

## CO2 flux emissions from the Earth's most actively degassing volcanoes, 2005-2015

Alessandro Aiuppa, Tobias P. Fischer, Terry Plank, Philipson Bani

### ► To cite this version:

Alessandro Aiuppa, Tobias P. Fischer, Terry Plank, Philipson Bani. CO2 flux emissions from the Earth's most actively degassing volcanoes, 2005-2015. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 289, p. 75-89. 10.1038/s41598-019-41901-y. insu-03708956

## HAL Id: insu-03708956 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03708956

Submitted on 30 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 11 November 2018 Accepted: 20 March 2019 Published online: 01 April 2019

## **OPEN** CO<sub>2</sub> flux emissions from the Earth's most actively degassing volcanoes, 2005-2015

Alessandro Aiuppa 1, Tobias P. Fischer<sup>2</sup>, Terry Plank<sup>3</sup> & Philipson Bani<sup>4</sup>

The global carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) flux from subaerial volcanoes remains poorly quantified, limiting our understanding of the deep carbon cycle during geologic time and in modern Earth. Past attempts to extrapolate the global volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux have been biased by observations being available for a relatively small number of accessible volcanoes. Here, we propose that the strong, but yet unmeasured, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from several remote degassing volcanoes worldwide can be predicted using regional/global relationships between the CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio of volcanic gases and whole-rock trace element compositions (e.g., Ba/La). From these globally linked gas/rock compositions, we predict the  $CO_2/S_T$  gas ratio of 34 top-degassing remote volcances with no available gas measurements. By scaling to volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from a global catalogue, we estimate a cumulative "unmeasured" CO<sub>2</sub> output of  $11.4 \pm 1.1$  Mt/ yr (or  $0.26\pm0.02\cdot10^{12}$  mol/yr). In combination with the measured CO $_2$  output of 27.4  $\pm$  3.6 Mt/yr (or  $0.62\pm0.08\cdot10^{12}$  mol/yr), our results constrain the time-averaged (2005–2015) cumulative CO $_2$  flux from the Earth's 91 most actively degassing subaerial volcanoes at  $38.7 \pm 2.9$  Mt/yr (or  $0.88 \pm 0.06 \cdot 10^{12}$  mol/yr).

Volcanism is the primary mechanism through which carbon (C) stored in the deep Earth<sup>1,2</sup> is transferred to surface environments to feed C exchanges in the atmosphere-ocean-biosphere system<sup>3</sup>. Over geological time, volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have been a key control on atmospheric-oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> levels<sup>4-8</sup>, ultimately regulating evolution of climate and life on our planet<sup>9,10</sup>.

The global volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux in modern Earth remains inadequately known<sup>11,12</sup> and, ironically, is less constrained for subaerial volcanoes than for the less-accessible mid-ocean ridges, for which the <sup>3</sup>He flux<sup>13</sup> or the CO<sub>2</sub>/Ba ratio<sup>14</sup> proxies have successfully been applied. Direct volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> observations at subaerial volcanoes are technically challenging from both ground<sup>11,15</sup> and space<sup>16</sup> due to the large atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> burden, and thus remain limited in number<sup>17,18</sup>. The volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux can be quantified indirectly by combining simultaneous acquisitions of UV-sensed sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) fluxes<sup>11,15,19,20</sup> and gas compositions (CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios), but gas observational networks are still in a developing stage<sup>21,22</sup>, resulting in sparse and incomplete gas catalogues<sup>23,24</sup>.  $\rm CO_2$  flux data have so far been obtained<sup>11,15</sup> for only <60 of the several hundred currently degassing Holocene volcanoes<sup>25</sup>.  $CO_2$  flux records are continuous enough only for a few (<10) volcanoes where permanent instrumentation is operating<sup>26–29</sup>, while sparse results (one or a few campaign-style measurements at most) are available for the remaining ~50. In addition, scarce or even no information exists for several top-ranking degassing volcanoes<sup>30</sup> in remote regions of the world (e.g., Vanuatu<sup>31</sup>, Papua New Guinea, the Solomon arc, and the Sunda-Banda arc in Indonesia<sup>32,33</sup>). Attempts to extrapolate available measurements to all the subaerial degassing volcanoes have been problematic<sup>11,23</sup> and require use of questionable statistical approaches<sup>34,35</sup>. Estimates of the global volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux thus vary widely, from 66 to 540 Mt/yr<sup>11,23</sup>.

Ideally, refining the volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> inventory would require a comprehensive record comprising simultaneous composition/emission measurements for all the currently active strong volcanic gas emitters globally. The top-degassing volcanic targets during 2005–2015 (Table 1) have recently been identified<sup>30</sup> from satellite-based observations of the SO<sub>2</sub> flux using the Ozone Mapping Instrument (OMI). Carn et al. (ref.<sup>30</sup>) identified 91 volcanoes, listed in Table 1, releasing SO<sub>2</sub> at rates above the OMI detection limit of 16 tons/day. Gas CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios (where  $S_T$  is Total Sulfur, corresponding to  $SO_2$  in these strongly degassing magmatic-volatile emitting volcanoes) are available for 57 out of these 91 volcanic sources<sup>36</sup>, from which SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes can straightforwardly be converted

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento DiSTeM, Università di Palermo, Palermo, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, New Mexico University, Albuquerque, USA. <sup>3</sup>Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, New York, USA. <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Blaise Pascal - CNRS -IRD, OPGC, Aubière, France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.A. (email: alessandro.aiuppa@unipa.it)

	Measured volcanoes												
A	В	В	D	E	F	G	н	К	I	$L = F \times H$	$M = F \times K$	N	0
Group	Volcano	Country	Lat	Long	Measured SO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> flux	SD	Notes/Data Sources
1	Ambrym	Vanuatu	-16.25	168.12	7356	3168	1.5	_	0.4	7586	_	3843	
1	Asama	Japan	36.40	138.53	449	430	0.8	_	0.2	247	_	247	
1	Aso	Japan	32.88	131.11	628	492	1.8	_	0.5	777	_	650	
1	Augustine	USA	59.35	-153.45	73	140	1.5	_	0.7	75	_	148	
1	Avachinsky	Russia	53.25	158.83	707	619	1.2*	_	0.3	584	_	531	*Ref. <sup>110</sup>
1	Chikurachki + Ebeko <sup>\$</sup>	Russia	50.33	155.46	496	469	0.9*	_	0.3	320	_	317	*Ref. <sup>111</sup>
1	Cleveland	USA- AK	52.83	-169.77	152	142	1.0*	_	0.3	105	_	102	*Upper limit from ref. <sup>111</sup>
1	Copahue	Argentina	-37.86	-71.16	341	425	0.9	_	0.3	211	_	272	
1	Dukono	Indonesia	1.68	127.88	1726	611	0.4	_	0.1	475	_	206	
1	Gareloi	USA- AK	51.79	-178.79	52	47	0.5	_	0.1	18	_	17	
1	Isluga	Chile	-19.15	-68.83	78	107	1.0	_	0.0	51	_	70	
1	Kliuchevskoi + Bezymianny <sup>\$</sup>	Russia	56.06	160.64	580	461	1.3*	_	0.4	519	_	442	*Assumes equal flux for the 2 volcanoes
1	Krakatau	Indonesia	-6.11	105.42	303	252	0.4	_	0.1	83	_	73	
1	Kudriavy	Russia	45.39	148.84	187	103	0.9	_	0.3	116	_	72	
1	Lastarria	Argentina	-25.17	-68.50	248	62	1.6	_	0.4	273	_	96	
1	Mivake-iima	Japan	34.08	139.53	1018	934	0.7	_	0.2	490	_	471	
1	Mutnovsky + Gorely <sup>s</sup>	Russia	52.45	158.20	753	690	1.7*	_	0.5	880	_	847	*Assumes equal flux for the 2 volcanoes
1	Pagan	Marianas	18.14	145.79	583	547	0.8	_	0.2	321	_	311	
1	Redoubt	USA	60.49	-152.75	368	1051	1.0	_	0.2	253	_	724	
1	Sabancava	Peru	-15.80	-71.86	87	158	1.5	_	0.1	90	_	163	
1	Sakura-iima	Japan	31.59	130.66	1056	757	0.9	_	0.3	653	_	516	
1	San Miguel	El Salvador	13.42	-88.47	88	134	15	_	0.2	91	_	139	
1	Santa Ana	El Salvador	13.85	-89.63	97	180	1.0	_	0.2	66	_	125	
1	Satsuma-Ioiima	Japan	30.79	130.31	585	190	0.4	_	0.1	161	_	70	
1	Shishaldin	USA- AK	54.76	-163.97	347	278	1.4*	_	0.4	334	_	284	*ref <sup>112</sup>
1	Shiveluch	Russia	56.64	161.34	530	284	1.3*	_	0.4	473	_	289	*gas data for Klyucheskovoy are used
1	Spurr	USA	61.30	-152.25	106	106	1.1	_	0.3	80	_	83	liber
1	Suwanose-iima	Iapan	29.64	129.72	863	314	1.0	_	0.3	593	_	280	
1	Tokachi	Japan	43.42	142.69	135	98	0.4	_	0.1	37	_	29	
1	Turrialba + Poas	Costa Rica	10.03	-83.77	751	681	1.0 (3.4*)	_	0.8	1756	_	1644	*Mean (2002–2017) Turrialba composition from de Moor <i>et al.</i> , Pers. Comm
1	Villarrica	Chile	-39.42	-71.93	281	160	1.0	_	0.3	193	_	124	
1	Yasur	Vanuatu	-19.53	169.44	1408	563	1.6	-	0.4	1549	-	730	
2	Galeras	Colombia	1.20	-77.39	218	317	3.3	_	0.5	495	_	723	
2	Lokon-Empung	Sulawesi	1.36	124.79	204	154	3.2*	-	1.0	449	-	366	*This study
2	Masaya	Nicaragua	11.98	-86.16	867	364	2.7	-	0.7	1610	-	794	
2	Mayon	Philippines	13.26	123.69	453	274	2.4	_	0.7	747	_	501	*ref. <sup>113</sup>
2	Nevado del Huila	Colombia	2.93	-76.03	627	665	2.0	_	0.6	862	_	947	
2	Nevado del Ruiz	Colombia	4.90	-75.32	1074	1376	3.0	-	0.5	2215	-	2862	
2	Raung + Ijen	East Java	-8.06	114.24	631	238	2.6*	_	0.5	1111	_	472	*Uses composition of Ijen only (ref. <sup>114</sup> )
2	San Cristobal + Telica	Nicaragua	12.70	-87.00	621	283	3.5*	_	2.0	1494	_	1092	*Assumes equal flux for the 2 volcanoes
2	Sirung	Pantar	-8.51	124.13	373	162	3.2*		2.0	820		624	*ref. <sup>115</sup>
2	Soufriere Hills	Montserrat	16.72	-62.18	1296	761	3.0		1.1	2672		1851	
2	Ubinas	Peru	-16.34	-70.90	222	252	2.4	_	0.5	367	_	423	
2	White Island	New Zealand	-37.52	177.18	254	107	4.0	_	1.2	699	_	362	
3	Bromo + Semeru	Java	-7.94	112.95	775	298	4.1*	_	0.7	2184	_	920	*Uses Bromo gas composition
3	Etna	Italy	37.73	15.00	2032	517	6.5	_	2.2	9083	_	3844	
3	Merapi	Java	-7.56	110.44	32	51	4.7		0.5	104		165	
Continu	ed												

