

Tsunamis generated by subaqueous volcanic explosions in Taal Caldera Lake, Philippines

Raphael Paris, Martina Ulvrova

▶ To cite this version:

Raphael Paris, Martina Ulvrova. T
sunamis generated by subaqueous volcanic explosions in Taal Caldera Lake, Philippines. Bulletin of Volcanology, 2019, 81 (3), 10.1007/s00445-019-1272-2 . insu-03708960

HAL Id: insu-03708960 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03708960v1

Submitted on 24 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Tsunamis generated by subaqueous volcanic explosions in Taal
Caldera Lake, Philippines
Raphaël Paris ^{a,*} , Martina Ulvrova ^b
^a Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, F-63000
Clermont-Ferrand, France.
^b Institute of Geophysics, Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
* Corresponding author at: Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et
Volcans, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France. Tel. : +33 473346717. E-mail. Raphael.Paris@uca.fr
Abstract Volcanic subaqueous explosions can generate hazardous tsunamis, especially in lakes. In this paper,
we simulate different scenarios of subaqueous explosions and related tsunamis in Taal Caldera Lake (Luzon,
Philippines). Taal volcano is one of the most active volcanoes in Southeast Asia, and eruptive processes are
mostly explosive. We test different energies of explosions at eight different explosion sites in the lake. The initial
water surface displacement (η_0) generated by the explosion is estimated as a function of explosion energy at a
given depth. We estimate the tsunami travel times, maximum wave heights and wave periods at the shoreline.
This type of hazard is typically neglected and our work has important implications for hazard assessment around
Taal Lake. Due to fast propagation of tsunamis in the lake (waves typically crossing the lake in less than 10
minutes), there is only a short time available for issuing a warning. For $\eta_0 \leq 50$ m, wave heights at the shoreline
are less than 2 m with a non-dispersive numerical model, and less than 0.5 m with a dispersive model, whatever
the explosion depth and location. Powerful explosions with $\eta_0 >> 100$ m generate wave heights greater than 2 m
all around the lake and local peaks higher than 10 m.

30 31

32 Introduction

33

34 Subaqueous volcanic eruptions represent only 8% of all recorded eruptions but they are 35 particularly deadly (~20% of all fatalities associated with historical volcanism: Mastin and 36 Witter, 2000). Hazards related to eruptions through surface water include phreatomagmatic explosions, base surges, tephra fall (from ash to blocks), lahars (e.g. lake outburst, dam 37 38 failure), and tsunamis or seiches. In enclosed basins or lakes such as Taal Lake in the 39 Philippines (cf. Fig.1), tsunamis are referred to as the initial waves, and the seiche as the later-40 stage harmonic resonance within the lake (Ichinose et al. 2000; Freundt et al. 2007). We thus prefer using the term tsunami rather than seiche. During a subaqueous eruption, tsunamis can 41 be generated by different processes such as a pyroclastic flow (e.g. pyroclastic density current 42 43 resulting from the collapse of a Plinian column), slope instability (landslides on the crater walls), and the explosion itself (Paris, 2015). The mechanisms of interaction between a 44 45 pyroclastic flow and water as well as the conditions required to generate a tsunami remain 46 partly elusive due to a lack of observations and experimental data (Paris, 2015).

On the contrary, the theory of waves generated by subaqueous explosions is well documented (Le Méhauté, 1971; Mirchina & Pelinovsky, 1988; Duffy, 1992; Le Méhauté & Wang, 1996; Egorov, 2007). This particular type of tsunami consists of two main waves followed by smaller undulations propagating radially from the source, as demonstrated by experiments and numerical simulations (e.g. Le Méhauté & Wang, 1996; Kedrinskii, 2005; Torsvik et al., 2010; Ulvrova et al., 2014 & 2016). The water is initially pushed upward (Fig. 2), forming a crater with a cylindrical bore that expands radially to form the leading wave, followed by a

