mer, Varengeville-sur-Mer and Sotteville-sur-Mer where the cliff lithology is rather complex (Figure 1). Erosion dynamics are spatially variable (from 0.09 m  $y^{-1}$  to 0.23 m  $y^{-1}$  (1966–2008), Letortu et al., 2014a) mainly due to lithologic control (Costa, 1997; Costa et al., 2004, 2019). There is an erosion hotspot along the Cap d'Ailly where a rock fall (used to describe movements of coherent rock, consistent with Varnes, 1978) from 1 000 m<sup>3</sup> has a return period of six months per linear kilometre and retreat rates can locally exceed 0.80 m y<sup>-1</sup> (Letortu et al., 2014a).



Figure 1: Geology in Norma dy, cliff typology and location of the study site in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer.

The cliff foot is characterised by a rocky platform and a gravel barrier in the upper beach against the cliff. The platform is wide (from 100 m to 750 m) with a homogeneous slope (from 0.2% at Le Tréport to 2% at Cap d'Antifer). The gravel barrier is on average about 15–20 m wide, and 2–3 m thick. Beach thickness and width are highly variable along the coast, especially where cross-shore sea defence structures (jetties, groynes) have been constructed, near the stakes (at the valley outlets, as in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (Figure 2a)). There, the gravel beaches can be more than 100 m wide and up to 11 m thick at the Dieppe jetty (Costa et al., 2006a).

The Seine-Maritime coast has an oceanic temperate climate. Mean winter temperatures are positive (5.2°C in January and February) with an average of 30 frost days recorded each year (minimal temperature can reach -16.6°C in February). Precipitation occurs throughout the year (798.2 mm), with autumn and summer being the wettest seasons (minimum of 51.5 mm in February, and maximum of 89.8 mm in October). Daily rainfall can reach 100.8 mm in August. Winds are frequent and violent with an average wind speed of 5.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>, especially from November to February (66% of the average number of days with gusts over 16 m s<sup>-1</sup>), with a dominant westerly direction (southwest to northwest) (Dieppe station (1981–2010), Météo-France, 2019).

### 2.2. Study site selection and characteristics

The chalk cliff site of Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (N 49`54'34", E 0°56'18", NW orientation) was selected for our 13-month field measurement survey (November 2018–January 2020) for the following reasons:

- It is located in the Cap d'Ailly erc. ion hotspot (Costa et al., 2004, 2019; Letortu et al., 2014a, 2014b) where the cliff line hard teen increasingly monitored to gain more knowledge about erosion (e.g., Prêcheur 1960; Evrard and Sinelle, 1987; Costa et al., 2004, 2019; Mortimore et al., 2004; Letortu et al., 2015b, 2019). There is a general consensus that the main drivers of erosion are lithological control (Costa et al., 2004), intense rainfall events (Duperret et al., 2004; La jeat et al., 2006; Letortu et al., 2015b) and marine factors (Letortu et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2019);

- The cliff foot is accessible via the nearby Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer beach (at the outlet of the Saâne River), the cliff top via a nearby parking facility, and through a farmed field using a motorised, off-road vehicle, there is also a hiking path;

- Legal authorizations to carry out the monitoring were obtained (local authority, the plot's owner and farmer).

The monitoring survey focused on a 225 m-long cliff section located downdrift of a groyne (which had caused the formation of a lookout point by differential erosion) and a former

bunker (which has now fallen on the platform), both of which have affected the alongshore beach and cliff morphology (Figure 2a). Topographic surveys of the cliff were carried out by Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS) from the last groyne to 100 m downdrift of the bunker, where the cliff height is around 20 m (measured above the gravel barrier at the cliff foot).

The chalk of the cliff face is particularly weathered (from chemical, biological and mechanical processes which have led to rock fracturing and failure) at this site (grade 3 to 4 on the "weathered rock state" scale of the International Society of Rock Mechanics (ISRM) (WSDOT, 2021)) showing a dense network on small factures on the surface with 10 to 30 cm spacing ("closely spaced" on the "discontinuity spacing" scale of the ISRM (WSDOT, 2021)). The different instruments were set up on the cliff face and clift top near the central part of this 225 m-long cliff section, in an area where the chalk on the cliff face was not too weathered (for safety purposes during the installation). As the instrumented site is downdrift of the groynes on the beach, the gravel beach at the triff foot is narrow (about 30 m compared to 80 m at the last groyne) with a height difference of around 4 m (Figure 2a).

The topography of the site on the cite top is particular and has a significant impact on the characteristics of the water table a this site. The large-scale seaward slope of the plateau shows an inversion near the coast on the landward slope. In addition, this is a gentler, SW alongshore slope. This topography is associated with a drainage system that is oriented parallel to the cliff edge, including a small intermittent stream located around 60 m from the cliff edge (Figure 2a).



Figure 2: (a) Location of the sensors on the cliff top and the shore platform, and some structuring elements of the Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer site; (b) monitored cliff face (view from the gravel barrier), also showing the visual aspect of the chalk on the cliff face; (c) extensometer and set-up on the cliff face; (d) sensors located in fence A at the cliff top; (e) sensors located in fence B and vicinity, at the cliff top.

### 3. Field monitoring methods

The instrumentation comprised 44 sensors of 9 different types that were set up on the cliff top, cliff face and shore platform to record the following (Figure 2, Table 1):

- Cliff mechanical response (seismometers, geophones, extensometers, fibre optic);

- Marine forcing (pressure sensors, video camera);

- Subaerial forcing (weather station, temperature sensors, fibre optic, piezometer).

Most of the instruments used were commercially available, and had undergone some modification for this particular use. All instruments were deployed within the same cross-shore plane, comprising the vertical cliff profile and intertidal (ross-shore profile (Figure 2a). The video acquisition system was deployed on a lookout point (Figure 2a), at a distance of around 105 m from the instruments' location, which enabled the cliff face, from the lookout to the bunker, and the upper beach to be monitored (Figure 2d).

Table 1: List of sensors deployed and their characteristics at the Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer site

Survey	Sensor	Quantity	Location	Duration	Sampling frequency	Sensor detail	
	Seismometer		Cliff top	November 2018- January 2020	100 Hz	Trillium Compact Posthole (Nanometrics), 0.0083-100 Hz	
	Seism. me. າ	1	1 Cliff top October 2019- January 2020 November	October 2019- January 2020	200 Hz	NoeMax (Agecodagis) 0.033-200 Hz	
Cliff	Geophone (soil)	1	Cliff top	November 2018- January 2020	4.5-100 Hz, event- trigerred	Triaxial (IMS)	
mechanical response	Geophone (rock)	3	Cliff face	November 2018- January 2020	4.5-100 Hz, event- trigerred	Triaxial (IMS)	
	Extensometer	8	Cliff face	November 2018- January 2020	30 min	4420 VW (Geokon)	
	Fibre optic device for defomation measurements	1	Cliff top borehole	November 2018- January 2020	20 s	Two measurement sessions of 12 h, spatial resolution of 2 cm	

Marine	Pressure sensor	4	Shore platform	November Shore 2018- platform January 2020		Wave gauge (OSSI)	
forcing	Video camera	1	Nearby lookout on the cliff top	November 2018- January 2020	30 min h <sup>-1</sup> in daytime	Camera P-1365-E (Axis)	
	Weather station (including 2 soil moisture sensors)	1	Cliff top	November 2018- January 2020	2 h	Vantage Pro 2 (Davis)	
Subaerial forcing	Temperature sensor	24	Cliff face	November 2018- January 2020	1 h	Flying Lead Thermistor Probe (Tinytag)	
	Fibre optic device for temperature measurements	Fibre optic device for temperature measurements 1 Cliff top borehole		November 2018- January 2020	1 min	Two measurement sessions of 12 h, spatial resolution of 25 cm	
	Piezometer	1	Cliff top	Nov∵mber ∠ີ1ठ- Jan Jary ∠ີ120	1 h	CNR10R (Panatronic)	

### 3.1. Cliff mechanical response

The cliff-top ground motions were recorded by a broadband seismometer (0.0083-100 Hz) located at the cliff top (17 m from the sine edge), buried about 0.5 m in the soil. Near the end of the monitoring period, in C-tober 2019, a second seismometer (0.05-100 Hz) was installed between the cliff edge and the seismometer 1 (Figure 2e), to (1) examine cliff deformation as opposed to displacement, (2) enable sources of seismic signals to be localized, and (3) assess the cliff's material properties with respect to the propagation of seismic signals.