	Measured volcanoes												
A	В	В	D	Е	F	G	н	К	I	$L = F \times H$	$M = F \times K$	N	0
Group	Volcano	Country	Lat	Long	Measured SO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> flux	SD	Notes/Data Sources
3	Popocatepétl	Mexico	19.02	-98.62	1658	893	8.2	_	7.0	9345	_	9434	
3	Stromboli	Italy	38.79	15.21	181	82	7.2	_	2.8	894	_	535	
4	Alu-Dalafilla + Erta Ale	Ethiopia	13.60	40.67	64	24	2.3*	_	0.9	99	_	56	*Uses Erta Ale comp.; ref. <sup>116</sup>
4	Erebus	Antarctica	-77.53	167.17	52	31	27.6*	_	4.9	983	_	612	*Ref. <sup>117</sup>
4	Kilauea	USA	19.42	-155.29	5019	2275	0.9*	_	0.2	2933	_	1578	*Refs <sup>28,118</sup>
4	Nyiragongo + Nyamuragira <sup>&amp;</sup>	DR Congo	-1.41	29.20	3533	2408	6.5*	-	1.2	15790	-	11149	*Refs <sup>119-121</sup>
4	Piton de la Fournaise	Reunion, France	-21.23	55.71	134	162	0.3*	_	0.1	28	_	34	*Refs <sup>122</sup> ; Di Muro, pers. comm.
N.D.	Marapi	Sumatra	-0.39	100.46	34	34	20.5*	-	1.1	480	-	485	*This study
	"Unmeasured" volcanoe	s: those for wh	nich no CO <sub>2</sub> gas	s data exist.									
А	В	В	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	Ι	$L = F \times H$	$M \times F^*K$	N	0
Group	Volcano	Country	Lat	Long	Measured SO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> flux	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	SD	Notes/Data Sources
1	Anatahan	Northern Mariana Islands	16.35	145.67	1335	1867	_	1.2	0.5	_	1102	1607	
2	Aoba	Vanuatu	-15.40	167.83	2870	1229	-	2.5	0.7	_	4933	2524	
2	Bagana	Papua New Guinea	-6.09	155.23	3779	886	_	2.4	0.7	-	6245	2335	
2	Barren Island	India	12.28	93.86	243	341	_	2.2*	1.3	_	372	566	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
2	Batu Tara + Lewotolo	Indonesia	-8.27	123.51	632	177	_	2.4*	0.7	_	1043	420	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
1	Bulusan	Philippines	12.77	124.05	206	199	-	1.2	0.5	-	170	179	
1	Chiginagak	USA- AK	57.14	-156.99	138	127	-	1.2	0.5	_	114	115	
2	Ebulobo	Indonesia/ Nusa	-8.82	121.18	86	63	_	2.6*	1.3	_	153	137	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
1	Fuego + Pacaya <sup>s</sup>	Guatemala	14.47	-90.88	252	46	_	1.6*	0.8	_	269	139	*From the CAVA gas-rock association; Table S1a; Fig. 2a
2	Gaua	Vanuatu	-14.27	167.50	434	382	_	2.5*	0.7	_	745	688	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
4	Jebel-at-Tair	Yemen	15.55	41.83	103	295	_	6.2*	1.8	_	445	1527	*Average on non-arc volcanoes
1	Kanlaon	Philippines	10.41	123.13	70	182	-	1.2	0.5		57	152	
3	Karangetang	Indonesia/ Sulawesi	2.78	125.40	313	85	_	5.0*	1.3	_	1069	403	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
1	Karymsky	Russia	54.05	159.45	912	250	-	1.2	0.5	_	752	375	
2	Kerinci	Indonesia/ Sumatra	-1.70	101.26	294	99	_	2.6*	0.8	_	525	233	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
1	Ketoi	Russia	47.34	152.48	139	151	-	1.2	0.5	_	114	133	
1	Kizimen	Russia	55.12	160.36	711	1544	-	1.2	0.5	-	587	1297	
1	Korovin	USA- AK	52.38	-174.15	198	160	-	1.2	0.5	-	163	148	
2	Langila	Papua New Guinea	-5.53	148.42	629	527	_	2.3*	0.7	_	994	886	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
2	Manam	Papua New Guinea	-4.08	145.04	1484	753	_	2.7*	0.7	_	2755	1570	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
Continu	Continued												

	Measured volcanoes												
A	В	В	D	E	F	G	н	К	I	$L = F \times H$	$M = F \times K$	N	0
Group	Volcano	Country	Lat	Long	Measured SO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub> (molar)	SD	Measured CO <sub>2</sub> flux (tons/day)	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> flux	SD	Notes/Data Sources
1	Michael	South Sandwich Isl. (UK)	-57.80	-26.49	263	63	_	1.2	0.5	_	217	104	
1	Montagu	South Sandwich Isl. (UK)	-58.42	-26.33	142	179	_	1.2	0.5	_	117	155	
2	Paluweh	Indonesia/ Nusa	-8.32	121.71	60	65	_	2.6*	1.3	_	108	130	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
2	Reventador	Ecuador	-0.08	-77.66	206	187	_	2.2*	0.8	_	304	298	*From the SA gas-rock association; Table S1b; Fig. 2d
3	Rinjani	Indonesia/ Lombok	-8.42	116.47	74	131	_	4.3*	1.3	_	219	392	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
3	Sangeang Api	Indonesia/ Nusa	-8.21	119.07	71	150	_	4.9*	1.3	_	239	508	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
1	Santiaguito	Guatemala	14.76	-91.55	247	119	_	1.6*	0.8	_	271	182	*From the CAVA gas-rock association; Table S1a; Fig. 2a
1	Sarychev	Russia	48.08	153.21	260	324	—	1.2	0.5	_	214	282	
2	Sinabung	Indonesia/ Sumatra	3.17	98.39	327	595	_	2.4*	1.3	_	550	1043	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
2	Slamet	Indonesia/ Java	-7.24	109.21	206	132	_	2.2*	1.3	_	311	272	*From the Sunda- Banda gas-rock association; Table S1c; Fig. 2g
2	Tavurvur	Papua New Guinea	-4.24	152.21	1729	2535	_	2.6*	0.7	_	3091	4607	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
2	Tinakula	Solomon back-arc	-10.38	165.80	256	276	_	2.1*	0.7	_	370	417	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
1	Tofua	Tonga Islands	-19.75	-175.07	284	89	_	1.2	0.5	_	235	122	
	Tungurahua	Ecuador	-1.47	-78.44	342	235	_	2.5*	0.8	_	588	445	*From the SA gas-rock association; Table S1b; Fig. 2d
2	Ulawun	Papua New Guinea	-5.05	151.33	630	581	-	2.4*	0.7	-	1040	1005	*From the Group 2 global gas-rock association; Table S1d; Fig. 3a
1	Veniaminof	USA- AK	56.17	-159.38	255	214	-	1.2	0.5	-	211	197	
					Measured SO <sub>2</sub> flux	SD				Measured CO <sub>2</sub> flux	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> flux	Total CO <sub>2</sub> flux	
GRANI yr)	O TOTAL (Mt/yr, 10 <sup>9</sup> kg/				23	15				27.4±3.6	11.4±1.4	38.7±2.9	
GRANI	O TOTAL (10 <sup>12</sup> mol/yr)				0.36	0.23				$0.62\pm0.08$	$0.26\pm0.02$	$0.88\pm0.06$	

**Table 1.** Gas composition and fluxes for the 91 strongest SO<sub>2</sub> volcanic gas sources in 2005–2015 worldwide (from Carn *et al.*, 2017). The quoted SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (column F) are 2005–2015 averages (and standard deviation, SD) taken from the compilation of ref.<sup>30</sup>. The "measured volcanoes" list includes those volcanoes for which SO<sub>2</sub> flux and gas composition molar CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios have both been measured. Each volcano is assigned to a given Group (1–4) (column A) based on the original categorization of ref.<sup>36</sup> (non-arc volcanoes are assigned to Group 4). Unless indicated (see references in column O), the measured CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios (column H) are from ref.<sup>36</sup>. For these strongly degassing volcanoes, we assume total S (S<sub>T</sub>; quoted in 36) equals to SO<sub>2</sub>; SO<sub>2</sub> satellite detection for all these volcanoes implies high-emission temperatures and limited or no interaction with hydrothermal system (and thus trivial reduced S species, such as H<sub>2</sub>S). Marapi volcano in Sumatra is an exception because of its hydrothermal signature (high CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub>, high H<sub>2</sub>S) and is not assigned to any specific group (N.D. = not determined). In cases where combined emissions from two volcanoes are listed in the original dataset<sup>30</sup> (see volcanoes labelled with superscripts <sup>&</sup> and <sup>§</sup> in column B), due to insufficient spatial OMI resolution, a weighted average was calculated from the available volcanic gas information for the 2 where possible. Otherwise, equal<sup>§</sup>

gas contribution was assumed for the two volcanoes. The measured CO<sub>2</sub> flux (column L) is calculated from the product of F by H (the quoted standard deviations in column N are based on propagation of the respective errors). The "unmeasured volcanoes" list includes volcanoes for which gas  $CO_2/S_T$  data are unavailable. Thirteen of such "unmeasured" (for gas) volcanoes are sited in arc segments with no subducted carbonate-rich lithologies at the respective trenches, and are therefore assigned to Group 1 (e.g., they are assigned the mean  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio of  $1.2 \pm 0.5$  of Group 1 volcanoes; see Table S1). For the remaining volcanoes, we predict the time-averaged  $CO_2/S_T$  (here considered as equivalent to  $CO_2/SO_2$ ; column K) from the averaged (mean) trace-element composition of the corresponding volcanic rocks (Table S1) and the individual arc/global arc  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/ La associations (see Figs 2 and 3). Uncertainty in the predicted CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios (column I) is the confidence interval calculated from the regression line and one standard deviation about the regression, and incorporates uncertainty/variability in "measured" gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (average uncertainty at 1 $\sigma$ , ~26%) and whole-rock Ba/La ratios (average uncertainty at 1  $\sigma$ , ~16%) (see Table S1). Column O references the supplementary table (Table S1) detailing the specific  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La relation used. The SO<sub>2</sub> flux GRAND TOTAL of  $23 \pm 15$  Mt/yr is from ref.<sup>32</sup>. The GRAND TOTAL for measured, predicted and total CO<sub>2</sub> flux is obtained by applying Monte Carlo method to the CO<sub>2</sub> datasets of columns L, M and L + M, respectively. For each of the three datasets, 100 simulations are considered. In each simulation, the CO<sub>2</sub> flux for each volcano is left to vary randomly within its mean  $\pm$  SD value, and the resulting CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are summed up. The procedure is repeated 100 times, yielding 100 random-generated sums. The GRANDTOTAL values quoted in the tables are ranges (mean  $\pm$  1 SD) of 70% of the three populations of random-generated sums (e.g., the 15% outliers on each end of the populations are omitted). With this procedure, the global volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux is assessed at  $38.7 \pm 2.9$  Mt/yr,  $\sim 11.4 \pm 1.4$  Mt/yr of which is estimated for the 34 "unmeasured" volcanoes (those with no measured gas data available).

.....

into  $CO_2$  fluxes (Table 1). The remaining 34 volcanoes, however, have so far been impossible to characterise for gas composition, owing to their remoteness and/or poor accessibility, leaving their  $CO_2$  fluxes unconstrained.

Here, we explore an alternative approach of indirectly inferring the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio signature of these "unmeasured" volcanoes, and ultimately their  $CO_2$  flux, based on the (far more commonly measured) trace element compositions of their erupted volcanic rocks. Volcanic arc gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios and whole-rock trace element ratios (e.g., Ba/La or Sr/Nd ratios) are globally linked<sup>36</sup>, as both volatiles and fluid/melt-mobile elements (e.g., Ba and Sr) are sourced from fluids delivered from dehydration/melting of subducting slab sediments and altered ocean crust<sup>37–42</sup>. Based on their gas vs. whole-rock associations, arc volcanoes cluster into three Groups<sup>36</sup>. Group 1, which includes C-poor arc volcanoes (gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios  $\leq 2$ ), are thought<sup>36</sup> to be sourced by a mantle wedge source contaminated by C-poor slab fluids (derived from either terrigenous sediments or altered oceanic crust). Group 2 volcanoes are assumed to inherit their C-richer ( $2 \leq CO_2/S_T$  ratios  $\leq 4$ ) gas composition from incorporation into the mantle wedge of slab fluids derived from melting of carbonated sediments. Group 3 ( $CO_2/S_T$  ratios >4), finally, includes C-rich arc gases, supporting the involvement of an additional crustal C contribution (de-carbonation/assimilation of upper crustal limestones<sup>43,44</sup>).

