

SPIDERS: the spectroscopic follow-up of X-ray selected clusters of galaxies in SDSS-IV

N. Clerc, A. Merloni, Y. -Y. Zhang, A. Finoguenov, T. Dwelly, K. Nandra, C.

Collins, K. Dawson, J. -P. Kneib, E. Rozo, et al.

► To cite this version:

N. Clerc, A. Merloni, Y. -Y. Zhang, A. Finoguenov, T. Dwelly, et al.. SPIDERS: the spectroscopic follow-up of X-ray selected clusters of galaxies in SDSS-IV. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2016, 463, pp.4490-4515. 10.1093/mnras/stw2214 . insu-03667682

HAL Id: insu-03667682 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03667682

Submitted on 13 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. MNRAS **463,** 4490–4515 (2016) Advance Access publication 2016 September 5

SPIDERS: the spectroscopic follow-up of X-ray-selected clusters of galaxies in SDSS-IV

N. Clerc,¹* A. Merloni,¹ Y.-Y. Zhang,² A. Finoguenov,^{1,3} T. Dwelly,¹ K. Nandra,¹ C. Collins,⁴ K. Dawson,⁵ J.-P. Kneib,^{6,7} E. Rozo,⁸ E. Rykoff,⁹ T. Sadibekova,^{10,11} J. Brownstein,⁵ Y.-T. Lin,¹² J. Ridl,¹ M. Salvato,¹ A. Schwope,¹³ M. Steinmetz,¹³ H.-J. Seo¹⁴ and J. Tinker¹⁵

¹Max-Planck Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Postfach 1312, D-85741 Garching bei München, Germany

- ⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA
- ⁶Laboratoire d'Astrophysique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Observatoire de Sauverny, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁷Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, LAM (Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille), UMR 7326, F-13388 Marseille, France

⁸Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, 85721, USA

⁹SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

¹⁰Service d'astrophysique, IRFU, CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹¹Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute of Uzbekistan Academy of Science, 33 Astronomicheskaya str., Tashkent, 100052, Uzbekistan

¹²Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, PO Box 23-141, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

¹³Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, D-14482 Potsdam, Germany

¹⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, 251B Clippinger Labs, Athens, OH 45701, USA

¹⁵Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, Department of Physics, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, NY 10003, USA

Accepted 2016 September 2. Received 2016 August 31; in original form 2016 March 8

ABSTRACT

SPIDERS (The SPectroscopic IDentification of eROSITA Sources) is a programme dedicated to the homogeneous and complete spectroscopic follow-up of X-ray active galactic nuclei and galaxy clusters over a large area (\sim 7500 deg²) of the extragalactic sky. SPIDERS is part of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS)-IV project, together with the Extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey and the Time-Domain Spectroscopic Survey. This paper describes the largest project within SPIDERS before the launch of *eROSITA*: an optical spectroscopic survey of X-ray-selected, massive ($\sim 10^{14} - 10^{15} M_{\odot}$) galaxy clusters discovered in *ROSAT* and *XMM*-*Newton* imaging. The immediate aim is to determine precise ($\Delta_7 \sim 0.001$) redshifts for 4000– 5000 of these systems out to $z \sim 0.6$. The scientific goal of the program is precision cosmology, using clusters as probes of large-scale structure in the expanding Universe. We present the cluster samples, target selection algorithms and observation strategies. We demonstrate the efficiency of selecting targets using a combination of SDSS imaging data, a robust red-sequence finder and a dedicated prioritization scheme. We describe a set of algorithms and workflow developed to collate spectra and assign cluster membership, and to deliver catalogues of spectroscopically confirmed clusters. We discuss the relevance of line-of-sight velocity dispersion estimators for the richer systems. We illustrate our techniques by constructing a catalogue of 230 spectroscopically validated clusters (0.031 < z < 0.658), found in pilot observations. We discuss two potential science applications of the SPIDERS sample: the study of the X-ray luminosity-velocity dispersion ($L_X - \sigma$) relation and the building of stacked phase-space diagrams.

Key words: catalogues – galaxies: clusters: general – cosmology: observations – X-rays: galaxies: clusters.

²Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

³Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2a, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

⁴Astrophys. Research Instit., Liverpool John Moores University, IC2, Liverpool Science Park, Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L5 3AF, UK

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the field of galaxy cluster surveys has been reenergized by the realization that a well-measured cluster population places strong independent constraints on cosmological models (e.g. Böhringer et al. 2004; Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Mantz et al. 2010; Weinberg et al. 2013). As the largest known bound objects, clusters can be used to simultaneously probe the cosmic expansion rate and the gravitational mechanisms responsible for the growth of structure in the Universe. The evolution of the galaxy cluster mass function across cosmological times and the distribution of clusters within the tri-dimensional large-scale structure are two key observables, since they are readily predicted by theoretical models and simulations.

Galaxy cluster cosmology studies start with constructing cluster samples. Fortunately, for observers, the hot baryonic gas trapped in galaxy clusters emits large amounts of X-ray photons, in great part due to bremsstrahlung processes. Extended X-ray objects are thus the signpost of deep potential wells, and their X-ray luminosity directly relates to the mass of the dark matter halo in which they reside. Therefore, large surveys of the sky at the high-energy end of the electromagnetic spectrum (i.e. X-ray) permit a complete census of clusters covering a wide range of masses and redshifts, and survey data themselves can provide estimates of the mass of these objects. This is why large surveys in the X-ray wavelengths aimed at constraining cosmology with galaxy clusters have been developed since the early years of X-ray astronomy (Jones & Forman 1984; Henry & Arnaud 1991; Bahcall & Cen 1993), with a notable stepchange brought about by the ROSAT all-sky survey (RASS; Ebeling et al. 2000; Ikebe et al. 2002; Reiprich & Böhringer 2002; Schuecker et al. 2003) and ROSAT serendipitous surveys (Rosati et al. 1998; Romer et al. 2000; Burke et al. 2003; Burenin et al. 2007) and the support of Chandra and XMM-Newton (Pacaud et al. 2006; Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Finoguenov et al. 2010; Mantz et al. 2010; Clerc et al. 2014; Pierre et al. 2016). The next major advance in the field will be offered by eROSITA (extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array; Predehl et al. 2014) which will survey the entire sky in the 0.3-10 keV energy range at depths 10-30 times deeper than ROSAT. The combination of eROSITA's field of view, angular resolution and sensitivity will lead to the detection of $\sim 100\,000$ galaxy clusters down to [0.5–2] keV fluxes of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² and up to redshifts of unity and beyond (see Merloni et al. 2012; Borm et al. 2014).

However, X-ray observations alone, in general, are not sufficient to fully assess the nature of the emitting sources and, most importantly, to determine their redshifts. Therefore, optical observations play a critical role in complementing surveys of galaxy clusters in X-rays. Whilst multifilter optical imaging proves efficient at detecting and characterizing galaxy clusters - notably through their ubiquitous red sequence (e.g. Gladders & Yee 2000; Rykoff et al. 2014) - ultimate confirmation of a galaxy cluster is achieved by optical spectroscopy. Spectroscopic observations of cluster members can be used to disentangle projection effects and substructures from real concentrations, and they also provide the precise redshift of the halo, and therefore lead to precise luminosities and masses once they are combined with X-ray measurements. Obtaining spectroscopic redshifts for galaxy cluster members is recognized as a major bottleneck in X-ray cluster surveys, because of the double need for deep imaging data to select targets, and the deep spectroscopic exposures necessary for redshift determination.

The SPIDERS (SPectroscopic IDentification of *eROSITA* Sources) cluster program is specifically designed to overcome this bottleneck. It relies on the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) spectrograph mounted on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS)-2.5m telescope at Apache Point Observatory (Gunn et al. 2006) to follow up galaxies detected in the large area of extragalactic sky imaged in *ugriz* filters by the same telescope. The SDSS/BOSS instrumentation and infrastructure are used in combination with most recent techniques in finding X-ray galaxy clusters and their photometric members, in order to perform an unprecedentedly wide spectroscopic survey of X-ray galaxy clusters. Advanced techniques used in this work include the wavelet filtering of X-ray maps, and the use of a series of matched filters to look for redsequence galaxies in a multivariate optical parameter space (colour, position and magnitude).

This paper (one of a series of SDSS-IV technical papers), describes the targeting and analysis steps leading to the construction of a large, spectroscopically validated sample of X-ray-selected galaxy clusters within SPIDERS. In the preparation phase for *eROSITA*, these samples are drawn from *ROSAT* and *XMM* data. Throughout this paper, we use a small pilot survey dubbed SEQUELS (Sloan Extended Quasar, ELG, and LRG Survey, a precursor to the main SPIDERS/eBOSS/Time-Domain Spectroscopic Survey, TDSS program) to illustrate the efficacy of our targeting and analysis approach. We present the first scientific results from the SPIDERS cluster program.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the general presentation of the SPIDERS cluster survey and the samples of X-ray clusters it is based upon. The selection of targets for the shallower, pre-*eROSITA* phase of the survey is described in Section 3, along with forecasts regarding the outcome of the observations. In Section 4, we depict the steps envisaged to transform observations into science-oriented catalogues. We emphasize that these methods are subject to improvements in the course of the survey. In Section 5, we present some examples for the science exploitation of the SPIDERS program, using the validated sample of clusters from the SEQUELS pilot survey, that we compare to existing cluster catalogues in Section 6. We conclude in Section 7.

Unless otherwise stated, we assume a flat Λ cold dark matter cosmological model with $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 100 h$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ with h = 0.7. We define $L_C \equiv L_X/E(z)$, with $E(z) = H(z)/H_0$ and L_X the [0.1–2.4] keV luminosity of a cluster.

2 THE SPIDERS CLUSTER PROGRAM

2.1 General description

SPIDERS is an observational program, part of the SDSS-IV project (Blanton et al. 2017). The primary goal of SPIDERS is to obtain homogeneous and complete spectroscopic follow-up of extragalactic sources, both point-like and extended, using data from X-ray satellites and over the SDSS imaging footprint. Given the nature of these sources, SPIDERS naturally splits into two main components, i.e. an active galactic nuclei (AGN) program and a cluster program. The SPIDERS AGN targeting strategy is described in Dwelly et al. (in preparation), and will collect ~50 000 spectra of *ROSAT*, *XMM* and *eROSITA* X-ray AGN. A pilot study for the SPIDERS AGN survey, based around the BOSS follow-up of X-ray-selected AGN in the *XMM–Newton XMM–*XXL field, is presented in Menzel et al. (2016).

This paper describes the targeting of X-ray-extended sources identified as galaxy cluster candidates. The driving goals of the program are the confirmation of those candidates and the assignment of a precise redshift. This, in turn, leads to the determination



Figure 1. Location of the survey in equatorial coordinates. The blue line is the perimeter of the BOSS optical imaging area within which the eBOSS survey lies. Various distinct regions of sky (chunks) are tiled separately: in this figure, chunks eboss 1-5, 9 and 16 are displayed, approximating the area expected to be covered after 2 yr of eBOSS/SPIDERS/TDSS survey operations. Each spectroscopic plate is represented by a circle of diameter $\sim 3 \text{ deg}$. The black dashed line indicates the boundary between the eastern and western Galactic hemispheres and delimits the German and Russian halves of the *eROSITA* sky.

of precise absolute cluster parameters (including X-ray luminosity and mass). A number of important secondary goals include the estimation of cluster dynamical masses (via line-of-sight velocity dispersion measurements), the study of the physical interplay between massive dark matter haloes, the hot baryonic gas they host, and the galaxies that live therein. From a technical point of view, the SPIDERS cluster program represents a novel approach to galaxy cluster spectroscopic follow-up: the large data volume involved (several thousands of galaxy clusters) demands innovative targeting and analysis strategies. Considering that the ultimate goal envisaged by the program is precision cosmology using galaxy clusters as tracers of the large-scale structure, we require that all the procedures involved must undergo careful control and validation.

SPIDERS will follow-up X-ray-extended sources detected in eROSITA data in the final years of SDSS-IV. Prior to eROSITA's launch, galaxy clusters identified in the shallower RASS and sparser XMM-Newton data will constitute the bulk of the SPIDERS program. There will be an incremental increase in X-ray sensitivity brought about by each of eROSITA's sky surveys, which will start to be accumulated after the start of SDSS-IV. Therefore, SPIDERS is planned in three tiers. Tier 0, the shallowest tier, relies mainly on ROSAT data. When successive eROSITA catalogues become available (following the cadence of one deeper X-ray catalogue every six months, going from eRASS:1 to eRASS:8), sources in the North Galactic Cap within the German *eROSITA* sky (180 < l < 360) will be added to the pool of targets. The planned launch date of eROSITA means that Tier 1 and Tier 2 will most likely correspond to eRASS depths 2 and 4, respectively. Fig. 1 shows the layout of the surveys in equatorial coordinates. The area covered in Tier 0 corresponds to the entire eBOSS footprint not covered in Tiers 1 and 2, i.e. $>5200 \text{ deg}^2$, while Tier 1 and 2 will lie to the south of the eROSITA-DE boundary (the black dashed curve in this figure), with exact footprints dependent on the launch date.

We detail in the following subsections the samples of galaxy clusters followed-up by SPIDERS in Tier 0, along with expectations regarding the deeper tiers, when *eROSITA* is available.

2.2 SPIDERS Tier 0: CODEX and XCLASS

Prior to the delivery of first cluster catalogues from *eROSITA*, SPIDERS ensures follow-up of galaxy clusters discovered in the RASS and in *XMM* archival data. The two relevant cluster samples are the COnstrain Dark Energy with X-ray clusters (CODEX; Finoguenov et al., in preparation) and the XMM CLuster Archive Super Survey (XCLASS)-RedMapper catalogue (Clerc et al. 2012; Sadibekova et al. 2014), respectively. Both are based on X-ray detections of galaxy clusters, yet they differ in their characteristics and their construction. Since they conveniently encompass the range of X-ray properties expected from *eROSITA* clusters, they show a particular interest in view of preparing the *eROSITA* survey.

