

Seasonal variations of Mg and Ca in the exosphere of Mercury

Jean-Yves Chaufray, François Leblanc, Elisabeth Werner, Ronan Modolo, S.

Aizawa

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Yves Chaufray, François Leblanc, Elisabeth Werner, Ronan Modolo, S. Aizawa. Seasonal variations of Mg and Ca in the exosphere of Mercury. Icarus, 2022, 384 (September), pp.115081. 10.1016/j.icarus.2022.115081. insu-03661967

HAL Id: insu-03661967 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03661967

Submitted on 24 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Seasonal variations of Mg and Ca in the exosphere of Mercury
 J-Y. Chaufray¹, F. Leblanc¹, A.I.E. Werner¹, R. Modolo¹, S. Aizawa²
 ¹LATMOS-IPSL, CNRS, Sorbonne-Université, Paris-Saclay, Paris, France
 ²IRAP, CNRS, Toulouse, France

- 5
- 6

7 Abstract

We simulate the seasonal variations of the Mg 285.3 nm and Ca 422.7 nm brightness and 8 compared our results to the MESSENGER/MASCS observations at dawn. Our results are 9 consistent with the previous studies of Ca while for Mg we used another seasonal variation for 10 the g-value (excitation frequency) at 285.3 nm. We find that both emissions are well reproduced 11 12 from micrometeoroid impacts when the true anomaly angle (TAA) of Mercury is larger than 13 80°. For true anomaly angle lower than 80°, an additional source is needed to reproduce the Ca observations in agreement with previous studies, and possibly the Mg observations. We 14 15 compare several solar spectra (observed or modeled) to study the Mg g-value and found that the seasonal variation of the g-value peaking near TAA=60° used by previous studies to analyse 16 the MESSENGER observations of Mg may be due to an artefact not present in the solar 17 spectrum. The observed seasonal variations of the Mg brightness are better reproduced without 18 this artefact. However, observations of the solar spectrum near 285.3 nm at a spectral resolution 19 of ~ 20 mA would be needed to better estimate the seasonal variations of the Mg excitation 20 frequencies and then to better understand the possible differences in the source of these two 21 species in the exosphere of Mercury. 22

- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28

29

1) Introduction

As the Moon, Mercury does not have an atmosphere but only a very tenuous surface-bounded 30 exosphere (Stern 1999). This exosphere was observed for the first time during the three flybys 31 of Mercury by the NASA mission Mariner 10 in 1974 and 1975 (Broadfoot et al. 1976) and 32 33 later from ground-based observations during more than 30 years (Potter and Morgan 1985, see the reviews by Leblanc et al. 2007 and Killen et al. 2007). Calcium was observed only 34 sporadically from ground-based observations (Bida et al. 2000, Killen et al. 2005). The study 35 36 of these sporadic Ca observations indicated a very tenuous and hot population ($T \sim 12,000$ K) much hotter than the Mercury's surface temperature. After three flybys of Mercury, during 37 which, among other things, magnesium was detected for the first time by the Mercury 38 Atmospheric and Surface Composition Spectrometer / Ultraviolet and Visible Spectrometer 39 (MASCS/UVVS) (McClintock and Lankton, 2007, McClintock et al., 2008, 2009), 40 41 MESSENGER was the first mission inserted in orbit around Mercury from March 2011 to April 2015. During this orbital phase, Na, Ca and Mg were regularly observed allowing a detailed 42 study of their temporal (seasonal, diurnal) variations. A detailed summary of these observations 43 can be found in the review paper by McClintock et al. (2018) and a broader context comparing 44 observations of Mercury and the Moon can be found in Leblanc et al. (2021) and Grava et al. 45 (2021). 46

The observations of the Ca emissions during the orbital phase were studied by Burger et al. (2012, 2014). The authors derive a large temperature (~70,000K) from the vertical profile of the observed brightness. This temperature is several times larger than the temperature derived from linewidth that had been derived from ground observations (Bida et al. 2000, Killen et al. 2005). This large temperature excluded numerous sources and favored a meteoroids impact vaporization (MIV) source for Ca bearing molecules, followed by their photodissociation, in
the exosphere of Mercury (Killen 2016).

Burger et al. (2012, 2014) also found a large dawn/dusk asymmetry and were able to derive the 54 55 source rate needed to reproduce the variations along one Mercury year, while no year to year variations was observed. Killen and Hahn (2015) presented a dust model to reproduce the 56 seasonal variations of the source rate derived by Burger et al. (2014). This model includes dust 57 coming from an interplanetary dust disk with an additional source near Mercury's true anomaly 58 angle $v = 25\pm5^{\circ}$ attributed to 2P/Encke comet stream (Christou et al. 2015). A more 59 sophisticated model of interplanetary dust disk was also proposed by Pokorny et al. (2018) who 60 also study its local time variations and show a flux nearly peaking at dawn, and minimum near 61 dusk all along the Mercury year and confirmed that another source was needed to reproduce the 62 source rate near $v = 25^{\circ}$. 63

The observations of Mg were studied by Merkel et al. (2017, 2018) who found a dawn/dusk 64 65 asymmetry of the brightness with a maximum at dawn and a minimum at dusk quite similar to Ca. While the interpretation of the observations done during the flybys suggested two 66 populations (Sarantos et al. 2011): one at low energy (< 5000K) and one at high energy (> 67 20,000K), Merkel et al. (2017) only needed one population (T~ 6000K) to reproduce most of 68 the vertical profiles observed during the MESSENGER orbital phase with evidence for two 69 70 temperature distribution near dawn terminator for 15% of the time. A temperature of 6000 K is consistent with the photodissociation of Mg-bearing molecules or oxides produced from 71 72 impacts. Recently, Cassidy et al. (2021) report transient events in Mercury nightside tail. They suggest cm-sized impactors producing a vapor temperature of ~ 10^4 K, larger than the average, 73 74 but in the range of temperatures derived by Merkel et al. (2017) to fit the Mg vertical profiles. During one of the events, Na and Mg brightened simultaneously with a ratio consistent with 75 76 their surface abundance while no enhancement of Ca was observed. The lack of Ca enhancement was consistent with Ca being bound in a molecule in the impact vapor notphotodissiociated because the impact was a nightside impact.

Merkel et al. (2017) also derived the seasonal variation of the source rate needed to reproduce 79 80 the observations from a Chamberlain's model (Chamberlain 1963). They found a peak source near $v = 315^{\circ}$ and no signature of an additional comet stream near $v = 25^{\circ}$. While the seasonal 81 pattern has a year-to-year repeatability, a two-year cycle was reported by Merkel et al. (2018). 82 This biennial cycle results from the 3:2 spin orbit of Mercury : the observed Mg brightness 83 being larger during the years when the region of Mercury's surface enriched in Mg (east 84 longitude $\sim 270^{\circ}$; Weider et al. 2015) is at dawn than during the years when this region is at 85 dusk. 86

In this paper, we investigate the Ca and Mg MESSENGER/MASCS observations of the orbital 87 phase from several Mercury's years, using a 3D exospheric model of Mercury to check if the 88 dust sources proposed to explain the Ca observations by Killen and Hahn (2015) can also 89 90 explain fully, partly or not the Mg observations. In Section 2, we introduce the data set used in 91 our study. Our exospheric model and the assumptions used to describe Ca and Mg in the exosphere of Mercury are presented in Section 3. The simulation results and comparison with 92 MESSENGER/MASCS observations are presented in Section 4 and discussed in Section 5, 93 followed by a conclusion in Section 6. 94

95

96

2) MESSENGER/MASCS Observations

MESSENGER was inserted into a highly eccentric 12-h orbit around Mercury on 18 March
2011. The initial orbit had an inclination of 82.5°, an apoapsis of 15,200 km in the southern
hemisphere and a periapsis of 200 km in the northern hemisphere. The periapsis altitude varied
between 200 – 500 km during the primary mission due to gravitational perturbations of the

spacecraft trajectory (Solomon et al. 2018). During the first extension (March 2012) the period
of the orbit was reduced to 8-h and the apoapsis decreased to 10,300 km (Solomon et al. 2018).