We here establish systematic gas vs. rock relationships at the scale of individual arc segments and/or groups of volcanoes. These relationships, once set, allow us to predict the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio for any volcano for which trace-element whole-rock information (but not gas composition) is available. Ultimately, using these predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in tandem with available  $SO_2$  flux information<sup>30</sup>, we derive  $CO_2$  fluxes for all current top-degassing volcanoes and, by summation, a refined inventory of decadal (2005–2015) global  $CO_2$  emissions from subaerial volcanism.

#### Results

**CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes for the Earth's best-studied volcances.** Roughly ~62% of the 91 strongest volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> sources globally<sup>30</sup> have been characterised for both SO<sub>2</sub> flux and (episodically) for volcanic gas compositions (Table 1). CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are thus obtained (see "Methods") by pairing the OMI-based time-averaged 2005–2015 SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes<sup>30</sup> with the characteristic (mean) CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios in the corresponding high-temperature magmatic gases (data from ref.<sup>36</sup> unless otherwise noted). The so-derived CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Table 1) range from 28 to 15,800 tons/day, and are in reasonable agreement (typically within a factor  $\leq$ 40%) with the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes estimated using ground-based SO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements<sup>11,15</sup>. We estimate the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> flux from the 57 volcanic sources with "measured" gas compositions by applying a Monte Carlo method (see Table 1) to the dataset. The obtained cumulative "measured" flux is 27.4 ± 3.6 Mt/yr (or 0.62 ± 0.08 \cdot 10<sup>12</sup> mol/yr).

**Matching gas and whole-rock trace element compositions.** Thirty-four top-ranking volcanic  $SO_2$  sources do not have gas compositional records (Table 1). We hereafter refer to such volcanoes without  $CO_2/S_T$  information as "unmeasured" volcanoes.

We thus explore a methodology to predict the characteristic volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio of each of these 34 "unmeasured" volcanoes using their averaged trace-element volcanic rock composition (Table S1). Gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in arc volcanoes exhibit systematic global relationships with slab fluid trace-element proxies (e.g., Ba/La or Sr/Nd ratios) in the corresponding whole-rocks, which are interpreted<sup>36</sup> as resulting from a common  $CO_2$ -Ba-Sr derivation from melting of subducted sediments in the slab<sup>40</sup> (variably enriched in  $CO_2$ ; ref.<sup>42</sup>). These relationships, once set at the scale of individual arc segments (Figs 1 and 2) or volcano Groups (Fig. 3), can now be used to infer the representative volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio signature of the 34 "unmeasured" volcanoes (Tables 1 and S1).

The procedure is illustrated in Fig. 1 and Table 2 for Pacaya volcano as an example (see "Methods"). The initial step involves establishing a  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La relationship using data for volcanoes for which both gas and



**Figure 1.** The proxy approach for estimating the  $CO_3/S_T$  ratio for "unmeasured" volcanoes (i.e., those for which no gas data exist), based on the averaged trace-element composition of the corresponding volcanic rocks. The procedure is illustrated for Pacaya volcano in Guatemala. Firstly, the association between  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in volcanic gases (corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> gas ratios in the high-temperature systems studied here) and wholerock Ba/La ratios is established at the scale of the Central American Volcanic Arc (CAVA) segments, using data for volcanoes for which both gas and trace element data are available (see Table S1a). Secondly, the gas vs. traceelement trend is fitted via either a linear or logarithm best-fit regression function. Tests made excluding (panel A) or including (panel B) the compositional point of the Depleted Mid-ocean ridge Mantle (DMM; refs<sup>123,124</sup>) in the data-fitting found that the second option systematically led to the best-data fits (see Table 2). Finally, the preferred regression model function (RM3 in the Pacaya example; see panel B and Table 2) is used to calculate a "predicted" gas CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> from available Ba/La data for Pacaya whole-rocks (uncertainty is estimated from confidence interval at one standard deviation on the regression). Our inferred gas  $CO_3/S_T$  ratio (1.4  $\pm$  0.75; Table 2) is well within the magmatic gas range (CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio of  $1.1 \pm 1.0$ ) measured during recent plume observations<sup>46</sup>. A similar CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio (see Table 2) is predicted using the CAVA gas vs. Sr/Nd ratio association (panel C). In this plot, the yellow and green dashed lines are the linear best-fit regression lines for Group 1 and 2 sub-populations, respectively.

.....

trace element data are available (for the specific Pacaya example, we use gas/whole-rock information for Central American volcanoes, see Table S1a and Fig. 1). As in previous work<sup>36</sup>, the representative  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios used in Fig. 1 (listed in Table 1 and S1a) are obtained by averaging available results for high-temperature gas samples, in the attempt to reduce the effect of secondary processes (e.g., magmatic S scrubbing during gas-water-rock reactions<sup>45</sup>) that become substantial at hydrothermal (temperature <400 °C) conditions. Secondly, regression analysis is used to fit the gas vs. trace-element association via either a (i) linear or (ii) logarithmic regression model (Fig. 1; see "Methods"). We focus on the two regression models based on the assumption that linear/logarithmic functions best describe depleted mantle (DMM)-slab fluid mixing in a  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio vs. Ba/La (or Sr/Nd) compositional field<sup>36</sup>. Finally, the adopted regression function is used to derive a "predicted" gas  $CO_2/S_T$  from available Ba/La whole rock data (Fig. 1). In the specific Pacaya example (Fig. 1 and Table 2), using a linear regression to fit the volcanic gas and DMM data-points (our RM3 regression model, see "Methods" and Table S1), the "predicted" gas  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio is  $1.4 \pm 0.75$ , well within the magmatic gas range ( $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio of  $1.1 \pm 1.0$ ) recently determined<sup>46</sup> from plume measurements (Fig. 1).

**CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios from individual-arc gas vs. trace-element relationships.** Gas vs. rock (trace element composition) associations are initially analysed at the scale of individual arc segments, in the assumption that, at such regional scales, sources and transport pathways of volatiles and trace elements are relatively uniform. In truth, intra-arc variations in thickness, age, thermal properties and composition of the slab and overlying plate<sup>47</sup>, and in the composition of subducted sediments<sup>42</sup>, are large enough to impact the mechanisms of magma generation, and thus impart regional trends in volatile<sup>48</sup> and trace element<sup>49</sup> signatures of erupted magmas. Nonetheless, it is on these individual-arc trends that we rely below. Three arc segments have enough volcanoes measured for both gases and rocks to allow reliable gas vs. rock associations to be established (Fig. 2).

The Central American Volcanic Arc (CAVA)  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La relationship, obtained from results listed in Table S1a, is illustrated in Figs 1 and 2a. The systematic along-arc trace-element patterns in CAVA volcanic rocks<sup>49</sup> (Fig. 2b,c) originate from changes in geometry, age, thermal regime and extent of serpentinization of the subducting Cocos plate slab<sup>50</sup>. As more recently found<sup>36,51</sup>, such trace-element variations correlate with those of  $CO_2/S_T$ ratios in high-temperature magmatic CAVA gases. These correlations (e.g., Figs 1 and 2a) have been explained<sup>36,51</sup>



**Figure 2.** Scatter plots of mean Ba/La whole-rock ratios vs. volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (panels A, D and G), whole-rock Sr/Nd ratios (panels B, E and H) and whole-rock U/Th ratios (panels C, F and K) for three arc segments (left, Central America; middle, Southern America; right Sunda-Banda arc in Indonesia). Each symbol corresponds to an individual volcano for which gas and trace element information is simultaneously available (see Tables S1a–c for the list of volcanoes, compositions used, and data sources). The gas vs. trace element correlations are explained in terms of mixing between a C-Ba-Sr-U-poor Depleted Mid-ocean ridge Mantle (DMM) and C-Ba-Sr-U-rich slab fluids. C-poor arc volcanoes (Group 1, in green) plot close to the DMM, while Group 2 arc volcanoes (in yellow) are C-enriched to larger slab fluid influx. The even more C-rich signature of Group 3 arc volcanoes (in red) may reflect some addition of crustal carbon<sup>36</sup>. For each arc segment, panels A, D, and G show the best-fit regression functions used to predict the volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios for "unmeasured" volcanoes (open symbols; see Tables 1 and S1b–d). The grey lines illustrate (for two "unmeasured" volcano examples) the procedure used to convert whole-rock Ba/La ratios into gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios, using the equations of the best-fit regression lines.

Measured	Predicted CO <sub>2</sub> /S <sub>T</sub>				
CO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>2</sub>	(linear regression	(logarithm regression	(linear regression	(logarithm regression	(linear regression
(molar)	model RM1)	model RM2)	model RM3)	model RM4)	model RM5)
$1.1 \pm 0.9$	$1.4 \pm 0.75$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	1.4±0.75	$2.0\pm0.8$	$1.3 \pm 0.75$

**Table 2.** Comparison between measured<sup>46</sup> and predicted (this work) volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in the Pacaya magmatic gases. At the high-T magmatic gas conditions explored here, total S ( $S_T$ ) corresponds to SO<sub>2</sub>. The predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios are obtained from the mean Ba/La ratio (or Sr/Nd; see RM5) in Pacaya whole-rocks using the regression functions through the  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La (or Sr/Nd; see RM5) association for CAVA volcances (dataset listed in Table S1a). Five distinct regression functions are tested, being illustrated (with their corresponding equations and regression coefficients) in Fig. 1. RM1 and RM2 (Fig. 1a) use linear and logarithmic regression models, respectively, and do not include the composition of the Depleted mid-ocean ridge Mantle in the fit. Regression models RM3 and RM4 (Fig. 1b) are, respectively, linear and logarithmic, and the composition of the Depleted mid-ocean ridge Mantle is included in the fit. Regression models RM5 (Fig. 1c) uses linear regression functions through the  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Sr/Nd association for Group 1 CAVA volcances. The linear regression model RM3 yields the highest regression coefficient ( $R^2 = 0.7$ ; see Fig. 1b), and is thus adopted here.

.....

as resulting from the variable addition of C-Ba-Sr-rich fluids issuing from melting of limestone-bearing slab sediments, with the highest slab-fluid influx being observed in Nicaragua<sup>52</sup>, where magmatic gases consistently have C-rich (Group 2) affinity (Fig. 2a). In Costa Rica and El Salvador, magmatic gases are typically C-poorer<sup>36,51</sup> (Group 1), in line with the lower slab affinity (and more depleted mantle-like signature) of trace-element ratios (Fig. 2). All the CAVA volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emitters of Table 1 have been measured for gas composition (at least for their CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio), except for Guatemalan volcanoes Fuego and Santa Maria. We use the CAVA CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> vs. Ba/La association (of Fig. 2a) to fill this gap of knowledge. Using the RM3 regression model in tandem with mean whole-rock Ba/La ratios (Table S1a and Fig. 2a), we infer CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios of respectively 1.7 ± 0.75 (Fuego) and 1.6 ± 0.75 (Santa Maria).



#### **All arc segments**

**Figure 3.** Scatter plots of mean Ba/La whole-rock ratios vs. (**A**) volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios, (**B**) whole-rock Sr/Nd ratios and (**C**) whole-rock U/Th ratios (panels C, F and K) for Group 1 volcanoes (green, see Table S1d) and Group 2 volcanoes (yellow, see Table S1e) globally. Each symbol corresponds to an individual arc volcano for which gas and trace element information is simultaneously available (see Tables S1d–e for the list of volcanoes, compositions used, and data sources). Volcanoes with no gas compositional information are shown as open circles. The Vanuatu arc volcanoes are plotted in light green. The best-fit regression functions through the populations of Group 1 and Group 2 volcanoes are separately illustrated. Group 1 volcanoes exhibit little change in gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios on increasing Ba/La. Their mean  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio of  $1.2 \pm 0.5$  (see Table S1d) is thus adopted for all the "unmeasured" (for gas) Group 1 volcanoes (Table 1). For the "unmeasured" Group 2 volcanoes, we average the predicted volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios obtained from regression functions RM3 and RM4 (see Tables 1 and S1e).

