

Emergence of deep convection in the Arctic Ocean under a warming climate

Camille Lique, Helen L. Johnson, Yves Plancherel

► To cite this version:

Camille Lique, Helen L. Johnson, Yves Plancherel. Emergence of deep convection in the Arctic Ocean under a warming climate. Climate Dynamics, 2017, 126, p. 1-20. 10.1007/s00382-017-3849-9. insu-03682739

HAL Id: insu-03682739 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03682739

Submitted on 5 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Emergence of deep convection in the Arctic Ocean under a warming climate

Lique Camille ^{1, *}, Johnson Helen L. ², Plancherel Yves ²

¹ Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, UMR6523, CNRS-Ifremer-UBO-IRD,Brest,France ² Department of Earth SciencesUniversity of Oxford, Oxford,UK

* Corresponding author : Camille Lique, email address : Camille.Lique@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

The appearance of winter deep mixed layers in the Arctic Ocean under a warming climate is investigated with the HiGEM coupled global climate model. In response to a four times increase of atmospheric CO2 levels with respect to present day conditions, the Arctic Basin becomes seasonally ice-free. Its surface becomes consequently warmer and, on average, slightly fresher. Locally, changes in surface salinity can be far larger (up to 4 psu) than the basin-scale average, and of a different sign. The Canadian Basin undergoes a strong freshening, while the Eurasian Basin undergoes strong salinification. These changes are driven by the spin up of the surface circulation, likely resulting from the increased transfer of momentum to the ocean as sea ice cover is reduced. Changes in the surface salinity field also result in a change in stratification, which is strongly enhanced in the Canadian Basin and reduced in the Eurasian Basin. Reduction, or even suppression, of the stratification in the Eurasian Basin produces an environment that is favourable for, and promotes the appearance of, deep convection near the sea ice edge, leading to a significant deepening of winter mixed layers in this region (down to 1000 m). As the Arctic Ocean is transitioning toward a summer ice-free regime, new dynamical ocean processes will appear in the region, with potentially important consequences for the Arctic Ocean itself and for climate, both locally and on larger scales.

23 1 Introduction

The mixed layer at the surface of the ocean connects the atmosphere with the deep ocean. It allows 24 for the exchange of buoyancy and momentum, as well as gases including oxygen and carbon diox-25 ide, between the atmosphere and the ocean interior. In a few locations, the mixed layer episodically 26 reaches depths of hundreds of metres, symptomatic of the formation of dense water. In the North 27 Atlantic, deep convection events have been observed in the Labrador, Irminger and Greenland Seas 28 (Marshall and Schott, 1999; Pickart et al., 2003). These regions are all characterized by the sea-29 sonally intermittent presence of sea ice, and the deepest mixed layers are often observed near the 30 sea ice edge, where large horizontal temperature and salinity gradients can be found at the ocean 31 surface. Juxtaposition of ice-free and ice-covered areas accentuates the intensity of air-sea exchange 32 over the ocean, resulting in buoyancy loss and water mass transformation (Griffies et al., 2009; 33 Våge et al., 2009; Germe et al., 2011). In contrast, the mixed layer in the Arctic Ocean is much 34 shallower (Peralta-Ferriz and Woodgate, 2015); the near-perennial presence of sea ice and the strong 35 surface stratification insulate the ocean from intense air-sea exchange, limiting buoyancy loss and 36 momentum input into the upper ocean. 37

38

As part of the fifth phase of the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5; Taylor et al., 2012), the projected climate conditions in the North Atlantic and the Arctic have been examined in a series of coupled climate models forced with a range of increasing greenhouse gas emission scenarios. Although the CMIP5 models exhibit a large spread in their results, they largely agree on the direction of change for several key climate features. These include a decline in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Cheng et al., 2013), a large shoaling of the Mixed Layer Depths (MLDs) in the North Atlantic and in particular in the Labrador Sea (Heuzé et al., ⁴⁶ 2015), indicating a decrease in the intensity of deep convection, and a decline in Arctic sea ice ⁴⁷ cover (Stroeve et al., 2012), along with a northward migration of the sea ice edge into the Arctic ⁴⁸ Ocean and the Barents Sea. Given that the location of deep convection sites is inherently tied to ⁴⁹ the location of the sea ice edge, one might expect that new regions of deep convection could appear ⁵⁰ at higher latitudes (Rainville et al., 2011). We expect that changes in deep convection location and ⁵¹ MLD will alter water mass properties and ultimately the deep branch of the AMOC (Rahmstorf, ⁵² 2002; Heuzé, 2017) and ocean heat transport (Exarchou et al., 2015).

53

Today, the deepest layers of the Canadian and Eurasian Basins are mostly filled with dense waters 54 formed by brine rejection during sea ice formation on the continental shelves, or transformed in the 55 Barents Sea, or advected from the Greenland Sea through Fram Strait. These dense water masses 56 are eventually advected to the Nordic Seas through Fram Strait (Jones et al., 1995; Lique et al., 57 2010). As the sea ice edge migrates northward, the expectation is that the relative contribution 58 of deep waters formed locally in the Arctic Basin by open ocean convection will increase relative 59 to those waters formed on continental shelves or in the Barents Sea. In the deep Greenland Sea, 60 observations suggest that the amount of dense water originating from the deep Arctic has recently 61 increased compared to the dense water formed locally in the Greenland Sea during deep convection 62 events (Langehaug and Falck, 2012; Somavilla et al., 2013). Moreover, Langehaug and Falck (2012) 63 show that the export of dense water from the Arctic through Fram Strait has switched from being 64 an intermittent to a permanent feature over the past decade, which indicates a change in the deep 65 pressure gradient across Fram Strait. 66

67

The aim of the present study is to seek evidence for the emergence of deep convection areas in the high Arctic, as the sea ice edge moves northward. Our analysis is based on simulations from the

⁷⁰ global coupled climate model HiGEM (Shaffrey et al., 2009) in which CO_2 levels in the atmosphere ⁷¹ drastically alter the radiative balance. The model and simulations used are briefly described in ⁷² Section 2. In Section 3, we examine the present-day and future mixed layers as simulated in the ⁷³ model runs. Changes in MLD are then related to changes in sea ice conditions, atmospheric forcing ⁷⁴ and ocean dynamics in Section 4. Climatic consequences associated with changes in MLD are ⁷⁵ discussed in Section 5. A summary and conclusions are given in Section 6.