During the second extension (from 18 March 2013 to 18 March 2015), the periapsis altitude 103 104 was lowered from 450 km down to the surface on 30 April 2015. Regular campaigns of observations of the exosphere were performed during these two extensions by MASCS-UVVS. 105 Most of the observations were performed near the apoapsis. In this paper, we consider one of 106 the primary sequence of observations executed by UVVS. It corresponds to dayside limb scans 107 performed at different local times, used to study the altitude, local time and seasonal variations 108 of the exospheric emissions (McClintock et al. 2018). We only consider the profile measured 109 near 6 am local time from Mercury year 5 to Mercury year 17 (The Mercury Year 1 corresponds 110 to the orbital insertion of MESSENGER). The geometry of the observation at 6 am, during the 111 MESSENGER orbit #2507, on Mercury Year 11 is displayed in Fig. 1 112



113

Fig. 1 Geometry of one observation performed when MESSENGER was close to its apoapsis
during orbit #2507 (Mercury year 11) in Mercury Solar Orbital (MSO) frame. The lines of sight
during the scan are shown in green. The Sun direction is indicated by the yellow line. The

- 117 *Mercury dayside surface is in light red and the nightside in blue.*
- 118

The seasonal variations of the Ca and Mg brightness at tangent altitudes of 300 ±50 km 119 120 including all the Mercury years are displayed in Fig. 2. The even and odd years are represented by different colors. For Mg, the brightness variations are similar to the variations presented by 121 Merkel et al. (2017) with a maximum near perihelion and a minimum near aphelion. We can 122 also notice the biennial cycle with a larger brightness after perihelion during odd years than 123 during even years and the opposite before perihelion for Mg (A year is assumed to start at 124 perihelion in this paper, contrary to the convention used by Merkel et al. 2018 in their Fig 1a, 125 where the years are separated at aphelion). The seasonal variations of the Ca emissions are 126 similar to the Mg seasonal variations, but without a detectable biennial cycle in agreement with 127 128 Burger et al. (2014).



129

Fig. 2 Left : Seasonal variations of the calcium emission near 6 am at 300 km, derived from
Mercury years 7 to 17 from MESSENGER/MASCS-UVVS. Right: Seasonal variations of the
magnesium emission near 6 am at 300 km, derived from Mercury years 7 to 17 from
MESSENGER/MASCS-UVVS.

- 134
- 135 **3**) Exospheric model

136 3.1) EGM

The LATMOS Exospheric Global Model (EGM) has been developed to simulated the 3D 137 exospheric density of several objects in the solar system, including for example : oxygen on 138 Mars (Leblanc et al. 2017), sodium on Mercury (Leblanc et al. 2013), oxygen and water on 139 140 Ganymede and Europa (Leblanc et al. 2017, Oza et al. 2019). EGM is a 3D, time-dependent, spherical Monte Carlo model solving the Boltzmann (or Liouville) equation to compute the 141 142 exospheric density, and other moments of the velocity distribution functions. For Mercury, this model includes the revolution of Mercury around the Sun and the rotation of Mercury. The 143 exosphere of Mercury is divided in several cells from the surface to 4 Mercury radii (~ 10,000 144 km). The space is described by spherical coordinates in Mercury-Solar Orbital (MSO) frame. 145 146 The radial axis is divided into 80 cells with a vertical resolution varying from 5 km near the surface to 300 km near the upper boundary. The latitudinal axis is divided into 20 cells with a 147 resolution varying from $\sim 6^{\circ}$ at the equator to $\sim 25^{\circ}$ near the poles. The zonal axis is divided into 148 40 cells with a uniform angular size of 9°. 149

We assume all the calcium and magnesium atoms in the exosphere of Mercury to be ejected 150 after interplanetary dust impact on the surface of Mercury. Other possible sources (sputtering, 151 photon desorption, thermal desorption) are neglected following the conclusions of Burger et al. 152 153 (2012, 2014) and Merkel et al. (2017, 2018). For Mg, the local ejection rate is proportional to the local dust impact flux and the local Mg/Si ratio at the surface, while we consider a constant 154 value for Ca/Si. In each exospheric cell near the surface, a constant number of test particles is 155 ejected regularly. The variation of the ejection rate is taken into account through the weight of 156 157 the test-particle (i.e. the number of real atoms represented by one test particle) defined by

158
$$W = A \times F_{dust}(\varphi, \theta, \nu) \times n_s(\alpha, \beta) \times S_{cell} \times \Delta t$$
(1)

159 where $F_{dust}(\varphi, \theta, \nu)$ is the dust impact flux at MSO longitude φ and co-latitude θ and true anomaly 160 angle ν , S_{cell} is the surface of the cell, Δt the period of ejection in the simulation and $n_s(\alpha, \beta)$ the 161 surface ratio of Mg/Si at planetary longitude α and latitude β , assuming a uniform Si 162 concentration at the surface and a constant value for Ca/Si (see Section 3.3). These two terms 163 are detailed in Section 3.2. *A* is a free parameter fitted from MESSENGER Ca observations..

164 The test particles are then followed along their trajectory until they are lost from the simulation.165 In our simulations, Ca and Mg test particles can be lost by three processes :

166 Impact onto the surface : In all our simulations, we assume that any Mg or Ca test 167 particle that impacts the surface is trapped and lost for the exosphere. This is the dominant loss 168 process for Mg.

Escape : A test particle is considered to be lost if it reaches the upper boundary of the model, whatever its velocity. In fact, if we neglect the radiation pressure, only particles with a velocity larger than the escape velocity should be lost, but the fraction of particles reaching the upper boundary with a velocity lower than the escape velocity is a minor fraction of the lost particles for Ca and Mg.

Ionization : At each time step dt, a test particle located outside the Mercury's shadow has a probability $p = 1-\exp(-v_{ionization}dt)$ to be lost by photoionization, where $v_{ionization}$ is the photoionization frequency, which varies as $1/d^2$ where *d* is Mercury-Sun distance. For Mg, the ionization frequency is 4.4×10^{-7} s⁻¹ at 1 AU and for Ca the ionization frequency is 6.6×10^{-5} s⁻¹ at 1 AU close to the value used by Killen et al. (2018), see also the discussion in their Section 15.2.2.2. This is the dominant loss process for Ca.

180 The radiation pressure is included for both species. It is treated as a continuous force directed 181 in the antisolar direction, without considering its stochastic character (Bzowski 1993). The 182 effect of the radiation pressure on the exospheric density is different for Ca and Mg and is 183 discussed in Section 4.

184 3.2) Dust flux model and surface density

186 To simulate the number of ejected Mg and Ca atoms from the surface, we use a model of interplanetary dust flux similar to Killen and Hahn (2015). In this model, the interplanetary dust 187 is coming from three different populations : 1) Asteroids and the Jupiter family comets, 2) 188 Halley type comets and 3) Oort cloud. Each population is characterized by an inclination 189 distribution with respect to the dust disk midplane and a power law variation with the 190 heliocentric distance. The inclination distribution of the two first populations are Gaussian 191 while the distribution of the Oort clouds population is uniform. The parameters for each 192 distribution, heliocentric power law, inclination for the mean dust disk plane, and its ascending 193 node are taken from Killen and Hahn (2015) and the seasonal variation of the evaporation rate 194 due to this mean dust disk is displayed in Fig. 3.. We also consider an additional dust flux 195 peaking near $v_0 = 25^\circ$, and with a seasonal Gaussian distribution, with $\sigma_v = 15^\circ$ (Fig. 3) 196 following the equation : 197

198
$$F_{Encke}(v) = F_{max} e^{-\frac{(v-v_0)^2}{2\sigma_v^2}}$$
 (2)

This additional dust flux was attributed to the dust coming from Encke by Killen and Hahn(2015) and was needed to reproduce the Ca observations by MESSENGER.