Cliff micro-fracturing was monitored with four geophones: three were setup in horizontal boreholes on the cliff face in subsurface (0.7 m deep in the chalk) at different heights to measure micro-fracturing evolution in space (at heights of 7 m, 10 m and 14 m in IGN69 (official vertical datum in France), respectively and vertically aligned (noting that the cliff foot is at 1.6 m high in IGN69)) (Figure 2b). A vertical geophone (soil geophone) was installed at the cliff top near seismometer 1, and buried 1 m deep in the soil (Figure 2e). Near the vertical array of the three cliff-face geophones, the evolution of a number of existing fractures on the

cliff surface was monitored using eight extensometers (installed at the location from 7 m to 15 m high in IGN69) (Figure 2b and 2c). The sensors used had a +/- 12.5 mm extension range. Extensometers 4 and 6 were located on the main oblique fracture identified on the cliff face, and 1 and 5 were each located on a secondary fracture. Extensometer 3 was positioned over a well-identified block, 7 across a flint band and 8 above the previous flint band. Extensometer 2 was placed on a solid piece of chalk. The idea behind this set-up is to examine whether and how displacements recorded at the cliff top cause micro-fracturing and eventually cliff failure, and to investigate the evolution and rc le of existing fractures on the face in the cliff's mechanical response.

Distributed deformation measurements were also collect d o er two continuous sessions of about 12 h with a fibre optic device, allowing a spatial measurement of 2 cm along the fibre optic cable at 20 s temporal resolution (Figure 2e, Table 1). The objective was to investigate the cliff's mechanical response along its full height an opposed to only at the cliff top. The depth-dependence of the deformation, inducer by the combination of marine and subaerial forcing factors, may make it possible to identify potential zones of maximum deformation at certain heights along the cliff, depending on its geometry, lithology and hydrology. The cable was cemented in a vertical borehole accated near the seismometer, 18 m from the cliff edge, in a 2-way configuration running from the cliff top to the cliff foot and back (Figure 2e). The two data acquisition session: occurred during spring tides, in the spring and autumn of 2019.

### 3.2. Marine forcing

To monitor hydrodynamic conditions, pressure sensors located on the shore platform were used to measure wave parameters and water level, along with a video camera to assess the sea-surface conditions and characterise the impact of the waves on the cliff face.

Four pressure sensors were set up along a cross-shore transect in the same plane as the vertical array of instruments on the cliff face (Figure 2a). They were located on the lower to middle sections of the intertidal zone to ensure sufficient immersion time at water depths beyond the surf zone at each tidal cycle (at a distance of between 158 m and 233 m from the

cliff). These four sensors were deployed to account for possible instrument failure (only three are needed), given the importance of this forcing agent and the duration of the field survey, and to also provide insight on wave transformation across the shore platform.

A video acquisition system positioned on a lookout at the cliff top (Figure 2d) provided qualitative observations on the sea-surface conditions in the upper beach and at the foot of the cliff, as well as on individual wave impacts on the gravel barrier and on the cliff when they occur. Wave impacts can be identified individually, together with a characterisation of wave-breaking type and a wave-by-wave assessment of water levels against the cliff. The video camera was also used to monitor the morphological evolution of the cliff and beach, the occurrence of failure events, and provide a precise timing of the event. Video recording of the upper beach also provided quantitative information an use evolution of the gravel barrier morphology by calibrating the images from the camera and using water level measurements from the pressure sensors to obtain the elevation of the water line on time-stacked images. Through the combined measuremen's of the cliff's mechanical response, data on hydrodynamics forcing and the beach and cliff morphological evolution, meant that wave-cliff-beach interactions could be examined.

#### 3.3. Subaerial forcing

The monitoring focused on three main factors: rainfall, groundwater level within the chalk cliff, and temperature valiations of the cliff face and the chalk massif. A weather station deployed at the cliff top near the seismometer 1 and soil geophone, located 17 m from the cliff edge, recorded rainfall, wind speed and direction, air temperature, and soil moisture (Figure 2e). A piezometer was installed in a 30 m deep borehole located on the cliff top, 38 m from the instrumented cliff face (Figure 2e). The subsurface temperature of the cliff face was measured every 30 minutes using an array of 24 temperature sensors embedded 15 cm deep into the cliff (away from fractures) along a vertical profile from 50 cm under the top of the cliff face (21 m IGN69) to the bottom of the cliff face (9.5 m IGN69), spaced at 50 cm intervals (Figure 2b).

This set-up aims to provide insight on the role of hydrological processes (including run-off, infiltration, groundwater pressure build-up) on cliff fracturing and failure. Rainfall events are known to contribute to cliff retreat, sometimes acting as triggers, with variable time lags between the rainfall event and the cliff failure. The contributions from the various rainfall-induced mechanisms are still unclear. On our study site, due to the specific topographic configuration, the water table within the cliff is under the influence of both local effects with short response time (discharge of the adjacent stream, tidal level) and regional-scale fluctuations in the groundwater level. Groundwater level car also be used as an input to evaluate the contribution of pore pressure to the total stress (xet.ed on the cliff.

Another contributing factor to cliff retreat is thermal fluctuations. Subsurface temperature monitoring will enable the examination of short-term sublight-related temperature fluctuations within the chalk, and sea surface conditions and tide, including sea spray effects. Due to the spatial distribution of the temperature sensors, we ght-dependent processes along the cliff face can be investigated, such as the effects of solar radiation gradients between the cliff top and foot and the propagation of the temperature fronts related to rainfall infiltration and groundwater level fluctuations.

A second fibre optic device was used to collect distributed temperature measurements in the chalk massif, with a 25 cm sputial resolution along the cable and 1 min temporal resolution, during the same two selections of around 12 h as the distributed deformation measurements (Figure 2e, Table 1). The cable was deployed in the same borehole and with a similar two-way configuration as the cable for deformation measurements. The borehole temperature data will complement the subsurface temperature measurements recorded on the cliff face throughout the entire monitoring period. In particular, we will be able to distinguish superficial thermal processes occurring on the cliff face from processes affecting the whole cliff formation, and also establish a stronger link between hydrology and deformation (assuming that temperature gradients along the borehole are linked to groundwater circulation and indicative of variations in the mechanical properties of chalk).

3.4. Complementary data: topographic and thermal surveys of the cliff face and geophysical site characterisation

In addition to the continuous, multi-parameter monitoring, complementary data were acquired before and during the instrumentation period (Table 2): thirteen topographic surveys (every three months, except in the autumn and winter of the 2018–2019 period where survey periodicity was monthly) were performed to quantify the precise morphological evolution of the cliff face, six surveys of the surface temperature of the cliff face section (125 m-long, every three months from November 2018 to May 2019) to complement the localised subsurface temperature monitoring, and a geophysical surve / to characterise the subsurface ground properties at the site under study.

Repeated topographic surveys of the monitored cliff free were carried out from the shore platform by TLS following the protocol used in 'Letertu et al. (2019). These began in November 2017, with temporal frequency of about 3 months, 225 m-long, on both sides of the monitored cliff face (Table 2a). Eicht surveys were performed during the monitoring period, including two surveys immediately before and after installing the instrumentation, and two surveys before and after removing the instrumentation to quantify the potential anthropogenic erosion (Table 2b).

Surface temperature data of u e cliff face were collected from the beach using an infrared camera (FLIR T650sc 4(°), coupled with a regular camera so that the mosaic of temperature photographs can be draped over the cliff photograph. While subsurface monitoring with the different sensors is supposed to monitor temperature at different timescales from sub-daily to seasonal, the surface temperature surveys are supposed to identify potential spatial differences in the thermal behaviour of the cliff face depending on its properties (chalk colour, flint bands, etc.). Four surveys were carried out during the monitoring period (Table 2b).