⁷⁶ 2 The numerical experiment

The simulations used in this study were performed with the High-Resolution Global Environmental 77 Model (HiGEM), which is an ocean-sea ice-atmosphere coupled model based on the Hadley Cen-78 tre Global Environmental Model version 1 (HadGEM1; Johns et al., 2006). A full description and 79 basic evaluation of the HiGEM model can be found in Shaffrey et al. (2009). It uses a spherical 80 latitude-longitude grid with an atmospheric horizontal resolution of 0.83° latitude $\times 1.25^{\circ}$ longitude 81 and 38 vertical levels, and a $1/3^{\circ} \times 1/3^{\circ}$ resolution ocean with 40 unevenly-spaced levels in the 82 vertical. For the ocean component, parameterizations include a scale-selective biharmonic scheme 83 for the momentum dissipation, the isopycnal formulation of Griffies et al. (1998) with constant 84 isopycnal diffusivity for the lateral mixing of tracers, and a biharmonic scheme to represent en-85 hanced horizontal mixing of temperature and salinity in the upper 20 m. Eddies are permitted at 86 mid and low latitudes and are parameterized at high latitudes by the Gent and McWilliams (1990) 87 adiabatic mixing scheme with a latitudinally varying thickness diffusion coefficient, and the adia-88 batic biharmonic scheme of Roberts and Marshall (1998). The mixed layer scheme is based on the 89 Richardson number parametrization, subject to minimum depth-dependent background diffusivity 90 and viscosity, following Kraus and Turner (1967). The sea-ice model is an adaptation of the Commu-91 nity Ice Code (CICE; Hunke and Dukowicz, 1997), that uses an elastic-viscous-plastic rheology and 92

⁹³ a five-category ice thickness distribution, but an independent zero-layer thermodynamics scheme
⁹⁴ (McLaren et al., 2006). The ocean and the atmosphere are initialized from rest using data from the
⁹⁵ World Ocean Atlas 2001 (Boyer et al., 2005) and the ECMWF analysis, respectively.

96

Two simulations are analyzed. The first is a 130 year control integration (labelled CTRL) in 97 which greenhouse gases are kept constant at close to present-day concentrations (the concentrations 98 of CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O are 345 ppm, 1656 ppb, and 307 ppb, respectively). The second simulation 99 (labelled $4 \times CO_2$) is initialized from the CTRL simulation at year 30 and then integrated for 100 100 years. For this integration, the atmospheric concentration of CO_2 is increased by 2% per year for 101 70 years until levels reach 4 times that of the control run, and are then kept constant for a further 102 30 years. The rate of CO_2 increase in this simulation is roughly twice as large as that in the least 103 conservative scenario used for the IPCC AR5 (RCP 8.5), but the increase is not as sustained and 104 plateaus at a value that is 30% lower. The idealized forcing allows for a clearer assessment of the 105 response to radiative forcing than a simulation with more complicated scenarios of future radiative 106 forcing. It is clear that the two simulations used in this study are much shorter than the time 107 required to bring the ocean-atmosphere system into an equilibriated state with no memory of the 108 somewhat arbitrary initial conditions (Covey et al., 2006). With this potential shortcoming in mind, 109 we note that a strong adjustment takes place over the first 20-30 years of the CTRL simulation, 110 and we thus choose to discard the first 30 years of the simulation from our analyses. 111

112

For the present study, we use 10 years of each simulation (years 120 to 129), in order to focus on the signal of interest here (i.e. the emergence of deep convection in the High Arctic). Monthly outputs over the 10 years are averaged month by month to create a climatological year for each simulation. In the following, we discuss particularly the averages for the months of March and September, as these are representative of the periods when the two extrema of the seasonal cycles in MLD and sea ice extent occur. For all the variables presented in this paper, the significance of the difference between the two simulations is assessed by comparing the difference field with two standard deviations of the same variable, computed from 100 years of monthly or annual means in the CTRL run. To first order, this diagnostic allows us to distinguish between change driven by the radiative forcing and change that could potentially come from internal decadal variability of the ocean-atmosphere system.

¹²⁴ 3 Change in mixed layer depth

In this section, we examine the properties of the ocean surface and the mixed layer as simulated by the HiGEM model. The investigation of differences between the $4 \times CO_2$ and the CTRL simulations allows us to determine the ocean and sea ice responses to increasing levels of CO_2 in the atmosphere.

Fig. 1 shows the mean MLD in March and September and the mean location of the sea ice 129 edge over the last 10 years of the CTRL and $4 \times CO_2$ simulations, as well as the differences be-130 tween the two runs. For each grid point, MLD is computed from monthly mean averages and the 131 density is evaluated using the EOS80 formulation (Fofonoff, 1985), consistent with the equation 132 of state used in HiGEM. MLD is then determined based on a density difference from the surface 133 of $\Delta \sigma = 0.03 kg/m^3$, following the criteria chosen in previous Arctic-focused studies (Toole et al., 134 2010; Jackson et al., 2012). Note that the results show little sensitivity to the choice of threshold. 135 as long as this is expressed in term of density. Stratification in the Arctic is mostly determined by 136 salinity, and thus a temperature criterion, as often used in other part of the globe, is not a suitable 137 definition of MLD there. 138

For the *CTRL* simulation, a classical pattern stands out, with MLD in March reaching greater 140 than 800m in typical deep convection areas (i.e. the Labrador, Irminger and Nordic Seas and the 141 Rockall Basin), and MLD in September much shallower (~ 50m). As is often the case with CMIP-142 type climate models (Heuzé et al., 2013), HiGEM tends to produce most of its dense water masses 143 through convection in the open ocean rather than through shelf processes and further cascading of 144 dense water. The magnitude and general pattern of MLD are in agreement with climatology based 145 on observations using the same density criterion (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004) (not shown). The 146 deepest winter MLDs are located close to the sea ice edge or to steep bathymetry (or both), while 147 MLDs do not exceed ~ 50m under sea ice. 148

149

Compared to the CTRL run, winter MLD in the $4 \times CO_2$ run is generally much shallower. MLDs 150 in the areas where deep convection occurs in the CTRL run reach 300 – 400m in the $4 \times CO_2$ 151 run, i.e roughly half the MLD found in the CTRL run. The strong shoaling of winter MLDs in 152 the Labrador Sea in the $4 \times CO_2$ HiGEM run has been previously linked with the decrease of the 153 AMOC (Thomas et al., 2012) and the weaker intensity of the Subpolar Gyre (Lique et al., 2015). 154 In the Greenland Sea, the convective patch is displaced southward along the sea ice edge. This shift 155 occurs at the same time as a strong intensification of the gyre in the Greenland Sea in the $4 \times CO_2$ 156 run compared to the CTRL run, which has been previously related to a change in wind stress curl 157 (Lique et al., 2015). Whilst MLD decreases in most existing deep convection locations, the difference 158 in MLD between the two runs for the representative month of March also reveals that new areas 159 of convection appear North of Svalbard and in the high Arctic. In these regions, MLD deepens by 160 up to 400m in the $4 \times CO_2$ run compared to the CTRL run on average over the last 10 years of the 161 runs. In the Arctic, the 'new' locations with deep winter MLDs correspond to regions that are ice 162 free year round in the $4 \times CO_2$ run as both summer and winter sea ice edges move northward. A 163

similar deepening of the winter MLD in the Nansen Basin under the IPCC RCP8.5 scenario was
found in a different coupled model by Brodeau and Koenigk (2016), although these authors did not
investigate the origin of this signal.