There are some small differences between our seasonal variation of the evaporation rate compared with the variation calculated by Killen and Hahn (2015) (Fig. 3) that may result from the numerical integrations used to derive the dust density. We only consider relative variations along one Mercury's year, since the absolute values are tuned to fit the MESSENGER's observations through the free parameter A .



206

*Fig. 3 Variations of the impact vaporization rate induced by the mean dust disk and an additional Gaussian source along Mercury's orbit simulated in EGM*The dust impact flux at the surface of Mercury is not uniform (Pokorny et al. 2018). In our
simulation, we assume a distribution in Mercury Solar Orbital (MSO) coordinate system
independent on v which is a relatively good assumption at first order compared to a more
sophisticated model (Pokorny et al. 2018) for the mean dust disk. We assume a similar
distribution for the additional Gaussian source. The impacting dust flux F_{dust}(φ,θ,v) is

214 decomposed as

215
$$F_{dust}(\varphi, \theta, \nu) = F(\nu)f(\varphi, \theta)$$
(3)

216 Where F(v) is displayed in Fig. 3 and $f(\phi, \theta)$ described the spatial distribution of the impacting 217 flux where ϕ is the MSO longitude and θ the MSO co-latitude. For $f(\phi, \theta)$, we consider the 218 spatial distribution used by Burger et al. (2014) to fit the calcium observations by 219 MESSENGER defined by : $f(\phi, \theta)=f_0e^{-a\cos(\cos(\phi+\pi/2)\sin(\theta))/\sigma}$, where $\sigma = 0.87$ rad (50°) is the angular 220 e-folding width of the source. The maximum of the impact flux is at dawn in the equatorial 221 plane $\theta = \pi/2$, $\phi = 3\pi/2$ and the minimum is at dusk in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, $\phi = \pi/2$. The 222 $f(\phi, \theta)$ distribution is simplified compared to the more sophisticated model of Pokorny et al. 223 (2018) which shows a slight nightside shift of the maxima (~ 4am) for a true anomaly $v < 180^{\circ}$ 224 and a slight dayside shift of the maxima (~ 8 am) for $v > 180^{\circ}$. We consider that this more 225 precise description of this flux should not change our conclusion. Specific assumptions for each 226 species are presented below. All the ejected atoms are assumed to be in the electronic ground 227 state.

228

229 3.3) Calcium

The velocity distribution of the ejected calcium atoms is a Maxwellian-Boltzmann Flux (MBF) distribution with a temperature of 70,000 K consistent with the value derived from the vertical variations of the Ca intensity at 422 nm (Burger et al. 2014). This value is much larger than the expected temperature due to dust impact from theoretical studies, but could be explained by a shocked-induced dissociative ionization of Ca bearing molecules (Killen 2016). It corresponds to an escape parameter λ = 0.62 at the surface.

Calcium could come from Mercury or from an extended dust cloud, in our simulation we will 236 237 assume that all Ca is coming from Mercury. MESSENGER/XRS produced maps of the surface 238 Ca/Si ratio showing variation between 0.05 and 0.30 but with uncomplete coverage of the northern hemisphere due to MESSENGER orbit because Ca/Si is detected only during flares 239 (Weider et al. 2015). Therefore, in our simulations, we consider a uniform Ca/Si ratio = 0.15240 and assume a surface density proportional to this ratio (i.e. assuming a constant Si surface 241 content). The absolute value of Si surface density is not needed for our modelling since it is 242 being included in the parameter A which is estimated to provide the best fit to the Ca 243 observations (Section 3.1). 244

245 3.4) Magnesium

For magnesium, we also consider a MBF distribution but a temperature of 6000K equal to the
average temperature derived from MESSENGER observations of Mg scale height (Merkel et

al. 2017). Contrary to calcium, we consider a non uniform distribution of the Mg/Si ratio at the surface, in order to reproduce the two year cycle observed by Merkel et al. (2018). The map of Mg/Si derived from MESSENGER/XRS has a global coverage because it can be observed during quiet and flare conditions (Weider et al. 2015) and is used as input to the model. The relation between the longitude in MSO frame φ at a given time t along Mercury's rotation and longitude in planetary frame α is derived by integration of the relative angular rotation :

254
$$\varphi(\alpha, t) = \varphi(\alpha, 0) + \frac{180}{\pi} \int_0^t (\omega_{rot} - \omega_{orb}(t')) dt'$$
(4)

Where, ω_{rot} is the sidereal angular velocity of Mercury and $\omega_{orb}(t')$ the time dependent orbital angular velocity, given by the Kepler's second law: $\omega_{orb}(t') = 2V_A/d^2(t')$, where V_A is the magnitude of the areal velocity, and d the Mercury-Sun distance. Replacing the integration over time t', by an integration over true anomaly v, leads to

259
$$\alpha = [\varphi - \psi(\nu)] \tag{5a}$$

260 for Mercury odd years, and

261
$$\alpha = [\varphi - \psi(\nu) - 180]$$
 (5b)

262 for Mercury even years

A Mercury year starts when Mercury is at its perihelion. At the beginning of an odd year, the subsolar point ($\varphi = 0^\circ$) is at longitude $\alpha = 0^\circ$ and at $\alpha = 180^\circ$ at the beginning of an even year. $\psi(v)$ is a function of the true anomaly angle derived analytically from direct integration of Eq.

266 4 (see appendix for details), and given by

$$268 \qquad \psi(\nu) = \frac{180}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega_{rot}}{\langle \omega_{orb} \rangle} \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1 - e}{1 + e}} tan \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{esin\nu}{1 + ecos\nu} \right] - \nu \right) \qquad \nu < \pi \tag{6a}$$

$$269 \qquad \psi(\nu) = \frac{180}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega_{rot}}{\langle \omega_{orb} \rangle} \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 - e}} tan \left(\frac{\nu - \pi}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{esin\nu}{1 + ecos\nu} \right] - \nu \right) \quad \nu > \pi$$
(6b)

270 Where e is Mercury orbital excentricity and $\langle \omega_{orb} \rangle$ the yearly average orbital angular

271 velocity. For Mercury $\omega_{rot} / \langle \omega_{orb} \rangle = 3/2$.

272	4)	Results
273		4.1) Calcium
274		4.1.1) Simulation results

275 We simulate several Mercury years. A periodic steady state is quickly reached during the first

- 276 year (Fig. 4). Simulated seasonal variations of the Ca density are persistent and due to the
- variation of the dust impact flux, with a minimum near $v = 180^{\circ}$ and a maximum at $v = 25^{\circ}$.
- 278 Noise due to statistics in the Monte Carlo simulations is the source of the short time scale
- 279 variations and of the year-to-year variations.
- 280 The density is always maximum at dawn and minimum at dusk, following the local time
- 281 variations of the source term.



Fig. 4 : Simulated temporal variation of the Ca density (left column) and Mg (right column)

at equator for different local times, near the surface (top panel) and near 1000 km (bottom

panel) The vertical dashed lines and the vertical dotted lines in the top panel indicate the endof the odd and even years.