wave trough. Initial surface displacement (i.e. maximum height of the bore) can be estimated 54 directly as a function of explosion energy at a given water depth (Le Méhauté, 1971), or 55 indirectly using the size of the submerged volcanic crater formed by the successive explosions 56 57 (Sato & Taniguchi, 1997; Goto et al., 2001). The water crater collapses as in a dam break model, generating a steep cone of water in the centre that turns to a second cylindrical bore 58 (Le Méhauté & Wang, 1996). Subaqueous explosions typically generate short-period waves 59 compared to earthquakes, and most of the time the impact in the far-field is limited (Latter, 60 1981; Begét, 2000; Paris, 2015). The Myojin-Sho (Japan) submarine eruption in 1952 61 generated waves with amplitudes of less than 1.5 m at 130 km from the volcano, while near 62 the source a survey vessel was capsized (Nakano et al., 1954). In shallow-water lakes, runup 63 inland can be locally high, as illustrated by the 1996 tsunami in Karymskoye Lake (Belousov 64 65 et al., 2000). The nature of subaqueous volcanic explosions is controlled by a range of 66 physical parameters such as the water depth, geometry of the crater, and complex magmawater interactions (Kokelaar, 1986; Wohletz, 1986; Morrissey et al., 2010). While many 67 68 Surtseyan-type phreatomagmatic explosions are not tsunamigenic, violent explosions of the 69 Taalian eruptive style (Kokelaar, 1986) have the potential to generate hazardous tsunami waves, especially on lakes (Falvard et al., 2018). Experimental studies (Craig, 1974) 70 71 demonstrated that maximum wave heights occur for a two-third submerged explosion, i.e. an 72 explosion at two thirds of the total water depth towards the lake bed. The amplitudes of the 73 incoming waves at this critical depth are twice as high as those for explosions at a lower critical depth (fully submerged). In the case of a subaqueous volcanic explosion, the explosion 74 75 depth d compared to the total water depth D in the vicinity of the eruptive vent is thus a critical parameter (Fig. 2). 76

In this paper, we simulate tsunamis generated by subaqueous explosions in the Taal CalderaLake (Luzon Island, Philippines, cf. Fig. 1). Historical eruptions at Taal volcano have

regularly produced tsunamis (Paris et al., 2014; Delos Reyes et al., 2018), including sublacustrine eruptions such as the 1716 eruption. It is thus of primary importance to consider tsunami hazards in Taal lake. Here, we provide the first detailed case-study of tsunami hazards related to subaqueous explosions in the lake.

83

84 Historical eruptions and tsunamis at Taal volcano

85

Taal volcano is located in the southern part of Luzon Island, Philippines (Fig. 1). It is one of the most active volcanoes in Southeast Asia (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). About two million people live less than 35 km from the volcano, including thousands of people living along the shores of Taal Caldera Lake and Taal Island (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). The lake is an important source of fresh water and food (fish-farming), as well as being a recreational area (Ramos 2002).

92 Historical volcanism (33 identified eruptions) has been concentrated on Taal volcanic island 93 (Delos Reyes et al., 2018), which is a post-caldera composite edifice within the 25×30 km-94 wide Taal Caldera Lake. The spatial distribution of the eruptive vents is controlled by NE-SW and NW-SE tectonic structures intersecting at Taal volcanic island (Listanco, 1997). Eruptive 95 styles range from hydrothermal to Plinian, with most of the eruptions being dominated by 96 97 phreatomagmatic explosions (including phreatoplinian ones). Pyroclastic flows, base surges and ash falls are the primary hazards at Taal volcano. Lakeshore communities were affected 98 by base surges and ash falls during the major historical eruptions (e.g. 1754 eruption). At least 99 100 five historical eruptions generated tsunamis in the lake (1716, 1749, 1754, 1911, and 1965) (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). The 1716, 1749, 1754 and 1911 eruptions were described by Maso 101 102 and Saderra (1904, 1911), and the 1965 eruption was studied by Moore et al. (1966). The 103 1731 sublacustrine eruption might have been tsunamigenic, but there is no clear mention of
104 waves in the lake during this eruption (Maso and Saderra, 1904).