167

We examine the time series of maximum MLD detected in different parts of the North Atlantic 168 and the Arctic in the two runs (Fig. 2), to get a sense of the episodic nature of the convective 169 events that appear in this region in the $4 \times CO_2$ run. One should remember that the $4 \times CO_2$ run is 170 relatively short, and that Year 100 corresponds to the time when the atmospheric concentration of 171 CO_2 starts to plateau at its maximum value. In the Eurasian Basin, winter MLDs in the $4 \times CO_2$ 172 run first diverge significantly from the CTRL run in March of year 67, but the convective events 173 only become recurrent and intense (with MLD greater than 500m) at the very end of the run, corre-174 sponding to the time when the sea ice cover has become seasonal and the winter sea ice extent has 175 also greatly reduced (Fig. 2(a)). The maximum MLD detected in the Eurasian Basin varies between 176 360m and 960m during the ten years analyzed in this study (years 120 to 129). It is also possible 177 that the emergence of deep convection in the Nansen Basin could be a transient signal that would 178 vanish again in a longer simulation (Brodeau and Koenigk, 2016). The time series of MLD in the 179 Greenland Sea reveals a sharp transition (around Year 55) toward shallower MLD in the $4 \times CO_2$ 180 run, unlike in the Labrador and Irminger Seas where MLD gradually decreases as the level of CO_2 181 in the atmosphere increases. 182

183

In summer, MLDs in the $4 \times CO_2$ run generally become shallower where they were deepest in the CTRL run (Fig. 1). However, a deepening of the MLDs by $\sim 40m$ is visible in the Arctic, principally North of the Kara and Barents Seas and Bering Strait. By the end of the $4 \times CO_2$ run, the Arctic is almost completely ice-free in summer (Fig. 2(a)). The ocean surface is thus in direct contact with the atmosphere and the momentum input to the ocean increases, resulting in intensified wind-driven mixing (e.g. Rainville and Woodgate, 2009). A closer look at the monthly MLD seasonal cycle (using an EOF decomposition; not shown) reveals that the timing of the seasonal cycle is similar in the two simulations, with the shallowest MLD in summer (June to September) and the deepest MLD in winter (February to April).

¹⁹³ 4 Emergence of favourable conditions for deep convection in the Arctic

¹⁹⁴ We next examine the atmospheric, oceanic and sea ice conditions in the two runs, in order to un-¹⁹⁵ derstand what circumstances lead to a deepening of Arctic MLD in the $4 \times CO_2$ run. Figs. 3, 4 and ¹⁹⁶ 5 show the March and September Sea Surface Temperature (SST), Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) and ¹⁹⁷ surface potential density (σ), respectively, averaged over the last 10 years of the *CTRL* and $4 \times CO_2$ ¹⁹⁸ simulations, as well as the differences between the two runs. Fig. 6 shows the mean seasonal cycle ¹⁹⁹ of the same quantities averaged over the region north of 65°N.

200

The changes affecting the SST in the Arctic are closely linked to the presence or absence of sea ice. By the end of the $4 \times CO_2$ run, the Arctic sea ice cover has become seasonal (Fig. 6(d)), leading to a strong summer warming of the surface layer throughout the whole basin: SST rises by 6-8°C in September in the $4 \times CO_2$ run compared to the CTRL run (Fig. 3 and 6(a)). In turn, the strong summer warming results in a strong increase in the amplitude of the SST seasonal cycle (Fig. 6(a)), given that the SST remains at the freezing point in winter in the $4 \times CO_2$ run over much of the basin, owing to the presence of sea ice (Fig. 3).

208

Such an increase in the amplitude of the Arctic SST seasonal cycle under a warming climate has also been documented previously in a set of CMIP5 coupled climate models (Carton et al., 2015).

Interestingly, as seen in Holland and Bitz (2003), the growth in the amplitude of the SST seasonal 211 cycle occurs in spite of a decrease in amplitude of the seasonal cycle in air surface temperature, 212 implying accompanying changes in the seasonality of air-sea fluxes (Fig. 6(f)). Over large parts of 213 the Arctic, sea-ice is still present in winter in the $4 \times CO_2$ run and so winter SST still approaches the 214 freezing point throughout the run (Fig. 3). Because more heat has accumulated in summer though, 215 this means that, locally and overall for the region, heat fluxes out of the ocean have to intensify 216 to restore winter SST conditions. The intensification in the air-sea fluxes can be seen in Fig. 6(f), 217 which shows greater heat fluxes into the ocean during the longer sea ice-free period in summer and 218 greater fluxes out of the ocean in winter in the $4 \times CO_2$ run compared to the CTRL run. Part of 219 these changes might be due to cloud feedbacks, which may not be properly captured in the model 220 (Shaffrey et al., 2009). The annual mean heat flux out of the ocean, averaged over the Arctic Basin, 221 increases by $\sim 30\%$ in the $4 \times CO_2$ run. Graham and Vellinga (2013) argue that this strong change 222 in surface heat flux is, however, likely compensated by an increase in the advective ocean heat 223 transport from the North Atlantic, resulting in only moderate changes to the total Arctic Ocean 224 heat storage. 225

226

Carton et al. (2015) also examine the change in the SSS seasonal cycle in CMIP5 models under 227 different greenhouse gas emission scenarios. They find that, across the models examined, the ampli-228 tude of the Arctic basin-averaged SSS seasonal cycle tends to decrease, along with the annual-mean 229 basin-averaged SSS. The decrease in annual-mean basin-averaged SSS is found to be larger for the 230 model runs under IPCC scenarios with higher greenhouse gas emissions. These findings also hold for 231 HiGEM. The basin-averaged annual mean SSS decreases by ~ 0.5 psu in the $4 \times CO_2$ HiGEM run, 232 and the amplitude of the basin-scale average SSS seasonal cycle also decreases under a warming 233 climate, with a stronger decrease in winter and roughly no change in summer (Fig. 6(b)). Carton 234

et al. (2015) attribute the decrease of the amplitude of the basin-averaged SSS seasonal cycle to the decline of the sea ice cover. Indeed, the primary driver of the SSS seasonal cycle in the Arctic is the intensity of sea ice melting and formation processes (Ding et al., 2016), which is strongly reduced when the sea ice volume decreases, as a smaller volume of sea ice is formed and melted every year.