The ratio between the density at $v = 25^{\circ}$ and $v = 180^{\circ}$ is close to the ratio of the source at these 287 288 two seasons, despite that the variation of the ionization frequency slightly reduces this ratio, particularly in the dayside at high altitude. Since the ionization lifetime (23 min near perihelion 289 290 and 55 min near aphelion) is below or close to the typical time spent by a particle in the exosphere (~30 min), the midnight density is larger than the noon density at 1000 km, while at 291 low altitude, the densities are nearly equal due to an equal local ejection rate. This 292 midnight/noon asymmetry is also notable in the equatorial map for $v \sim 0^{\circ}$ (Fig. 5) and the 293 vertical density profiles (Fig. 6). The simulated Ca tail (Fig. 5) in the planetary shadow, is not 294 due to the radiation pressure but more to the lack of photoionization, increasing the lifetime of 295 296 the exospheric Ca atoms. Such a tail is expected to be limited in extension as observed by MESSENGER during the second Mercury flyby (McClintock et al. 2009). The impact of the 297 radiation pressure on the exospheric density is negligible (also checked it by artificially 298 299 increasing the pressure by 10 without observing any significant changes of the Ca exospheric density). The radiation pressure is negligible because the ionization lifetime of a Ca atom is 300 301 short ($\tau \sim 23$ min at Mercury perihelion), the radiation pressure integrated over such a short time being not efficient enough to change the trajectory of the atoms (McClintock et al. 2009). 302



303 304 Fig. 5 Simulated Ca density (left panel) and Mg density (right panel) at a true anomaly 305 $angle \chi = 0^{\circ}$ in the XY MSO plane 306

Because the dust impact flux is not uniform, the ballistic horizontal (parallel to the surface) 307 308 flow is not null and is directed from dawn to dusk. This horizontal flow decreases the scale height at dawn and increases it at dusk (Fig. 6). Therefore, the local scale height of the Ca 309 density is controlled by the temperature, the horizontal flow and the ionization frequency. The 310 311 numerical noise on the simulated density can be estimated from Fig. 6 showing the vertical density profiles at noon, dusk, midnight and noon, at equator for $v \sim 0^{\circ}$ during the second and 312 third simulated Mercury years. For Ca, there is no source of variation longer than the Mercury 313 year in our simulations. The periodic steady state is reached at all altitudes in less than one year, 314 and the only difference is due to the numerical statistical noise. Such noise is not important 315 when comparing to the observations (Section 4.1.2). The simulated Ca exosphere density is not 316 spherically symmetric. Indeed, the large ionization frequency induces a larger density at 317 midnight above ~ 3000 km (Fig. 6). Below 1000 km the density scale height is roughly similar 318 whereas above 1000 km, the density scale height increases from 1900 km (LT=6 am), to 2150 319

- 320 km (LT=12), 2500 km (LT=18) up to 3000 km at LT=0, a direct effect of the lateral transport
- 321 combined with the ionization





Fig. 6 Simulated Ca density profiles at $v = 0^{\circ}$ for the second (solid lines) and third (dashed lines) simulated years at latitude $=0^{\circ}$ and four different local times.

325 4.1.2) Comparison with MESSENGER/MASCS

The exospheric Ca line at 422 nm is optically thin and therefore the brightness of the emission 326 is the slant density multiplied by the g-value (Chamberlain and Hunten 1987). The g-value has 327 a large seasonal variation due to the Doppler effect (Killen et al. 2009), and to a lesser extent to 328 the Mercury-Sun distance, with two peaks at v = 60 and 300° . In the calculation of the g-values 329 we consider an isotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution for simplification, but the simulated 330 velocity distribution, not stored for memory reason is not isotropic as suggested by the 331 332 simulated kinetic temperature. This assumption should probably be correct to ~few 10s % (Burger et al. 2014). 333

We use the geometry of each MASCS observations made at dawn from apoapsis (see Section2) to compute the brightness simulated from our model.

An example of observed profile (orbit #1440, near $v = 330^{\circ}$) is shown in Fig. 7 with the simulated vertical brightness profiles. As noted by Burger et al. (2014), the large fraction of escaping atoms makes a scale-height based temperature quite uncertain. The small zig-zag variations are due to spacecraft motion.



340

Fig. 7 Example of observed vertical profile of the Ca emission (black) and of simulated profile
(red) during orbit # 1440 (v ~ 330°)

343

The simulated profile is in good agreement with the observation. The seasonal variation of the simulated Ca brightness near 300 km are shown in Figure 8. The observed brightness is well reproduced by the model within 30% as expected and validate our model..



347

Fig. 8 Seasonal variations of the Ca brightness at dawn (6 am) for line of sight with an impact
parameter near 300 km. The measured brightness is shown in red and the simulated brightness
is shown in black.

351

352	4.2) Magnesium
353	4.2.1) Simulation results

Several Mercury years were simulated. As for Ca a periodic steady state is reached during the first year (Fig. 4), but the Mg simulation includes an additional two-year period compared to the Ca simulated exosphere due to the non-uniform Mg surface density considered in our simulations. Seasonal variations of the Mg density are similar to Ca variations due to the variation of the dust impact flux, with a minimum of density near $v = 180^{\circ}$ and a maximum of density at $v = 25^{\circ}$. Noise due to statistics in the Monte Carlo simulations are the cause of the short time scale variations.

361

362 Near the surface, the biennial cycle is significant at dawn but is less significant at dusk (Fig. 4).363 At dusk, a large fraction of the Mg atoms in the exosphere, even near the surface, are not

produced locally but are transported from dawn. Therefore, the local increase of the production 364 at dusk during the end of odd years ($v=385^\circ$, 1105°, 1865°, ...) is balanced by the decrease of 365 the Mg atoms coming from dawn. A similar effect can explain the noon/midnight variation with 366 a two-year cycle of the density near the surface at noon but not at midnight (the local production 367 and the supply from dawn increase at noon at $v = 25^\circ$, 745°, 1465°, etc., while at midnight the 368 local production decreases at $v = 25^\circ$, 745° balancing the increase of the supply from dawn). At 369 370 1000 km, the biennial cycle is observed at all local times, although it is smaller at dusk, noon and midnight than at dawn. Above 1000 km, the density is more sensitive to the integrated 371 source rate over the surface which is only 10% larger when the Mg enriched region is near dawn 372 373 at the beginning of the odd years ($v = 25^\circ, 745^\circ, 1465^\circ, ...$) than near dusk at the beginning of the even years ($v = 385^{\circ}, 1105^{\circ}, 1825^{\circ}, ...$). 374

Because the ionization lifetime of Mg (between 40 and 100 hours) is much larger than the 375 ionization lifetime of Ca, the photoionization impact on the exospheric density is negligible, 376 and the density near noon and midnight remains comparable at 1000 km altitude. The equatorial 377 378 map of the Mg density at $v = 360^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 5, the radiation pressure, included in our 379 simulations is not efficient enough to create a noticeable extended tail on the nightside (Fig. 10), but is sufficient to increase the average time of residence of the atoms in the exosphere, 380 creating a small dayside/nightside asymmetry. Contrary to the Ca simulation, a simulation 381 performed with an arbitrary 10 times larger radiation pressure showed the formation of a Mg 382 383 tail.

384

A way to prove that a large fraction of Mg atoms in the exosphere at dusk are coming from dawn and not from the dusk surface is to estimate the simulated kinetic temperature. In the simulation, all the Mg atoms are ejected with a MBF distribution at T = 6000 K. At LT = 12hand 24h, the simulated kinetic temperature near the surface is close to 6000K. At LT = 6h it is

slightly lower (5500 K), while at LT = 18h, the kinetic temperature is slightly larger (8500 K) 389 390 (Fig. 9). At dawn the temperature is reduced by the diverging flow of Mg atoms from dawn to dusk. From a kinetic approach, Mg atoms initially ejected with a large horizontal (parallel to 391 the surface) velocity are moving from dawn to dusk, this local loss of the Mg atoms is not 392 balanced by atoms coming from dusk because of the lower production rate. Therefore, the dawn 393 should be relatively depleted of atoms with with large horizontal velocity leading to a smaller 394 width of the velocity distribution function. On the contrary, the small fraction of particles 395 moving from dawn to dusk represent a substantial fraction of the atoms present at dusk. 396 Therefore, the dusk velocity distribution function should be enriched at large horizontal velocity 397 398 at dusk, leading to an increase of the kinetic temperature.