A geophysical survey, coupling Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) and Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) measurements (with a Terrameter LS 2 (ABEM)), was performed in March 2018 (Table 2a) to assess the chalk homogeneity at this site and to provide some information on its internal structure and properties (methodology described in Fauchard et

al., 2013). The survey consisted of a profile on the cliff top along the cliff edge and two cross profiles running in a cross-shore direction from the cliff top to the gravel barrier on the beach and including the cliff face (Figure 5a). The longitudinal ERT profile was carried out on the cliff top, alongside the cliff edge, 10 m from the main scarp, using a 256 m-long Wenner array composed of 128 electrodes at 2 m intervals. A 256 m-long GPR profile was realised along the same transect with a 200 MHz dipole antenna. The first seismometer (fence B in Figure 2e) was located at 102 m (from the NE extremity) along the geophysical measurements profile.

Table 2: Timeline of the surveys performed (a) before and (b) during the multi-parameter monitoring (TLS: Terrestrial Laser Scanning).

(a) Before monit oring	No v- 17	De c- 17	Jan -18	Fe b- 18	Ma r- 18	Ар r- 18	Ма у- 18	Ju n- 1 }	:ul - 18	Au g- 18	Se p- 18	Oc t- 18	
TLS survey [numb er of survey s]	[1]			[1]	[1]	K K	2		[1]			[1]	
Cliff face therm al survey [numb er of survey s]	[2]		<pre>p</pre>	10									
Geoph ysical survey [numb er of survey s]					[1]								
(b)	No	De	Jan	Fe	Ma	Ар	Ma	Ju	Jul	Au	Se	Oc	No

r-

y-

During v- c- -19 b- r-

De

C-

t- | v-

n- - g- p-

Jan

-20

monit oring	18	18		19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
TLS survey [numb er of survey s]	[2]	[1]	[1]					[1]			[1]				[2]
Cliff face therm al survey [numb er of survey s]	[1]			[1]			[1]			20%					[1]
Fibre optic survey (temp eratur e) [durati on]					4		[12 1						[12 h]		
Fibre optic survey (defor mation ) [durati on]				0	- ULA	6	[12 h]						[12 h]		

## 4. Data analysis methods

### 4.1. Geophysical site characterisation

According to the methodology described in Fauchard et al. (2013), ERT measurements were inverted using Res2Dinv software (Loke, 2002, 2004). The number of iterations and root mean square were 7 and 0.22%, respectively. These two values ensure the best fit between the calculated model and the measured resistivities in the least-square sense. GPR measurements were performed with a SIR 3000 and a bowtie antenna (GSSI) and the

measurements were processed with the Reflex software (Sandmeier Geophysical Research, 2019) using a standard processing chain (including background removal, Butterworth band pass filter and adjusted gain for improved visualisation).

#### 4.2. Cliff-top ground motion measured by broadband seismometer

Data from the seismometer 1 deployed throughout the entire field campaign (broadband, 120 s-100 Hz) is briefly presented here. Only the vertical component of the seismometer's signal is considered, as horizontal components tend to be signif antly affected by ground tilt (Rodgers, 1968). The processing steps consisted in:

1) Deconvolution of the instrumental response;

2) Bandpass filtering (Butterworth), with cut-off frequencies at 0.0083 and 50 Hz, as defined by the manufacturer;

3) Data clean-up, to discard periods with and repropogenic activities and remove transient signals following instrument restart after a priver loss;

4) Integration of the velocity response into displacement using the trapezoidal method;

5) Computation of the spectrum (Fower Spectral Density, PSD) and spectrograms (computed using 20 min windows with 90% overlap) of vertical displacement.

Figure 3 shows an example of PSD of vertical displacement for a selected day (13 November 2018), typical of mildly energetic hydrodynamic conditions. We verified that energy levels in the DF band were consistent between our cliff-top seismometer and the inland reference station. We calculated the total displacement energy within each of the four frequency bands.



Figure 3: Power Spectral Density (PSD) of the displacement recorded by the seismometer on 13 November 2018, comparing between Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer station (Ste Mar.) and Thoiré-sur-Dinan (TSDF) inland station. The labels IG, SF, DF and HF indicate frequency bands, as explained in the text.

### 4.3. Displacement on existing cliff fractures measured by extensometers

We applied bivariate and multivariate clatistical methods on our dataset. Because temporal sampling was different from one instrument to the other, we use the lowest temporal sampling (2 h, for the wealther station) as our time scale. The data series of all the other instruments were resampled at two-hour intervals. The data of the eight extensometers were filtered using a 5  $\mu$ m timeshold for the range beyond which evolutions were considered as significant (values between -5  $\mu$ m and 5  $\mu$ m are considered as not significant) (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Extract of significant movement  $de^{i}a$  from the eight extensioneters (E, numbering from the middle (7 m IGN69) to the top of the cliff face (15 m IGN69) (Figure 2b)) from 21 November 2018 (00:00) to 31 March 2019 (22:00). The envelopes of the extension and the compression data considering all he extensioneters are plotted in black and blue, respectively. The envelope of the water level data is plotted as well, to visualize the tidal cycles.

A selection was made among environmental factors in order to remove redundant data, which were identified with a correlation matrix calculated considering all factors (factors with Pearson's correlation above 0.65 were removed). Six synthetic environmental variables (subaerial and marine) were selected: sum of rainfall (mm) (sum over 2 hours), mean temperature (°C) (over 2 hours, mean of the upper 15 temperature sensors at the subsurface of the cliff face), wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>), mean water level (m) (over 2 hours, observed tide and storm surge), maximum significant wave height (m) over 2 hours (largest value of the time series of significant wave height, calculated at a time step of 5 min) and tide coefficient.

Periods with data gaps on one of the variables (due to instrument failure) were removed, leaving an extensometer dataset from November 2018 to March 2019 consisting of 1229 individuals (two-hour periods with and without displacements): 576 showing significant displacements (active individuals) and 653 showing no displacements (supplementary individuals). The population of active individuals is large enough to perform statistical analyses. The data were standardised.

Analyses carried out using both populations made it possible to identify the environmental factors (subaerial, marine) that discriminate between two-hour periods with and without displacements, that is, identifying the conditions most proretul cause fracture movement, and whether certain factors induce a characteristic pattern of displacement (in intensity of occurrence). Analyses carried out on the population of active individuals only were meant to examine more precisely the displacements' characteristics (direction of displacement) as a function of the subaerial and marine conditions that is were identified as conducive to fracture movement.

Details on the statistical analyses are presented in Table 3, where the dashed, vertical line is used as a separation to distinguish be were the two aforementioned analyses. In both cases, we used multivariate (Principal Component Analysis, PCA; Hierarchical Cluster Analysis, HCA) and bivariate ( $\chi^2$  test) subtistical methods, which were sequenced in four steps (Table 3):

1) A PCA was performed o visualise the links between variables and the existence of groups of individuals and groups of variables (Saporta, 2006). This structure highlights the agent(s) a priori most relevant to synthesise the subaerial and marine conditions that characterise individuals;

2) The second step was a HCA (Euclidean distance, Ward's method), for which we used the results of the PCA: the variables of individuals are their PCA coordinates on the first two axes. This step differentiates individuals (two-hour periods in the first analysis, or significant displacements in the second analysis) as a function of their associated subaerial and marine agents, leading to typologies of (a) subaerial and marine conditions causing significant

displacements, or not, and (b) significant displacements as a function of subaerial and marine conditions;

3) The third step consisted in an average analysis using these typologies, yielding the mean characteristics of subaerial and marine conditions leading to fracture movement, or not, or the mean environmental characteristics of significant displacements recorded on the cliff face. The characterisation of "low", "medium" or "high" is derived from the comparison of the mean (for the variables of interest) for the class with the overall mean (all individuals). For a given variable, the mean for a class is considered as "mediu" if it is within plus or minus one standard deviation of the overall mean.

4) In the fourth step, complementary information on displacements was taken into consideration (intensity of displacements, frequency of occurrence of displacements and displacement direction). A  $\chi^2$  test (independence lest, p-value between 0.3 to 7%) was performed to check whether certain types of subacrial and marine conditions preferentially generate displacements with a given intendity and with a given number of extensometers showing significant displacements. Furthermore, considering each measured displacement (every 30 min) instead of two-hou in erod, and associating displacements with their type, makes it possible to examine whether certain conditions trigger specific direction of displacements (closing or opening).