239

Importantly, the spatial pattern of the change in SSS (Fig. 4) reveals strong differences between 240 the Canadian Basin (where a strong freshening is visible) and the Eurasian Basin (where the ocean 241 surface is becoming saltier). This pattern of change in SSS also exhibits seasonality: the changes on 242 the Eurasian side of the Arctic Basin are intensified in summer, while the freshening in the Cana-243 dian Basin is strongest in winter. This contrast between the two basins leads to compensation at 244 the basin scale, which is why the basin-averaged and annual mean changes appear to be relatively 245 small. On the Arctic shelves, the SSS changes between the CTRL and $4 \times CO_2$ runs are overall less 246 intense, even reversing sign between winter and summer in some parts of the Chukchi Sea and the 247 Canadian shelves. 248

249

The large scale pattern of SSS change seen in the interior of the basin is linked with a change 250 of the ocean circulation (Fig. 7). The strong positive SSH anomaly in the Canadian Basin reveals 251 that the anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre experiences a strong spin up. In the Beaufort Gyre, the SSH 252 variations are a good proxy for the variations of freshwater content (Proshutinsky and Johnson, 253 1997), and thus the SSH increase indicates a convergence and accumulation of freshwater in the 254 gyre, similar to that recently observed in the Arctic, albeit to a lesser extent (e.g. Giles et al., 2012). 255 In contrast, salty water is accumulated in the topographically constrained cyclonic circulation in 256 the Eurasian Basin. The SSH change pattern (Fig. 7) reveals a strong decrease of the SSH in the 257 Eurasian Basin, indicative of a stronger cyclonic gyre in that basin. This suggests that, in the 258

model, Atlantic Water entering the Arctic through Fram Strait tends to penetrate further around 259 the Eurasian basin in the $4 \times CO_2$ run, while the branch of Atlantic Water recirculating just north 260 of Fram Strait (e.g. Bourke et al., 1988) is stronger in the CTRL run. Atlantic Water in the $4 \times CO_2$ 261 run also tends to remain longer at the surface in the Arctic Basin and only subducts under the 262 mixed layer or the halocline when it reaches the St. Anna Trough, located on the northern shelf of 263 the Barents Sea, East of Franz Joseph Land. The increase in intensity of the two gyres within the 264 Arctic Basin occurs whilst (a) the net volume exchange through the various Arctic gateways does 265 not differ by more than 10%, and (b) there is no significant change in pattern or intensity of sea 266 level pressure between the two runs (not shown). This suggest that the spin up of the gyre must 267 be at least partly a response to intensification of the ocean surface stress resulting from reduction 268 of the sea ice cover, although the impact of sea ice reduction on momentum transfer to the ocean 269 surface is strongly model-dependent and even its sign is still a matter of debate (e.g. Martin et al., 270 2014; Tsamados et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2016). 271

272

The mean warming and freshening of the Arctic surface both contribute to the decrease of the surface density, by 0.5 kg/m^3 on average (Fig. 6(c)). The decrease in annual mean basin-averaged density is due to both surface warming in summer and freshening in winter (Fig. 6(a, b)). Examining the spatial pattern of the change in surface density (Fig. 5), we find a similar pattern to that of salinity (Fig. 4), with lighter water in the Canadian Basin and denser in the Eurasian Basin in the $4 \times CO_2$ run compared to the CTRL run. The anomalies are of similar amplitude ($\sim 3 kg/m^3$) and tend to compensate at the basin scale.

280

All these surface property changes, notably surface density, also affect the ocean stratification (Fig. 8). We define stratification as the density difference between a depth of 500m and the ocean

surface, in order to capture the change over the depth range of the halocline everywhere in the 283 Arctic Basin; however, using a shallower level (200m as in Capotondi et al. (2012)) results in 284 similar patterns of stratification change (not shown). As expected, the change in stratification is 285 mostly driven by changes in surface density, apparent from the similar patterns seen in Figs 5 and 286 8. Indeed, sub-surface changes in density at 500m do not exceed 0.3 kg/m^3 . In the $4 \times CO_2$ run, 287 stratification becomes very weak in the Eurasian Basin. This provides a preconditioning favourable 288 for deep mixing to occur as soon as the sea ice edge retreats enough to allow for intense and localized 289 cooling of the ocean surface. In contrast, the surface stratification in the Canadian Basin is strongly 290 enhanced, which will tend to suppress vertical mixing and favour the formation of sea ice in winter 291 (Davis et al., 2016). 292

²⁹³ 5 Potential impacts for the Arctic Basin and beyond

²⁹⁴ Changes in MLD and stratification in the Arctic Basin under a warming climate are expected to ²⁹⁵ have multiple profound effects, on the ocean and climate as well as on other components of the ²⁹⁶ Earth system, both locally in the Arctic and on a global scale.

First, the changes highlighted in sections 3 and 4 will have a large impact on the primary 297 production in the Arctic Basin, and also affect biogeochemical cycles, including the carbon cycle. 298 Arrigo and van Dijken (2011) have shown that the net primary production in the Arctic Basin 299 increased by 20% between 1998 and 2009 in response to the reduction in sea ice extent and the 300 increase in duration of the open water season. Duarte et al. (2012) also suggested that these changes 301 have resulted in a shift of the Arctic planktonic community towards smaller species. As the sea ice 302 cover will continue to retreat further and for longer each year, allowing more input of momentum 303 and light to the ocean, net primary production will likely continue to increase in the future. The 304 change in stratification is also an important factor determining the amount of primary production: 305

stratification limits the nutrient supply from the deep layers and inhibits phytoplankton growth. 306 Given that the changes in stratification (Fig. 8) simulated by the HiGEM model are of opposite 307 sign in the Eurasian and Canadian Basins, future change in basin-wide net primary production 308 will depend on regional conditions in these two basins. Dependency on regional scale changes likely 309 explains some of the discrepancy (in sign and amplitude) amongst CMIP5 model forecasts of Arctic 310 primary production under a warming climate (Vancoppenolle et al., 2013). Changes in the biology, 311 together with those in MLD, will also impact the biological and physical carbon pumps in the Arctic 312 (which currently accounts for roughly 10% of net global carbon uptake (Bates and Mathis, 2009; 313 MacGilchrist et al., 2014)), although predicting the impacts of increasing CO_2 once again requires 314 an understanding of changes on a regional scale. 315