Our compilation (Table 1) shows that volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  data are available for the majority of the volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emitters in the Northern (NVZ), Central (CVZ) and Southern (SVZ) Volcanic Zones<sup>53</sup> of the Andes (Southern America). Very limited gas information is available<sup>54</sup> for Ecuadorian volcanoes, however, and here we use the  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La association (for South-America: Fig. 2d) to fill this knowledge gap. In the Andes, there is documented evidence in the literature for large along-arc variations in volcanic rock trace-element geochemistry<sup>55–58</sup>. Our partial whole-rock dataset, based on the subpopulation of Andean volcanoes listed in Table S1b, demonstrates an overall south-to-north increase in trace-element slab-fluid proxies (Ba/La, Sr/Nd and U/Th; Fig. 2e,f), from Copahue volcano in Argentina (SVZ) to Nevado de Ruiz in Colombia (NVZ). Importantly, the along-arc variations in the volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio scale well with the trace-element variation patterns (Fig. 2d), again suggesting common source processes. The trace-element signature of the three most actively degassing volcanoes today in Ecuador, Tungurahua<sup>59</sup>, El Reventador<sup>60</sup> and Cotopaxi<sup>61</sup> (the latter not appearing in the 91 list of top degassing volcanoes<sup>30</sup>), places Ecuadorian magmatism in an intermediate position between Colombian volcanoes in the NVZ (the richest in Ba and Sr, but also  $CO_2$ ; Fig. 2d) and intermediate C-rich Peruvian volcanoes<sup>62</sup>



Figure 4. Global map illustrating the location of the 91 strongest volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> emitters (data from Table 1). CO<sub>2</sub> flux information for both "measured" (circles with black borders) and "unmeasured" (circles with red borders) volcanoes is shown. Dimension of the symbols is proportional to CO<sub>2</sub> flux, with color fill reflecting the CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio (see legend). Trenches are differently colored depending on CO<sub>2</sub> bulk concentration in the trench sediments (data from ref.<sup>42</sup>). The map shows that the most strongly CO<sub>2</sub> degassing volcanoes are clustered in tropic to sub-tropical regions such as the Vanuatu-Papua New Guinea arc segments, in Central America, Southern American (Northern Volcanic Zone), and in the Lesser Antilles, in addition to Italy (Etna), Congo (Nyrangongo + Nyamuragira) and Hawaii (Kilauea). Volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are typically lower in higher latitude volcanic regions such as in the Aleutians-Kamchatka-Kuriles and in the South-Sandwich Islands, where no carbonate-rich lithologies are subducted at the trenches. The map was generated using the open source QGIS software (available at https://www.qgis.org/it/site/) (Copyright © 2019 AIUPPA. Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.3 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License"). The base map is a relief and bathymetry Raster called «Natural Earth II with Shaded Relief and Water» file #NE2\_HR\_LC\_SR\_W.tiff (Made with Natural Earth. Free vector and raster map data @ naturalearthdata.com). As for the shaded relief, we use the CleanTOPO2 layer, a modified SRTM30 Plus World Elevation Data also edited by Tom Patterson, US National Park Service. The original source data is from ref.<sup>125</sup>.

further to the south (in the CVZ). The mean Ba/La ratios, combined with the  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La linear regression model displayed in Fig. 2d, constrain the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio for Tungurahua and El Reventador at  $2.5 \pm 0.8$  and  $2.2 \pm 0.8$ , respectively (see Table 1 and S1b). A consistent  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio is inferred for Cotopaxi ( $2.5 \pm 0.8$ ).

The case of Indonesia, which includes the Sunda-Banda and Sangihe-Halmahera arc segments, is particularly problematic (Fig. 2g-k). The large along- and within-arc variations in crustal<sup>63</sup> and slab<sup>64</sup> structures, combined with heterogeneities in the sedimentary slab input<sup>42</sup> (Fig. 4), make it difficult to characterize regional trends in volatile sources. In the Java sector of the Sunda arc, the respective roles of crust and slab in controlling rock<sup>65</sup> and gas<sup>66</sup> geochemistry are widely debated, with some authors stressing the importance of upper plate assimilation<sup>67,68</sup> and others emphasising a slab control<sup>69-71</sup>. The Group 3 signature<sup>36</sup> of Merapi and Bromo (Fig. 2g) supports involvement of crustal carbon in Central Java<sup>72</sup>. South-to-north along-arc trends in gas <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He (decreasing) and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He (increasing) ratios<sup>66</sup> suggest a crustal volatile contribution is also likely in Sumatra, where the crust is especially thick and limestones widely exposed<sup>63,67</sup>. In contrast, crustal assimilation is supposedly minor (if any) in other sectors, including west and east-Java<sup>65</sup>, Nusa<sup>69,73</sup> Banda<sup>74</sup> and Halmahera<sup>33</sup>. In these segments of the Sunda-Banda and Sangihe-Halmahera arcs75, along-arc variations in He-C isotopes66,76,77, and the sparse high-temperature gas information, suggest variable C delivery from the slab, and thus coexistence of Group 1 and 2 volcanism (Fig. 2g). This is not unexpected, in view of the C heterogeneity in subducted sediments, from terrigenous and C-poor (Sumatra-Java) to pelagic and C-richer (Nusa, east Sunda)<sup>42</sup> (Fig. 4). The diverse volatile sources that are possibly involved, in addition to the paucity of gas data, create scatter in  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La (Fig. 2g). Only 9 Indonesian volcanoes have been measured for both whole-rock trace element composition and (high-temperature) magmatic gas composition (Table S1c). These  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La data can be fitted by either a linear (RM3) or logarithm (RM4) regression model with identical regression coefficients ( $R^2 = 0.52$ ; Fig. 2g). We therefore infer the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio signature of the "unmeasured" Indonesian volcanoes (Table 1) by averaging the output of the two regression models (Table S1c). The low regression coefficients (Fig. 2g) imply the inferred CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios should be treated with caution, as they require validation/refinement with an improved (more than 9 data-points) gas vs. trace element relationship. We caution, in particular, that the predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (Table 1) may either over-estimate (for Group 1 volcanoes) or under-estimate (for Group 3 volcanoes) by a factor  $\sim$ 1.3 (the max error in Fig. 2g) the real volcanic gas CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios of "unmeasured" Indonesian volcanoes.



SO<sub>2</sub> flux (2005-2015 mean) (tons/day)

**Figure 5.** Scatter plot exploring the relationship between the SO<sub>2</sub> flux (2005–2015 mean; data from ref.<sup>33</sup>) and the volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio for the population of "measured" volcanoes in Table 1. For Turrialba + Poas (T), we plot the best-guess estimate for the magmatic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio for Turrialba volcano (data from 28 top-ranking volcanic point sources of SO<sub>2</sub> (left) and CO<sub>2</sub> (right) during 2005–2015. Data are from Table 1. SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are 2005–2015 means from ref.<sup>30</sup>. The CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are calculated from SO<sub>2</sub> using measured or predicted  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratios (see Table 1). Different volcano groups are identified by different colours. The global CO<sub>2</sub> budget is dominated by CO<sub>2</sub>-rich Group 2–3 arc volcanoes. Two rift volcanoes (Nyiragongo and Nyamuragira) and one within-plate (WP) volcano (Kilauea) appears in the top-10 list of CO<sub>2</sub> emitting volcanoes.

.....

 $CO_2/S_T$  ratios from Group-based gas vs. trace element relationships. Several of the "unmeasured" (for gas) volcanoes in Table 1 are sited in arc segments for which insufficient gas/rock information is currently available to establish individual-arc associations (as those analysed in Fig. 2). In order to derive information on their  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio gas signature, we use the global relationship between  $CO_2/S_T$  and Ba/La in Groups 1–2 volcanoes (ref.<sup>36</sup>) (Fig. 3).

The majority of the remaining "unmeasured" (for gas) volcanoes in Table 1 are sited in arc segments for which available deep sea drill holes point to the lack of C-rich lithologies (limestones) in the subducted sediment succession<sup>42</sup> (Fig. 4). Trench sediments poor in C have been identified in the segment of the Pacific Ring of Fire (Fig. 4) that stretches from Aleutians-Kuril-Kamchatka to the N/NW to Marianas/Japan/Philippines further south (10 "unmeasured" volcanoes in total – see Table 1). Where high-temperature gas information is available, a  $CO_2$ -poor (Group 1) signature of volcanic gases<sup>36</sup> has typically been observed in such carbonate-poor trenches (Fig. 4), matching well the small sedimentary slab C input<sup>42</sup>. Sediments are similarly C-poor (e.g., prevailingly terrigenous and biosiliceous<sup>42</sup>) in the Tonga and South Sandwich arcs (3 "unmeasured" volcanoes; Fig. 4). We therefore assign to Group 1 all the "unmeasured" (for gas) arc volcanoes fed by carbonate-poor trenches. Group 1 volcanoes exhibit little change in gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios with increasing Ba/La (Fig. 3a). This implies either (i) limited C delivery from the slab in the absence of carbonated sediments (e.g., that fluids/melts delivered by terrigenous sediments, altered oceanic crust and/or serpentinite are not major C sources<sup>36</sup>), or (ii) that slab C and S are added to the mantle wedge in 1:1 to 4:1 proportions at most (Group 1 volcanoes typically have  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios  $\sim 3-4$  times higher than the DDM). The lack of dependence on Ba/La (Fig. 3a) means that we can prudently use the measured Group 1  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio average (1.2 ± 0.5; see Table S1d) for all the "unmeasured" (for gas) Group 1 volcanoes in Table 1.

Group 2 volcanoes are, by definition<sup>36</sup>, those having CaCO<sub>3</sub>-rich sediments in their trenches. These volcanoes typically have more C-rich volcanic gas composition ( $CO_2/S_T$  ratio >2 but  $\leq$ 4) and exhibit stronger, steeper correlation between gas  $CO_2/S_T$  and trace element ratios (Fig. 3a). These Group 2 volcanoes are located in high biological productivity zones close to the tropics, where sediments are increasingly biogenic in nature and/or where seafloor is shallow enough (above the calcite compensation depth, CCD) to support carbonate deposition<sup>42</sup> (Fig. 4). Of the few remaining "unmeasured" (for gas) volcanoes in Table 1, those in the Papua New Guinea-Solomon-Vanuatu arc segment are thus potential candidates for Group 2. The Papua New Guinea-Solomon arc sectors (Fig. 4) are a particular challenge because no gas samples are available, and no deep sea drill holes have been placed in the seafloor of the Solomon Sea, seaward of their trenches. Likewise, there are few relevant piston cores to provide any seafloor samples. Our inferences are thus based on seafloor depth, assumptions about the regional CCD, and drill sites in other, nearby southwest Pacific marginal seas. At DSDP Site 63, in the East Caroline Basin north of New Britain, carbonate lithologies were encountered throughout the entire section, from the Quaternary to the middle Oligocene basaltic basement<sup>78</sup>. This site, at 4472 m water depth, has thus been above the CCD over its entire history. Similarly, drilling at DSDP 287 (4653 m water depth), in the Coral Sea south of Papua New Guinea and east of the Solomon Islands, intercepted abundant carbonate lithologies through most of the sedimentary section to its lower Eocene basement<sup>79</sup>. Given that the water depths of the Solomon Sea are predominantly <4500 m seaward of the New Britain, Solomon and Northern Vanuatu trenches, we expect this seafloor to have been above the CCD for much of its history as well, and thus to be delivering carbonate-rich sediment to these subduction zones. Based on the above, we consider it very likely that "unmeasured" volcanoes in the Papua New Guinea- Northern Solomon-Vanuatu arcs belong to Group 2. We use therefore the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio vs. Ba/La global association for Group 2 volcanoes (see Fig. 3a) to predict (based on trace element information)  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios ranging from  $2.1 \pm 0.7$  to  $2.7 \pm 0.7$  for these volcanoes (Tables 1 and S1e). We note that the two "measured" volcanoes in the central and southern Vanuatu arc (Bembow on Ambrym Island, and Yasur on Tanna Island) both exhibit Group 1 gas affinity ( $CO_2/S_T$  of 1.5–1.6), implying that the predicted C-richer gas signature for northern Vanuatu volcanoes requires validation from measurements.