This effect is very general in collisionless medium, and can be noted, for example, in the simulations of the hot oxygen in the exosphere of Mars by Hodges (2000) where the simulated kinetic temperature at noon (the maximum of production of hot oxygen) is lower than the simulated kinetic temperature at midnight (the minimum of production of hot oxygen).



403

404 *Fig. 9 Simulated Mg kinetic temperature profiles at* $v = 0^{\circ}$ *for the second (solid lines) and* 405 *third (dashed lines) simulated years at latitude =* 0° *and four different local times*

This confirms that a substantial fraction of the atoms present in the exosphere at dusk near the surface (in the equatorial plane) are energetic atoms with an initial horizontal velocity large enough to travel balistically from dawn (the peak of ejected Mg atoms) to dusk.

- 409 The vertical profiles of the simulated density are shown in Fig. 10. The simulated Mg density
- 410 is ~ 10 larger than the simulated Ca density near the surface.



411

412 *Fig.* 10 Simulated Mg density profiles at $v = 0^{\circ}$ for the second (solid lines) and third (dashed 413 lines) simulated years at latitude $=0^{\circ}$ and four different local times.

At dawn and noon, the variation of the simulated density between the two years displayed in 414 Fig. 10 is due to the biennial cycle discussed before and not to the numerical noise. At higher 415 altitudes (> 2000 km), the Mg exosphere becomes nearly spherically symmetric, even though 416 the radiation pressure produces a small night/day asymmetry (see also Fig. 5). As for Ca, the 417 lateral flow decreases the scale height at dawn and increases it at dusk (Fig. 10). Note that, 418 despite the lower kinetic temperature mass ratio (expressed in Dalton (Da) or atomic mass unit) 419 for Mg (~247K/Da) than Ca (1745 K/Da), the Mg and Ca density decreases by a similar factor 420 of ~ 100 - 1000 from the surface to 10,000 km, confirming the importance of the ionization 421 frequency in the scale height of the Ca density. The Mg dawn/dusk density ratio near 300 km 422

is between 2 – 3, lower than the Ca dawn/dusk ratio near 300 km (~ 10) (Fig.6). Compared to
Mg, a smaller fraction of Ca atoms produced near dawn reach the dusk side due to the shorter
Ca ionization lifetime.

426

427 4.2.2) Comparison with MESSENGER/MASCS

We also compare systematically all the observations by MESSENGER to the simulated density
assuming an optically thin emission (Fig. 11). The seasonal variations of the excitation
frequency used in our manuscript differ from Merkel et al. (2017) as discussed in Section 5.



431

Fig. 11 Seasonal variations of the Mg brightness at dawn (6 am) for line of sight with an impact
parameter near 500 km for odd (left figure) and even (right figure) years The measured
brightness is shown in red (odd years) and blue (even years) and the simulated brightness is
shown by black diamonds (odd years) and black crosses (even years).

436 This comparison shows a good agreement, within 30% of the seasonal variations between the

437 observations and simulated brightness for $v > 80^\circ$ where the dust flux from Encke is negligible.



This result confirms that the interplanetary dust impact is the main source of both calcium and magnesium ejection in the exosphere of Mercury and the two set of observations are consistent with a source due to an interplanetary dust disk with geometrical parameters published by Killen and Hahn (2015) for $v > 80^\circ$. There is a small discrepancy between the Mg simulation and the observations between $v = 20^\circ$ and $v = 80^\circ$, where the additional source attributed to Encke dust flux is important. As explained in Section 5, the simulated brightness is very sensitive to the excitation frequency of the Mg line which uncertainty might explain this discrepancy.

446 **5)** Discussion

447 5.1) Mg g-value

The seasonal variations of the Mg g-value used to simulate the Mg brightness are shown in Fig. 448 12b and are calculated using the solar spectrum measured by the Air Force Geophysical 449 Laboratory (Hall and Aderson 1991), sampled every 100 mA, but rescaled in flux by a factor 450 0.83 (Langowski et al. 2014). We also consider a maxwellian velocity distribution at a fixed 451 452 temperature (T = 6000K for Mg). This assumption is not correct because the simulated velocity distribution is not maxwellian but the non-maxwellian effect are not expected to be large. For 453 example, if we neglect completely the velocity of the atoms in Mercury frame (i.e. used a Dirac 454 velocity distribution at V = 0 in Mercury's frame), the comparison is not modified substantially 455 for Mg. 456

The seasonal variations of the g-values differ from the variations used by Merkel et al. (2017) (dashed line). The difference is due to the solar spectral flux used to compute the g-value (Fig. 12a). Merkel et al. (2017), used the high spectral resolution solar flux from Killen et al. (2009). The spectrum of Killen et al. (2009) has a better spectral resolution (10 mA) but contains a feature near 285.3 nm not present in the solar spectrum of Hall and Anderson (1991). This feature is the main source of difference between our g-values and the g-value of Merkel et al. (2017). This feature is not due to the better spectral resolution of the solar spectrum of Killen

et al. (2009). The dot-dashed line in Fig 12a corresponds to the solar spectrum from Killen et 464 al. (2009) degraded to 100 mA resolution. It shows that with a resolution of 100 mA, the feature 465 near 285.3 nm should have been detected. Then the solar spectrum from Hall and Anderson 466 (1991) and Killen et al. (2009) are not consistent in this spectral range. This difference has a 467 large effect on the interpretation of the seasonal variation of the Mg brightness and therefore, 468 new high spectrally resolved solar spectrum in this wavelength range is highly needed. The 469 recently published solar spectrum from Coddington et al. (2021) also used the observations 470 done by Hall and Anderson (1991) between 200 and 310 nm rescaled in flux close to 0.83 (Fig. 471 12a). The solar spectrum of Chance and Kuruzc (2010) is systematically larger in this 472 473 wavelength range by ~ 1.5 .





Fig. 12 a) Solar spectrum near 285. 3 nm used in the simulation compared to other solar spectrum. The two vertical dot-dashed lines on the x-axis represent the Doppler shifted wavelength range due to the radial velocity of Mercury ($\sim \pm 10$ km/s) along its orbit. b) Seasonal variations of the g-value of the Mg line used in our simulations, compared to the g-value derived from other solar flux.

- 480 Also, it should be noted that the FWHM of the MASCS instrument was 6.6 Å much larger than
- 481 the resolution of all these solar features.

The spectral resolution of the observed solar spectrum from Hall and Anderson (1991) and then, the spectrum from Chance and Kuruzc (2010) or Coddington et al. (2021) are not sufficient to derive accurately the seasonal variation of the Mg g-value at Mercury (Fig. 12a) and may explain the remaining difference for $v < 80^{\circ}$. A deeper Fraunhofer line, would decrease the excitation frequency at $v = 0^{\circ}$ and $v = 180^{\circ}$ and shift the g excitation frequency peak towards v= 90° and $v = 270^{\circ}$, leading to a better fit of the observations.

488 Contrary to Mg, the seasonal variations of the g-value for Ca derived from the solar spectrum
489 of Killen et al. (2009) are in very good agreement with those derived from Coddington et al.
490 (2021) and then not discussed.