The 1716 eruption was also sublacustrine. Descriptions collected by Maso and Saderra (1904) situate the eruption in the southern basin of the caldera lake, near the southeastern point of Taal Island. A "great commotion in the earth" stirred up the water in the lake, "forming immense waves which lashed the shores" (Maso and Saderra, 1904). Waves inundated the southwestern shore of the lake up to 17 m inland.

As for the 1716 eruption, the origin of the waves observed during the 1749 eruption remains unclear. This violent phreatomagmatic eruption (VEI 4) lasted three months and severely impacted the northern and northeastern shores of the lake (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). One earthquake led to land subsidence in the northeastern part of the caldera (near Tanauan). Explosions were located in the main crater of Taal Island (Fig. 1), with a possible extension to coastal or sublacustrine vents near the northeastern point of the island.

The 1749 eruption was closely followed by a Plinian eruption in 1754 (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). Twelve people were killed by roof collapse (due to intense ash falls) and waves on the western shore of the lake. Tsunamis were observed during the third and fourth phases of the eruption. However, few descriptions of the waves are available (Maso and Saderra, 1904, 1911; Delos Reyes et al., 2018).

The 1911 phreatomagmatic to phreatoplinian eruption is better documented. Villages on the western shore of the lake were hit by waves three meters high and 20-50 people were drowned (Maso and Saderra, 1911). Since the eruption was not sublacustrine, subaqueous explosions cannot be the cause of the tsunami. Nor did the earthquakes of the initial phase generate tsunamis. Thus, as for the 1754 Plinian eruption, a pyroclastic flow mechanism is more likely (Delos Reyes et al., 2018). However, atmospheric shock waves generated by the strongest explosions (Pratt, 1911) are another possible source mechanism of the tsunamis. Moore et al. (1966) also related the tsunamis observed during the 1965 eruption to shock waves, although the transfer of energy from air to water (or phase-coupling) operates mostly on large areas of deep water (Yokoyama, 1987), i.e. larger and deeper than Taal Caldera Lake.

The initial Strombolian phase of the 1965 eruption was followed by a phreatomagmatic phase that opened a new crater on the southwestern flank of Taal Island (Moore et al., 1966, Delos Reyes et al., 2018). Many of the 190-355 fatalities occurred when tsunami waves capsized boats containing residents fleeing from Taal Island to the western shore of the lake (near Agoncillo). The waves inundated areas up to 4.7 m above the level of the lake (Moore et al., 1966).

138

139 Methods

140

We have selected eight different sites for simulated explosions in Taal Caldera Lake (Fig. 1): three sites in deep water (>100 m water depth), and five sites corresponding to submerged eruptive vents in shallower water (<70 m water depth). Note that one of the sites is located near the possible location of the 1716 sublacustrine eruption (Fig. 1). Following the method proposed by Torsvik et al. (2010) and Ulvrova et al. (2014, 2016), the initial surface displacement generated by the explosion is estimated as a function of explosion energy at a given depth *d*, using the empirical formula of Le Méhauté and Wang (1996):

148
$$\eta_0 = c E^{0.24}$$
 (Eq. 1)

. . .

149 where η_0 is the vertical initial surface displacement in meters, *E* the energy of explosion in 150 joules, and *c* a constant. According to the explosion yield and water depth, two cases are 151 distinguished (Le Méhauté and Wang, 1996): (1) c = 0.0143 if $6.15 \times 10^{-4} < d/E^{1/3} < 1.85 \times 10^{-2}$ 152 (where *d* is the depth of explosion in meters); or (2) c = 0.0291 if $d/E^{1/3} < 6.15 \times 10^{-4}$. We 153 assume that the explosion occurs at the rim of the submerged crater, which corresponds to an 154 optimal explosion depth in terms of tsunami generation (Fig. 2). Explosions deeper inside the 155 crater would be less tsunamigenic, but the extent of the influence of this parameter remains to 156 be tested.