Second, the deepening of the mixed layer in some parts of the Arctic Basin will impact ven-316 tilation of the Arctic interior. This could consequently affect the export of dense water through 317 Fram Strait into the Nordic Seas, and modify the downstream properties governing the overflows 318 over the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. At the end of the $4 \times CO_2$ run, the bottom density in the deep 319 Eurasian Basin has increased by 0.25–0.5 kg/m^3 compared to the CTRL run (Fig. 9). This density 320 change results from an increase in salinity by 0.5–1 psu. In contrast, the density at the bottom of 321 the Canadian Basin remains roughly similar to that in the CTRL run. The bottom waters on the 322 shallow Arctic shelves evolve differently from the deep waters in the basins. In HiGEM, most water 323 masses found at the bottom on the shallow Arctic shelves become lighter, due to both a freshening 324 and a warming. This is in agreement with results from Heuzé et al. (2015), who find a consistent 325 change over the Arctic shelves when looking at the CMIP5 models under the RCP8.5 emissions 326 scenario. They estimate a multi-model mean decrease in bottom density of 0.62 kg/m^3 averaged 327 over the shelves shallower than 1000m north of 60°N. In HiGEM, the Kara Sea exhibits a mean 328 trend that is very different to that on other Arctic shelves. Water masses at the bottom of the 329

Kara Sea become denser and saltier (Fig. 9). This salinification is linked with the thick ($\sim 2m$) sea 330 ice that persists in winter in the region, suggesting strong brine rejection during sea ice formation. 331 Denser waters found at the bottom of the Eurasian Basin are likely a consequence of the deepening 332 of the MLD described in Section 3, which occurs near the continental slope in the Eurasian Basin; 333 dense waters formed by convection over the slope in that location will cascade to the bottom of the 334 basin. Another process that could explain this bottom density increase is export of dense waters 335 formed in the Kara Sea and in the St. Anna Trough. It is, however, very likely that, in the $4 \times CO_2$ 336 run, the model overestimates the formation of dense water through open ocean convection and un-337 derestimates (or does not properly represent) the component formed through shelf-processes; this 338 is a common bias of most coupled climate models (e.g., Heuzé et al., 2013). 339

340

The increase in bottom density remains confined to the Eurasian Basin in the HiGEM 130-year long $4 \times CO_2$ run. A section of density across Fram Strait, which is the only connection between the deep Arctic and the deep Nordic Seas, does not reveal any significant change between the two runs below 1000m (Fig. 10). In contrast, the upper layer becomes less dense, due to a freshening of the export to the North Atlantic on the western side of the strait and a warming of the Atlantic Water flowing into the Arctic on the eastern side of the strait. Note that the volume exported to the North Atlantic through the deeper part of Fram Strait is also roughly equal in the CTRL and $4 \times CO_2$ runs.

Based on observations of the deep Greenland Sea, Langehaug and Falck (2012) and Somavilla et al. (2013) have both suggested that the relative proportion of Arctic-origin dense water has increased compared to the dense water mass formed locally during convective events in the Greenland Sea. The Arctic-origin dense water they observe has formed on the Arctic shelves and subsequently filled the deep Arctic Basin. In the future, denser water formed in the Eurasian Basin and further exported to the Greenland Sea could in principle modify the direct contribution of the Arctic Basin to the dense overflows in the Nordic Seas and thus to the deeper branch of the AMOC. We do not find evidence that deep hydrographic changes in the Arctic influence overflow properties in our short HiGEM experiment by the end of the $4 \times CO_2$ run, but we cannot rule out that such an effect could arise in the longer term.

359 6 Summary and conclusions

The depth of the mixed layer and its variations are important controls on a wide range of ocean 360 processes, including upper ocean productivity, air-sea exchange processes and ventilation of the 361 ocean interior. Examining the changes in MLD projected by CMIP5 models has thus been the focus 362 of several recent studies that have pointed out a general tendency for shallowing in regions where 363 deep mixed layers are presently observed in the North Atlantic (e.g., Heuzé et al., 2015) and the 364 Southern Ocean (e.g., Sallée et al., 2013). However, these studies do not investigate the potential 365 for emergence or migration of deep convection hotspots. Here we have used simulations from the 366 high-resolution climate model HiGEM to show that new regions of deep convection may appear in 367 the Arctic Basin under a warming climate. 368

369

In response to a strong increase in atmospheric CO_2 concentrations, the Arctic Basin becomes seasonally ice-free, and the Arctic ocean surface becomes consequently much warmer and slightly fresher on average. Although this is in agreement with previous CMIP5 assessments (e.g., Carton et al., 2015), we argue that limiting the examination to average basin-scale changes hides more extreme and important local changes. While surface warming is spatially quite homogeneous across the whole Arctic Basin, changes in salinity are much more spatially variable: a strong freshening is observed in the Canadian Basin but a strong salinification occurs in the Eurasian Basin, due to

the intensification of the surface circulation in both basins, associated with sea ice retreat. These 377 local changes in sea surface salinity are ultimately responsible for changes in surface density and 378 stratification that do not reflect the average conditions of the basin: stratification is strongly en-379 hanced in the Canadian Basin but strongly reduced in the Eurasian Basin. The drastic decrease 380 in stratification in the Eurasian Basin results in conditions that promote the appearance of deep 381 convection in that basin: the northward migration of the winter sea ice edge results in localized 382 Eurasian air-sea buoyancy fluxes that induce severe local deepening of the mixed layers (down to 383 500m) in that region, able to ventilate the deep Arctic. 384

385

A northward retreat of the position of the sea ice edge in winter is a necessary first step for 386 deep convection to occur in the Arctic Basin. Although most of the CMIP5 models and HiGEM 387 predict that the transition toward a summer ice-free Arctic will only occur during the second half 388 of the century (Stroeve et al., 2012; Wang and Overland, 2012), it has also been suggested that 389 these projections of future sea ice conditions are likely too conservative, even under the most pes-390 simistic emission scenario (Mahlstein and Knutti, 2012; Stroeve and Notz, 2015). This is due to the 391 mis-representation of important feedbacks between the different components of the Arctic system 392 (e.g., Lique et al., 2016) that results in an underestimation of the so-called 'Arctic amplification of 393 climate change' (Serreze and Barry, 2011; Pithan and Mauritsen, 2014). 394