We provide details on their construction in the following paragraphs, and Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of both samples. Note, in particular, that the same red-sequence finder was run for both samples.

2.2.1 The CODEX subsample

CODEX (Finoguenov et al., in preparation) is an extensive search for galaxy clusters in *ROSAT* data, based on the association of RASS photon overdensities to red-sequence galaxies identified in SDSS. It covers the entire SPIDERS/eBOSS footprint and these detections are expected to show as the brightest, best characterized, cluster sources in future *eROSITA* data. This study provides Table 1. Characteristics of the two samples of X-ray clusters followed up by SPIDERS prior to the launch of eROSITA.

	CODEX	XCLASS-RedMapper
Number of clusters in SDSS DR8 footprint	10 415	278
Sky distribution	Full SDSS area	Spatially scattered
Average candidate density (deg^{-2})	0.8	3–4 ^{<i>a</i>}
Maximal redshift	~ 0.6	~ 0.6
Minimal richness	$10(3^b)$	5
X-ray data origin	RASS faint sources	XMM-Newton archival data
X-ray selection	4σ above background	C1 selection (extended sources)
Limiting flux in X-rays (0.5–2 keV, units erg s cm^{-2})	$\sim 10^{-13}$	$\sim 10^{-14}$
X-ray positional accuracy	\sim 3 arcmin	$\leq 10 \operatorname{arcsec}$
X-ray spatial resolution	$\sim 100 \text{ arcsec}$	$\sim 10-20$ arcsec
X-ray energy resolution ($\Delta E @ 1 \text{ keV}$)	$\sim \!\! 450 \ \mathrm{eV}$	$\sim \! 100 \text{ eV}$
Red-sequence finder	RedMaPper v.5.2	RedMaPper v.5.2
Optical search	Around each X-ray source	Independent from X-ray sources
Optical/X-ray association	Richness cut versus chance identification	Angular distance criterion + visual checks

Notes. ^{*a*} calculated over the area overlapping *XMM–Newton* observations analysed in XCLASS. ^{*b*} only for SEQUELS pilot area, see Section 5.



Figure 2. *ROSAT* all-sky survey (RASS) sensitivity in the CODEX footprint (north galactic cap). Colour bar indicates the limiting flux in the [0.5–2] keV band, from red $(10^{-13} \text{ erg s cm}^{-2})$ to black (8 × 10⁻¹³ erg s cm⁻²).

the only spectroscopically complete CODEX catalogue down to low-richness values. As such, this paper is the first in a series of CODEX catalogue papers. The sample construction is fully detailed in Finoguenov et al. (in preparation); we briefly summarize here the steps leading to the list of cluster candidates.

As a first step, RASS data are searched for faint sources using a wavelet-based detection algorithm. The detection threshold is set to 4σ . Sensitivity maps (as in Fig. 2) are created as by-products and help in assessing the completeness of the sample. On average, the 90 per cent completeness level is achieved for a source delivering eight X-ray counts, while the 10 per cent completeness level is reached for sources delivering four counts. Given these sensitivity estimates, the number of spurious sources is estimated between 500 and 1000 across the entire CODEX area and the number of



Figure 3. Cumulative mass distribution of galaxy clusters (per unit area) in the SPIDERS survey, split into three different tiers. Tier 0 stands for CODEX clusters only. Also shown is the cumulative mass function of all haloes with mass above $10^{12.5} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and the mass distribution of *eROSITA* clusters at the end of the 4-yr survey (eRASS:8, not part of SPIDERS). The flux limits assumed are taken from Merloni et al. (2012).

X-ray AGN amounts to around 20 000. The RedMapper algorithm (Rykoff et al. 2014) looks in SDSS imaging data (Data Release 8) for galaxies with similar colours around each faint RASS source, i.e. for a red sequence formed by passive galaxies at the same redshift. This provides, in turn, an estimate for the photometric redshift of the cluster (based on the colours of the galaxies) and an optimized richness estimator. The counterpart having the highest richness is listed for each RASS X-ray source. Given the uncertain position of RASS detections, the red-sequence algorithm is then run to optimally find the cluster centre. The constraint on the centre position is relaxed, to be within 3 arcmin from the X-ray position.¹ The newly found red sequence is used to provide a fresh estimate for the cluster photometric redshift and richness (optical or 'OPT'

¹ The mean and 95th percentile of the RASS faint point-source 1σ positional uncertainty are ~20 and ~35 arcsec, respectively.



Figure 4. The distribution of SPIDERS cluster candidates in the redshift–Xray luminosity plane. Grey points represent CODEX clusters with a richness above 10, i.e. the main pool of targets in SPIDERS. When matched in position to an MCXC cluster, their location is taken from the MCXC metacatalogue (Piffaretti et al. 2011) and marked by a darker point. Otherwise, their redshift corresponds to z_{λ} , as estimated from SDSS photometry, with typical uncertainty $\Delta_z/(1 + z) \sim 0.01-0.02$; their rest-frame [0.1–2.4] keV luminosity derives from the *ROSAT* flux using z_{λ} . Blue points are the 230 CODEX clusters confirmed in the SEQUELS-DR12 demonstration sample (Section 2.4) with a spectroscopic redshift (typical $\Delta_z/(1 + z) \sim 0.001$). 24 MCXC clusters lie within the SPIDERS pilot footprint (Fig. 27). ABELL 1361 is within a masked area of the CODEX survey, hence, the absence of a match despite its remarkable X-ray brightness.

quantities: $z_{\lambda, \text{ OPT}}$, λ_{OPT} , etc.) In the final step, X-ray properties based on the RASS count rate and the RedMapper redshift are calculated in optimized apertures (imposing a minimal signal-tonoise threshold of 1.6), assuming a model for the X-ray spectral emissivity. Among them stand the aperture-corrected cluster flux f_X and [0.1–2.4] keV luminosities L_X (see Figs 4 and 5).

The average number density of CODEX sources over the BOSS imaging footprint is 0.8 deg⁻² (for candidates with richness² $\lambda_{OPT} \ge 10$). Adding those with lower richness brings this number up to 1.0 deg⁻². However, due to spatial fluctuations in the RASS depth, these numbers vary as a function of sky position.

2.2.2 The XCLASS-RedMapper subsample

XCLASS (Clerc et al. 2012) is a search for galaxy clusters detected in the *XMM–Newton* archive, based on a robust cluster detection algorithm, developed in the context of the *XMM–LSS* (e.g. Pacaud et al. 2006; Clerc et al. 2014) and *XMM–XXL* (Pierre et al. 2016) surveys. Extensive simulations of *XMM* observations, including realistic instrumental effects and astrophysical source populations, support the construction of a pure sample of extended objects in [0.5–2] keV *XMM* images (the 'C1' selection; Pacaud et al. 2006). Visual screening removes nearby galaxies and detector artefacts, leading to the final catalogue of XCLASS galaxy cluster candidates.



Figure 5. The distribution of SPIDERS confirmed clusters in the redshift– X-ray luminosity plane. Similarly as in Fig. 4, grey dots represent the main pool of targets in SPIDERS. Red and blue points are the 230 CODEX clusters confirmed in the SEQUELS-DR12 demonstration sample. The three XCLASS clusters validated as part of the demonstration sample are displayed as green squares. Two low-richness CODEX clusters labelled '(C)' are suffering from contamination by a point-source in RASS data, not necessarily linked to the system, and artificially boosting the X-ray luminosity measurement.

The L4SDB³ data base stores validated detections, along with other useful information related to the X-ray sources (redshifts, flux measurements, etc.) The XCLASS surveyed area amounts to ~90 deg² but due to its very nature, it is scattered across the extragalactic sky ($|b_{galactic}| > 20^\circ$). All analysed *XMM* observations were deliberately shrunk to 10 ks depths (exactly) so as to provide a survey as uniform as possible in sensitivity.

Sadibekova et al. (2014) performed the correlation of XCLASS C1 sources with the RedMapper optical cluster catalogue in the regions where the two surveys overlap. A major difference with the CODEX sample consists in very reliable cluster X-ray positions (the positional uncertainty amounts to a few arcsec rms), and the secure extended nature of the X-ray detections. Similarly to CODEX, the RedMapper algorithm provides an estimate for the photometric redshift and the optical richness (λ_{XC}) of the clusters. The SPI-DERS sample contains 238 XCLASS clusters securely matched to a RedMapper candidate: i.e. $\lambda_{XC} > 20$ and a correlation radius $r_{corr} \leq 3 \operatorname{arcmin}$, or ($5 < \lambda_{XC} < 20$) and $r_{corr} \leq 1 \operatorname{arcmin}$. We further added a group of 40 less securely matched sources, having ($5 < \lambda_{XC} < 20$) and 1 arcmin $\leq r_{corr} \leq 3 \operatorname{arcmin}$.

The total number of XCLASS-RedMapper sources across the full SDSS imaging footprint amounts to 278, 84 of them are in common with the CODEX subsample described earlier. Since they are irregularly distributed on sky, their sky density is quoted over the common overlap area between *XMM* observations and the imaging footprint and amounts to $3-4 \text{ deg}^{-2}$.

2.3 eROSITA survey: eRASS samples

Although this paper focuses mainly on the targeting of Tier 0 samples, namely CODEX and XCLASS, we forecast our target budget

² By 'richness' we will refer to the RedMapper richness estimator (Rykoff et al. 2014). It correlates with the total cluster mass and equals the sum of the membership probabilities p_{mem} of galaxies within a given system.

³ http://xmm-lss.in2p3.fr:8080/l4sdb/



Figure 6. SDSS-*gri* composite images of two SPIDERS clusters with X-ray contours overlaid. Left: CODEX cluster (Id: 2_2338, known as ABELL 661) at RA = $8^{h}27^{m}15^{s}5$, Dec. = $+53^{\circ}8^{m}53^{s}$ and z = 0.121, the contours correspond to *ROSAT* all-sky survey [0.1–2.4] keV smoothed image. Right: bright XCLASS cluster (Id: XC 0062; RX J0256.5+0006 in Romer et al. 2000) at RA = $2^{h}56^{m}30.8s$, Dec. = $+0^{\circ}6^{m}3^{s}$ and $z_{phot} = 0.37$ (the SPIDERS-determined redshift of XC 0062 will be published at completion of the survey). The contours correspond to *XMM*–*Newton* [0.5–2] keV smoothed image. In both panels, north is up and east is left, the cyan cross indicates the position of the original X-ray detection, the red circle the position of the optical centre. Point-like sources are easily distinguishable in *XMM* data (small-scale overdensities in the right-hand panel).

for the future Tiers 1 and 2 in SPIDERS. These forecasts are based on pre-launch assumptions as for the amount and nature of eROSITA clusters (Merloni et al. 2012). A simple model, subject to the current uncertainty concerning the in-flight performances of the instrument and the actual physics of the population it will uncover, helps in deriving rough numbers and adjusting the targeting strategy. We modelled the galaxy cluster mass distribution using Tinker et al. (2008) halo mass function and converted masses (M_{200c}) to X-ray temperatures and luminosities using scaling relations. The eROSITA selection is modelled with a lower cut in soft-band flux, representative of the selection relevant to each tier ($f_{\text{lim}} = 1.2 \text{ and } 0.8 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² for Tier 1 and 2, respectively). Integrating the resulting filtered mass distribution provided the curves shown in Fig. 3. The galaxy population within clusters was simulated by means of galaxy luminosity functions parametrized as a function of cluster mass and redshift (Popesso et al. 2005; Hansen et al. 2009). We folded a spectral energy distribution template representative of passive galaxies (Maraston et al. 2009) into the SDSS filter set. Flux losses due to the finite 2 arcsec fibre aperture were accounted for by assuming a size-magnitude relation (Bernardi et al. 2007) and a typical 1.4 arcsec seeing (see details in Zhang et al. 2016). We then applied a photometric selection 17 < i(2 arcsec) < 21.2 representtative of the SPIDERS target selection (see Section 3) and excluded galaxies whose photometric properties correspond to BOSS galaxy targets (the LOWZ and CMASS selections; Bolton et al. 2012). Finally, a cluster radius-dependent sampling factor was set to account for fibre collisions. The resulting redshift distribution of targetable galaxies not already targeted in BOSS is shown in Fig. 7, along with the densities of targets for each layer of the eROSITA survey. These numbers are indicative and are refined within the Tier 0 phase of SPIDERS.

Based on those calculations, SPIDERS (in its Tier 1 and 2 phases) will confirm 90 per cent of $z \leq 0.6$ *eROSITA* clusters by obtaining (at least) three spectroscopic redshifts per system (including those known from previous SDSS observations.) SPIDERS will also raise



Figure 7. Redshift distribution of all targetable galaxies in the *eROSITA* era of the SPIDERS survey. It includes a model for the cluster number density combined to galaxy luminosity functions and roughly accounts for the loss of flux in fibres and their maximal spacing. These numbers are indicative and need refinement in the course of the Tier 0 phase of the survey.

the number of spectroscopic members per cluster virial radius to 10 for 50 per cent of the z < 0.5 clusters and to 20 for 20 per cent of the z < 0.5 clusters.

