



**HAL**  
open science

## Corrigendum: Rapid-response mode VLT/UVES spectroscopy of GRB 060418. Conclusive evidence for UV pumping from the time evolution of Fe II and Ni II excited- and metastable-level populations

P. M. Vreeswijk, C. Ledoux, A. Smette, S. L. Ellison, A. O. Jaunsen, M. I. Andersen, A. S. Fruchter, J. P. U. Fynbo, J. Hjorth, A. Kaufer, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

P. M. Vreeswijk, C. Ledoux, A. Smette, S. L. Ellison, A. O. Jaunsen, et al.. Corrigendum: Rapid-response mode VLT/UVES spectroscopy of GRB 060418. Conclusive evidence for UV pumping from the time evolution of Fe II and Ni II excited- and metastable-level populations. *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A*, 2011, 532, 10.1051/0004-6361/20066780e . insu-03645939

**HAL Id: insu-03645939**

**<https://insu.hal.science/insu-03645939>**

Submitted on 22 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Rapid-response mode VLT/UVES spectroscopy of GRB 060418

## Conclusive evidence for UV pumping from the time evolution of Fe II and Ni II excited- and metastable-level populations

### (Corrigendum)

P. M. Vreeswijk<sup>1</sup>, C. Ledoux<sup>2</sup>, A. Smette<sup>2</sup>, S. L. Ellison<sup>3</sup>, A. O. Jaunsen<sup>4</sup>, M. I. Andersen<sup>5,6</sup>, A. S. Fruchter<sup>7</sup>, J. P. U. Fynbo<sup>6</sup>, J. Hjorth<sup>6</sup>, A. Kaufer<sup>2</sup>, P. Møller<sup>8</sup>, P. Petitjean<sup>9</sup>, S. Savaglio<sup>10</sup>, and R. A. M. J. Wijers<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Astrophysics and Cosmology, Science Institute, University of Iceland, Dunhagi 5, 107 Reykjavík, Iceland  
e-mail: pmv@raunvis.hi.is

<sup>2</sup> European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago 19, Chile

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1029 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway

<sup>5</sup> Space Science Center, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>6</sup> Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>7</sup> Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>8</sup> European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748, Garching bei München, Germany

<sup>9</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095 CNRS & Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>10</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

<sup>11</sup> Astronomical Institute Anton Pannekoek and GRAPPA, Science Park 904, 1098 XH, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

A&A 468, 83–96 (2007), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20066780

A&A 491, 189–207 (2008), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:200810286

A&A 506, 661–675 (2009), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/200811572

**Key words.** Gamma rays: bursts – galaxies: abundances – galaxies: ISM – galaxies: distances and redshifts – quasars: absorption lines – errata, addenda

We have recently realized that, in Eq. (3) in Vreeswijk et al. (2007), the flux  $F_{\nu}(\tau_0)$  should be divided by  $4\pi$ . The relation should therefore read as follows:

$$\frac{dN_u}{dt} = N_l B_{lu} \frac{F_{\nu}(\tau_0)}{4\pi} - N_u \left[ A_{ul} + B_{ul} \frac{F_{\nu}(\tau_0)}{4\pi} \right]. \quad (3)$$

Using this relation, our excitation program is now fully consistent with the PopRatio code (Silva & Viegas 2002, see also Sect. 5.2) when neglecting collisional excitation, and in the optically thin regime as PopRatio assumes all transitions are optically thin. The consequence is that excitation is a factor of  $4\pi$  less effective than we had previously assumed, resulting in a decreased distance estimate by a factor of  $\sqrt{4\pi} \sim 3.5$ . Therefore, the distance of GRB 060418 to the neutral absorbing material – previously  $d = 1.7 \pm 0.2$  kpc – needs to be revised to  $d = 0.48 \pm 0.06$  kpc, under the same model assumptions.

The main conclusion of the paper, that the neutral absorbing gas is not in the immediate environment of GRB 060418, remains the same.

Since we applied the same excitation analysis in Sect. 4.1.3 of Fox et al. (2008) and in Sect. 2.3 of Ledoux et al. (2009), the distance estimates therein should also be scaled down by a factor of  $\sqrt{4\pi}$ . The main conclusions of these two papers are not affected by this change either.

## References

- Fox, A. J., Ledoux, C., Vreeswijk, P. M., Smette, A., & Jaunsen, A. O. 2008, A&A, 491, 189
- Ledoux, C., Vreeswijk, P. M., Smette, A., et al. 2009, A&A, 506, 661
- Silva, A. I. & Viegas, S. M. 2002, MNRAS, 329, 135
- Vreeswijk, P. M., Ledoux, C., Smette, A., et al. 2007, A&A, 468, 83