491 5.2) Ca and Mg ejection rates

492 The simulated Mg and Ca vaporization rates are given by Eq. 1 as the product of the impacting dust flux, the X/Si surface ratio and a free parameter A. This free parameter A was tuned to fit 493 the Ca observations and this same value is used for Mg and give a good fit of the MESSENGER 494 observations (Fig. 11). For Ca, we consider a uniform surface distribution of Ca/Si = 0.15, 495 which is a simplification, since the MESSENGER/XRS show some variations from 0.05 to 0.3, 496 but with an incomplete coverage. Such variations may cause a biennial cycle in the exospheric 497 Ca, but possibly of too small magnitude to be detected by MESSENGER/MASCS. We 498 499 implicitly assume that all Ca and Mg atoms ejected into the exosphere of Mercury are coming from the surface of Mercury. In reality, a fraction of the exospheric Ca and Mg atoms could 500 come from the impacting dust itself but the volume of impact melt and vapor should be much 501 502 larger than the impactor volume (Cintala 1992). Ca and Mg are ejected under molecular form and should be quickly dissociated in the dayside (Cassidy et al. 2021). Therefore, the production 503 504 of atomic Ca and Mg should not be exactly at the surface. Such complexity will be considered in the future. The simulated Ca and Mg ejection rates at 6 am are displayed in Fig. 13. 505



506

507 Fig. 13 Simulated seasonal variations of the Mg and Ca source rate at latitude $=0^{\circ}$, LT = 6508 am

For Ca, we found a systematic lower source rate by a factor of 2 compared to Burger et al.
(2014). This difference is not understood since the assumptions used in our model are those
used by Burger et al. (2014).

512 For Mg, the ejection rate at dawn are larger by a factor of ~4 - 10 compared to the ejection rate derived by Merkel et al. (2017) near dawn. A cause of the difference is associated to the 513 514 g-values used by Merkel et al. (2017) to derive the source rate near $v = 60^{\circ}$. But even for v >140°, where the g-value used by Merkel et al. (2017) is close to the value used in our study 515 (Fig. 12b) there is a systematic difference by a factor of ~ 5. The use of a non-uniform source 516 rate is the main cause of the discrepancy. Merkel et al. (2017) used a 1D Chamberlain model, 517 so the horizontal flow of particles ejected at dawn is exactly balanced by particles ejected 518 elsewhere and moving to dawn. In our simulations, this horizontal flow is not balanced 519 because the ejection rate is lower at other local times and therefore, to compensate the lateral 520 loss and fit the observations, the source rate should be increased compared to a 1D simulation. 521

522	The ratio between the Mg and Ca ejection rate follows the Mg/Ca ratio at the surface of
523	Mercury but since we use a homogeneous surface concentration for Ca and a heterogeneous
524	surface concentration for Mg, a biennial cycle is simulated for Mg but not for Ca.

525

6) Conclusion and future work

We use the LATMOS Exospheric Global Model to study Ca and Mg emissions observed by 526 UVVS/MESSENGER, focusing on the dawn observations. Our study shows that the seasonal 527 variations for true anomaly angle $v > 80^{\circ}$ of the two species can be explained by impact 528 vaporization from interplanetary dust coming from a disk with the geometric properties 529 proposed by Killen and Hahn (2015). For Ca an additional source, attributed to Encke dust by 530 Killen and Hahn (2015) is needed with a peak near $v = 25^{\circ}$ and could be sufficient to reproduce 531 the Mg observations. This last result strongly depends on the depth of the solar Fraunhofer line 532 corresponding to the Mg emission wavelength not well known due to the limited spectral 533 534 resolution of the solar flux near 285 nm. Physical processes important near $v = 0^{\circ}$, not included in our models could also be the cause of the discrepancy. We also found that due to the large 535 dawn/dusk asymmetry in the source rate, 1D models are not adapted to intepret the observations 536 and derive the source flux with a good accuracy because this large asymmetry produces a strong 537 horizontal circulation from dawn (the source maximum) to dusk (the source minimum), and 538 539 then affect the local density scale heights and source rate needed to reproduce the observations. Our model is probably still too simple to catch all the observed variations. A more accurate 540 model of the dust impact flux as developed by Pokorny et al. (2018) shows some seasonal 541 variations of the local time of the peak of the dust impact flux (slightly shifted towards midnight 542 for $v < 180^{\circ}$ and towards noon for $v > 180^{\circ}$) that could slightly affect the seasonal variations at 543 different local times. Other effects not considered in this study that will be considered in the 544 545 future are the amount of Ca/Mg coming from Mercury and the dust as well as a study of the local time variations that could help to better constrain the origin of the dust responsible of the 546

547 formation of the Mg/Ca exosphere of Mercury. These two species are in the spectral range of 548 the instrument PHEBUS onboard BepiColombo (Quémerais et al. 2020) and are expected to be 549 regularly observed during one terrestrial year after the insertion of the spacecraft around 550 Mercury. Those measurements would help to better understand the origin of these two species.

551 Acknowledgements

We thank CNES for their support of this study. MESSENGER/MASCS data reported in this 552 archived by the NASA Planetary Data System 553 paper are : https://pdsgeosciences.wustl.edu/missions/messenger/mascs.htm. The Mg/Si 554 map produced by MESSENGER/XRS is archived by NASA PDS https://pds-555 the geosciences.wustl.edu/missions/messenger/xrs.htm 556

Simulated Mg and Ca density for two Mercury years (simulated Years 2 and 3) can be found in
zenodo (doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5575812)

559 The solar flux used to simulate the Mg g-value is archived on the NOAA ftp repository:

560 ftp.ngdc.noaa.gov/STP/SOLAR_DATA/SOLAR_UV/MID_UV

561

562

563

564 Appendix : Relation between MSO and planetographic coordinates

In this appendix, the Mercury-Sun distance will be noted r instead of d (in the manuscript) to avoid confusion with the differential operator. The planetocentric longitude α can be derived from the MSO longitude φ at any time by integration of the orbital motion of Mercury (Eq. A1)

568
$$\varphi(\alpha, t) = \varphi(\alpha, 0) + \frac{180}{\pi} \int_0^t (\omega_{rot} - \omega_{orb}(t')) dt'$$
(Eq. A1)

569 The areal velocity is constant $(2^{nd}$ Kepler's law) and given by

570
$$V_A = \frac{1}{2}r^2\omega_{orb}(t) = \frac{1}{2}r^2\frac{dv}{dt}$$
 (Eq. A2)

571 In the integration, the time variable t can be replaced by the TAA ν

572
$$dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r^2}{v_A} dv$$
 (Eq. A3)

573

574
$$\varphi(\alpha, \nu) = \varphi(\alpha, 0) + \frac{180}{\pi} \int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{r^2(\nu')}{2V_A}\omega_{rot} - 1\right) d\nu'$$
 (Eq. A4)

575 By convention, we choose the initial condition $\varphi(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$ for odd years and $\varphi(\alpha, 0) = 180^{\circ} + \alpha$ 576 for even years.