2.4 The SEQUELS pilot program

SEQUELS (Alam et al. 2015, their appendix A.3) is an ancillary program part of BOSS (SDSS Data Release 12, DR12) and served as a pilot survey for the eBOSS, SPIDERS and TDSS programs in SDSS-IV (Dawson et al. 2016). Its initial footprint consists in the rectangle ($120 \le RA \le 210$) and ($45 \le Dec. \le 60$). Only 300 deg² of this area were observed (corresponding to 66 plates) as part of DR12 (Alam et al. 2015, and Fig. 1). As a preparation for the SDSS-IV SPIDERS cluster follow-up program, SEQUELS contains a number of targets assigned to SPIDERS clusters. While the parent cluster samples are the same as for SPIDERS Tier 0 (i.e. CODEX and XCLASS), the target selection slightly differs and it is in general broader in SEQUELS (see Section 3). Throughout this work, we illustrate our envisaged analysis procedures with results extracted from the pilot SPIDERS program in SEQUELS DR12. Note that 51 SEQUELS plates (about 166 deg²) are observed in the course of the eBOSS survey (post-DR12) and therefore the targeting strategy for those slightly differs from the main SPIDERS survey. These objects are not considered in the following 'pilot sample'.

3 TARGETING STRATEGY

This section details the steps followed in preparing the target lists in the first phase of SPIDERS (Tier 0). The main difference with respect to conventional multi-object spectroscopic observations of galaxy clusters, consists in an ensemble treatment of the entire pool of targets. Because the exact set of targets is only known after the eBOSS tiling algorithm has run (Dawson et al. 2016) and accommodated for the various target classes within eBOSS, we worked out a scheme for assigning priorities to potential targets, aimed at optimizing the primary science goal, namely the number of spectroscopically confirmed clusters.

3.1 Target selection and prioritization

3.1.1 The CODEX and XCLASS red sequences

To each galaxy cluster candidate, we attach a list of potential member galaxies detected over the SDSS imaging data, which form the likely red sequence of a cluster in the SDSS passbands. Specifically, the RedMaPper algorithm assigns to each galaxy near a cluster a probability $p_{mem} \in [0, 1]$ (Rykoff et al. 2014) that it actually is a cluster member, based on its magnitude, colours and position relative to the cluster centre. This allows us to rank galaxies by membership probability within each cluster, down to $p_{mem} = 0.05$, a ranking that we convert in terms of targeting priority, as described in the following for CODEX and XCLASS targets.

3.1.2 CODEX clusters

The entire CODEX red-sequence member catalogue comprises 312 564 objects over the entire BOSS footprint. Among them, 3797 formally belong to two or more parent clusters: however, since the membership catalogue includes objects down to membership probability $p_{mem} = 5$ per cent, this amount is not necessarily indicative of projection effects: it actually includes galaxies with low probability in one of their parent clusters, as well as galaxies that belong to several clusters on valid physical grounds (mergers).

In order to maximize the redshift determination efficiency of the targets (e.g. Bolton et al. 2012), only red-sequence candidates with 17.0 < FIBER2MAG_I < 21.2 are considered for targeting. The algorithm starts with the richest cluster in the sample (as defined by λ_{OPT}) and iteratively proceeds by decreasing richness. It assigns to each member an integer TARGETSELECTED indicating its rank in the red sequence. Members with a spectroscopic redshift determined from past SDSS/BOSS observations, with values satisfying SPECPRIMARY == 1 and ZWARNING == 0 were identified and removed from the initial list. Targets already assigned a rank within a higher richness cluster keep the TARGETSELECTED flag they were assigned previously.



Figure 8. Scheme applied to assign a priority flag to red-sequence members in a cluster depending on its richness λ_{OPT} (dotted line is the one-to-one relation). Members in rich clusters (lower curve, plain black) are assigned lower priority flags, ensuring a highest fraction of targeted objects. The red dashed line stands for very poor clusters ($\lambda_{OPT} < 10$). These candidates are not included in SPIDERS but considered in the SEQUELS Selection (Section 3).

The priority flag for each target is computed based on a combination of TARGETSELECTED and the cluster richness λ_{OPT} . Fig. 8 displays the relation between the priority flag and the galaxy rank in the red sequence, as a function of cluster richness. A low-priority flag indicates high targeting priority. We ensured that the three highest probability objects in the red sequence are prioritized regardless of the cluster richness, in order to maximize the number of confirmed clusters in the sample. This simple scheme ensures higher prioritization of rich clusters and galaxies relevant to cluster confirmation. A last step consists in applying a hard cut to the priority flag at the value of 80, except in the eboss3 chunk where this threshold is set at 33 (see Appendix A). This change is motivated by the highest density of RASS-faint sources in this area, which lies close to the deep polar region of the X-ray survey.

3.1.3 XCLASS-RedMapper clusters

XCLASS-RedMapper clusters are targeted in a way very similar to CODEX clusters, with two exceptions: no cluster richnessbased selection is applied; and the conversion from TARGETSE-LECTED to the actual priority flag is computed regardless of the richness and follows the lowest (plain black) curve in Fig. 8, i.e. all XCLASS clusters are treated equivalently to rich CODEX clusters. These two differences stem from the secure galaxy cluster nature of these objects (bona fide extended sources in X-ray, compare both panels of Fig. 6) and ensure higher internal prioritization of the overall less numerous XCLASS targets in the SPIDERS survey.

3.2 Tiling forecasts

The pool of targets along with the priority flag is submitted to the eBOSS tiling algorithm. Given their relative sparsity (less than 10 deg^{-2}), and because the high-level requirement for SPIDERS is a high completeness level in spectroscopic confirmation of clusters, SPIDERS cluster targets are assigned first among other eBOSS targets.

Fig. 9 shows the expected number of spectroscopic redshifts of red-sequence galaxies per CODEX cluster in the eboss1 chunk,



Figure 9. Number of clusters that will have more than *n* spectroscopic members in their red sequence after full observation of the eboss1 chunk. The dot-dashed curve shows the situation prior to the start of SPIDERS (with a median of 2 spectroscopic redshifts per cluster), while the solid red curve is a forecast based on eBOSS tiling results (median of 10 spectroscopic redshifts per cluster, including possible interlopers). These median numbers change from 2 to 4 when considering only high-redshift candidates (thin lines, as compared to thick lines representing objects at all redshifts).



Figure 10. Redshift distribution of SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS target in all clusters in chunk eboss1 (656 systems) and chunk eboss3 (943 systems). Targets submitted to the fibre allocation algorithm are shown with a black line, tiled targets with a blue line. The redshift distribution of red-sequence members with a redshift prior to SPIDERS observations is shown as a red dashed line.

based on the plate tiling. Fig. A1 shows the equivalent for the eboss3 chunk, which is obtained with a lower priority threshold due to the increased depth of RASS in this area of sky (Appendix A). Within the eboss1 area, the number of spectroscopic redshifts in the red sequence increases from 2 (median) prior to observations to about 10 (median) after observation, while in the eboss3 area this number amounts to 8. Fig. 10 shows the photometric redshift distribution of SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets in both chunks, i.e. the photometric redshift of the galaxy cluster they are attached to. Noticeably, SPIDERS will increase the fraction of cluster member with redshift up to $z \sim 0.6$. The deeper X-ray data in chunk eboss3 enable the use of spectroscopic targets for targeting more distant clusters.

Fig. 11 shows (for the eboss1 chunk) the pairwise separation between targets submitted to the eBOSS tiling algorithm, and the



Figure 11. Histograms of pairwise separations (2 arcsec bins) between SPIDERS-submitted targets (black curve) and between tiled targets in SPIDERS clusters (lower curve), the top panel shows the target allocation completeness. The inset shows a zoom over the first \sim 80 arcsec and the dashed vertical line indicates the eBOSS fibre collision radius (62 arcsec).

separation between cluster targets that will be assigned a fibre. Targets closer than the fibre collision radius are too close to each other (collided targets) and cannot be observed on a single plate. This explains the jump in the histogram of tiled targets at 62 arcsec separation. Multiple plate overlaps resolve a fraction of those collisions and enable access to smaller separations, hence a non-zero completeness for the collided set of SPIDERS targets. Fig. 12 shows the distribution of targets relative to their parent cluster centre. A substantial fraction of central galaxies already have a redshift determined from previous observations, and SPIDERS will observe almost all remaining cluster central galaxies. Because of the fibre collisions, a noticeable dip in completeness is expected at cluster distances \lesssim 1 arcmin, and the completeness increases with increasing radial distance.

3.3 Illustration: the SEQUELS-DR12 pilot

A total of 918 CODEX and 28 XCLASS-RedMapper clusters lie within the rectangle ($120 \le RA \le 210$) and ($45 \le Dec. \le 60$), being the target selection area for SEQUELS (Section 3.3). The selection of galaxy cluster candidates in the SEQUELS pilot survey slightly differs from the main SPIDERS selection. All CODEX clusters have been considered regardless of their richness, and a richness cut $\lambda_{XC} \ge 20$ has been applied to select XCLASS-RedMapper clusters. The galaxy targeting strategy in SEQUELS is similar to, but not identical to, the final SPIDERS targeting algorithm. The two differences are:

(i) a cut in FIBER2MAG_I set at 21.0 instead of 21.2,

(ii) a target list being trimmed at a priority \leq 50 instead of \leq 80 (\leq 33 in the case of eboss3 chunk)

We show in Fig. 13 the sky distribution of CODEX clusters in the SEQUELS area. Those having at least one new redshift from SE-QUELS observations are shown with colours. Within SDSS DR12, 230 of them are completely observed (i.e. all tiled targets have been acquired) and 121 are pending completion. Throughout this paper,



Figure 12. Sky distribution of SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets in all clusters in chunk eboss1 (656 systems), displayed relative to the cluster 'optical' centre (RA_OPT, DEC_OPT) and split in two photometric redshift bins (upper and lower row). The left-hand panel shows the distribution of submitted (black), tiled (blue) targets and those with a redshift known prior SPIDERS (red). The right-hand panel shows those distributions as a function of distance to the cluster centre. 'Would have z' corresponds to the sum of submitted and known-redshift targets. 'Will have z' corresponds to the sum of tiled and known-redshift targets.



Figure 13. Sky distribution of SPIDERS/CODEX clusters in the SEQUELS pilot area. Each point is one CODEX cluster (918 objects, no cut on richness). Coloured points correspond to clusters within (at least) one SEQUELS-DR12 plate. Blue are 'fully observed' clusters (230/918): the number of obtained SEQUELS-DR12 spectra equals the number of tiled targets. Red are 'partially observed' (121/918) and missing redshifts will be obtained in the course of the SPIDERS survey.

we will illustrate the procedure envisaged to build the SPIDERS cluster catalogue using this sample of 351 galaxy clusters in the frame of DR12. As shown in Figs 3 and 4, this illustration sample is representative of the complete SPIDERS sample.

4 ANALYSIS STEPS: FROM SPIDERS SPECTRA TO CLUSTER PROPERTIES

SPIDERS observations deliver spectra of sources identified as redsequence members in CODEX and XCLASS X-ray clusters. This section describes the steps needed to reach the primary goals of SPIDERS, namely confirmation and redshift determination of Xray-selected galaxy clusters. This procedure is illustrated throughout this section with results from the SEQUELS-DR12 sample of CODEX candidate clusters, made of 351 objects in total. The algorithms presented here are prototypical and adapted to this illustration sample. They will benefit from developments in the course of the SPIDERS survey.

4.1 Data reduction

SEQUELS-DR12 data are processed identically as in BOSS, namely using the idlspec2d routines (Dawson et al. 2016). Redshifts and classifications of sources are obtained after fitting a set of templates to the reduced spectra (Bolton et al. 2012). Fits excluding quasar templates ('_NOQSO' values) provide reliable redshifts for targets known to be galaxies. The final SPIDERS data reduction and spectral classification will rely on the eBOSS improved pipeline



Figure 14. 12 arcmin × 12 arcmin (g, r, i) composite image of CODEX 1_4601 at RA = 12^h22^m05^s3, Dec. = +45°18^m36^s, $z_{\lambda, OPT} = 0.27$, $\lambda_{OPT} = 47.2$, as observed and confirmed by SPIDERS at $z_{spec} = 0.2630 \pm 0.0009$ (see Fig. 16). The 2 arcmin large cyan circle is centred on the cluster 'optical' centre. The original X-ray position is materialized by the thick blue cross (~1 arcmin to the north-west). Cyan circles indicate red-sequence members, numbers below correspond to their fiber2mag_i magnitudes and membership probability p_{mem} . Gold circles are SEQUELS-submitted targets, the number in parenthesis is the priority (1 stands for SEQUELS AGN, SPIDERS_RASS_AGN). Orange boxes are SDSS spectroscopic redshifts. Text above indicates the best-fit type (GAL, QSO, STA for galaxy, quasar and star templates) with associated Z/ZWARNING. The 'NOQSO' fits are preferred when available (i.e. for all SDSS–BOSS data). Green boxes are targets with the EBOSS_TARGET0 bit set to 21, i.e. SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets. Tiled targets in SEQUELS appear as magenta triangles. The large yellow circle shows the $R_{200c} = 1.3$ Mpc radius of the cluster as derived from X-ray data.

developments and be backward compatible with previous BOSS data.

4.2 Spectra and redshift collection

Conversely to other target classes in BOSS and eBOSS, each SPI-DERS cluster is a collection of spectroscopic targets (potential cluster members), instead of a proper target itself. Redshifts are collected in the vicinity of a (candidate) cluster, and listed while keeping track of relevant associated information (magnitude, photometric and spectroscopic flags, etc.)