157 Explosion energy E is estimated following the empirical relationship given by Sato and 158 Taniguchi (1997):

159
$$E = 3.56 \times 10^7 R^3$$
 (Eq. 2)

160 where R is the radius of the volcanic crater in meters (Fig. 2). Data from experimental and volcanic explosions varying over 14 orders of magnitude up to $E \sim 10^{17}$ J (corresponding to a 161 crater radius up to R~1.5 km) were used for fitting (see Fig. 2 in Sato & Taniguchi, 1997; and 162 Fig. 5 in Goto et al., 2001). We assume that the size of the crater reflects the most powerful 163 explosion at shallow depth (Goto et al., 2001; Taddeucci et al., 2010), regardless of the 164 165 cumulated energy of all explosions that participated in the formation of the crater. In fact, the morphology of the crater often results from multiple vertically and horizontally-migrating 166 167 explosions (Sonder et al., 2015; Valentine et al., 2015). Thus, assuming that the diameter of 168 the crater corresponds to the size of the largest explosion at an optimal depth could lead to an overestimation of the explosion energy (Valentine et al., 2014; Sonder et al., 2015). The 169 diameters of the craters on Taal Island range from 90 to 1700 m and the submerged volcanic 170 171 cones observed on the bathymetry apparently fall in the same range. Crater diameters selected 172 for the simulations range from 200 to 1500 m (Table 1), corresponding to explosion energies $3.5 \times 10^{13} \le E \le 1.5 \times 10^{16}$ J, and initial surface displacements $30 \le \eta_0 \le 200$ m that were tested 173 174 for each explosion site. Explosions corresponding to crater diameters lower than 90 m (E < 3.5×10^{12} J) do not produce waves on the shores of the lake. 175

176 All numerical simulations were performed using COMCOT (Cornell multi-grid coupled 177 tsunami model: Liu et al., 1998) solving for the non-linear shallow water equations, and FUNWAVE-TVD solving for the fully non-linear Boussinesq-type wave equations (Kirby et al., 1998; Tehranirad et al., 2013). The shallow water equations are valid for horizontal wavelengths greater than ~5 times the water depth. Boussinesq models are able to reproduce the effects of non-linearity (e.g. amplitude dispersion) and frequency dispersion in the case of intermediate-to-deep water waves (up to wavelengths equivalent to water depth). Simulations were all stopped at the shoreline and the inundation phase is thus not simulated, because both models have intrinsic limitations in modelling the nearshore hydrodynamics.

The simulations were performed using a 546×496 grid with an initial resolution of 100 m. The grid was obtained by combining the bathymetry displayed in Ramos (2002) and SRTM topography (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, Farr et al., 2007). In order to have a homogenous circular water crater at the apron of the explosion and to avoid artificial directivity of propagation, grid resolution was refined to 25 m in some cases. Wave heights and arrival times at the coast are calculated at 12 virtual tide gauge stations distributed all around the lake (Fig. 1).

192

193 **Results**

194

195 Tsunami propagation in the lake

196

Simulated waves travel through the lake at velocities between 20 and 40 m/s, which correspond to the typical velocity of shallow water waves in 50-170 m water depth. Consequently, waves propagate faster in the southern basin, which is deeper (a third of its area being deeper than 160 m) than the northern basin (water depth < 105 m). In our simulations, there is no significant difference between the shallow water (non-dispersive) and the Boussinesq (dispersive) models in terms of wave velocity (Fig. 3). For identical explosion parameters (location, energy, water depth), both models give similar wave arrival times at a particular coastal site (e.g. Ambulong and Balete on Fig. 3). The velocity of propagation of a tsunami in the lake is mostly controlled by the water depth, and secondarily by the explosion energy (i.e. initial surface displacement). As an example, an explosion at a water depth of 50 m with an initial surface displacement $\eta_0 = 50$ m generates a leading wave that arrives at Agoncillo (Fig. 4) one minute later compared to a case with $\eta_0 = 200$ m.