395

³⁹⁶ Unfortunately, the influence of new regions of deep mixed layers on the deep Arctic, and their ³⁹⁷ subsequent effects on the Greenland Sea and possibly the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Cir-³⁹⁸ culation downstream, cannot be established with the current $4 \times CO_2$ model run. Owing to the ³⁹⁹ high-resolution and computational requirements of HiGEM, and the fact that the largest changes ⁴⁰⁰ were only observed at the end of the run, the available simulation is currently too short to inves-

tigate the consequences for the deep water with any confidence. The duration of the simulation is 401 also too short to rule out the hypothesis that emergence of deep convection in the Eurasian Basin 402 is a transient signal that would vanish as the surface temperature continues to increase (enhancing 403 the surface stratification) associated with further sea ice retreat (Brodeau and Koenigk, 2016). Our 404 results nonetheless indicate that ventilation of the Arctic by local deep mixing events is possible 405 in a high CO_2 future (and possibly sooner than forecast by the CMIP5 and HiGEM models, if 406 the sea ice were to retreat sooner that predicted), and we expect that these changes would have 407 important downstream ramifications for deep water formation processes and deep water properties 408 in the North Atlantic. Probing the emergence of deep convection in the Arctic Basin in a variety 409 of climate models at a variety of resolution forced with different emission scenario is needed to test 410 the robustness of our findings based on the HiGEM model. 411

Acknowledgements. HLJ is grateful for funding from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) 413 UK Overturning in the Subpolar North Atlantic Program (UK-OSNAP, NE/K010948/1). YP acknowledges 414 support from NERC IRF NE/M017826/1. The coupled climate model was developed from the Met Office 415 Hadley Centre Model by the UK High-Resolution Modelling (HiGEM) Project and the UK Japan Cli-416 mate Collaboration (UJCC). HiGEM is supported by a NERC High Resolution Climate Modelling Grant 417 (R8/H12/123). UJCC was supported by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office Global Opportunities 418 Fund, and jointly funded by NERC and the DECC/Defra Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme 419 (GA01101). The model integrations were performed using the Japanese Earth Simulator supercomputer, 420 supported by JAMSTEC. The work of Pier Luigi Vidale and Malcolm Roberts in leading the effort in Japan 421 is particularly valued. We are also grateful to Prof David Stevens for making the model data available. We 422 thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on the paper. 423

425 References

- Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., 2011. Secular trends in Arctic Ocean net primary production. Journal of
 Geophysical Research (Oceans) 116.
- Bates, N. R., Mathis, J. T., 2009. The Arctic Ocean marine carbon cycle: evaluation of air-sea CO₂
 exchanges, ocean acidification impacts and potential feedbacks. Biogeosciences 6, 2433–2459.
- Bourke, R. H., Weigel, A. M., Paquette, R. G., 1988. The westward turning branch of the West Spitsbergen
 Current. Journal of Geophysical Research 931, 14065–14077.
- Boyer, T., Levitus, S., Garcia, H., Locarnini, R. A., Stephens, C., Antonov, J., 2005. Objective analyses of
 annual, seasonal, and monthly temperature and salinity for the World Ocean on a 0.25° grid. International
 Journal of Climatology 25, 931–945.
- Brodeau, L., Koenigk, T., 2016. Extinction of the northern oceanic deep convection in an ensemble of
 climate model simulations of the 20th and 21st centuries. Climate Dynamics 46, 2863–2882.
- ⁴³⁷ Capotondi, A., Alexander, M. A., Bond, N. A., Curchitser, E. N., Scott, J. D., 2012. Enhanced upper ocean
- stratification with climate change in the CMIP3 models. Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans) 117.
- Carton, J. A., Ding, Y., Arrigo, K. R., Sep. 2015. The seasonal cycle of the Arctic Ocean under climate
 change. Geophysical Research Letters 42, 7681–7686.
- Cheng, W., Chiang, J. C., Zhang, D., 2013. Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (amoc) in cmip5
 models: Rcp and historical simulations. Journal of Climate 26 (18), 7187–7197.
- Covey, C., Gleckler, P. J., Phillips, T. J., Bader, D. C., 2006. Secular trends and climate drift in coupled ocean-atmosphere general circulation models. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 111, D03107.
- Davis, P. E. D., Lique, C., Johnson, H. L., Guthrie, J. D., 2016. Competing Effects of Elevated Vertical
 Mixing and Increased Freshwater Input on the Stratification and Sea Ice Cover in a Changing Arctic
 Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography 46, 1531–1553.

- 22
- de Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, A. S., Lazar, A., Iudicone, D., 2004. Mixed layer depth over
 the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology. Journal of Geophysical
 Research (Oceans) 109 (C18), C12003.
- ⁴⁵² Ding, Y., Carton, J. A., Chepurin, G. A., Steele, M., Hakkinen, S., 2016. Seasonal heat and freshwater
 ⁴⁵³ cycles in the Arctic Ocean in CMIP5 coupled models. Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans) 121,
 ⁴⁵⁴ 2043–2057.
- ⁴⁵⁵ Duarte, C. M., Agustí, S., Wassmann, P., Arrieta, J. M., Alcaraz, M., Coello, A., Marbà, N., Hendriks,
 ⁴⁵⁶ I. E., Holding, J., García-Zarandona, I., et al., 2012. Tipping elements in the arctic marine ecosystem.
 ⁴⁵⁷ Ambio 41 (1), 44–55.
- Exarchou, E., Kuhlbrodt, T., Gregory, J. M., Smith, R. S., 2015. Ocean Heat Uptake Processes: A Model
 Intercomparison. Journal of Climate 28, 887–908.
- Fofonoff, N. P., 1985. Physical properties of seawater: A new salinity scale and equation of state for seawater.
 Journal of Geophysical Research 90, 3332–3342.
- Gent, P. R., McWilliams, J. C., 1990. Isopycnal Mixing in Ocean Circulation Models. Journal of Physical
 Oceanography 20, 150–160.
- Germe, A., Houssais, M.-N., Herbaut, C., Cassou, C., 2011. Greenland Sea sea ice variability over 1979-2007
 and its link to the surface atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans) 116 (C15).
- Giles, K. A., Laxon, S. W., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., Bacon, S., 2012. Western Arctic Ocean freshwater
 storage increased by wind-driven spin-up of the Beaufort Gyre. Nature Geoscience 5, 194–197.
- Graham, T., Vellinga, M., 2013. Heat budget of the upper Arctic Ocean under a warming climate. Climate
 Dynamics 40, 143–153.
- 470 Griffies, S. M., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Bryan, F., Danabasoglu, G., Chassignet, E. P., England, M. H.,
- Gerdes, R., Haak, H., Hallberg, R. W., Hazeleger, W., Jungclaus, J., Large, W. G., Madec, G., Pirani,
- Arz A., Samuels, B. L., Scheinert, M., Gupta, A. S., Severijns, C. A., Simmons, H. L., Treguier, A. M.,
- Winton, M., Yeager, S., Yin, J., 2009. Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments (COREs). Ocean