Figs 14 and 15 display 3-colour *gri* images of a CODEX cluster observed and confirmed in SEQUELS-DR12. The overlays correspond to: the CODEX cluster catalogue (large cyan circle),



Figure 15. Zoom over the central part of Fig. 14, centred on the cluster 'optical' position. The large cyan circle has a radius 2 arcmin (see the legend of Fig. 14 for explanations on symbols.)

the CODEX cluster photometric member catalogue (red-sequence members, small cyan circles), the list of submitted targets (gold circles), the list of tiled targets (magenta triangles) and spectroscopic redshifts from SDSS (red and orange squares, the latter correspond to SDSS data up to DR11). In case a target was observed multiple times over the course of the SDSS programs, the eBOSS SPECPRIMARY flag is considered and higher priority is given to higher signal-to-noise spectra. For the SEQUELS-DR12 sample that is used as an illustrative example in this paper, only galaxies identified as members of the cluster red sequence ($p_{mem} \ge 5$ per cent) are taken into account. Therefore, 'NOQSO' values are considered (i.e. Z_NOQSO, CLASS_NOQSO, ZWARNING_NOQSO), except in the case of redshifts whose origin is SDSS-I/II for which we use the standard Z, CLASS, ZWARNING values.

The final SPIDERS data collection procedure will improve on specific points, in particular, by investigating the benefits of including galaxies with a spectroscopic redshift excluded from the RedMapper red sequence (because of the colour selection, or cluster-centric distance cuts, etc.) While this may prove advantageous in confirming the cluster redshift, their selection is more heterogeneous and more difficult to track back.

4.3 Automatic membership

Most surveys of X-ray galaxy clusters rely on an ultimate validation by one or several trained astronomers based on spectroscopic redshifts of individual galaxies (e.g. Guzzo et al. 2009; Adami et al. 2011, for recent applications). This is needed in order to disentangle dubious cases, carefully inspect interlopers and members and classify the reliability of the cluster redshift. The limited manpower imposes limits on the large amount of galaxy clusters involved in SPIDERS that can be visually screened; however, this can be alleviated by running an automatic procedure in first place. This algorithm must be able to separate the secure and easy cases, only requiring quick eyeballing, from the more difficult ones demanding

Our procedure runs on each galaxy cluster individually, based on the list of red-sequence members associated with a spectroscopic redshift. The bi-weight average (Beers, Flynn & Gebhardt 1990) of those $N_{\text{zpsec},0}$ redshifts provides the starting point (first guess) of an iterative clipping procedure. It performs an initial rejection of members with velocities offsets greater than $5000 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (relative to this first guess mean redshift). The bi-weight average of the resulting $N_{zspec,1}$ potential members is computed. An estimate of the velocity dispersion (Beers et al. 1990) is also computed and results from the bi-weight variance (if $N_{zspec,1} \ge 15$) or the gapper estimator (if $N_{zspec,1} < 15$). Objects lying further away than three times the velocity dispersion from the average velocity are rejected (' 3σ clipping'). This procedure is iterated until convergence or stops after 10 steps. The remaining objects are called members $(N_{zspec,k} = N_{mem}, k \le 10)$. In the course of the iterative procedure described above, several cases may arise.

(i) $N_{zspec,0} < 3$: the cluster is left for visual inspection

(ii) $N_{zspec,1} = 0$, i.e. the initial 5000 km s⁻¹ clipping rejected all members: the procedure stops, a flag is issued. This may correspond to the case in which groups of galaxies are too far from each other in velocity space, for instance, in case of several distinct structures along the line of sight.

(iii) $0 < N_{zpsec, i} < 3$, i.e. only one or two members are left after *i* steps: the iteration process stops and returns the member list without estimating the mean nor the velocity dispersion.

(iv) $N_{zspec, i} = 0$, i.e. no member is left after *i* steps: a flag is issued indicating failure of the σ -clipping method.

(v) $N_{zspec, k} \ge 3$: the process successfully converges, a cluster redshift is estimated from the bi-weight average of the N_{mem} galaxies and the bi-weight variance (or gapper estimator if $N_{mem} < 15$) serves as an estimate for the velocity dispersion.

Fig. 16 provides a detailed illustration of the results output of the procedure in a successful case, extracted from the SEQUELS-DR12 sample. For this cluster, one of the 21 members of the red sequence with a spectroscopic redshift was flagged as an interloper, it has $p_{\rm mem} = 0.85$. The cluster spectroscopic and photometric redshifts are compatible within their 1 σ uncertainty. We note the presence of a Seyfert 1 galaxy located ~1 arcmin to the west of the cluster core, possibly contaminating the X-ray emission of the galaxy cluster (as hinted by the *ROSAT* soft X-ray contours). The rest-frame velocity of this object relative to the cluster redshift is above 4000 km s⁻¹, hence consistent with it not being included in the dynamical analysis of the cluster. We discuss and model X-ray AGN contamination later in this study (see Appendix C)

The automatic procedure delivers a redshift for 219 out of the 351 candidates with $N_{\rm mem} \ge 3$. We note that our choice for an initial 5000 km s⁻¹ rejection criterion is more inclusive than other studies relying instead on a lower threshold, usually 3000 km s⁻¹ (e.g.). We checked that changing to this value provides similar results, except in cases requiring human decision. For 194 systems, the final cluster redshifts agree within 10^{-3} relative difference. The other systems are complex or poor systems, either discarded or refined while performing the visual confirmation (as described in the next section).



Figure 16. Example diagnostic plots outcome of the automated membership procedure, for one particular cluster in the SEQUELS-DR12 sample (1_4601, $z_{\lambda, OPT} = 0.27$, $\lambda_{OPT} = 47.2$, see Figs 14 and 15). Top left – 'redshift/probability plot': offset of all red-sequence members with spectroscopic redshifts (21 objects, black diamonds) relative to the RedMapper photometric redshift ($z_{\lambda, OPT}$, applicable to all members). Error bars (often too small to be visible) are displayed for each data point. The *x*-axis shows ln (p_{mem}) the (logarithmic) probability of each red-sequence member, as computed by RedMapper. The photo-*z* uncertainty is represented by the two horizontal dotted lines. Blue squares are selected spectroscopic redshift value (bi-weight average) calculated with the spectro-members. Top right – 'sky location of members': All spectroscopic red-sequence members (21 objects) are displayed in a 12 arcmin × 12 arcmin projected map. Blue symbols are identified members. The centre of the map corresponds to the optical cluster centre. Bottom left – 'velocity-distance plot': this plot considers only identified members (20 objects). The cluster redshift (bi-weight average) is taken as a reference and velocity offsets are indicated on the *y*-axis. Error bars on the individual velocities are represented as vertical lines (invisible in this figure). The *x*-axis displays the projected distance to the cluster centre. Blue horizontal line shows the 0 offset, dashed lines show the velocity dispersion value ($\pm 1\sigma$). The colour code indicates blue/redshifted objects. The bi-weight average redshift ZBIWT and the bootstrap uncertainty (see the text). Bottom right – 'sky projection of spectroscopic members': similar as the above panel, but only for selected spectroscopic members and reproducing the colour code (blue/redshifted objects). Circles indicate projected physical distances to the cluster centre.

4.4 Manual steps and refinements

Validation of the galaxy cluster and final assessment of its redshift are achieved through visual screening of the outcome of the automatic procedure. This process should allow a number of refinements inaccessible to algorithms. In particular, the inspection of individual galaxy spectra may refine or discard the result of the eBOSS fitting algorithm, based on e.g. the knowledge of the cluster photometric redshift and the probability p_{mem} that the object belongs to the cluster. The object can therefore be added or removed from the list upon which the cluster validation is performed. Inclusion or removal of members as well as particular weights given to members (e.g. depending on their p_{mem} value, or in the case of a BCG) help in deciding the validation status and mean redshift of the cluster. Line-of-sight projection effects not disentangled by the photometric membership algorithm can also be identified and split into several components. Finally, a comment can be set by the inspector. We anticipate such inspection to be collaborative, final decisions should be taken based on the judgement of independent inspectors.

We illustrate the validation process with the SEQUELS-DR12 sample of 351 clusters. Since these clusters will be re-inspected within the complete SPIDERS Tier 0 survey with more redshifts, only one inspector participated in this exercise. Table 2 shows that a large fraction of the algorithm decisions are confirmed by visual

4502 *N. Clerc et al.*

Table 2. Result of the visual inspection of 351 CODEX cluster candidates in SEQUELS-DR12, split according to the outcome of the automatic algorithm. Note that only 230/351 candidates are completely observed within SEQUELS-DR12, i.e. all of their tiled targets have been acquired within the program, their statistics are indicated in parentheses. 'Non-validated' does not necessarily means non-existence of a cluster and may result from too low a number of spectra in the red sequence.

Autovalidation status:	Validated	Pending
Visual inspection status:		
(i) Single-component, validated	205 (119)	15 (11)
(ii) 2-component split	9(7)	1(1)
(iii) Non validated	5 (2)	86 (65)
(iv) No spec-z (non-validated)	_	30 (26)



Figure 17. Result of the visual inspection (see Table 2) as a function of the cluster spectroscopic redshift, shown with outputs from the automatic procedure (*x*-axis) and of the visual procedure (*y*-axis). Green points (and error bars) stand for clusters initially validated by the algorithm and confirmed by visual inspection (205). Blue triangles are cluster recovered by visual inspection (15) and orange triangles are systems split in two components (nine initially validated as single-component and one initially discarded). Red diamonds are candidates initially validated and discarded by visual inspection (5).

screening, while 10 candidates were split into multiple distinct components, 5 were discarded and 15 promoted. In 30 cases, no spectroscopic redshifts were found in the red sequence, leaving the cluster status as non-validated (these clusters are mostly high-redshift $z \gtrsim$ 0.6 candidates whose members are too faint to be spectroscopically observed). Fig. 17 displays the same result as a function of the automatic and final cluster spectroscopic redshift.

4.5 Redshift and velocity dispersion estimates

4.5.1 Cluster redshift estimates

Final cluster redshift estimate (hereafter z_{BIWT} , or simply z) is based on the bi-weight average (Beers et al. 1990) of all red-sequence galaxies selected as cluster members, in the cases where $N_{mem} = 3$ or more members are identified. Cases with one or two members only correspond to a redshift set manually, typically equal to that of the



Figure 18. Comparison of statistical uncertainties on the cluster spectroscopic redshift. The *x*-axis shows the bootstrap error (our baseline value throughout this work) while the *y*-axis shows the result from equation (1), involving an estimate of the standard deviation of velocities. The dashed line shows equality, dotted lines represent a factor of 2 between plotted quantities.

BCG. When $N_{\text{mem}} \ge 3$, the statistical uncertainty Δ_z of the cluster redshift is computed by bootstrap resampling of the N_{mem} velocities. Fig. 18 compares these uncertainties with a more common estimator (see e.g. Ruel et al. 2014, their equation 4), involving the standard deviation of velocities (σ):

$$\Delta_z(\text{standard}) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\sigma \times (1+z)}{\sqrt{N_{\text{mem}}}},\tag{1}$$

with σ given by the bi-weight variance estimator if $N_{\text{mem}} \ge 15$ and by the gapper estimator otherwise (see Section 4.5).

The two estimates are in good agreement with each other. In almost all cases, the bootstrap technique provides slightly more conservative uncertainty estimates than the standard one and we consider the former as our baseline redshift error.

The typical cluster redshift statistical uncertainty is $\Delta_z/(1 + z) \lesssim 10^{-3}$, a factor of 10 lower than the typical cluster photometric redshift error, with a median number of 10 members. Fig. 19 compares the photometric and spectroscopic redshift estimates for each of the validated clusters: the very good agreement between them is not surprising (e.g. Rykoff et al. 2014), although this comparison emphasizes a noticeable improvement brought by spectroscopic redshifts at $z \ge 0.2$ –0.3, both in terms of accuracy and precision. The theoretical quantity of interest for the SPIDERS clusters is the redshift of the halo in which the X-ray gas and the galaxies are hosted. An uncertainty of $\Delta_z = 10^{-3}$ on the redshift of an object at z = 0.3 corresponds to a velocity offset of 230 km s⁻¹, hence, a few times smaller than the typical velocity dispersion of a galaxy cluster. It also corresponds to ~4 Mpc comoving radial distance, hence, slightly larger than the typical size of a galaxy cluster.

The statistical uncertainties on cluster redshifts are shown in Fig. 20, as a function of redshift, cluster richness and cluster X-ray luminosity, and colour coded by the number of members N_{mem} entering their computation. As expected, higher N_{mem} lead to lower uncertainties on the redshift estimates, which favours low-redshift



Figure 19. Comparison between the photometric redshift z_{λ} output of RedMapper and the spectroscopic redshift of the 230 CODEX clusters validated by visual inspection in SEQUELS-DR12. The spectroscopic redshift error is the bootstrap uncertainty. The colour code reflects the number of spectroscopic members retained in validating the cluster. The histogram in the inset shows the distribution of spectroscopic redshifts, with the same colour coding.

clusters. Because of the various selection effects involved in detecting clusters (flux limit in X-rays, red sequence in optical), trends in the redshift uncertainty versus richness or luminosity do not appear clearly.

4.5.2 Radial velocity dispersions

Once cluster members are identified, one estimates their line-ofsight velocities v_i , defined as (Danese, de Zotti & di Tullio 1980):

$$\frac{v_i}{c} = \frac{z_i - z_{\rm BIWT}}{1 + z_{\rm BIWT}}.$$
(2)

We use two of the most common estimators for the dispersion of velocities, namely the 'gapper' (σ_{GAP}) and the 'bi-weight variance' (σ_{BWT}^2) . We refer to Beers et al. (1990) for details in their computation and the algorithm⁴ used for these calculations. We refer the reader to Ruel et al. (2014) for a discussion of measurements of velocity dispersions in the regime of low number of spectroscopic members, in the context of galaxy clusters selected by Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect in the South Pole Telescope data. Both estimators are computed for each cluster, although it is clear that a high enough number of members must enter the derivation to ensure robust measurements. Fig. 21 demonstrates the good agreement between the two measurements provided that $N_{\rm mem} \ge 15$. While the majority of $10 \le N_{\text{mem}} < 15$ clusters also lie on the one-to-one line in this figure, a number of them stand as outliers, possibly impacted by the presence of interlopers or substructures in their list of spectroscopic members.