577 The second term inside the parenthesis can be integrated directly to obtain

578
$$\varphi(\alpha, \nu) = \varphi(\alpha, 0) + \frac{180}{\pi} \left[\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{r^2(\nu')}{2V_A} \omega_{rot} \right) d\nu' - \nu \right]$$
 (Eq. A5)

579 The Mercury orbit around the sun is an ellipse defined by a polar coordinate equation:

580
$$r(v) = \frac{p}{1 + e \cos v}$$
 (Eq. A6)

581 Replacing r(v) by this expression in the integral leads to

582
$$\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{r^2(\nu')}{2V_A} \omega_{rot} \right) d\nu' = \frac{p^2 \omega_{rot}}{2V_A} \int_0^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{(1 + e \cos \nu')^2}$$
(Eq. A7)

The areal velocity is constant and equal to the area swept out during a full orbit (π ab), where a and b are the semi-major axis and the semi-minor-axis respectively, divided by the orbital period : $2\pi/\langle \omega_{orb} \rangle$

For an ellipse :
$$p = a(1-e^2)$$
 and $p = b(1-e^2)^{1/2}$. The products p^2 is then equal to $ab(1-e^2)^{3/2}$, and
then

588
$$\frac{p^2 \omega_{rot}}{2V_A} = (1 - e^2)^{3/2} \frac{\omega_{rot}}{\langle \omega_{orb} \rangle}$$
 (Eq. A8)

589 A way to calculate the integral A7 is to multiply by $1 = (1-e^2 + e\cos v' - e\cos v')/(1-e^2)$ and 590 separate in two terms

591
$$\int_0^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{(1+e\cos\nu')^2} = \frac{1}{1-e^2} \int_0^{\nu} \frac{(1-e^2+e\cos\nu'-e\cos\nu')d\nu'}{(1+e\cos\nu')^2}$$
(Eq. A9)

592
$$\int_0^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{(1+e\cos\nu')^2} = \frac{1}{1-e^2} \int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1+e\cos\nu'} - \frac{e^2 + e\cos\nu'}{(1+e\cos\nu')^2}\right) d\nu'$$
(Eq. A10)

593 The second integration can be easily calculated.

594
$$\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{e^2 + e \cos \nu t}{(1 + e \cos \nu t)^2}\right) d\nu' = \frac{e \sin \nu}{1 + e \cos \nu}$$
 (Eq. A11)

595 The first integration is a fraction is $\cos(v)$ which can be solved by the usual method using the 596 variable $x = \tan(v/2)$, $dv = 2dx/(1+x^2)$, $\cos(v) = (1-x^2)/(1+x^2)$

597
$$\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1+e\cos\nu\nu}\right) d\nu' = \frac{2}{1+e} \int_0^{\tan(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{2dx}{1+x^2\frac{1-e}{1+e}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{1-e}{1+e}\tan(\frac{\nu}{2})}} \frac{dy}{1+y^2}$$
(Eq. A12)

598 where a last variable change $y = (1-e)^{1/2}/(1+e)^{1/2}x$ was used to derive the last expression. 599 When $v < \pi$ the integral can ne calculated directly (the upper variable is always lower than 600 infinity) and leads to

601
$$\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1+e\cos\nu\nu}\right) d\nu' = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-e}{1+e}} tan(\frac{\nu}{2})\right)$$
 for $\nu < \pi$ (Eq. A13)

602 When $v > \pi$ we need to use the Chasles relation :

603
$$\int_{0}^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e \cos \nu'}\right) d\nu' = \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e \cos \nu'}\right) d\nu' + \int_{\pi}^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e \cos \nu'}\right) d\nu'$$
(Eq.A14)

604 The first integral can be computed from A13:

605
$$\int_0^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+e\cos\nu\nu}\right) d\nu' = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1-e^2}}$$
 (Eq. A15)

606 The last integral can be calculated replacing v by $v - \pi$ which leads to

607
$$\int_{\pi}^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e \cos \nu \nu}\right) d\nu' = \int_{0}^{\nu - \pi} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e \cos \nu \nu}\right) d\nu'$$
 (Eq. A16)

608 This integral can be solved as A12 (replacing e by -e)

609
$$\int_0^{\nu-\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1-e\cos\nu'}\right) d\nu' = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}\tan(\frac{\nu-\pi}{2})} \frac{dy}{1+y^2}$$
 (Eq. A17)

610 Finally, we found

611
$$\int_0^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1+e\cos\nu'}\right) d\nu' = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}\tan(\frac{\nu-\pi}{2})\right) \quad \text{for } \nu > \pi \quad (\text{Eq. A18})$$

Using, Eq. A5, A7, A8, A11 and A13 leads to Eq. 6a and using Eq A5, A7, A8, A11 and A18

- 613 leads to Eq 6b.
- 614
- 615

616 **References**

- Bida, T.A., R.M. Killen, and T.H. Morgan, Discovery of calcium in Mercury's atmosphere,
- 618 Nature, 404, 159-161, doi :10.1038/35004521, (2000)
- Broadfoot, A.L., D.E. Shemansky, and S. Kumar, Mariner 10 : Mercury atmosphere, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 3, 577-580, (1976)
- 621 Burger, M.H., R.M. Killen, W.E. McClintock, R.J. Vervack, A.W. Merkel, A.L. Sprague, M.
- Sarantos, Modeling MESSENGER observations of calcium in Mercury's exosphere, J.
 Geophys. Res., 117, E00L11, doi : 10.1029/2012JE004158, 2012
- Burger, M.H., R.M. Killen, W.E. McClintock, R.J. Vervack, A.W. Merkel, T.A. Cassidy, M.
 Sarantos, Seasonal variations in Mercury's dayside calcium exosphere, Icarus, 238, 51-
- **626 58**, (2014)
- Bzowski, M., On the importance of the stochastic character of the radiation pressure in
 exospheric modelling, Ann. Geophys., 11, 150-159, (1993)
- Cassidy, T.A., C.A. Schmidt, A.W. Merkel, J.M. Jasinski, and M.H. Burger, Detection of large
 exospheric enhancements at Mercury due to meteoroid impacts, Planet. Sci., 2, 175,
 (2021)

- Chamberlain, J.W., Planetary coronae and atmospheric evaporation, Planet. Space Sci., 11,
 901-960, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(63)90122-3, (1963)
- Chamberlain, J.W. and D. Hunten, Theory of planetary atmospheres: An introduction to their
 physics and chemistry, International Geophys. Ser., 36, Academic Press Inc Florida,
 (1987)
- Chance, K., and R.L. Kurucz, An improved high-resolution solar reference spectrum for earth's
 atmosphere measurements in the ultraviolet, visible, and near infrared, J. Quant. Spec.
 and Rad. Transf., 111, 1289-1295 (2010)
- Christou, A.A., R.M. Killen, and M.H. Burger, The meteoroid stream of comet Encke at
 Mercury : Implications for MErcury Surface, Space ENvironment, GEochemsitry, and
 Ranging observations of the exosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 7311-7318, doi :
 10.1002/2015GL065361, (2015)
- Coddington, O.M., E.C. Richard, D. Harber, P.Pilewskie, T.N. Woods, K. Chance, X. Liu, and
 K. Sun, The TSIS Hybrid solar reference spectrum, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48,
 e2020GL091709, doi: <u>10.1029/2020GL091709</u>, (2021)
- 647

Grava, C., R. M. Killen, M. Benna, A. Berezhnoy, J. S. Halekas, F. Leblnc, M. N.
Nishino, C. Plainaki, J. M. Raines, M. Sarantos, B. D. Teolis, O. J. Tucker, R. J.
Vervack, Jr., and A. Vorburger, Volatiles and refractories in surface-bounded
exospheres in the inner solar system. Space Science Reviews. SPAC-S-20-00147,
2021. 10.1007/s11214-021-00833-8

Hall, L.A., and G.P. Anderson, High-resolution solar spectrum between 2000 and 3100 Å, J.
Geoophys. Res., 96, 12,927-12,931, (1991)