Methods	Displacement measurements recorded by extensometers				
1 <sup>st</sup> step: PCA Visualizes relationships between variables, presence of groups of individuals and groups of variables	Subaerial and marine variables: sum of rainfall, mean temperature, wind speed, mean water level, maximum significant wave height, tide coefficient Active individuals: 2 h periods with displacements Supplementary individuals: 2 h periods without displacements				
2 <sup>nd</sup> step: HCA Differentiates subaerial and marine characteristics of 2 h periods or displacements and create a typology	Individuals: Coordinates of 2 h periods on PCA factors 1	Individuals: Coordinates of 2 h periods on PCA factors Typology of PCA is integrated to displacements, which become individuals			
3 <sup>rd</sup> step: Average of clusters on factorial coordinates and variables Characterises types of subaerial and marine conditions	Individuals: 2 h periods with or without displacements Variables: same as 1 <sup>st</sup> step	'ndiv duals:Displacements '/ariables: same as 1st step			
4 <sup>th</sup> step: χ <sup>2</sup> test Identifies the relationships between subaerial and marine condition types and displacement characteristics	2 tests: - Types of subaerial and marine conditions/int_nsity of displacements in ab_oc'ute value ( $(0; \le 0.0^{\circ} \text{ n}, \infty)$ between but not including 0.01 and up to 0.3 mm; >0.03 mm) - Types of ubaerial and marine conditions/ number of extensometers with 1 dip_back and 10; 1-2; 3 and r to (a)	1 test: - Types of subaerial and marine conditions/ displacement direction (contraction; extension)			

Table 3: Analysis steps using nultivariate and bivariate statistical methods, showing both analysis pipelines (separated by the dashed, vertical line)

### 5. Results

### 5.1. Internal structure and properties of the site

The longitudinal ERT profile clearly shows a two-layer structure (Figure 5c). The first layer, referred to as the upper chalk part, from the cliff top to the cliff foot (around 0 m IGN69), has resistivities ranging from 60  $\Omega$  m to over 300  $\Omega$  m, and averaging slightly above 100  $\Omega$  m. The second layer, from the cliff foot and extending at least 20 m beneath, shows much lower resistivity values (average of 4  $\Omega$  m). This information indicates that chalk is saturated with

highly conductive fluids in this underlying stratum, probably corresponding to seawater infiltrating all the cliff foot and mixing with inland groundwater.

The upper part of the chalk cliff is resistive enough to let electromagnetic waves propagate. The GPR depth of investigation is around 12 m (with an electromagnetic wave speed set at 1.3 m ns<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. a dielectric constant of 5.3) and can be correlated with the resistivity pattern in the upper chalk layer. GPR signals between 60 and 200 m along the profile and down to a depth of 5 m reveal a heterogeneous chalk, probably resulting from open cracks filled with air and silt. Deeper (underneath the heterogeneous surface sub layer) and around this central area (at the extremities of the profile), radar signal strength has 'ower contrasts, indicating a homogeneous media compared with the wavelength (0.65 m) Figure 5b).

Solution of the second second



Figure 5: (a) Geophysical measurements location; (b) Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) longitudinal profile (200 MHz, 12 m penetration depth); (c) Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) longitudinal profile acquired on the cliff top, along the edge of the cliff, passing by

fence B (located in Figure 2). The common layer of investigated subsoil with GPR and ERT is delineated by the black dotted line. Note that the topography is enhanced in the GPR image; the depth scale differs compared with the ERT case.

### 5.2. Analysis period: is it representative of typical environmental conditions?

The analysis period (from November 2018 to March 2019) for the initial results of this unique dataset presented here was out of the ordinary in relation to typical forcing conditions. Autumn and winter were milder than the 30-year climatic nonmal (1981–2010) of Dieppe during these months. Minimal temperature was higher (+88%) than the climatic normal. We recorded 14 freeze days compared to 29 days for the climatic normal (from November to March), lower rainfall (-22%) and wind speed (-10%, Furthermore, there were no storms or major erosion events during this period (see TLS surveys in Figure 6), which is rare in winter. Only small rock falls (or flakes) of about 1 m were observed on the instrumented cliff face, mostly near the cliff foot.



Figure 6: Cliff face erosion monitored by Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS) between 17/10/2018 and 20/06/2019 at Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer

5.3. Subsurface temperature variations along the cliff face

The subsurface temperature sensors array shows a good agreement with the weather station located on the cliff top (23.5 m IGN69). Higher frequency signals are present in the weather station records. The 24 h smoothing of weather station data shows a good fit with, for instance, sensors 3, 11, and 20 (20 m, 16 m and 11.5 m IGN69, respectively, Figure 2b) (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Temperature sersors at the subsurface of the cliff face from November 2018 to March 2019 (S3: sersor 3 located at 20 m IGN69, S11: sensor 11 located at 16 m IGN69, S20: sensor 20 located 1.5 m IGN69; weather station located on the plateau at 23.5 m IGN69 (Figure 2)).

Temperature gradients have been calculated for different time periods for sensors 3, 11 and 20. We observed a progressive increase of the short-term (over a few hours) temperature gradients of the 24 sensors as a function of the height along the cliff face (Figure 8), with slight discrepancies in the ordering of sensors due to the differences in depth of insertion into the cliff face and in the weathering of the chalk.

In the case of a decrease of external temperature over a week, from 8/12/2018 (21:00) to 15/12/2018 (05:00), the gradients of the subsurface sensors 3, 11 and 20 are -0.04°C per 30-min, -0.04°C per 30-min, -0.03°C per 30-min, respectively. In the case of a rapid decrease of external temperature over a night, from 24/12/2018 (18:30) to 25/12/2018 (09:30), the gradients of the subsurface sensor 3, 11 and 20 are -0.13°C per 30-min, -0.12°C per 30-min, -0.10°C per 30-min, respectively. In the case of an increase of external temperature over 3 days, from 15/12/2018 (17:00) to 17/12/2018 (15:30), the slopes of the subsurface sensors 3, 11 and 20 are 0.07°C per 30-min, 0.06°C per 30-min, 0.05°C per 30-min, respectively. In the case of an increase of external temperature over a day, 25/12/2018 (09:30–15:30), the slopes of the subsurface sensors 3, 11 and 20 are 0.06°C per 30-min, 0.11°C per 30-min, 0.00°C per 30-min, respectively. This behaviour high<sup>II</sup>ghts a decrease in thermal inertia from the top to the bottom of the cliff (Figure 8).



Figure 8: Temperature sensor comparison from 8/12/2018 to 28/12/2018 for the 24 sensors (numbering from the top to the bottom of the cliff face) showing temperature gradients for sensors 3 (S3), 11 (S11) and 20 (S20).

#### 5.4. Preliminary analysis of cliff-top ground motion

Our seismometer measurements show higher levels of energy of displacement in IG and SF bands, compared with the inland reference station, consistent with prior observations associating this response to a swaying motion of the cliff induced by wave action (e.g., Adams et al., 2005; Young et al., 2011). We recorded displacements up to 50 µm, which is similar to prior studies carried out in different environments, covering a range of settings in terms of geology, coastal morphology, wave and weather (limates (Adams et al., 2005; Earlie et al., 2015).

Taking a preliminary look at the question of wave-cliff bout ling, we consider the transfer function between the swell and the cliff-top ground motion. We focus on a one-month period featuring the change from a regime with larger waves to a regime with smaller waves (Figure 9, upper panel). Significant wave height and derived from the pressure sensor measurements, also using 30 min windows with 83% overlap to compute power spectrum, and using the same frequency bands for SF and IG as defined earlier. We used the ratio of cliff-top ground motion energy to significant wave height as a metric for the wave-cliff transfer function, and we examined this action in the IG and SF bands (Figure 9, lower panel). This ratio is small because water wares are on the order of 1 m and ground motion is on the order of 1 µm. The wave-cliff t ansier is more efficient (the ratio is about 100 times bigger) in the IG band (black) than in the SF band (red), consistent with prior observations (e.g., Young et al., 2011, 2012; Earlie et al., 2015). We also observe a modulation of the ratio of cliff-top displacement energy to significant wave height, depending on wave height. Energy transfer appears to be more efficient for small waves (last 10 days on the Figure 9) than for large waves (first 20 days on the Figure 9).