Evaluating the uncertainties and biases linked to cluster velocity dispersion measurements performed with a small number of spectroscopic members is a rather complex task. It requires, in particular, an understanding of the selection and sampling processes leading to the list of members entering the catalogue. Ideally, one would want to design end-to-end simulations reproducing all of the steps described above, from the cluster selection in X-rays down to the calculation of velocity dispersions. Such procedures are feasible, for instance by combining N-body simulations and semianalytical models (e.g. Biviano et al. 2006; Saro et al. 2013). An alternative, simpler, approach consists in resampling dense observations of clusters with high numbers of spectroscopic members and well-determined velocity dispersions (σ_{BWT}^{true}), ensuring the target sampling reproduces that of SPIDERS. We follow this approach in this work, bearing in mind the opportunities for further, more detailed developments. We resample the observations of the HI-FLUGCS sample of clusters (Zhang et al. 2011), imposing a limiting magnitude and a minimal fibre distance corresponding to SPIDERS observations and accounting for the mass and redshift distribution of clusters in SPIDERS. The corresponding procedure is fully described in Zhang et al. (2016). It leads for each HIFLUGCS cluster to 500 resampled realizations, each realization leads, in turn, to an estimate of an observed σ_{BWT}^{obs} . Grouping results by the number of members N_{mem} remaining after resampling, we derive the average value and the spread in $\sigma^{obs}/\sigma^{true}$. This calculation provides therefore a baseline for the bias-correction and 1σ uncertainty on individual velocity dispersion measurements. We note that the catalogue of the galaxy redshifts of the HIFLUGCS is a rather clean member galaxy input catalogue, likely almost free from interlopers. Uncertainties derived from the scatter in the down-sampling thus do not account for the effect of interlopers.

4.6 Catalogue production

The updated, accurate, cluster spectroscopic redshifts enter as input of a new computation of X-ray cluster properties.

For the CODEX subsample, this procedure follows the same route as when starting from photometric redshifts (z_{λ}). Details on the procedure can be found in Mirkazemi et al. (2015): assuming a cosmological model, *ROSAT* fluxes are converted into rest-frame [0.1–2.4] keV luminosities and scaling relations allow an estimate of the cluster mass and typical radius R_{500} and R_{200} . The typical uncertainty on the luminosities of CODEX clusters amounts to ~35 per cent, as computed from the Poissonian fluctuation of number counts in *ROSAT* data. As an illustration, Fig. 5 highlights the position of the SEQUELS-DR12 confirmed clusters in the luminosity–redshift plot, along with the corresponding error bars.

The XCLASS galaxy clusters benefit from high-quality X-ray data, thanks to the exquisite spatial and spectral resolution of *XMM*: the angular point spread function full width at half-maximum (FWHM) is around 10–20 arcsec, depending on the off-axis angle of the cluster, and the spectral line spread function FWHM is around 100 eV at 1 keV energy. X-ray surface-brightness profiles and spectra are therefore the primary observables from which cluster physical properties are derived. In addition to ≤ 10 per cent accurate bolometric luminosities, surface brightness-averaged temperatures of C1 clusters can be measured with relatively good accuracy (~15 per cent), depending on the actual cluster temperature, the number of counts collected by the instruments and the uncertainties in background subtraction (e.g. Clerc et al. 2014).

The *eROSITA* data will be similar to the *XMM* data, although with a spatial resolution \sim 1.5–2 times lower. The methodology to compute X-ray cluster properties by combining SPIDERS

⁴ We used a FORTRAN version of ROSTAT adapted to our purposes.



Figure 20. Distribution of statistical errors $\Delta_z/(1 + z)$ in the cluster spectroscopic redshift among the SEQUELS-DR12 sample of validated clusters. These uncertainties are estimated through bootstrap resampling of the N_{mem} redshifts identified as cluster members. In particular, they do not include additional sources of uncertainties due to potential systematic effects, e.g. presence of substructures, inhomogeneous sampling, etc. The right-hand panel is an histogram of sources binned by values of the redshift uncertainty.



Figure 21. Comparison of velocity dispersion estimates obtained from the Gapper method (σ_{GAP}) and the bi-weight sample variance (σ_{BWT}) for individual CODEX clusters in the SEQUELS-DR12 sample. Only systems validated with more than 10 and 15 spectroscopic members are displayed. There is good agreement between the two estimators, although outliers are present, indicative of badly determined velocity dispersions due to e.g. substructure or presence of interlopers (the number of members is indicated in brackets).

spectroscopic redshifts and *eROSITA* data is expected to lie between that of XCLASS clusters and CODEX clusters.

5 RESULTS FROM SEQUELS-DR12 SAMPLE

Throughout this paper, we illustrated the SPIDERS targeting strategy and plans for data analysis by means of the SEQUELS-DR12 pilot sample. We now elaborate on the use of such a sample of spectroscopically confirmed clusters, and present possible science applications with the perspective of the much larger, upcoming, SPIDERS sample.



Figure 22. Effective area curve of the CODEX cluster survey, calculated over the footprint of the SPIDERS pilot area, expressed as a function of the X-ray flux sensitivity.

5.1 Catalogue presentation

5.1.1 The SPIDERS-CODEX clusters

The SEQUELS-DR12 sample consists of 230 validated CODEX systems, out of an initial set of 351 CODEX candidate clusters within the SEQUELS footprint (Alam et al. 2015). Among those 230 clusters, 137 are fully observed within SEQUELS-DR12 (i.e. all tiled targets have received a fibre). Let alone differences in target selection outlined in Section 3, this subsample offers a representative view of the expected, ~20 times larger, entire SPIDERS sample of clusters. Half of the validated clusters have more than seven spectroscopic members (eight for completed clusters), this number increases with decreasing redshift, as shown in Fig. 23. Fig. 22 illustrates the X-ray sensitivity of the CODEX survey integrated over the footprint of the area considered for the present catalogue. The median sensitivity in the [0.5–2] keV band is ~10⁻¹³ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻².

The redshift distribution of clusters in bins of $\Delta_z = 0.04$ is shown in Fig. 19 and peaks at $z \sim 0.2$. A deficit is observed in one bin around z = 0.3, which we attribute to a mixture of selection effects involving different redshift dependencies of the X-ray sensitivity and RedMapper efficiency, to the preliminary existence of numerous



Figure 23. Cumulative distribution of CODEX clusters validated in SEQUELS-DR12, as a function of their number of spectroscopic members N_{mem} . The right-hand panel is for the case when all tiled targets within a cluster have been observed (completed observations) and is therefore more representative of the final outcome of SPIDERS. Colour lines represent cases when redshifts cuts are applied, as shown in legend together with the total number of objects. Dotted lines indicate the median number of spectroscopic members, and correspond to: 7.5/8.7 (all), 4.8/4.0 (z > 0.4), 6.2/5.9 (0.2 < z < 0.4) and 11.7/13.0 (z < 0.2) for all/completed clusters, respectively.

Table 3. The XCLASS-RedMapper clusters validated in SEQUELS-DR12. Their absorbed fluxes, luminosities (in the rest-frame [0.1–2.4] keV band) and temperatures are derived from *XMM* data and computed within the $[0-R_{500c}]$ radial range. The radius R_{500c} is derived via a T_X-R_{500c} scaling relation (Sun et al. 2009). Line-of-sight velocity dispersions and uncertainties are estimated as described in Section 4.5. The ID in the first two columns refer to the RedMapper (RM) and XCLASS (XC) catalogue IDs respectively. (*: is present in the SPIDERS-CODEX subsample and also known as Abell 851.)

RM ID	XC ID	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	Zspec	N _{mem}	σ (km s ⁻¹)	$(10^{-14} \operatorname{erg s}^{f_X^{[0.5-2]}} \operatorname{cm}^{-2})$	$L_X^{[0.1-2.4]}$ (10 ⁴³ erg s ⁻¹)	T_X (keV)	<i>R</i> _{500<i>c</i>} (Mpc)
5117	1288	122.586	48.347	0.534 ± 0.002	11	1060 ± 250	28.7 ± 0.9	41.7 ± 1.3	$4.8^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	0.84
157*	1678	145.754	46.992	0.408 ± 0.002	18	1270 ± 210	56.2 ± 0.6	45.9 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 0.1	0.93
15756	1451	170.746	46.988	0.478 ± 0.004	6	_	3.3 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.5	$3.0^{+1.6}_{-0.8}$	0.67

redshifts in SDSS pre-SPIDERS data peaking below and above $z \sim 0.3$, and to sample variance.

The X-ray properties of the 230 validated clusters were computed according to the updated redshift value, starting from the *ROSAT* counts (e.g. Mirkazemi et al. 2015). Their L_{X-z} distribution is displayed in Fig. 5. A caveat in the computation of X-ray properties relates to the 10 clusters split into two components after visual inspection. Only one X-ray detection is associated with the original CODEX candidate, and current data do not allow us to assign a flux to each of the components. In this work, we considered only the primary component as the source of the X-ray emission and therefore discarded the 10 secondary components from the catalogue.

Two points in Fig. 5 are labelled with '(C)', indicating likely contamination of the X-ray measurement by a (possibly unrelated) point-source in RASS data. These clusters are optically poor ($\lambda_{OPT} < 10$), hence, are among the less reliable sources in the CODEX sample. This class of sources is not targeted in the main SPIDERS program.

5.1.2 The SPIDERS-XCLASS clusters

The SEQUELS-DR12 sample contains three XCLASS-RedMapper clusters, as listed in Table 3, two being completely observed, ID-5117 still awaiting completion. One of the clusters (ID 157) is also

found in the CODEX subsample. However, the higher quality of the X-ray data allows us to measure its flux, luminosity and temperature with much greater accuracy than the RASS does. This system is, in fact, better known as Abell 851 (Table 7). The SPIDERS redshift, luminosity and R_{500} values agree with those found in the literature (Piffaretti et al. 2011). Our *XMM*-derived gas temperature is similar to the value of $T_{X, \text{all}} = 5.7 \pm 0.5$ keV reported in Mahdavi et al. (2013). Our velocity dispersion estimate computed from 18 SPIDERS spectroscopic members is in agreement with the value of $\sigma_v = 1067^{+89}_{-96} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ derived by Girardi & Mezzetti (2001) using 55 members; and with that of Oemler et al. (2009), $\sigma_v = 1287 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, using 101 members.

After masking point-sources, *XMM* MOS1, MOS2 and PN spectra were extracted in the [0.3–10] keV energy range and analysed with xSPEC (Arnaud 1996). An APEC model was fit to measure the cluster temperatures T_X , fixing the element abundance to $0.3 Z_{\odot}$. The results shown in Table 3 involve a scaling relation linking T_X and R_{500c} (Sun et al. 2009), found by iteratively recomputing the temperature within the aperture. Fluxes were extracted on [0.5–2] keV *XMM* images following a growth curve analysis (Reiprich & Böhringer 2002; Šuhada et al. 2012; Clerc et al. 2014; Pacaud et al. 2016) and converted into rest-frame [0.1–2.4] keV luminosities assuming the best-fitting APEC spectral model found earlier.

Table 4. Summary of the number of objects in the different survey areas mentioned in this work. The number of candidates ('cand.') and validated ('val.') clusters in each sample are shown. 'MCXC' refers to the compilation of X-ray-detected galaxy clusters by Piffaretti et al. (2011), as detailed in Section 6.

Area:	BOSS in	naging	SEQUEL	SEQUELS-DR12		
	cand.	val.	cand.	val.		
SPIDERS/CODEX	10 415	_	351	230		
SPIDERS/XCLASS	278	-	7	3		
MCXC	-	718	-	24		

This analysis, summarized in Table 3, illustrates the gain in information brought by the XCLASS subsample of SPIDERS clusters (originating from XMM data) in comparison with the CODEX subsample (originating from the shallower RASS data). For instance, the CODEX [0.1–2.4] keV luminosity relative uncertainty on ID 157 is ~25 per cent, while the XCLASS one is only a few per cent. However, given the low number of XCLASS clusters within the SEQUELS-DR12 demonstration sample, we do not consider them further and defer the interpretation of the full SPIDERS/XCLASS sample to a future study.

5.1.3 The pilot sample catalogue

We provide in Table 4 a condensed summary of the samples and catalogues discussed in this paper.

The list of 230 SPIDERS/CODEX clusters is available online (SDSS Collaboration 2016).⁵ The content of the columns in the catalogue are summarized in Table 5. Column names starting with SCREEN result from visual inspection of the system. The luminosity and cluster radius are computed according to the cluster redshift assigned after visual inspection. Note the presence of 10 additional entries in this catalogue, flagged with COMPONENT set to 2, corresponding to putative groups along the line of sight of a given cluster.

5.2 Cluster L_X - σ relation from individual measurements

Fig. 24 shows the distribution of SPIDERS/CODEX clusters in the $L_C - \sigma_{BWT}$ plane, where σ_{BWT} is computed using the bi-weight sample variance estimate. Only 39 clusters with more than 15 spectroscopic members are considered here. The raw σ_{BWT} was corrected from its expected bias, according to the model described in Section 4.5. This model is also used to assign error bars to the velocity dispersion measurements, based on the number of members within each system.