- Hodges, R.R., Distributions of hot oxygen for Venus and Mars, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 6971656 6981, (2000)
- Killen, R.M., Pathways for energization of Ca in Mercury's exosphere, Icarus, 268, 32-36,
 (2016)
- Killen, R.M., and J.M. Hahn, Impact vaporization as a possible source of Mercury's calcium
 exosphere, Icarus, 250, 230-237, (2015)
- Killen, R.M. T.A. Bida, and T.H. Morgan, The calcium exosphere of Mercury, Icarus, 173,
 300-311, doi :10.1016/j.icarus.2004.08.022, (2005)
- Killen, R.M., G. Cremonese, H. Lammer, S. Orsini, A.E. Potter, A.L. Sprague, P. Wurz, M.L.
 Khodachencko, H. I. M. Lichtenegger, A. Millilo, and A. Mura, Processes that promote
 and deplete the exosphere of Mercury, Space Sci. Rev., 132, 433-509, doi:
 10.1007/s11214-007-9232-0, (2007)
- Killen, R.M, D. Shemansky, and N. Mouawad, Expected emission from Mercury's exospheric
 species and their ultraviolet-visible signatures, Astrophys. J. Supp. Series, 181, 351-359,
 (2009)
- Killen, R.M., M.H. Burger, R.J. Vervack, and T.A. Cassidy, Understanding Mercury's
 Exosphere: Models derived from MESSENGER observations, The view after
 MESSENGER, ed. by S. Solomon, L. Nittler, B. Anderson. Cambridge Planetary
 Science, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2018), 407-429, doi:
 10.1017/9781316650684.016, (2018)
- Langowski, M., M. Sinnhuber, A.C. Aikin, C. von Savigny, and J.P. Burrow, Retrievalalgorithm for densities of mesospheric and lower thermospheric metal atom and ion

- 677 species from satellite-borne limb emission signals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 29-48,678 (2014)
- Leblanc, F., E. Chassefière, R.E. Johnson, D.M. Hunten, E. Kallio, D.C. Delcourt, R.M. Killen,
 J.G. Luhmann, A.E. Potter, A. Jambon, G. Cremonese, M. Mendillo, N. Yan, and A.L.
 Sprague, Mercury's exosphere origin and relations to its magnetosphere and surface,
 Planet. Space Sci., 55, 1069-1092, (2007)
- Leblanc, F., J-Y. Chaufray, A. Doressoundiram, J-J. Berthelier, V. Mangano, A. Lopez-Ariste,
 and P. Borin, Mercury exosphere : III Energetic characterization of its sodium
 component, Icarus, 223, 963-974, (2013)
- Leblanc, F., J-Y. Chaufray, R. Modolo, L. Leclercq, S. Curry, J. Luhmann, R. Lillis, T. Hara,
 J. McFadden, J. Halekas, N. Schneider, J. Deighan, P.R. Mahaffy, M. Benna, R.E.
 Johnson, F. Gonzalez-Galindo, F. Forget, M.A. Lopez-Valverde, F.G. Eparvier, and B.
- Johnson, F. Gonzalez-Galindo, F. Forget, M.A. Lopez-Valverde, F.G. Eparvier, and B.
 Jakosky, On the origins of Mars' exospheric nonthermal oxygen component as observed
 by MAVEN and modeled by Heliosares, J. Geophys. Res. : Planets, 122, 2401-2428,
 (2017a)
- Leblanc, F., A.V. Oza, L. Leclercq, C. Schmidt, T. Cassidy, R. Modolo, J-Y. Chaufray, and
 R.E. Johnson, On the orbital variability of Ganymede's atmosphere, Icarus, 293, 185198, (2017b)

Leblanc, F., C. Schmidt, V. Mangano, A. Mura, G. Cremonese, J. Raines, J. M.
Jasinski, M. Sarantos, A. Milillo, R. Killen, T. Cassidy, R. Vervack, S. Kameda, M.
Horanyi, D. Janches, A. Berezhnoy, A Christou, T. Hirai, P. Lierle, and J.
Morgenthaler, Comparative Na and K Mercury and Moon exospheres, Space
Science Reviews (under review)

- McClintock, W. E. and Lankton, M. R. The Mercury Atmospheric and Surface Composition
 Spectrometer for the MESSENGER mission. Space Sci. Rev., 131, 481–521,
 doi:10.1007/s11214-007–9264-5., (2007)
- McClintock, W. E., Bradley, E. T., Vervack, R. J., Jr., Killen, R. M., Sprague, A. L., Izenberg,

N. R. and Solomon, S. C. . Mercury's exosphere: Observations during

- 705 MESSENGER's first Mercury flyby. Science, 321, 92–94., (2008)
- 706 McClintock, W. E., Vervack, R. J., Bradley, E. T., Killen, R. M., Mouawad, N., Sprague, A.
- 707 L., Burger, M. H., Solomon, S. C. and Izenberg, N. R., MESSENGER observations of
- 708 Mercury's exosphere: Detection of magnesium and distribution of constituents.
- 709 Science, 324, 610–613, doi:10.1126/science.1172525, (2009)
- 710 McClintock, W.E., T.A. Cassidy, A.W. Merkel, R.M. Killen, M.H. Burger, and R.J. Vervack
- Jr, Observations of Mercury's exosphere : Composition and structure, in Mercury : The
 view after MESSENGER, ed. by S. Solomon, L. Nittler, B. Anderson. Cambridge
 Planetary Science, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2018), 371-406,
- 714 doi :10.1017/9781316650684.015

704

- Merkel, A.W., T.A. Cassidy, R.J. Vervack, W.E. McClintock, M. Sarantos, M.H. Burger, R.M.
 Killen, Seasonal variations of Mercury's magnesium dayside exosphere from
 MESSENGER observations, Icarus, 281, 46-54, (2017)
- Merkel, A.W., J.R. Vervack, R.M. Killen, T.A. Cassidy, W.E. McClintock, L.R. Nittler, and
 M.H. Burger, Evidence of connection Mercury's magnesium exosphere to its
 magnesium-rich surface terrane. Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 6790-6797,
 doi :10.1029/2018GL078407, (2018)

- Oza, A. V., F. Leblanc, R.E. Johnson, C. Schmidt, L. Leclercq, T.A. Cassidy, and J-Y.
 Chaufray, Dusk over dawn O2 assymmetry in Europa's near surface atmosphere, Planet.
 Space Sci., 167, 23-32, (2019)
- Pokorny, P., M. Sarantos, and D. Janches, A comprehensive model of the meteoroids
 environment around Mercury, Astrophys. J., 863, 31, (2018)
- Potter, A.E., and T.H. Morgan, Discovery of sodium in the atmosphere of Mercury, Science,
 229, 651-653, doi :10.1126/science.229.4714.651 (1985)
- Quémerais, E., J-Y. Chaufray, D. Koutroumpa, F. Leblanc, A. Réberac, B. Lustrument, C.
 Montaron, J-F. Mariscal, N. Rouanet, I. Yoshikawa, G. Murakami, K. Yoshioka, O.
 Korablev, D. Belyaev, M.G. Pelizzo, A. Corso, P. Zuppella, PHEBUS on BepiColombo: Post-launch update and instrument performance, Space Sci. Rev., 216:67,
- 733 (2020)
- Sarantos, M., R.M. Killen, W.E. McClintock, E.T. Bradley, R.J. Vervack, M. Benna, and J.A.
 Slavin, Limits to Mercury's magnesium exosphere from MESSENGER second flyby
 observations, Planet. Space Sci., 59, 1992-2003, (2011)
- Solomon S.C., and B.J. Anderson, The MESSENGER Mission : Science and Implementation
 Overview, ed. by S. Solomon, L. Nittler, B. Anderson. Cambridge Planetary Science,
 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2018), 1-29
- Stern, A., The lunar atmosphere : history, status, current problems, and context, Rev. Geophys.,
 37, 453-491, (1999)
- 742 Weider, S.Z, L.R. Nittler, R.D. Starr, E.J. Crapster-Pregont, P.N. Peplowski, N.W. Denevi,
- 743 J.W. Head, P.K. Byrne, S.A. Hauk II, D.S. Ebel, and S.C. Solomon, Evidence for
- geochemical terranes on Mercury : global mapping of major elements with
- 745 MESSENGER's X-ray spectrometer, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 416, 109-120, (201