Figure 9: Ratio of cliff-top displacement energy to significant wave height in the IG and SF bands in March 2019

### 5.5. Displacement on existing fractures

### 5.5.1. Influence of the tidal amplitude

The time-series of extensometers' data shows a correlation with tidal cycles: significant displacements occur mainly during spring tides (Figure 4). This correlation with the tidal cycles is not systematic. There spems to be a threshold on the tidal amplitude (water level of approximatively 6 m at high lide for the time-series measured with the pressure sensor, corresponding to a tidal level of 9 m above the nautical chart datum or a tidal coefficient of 85) above which significant displacements on extensometers are more frequent and with larger values. In some cases (the spring tides above the threshold in December and January on Figure 4), there is a time lag, larger than 1 day, between the peaks of the envelopes of contraction and extension movements.

### 5.5.2. Results with both populations of the two-hour periods, with and without displacements

The PCA highlights that the distribution of individuals and variables is scattered. This means that the evolution of existing fractures depends not just on one, but a combination of several

factors. The most significant opposition in the variables tested is with the significant wave height and wind speed (on PC1, synthesizing 34.5% of the total information), and water level and tide coefficient (on PC2, synthesizing 20.6% of the total information).

From the HCA, average of clusters and  $\chi^2$  tests, three types of environmental conditions can be identified as being responsible, or not, for the observed displacement on existing fractures (Figure 10):

- Medium significant wave height  $(0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ m})$ , low wind speed  $(3.0 \pm 1.6 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ , high water level  $(4.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ m})$ , high tide coefficient  $(87 \pm 17)$ , low rainfall  $(0.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm})$ , medium temperature  $(5.8 \pm 2.3^{\circ}\text{C})$  (cluster 1, Figure 10) constitute the preferred situation for displacement over the two-hour periods, both in frequency of occurrence (overrepresentation of three extensometers and more showing significant displacement, meaning that these conditions are prone to significant displacement of numerous (three or more) extensometers) and in intensity of displacement (overrepresentation of all extensometers not showing any significant displacement);

- medium significant wave height ( $1.4 \pm 0.2$  m), medium wind speed ( $4.1 \pm 2.1$  m s<sup>-1</sup>), low water level ( $2.0 \pm 1.0$  m), medium. tide coefficient ( $57 \pm 16$ ), medium rainfall ( $0.1 \pm 0.3$  mm), medium temperature ( $6.0 \pm 2.4$  °C) (cluster 2, Figure 10) is the second most favourable cluster for significant displacement with high intensity (over-representation of displacements in absolute value greater than 0.03 mm).

- The least favourable conditions for displacement correspond, surprisingly, to high significant wave height  $(1.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ m})$ , high wind speed  $(7.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ , high water level (3.6  $\pm$  1.5 m), medium tide coefficient (70  $\pm$  16), high rainfall (0.3  $\pm$  0.8 mm), high temperature (8.4  $\pm$  1.9°C) (cluster 3, Figure 10). But when displacements occur, they are characterised by low frequency of occurrence (over-representation of only one or two extensometer(s) showing significant displacement) and low intensity of displacement (when there is a displacement, it is less than or equal to 0.03 mm in absolute value).



Figure 10: The first two PCA components and the three clusters from HCA on the population of two-hour periods with and without displacements

### 5.5.3. Results of the population of the two-hour periods only with displacements

The results on the second population (1409 individuals which are considered as displacements) confirm the previous results, going a step further by relating the set of environmental conditions prone to displacement on existing fractures to the direction of displacement recorded on the cliff face. Cluster 1 (medium significant wave height, low wind speed, high water level, high tide coefficient, low rainfall, medium temperature) is the most favourable environmental conditions for extension displacement on existing fractures. Cluster 3 (high significant wave height, high wind speed, high water level, medium tide coefficient,

high rainfall, high temperature) is the most favourable environmental conditions for contraction displacement on existing fractures. We ran a  $\chi^2$  test between the types of subaerial and marine conditions and displacement areas on the cliff face (main fracture; secondary fracture; block; flint band; chalk, according to the specific cliff features at the locations where the sensors were deployed) but the differences were not significant.

### 6. Discussion

Characterising environmental forcing factors and understanding their impact on cliff behaviour and cliff fatigue leading to failure requires continuous monitoring of multiple parameters. The dataset collected in the RICOCHET experiment comprises environmental forcing factors (marine and subaerial), the cliff's mechanical response, and foreshore and cliff morphological evolution, which are essential input data for any modelling of cliff response, including over large spatial and time scales (Lin be et al., 2018). Environmental seismology is proving to be a very useful approach for investigating mass movements in various settings (Provost et al., 2018). On coastal chifs, the observed cliff-top micro-seismic ground motion captures the complex signature of the local, nearshore wave climate, including wave transformation, wave breaking ... or phology of the shore platform and cliff foot, providing a measurement of the energy delivery to the cliffs (Vann Jones et al., 2018). Decomposing the wave-cliff transfer function mains a challenge. Here, the cliff-top displacement amplitude values observed by the t oadband seismometer, up to 50 µm, are relatively high given the relatively calm conditions. This could be linked to cliff chalk elasticity, which has a static Young's modulus between 3000 and 16000 MPa for dry chalk, and between 740 and 4500 MPa for saturated chalk (Senfaute et al., 2005). Our preliminary observation on the dependence of the ratio of cliff-top displacement energy to significant wave height confirms the strong modulation of the transfer function by the wave climate.

Our results highlight that thermal amplitude is higher at the cliff top than at the cliff foot. Thermal variations may increase fatigue and fracturing of the chalk material, especially with the north-orientation of the cliff face. Indeed, studies have demonstrated that, in humid

temperate climate (Aldred et al., 2016) and mid-latitude desert (McFadden et al., 2005; Eppes et al., 2015, 2010), cracks are preferentially observed in rock walls with northeast orientations. Insolation-related cracking is the main hypothesis, helped by other weathering processes at play (Aldred et al., 2016). A weathering mechanism that may be efficacious is the freeze/thaw cycles in the study site as they occur in a moisture-availability environment (Letavernier and Ozouf, 1987; Prick, 1995). Frost weathering leads to two processes: volumetric expansion and ice segregation (Matsuoka and Murton, 2008). As mentioned in Dewez et al. (2015), in Normandy, temperatures below 0°C (for fresh water) and below -2.5°C (for seawater) contract the rock while pore water freezes and dilates, leading to stress changes. These multidirectional strains accumulate and give rise to the breaking of pore walls and the appearance of micro-crack networks in the rock matrix. Fracturing can occur quickly in intact chalk: Robinson and Jerwood (1957), in laboratory experiments observed erosion in 14 cycles and Murton et al. (2006) or irmed these results of macro-fracturing observations with 10 to 20 simulated succer sive freeze/thaw cycles. Given our observations on thermal variations, rock falls caused by freeze/thaw cycles would then occur preferentially at the top of the cliff face (Figure 2b from the cliff edge, around temperature sensor S1 (21 m IGN69) to around S11 (16 m CN69)). However, the degree of weathering that the chalk undergoes is much more advanced at the cliff foot than elsewhere along the cliff face, which is most likely due to satura ion of the chalk at and below the cliff foot, as shown in the geophysical data, and to he addition of marine action at this location. This is consistent with Aldred et al. (2016), who observed that on different field sites in humid temperate climates, the moisture-availability can determine cracking rates. We suggest that this enhanced weathering at the cliff foot, which results from a combination of chemical actions from sea spray (under conditions of high tide and large waves) and groundwater circulation, leads to recurrent failures at this location (rockfalls and flakes) (Figure 11).



Figure 11: Rock falls and flakes observed in Sainte-Marcucrito-sur-Mer (05/07/2018)

The presence of groundwater table could also explain the decreasing thermal inertia as a function of cliff height along the outcrop. Waler infiltration in rock masses can generate thermal anomalies that are viewable vite at infrared camera (Frodella et al., 2017). However, following our six thermal surveys carried out by infrared camera, no thermal anomalies were observed at the cliff rout.