Fig. 24 also shows the scaling relation derived from the HI-FLUGCS cluster sample. This relation was derived from observations of 62 low-redshift clusters, with much denser spectroscopic coverage (Zhang et al. 2011) than the current SPIDERS sample. For this comparison, we considered the core-included luminosity velocity dispersion relation. Considering the intrinsic scatter residing in the $L_{X}-\sigma$ relation (dotted lines in the figure), there is a satisfactory agreement between the position of these points and the HIFLUGCS scaling relations. We computed the best-fitting power law using the BCES bissector method⁶ (Akritas & Bershady 1996), as a rough indicator of the overall trend in our sample. For this exercise, we fitted constants A and B, defined such as

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm BWT}}{700\,\rm km\,s^{-1}}\right) = A + B \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{L_X \cdot E(z)^{-1}}{10^{44}\rm erg\,s^{-1}}\right).$$
 (3)

The consistency between the best-fitting power law and our reference HIFLUGCS relation is encouraging. Proper derivation of scaling relations between X-ray quantities and velocity dispersions relying on a fully consistent statistical treatment and including covariances and selection effects, will constitute a major task once the SPIDERS sample of clusters grows up in size.

5.3 Cluster L_X - σ relation from stacked velocity-distance diagrams

In this section, we investigate how stacking together clusters of similar properties can enhance the statistical power in determining scaling relations between those properties and average velocity dispersion measurements. This method is used (e.g. Carlberg, Yee & Ellingson 1997; Biviano & Poggianti 2009; Munari et al. 2013; Rines et al. 2013) when the number of spectroscopic members per cluster is low and does not allow accurate, individual, velocity dispersion measurements. Becker et al. (2007), in particular, could measure with accuracy the relation between optical richness and velocity dispersion of optically selected galaxy clusters up to z = 0.3 by means of stacking systems in richness and redshift. Our approach here is similar and uses X-ray luminosity instead of richness.

5.3.1 An adaptive L_X -z space binning

We first selected the 108 clusters with at least eight members. This threshold ensures the uncertainty on the cluster rest velocity to be \lesssim 200 km s⁻¹ for a typical 500 km s⁻¹ velocity dispersion cluster (equation 1). We split the sample in three redshift slices, namely [0.03-0.26], [0.26-0.50] and [0.50-0.73]. Each of them is subdivided into a number of L_C bins, according to an adaptive procedure. Starting from the highest luminosity, each bin is enlarged until the clusters it contains bring $N_{\rm bin} > 150$ galaxies within ± 4000 km s⁻¹ of their own cluster rest velocity, and we ensure the size of a bin in luminosity exceeds $\Delta \ln [L_C] > 0.35$. This value is indeed comparable to the typical uncertainty in a CODEX cluster luminosity (see Section 4.6). An additional constraint was added to the adaptive binning algorithm, such that each bin contains at least 50 per cent of the number of galaxies $\lambda_{scal}(L_X^{cen})$ expected⁷ to pertain to a single cluster at the centre bin luminosity. This last requirement ensures that each 'stacked' cluster contains a high enough number of galaxies, thus avoiding biases in the resulting velocity dispersions (see e.g. Zhang et al. 2011, their fig. 12).

Considering all clusters within a bin, red-sequence members with a spectroscopic redshift were assembled into phase-space diagrams, as shown in Fig. 25 for the specific bin 0.03 < z < 0.26 and $0.75 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} < L_C < 1.1 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. In this particular

⁵ https://data.sdss.org/sas/dr13/eboss/spiders/analysis/catCluster-SPIDERS _RASS_CLUS-v1.0.fits

⁶ We are thankful to C. Sifón for making the PYTHON implementation of the BCES algorithm available at http://home.strw.leidenuniv.nl/ ~sifon/pycorner/bces/.

 $^{^{7}\}lambda_{scal}(L_X)$ was estimated from Rykoff et al. (2012, their equation 29).

 Table 5.
 Description of the columns entering the catalogue of validated SPIDERS/CODEX clusters (230 entries) in the SEQUELS-DR12 pilot area. The full table is available online (see the text).

Column	Unit	Description	Example
CLUS_ID		SPIDERS/CODEX identification number	1_4601
COMPONENT		Component index of the system	1
RA	deg	CODEX X-ray detection right ascension (J2000)	185.497
DEC	deg	CODEX X-ray detection declination (J2000)	45.310
RA_OPT	deg	CODEX optical detection right ascension (J2000)	185.522
DEC_OPT	deg	CODEX optical detection declination (J2000)	45.404
LAMBDA_CHISQ_OPT		Richness (λ_{OPT}) of the CODEX optical detection	47.2
Z_LAMBDA		Photometric redshift (z_{λ}) of the CODEX optical detection	0.266
Z_LAMBDA_ERR		Uncertainty on Z_LAMBDA	0.009
NMEM		Number of objects in the CODEX red sequence $(p_{mem} > 5 \text{ per cent})$	64
NOKZ		Number of red-sequence members with a spectroscopic redshift	21
SCREEN_CLUZSPEC		Galaxy cluster redshift	0.2630
SCREEN_CLUZSPECBOOT		Bootstrap uncertainty on SCREEN_CLUZSPEC	0.0009
SCREEN_CLUVDISP_GAP	${\rm kms^{-1}}$	Gapper estimate of the cluster velocity dispersion	869.8
SCREEN_CLUVDISP_BWT	${ m kms^{-1}}$	Square root of the biweight variance velocity dispersion	868.0
SCREEN_CLUVDISPTYPE		Type of the 'best' velocity dispersion (gapper or bi-weight)	SIG-BWT
SCREEN_CLUVDISPBEST	$\rm kms^{-1}$	Value of the 'best velocity dispersion'	868.0
SCREEN_DAZSPEC	Mpc	Angular diameter distance computed at $z = \text{SCREEN}_{\text{CLUZSPEC}}$	836.9
SCREEN_NMEMBERS		Number of red-sequence members retained as cluster members	20
SCREEN_STATUS		Validation status of the cluster assigned by the visual inspector	validated
LX0124	erg s ⁻¹	Luminosity in the rest-frame 0.1–2.4 keV band in R_{500c}	1.3×10^{44}
ELX	erg s ⁻¹	Uncertainty on LX0124	0.4×10^{44}
R200C_DEG	deg	Apparent R_{200c} radius of the galaxy cluster	0.093
FLUX052	$erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}$	Galaxy cluster X-ray flux in the 0.5-2.0 keV band	4.1×10^{-13}
EFLUX052	$\mathrm{erg}~\mathrm{s}^{-1}~\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	Uncertainty on FLUX052	1.3×10^{-13}
MCXC		Identifier in the MCXC catalogue (Piffaretti et al. 2011), if present	n/a
ANAME		Alternative name in Piffaretti et al. (2011), if present	n/a



Figure 24. Individual SPIDERS-CODEX clusters in the $L_{C}-\sigma$ plane. Points represent CODEX clusters validated in the SEQUELS-DR12 demonstration sample with more than 15 spectroscopic members. The raw bi-weight variance calculations are indicated with light triangles, the bias-corrected values with squares, together with the uncertainty (see the text). The plain and dotted red lines show the BCES fit to the bias-corrected values and 1σ uncertainty range. The solid line corresponds to the scaling relation from Zhang et al. (2011) and is not fit to the data. A typical 0.3 dex intrinsic dispersion is materialized as dotted lines.

example, 14 clusters are stacked together and 220 spectroscopic galaxies contribute to the stack (corresponding to the black crosses). To produce such stacked diagrams, the projected distance of each member is scaled by R_{200c} of its host cluster, as estimated from



Figure 25. Stacked phase-space diagram of 14 SPIDERS clusters with 0.03 < z < 0.26 and $0.75 < L_C/(10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}) < 1.1$. Black crosses represent all red-sequence members with a spectroscopic redshift, within $\pm 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of their parent cluster redshift. Coloured symbols show galaxies selected by three cleaning techniques, as indicated in the legend (iterative clipping, caustic method, clipping with $L_X - \sigma$ prior).

the X-ray data.⁸ The cluster centre was chosen to be the optical centre, as derived by the RedMapper algorithm for each CODEX cluster. Individual galaxy velocities were rescaled by their parent cluster $v_{200} = \sqrt{G M_{200c}/R_{200c}}$ so to provide normalized velocities v/v_{200} .

⁸ Following the scheme described in Section 4.6, this involves a scaling relation $L_X \rightarrow M_{200c} \rightarrow R_{200c}$.

Finally, each stack is assigned a typical X-ray luminosity and a representative $\langle v_{200} \rangle$ by taking the error-weighted averages of the luminosities and v_{200} values of all clusters in the stack.

5.3.2 Member identification in stacked diagrams

Although stacked diagrams are pre-filtered such as to contain only red-sequence members within 4000 km s^{-1} of their cluster parent, they still contain a fraction of potential interlopers. We investigate three methods to clean stacked diagrams and converge to more precise membership, within the limitations of our present catalogue:

(i) The first method is very similar to the one used precedently for individual cluster velocity dispersions. It relies on an iterative 3σ clipping technique using the bi-weight average and bi-weight variance as estimates of the centre and velocity dispersions of the stacked clusters. Only members at $R_{\text{proj}}/R_{200c} < 1$ are considered in this analysis.

(ii) The second method relies on the identification of the caustic (Diaferio 1999) in each diagram, similarly implemented as in Zhang et al. (2011). The caustic is a characteristic shape in the phase-space diagrams, it isolates interlopers from virialized members in a cluster. It effectively makes full use of the two-dimensional structure of the diagrams.

(iii) The third method starts by estimating the expected velocity dispersion σ_{exp} of a galaxy cluster of luminosity L_X^{cen} using Zhang et al. (2011) scaling relations. Galaxies with offset velocities larger than $3 \times \sigma_{exp}$ are excluded.

Results are illustrated in Fig. 25, where 202/220, 201/220 and 205/220 members were selected by each of the respective methods, the most stringent selection originating from the caustic identification.

5.3.3 Velocity dispersions from stacked diagrams

Considering only members identified by one of the 3 'cleaning' methods, two numerical estimators of the velocity dispersion and their respective uncertainties are derived. In both cases, only members within a projected radius less than R_{200c} enter the computation.

The first method computes the bi-weight variance σ_{BWT} of the selected members, and the uncertainty is based on 1000 bootstrap resamplings of the data.

The second method is similar to Rozo et al. (2015) and see also Andreon et al. (2008). It is based on maximizing the likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i} \left[pG(v_i; 0, \sigma) + (1 - p) \frac{1}{2v_m} \right]$$
(4)

with v_i the velocities of individual members, $G(x; \mu, \sigma)$ the Gaussian function of mean μ and standard deviation σ . Here v_m is the maximal velocity, i.e. $3\sigma_{BWT}$, $4\langle v_{200}\rangle$ and $3\sigma_{exp}$ for each of the cleaning method (i), (ii) and (iii), respectively. The parameters σ_{gauss} and p that maximize \mathcal{L} are found using the AMOEBA algorithm and 1000 bootstrap resamplings are performed to estimate the uncertainty on σ_{gauss} .

Combining the three 'cleaning' methods to the two estimators leads to six estimates of the velocity dispersion for a given stacked phase-space diagram.

5.3.4 The L_X - σ relation of stacked SPIDERS clusters

The values of the velocity dispersion in each (z, L_C) bin are reported in Fig. 26 (bin numbering listed in Table 6). The externally derived scaling relation superimposed to guide the eye is taken from Zhang et al. (2011) who fit the $L_X-\sigma$ relation on individual, bright, X-ray clusters in the HIFLUGCS sample. This relation is the same as the black solid line in Fig. 24. The dotted lines represent the typical intrinsic scatter (~0.3 dex) in this relation.

We defer quantitative measurements and a thorough assessment of the stacked $L_{X}-\sigma$ relation to further studies, that will rely on the entire SPIDERS sample of galaxy clusters and detailed treatment of numerical simulations. We note at this stage a broad agreement between the location of the data points and our reference $L_X-\sigma$ relation. Our results differ according to the combination of cleaning and fitting method employed. The clipping-based method may lead to more complete but less clean member sampling, the prior-based method is very similar and possibly introduces autocorrelation to some extent.

In this work, the caustic method filters out more members than the clipping- and prior-based techniques do, and it provides lower velocity dispersion values, hence, higher deviations from the fiducial scaling relation (central column in Fig. 24). Simulations (e.g. Serra & Diaferio 2013) indicate that the caustic method better distinguishes cluster members from interlopers than other methods ; however, small number statistics impact the precision of the determination of the amplitude of the caustic and thus the caustic mass distribution. A lower number of members tends to provide a slightly reduced amplitude, which causes underestimation of the total mass. Moreover, since the caustic-based filtering makes full use of the projected radius information enclosed in phase-space diagrams, one expects an increased sensitivity of this method to centring uncertainties, to uncertainties in the computation of the normalizing R_{200c} and to sparsity in the two-dimensional diagrams. Further studies based on numerical simulations tailored to SPI-DERS stacks will assess the absolute and relative performances of the methods when combining higher quality X-ray data (eROSITA data) to the entire, larger, SPIDERS data set.

Interestingly, data points corresponding to the 'medium-redshift' bin $(z \in [0.26, 0.50])$ deviate from this relation at low- σ values, and do so more strongly than 'low-redshift' data points. Part of this deviation can be attributed to sample selection effects and Eddington bias. In Appendix C, we describe a modelling of X-ray selection biases and their impact on scaling relations, by comparing the measured cluster luminosity. As shown in Fig. C1, Eddington bias makes low-mass system (equivalently, low-velocity dispersion systems) appear more luminous on average, and the effect increases with redshift, in agreement with the trend seen in this analysis. The results shown in Appendix C assume perfect association of the optical spectra to the X-ray-emitting intra-cluster gas. Studying the reliability of such identification, as well as possible contaminants to the X-ray (due, for instance, to the increased presence of X-ray AGN in group-like haloes) and optical signals, is beyond the scope of this paper. These additional sources of bias, likely to dominate in the low-count/low-richness regime, need to be addressed with further simulations.