- 474 Modelling 26, 1–46.
- Griffies, S. M., Gnanadesikan, A., Pacanowski, R. C., Larichev, V. D., Dukowicz, J. K., Smith, R. D., 1998.
 Isoneutral Diffusion in a z-Coordinate Ocean Model. Journal of Physical Oceanography 28, 805–830.
- Heuzé, C., 2017. North atlantic deep water formation and amoc in cmip5 models. Ocean Science Discussions
 2017, 1–22.
- Heuzé, C., Heywood, K. J., Stevens, D. P., Ridley, J. K., 2013. Southern Ocean bottom water characteristics
 in CMIP5 models. Geophysical Research Letters 40, 1409–1414.
- Heuzé, C., Heywood, K. J., Stevens, D. P., Ridley, J. K., 2015. Changes in global ocean bottom properties
 and volume transports in cmip5 models under climate change scenarios. Journal of Climate .
- Holland, M. M., Bitz, C. M., 2003. Polar amplification of climate change in coupled models. Journal of
 Climate 21, 221–232.
- Hunke, E. C., Dukowicz, J. K., 1997. An Elastic Viscous Plastic Model for Sea Ice Dynamics. Journal of
 Physical Oceanography 27, 1849.
- Jackson, J. M., Williams, W. J., Carmack, E. C., 2012. Winter sea-ice melt in the Canada Basin, Arctic
 Ocean. Geophysical Research Letter 39, 3603.
- Johns, T. C., Durman, C. F., Banks, H. T., Roberts, M. J., McLaren, A. J., Ridley, J. K., Senior, C. A.,
- Williams, K. D., Jones, A., Rickard, G. J., Cusack, S., Ingram, W. J., Crucifix, M., Sexton, D. M. H.,
- Joshi, M. M., Dong, B.-W., Spencer, H., Hill, R. S. R., Gregory, J. M., Keen, A. B., Pardaens, A. K.,
- Lowe, J. A., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Stark, S., Searl, Y., 2006. The New Hadley Centre Climate Model
 (HadGEM1): Evaluation of Coupled Simulations. Journal of Climate 19, 1327.
- Jones, E. P., Rudels, B., Anderson, L. G., 1995. Deep waters of the Arctic Ocean: origins and circulation. Deep Sea Research I 42, 737–760.
- Kraus, E. B., Turner, J. S., 1967. A one-dimensional model of the seasonal thermocline ii. the general
 theory and its consequences. Tellus 19 (1), 98–106.

- Langehaug, H. R., Falck, E., 2012. Changes in the properties and distribution of the intermediate and deep
 waters in the Fram Strait. Progress in Oceanography 96, 57–76.
- Lique, C., Holland, M. M., Dibike, Y. B., Lawrence, D. M., Screen, J. A., 2016. Modeling the Arctic
 freshwater system and its integration in the global system: Lessons learned and future challenges. Journal
 of Geophysical Research (Biogeosciences) 121, 540–566.
- Lique, C., Johnson, H. L., Plancherel, Y., Flanders, R., 2015. Ocean change around Greenland under a
 warming climate. Climate Dynamics 45, 1235–1252.
- Lique, C., Treguier, A. M., Blanke, B., Grima, N., 2010. On the origins of water masses exported along
 both sides of Greenland: A Lagrangian Model Analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research 115(C05019),
 507 5019.
- MacGilchrist, G. A., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Tsubouchi, T., Bacon, S., Torres-Valdés, S., Azetsu-Scott,
 K., 2014. The Arctic Ocean carbon sink. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research 86, 39–55.
 Mahlstein, I., Knutti, R., 2012. September Arctic sea ice predicted to disappear near 2°C global warming
- above present. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 117, D06104.
- Marshall, J., Schott, F., 1999. Open-ocean convection: Observations, theory, and models. Reviews of Geophysics 37 (1), 1–64.
- Martin, T., Steele, M., Zhang, J., 2014. Seasonality and long-term trend of Arctic Ocean surface stress in
 a model. Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans) 119, 1723–1738.
- Martin, T., Tsamados, M., Schroeder, D., Feltham, D. L., 2016. The impact of variable sea ice roughness
 on changes in Arctic Ocean surface stress: A model study. Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans)
 121, 1931–1952.
- McLaren, A. J., Banks, H. T., Durman, C. F., Gregory, J. M., Johns, T. C., Keen, A. B., Ridley, J. K.,
 Roberts, M. J., Lipscomb, W. H., Connolley, W. M., Laxon, S. W., 2006. Evaluation of the sea ice simulation in a new coupled atmosphere-ocean climate model (HadGEM1). Journal of Geophysical Research
 (Oceans) 111 (C10), C12014.