The displacements on the cliff face show a control by the tidal amplitude, with a threshold response. It is as if the tide induced, cyclic cliff face deformation triggered fracture displacement only above a certain threshold on the tidal amplitude. Our multi-parameter monitoring shows that the displacements on existing fractures on the cliff face are multifactorial and complex. Beyond the observed correlation with the tidal amplitude, bivariate and multivariate statistical analysis methods used to identify the conditions most prone to displacements on the cliff face considering a population of two-hour period individuals provide complementary insights. While high significant wave heights, high wind speed, high rainfall are generally considered to be conducive to intense and numerous displacements, here such conditions were the least favourable to displacements. Although it may be due to the absence of severe meteorological and marine conditions, this result is surprising. The displacements measured by the extensometers are very local, and likely with

delays, cascade effects, or combinations of processes and/or hysteresis phenomena. To make the best use of the extensometer data, it would be necessary to detect and then analyse the periods in which the majority of the eight extensometers record simultaneous displacements. To this end, continuous recordings would be needed to identify events of fracture movement, instead of displacement values integrated over 30 min. It will be interesting to continue the analysis of the displacements recorded by the extensometers over the entire 13 months of monitoring which includes periods with more energetic conditions. One of the other perspectives is to compare this dataset with others, including the one previously mentioned and that was acquired in Brittany, with equivalent instruments (Letortu et al., 2017; Laute et al., 2018).

### 7. Conclusions

For 13 months (from November 2018 to Jean 2020), continuous, multi-parameter monitoring was set up at the chalk cliff sie c Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (Normandy, France) to better understand factors and processes leading to cliff fatigue and cliff failure. This ambitious instrumentation with nine d', fe ent sensor types was presented in this paper along with the initial results on the structural properties of the chalk massif, and the preliminary results (over the November 2u18 to March 2019 period, which turned out to be a very mild and calm winter) of the mai subsurface behaviour on the cliff face, cliff-top ground motion and evolution of existing cliff fractures. The geophysical site characterisation shows a twolayer cliff structure: the first 20 m are relatively dry, whereas from the cliff foot and extending at least 20 m beneath, the chalk is saturated with highly conductive fluids (mixing of seawater and inland groundwater). Thermal inertia decreases with the cliff height along the outcrop subsurface. Observations of the cliff-top displacement on this chalk cliff are consistent with previous studies on different types of rocky cliffs. The measured displacement amplitude, up to 50 µm, is rather high considering the relatively calm conditions experienced. This is likely to be related to the elasticity of the chalk material. We also observed that the displacement on existing fractures is partly controlled by the tidal amplitude. Statistical analyses over the

two-hour periods highlight other controls. For the study period, medium significant wave height, low wind speed, high water level, high tide coefficient, low rainfall, medium temperature provided the optimal conditions for high intensity and high frequency of occurrence of displacements, preferentially in extension direction. The research perspectives on this systemic dataset are exciting. Examining fatigue and failure thresholds (leading to rock falls), taking into account cliff structure (lithology, stratigraphy, tectonics), and unravelling the contributions to cliff fracturing from marine and subaerial forcing factors, are contemporary and future challenges our dataset will help to address. In addition, similar multi-parameter monitoring studies on other sites in difference coastal cliff environments around the world will enable comparisons so as to ider tify site-dependent responses and more universal patterns, and to understand how processes controlling cliff fatigue combine in time and space to trigger erosion.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the ANK project "RICOCHET: multi-risk assessment on coastal territory in a global change context' film ad by the French National Research Agency [ANR-16-CE03-0008]. It was also surported by ISblue project, Interdisciplinary graduate school for the blue planet (ANR-17-EURE 0015) and co-funded by a grant from the French government under the program "Investiss ements d'Avenir". We extend our sincerest thanks to M. Ferchal, mayor of Sainte-Margueri e-sur-Mer, L. Voisin and L. Capron for allowing us to use their plot to deploy the instrumentation, and A. Saab and A. Sallent for their precious help on the ground. We would also like to thank the trainees S. Caron and Y. Gratacap who worked with us on this project, and the reviewers for their detailed reading of the manuscript and their helpful comments.

#### References

- Adams, P.N., Anderson, R.S., Revenaugh, J., 2002. Microseismic measurement of waveenergy delivery to a rocky coast. Geology 30, 895–898. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<0895:MMOWED>2.0.CO;2
- Adams, P.N., Storlazzi, C.D., Anderson, R.S., 2005. Nearshore wave-induced cyclical flexing of sea cliffs. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 110. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JF000217
- Aldred, J., Eppes, M.C., Aquino, K., Deal, R., Garbini, J., Swami, S., Tuttle, A., Xanthos, G., 2016. The influence of solar-induced thermal stresses on the mechanical weathering of rocks in humid mid-latitudes. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 41, 603–614. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3849
- André, M.-F., 1997. Holocene Rockwall Retreat in Svalbald: A Triple-Rate Evolution. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 22, 423–440. https://doi cro/n0.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199705)22:5<423::AID-ESP706>3.0.C/0;2-3
- Augris, C., Clabaut, P., Costa, S., Gourmelon, F. Letteux, B., 2004. Evolution morphosédimentaire du domaine littoral et marin de la Seine-Maritime, Ifremer. ed. Paris.
- Balk, D., Montgomery, M.R., McGranchan, G., Kim, D., Mara, V., Todd, M., Buettner, T., Dorélien, A., 2009. Mapping Utorn Settlements and the Risks of Climate Change in Africa, Asia and South America, in: Population Dynamics and Climate Change, United Nations Population Fund. pp. 80–103.
- Bernatchez, P., Boucher Brossard, G., Corriveau, M., Caulet, C., Barnett, R.L., 2021. Long-Term Evolution and Monitoring at High Temporal Resolution of a Rapidly Retreating Cliff in a Cold Temperate Climate Affected by Cryogenic Processes, North Shore of the St. Lawrence Gulf, Quebec (Canada). J. Mar. Sci. Eng. 9, 1418.
- Bernatchez, P., Jolivet, Y., Corriveau, M., 2011. Development of an automated method for continuous detection and quantification of cliff erosion events. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 36, 347–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.2045
- Bertin, X., de Bakker, A., Van Dongeren, A., Coco, G., Andre, G., Ardhuin, F., Bonneton, P.,
  Bouchette, F., Castelle, B., Crawford, W.C., 2018. Infragravity waves: From driving mechanisms to impacts. Earth-Sci. Rev. 177, 774–799.

- Bignot, G., 1962. Etude sédimentologique et micropaléontologique de l'Eocène du Cap d'Ailly (près de Dieppe-Seine-Maritime) (PhD Thesis). Paris.
- Brooks, S.M., Spencer, T., Boreham, S., 2012. Deriving mechanisms and thresholds for cliff retreat in soft-rock cliffs under changing climates: Rapidly retreating cliffs of the Suffolk coast, UK. Geomorphology 153–154, 48–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.02.007
- Carter, C.H., Guy, D.E., 1988. Coastal erosion: processes, timing and magnitudes at the bluff toe. Mar. Geol. 84, 1–17.
- Collins, B.D., Stock, G.M., 2016. Rockfall triggering by cyclic neunal stressing of exfoliation fractures. Nat. Geosci. 9, 395–400. https://doi.org/ 0.1.)38/ngeo2686
- Costa, S., 1997. Dynamique littorale et risques nature!: L'impact des aménagements, des variations du niveau marin et des modifications climatiques entre la baie de Seine et la baie de Somme (Haute-Normandie, Picarcie; France) (PhD Thesis). Paris 1.
- Costa, S., Delahaye, D., Freiré-Diaz, S., Ji Nocera, L., Davidson, R., Plessis, E., 2004. Quantification of the Normanu, and Picardy chalk cliff retreat by photogrammetric analysis. Geol. Soc. Lond. Enc. Geol. Spec. Publ. 20, 139–148.
- Costa, S., Lageat, Y., Hénaff, A., 2006a. The gravel beaches of north-west France and their contribution to the dynamic of the coastal cliff-shore platform system. Z. Geomorphol. 144, 199–214.
- Costa, S., Laignel, B., Hallchard, E., Delahaye, D., 2006b. Facteurs de répartition des entonnoirs de dissolution dans les craies du littoral du Nord-Ouest du Bassin de Paris. Z. Geomorphol. 50, 95–116. https://doi.org/10.1127/zfg/50/2006/95
- Costa, S., Maquaire, O., Letortu, P., Thirard, G., Compain, V., Roulland, T., Medjkane, M.,
  Davidson, R., Graff, K., Lissak, C., Delacourt, C., Duguet, T., Fauchard, C., Antoine,
  R., 2019. Sedimentary Coastal Cliffs of Normandy: Modalities and Quantification of
  Retreat. J. Coast. Res. 88, 46–60. https://doi.org/10.2112/SI88-005.1