6 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS X-RAY CLUSTER CATALOGUES

We compare our work to the objects extracted from the MCXC compilation of catalogues (Piffaretti et al. 2011), which contains



Figure 26. The radial velocity dispersion (σ_{LOS}) versus X-ray luminosity (L_X in [0.1–2.4] keV band) as drawn from the stacked phase-space analysis. Six different methods are used to extract a velocity dispersion estimate from phase-space diagrams binned in the (z, L_C) plane, as shown in Fig. 25. Columns from left to right correspond to the three different cleaning techniques: iterative σ -clipping, caustic identification and $\sigma(L_X)$ -clipping. The top row corresponds to the bi-weight variance estimate σ_{BWT} , while the bottom row correspond to the Gaussian fit estimate σ_{gauss} (see the text). Red numbers refer to the bin ID as listed in Table 6. Plain, dashed and dotted line are identical in each panel, and correspond to the scaling relations derived in (Zhang et al. 2011). Colours encode the redshift binning used for this analysis.

Table 6. Velocity dispersion results from the stacked phase-space analysis. The 108 SPIDERS galaxy clusters are binned according to their redshift in $[z_{min}, z_{max}]$ and their luminosity L_C in $[L_{min}, L_{max}]$, expressed in units 10^{44} erg s⁻¹. The bins contain N_{clu} clusters, and N_{bin} galaxies contribute initially to each stack. The velocity dispersions obtained after selection from three different techniques are computed using the σ_{BWT} estimator.

		Men	nbership m	ethod:			Ite	erative clipping		Caustic	($\sigma(L_X)$ clipping
ID	z_{\min}	z _{max}	L_{\min}	L _{max}	N _{clu}	$N_{\rm bin}$	N _{sel}	σ_{BWT} (km s ⁻¹)	N _{sel}	σ_{BWT} (km s ⁻¹)	N _{sel}	$\sigma_{\rm BWT}$ (km s ⁻¹)
1	0.03	0.26	0.02	0.2	10	131	123	330 ± 27	120	313 ± 24	123	330 ± 27
2	0.03	0.26	0.2	0.3	12	188	171	503 ± 34	162	444 ± 26	171	503 ± 34
4	0.03	0.26	0.3	0.45	15	218	206	557 ± 30	196	499 ± 24	206	557 ± 30
5	0.03	0.26	0.45	0.75	12	156	145	508 ± 41	143	491 ± 38	145	508 ± 41
6	0.03	0.26	0.75	1.1	14	220	202	546 ± 25	201	541 ± 24	205	568 ± 30
0	0.03	0.26	1.1	2	12	182	171	695 ± 50	159	582 ± 34	171	695 ± 50
3	0.03	0.26	2	20	7	116	114	$871~\pm~65$	108	779 ± 52	114	$871~\pm~65$
8	0.26	0.50	0.09	0.92	2	16	15	355 ± 107	_	_	16	374 ± 155
9	0.26	0.50	0.92	2	14	172	161	561 ± 39	134	392 ± 24	164	$585~\pm~44$
7	0.26	0.50	2	20	8	97	96	$1011~\pm~80$	72	686 ± 50	95	$985~\pm~72$
10	0.50	0.73	2	20	2	39	23	$1061~\pm~184$	12	773 ± 179	23	1061 ± 184

most of the *ROSAT*-based samples, including serendipitous detections from deep pointed observations. Fig. 27 shows the distribution on sky of the samples discussed in this paper. We find 18 matches between the SPIDERS pilot sample and the MCXC data base within 3 arcmin of the CODEX X-ray position. Their properties are summarized in Table 7 and compared with values extracted from the MCXC compilation. Five systems exhibit a richness lower than 20 in the overlap between the two catalogues. Fig. 28 compares the redshift values in both catalogues and demonstrates their good agreement. All but two agree within $1000 \,\mathrm{km} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ of the MCXC redshift, the other two agree within $3000 \,\mathrm{km} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

Within the survey footprint, six MCXC clusters could not be matched to a SPIDERS validated cluster (Table 8). Five of them have



Figure 27. Distribution in equatorial coordinates of the main samples of objects discussed in this work. The grey shaded area corresponds to the X-ray flux sensitivity of the *ROSAT* all-sky survey in the footprint of the SPIDERS pilot area. Galaxy clusters from the MCXC catalogue (Piffaretti et al. 2011) are displayed and those not matching any validated SPIDERS clusters in this area are highlighted red (6 objects, see the text).

Table 7. Comparison of the 18 galaxy clusters in common between the MCXC compilation (Piffaretti et al. 2011) and the SPIDERS-DR12 pilot sample presented in this work. Luminosities L_{500} are expressed within the R_{500} radius taken from each catalogue, in the [0.1–2.4] keV band. *: see also Table 3.

SPIDERS ID	MCXC ID	Alternative name	^Z spec (SPIDERS)	^z lit (MCXC)	L_{500} (SPIDERS) $10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	L_{500} (MCXC) 10^{44} erg s ⁻¹	λορτ
1_2952	J1053.7+4929		0.141 ± 0.001	0.140	0.5 ± 0.1	1.7	12.2
1_4189	J0921.1+4538	3C 219	0.175 ± 0.001	0.175	1.5 ± 0.3	1.4	13.4
2_2449	J0907.8+4936	VV 196	0.0351 ± 0.0003	0.035	0.14 ± 0.03	0.1	17.1
1_2848	J1013.6+4933	VMF98 87	0.1330 ± 0.0003	0.133	0.2 ± 0.1	0.3	17.7
1_4021	J0822.1+4705	A0646	0.1262 ± 0.0009	0.130	3.5 ± 0.3	3.0	19.0
1_2788	J1025.0+4750	A1003	0.0627 ± 0.0005	0.052	0.21 ± 0.04	0.1	24.4
1_4240	J0958.3+4702		0.390 ± 0.002	0.390	3.0 ± 0.8	1.9	28.6
2_4405	J1351.7+4622		0.0632 ± 0.0003	0.062	0.25 ± 0.04	0.3	31.4
1_1172	J0759.7+5400	Zw 0755.8+5408	0.1026 ± 0.0006	0.103	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1	34.9
1_1198	J0819.9+5634	VMF98 50	0.272 ± 0.002	0.260	1.7 ± 0.8	0.9	37.1
2_3671	J0804.3+4646	A0616	0.185 ± 0.001	0.187	1.1 ± 0.3	1.4	53.1
2_3682	J0805.7+4541	A0620	0.133 ± 0.001	0.135	1.2 ± 0.2	0.9	64.3
2_2602	J1023.6+4907	A0990	0.141 ± 0.001	0.144	3.6 ± 0.3	3.9	72.5
2_4317	J1313.1+4616	A1697	0.1813 ± 0.0006	0.183	1.5 ± 0.3	2.6	82.5
1_3111	J1229.0+4737	A1550	0.258 ± 0.001	0.254	3.1 ± 0.6	3.3	108.4
2_4315	J1306.9+4633	A1682	0.224 ± 0.001	0.226	3.6 ± 0.5	5.1	123.9
2_3664	J0825.5+4707	A0655	0.1271 ± 0.0006	0.127	1.8 ± 0.3	2.8	131.8
1_4241*	J0943.1+4659	A0851	0.409 ± 0.002	0.407	4.7 ± 1.2	4.9	148.8

values of luminosity and redshift (Fig. 4) consistent with sources below or at the edge of the CODEX X-ray detectability – these are sources detected in deep *ROSAT* pointed observations (Vikhlinin et al. 1998; Mullis et al. 2003). In particular, MCXC J0921.2+4528 at z = 0.315 is the brightest of these five and shows a flux significant at a 1.3 σ level only (in the RASS) at the value of 1.2 × 10⁻¹³ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻², hence, it lies in the largely incomplete part of the sensitivity range. The sixth source is Abell 1361 and is located within a masked region of the *ROSAT* all-sky data used as input of the CODEX X-ray finding algorithm (a degree north of the sensitivity dip visible in Fig. 27 at RA ~11^h40^m and Dec. ~ + 45°).

7 CONCLUSIONS

This paper introduces the SPIDERS spectroscopic follow-up of Xray galaxy clusters with particular emphasis on the selection of targets. The galaxy cluster component in SDSS-IV/SPIDERS will obtain optical spectra of 40 000–50 000 galaxies identified as potential members of 5000–6000 massive (from $10^{13.5}$ to $10^{15.5}$ M_☉, peaking at $\sim 10^{14.5}$ M_☉) X-ray galaxy clusters in the Northern hemisphere, up to redshift 0.6 and above. This massive observational effort will bring the average number of galaxy spectra within their respective red sequences from 2 to 10, therefore allowing precise calculation of galaxy cluster redshifts, relying on a median number of eight



Figure 28. Comparison of redshift values for the 18 matches between the SPIDERS pilot validated sample presented in this work and the MCXC meta-catalogue (Piffaretti et al. 2011). The figures in parenthesis indicate the number of spectroscopic members entering the computation of the cluster redshift, error bars indicate the SPIDERS redshift uncertainty. Lines indicate the velocity offset at the comparison redshift.

Table 8. The six galaxy clusters found in the MCXC compilation (Piffaretti et al. 2011) within the footprint of the SPIDERS-DR12 pilot area, but not present in the SPIDERS-DR12 pilot sample. The last five entries correspond to faint clusters detected in deep *ROSAT* pointed observations, therefore they are unseen in shallower RASS data (see the text).

MCXC ID	Alternative name	^z lit (MCXC)	L_{500} (MCXC) $10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
J1143.5+4623	A1361	0.117	2.8
J1256.6+4715	VMF98 129	0.404	0.5
J0818.9+5654	VMF98 48	0.260	0.3
J0820.4+5645	VMF98 51	0.043	0.02
J0921.2+4528	VMF98 70	0.315	1.0
J1056.2+4933	VMF98 94	0.199	0.2

member galaxies per system. Until the launch of the *eROSITA* satellite (2017), the observed sample of X-ray galaxy clusters originates from the RASS and from *XMM–Newton* archival observations. The target selection heavily relies on the RedMapper algorithm, that is able to assign membership probabilities to galaxies photometrically identified as red-sequence members across the SDSS imaging data. The *eROSITA* all-sky survey will complement this preliminary observational tier by bringing denser samples than *ROSAT* and a more detailed X-ray information over the entire surveyed area.

The achieved spectral quality will allow secure redshift measurements of the targeted red galaxies (up to i = 21.2 in 2 arcsec aperture), relying particularly on the extensive developments achieved for the BOSS and eBOSS surveys: observation planning and realization, processing pipelines, infrastructure, data bases and analysis tools. A number of steps are envisaged to construct reliable catalogues of X-ray-validated clusters with redshift, by assigning membership of galaxies within their parent clusters. These procedures will mix automatized algorithms – to treat the bulk of the data set in a most efficient way – and visual screening – to address peculiar cases, especially in the low-member regime. Throughout this paper, the feasibility of this programme was demonstrated based on a pilot sample of 230 galaxy clusters. All were confirmed with spectroscopic data, providing accurate redshifts at the $\Delta_z/(1 + z) \sim 0.001$ level. We highlighted the difficulties implied by reduced X-ray information for the poorer systems (projection effects, ambiguous associations, etc.) Better X-ray data are required for these low-mass, high-redshift systems, as already provided by *XMM* or *eROSITA* in the future.

The SPIDERS cluster follow-up programme is essential to achieve the cosmological analysis of the mass function and tridimensional distribution of X-ray galaxy clusters. Indeed, precise redshift information enables precise determination of cluster X-ray properties (luminosity, temperature, gas mass, etc.) related to the host halo mass, and accurate localization of these objects in the cosmic web. Moreover, a wealth of additional science cases will be addressed via the SPIDERS survey. Among them, we have shown that dynamical mass estimates are accessible for a subset of the clusters (in this paper through the radial velocity dispersion proxy), despite the low number of spectroscopic members per individual system. In particular, the stacking of X-ray clusters offers a promising avenue to the study of average properties in such a large sample. Specifically, the results of our pilot study could establish that the radial velocity dispersions correlate with the X-ray luminosity of (stacked) clusters in a similar way as local galaxy clusters do. The methods introduced in this paper are meant to evolve during the course of the survey, most likely including state-of-the-art and most recent techniques. The quality and number of galaxy spectra within or in the line of sight of galaxy clusters will be exploited to address several science topics, ranging from galaxy formation and evolution to properties of the intergalactic medium.

Finally, besides the exceptional data set provided by the programme and its predicted science outcome, SPIDERS is already starting to pave the way for future, large-area, spectroscopic surveys. In particular, the 4MOST instrument on the European Southern Obseratory (ESO)-VISTA telescope (de Jong et al. 2014) will follow-up *eROSITA* clusters in the Southern hemisphere in the early 2020s and will largely benefit from the science and technical developments pursued within SPIDERS in SDSS-IV.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the referee for useful discussion that helped in improving the content of this paper.

Funding for the SDSS-IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the US Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS-IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org.

SDSS-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU)/University of Tokyo, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatory of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatário Nacional/MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 4512 *N. Clerc et al.*

University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University.

YYZ acknowledges support by the German BMWI through the Verbundforschung under grant 50 OR 1506.

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the US Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III web site is http://www.sdss3.org/.