#### Discussion

**Validity of whole rock trace element proxy for CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub>**. Our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios stand on the assumption that gas compositions are linked to trace element compositions of their source magmas at either regional (Fig. 2) or global (Fig. 3) scale. Implicit in establishing such relationships is that gas ( $CO_2/S_T$ ) and trace-element (Ba/La) whole-rock tracers are inherited by the same processes at their source, and are similarly conserved during magma ascent, decompression and degassing/eruption<sup>36</sup>. For Ba/La, a link has been made between signatures of arc rocks and subducted sediments at corresponding tranches<sup>80</sup>, so that this and other trace element ratios are commonly used as slab-fluid proxies for charactering the mantle source of magmas<sup>81,82</sup>. Both elements exhibit incompatible behaviour during magma differentiation, so that the source-inherited ratios are essentially conserved during magma evolution, at least for the mafic to intermediate (andesitic) magma compositions considered here (as outlined in the Method).

The behaviour of volatile components  $CO_2$  and S is obviously complex during the generation and evolution of slab fluids and mantle-derived magmas<sup>83</sup>. Not only are slab sources and processes only partially understood for C and S<sup>12,39</sup>, but these volatile species will be selectively extracted from melt and partitioned into the vapour phase according to their melt solubilities (that dependent in a complex fashion on magma T-P-X-redox conditions), upon magma decompression and differentiation<sup>84,85</sup>. One may thus argue that degassing-related fractionations, for which abundant model<sup>86–88</sup>, experimental<sup>89</sup> and observational<sup>90</sup> evidence exists, act as to render the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in both degassed melt (preserved in melt inclusions in phenocrysts) and exsolved vapour (discharged as volcanic gases) unrepresentative of the mantle source compositions, and thus unlinked<sup>91</sup> to Ba/La or other trace element proxies.

Where sufficient data exists (e.g., Figs 2a,d and 3a), however, the  $CO_2/S_T$  vs. Ba/La correlations appear systematic and statistically significant, and we consider unlikely that these associations are purely accidental. Our regional/global associations here, thus, implicate that the time-averaged CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios of volcanic gases ultimately reflect the volatile ratios in the parental (un-degassed) melt, and in the mantle source. To reconcile this with the well-established degassing-driven CO<sub>2</sub> vs. S<sub>T</sub> fractionations, we observe that, at least at mafic systems, comparison between measured and modelled (from numerical simulation of magma degassing paths using volatile saturation codes<sup>86-88</sup>) gas CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios typically imply equilibrium pressures (e.g., pressures of final gas-melt segregation) of 0.1-5 MPa during quiescent degassing activity<sup>29,36,84,85,92</sup>. Thus, at least during non-eruptive periods, during which the majority of the volcanic gas observations in the literature are taken, observations and models both indicate very shallow (a few hundred meters below the magma-air interface) gas segregation from the convecting feeding magmas<sup>93,94</sup>. If shallow closed-system degassing conditions<sup>85,94</sup> prevail, then the magmatic gas phase released as volcanic gas during open-vent activity does represent an integral of volatiles exsolved from melt during most (P>5 MPa) of the magma decompression path. This released magmatic gas is thus very similar in composition to the source and parental melt volatile signature, irrespective of its hydrous (for arc volcanoes) or more H<sub>2</sub>O-poor (for non-arc systems) nature<sup>20,93</sup>. The short-lived (days to weeks) pulses of CO<sub>2</sub>-rich gas, seen prior to eruption of mafic arc volcanoes<sup>27-29,84,92</sup>, imply somewhat deeper (typically, ~10-30 MPa) last gas-melt equilibration, but yet suggest closed-system is maintained up to rather shallow levels in a magmatic plumbing system, at least during quiescence. During basaltic explosive activity, deeper gas segregation is implied by gas observations<sup>95,96</sup>, but such eruptive degassing contributes only a minor fraction of the total degassing budget, which is dominated by passive emissions93.

The lack of a systematic correlation between volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios and  $SO_2$  fluxes (Fig. 5) further supports the idea that the former are not significantly affected by variable extents of magma degassing and gas-melt separation depths at various volcances. In mafic systems, the  $SO_2$  flux is a proxy for the rates of magma degassing in a volcance's shallow (<3 km) plumbing system<sup>93</sup>. As such, at least in principle, shallow magma ascent and decompression should be tracked by increasing  $SO_2$  flux and decreasing  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios in the surface gas output<sup>26</sup>, a relationship that is not observed in our global dataset (Fig. 5). The  $SO_2$  flux-independent, distinct  $CO_2/S_T$  distributions of Group 1, 2 and 3 volcances (see Fig. 5) suggest, instead, that source signature, rather than degassing, ultimately controls the longer-term, time-averaged volcanic gas compositions. We caution that  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio volcanic gas compositions may become less source-related in intermediate to silicic systems, where the gas output is often buffered by gas-melt equilibration in crustal, vapour-saturated magma reservoirs<sup>97-100</sup>. It is thus possible that part of the scatter in our gas vs. trace-element associations (Figs 2 and 3) is caused by the intermediate (andesitic) systems included in our dataset. Silicic systems have intentionally been excluded from our compilation.

The good match between our predicted and measured  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratios at Pacaya volcano (Fig. 1) also support, although indirectly, the validity of our gas vs. trace element associations. In addition to Pacaya, recent airborne gas measurements<sup>54</sup> at Tungurahua and Cotopaxi volcanoes in Ecuador have found  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratios (in the 2 to 2.5 range) fully overlapping our predicted range ( $2.5 \pm 0.8$ ; Table 1). These successful tests provide confidence in the robustness of our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios. We caution that, in order to validate our methodology further and reduce the scatter in gas vs. trace element scatter plots (e.g., Fig. 3g), gas observations should be prioritized in remote, unexplored volcanoes in Papua New Guinea, Sandwich Islands, Solomon Islands, Sumatra, east Sunda-Banda, and north-Vanuatu. In some of these arc segments (e.g., Sumatra, Sunda), crustal C may be involved<sup>63,66,67</sup>, in which case our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios may underestimate the actual magmatic gas ratio (by a factor up to ~1.5–2). We also advise that, since only high-temperature ( $SO_2$ -dominated) gas data are used to



**Figure 6.** The 28 top-ranking volcanic point sources of  $SO_2$  (left) and  $CO_2$  (right) during 2005–2015. Data are from Table 1.  $SO_2$  fluxes are 2005–2015 means from ref.<sup>30</sup>. The  $CO_2$  fluxes are calculated from  $SO_2$  using measured or predicted  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratios (see Table 1). Different volcano groups are identified by different colours. The global  $CO_2$  budget is dominated by  $CO_2$ -rich Group 2–3 arc volcanoes. Two rift volcanoes (Nyiragongo and Nyamuragira) and one within-plate (WP) volcano (Kilauea) appears in the top-10 list of  $CO_2$  emitting volcanoes<sup>110</sup>.

establish our gas vs. trace-element associations (Figs 2 and 3), our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios are representative of the magmatic gas signature, irrespectively of whether or not hydrothermal processes are acting to alter the actual and total gas volcano emissions. For example, the hydrothermal (H<sub>2</sub>S-rich) gas emissions from Marapi volcano in Sumatra have measured  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios of  $20.5 \pm 1.1$  (Table 1), well distinct from what we would predict ( $CO_2/S_T$  ratio of ~2.6) using the whole-rock Ba/La ( $19 \pm 3$ ; Table S1c) and the Indonesian gas vs. trace-element relationship (Fig. 2g). As such, discrepancy between measured and predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio at any other hydrothermal volcano may lead to apportioning the fraction of S lost to (or C produced by) the hydrothermal system. While we believe that hydrothermal processing should be the exception rather than the rule for the satellite-sensed volcanoes here, we ultimately anticipate our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (Table 1) will require revision and upgrading as new high quality gas data become available for newly measured volcanoes.

One important aspect to consider is that our regional/global associations (Figs 2 and 3) are based on averaging trace element information for rocks erupted during decades to millennia of volcanic activity. As such, the  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios predicted from such associations should be viewed as long-term means over a volcano's lifespan, rather than the instantaneous measurements as obtainable by direct gas observations. These "geologic" gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios may thus serve, when combined with measured S content in mafic glass inclusions, to estimate the initial  $CO_2$  content in parental, un-degassed melts, and eventually in the sub-arc mantle. Both are similarly poorly contrained<sup>101,102</sup> due to pre- and post-entrapment loss to vapour of poorly soluble  $CO_2$ .

A decadal global CO<sub>2</sub> flux budget. Our predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios are converted into  $CO_2$  fluxes (Table 1) by assuming  $S_T = SO_2$  and scaling to the OMI-based mean  $SO_2$  fluxes for the 2005–2015 period<sup>30</sup>. We focus on the OMI satellite dataset owing to advantages brought by its global and coincident observations, but yet observe that quantitatively similar results would be obtained using ground-based  $SO_2$  flux observations instead<sup>15</sup>. The predicted  $CO_2$  fluxes range from 57 tons/day (Kanlaon volcano in the Philippines) to 6200 tons/day (Bagana volcano in PNG) (Figs 4 and 6). Uncertainty in the derived  $CO_2$  fluxes (see Table 1, column N) is based on propagation of the respective errors on  $SO_2$  flux (column G) and predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (column I).

The total cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the 34 "unmeasured" volcanoes (those with no measured gas information available) would thus be ~11.4 ± 1.1 Mt/yr (~0.26 ± 0.02 \cdot 10^{12} mol/yr), thus adding an additional ~34% to the cumulative "measured" mean CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2005–2015 (27.4 ± 3.6 Mt/yr; Table 1). Finally, our extrapolated (measured + predicted) CO<sub>2</sub> flux budget is  $38.7 \pm 2.9$  Mt/yr (or  $0.88 \pm 0.06 \cdot 10^{12}$  mol/yr). It is important to notice that our approach, in which the CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio signature of each volcano is independently evaluated, leads to far better constrained CO<sub>2</sub> budget (7% uncertainty at 1 SD) that would be possible using any "averaged" volcanic

 $CO_2/S_T$  ratio proxy (as has been often attempt in past studies). For example, scaling the mean global  $SO_2$  flux (23±15 Mt/yr) to the mean volcanic  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio (2.7±3.6) (all data from Table 1) would lead to a global  $CO_2$  flux of 62±92 Mt/yr (e.g., 148% uncertainty at 1 SD).

Based on our results, we infer that 6 strongly degassing volcanoes with time-averaged (2005–2015 means)  $CO_2$  fluxes of  $\geq$  5000 tons/day dominate the global  $CO_2$  budget (Figs 4 and 6). One of these (Bagana, PNG) is an "unmeasured" volcano and would not have been identified as a top  $CO_2$  emitter without the proxy approach developed here. It is interesting to observe that while the  $SO_2$  global budget is dominated by the Group 1 volcanoes (accounting for 13 out of the 28 strongest volcanic  $SO_2$  sources; Fig. 6), the  $CO_2$  global budget is predominantly determined by the  $CO_2$ -enriched arc volcanoes in Group 2 (13 out of 28) and Group 3 (5 out of 28, with 2 - Popocatepetl and Etna - in the top-5 list) (Fig. 6). Two continental rift volcanoes (Nyiragongo and Nyamuragira) and two within-plate volcanoes (Kilauea and Erebus) also appear in our top-28 list of volcanic  $CO_2$  emitters (Fig. 6).