- Dewez, T.J.B., Regard, V., Duperret, A., Lasseur, E., 2015. Shore platform lowering due to frost shattering during the 2009 winter at Mesnil Val, English channel coast, NW France. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 40, 1688–1700.
- Dickson, M.E., Pentney, R., 2012. Micro-seismic measurements of cliff motion under wave impact and implications for the development of near-horizontal shore platforms.
   Geomorphology 151–152, 27–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.01.006
- Dornbusch, U., Robinson, D.A., Williams, R.B.G., Moses, C.A., 2007. Chalk shore platform erosion in the vicinity of sea defence structures and the impact of construction methods. Coast. Eng. 54, 801–810. https://doi.org/10.101.7/j.coastaleng.2007.05.012
- Duperret, A., Genter, A., Martinez, A., Mortimore, R.N., 2004. Coastal chalk cliff instability in NW France: role of lithology, fracture pattern and rainfall, in: Coastal Chalk Cliff Instability, Geological Society Engineering Grology Special Publication. Mortimore R.N. and Duperret A., London, pp. 33–55
- Duperret, A., Genter, A., Mortimore, R.N. De lacourt, B., De Pomerai, M.R., 2002. Coastal rock cliff erosion by collapse a: Puys, France: The role of impervious marl seams within chalk of NW Europe. .. Cc ast. Res. 18, 52–61.
- Earlie, C.S., Young, A.P., Masseil, N.G., Russell, P.E., 2015. Coastal cliff ground motions and response to extreministorm waves. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 847–854. https://doi.org/10 100.<sup>2</sup>/2014GL062534
- Eppes, M.C., McFadden, J.D., Wegmann, K.W., Scuderi, L.A., 2010. Cracks in desert pavement rocks: Further insights into mechanical weathering by directional insolation.
   Geomorphology 123, 97–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.07.003
- Eppes, M.-C., Willis, A., Molaro, J., Abernathy, S., Zhou, B., 2015. Cracks in Martian boulders exhibit preferred orientations that point to solar-induced thermal stress. Nat. Commun. 6, 6712. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7712
- Evrard, H., Sinelle, C., 1987. La stabilité des falaises du Pays de Caux (Normandie), in: Actes Du Colloque "Mer et Littoral. Couple à Risque", La Documentation Française, Paris. pp. 84–91.

Fauchard, C., Antoine, R., Bretar, F., Lacogne, J., Fargier, Y., Maisonnave, C., Guilbert, V., Marjerie, P., Thérain, P.-F., Dupont, J.-P., Pierrot-Deseilligny, M., 2013. Assessment of an ancient bridge combining geophysical and advanced photogrammetric methods: Application to the Pont De Coq, France. J. Appl. Geophys. 98, 100–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2013.08.009

Guilcher, A., 1954. Morphologie littorale et sous-marine. Presses universitaires de France.

- Hutchinson, J.N., 1969. A Reconsideration of the Coastal Landslides at Folkestone Warren, Kent. Géotechnique 19, 6–38. https://doi.org/10.1680/g act.1969.19.1.6
- Ilinca, V., 2009. Rockfall hazard assessment. Case study: Lo´ru v´alley and Olt Gorge. Rev. Geomorfol. 11, 101–108.
- IPCC, 2022. IPCC 2022: Summary for Policymakers, in: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press, p. 35.
- IPCC, 2021. IPCC, 2021: Summary for Policymal ers, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Integrovernmental Panel on Climate Change. p. 31.
- Kennedy, D.M., Jones, E.C.V., Dick 3c.1, M.E., Rosser, N.J., 2018. Wind Waves and Cliff Shaking on Macrotidal Strore Platforms: A Case-study from North Yorkshire, U.K. J. Coast. Res. 436–440. https://doi.org/10.2112/SI85-088.1
- Kennedy, D.M., Stephen son, W.J., Naylor, L.A., 2014. Rock coast geomorphology: A global synthesis, Geolog cal Society. ed. Kennedy D.M., Stephenson W.J. and Naylor L.A., London.
- Lageat, Y., Hénaff, A., Costa, S., 2006. The retreat of the chalk cliffs of the Pays de Caux (France): erosion processes and patterns. Z. Für Geomorphol. 144, 183–197.
- Laignel, B., 1997. Les altérites à silex de l'ouest du Bassin de Paris: caractérisation lithologique, genèse et utilisation potentielle comme granulats (PhD Thesis). Rouen.
- Laute, K., Letortu, P., Le Dantec, N., Hibert, C., Augereau, E., Provost, F., Malet, J.-P., 2018. Driving factors of hard rock cliff erosion in Brittany, France. Geophys. Res. Abstr. 1. https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2018/EGU2018-9029-1.pdf

- Lawler, D.M., 2005. The importance of high-resolution monitoring in erosion and deposition dynamics studies: examples from estuarine and fluvial systems. Geomorphology 64, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.04.005
- Lee, E.M., 2008. Coastal cliff behaviour: Observations on the relationship between beach levels and recession rates. Geomorphology 101, 558–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.02.010
- Letavernier, G., Ozouf, J.C., 1987. Résultats des recherches récentes sur la gélifraction des calcaires. Inter-Nord 53–63.
- Letortu, P., Costa, S., Bensaid, A., Cador, J.-M., Quénol, H., 201. a. Vitesses et modalités de recul des falaises crayeuses de Haute-Normandie (France): méthodologie et variabilité du recul. Geomorphol. Relief Procesc. Environ. 20, 133–144. https://doi.org/10.4000/geomorphologie.10872
- Letortu, P., Costa, S., Bonnet, E., 2014b. Spatia. an alysis of coastal chalk cliff falls in upper Normandy (France). From Veuler -let -Roses to Le Treport (2002-2009). Rev. Int. Géomat. 24, 335–354. https://doi.org/10.3166/rig.24.335-354
- Letortu, P., Costa, S., Cador, J.-M., Coir aud, C., Cantat, O., 2015a. Statistical and empirical analyses of the triggers or coastal chalk cliff failure. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 40, 1371–1386. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3741
- Letortu, P., Costa, S., Miquaire, O., Davidson, R., 2019. Marine and subaerial controls of coastal chalk cliff (rosion in Normandy (France) based on a 7-year laser scanner monitoring. Geomorphology 335, 76–91.

Letortu, P., Costa, S., Maquaire, O., Delacourt, C., Augereau, E., Davidson, R., Suanez, S., Nabucet, J., 2015b. Retreat rates, modalities and agents responsible for erosion along the coastal chalk cliffs of Upper Normandy: The contribution of terrestrial laser scanning. Geomorphology 245, 3–14.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.05.007

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.03.005

- Letortu, P., Laute, K., Le Dantec, N., Augereau, E., Ammann, J., Prunier, C., Hénaff, A., David, L., Maulpoix, A., Cuq, V., 2017. Impacts des vagues et de la circulation d'eau sur la microfracturation des falaises rocheuses: mise en place d'un suivi multiparamètre en Bretagne. Collect. EDYTEM Cah. Géographie 251–256.
- Lim, M., Rosser, N.J., Allison, R.J., Petley, D.N., 2010. Erosional processes in the hard rock coastal cliffs at Staithes, North Yorkshire. Geomorphology 114, 12–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.02.011
- Lim, M., Rosser, N.J., Petley, D.N., Keen, M., 2011. Quantifyin 1 the controls and influence of tide and wave impacts on coastal rock cliff erosion. J. Co. st. Res. 27, 46–56. https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-09-00061.
- Limber, P.W., Barnard, P.L., Vitousek, S., Erikson, L.H., 2018. A model ensemble for projecting multidecadal coastal cliff retreat druing the 21st century. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 123, 1566–1589. https://doi.o.1/1J.1029/2017JF004401
- Loke, D.M.H., 2004. Tutorial : 2-D and 3 J e actrical imaging surveys. https://sites.ualberta.ca/~unsworth/UA-classes/223/loke\_course\_notes.pdf
- Loke, M.H., 2002. RES2DINV, Ver. 3.50 Rapid 2-D Resistivity and IP Inversion Using the Least Square Method. Gestomo Software, Penang.
- Mainieri, R., Corona, C., Charcire, J., Eckert, N., Lopez-Saez, J., Stoffel, M., Bourrier, F., 2020. Dating of rocktall damage in trees yields insights into meteorological triggers of process activity in the French Alps. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 45, 2235–2250. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4876
- Matsuoka, N., Sakai, H., 1999. Rockfall activity from an alpine cliff during thawing periods. Geomorphology 28, 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(98)00116-0
- McFadden, L.D., Eppes, M.C., Gillespie, A.R., Hallet, B., 2005. Physical weathering in arid landscapes due to diurnal variation in the direction of solar heating. GSA Bull. 117, 161–173. https://doi.org/10.1130/B25508.1

Météo-France, 2019. Fiche climatologique Dieppe (76), statistiques (1981-2010) et records.