209 Due to the short distance between the tsunami sources (i.e. explosion sites) and the shore of 210 the lake, all simulated tsunamis propagate in less than 10 minutes across the whole lake (Fig. 5). In the southern basin, wave arrival times range between 1 and 5 minutes when the 211 212 explosion is located in the same basin. The southern coast of Taal Island is inundated 1-2 minutes after the explosion. The southwestern (Agoncillo, San Nicolas) and eastern shorelines 213 (Balete) of the lake are reached in 2-5 minutes, depending on the energy and location of an 214 215 explosion in the southern basin. Propagation of waves from one basin to another is slowed by 216 shoals, especially in the narrow strait west of Taal Island (Fig. 1). Waves generated by 217 explosions in the southern basin reach the main localities of the northern basin (Laurel, 218 Talisay) in 5-9 minutes. As explained above, waves propagate slightly slower in the shallower northern basin. However, typical wave arrival times are similar (2-5 minutes) because the 219 220 northern basin is also slightly smaller than the southern one. Explosions in the northern basin 221 invade the southern basin after 4 minutes of propagation and hit the eastern and southwestern shorelines in 5-7 and 8-10 minutes, respectively (Fig. 5). 222

Note that oscillations of the lake surface may last for several hours after the explosion due to resonance effect (i.e. seiche). For instance, waves with an amplitude of up to 20 cm persist in both basins for more than 3 hours after an explosion at 50 m water depth in the southern basin $(\eta_0 = 115 \text{ m}).$



Maximum wave height (H_{max}) calculated at the shoreline of the lake increases with increasing initial surface displacement η_0 at the source (Fig. 6). For similar explosion parameters (energy and depth), the wave heights at the shorelines are not significantly different from one basin to another. However, shoals between the two basins reduce by a factor of two to ten the amplitude of the waves in the opposite basin to that of the explosion (Figs. 7 and 8). Wave heights at the shoreline rapidly decrease with distance from the explosion site (Fig. 9).

For $\eta_0 \le 50$ m, wave heights calculated at the different virtual tide-gauges are less than 2 m with the non-dispersive model, and less than 0.5 m with the dispersive model. The overestimation of wave heights calculated by the non-dispersive model is particularly marked (typically a factor of two) for explosions with $\eta_0 \le 80$ m in the deep southern basin (Fig. 9), because the non-dispersive model is not able to faithfully reproduce the propagation of waves that are relatively short compared to water depth.

242 Wave heights up to 4 m (non-dispersive) and 2 m (dispersive) at ~5 km from the explosion 243 site are obtained with $\eta_0 = 80$ m (or $110 \le \eta_0 \le 130$ m for very shallow explosions). An explosion at 50 m depth with $\eta_0 = 110$ m in the northern basin generates waves up to 2-3 m on 244 245 Napayong Island (Fig. 1), whereas a similar explosion at 160 m depth in the southern basin produces wave heights up to 7-8 m on the same island. For $\eta_0>110$ m there are fewer 246 differences between the non-dispersive and dispersive models in terms of wave heights at the 247 shoreline. For $110 \le \eta_0 \le 130$ m, wave heights up to 5 m are recorded at ~5 km from the 248 249 explosion site, and even 10 m on the eastern coast of Taal Island. The most powerful explosions ($\eta_0 = 200$ m) generate waves up to 15-20 m high on the coasts of Taal Island and 250 12 m on the other coasts of the lake. Figure 10 shows a sensitivity test for η_0 versus wave 251 height for explosions situated near the 1716 eruption site (cf. submerged cone southeast of 252

Taal Island on Fig. 1). The wave heights calculated both in the northern and southern basins increase exponentially with increasing η_0 (i.e. with increasing explosion energy *E* for a given depth *d*).