Our extrapolated global CO<sub>2</sub> flux of  $38.7 \pm 2.9$  Mt/yr is lower than previous global volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimates in the literature, ranging from 66 to 540 Mt/yr (see ref.<sup>11</sup> for a review). Several causes can explain this mismatch.

First, and most importantly, our global volcanic  $CO_2$  budget here only includes the contribution from the "strongly degassing volcanoes" that emit  $SO_2$  in quantities large enough to be detected from space (by OMI in this specific case<sup>30</sup>). We therefore admittedly do not take into consideration in our estimate the  $CO_2$  contribution from mildly degassing "magmatic" volcanoes (those still emitting  $SO_2$ , but at levels too low to be resolved by satellites) and from "hydrothermal" volcanoes in which  $CO_2$  is emitted in combination with  $H_2S$  (instead of  $SO_2$ ). Although typically exhibiting weaker surface gas manifestations, compared to the OMI-detected volcanoes characterised here, these magmatic-hydrothermal systems do often exhibit C-rich gas compositions<sup>36</sup> (reflecting the extent/mechanism of gas-water-rock reaction with meteoric-hydrothermal fluids<sup>45</sup>), and do emit  $CO_2$  at the ~1000 tons/ day level in the most extreme cases<sup>17</sup>, but most typically in the hundreds of tons/day range<sup>15</sup>. Considering that several hundreds of volcanoes worldwide are currently undergoing mild magmatic-hydrothermal degassing activity, this emission type could be responsible for the emission of several tens of Mt  $CO_2$ /yr globally<sup>11,15</sup>. Also, we do not account for the  $CO_2$  output from volcanic lakes<sup>103</sup>, and diffuse/regional soil  $CO_2$  emissions around volcanic systems<sup>104</sup>, for which more data and alternative extrapolation approaches would be required. We therefore stress our results are not intended to represent total  $CO_2$  emissions from global subaerial volcanism, but rather the magmatic  $CO_2$  budget fraction contributed by the most actively degassing volcanoes on Earth.

Secondly, the mismatch in the estimated CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (this work and previous studies) derives (at least partially) from the distinct gas datasets used. We here specifically base our  $CO_2$  budget calculations on a consistent set of coincident (satellite-based) SO<sub>2</sub> flux measurement, taken during a relatively short (decadal) period and with same retrieval/processing technique. In contrast, previous estimates have been hampered by the combination of sparse observations, taken over several decades, and with diverse observational/retrieval techniques. Even volcanoes which are persistently active alternate periods of elevated degassing with phases of reduced activity, and so non-coincident observations (taken over periods spanning several decades) may lead to biases. For example, by combining measurements taken between 1954 and 2011, a cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> flux of 59.7 Mt/yr (from 33 measured volcanic gas plumes) was obtained<sup>11</sup>, or 2 times more than our mean 2005–2015 flux. We also explicitly use CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> information for high-temperature magmatic gases only, in contrast with previous efforts<sup>23</sup> in which individual arc  $CO_2$  emissions have been quantified also considering low-temperature hydrothermal gas samples in which the C-rich composition is not representative of the strongly degassing "magmatic" arc systems. We also cannot rule out that part of the discrepancy is due to our Ba/La approach, which may only represents the sub-Moho magmatic  $CO_2$  flux, and not a potentially large<sup>44</sup> recycled crustal  $CO_2$  flux. Finally, our "measured" CO<sub>2</sub> dataset is extrapolated to the total number of "unmeasured" strongly degassing volcanoes by predicting, for each of them, the specific  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio gas signature, rather than relying on the assumption that the global CO<sub>2</sub> flux population obeys a specific statistical distribution (e.g., the power law distribution<sup>105</sup>).

Our results implicate that the arc volcano C flux (~8  $\pm$  0.6 Mt C/yr) corresponds to a significant amount (~50%) of the subducted sedimentary carbonate (15  $\pm$  2 Mt/yr; ref.<sup>106</sup>), but only a relatively small fraction (<21%) of the total C input at arc trenches (40–114 Mt C/yr; refs<sup>1,12</sup>). Thus, either the C input is balanced by "diffuse" C output forms, such as regional aquifers or soil degassing<sup>107</sup> in the arc crust, or a substantial fraction of the subducted C is ultimately not erupted, but rather stored either in the lithospheric mantle<sup>8</sup> or in the deep mantle<sup>1,2</sup>.

#### Methods

The SO<sub>2</sub> flux compilation<sup>30</sup> we rely on in this study includes a list of the 91 top-ranking volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> degassing sources in 2005–2015 (Table 1). This set of consistent (identical retrieval/processing technique) and simultaneous (global) measurements has improved upon the shortcomings of previous catalogues<sup>108</sup>, which combined SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes obtained with diverse techniques and in disparate temporal intervals (often differing by several decades).

These SO<sub>2</sub> flux data are converted into CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes by using either measured or predicted molar CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratios. For these strongly degassing volcanoes, S<sub>T</sub> is assumed to correspond to SO<sub>2</sub> throughout, since SO<sub>2</sub> detection by satellites implies limited or no interaction with hydrothermal system (and thus trivial reduced S species, such as H<sub>2</sub>S).

**Measured volcances.** For 57 out of these 91 volcanic  $SO_2$  sources, we convert  $SO_2$  fluxes into  $CO_2$  fluxes, by pairing the former with the characteristic (mean) molar  $CO_2/S_T$  ( $CO_2/SO_2$ ) ratios in the corresponding volcanic gases (Table 1). For arc volcances, we use the time-averaged molar  $CO_2/S_2$  ratios compiled by (ref.<sup>36</sup>), integrated with novel gas information for eight new targets that have only recently been measured for the first time (see Table 1 for data provenance). Arc volcances are ranked in Groups (1 to 3) following the original categorization<sup>36</sup>. For non-arc volcances (here referred as Group 4), we average available volcanic gas information in the literature (see Table 1 for data sources). Note that, for both arc and non-arc, in cases where more than one volcance are listed

in the original dataset<sup>30</sup> (e.g., Nyiragongo + Nyamuragira) due to insufficient spatial OMI resolution, we averaged the available volcanic gas information for the individual volcanoes, weighting each volcano's  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio by its ground-based S flux (where available) to obtain a combined  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio for the pair (see Table 1).

**Unmeasured volcanoes.** Thirty-four out of the 91 top-ranking volcanic  $SO_2$  sources<sup>30</sup> have never been characterised for volcanic gas composition (Table 1). These include four of the top-ten ranking volcanic  $SO_2$  emitters<sup>30</sup> (Bagana, Rabaul and Manam in Papua New Guinea, and Aoba in the Vanuatu archipelagos; Fig. 4). To indirectly infer the molar  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio gas signature of each of these 34 volcanoes, we use the averaged (mean) trace-element composition of the corresponding volcanic rocks. To this aim, as in earlier work<sup>36</sup>, we extract trace-element information (Ba, La, Sr, Nd, U and Th whole-rock concentrations) either from the Earthchem data-portal (http://www.earthchem.org/), or from other sources (for volcanoes that do not appear on Earthchem) (see Table S1). Mafic to intermediate (<55% SiO<sub>2</sub>) rocks are only considered, same as in other work<sup>109</sup>. From these, we calculate, for each volcano, the mean (±1 SD) of the Ba/La whole-rock ratios (Sr/Nd and U/Th ratios were also calculated; see Table S1). These ratios, in combination with the gas vs. whole-rock relationships illustrated in Figs 1–3, are finally used, to predict the characteristic volcanic gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratio signature for each of the 34 "unmeasured" volcanoes.

The procedure is exemplified in Fig. 1 for the Pacaya volcano example. We select Pacaya because the recently obtained gas compositions<sup>46</sup> can serve as a test of the methodology. The initial step involves establishing the relationship between  $CO_2/S_T$  gas ratios and whole-rock Ba/La ratios, using data for volcanoes for which both gas and trace element data are available (see Fig. 1; Table S1). The CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> vs. Ba/La relationship can be established at the scale of individual arc segments (e.g., Figs 1 and 2), or for volcano Groups<sup>36</sup> (Groups 1 or 2) (Fig. 3). For the Pacaya example, we rely on gas/whole-rock information for the well-characterised Central American Volcanic Arc (CAVA; Fig. 1). Secondly, we use regression analysis to fit the gas vs. trace-element association via either a (i) linear or (ii) logarithm regression model (Fig. 1). We find that linear regression yields the best data fit in the majority of the cases (see the Pacaya example, Fig. 1a,b), and this regression model is used throughout unless where indicated (see Table S1). We also find that data fitting is systemically optimised when the DMM composition is included in the fitting procedure (compare Fig. 1a,b), and this option is maintained throughout. Note, however, the method output (e.g., the outputted CO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>T</sub> ratio) is poorly sensitive to this choice (see Table 2). Finally, the adopted regression model function (RM3 in the Pacaya example; Fig. 1 and Table 2) is used to calculate a "predicted" gas  $CO_2/S_T$  from available Ba/La information (Fig. 1). The confidence interval or delta, calculated from the regression line and one standard deviation about the regression, is taken as a proxy for the uncertainty in the predicted  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios. Uncertainty on the predicted ratios, as derived, incorporates (although indirectly) uncertainty/variability in "measured" gas  $CO_2/S_T$  ratios (average uncertainty at  $1\sigma$ , ~26%) and whole-rock Ba/La ratios (average uncertainty at  $1\sigma$ , ~16%) (see Table S1). In the specific Pacaya example (Fig. 1 and Table 2), our "predicted" gas  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio (1.4±0.75) matches well the recently measured<sup>45</sup> magmatic gas range (CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio of  $1.1 \pm 1.0$ ). Our tests show that remarkably similar CO<sub>2</sub>/ SO<sub>2</sub> ratios (see Table 2) are obtained using other trace-element slab fluid tracers, such as the Sr/Nd ratio (Fig. 1c). We opt in the following for the Ba/La regression model because (i) La is more frequently available than Nd in the Earthchem dataset for the majority of the volcanoes, and (ii) use of the Sr/Nd ratio requires a priori knowledge of volcano affinity for a specific Group (Group 1 and 2 typically exhibit diverse distributions in a CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> vs. Sr/Nd scatter plot; see Fig. 1c). This latter information is frequently not a priori available (see below). The same procedure is applied to all unmeasured volcanoes (Table S1a), and the "predicted" ratios (assumed to correspond to CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub>) are combined with SO<sub>2</sub> flux results to ultimately infer the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Table 1).