REFERENCES

- Adami C. et al., 2011, A&A, 526, A18
- Akritas M. G., Bershady M. A., 1996, ApJ, 470, 706
- Alam S. et al., 2015, ApJS, 219, 12
- Allevato V. et al., 2012, ApJ, 758, 47
- Andreon S., de Propris R., Puddu E., Giordano L., Quintana H., 2008, MNRAS, 383, 102

Arnaud K. A., 1996, in Jacoby G. H., Barnes J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 17

- Bahcall N. A., Cen R., 1993, ApJ, 407, L49
- Becker M. R. et al., 2007, ApJ, 669, 905
- Beers T. C., Flynn K., Gebhardt K., 1990, AJ, 100, 32
- Bernardi M., Hyde J. B., Sheth R. K., Miller C. J., Nichol R. C., 2007, AJ, 133, 1741
- Biviano A., Poggianti B. M., 2009, A&A, 501, 419
- Biviano A., Murante G., Borgani S., Diaferio A., Dolag K., Girardi M., 2006, A&A, 456, 23
- Blanton M. R. et al., 2017, AJ, submitted
- Böhringer H. et al., 2004, A&A, 425, 367
- Bolton A. S. et al., 2012, AJ, 144, 144
- Borm K., Reiprich T. H., Mohammed I., Lovisari L., 2014, A&A, 567, A65
- Burenin R. A., Vikhlinin A., Hornstrup A., Ebeling H., Quintana H., Mescheryakov A., 2007, ApJS, 172, 561
- Burke D. J., Collins C. A., Sharples R. M., Romer A. K., Nichol R. C., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 1093
- Carlberg R. G., Yee H. K. C., Ellingson E., 1997, ApJ, 478, 462
- Clerc N., Sadibekova T., Pierre M., Pacaud F., Le Fèvre J.-P., Adami C., Altieri B., Valtchanov I., 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3561
- Clerc N. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 2723
- Danese L., de Zotti G., di Tullio G., 1980, A&A, 82, 322
- Dawson K. S. et al., 2016, AJ, 151, 44
- de Jong R. S. et al., 2014, in Ramsay S. K, McLean I. S., Takami H., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9147, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy V. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 91470M
- Diaferio A., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 610
- Ebeling H., Edge A. C., Allen S. W., Crawford C. S., Fabian A. C., Huchra J. P., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 333
- Ebrero J. et al., 2009, A&A, 493, 55
- Finoguenov A. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 2063
- Girardi M., Mezzetti M., 2001, ApJ, 548, 79
- Gladders M. D., Yee H. K. C., 2000, AJ, 120, 2148
- Gunn J. E. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 2332
- Guzzo L. et al., 2009, A&A, 499, 357
- Hansen S. M., Sheldon E. S., Wechsler R. H., Koester B. P., 2009, ApJ, 699, 1333
- Henry J. P., Arnaud K. A., 1991, ApJ, 372, 410
- Ikebe Y., Reiprich T. H., Böhringer H., Tanaka Y., Kitayama T., 2002, A&A, 383, 773
- Jones C., Forman W., 1984, ApJ, 276, 38
- Mahdavi A., Hoekstra H., Babul A., Bildfell C., Jeltema T., Henry J. P., 2013, ApJ, 767, 116
- Mantz A., Allen S. W., Rapetti D., Ebeling H., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 1759

- Maraston C., Strömbäck G., Thomas D., Wake D. A., Nichol R. C., 2009, MNRAS, 394, L107
- Martini P. et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, 1
- Menzel M.-L. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 110
- Merloni A. et al., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1209.3114)
- Mirkazemi M. et al., 2015, ApJ, 799, 60
- Mullis C. R. et al., 2003, ApJ, 594, 154
- Munari E., Biviano A., Borgani S., Murante G., Fabjan D., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2638
- Oemler A., Jr, Dressler A., Kelson D., Rigby J., Poggianti B. M., Fritz J., Morrison G., Smail I., 2009, ApJ, 693, 152–173
- Pacaud F. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 578
- Pacaud F. et al., 2016, A&A, 592, A2
- Pierre M. et al., 2016, A&A, 592, A1
- Piffaretti R., Arnaud M., Pratt G. W., Pointecouteau E., Melin J.-B., 2011, A&A, 534, A109
- Popesso P., Böhringer H., Romaniello M., Voges W., 2005, A&A, 433, 415
- Predehl P. et al., 2014, in Takahashi T., den Herder J.-W. A., Bautz M., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9144, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 91441T
- Reiprich T. H., Böhringer H., 2002, ApJ, 567, 716
- Rines K., Geller M. J., Diaferio A., Kurtz M. J., 2013, ApJ, 767, 15
- Romer A. K. et al., 2000, ApJS, 126, 209
- Rosati P., Della Ceca R., Norman C., Giacconi R., 1998, ApJ, 492, L21
- Rozo E., Rykoff E. S., Becker M., Reddick R. M., Wechsler R. H., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 38
- Ruel J. et al., 2014, ApJ, 792, 45
- Rykoff E. S. et al., 2012, ApJ, 746, 178
- Rykoff E. S. et al., 2014, ApJ, 785, 104
- Sadibekova T., Pierre M., Clerc N., Faccioli L., Gastaud R., Le Fevre J.-P., Rozo E., Rykoff E., 2014, A&A, 571, A87
- Saro A., Mohr J. J., Bazin G., Dolag K., 2013, ApJ, 772, 47
- Schuecker P., Böhringer H., Collins C. A., Guzzo L., 2003, A&A, 398, 867
- SDSS Collaboration, 2016, preprint (arXiv:1608.02013)
- Serra A. L., Diaferio A., 2013, ApJ, 768, 116
- Šuhada R. et al., 2012, A&A, 537, A39
- Sun M., Voit G. M., Donahue M., Jones C., Forman W., Vikhlinin A., 2009, ApJ, 693, 1142
- Tinker J., Kravtsov A. V., Klypin A., Abazajian K., Warren M., Yepes G., Gottlöber S., Holz D. E., 2008, ApJ, 688, 709
- Vikhlinin A., McNamara B. R., Forman W., Jones C., Quintana H., Hornstrup A., 1998, ApJ, 502, 558
- Vikhlinin A. et al., 2009, ApJ, 692, 1060
- Weinberg D. H., Mortonson M. J., Eisenstein D. J., Hirata C., Riess A. G., Rozo E., 2013, Phys. Rep., 530, 87
- Zhang Y.-Y., Andernach H., Caretta C. A., Reiprich T. H., Böhringer H., Puchwein E., Sijacki D., Girardi M., 2011, A&A, 526, A105
- Zhang Y.-Y. et al., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1608.06585)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table 5. Description of the columns entering the catalogue of validated SPIDERS/CODEX clusters (230 entries) in the SEQUELS-DR12 pilot area.

Table B1. Sample of the SPIDERS target catalogue of galaxy clusters from the CODEX sample (SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets, see the text).

(http://www.mnras.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2214/-/DC1).

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Figure A1. Same as Fig. 9 for the eboss 3 chunk. The density of SPIDERS clusters in this chunk is higher than average ($\sim 1 \text{ deg}^{-2}$), hence, a lower cut in priority was imposed to the target list submitted to tiling (priority < 33 instead of 80). The median number of spectroscopic redshifts in a cluster red sequence is hence slightly lower than in other chunks (8 instead of 10). These median numbers change from 2 to 4 when considering only high-redshift candidates (thin lines).

APPENDIX A: IMPACT OF A LOWER PRIORITY THRESHOLD ON THE TARGETING

We presented in Section 3.1 our choice to lower the priority threshold in the submitted target list in order to accommodate for the higher density of unique galaxy clusters in certain area of sky. In the particular case of the eboss3 chunk, a threshold of 33 (instead of 80) was set. Fig. A1 shows the impact of this change, resulting in a decrease in the net number of targets per system (from ~10 to ~8, see Fig. 9). Fig. A2 shows that targets in the outer regions of clusters are more severely impacted by this incompleteness. This is easily explained by the link between priority and RedMapper probability that, in particular, accounts for the galaxy's cluster-centric distances through a radial density profile weighting (Rykoff et al. 2014). As the interloper rate is expected to decrease with decreasing cluster-centric distance (e.g. Saro et al. 2013), the impact of a lower number of spectroscopic redshifts per cluster should be mitigated by a lower interloper rate.

APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTION OF THE TARGET CATALOGUE

The target catalogue contains the list of targets submitted to the tiling algorithm. It is made available as part of SDSS Data Release 13.⁹ Nominally, SPIDERS targets galaxies selected within the optical red sequence of individual galaxy clusters. In the Tier 0 phase of SPIDERS, these clusters are drawn from the CODEX and XCLASS samples.

The targets of SPIDERS clusters are split into three categories:

 (i) in the 117 SEQUELS plates (66 of them have already been released as part of SDSS DR12), the SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS target flag corresponds to objects indifferently selected among CODEX or XCLASS red sequences;

(ii) in all eBOSS plates, the SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS target flag corresponds to object selected in CODEX red sequences; (iii) in all eBOSS plates, the SPIDERS_XCLASS_CLUS target flag corresponds to object selected in X-CLASS red sequences.

In BOSS and eBOSS, all SEQUELS targets are tracked by the EBOSS_TARGET0 bitmask and the SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS clusters targets correspond to bit 21. In eBOSS, all SPIDERS targets (clusters and AGN) are tracked by the EBOSS_TARGET1 with bit 31. The EBOSS_TARGET_2 bitmask disentangles between the various SPIDERS targets: the SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets correspond to bit 1 and SPIDERS_XCLASS_CLUS targets to bit 5.

The SPIDERS clusters target catalogues contain the minimal information necessary for the tiling algorithm, as well as supplementary information relative to their parent galaxy cluster. Table B1 shows a subset of the SPIDERS target catalogue. FIBER2MAG is the 2 arcsec aperture magnitude of the source in each of the five SDSS filters. TARGETSELECTED is the confirmation rank of the galaxy in the red sequence. The cluster photometric redshift z_{λ} (and uncertainty Δz_{λ}) and the richness λ_{OPT} are given together with the internal cluster identifier CLUS_ID. The priority column plays an important role in the tiling algorithm as it defines how fibre collisions are resolved. Note that SPIDERS AGN all have a priority set to 1.

APPENDIX C: MODELING THE SELECTION AND MEASUREMENT BIASES

The SPIDERS/CODEX sample is based on a sample of faint Xray sources, down to 4 photon counts in RASS data. Measurement of scaling relations and population studies are therefore subject to selection and measurement biases, that can be addressed via simulations. As an illustration, we show in Fig. C1 the outcome of a series of simulations of CODEX clusters. The sensitivity curves were calculated specifically according to the footprint of the pilot sample presented in this paper (see Figs 27 and 22). The 'true' luminosity is that expected from a simple mass–luminosity relation and is not necessarily equal to the quantity measured in real data. Due to Eddington bias and in the presence of intrinsic scatter in the mass–luminosity relation, faint objects are, on average, measured with higher luminosities, hence the upturn at low luminosities. The effect is redshift-dependent and more pronounced for high-redshift objects.

Given that the RASS luminosity might be affected by the AGN, we have included into the calculation of the observed luminosity the effect of unresolved AGN. Our model combines the evolution of AGN X-ray luminosity function of Ebrero et al. (2009) with the halo occupation distribution study of Allevato et al. (2012). The resulting mean contamination of AGN per cluster is $(1 + z)^{3.3} \times 6.6 \times 10^{42}$ erg s⁻¹ in the rest-frame 0.5–2 keV band, which has to be rescaled up self-consistently using cluster K-correction factors of ~ 1.5 . This model is in a very good agreement with the results of Martini et al. (2013), who measured AGN activity in 1 < z < 1.5 clusters at an average level of 10^{44} erg s⁻¹ in the 0.5-8 keV band. In our highest redshift bin, the model predicts an AGN contribution of 4.7×10^{43} erg s⁻¹, which, on average, is still a subdominant contribution to the observed flux. This model predicts that the z < 0.1 and low $L_X (L_X < 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$ sample requires significant correction for AGN contamination.

The SPIDERS/XCLASS sample is based on a different selection technique and different X-ray data sets. The galaxy cluster X-ray selection function are presented in Clerc et al. (2012) along with the X-ray flux measurement calibrations.

Figure A2. Equivalent of Fig. 12 for the eboss3 chunk (943 systems). In this chunk, the priority threshold for SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS galaxy cluster targets has been set to 33 instead of 80. This change in targeting strategy is motivated by the higher density of X-ray clusters in this area of sky, due to the corresponding local increase of exposure time of the *ROSAT* all-sky survey. The main consequence is a lower tiling rate at higher cluster-centric radii.

Table B1. Sample of the SPIDERS target catalogue of galaxy clusters from the CODEX sample (SPIDERS_RASS_CLUS targets, see the text). The full table is available electronically as part of SDSS Data Release 13 (SDSS Collaboration 2016; http://www.sdss.org).

RA (°)	Dec. (°)	FIBER2MAG (<i>u</i> , <i>g</i> , <i>r</i> , <i>i</i> , <i>z</i>) (mag)	TARGETSELECTED	Ζλ	Δz_{λ}	λ_{OPT}	CLUS_ID	Priority
117.9716	27.3276	(24.1, 22.4, 21.0, 20.4, 20.0)	1	0.265	0.019	12.5	1_10000	0
112.1157	26.6917	(22.4, 20.5, 19.4, 18.9, 18.5)	1	0.137	0.005	30.2	1_10008	0
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
134.8594	55.3670	(23.0, 22.0, 20.6, 20.1, 19.7)	2	0.269	0.023	11.8	1_1284	3
-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_
201.2000	28.4698	(24.3, 22.3, 20.9, 20.3, 20.1)	36	0.266	0.009	48.3	2_9973	79

Figure C1. Median and 68 per cent confidence intervals of simulated cluster luminosity measurements in the SPIDERS pilot sample (CODEX clusters only), as a function of the X-ray luminosity of a cluster whose mass is given as input (*x*-axis). Horizontal dashed lines show, for each redshift, the level of flux contamination by X-ray AGN as calculated by our model (see the text) and that is added to each curve.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.