- Mortimore, R.N., Duperret, A., 2004. Coastal chalk cliff instability. Geological Society of London, London.
- Mortimore, R.N., Stone, K.J., Lawrence, J., Duperret, A., 2004. Chalk physical properties and cliff instability, in: Coastal Chalk Cliff Instability, Geological Society Engineering Geology Special Publication. pp. 75–88.
- Murton, J.B., Peterson, R., Ozouf, J.-C., 2006. Bedrock fracture by ice segregation in cold regions. Science 314, 1127–1129. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132127
- Naylor, L.A., Stephenson, W.J., Trenhaile, A.S., 2010. Rock cc ast geomorphology: Recent advances and future research directions. Geomorpho'ogy 114, 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.02.004
- Norman, E.C., Rosser, N.J., Brain, M.J., Petley, D.N. Lim, M., 2013. Coastal cliff-top ground motions as proxies for environmental processes. U Geophys. Res. Oceans 118, 6807–6823. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015. CO38963
- Pierre, G., Lahousse, P., 2006. The role of c oundwater in cliff instability: An example at Cape Blanc-Nez (Pas-de-Calais, France). Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 31, 31–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.127.9
- Pomerol, B., Bailey, H.W., Moncherdini, C., Mortimore, R.N., 1987. Lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Lewes and Seaford chalks: A link across the Anglo-Paris Basin at the Turonian-Senonian boundary. Cretac. Res. 8, 289–304.
- Poppeliers, C., Mallinson. D., 2015. High-frequency seismic noise generated from breaking shallow water ocean waves and the link to time-variable sea states. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 8563–8569. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066126
- Prêcheur, C., 1960. Le littoral de la Manche, de Sainte-Adresse à Ault: étude morphologique. Poitiers: SFIL.
- Prémaillon, M., Regard, V., Dewez, T.J., Auda, Y., 2018. GlobR2C2 (Global Recession Rates of Coastal Cliffs): a global relational database to investigate coastal rocky cliff erosion rate variations. Earth Surf. Dyn. 6, 651–668. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-6-651-2018

- Prick, A., 1995. Dilatometrical behaviour of porous calcareous rock samples subjected to freeze-thaw cycles. CATENA, Experimental Geomorphology and Landscape Ecosystem Changes 25, 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/0341-8162(94)00038-G
- Provost, F., Malet, J.-P., Hibert, C., Abanco Martínez de Arenzana, C., Hurlimann Ziegler,
  M., 2018. Towards a standard typology of endogenous landslide seismic sources.
  Earth Surf. Dyn. 6, 1059–1088. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-6-1059-2018
- Rapp, A., 1960. Recent Development of Mountain Slopes in Kärkevagge and Surroundings, Northern Scandinavia. Geogr. Ann. 42, 65–200. https://doi.org/10.1080/20014422.1960.11880942
- Reid, M.E., Nielsen, H.P., Dreiss, S.J., 1988. Hydrologic Factors Triggering a Shallow Hillslope Failure. Environ. Eng. Geosci. xxv, 310 -501. https://doi.org/10.2113/gseegeosci.xxv.3.349
- Robinson, D., Jerwood, L., 1987. Sub-aerial watine ing of chalk shore platforms during harsh winters in Southeast England. *J*ar. Geol. 77, 1–14.
- Robinson, L.A., 1977. Marine erosive processes at the cliff foot. Mar. Geol. 23, 257–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025\_312\_(77)90022-6
- Rodgers, P.W., 1968. The response of the horizontal pendulum seismometer to Rayleigh and Love waves, tilt, and free oscillations of the Earth. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 58, 1385–1406.
- Saporta, G., 2006. Probai ilités, analyse des données et statistique. Editions Technip.
- Senfaute, G., Amitrano, D., Lenhard, F., Morel, J., 2005. Étude en laboratoire par méthodes acoustique : de l'endommagement des roches de craie et corrélation avec des résultats in situ. Rev. Fr. Géotechnique 9–18.

https://doi.org/10.1051/geotech/2005110009

- Sunamura, T., 1977. A relationship between wave-induced cliff erosion and erosive force of waves. J. Geol. 85, 613–618.
- Teisson, C., 1986. Houle de projet et durées de tempêtes sur le site de Penly Analyse de la houle naturelle (LNH HE-42/86 No. 2). LNH.

Thompson, C.F., Young, A.P., Dickson, M.E., 2019. Wave impacts on coastal cliffs: Do bigger waves drive greater ground motion? Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 44, 2849– 2860. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4712

Vann Jones, E.C., Rosser, N.J., Brain, M.J., 2018. Alongshore variability in wave energy transfer to coastal cliffs. Geomorphology 322, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.08.019

- Vann Jones (née Norman), E.C., Rosser, N.J., Brain, M.J., Petley, D.N., 2015. Quantifying the environmental controls on erosion of a hard rock cli´f. Mar. Geol. 363, 230–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.12.008
- Varnes, D.J., 1978. Slope movement types and processe: . in: Landslides: Analysis and Control. Washington, pp. 11–33.
- Viles, H.A., 2013. Linking weathering and rock slope instability: non-linear perspectives. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 38, 62–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3294
- Wieczorek, G.F., Jäger, S., 1996. Trigge ing mechanisms and depositional rates of postglacial slope-movement p. cesses in the Yosemite Valley, California. Geomorphology 15, 17–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X(95)00112-I
- WSDOT, 2021. Geotechnical Decian Manual. Washington State Department of Transportation.
- Young, A.P., Adams, P.N., C'Reilly, W.C., Flick, R.E., Guza, R.T., 2011. Coastal cliff ground motions from local ocean swell and infragravity waves in southern California. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 116. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007175
- Young, A.P., Guza, R.T., Adams, P.N., O'Reilly, W.C., Flick, R.E., 2012. Cross-shore decay of cliff top ground motions driven by local ocean swell and infragravity waves. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 117. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC007908
- Young, A.P., Guza, R.T., Dickson, M.E., O'Reilly, W.C., Flick, R.E., 2013. Ground motions on rocky, cliffed, and sandy shorelines generated by ocean waves. J. Geophys. Res. 118, 6590–6602. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC008883

Young, A.P., Guza, R.T., Matsumoto, H., Merrifield, M.A., O'Reilly, W.C., Swirad, Z.M., 2021. Three years of weekly observations of coastal cliff erosion by waves and rainfall. Geomorphology 375, 107545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107545

- Young, A.P., Guza, R.T., O'Reilly, W.C., Burvingt, O., Flick, R.E., 2016. Observations of coastal cliff base waves, sand levels, and cliff top shaking. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 41, 1564–1573. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3928
- Zielonka, A., Wrońska-Wałach, D., 2019. Can we distinguish meteorological conditions associated with rockfall activity using dendrochronologi al analysis? - An example from the Tatra Mountains (Southern Poland). Sci. Total En viron. 662, 422–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.243

Solution of the second

### **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:



Highlights:

• A monitoring system was implemented along the chalk cliff coast in Sainte-Marguerite-sur-Mer (Normandy) to better understand the forcing agents and processes that lead to cliff fatigue and failure.

• Between November 2018 and January 2020, 9 types of sensors simultaneously recorded cliff mechanical response, marine forcing agents and subaerial agents.

• The magnitude of cliff-top displacement on this coastal chalk cliff is consistent with prior studies conducted in different settings, showing rather high displacement amplitudes (up to 50 µm despite relatively calm conditions) likely to be related to chalk elasticity.

• In the absence of intense meteorological and marine conditions, the displacement on existing fractures on the cliff face is partly controlled by the tidal amplitude but the phenomenon is multifactorial.

Solution