#### References

- 1. Dasgupta, R. & Hirschmann, M. M. The deep carbon cycle and melting in Earth's interior. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 298, 1–13 (2010).
- 2. Dasgupta, R. & Hirschmann, M. M. Melting in the Earth's deep upper mantle caused by carbon dioxide. Nature 440, 659–662 (2006).
- 3. Berner, R. A. The Phanerozoic Carbon Cycle: CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. Oxford University Press (2004).
- 4. Lee, C.-T. *et al.* Continental arc–island arc fluctuations, growth of crustal carbonates, and long-term climate change. *Geosphere* 9, 21–36 (2013).
- Royer, D. L., Donnadieu, Y., Park, J., Kowalczyk, J. & Goddéris, Y. Error analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> estimates from the long-term geochemical model GEOCARBSULF. Am. J. Sci. 314, 1259–1283 (2014).
- 6. van der Meer, D. G. et al. Plate tectonic controls on atmospheric CO2 levels since the Triassic. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 111, 4380–4385 (2014).
- Brune, S., Williams, S. E. & Müller, R. D. Potential links between continental rifting, CO<sub>2</sub> degassing and climate change through time. *Nat. Geoscience* 10, 941–946, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0003-6, (2017).
- Foley, S. F. & Fischer, T. P. The essential role of continental rifts and lithosphere in the deep carbon cycle. *Nat. Geosci.* 10, 897–902, https://doi.org/s41561-017-0002-7 (2017).
- 9. Sleep, N. H. & Zahnle, K. Carbon dioxide cycling and implications for climate on ancient Earth. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 106, 1373–1399 (2001).
- 10. Kasting, J. F. & Catling, D. Evolution of a habitable planet. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 41, 429-463 (2003).
- Burton, M. R., Sawyer, G. M. & Granieri, D. Deep carbon emissions from volcanoes. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 75(1), 323–354 (2013).
  Kelemen, P. B. & Manning, C. E. Reevaluating carbon fluxes in subduction zones, what goes down, mostly comes up. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, E3997–E4006 (2015).
- 13. Marty, B., Alexander, C. M. O. 'D. & Raymond, S. N. Primordial origins of Earth's carbon. Rev Mineral Geochem 75, 149-181 (2013).
- Le Voyer, M., Kelley, K. A., Cottrell, E. & Hauri, E. H. Heterogeneity in mantle carbon content from CO<sub>2</sub>-undersaturated basalts. *Nat. Comm.* 8, 14062, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14062 (2017).
- 15. Werner, C. *et al*. Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Subaerial Volcanic Regions: Two decades in review. In Whole Earth Carbon, Orcutt, B., Dasgupta, R., Daniel, I. (Eds), Cambridge University Press (2019, in press).
  - Schwandner, F. M. et al. Space-Borne Detection of Localized Carbon Dioxide Sources. Science 358 (6360), eaam5782, 192, https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5782 (2017).
  - 17. Aiuppa A et al. New ground-based lidar enables volcanic CO2 flux measurements. Sci. Rep. 5 (2015).
  - 18. Queisser, M., Granieri, D. & Burton, M. A new frontier in CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements using a highly portable DIAL laser system. *Sci. Rep.* **6** (2016).
  - Oppenheimer, C., Fischer, T. P. & Scaillet B. Volcanic Degassing: Process and Impact. In Treatise on Geochemistry, The Crust, (eds Holland, H. D. and Turekian, K. K.). Elsevier, Second Edition 4, 111–179 (2014).

- Fischer, T. P. & Chiodini, G. Volcanic, Magmatic and Hydrothermal Gas Discharges. In Encyclopaedia of Volcanoes, 2nd Edition, 779–797 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00045-6 (2015).
- Galle B. et al. Network for Observation of Volcanic and Atmospheric Change (NOVAC)-A global network for volcanic gas monitoring: Network layout and instrument description. J. Geophys. Res. 115 (2010).
- 22. https://deepcarboncycle.org/home-decade/.
- Hilton, D. R., Fischer, T. & Marty, B. Noble gases and volatile recycling at subduction zones. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 47, 319–370, https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2002.47.9 (2002).
- 24. Shinohara, H. Volatile flux from subduction zone volcanoes: insights from a detailed evaluation of the fluxes from volcanoes in Japan. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 268, 46–63 (2013).
- Siebert, L., Cottrell, E., Venzke, E. & Andrews, B. Earth's Volcanoes and Their Eruptions: An Overview, In The Encyclopedia of Volcanoes (Second Edition). (eds Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., McNutt, S., Rymer, H. and Stix, J.). Academic Press, Elsevier, 239–254 (2015).
- 26. Aiuppa, A. et al. Total volatile flux from Mount Etna. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35(24), L24302, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035871 (2008).
- Aiuppa, A. *et al.* Unusually large magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> gas emissions prior to a basaltic paroxysm. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37(17), art. no. L17303 (2010).
- Poland, M. P., Miklius, A., Jeff Sutton, A. & Thornber, C. R. A mantle-driven surge in magma supply to Kilauea Volcano during 2003–2007. Nature Geosci. 5, 295 (2012).
- de Moor, J. M. et al. Turnoil at Turnalba Volcano (Costa Rica): Degassing and eruptive processes inferred from high-frequency gas monitoring. J. Geophys. Res. 121(8), 5761–5775 (2016).
- Carn, S. A., Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Li, C. & Krotkov, N. A. A decade of global volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions measured from space. Sci. Rep. 7 (2017).
- Allard, P. et al. Prodigious emission rates and magma degassing budget of major, trace and radioactive volatile species from Ambrym basaltic volcano, Vanuatu island Arc. J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res. 304, 378–402 (2015).
- 32. Aiuppa, A. *et al.* First determination of magma-derived gas emissions from Bromo volcano, eastern Java (Indonesia). J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res. **304**, 206–213 (2015).
- 33. Bani P. *et al.* Dukono, the predominant source of volcanic degassing in Indonesia, sustained by a depleted Indian-MORB. *Bull. Volcanol.* **80**(1) (2017).
- Mori, T., Shinohara, H., Kazahaya, K., Hirabayashi, J., Matsushima, T. & Mori, T. *et al.* Time-averaged SO2 fluxes of subductionzone volcanoes: Example of a 32-year exhaustive survey for Japanese volcanoes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 118(15), 8662–74 (2013).
- de Moor J. M. et al. A New Sulfur and Carbon Degassing Inventory for the Southern Central American Volcanic Arc: The Importance of Accurate Time-Series Datasets and Possible Tectonic Processes Responsible for Temporal Variations in Arc-Scale Volatile Emissions. Geochem., Geophys., Geosys. (2017).
- Aiuppa, A., Fischer, T. P., Plank, T., Robidoux, P. & Di Napoli, R. Along-arc, inter-arc and arc-to-arc variations in volcanic gas CO<sub>2</sub>/ S<sub>T</sub> ratios reveal dual source of carbon in arc volcanism. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 168, 24–47 (2017).
- Kerrick, D. M. & Connolly, J. A. D. Metamorphic devolatization of subducted marine sediments and the transport of volatiles into the Earth's mantle. *Nature* 411, 293–296 (2001a).
- Kessel, R., Schmidt, M. W., Ulmer, P. & Pettke, T. Trace element signature of subduction-zone fluids, melts and supercritical fluids at 120–180 km depths. *Nature* 437, 724–727 (2005).
- Jégo, S. & Dasgupta, R. The fate of sulfur during fluid-present melting of subducting basaltic crust at variable oxygen fugacity. J. Petrol. 55(6), 1019–1050 (2014).
- Hermann, J., Zheng, Y.-F. & Rubatto, D. Deep fluids in subducted continental crust. *Elements* 9, 281–287, https://doi.org/10.2113/ gselements.9.4.281 (2013).
- Skora, S. et al. Hydrous phase relations and trace element partitioning behaviour in calcareous sediments at subduction-zone conditions. J. Petrol. 56, 953–980 (2015).
- 42. Plank, T. The chemical composition of subducting sediments. In Treatise on Geochemistry, The Crust, (eds Holland, H. D. and Turekian, K. K.), Second Edition 4, 607–629 (2014).
- Carter, L. B. & Dasgupta, R. Hydrous basalt-limestone interaction at crustal conditions: Implications for generation of ultracalcic melts and outflux of CO<sub>2</sub> at volcanic arcs. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 427, 202–214 (2015).
- 44. Mason, E., Edmonds, M. & Turchyn, A. V. Remobilization of crustal carbon may dominate volcanic arc emissions. *Science* 357, 290–4 (2017).
- Symonds, R. B., Gerlach, T. M. & Reed, M. H. Magmatic gas scrubbing: implications for volcano monitoring. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 108, 303–341 (2001).
- Battaglia A et al. The Magmatic gas Signature of Pacaya Volcano, with implications for the volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux from Guatemala. Geochem., Geophys., Geosys. (2018).
- 47. Syracuse, E. M., van Keken, P. E. & Abers, G. A. The global range of subduction zone thermal models. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 183, 73–90 (2010).
- 48. Fischer, T. P. et al. Subduction and recycling of nitrogen along the Central American margin. Science 297(5584), 1154–1157 (2002).
- Sadofsky, S., Portnyagin, M., Hoernle, K. & van den Bogaard, P. Subduction cycling of volatiles and trace elements through the Central American volcanic arc: evidence from melt inclusions. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 155, 433–456 (2008).
- Protti, M., Gundel, F. & McNally, K. Correlation between the age of the subduct-ing Cocos plate and the geometry of the Wadati–Benioff zone under Nicaragua and Costa Rica. Spec. Pap., Geol. Soc. Am. 295, 309–326 (1995).
- 51. Aiuppa, A. *et al.* Gas measurements from the Costa Rica-Nicaragua volcanic segment suggest possible along-arc variations in volcanic gas chemistry. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **407**, 134–147 (2014).
- Abers, G. A., Plank, T. & Hacker, B. R. The wet Nicaraguan slab. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015649 (2003).
- 33. Stern, C. R. Active Andean volcanism: Its geologic and tectonic setting. *Rev. Geol. Chile* **31**(2), 161–206 (2004).
- 54. Hidalgo, S. & Arellano, S. Volcanoes and gas monitoring in Ecuador. Proc. 13th CCVG-IAVCEI gas workshop, Ecuador (2017).
- Hickey-Vargas, R., Holbik, S., Tormey, D., Frey, F. A. & Moreno Roa, R. H. Basaltic rocks from the Andean Southern Volcanic Zone: Insights from the comparison of along-strike and small-scale geochemical variations and their sources. *Lithos* 258–259, 115–132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2016.04.014 (2016).
- Jacques, G. *et al.* Geochemical variations in the Central Southern Volcanic Zone, Chile (38°S–43): the role of fluids in generating arc magmas. *Chem Geol.* 123, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.01.015 (2014).
- Mamani, M., Tassara, A. & Worner, G. Composition and structural control of crustal domains in the central Andes. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9, Q03006, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001925 (2008).
- Ancellin, M.-A. et al. Across-arc versus along-arc Sr-Nd-Pb isotope variations in the Ecuadorian volcanic arc, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 18 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006679 (2017).
- Samaniego, P. *et al.* Petrological analysis of the pre-eruptive magmatic process prior to the 2006 explosive eruptions at Tungurahua volcano (Ecuador). *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 199(1–2), 69–84, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.10.010 (2011).
- Samaniego, P. *et al.* Pre-eruptive physical conditions of El Reventador volcano (Ecuador) inferred from the petrology of the 2002 and 2004–05 eruptions. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 176, 82–93 (2008).