- Peralta-Ferriz, C., Woodgate, R. A., 2015. Seasonal and interannual variability of pan-arctic surface mixed
 layer properties from 1979 to 2012 from hydrographic data, and the dominance of stratification for
 multiyear mixed layer depth shoaling. Progress in Oceanography .
- Pickart, R. S., Spall, M. A., Ribergaard, M. H., Moore, G., Milliff, R. F., 2003. Deep convection in the
 irminger sea forced by the greenland tip jet. Nature 424 (6945), 152–156.
- Pithan, F., Mauritsen, T., 2014. Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary
 climate models. Nature Geoscience 7, 181–184.
- ⁵³⁰ Proshutinsky, A. Y., Johnson, M. A., 1997. Two circulation regimes of the wind-driven Arctic Ocean.
 ⁵³¹ Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 12,493–12,514.
- Rahmstorf, S., 2002. Ocean circulation and climate during the past 120,000 years. Nature 419, 207–214.
- Rainville, L., Lee, C. M., Woodgate, R. A., 2011. Impact of wind-driven mixing in the arctic ocean.
 Oceanography-Oceanography Society 24 (3), 136.
- Rainville, L., Woodgate, R. A., 2009. Observations of internal wave generation in the seasonally ice-free
 Arctic. Geophysical Research Letters 36, L23604.
- Roberts, M., Marshall, D., 1998. Do we require adiabatic dissipation schemes in eddy-resolving ocean
 models ? Journal of Physical Oceanography 28, 2050–2063.
- Sallée, J.-B., Shuckburgh, E., Bruneau, N., Meijers, A. J. S., Bracegirdle, T. J., Wang, Z., 2013. Assessment
- of Southern Ocean mixed-layer depths in CMIP5 models: Historical bias and forcing response. Journal
 of Geophysical Research (Oceans) 118, 1845–1862.
- Serreze, M. C., Barry, R. G., 2011. Processes and impacts of arctic amplification: A research synthesis.
 Global and Planetary Change 77 (1), 85–96.
- 544 Shaffrey, L. C., Stevens, I., Norton, W. A., Roberts, M. J., Vidale, P. L., Harle, J. D., Jrrar, A., Stevens,
- 545 D. P., Woodage, M. J., Demory, M. E., Donners, J., Clark, D. B., Clayton, A., Cole, J. W., Wilson,
- 546 S. S., Connolley, W. M., Davies, T. M., Iwi, A. M., Johns, T. C., King, J. C., New, A. L., Slingo, J. M.,
- 547 Slingo, A., Steenman-Clark, L., Martin, G. M., 2009. U.K. HiGEM: The New U.K. High-Resolution

- Global Environment Model Model Description and Basic Evaluation. Journal of Climate 22, 1861.
- Somavilla, R., Schauer, U., Budéus, G., 2013. Increasing amount of arctic ocean deep waters in the greenland
 sea. Geophysical Research Letters 40 (16), 4361–4366.
- Stroeve, J., Notz, D., 2015. Insights on past and future sea-ice evolution from combining observations and
 models. Global and Planetary Change 135, 119–132.
- 553 Stroeve, J. C., Kattsov, V., Barrett, A., Serreze, M., Pavlova, T., Holland, M., Meier, W. N., 2012. Trends
- in Arctic sea ice extent from CMIP5, CMIP3 and observations. Geophysical Research Letter 39, 16502.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., Meehl, G. A., 2012. An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design.
- ⁵⁵⁶ Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 93, 485–498.
- Thomas, M. D., de Boer, A. M., Stevens, D. P., Johnson, H. L., Aug. 2012. Upper ocean manifestations of a reducing meridional overturning circulation. Geophysical Research Letter 39, 16609.
- ⁵⁵⁹ Toole, J. M., Timmermans, M.-L., Perovich, D. K., Krishfield, R. A., Proshutinsky, A., Richter-Menge,
- J. A., 2010. Influences of the ocean surface mixed layer and thermohaline stratification on Arctic Sea ice in the central Canada Basin. Journal of Geophysical Research 115 (C14), 10018.
- ⁵⁶² Tsamados, M., Feltham, D. L., Schroeder, D., Flocco, D., Farrell, S. L., Kurtz, N., Laxon, S. W., Bacon,
- S., 2014. Impact of Variable Atmospheric and Oceanic Form Drag on Simulations of Arctic Sea Ice*.
 Journal of Physical Oceanography 44, 1329–1353.
- Våge, K., Pickart, R. S., Thierry, V., Reverdin, G., Lee, C. M., Petrie, B., Agnew, T. A., Wong, A.,
 Ribergaard, M. H., 2009. Surprising return of deep convection to the subpolar North Atlantic Ocean in
 winter 2007–2008. Nature Geoscience 2.
- Vancoppenolle, M., Bopp, L., Madec, G., Dunne, J., Ilyina, T., Halloran, P. R., Steiner, N., 2013. Future
 arctic ocean primary productivity from cmip5 simulations: Uncertain outcome, but consistent mecha nisms. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 27 (3), 605–619.
- Wang, M., Overland, J. E., 2012. A sea ice free summer Arctic within 30 years: An update from CMIP5
 models. Geophysical Research Letters 39, L18501.

²⁶



Fig. 1: Mean March and September mixed layer depth (in m) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The red contour corresponds to the location of the sea ice edge (defined as the 15% concentration contour), and the black contour on the bottom panels shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 2: Time series of (a) sea ice extent, and the maximum MLD detected in (b) the Labrador Sea, (c) the Irminger Sea, (d) the Nordic Sea, and (e) the Eurasian Basin for the last 100 years of the CTRL (black) and the $4 \times CO_2$ (light blue) runs.



Fig. 3: Mean March and September Sea Surface Temperature (SST, in °C) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The red contour corresponds to the location of the sea ice edge (defined as the 15% concentration contour), and the black contour on the bottom panels shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 4: Mean March and September Sea Surface Salinity (SSS, in psu) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The red contour corresponds to the location of the sea ice edge (defined as the 15% concentration contour), and the black contour on the bottom panels shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 5: Mean March and September sea surface potential density (σ , in kg/m^3) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The red contour corresponds to the location of the sea ice edge (defined as the 15% concentration contour), and the black contour on the bottom panels shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 6: Mean seasonal cycles of the SST (in °C), SSS (in psu), surface density (in kg/m^3), ice volume (in km^3), sum of precipitation (as water and snow) minus evaporation plus river runoff (in Sv) and net surface heat flux (in W/m^2), averaged over the region north of 65°N for the last ten years of the CTRL (black) and the $4 \times CO_2$ (light blue) runs.



Fig. 7: 10-year averages of Sea Surface Height (SSH in cm, left column) and the mean speed over the first 100m (in cm/s, right column) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The black contour shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 8: Mean March and September stratification (defined as the density difference between 500m and the surface, in kg/m^3) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. The red contour corresponds to the location of the sea ice edge (defined as the 15% concentration contour), and the black contour on the bottom panels shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 9: 10-year average of the bottom density (in kg/m^3) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates areas where the difference between the two runs is not significant. Bottom density corresponds to the density of the last ocean model vertical level at each grid point, and is computed relative to the surface. The black contour shows the 500m isobath.



Fig. 10: Section across Fram Strait of the 10-year average density (in kg/m^3) for the CTRL and the $4 \times CO_2$ runs and the difference between the two runs. For the bottom raw, black hatching indicates the parts of the strait where the difference between the two runs is not significant.