- 61. Hall, M. & Mothes, P., The rhyolitic-andesitic eruptive history of Cotopaxi Volcano, Ecuador. Bull. Volcanol. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00445-007-0161-2 (2007).
- 62. Moussallam, Y. et al. Volcanic gas emissions and degassing dynamics at Ubinas and Sabancaya volcanoes: implications for the volatile budget of the central volcanic zone. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 343, 181-191 (2017).
- 63. Hamilton, W. B. Tectonics of the Indonesian region. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper reprinted with corrections, 1981 and 1985, vol. 1078, p. 345 (1979).
- 64. Syracuse, E. M. & Abers, G. A. Global compilation of variations in slab depth beneath arc volcanoes and implications. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 7, Q05017, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC001045 (2006).
- 65. Handley, H. K. et al. Insights from Pb and O isotopes into along-arc variations in subduction inputs and crustal assimilation for volcanic rocks in Java, Sunda arc, Indonesia. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 139, 205-226 (2014).
- 66. Halldorsson, S. A., Hilton, D. R., Troll, V. R. & Fischer, T. P. Resolving volatile sources along the Western Sunda arc, Indonesia. Chem. Geol. 339, 263-282 (2013).
- Gasparon, M. & Varne, R. Crustal assimilation versus subducted sediment input in west Sunda arc volcanics: an evaluation. 67. Mineral. Petrol. 64, 89-117 (1998).
- Chadwick, J. P. et al. Carbonate assimilation at Merapi Volcano, Java, Indonesia: insights from crystal isotope stratigraphy. J. Petrol. 48, 1793-1812 (2007).
- 69 Wheller, G. E., Varne, R., Foden, J. D. & Abbott, M. J. Geochemistry of Quaternary Volcanism in the Sunda-Banda arc, Indonesia, and three-component genesis of island-arc basaltic magmas. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 32, 137-160 (1987)
- 70. Turner, S. & Foden, J. U, Th and Ra disequilibria, Sr, Nd and Pb isotope and trace element variations in Sunda arc lavas: predominance of a subducted sediment component. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 142, 43-57 (2001).
- 71. Gertisser, R. & Keller, J. Trace element and Sr, Nd, Pb and O isotope variations in medium-K and high-K volcanic rocks from Merapi Volcano, Central Java, Indonesia: evidence for the involvement of subducted sediments in Sunda Arc magma genesis. J. Petrol. 44, 457-489 (2003).
- 72. Troll, V. R. et al. Crustal CO<sub>2</sub> liberation during the 2006 eruption and earthquake events at Merapi volcano, Indonesia. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051307 (2012).
- 73. Varekamp, J. C. et al. Volcanism and tectonics in the Eastern Sunda Arc, Indonesia. Neth. J. Sea Res. 24, 303-312 (1989).
- 74. Van Bergen, M. J. et al. Spatial geochemical variations of arc volcanism around the Banda Sea. Neth. J. Sea Res. 24, 313-322 (1989). 75. Hall, R. & Wilson, M. E. J. Neogene sutures in eastern Indonesia. J Asian Earth Sci 18, 781-808 (2000).
- 76. Jaffe, L. A., Hilton, D. R., Fischer, T. P. & Hartono, U. Tracing magma sources in an arc-arc collision zone: Helium and carbon isotope and relative abundance systematics of the Sangihe Arc, Indonesia. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 5, Q04J10, https://doi. org/10.1029/2003GC000660 (2004).
- 77. Clor, L. E., Fischer, T. P., Hilton, D. R., Sharp, Z. D. & Hartono, U. Volatile and N isotope chemistry of the Molucca Sea collision zone: tracing source components along the Sangihe Arc, Indonesia. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 6, Q03J14, https://doi. org/10.1029/2004GC000825 (2005)
- Winterer, E. L. et al. Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, Volume VII. Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office) 78. 323 (1971)
- 79. Andrews, J. E. et al. Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, Volume 30, Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office), 133 (1975).
- 80. Plank, T. & Langmuir, C. H. Tracing trace elements from sediment input to volcanic output at subduction zones. Nature 362, 739-743 (1993)
- 81. Elliott, T. Tracers of the slab. In Inside the Subduction Factory (ed. Eiler, J.). American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph, 138, Washington D.C., 23-45 (2003).
- 82. Pearce, J. A. & Peate, D. W. Tectonic implications of the composition of volcanic arc magmas. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 23, 251-285 (1995)
- Wallace, P. J., Plank, T., Edmonds, M. & Hauri, E. H. Volatiles in Magmas. In The Encyclopedia of Volcanoes (Second Edition). (eds 83. Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., McNutt, S., Rymer, H. and Stix, J.). Academic Press, Elsevier, 163-183 (2015).
- 84. Aiuppa, A. et al. Forecasting Etna eruptions by real-time observation of volcanic gas composition. Geology 35(12), 1115-1118 (2007).
- 85. Edmonds, M. New geochemical insights into volcanic degassing. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 366(1885), 4559-4579 (2008).
- Moretti, R. & Papale, P. On the oxidation state and volatile behaviour in multicomponent gas-melt equilibria. Chem. Geol. 213, 86. 265-280, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.08.048 (2004).
- 87. Burgisser, A., Alletti, M. & Scaillet, B. Simulating the behavior of volatiles belonging to the C-O-H-S system in silicate melts under magmatic conditions with the software D-Compress Comp. Geosci. 79, 1-14 (2015).
- Witham, F. et al. SolEx: A model for mixed COHSCI-volatile solubilities and exsolved gas compositions in basalt. Comp. Geosci. 45, 88. 87-97 (2012).
- 89. Lesne, P. et al. Experimental simulation of closed-system degassing in the system basalt-H2O-CO2-S-Cl. J. Petrol. 52 (9), art. no. egr027, 1737-1762 (2011).
- Metrich, N. & Wallace, P. Volatile abundances in basaltic magmas and their degassing paths tracked by melt inclusions. In Minerals, 90. Inclusions, and Volcanic Processes, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America 69, 363–402 (2008).
- Wehrmann, H., Hoernle, K., Portnyagin, M., Wiedenbeck, M. & Heydolph, K. Volcanic CO2 output at the Central American 91. subduction zone inferred from melt inclusions in olivine crystals from mafic tephras. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 12, Q06003, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003412 (2011).
- 92. Aluppa, A. et al. Tracking formation of a lava lake from ground and space: Masaya volcano (Nicaragua), 2014–2017. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 19, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007227 (2018).
- 93. Shinohara, H. Excess degassing from volcanoes and its role on eruptive and intrusive activity, Reviews of Geophysics 46 (4), art. no. RG4005 (2008).
- 94. Edmonds, M. & Gerlach, T. M. Vapor segregation and loss in basaltic melts. Geology 35, 751-754 (2007).
- Allard, P., Burton, M. R. & Mure, F. Spectroscopic evidence for a lava fountain driven by previously accumulated magmatic gas. Nature 433, 407-410, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03246 (2005).
- 96. Burton, M., Allard, P., Murè, F. & La Spina, A. Depth of slug-driven strombolian explosive activity. Science 317, 227-230 (2007).
- Scaillet, B. & Evans, B. W. The 15 June 1991 Eruption of Mount Pinatubo. I. Phase Equilibria and Pre-eruption P-T-fO2-fH2O 97. conditions of the Dacite Magma. J. Petrol. 40, 381-411, https://doi.org/10.1093/petroj/40.3.381 (1999).
- 98. Scaillet, B. & Pichavant, M. Experimental constraints on volatile abundances in arc magmas and their implications for degassing processes. In Volcanic degassing, Oppenheimer, C., Pyle, D. M. & Barclay, J. (eds) Geological Society, London, Special Publications, Q17 213, 23–52 (2003).
- Wallace, P. J., Gerlach, T. M. Magmatic vapor source for sulfur dioxide released during volcanic eruptions: evidence from Mount 99. Pinatubo. Science, 265, 497-499 (1994).
- 100 Wallace, P. J., Anderson, A. T. & Davis, A. M. Quantification of pre-eruptive exsolved gas contents in silicic magmas. Science 377, 612-616 (1995).

- 101 Wallace, P. I. Volatiles in subduction zone magmas: concentrations and fluxes based on melt inclusions and volcanic gas data *L* Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 140, 217-240 (2005).
- 102. Fischer, T. P. & Marty, B. Volatile abundances in the sub-arc mantle: insights from volcanic and hydrothermal gas discharges. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 140(1-3), 205-216 (2005).
- 103. Pérez, N. M. et al. Global CO<sub>2</sub> emission from volcanic lakes. Geology 39, 235-238, https://doi.org/10.1130/G31586.1 (2011).
- 104. Chiodini, G., Granieri, D., Avino, R., Caliro, S., Costa, A. & Werner, C. Carbon dioxide diffuse degassing and estimation of heat release from volcanic and hydrothermal systems. J Geophys Res 110, B08204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003542 (2005)
- 105. Brantley, S. L. & Koepenick, K. W. Measured carbon dioxide emissions from Oldoinyo Lengai and the skewed distribution of passive volcanic fluxes. Geology 23, 933-936 (1995).
- 106. Plank, T. & Langmuir, C. H. The chemical composition of subducting sediment and its consequences for the crust and mantle. Chem. Geol. 145(3), 325-394 (1998).
- Chiodini, G. et al. Quantification of deep CO2 fluxes from Central Italy. Examples of carbon balance for regional aquifers and of 107. soil diffuse degassing. Chem. Geol. 159(1-4), 205-222, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00030-3 (1999).
- 108. Andres, R. J. & Kasgnoc, A. D. A time-averaged inventory of subaerial volcanic sulfur emissions. J. Geophys. Res. 103(D19), 25251-25261 (1998).
- 109. Turner, S. J. & Langmuir, C. H. What processes control the chemical compositions of arc front stratovolcanoes? Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 16, 1865-1893, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005633 (2015).
- 110. Taran, Y. Gas emissions from volcanoes of the Kuril island arc (NW pacific): geochemistry and fluxes. In: CCVG-IAVCEI, editor. 13th CCVG-IAVCEI gas workshop; Ecuador2017 (2017).
- 111. Werner, C. et al. Magmatic degassing, lava dome extrusion, and explosions from Mount Cleveland volcano, Alaska, 2011–2015: Insight into the continuous nature of volcanic activity over multi-year timescales. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 337, 98-110 (2017).
- 112. Werner, C., Kelly, P., Kern C., Clor, L. E. & Doukas, M. A revised database of airborne gas emission rate and geochemistry data for Alaska volcanoes, 1989-2017. USGS data release (2018).
- 113. Allard P. et al. First determination of the chemistry and fluxes of magma-derived gas emissions from Mayon volcano, Phillipines. In: CCVG-IAVCEI, editor. 13th CCVG-IAVCEI gas workshop; Ecuador2017 (2017).
- 114. Gunawan, H. et al. New insights into Kawah Ijen's volcanic system from the wet volcano workshop experiment. In: Ohba, T., Capaccioni, B. & Caudron, C. (eds), Geochemistry and Geophysics of Active Volcanic Lakes. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 437, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP437.7 (2016).
- 115. Bani, P. et al. First study of the heat and gas budget for Sirung volcano, Indonesia. Bull. Volcanol. 79(8), 60 (2017).
- 116. Sawyer, G. M., Oppenheimer, C., Tsanev, V. I. & Yirgu, G. Magmatic degassing at Erta 'Ale volcano, Ethiopia. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 178, 837-846 (2008).
- 117. Ilanko, I. Geochemistry of gas emissions from Erebus volcano, Antarctica. PhD dissertation, Cambridge University (2014).
- 118. Sutton, A. J. & Elias, T. One hundred volatile years of volcanic gas studies at the Hawaiian Volcano Observatory: Chapter 7 in Characteristics of Hawaiian volcanoes. Report. Reston, VA; Report No.: 18017 (2014).
- 119. Sawyer, G. M., Carn, S. A., Tsanev, V. I., Oppenheimer, C. & Burton, M. Investigation into magma degassing at Nyiragongo volcano, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst 9(2) (2008).
- 120. Bobrowski, N. et al. Multicomponent gas emission measurements of the active lava lake of Nyiragongo, DR Congo. Journal of African Earth Sciences 134, 856-865 (2017).
- 121. Bobrowski, N. et al. Plume composition and volatile flux of Nyamulagira volcano, Democratic Republic of Congo, during birth and evolution of the lava lake, 2014-2015. Bull. Volcanol. 79(12), 90 (2017).
- 122. Tulet, P. et al. First results of the Piton de la Fournaise STRAP 2015 experiment: multidisciplinary tracking of a volcanic gas and aerosol plume. Atmos Chem Phys. 17(8), 5355-5378 (2017).
- 123. Saal, A. E., Hauri, E., Langmuir, C. H. & Perfit, M. R. Vapour under-saturation in primitive mid-ocean-ridge basalt and the volatile content of Earth's upper mantle. Nature 419, 451-455 (2002).
- 124. Workman, R. K. & Hart, S. R. Major and trace element composition of the depleted MORB mantle (DMM). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 231, 53-7 (2005).
- 125. Smith, W. H. F. & Sandwell, D. T. Global seafloor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings. Science 277, 1957-1962 (1997).

#### Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the DECADE research initiative of the Deep Carbon Observatory. We wish to thank E. Liu and anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

#### Author Contributions

A.A. conceived the idea. All of the authors participated in data collection and interpretation. A.A. drafted the manuscript with the help of all co-authors.

#### Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41901-y.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019