256

257 Wave spectrum

258

Using a dispersive Boussinesq-type model allows both the non-linearity and frequency 259 260 dispersion effects to be reproduced. Spectral analysis of the virtual tide gauge records produced by this model shows that three kinds of wave periods can be distinguished. Short-261 period waves (70-130 s) are superimposed on longer-lived intermediate-period waves (200-262 260 s), and longer periods (550-750 s) are detected in the case of deep explosions (>100 m 263 water depth). Figures 11 and 12 display examples of a wavelet power spectrum and 264 265 periodogram at two different virtual tide gauge stations (Fig. 11: northern basin; Fig. 12: southern basin) for an explosion at 105 m water depth in the northern basin ($\eta_0 = 120$ m). As 266 267 wave period, amplitude and velocity are linked, different wave scenarios can be distinguished, 268 depending on the geometry of the source (i.e. the explosion) and bathymetry. The leading wave is usually highest at a relatively short distance from the explosion (<5 km: Figs. 4 and 269 11) and represents the short-period component of the wave spectrum. Wavelet analysis shows 270 271 that these short periods tend to disappear within 10-12 minutes after the explosion (Fig. 11). 272 As the distance from the explosion increases, the wave spectrum displays more complex trends (Fig. 12). The intermediate-to-long periods predate the short periods, and the maximum 273 274 wave height is often reached several minutes after the arrival of the leading wave at the shoreline. 275

276

277 Implication for tsunami hazard evaluation

279 The results of the numerical simulations presented above have important implications for tsunami hazard evaluation in Taal Caldera Lake. First, there is only a short time available for 280 281 issuing a warning. All the subaqueous explosions generate tsunamis that propagate throughout the lake in less than 10 minutes, while the nearest shorelines (e.g. coasts of Taal Island) are 282 283 impacted in less than 2 minutes. Thus, tsunami propagation is too fast to initiate evacuation at 284 the time of explosion. An exclusion zone should be set up prior to, or at the onset of, a 285 sublacustrine eruption. The efficiency of a tsunami warning system in Taal Lake partly relies on monitoring techniques that would detect evidence of volcanic reawakening in the lake (e.g. 286 287 increased degassing leading to bubbles or jacuzzi, smoke at the surface of the lake, or changes in water colour) as early as possible. 288

Secondly, a single tsunami event in the lake can have a very long duration. The effect of wave resonance in the two basins can last several hours, thus prolonging the mechanical impact of waves on anchored boats, harbours and fish-farming infrastructure. Repeated tsunamis during a sublacustrine eruption would also cause severe coastal erosion. Sediments mobilised by the waves at the lake bottom and those entrained offshore by the tsunami backwash would threaten fish-farming activities.

295 Modelling tsunami waves breaking, interaction with coastal structures, and inundation inland 296 is beyond the scope of this paper, because it would require high-resolution elevation models 297 (typically 1 m grid resolution) and 3D models based on the Navier-Stokes equations. However, as a first approach we have estimated wave heights at the shoreline for different 298 299 scenarios of subaqueous explosions. It is difficult to assign a probability to each of the 300 scenarios simulated. Observations of tsunami waves during historical eruptions do not provide 301 many constraints on wave heights and inundation distances. We know that waves inundated 302 the southwestern shore of the lake up to 17 m inland during the 1716 sublacustrine eruption 303 (Maso and Saderra, 1904). Also that wave runup reached 4.7 m above the level of the lake
304 during the 1965 eruption, even though the cause of the tsunami itself is debated (Moore et al.,
305 1966).

306 The size of the craters observed on Taal Island gives a rough estimate of the range of energies delivered by the most powerful explosions (Eq. 2; Sato and Taniguchi, 1997). Craters have 307 diameters between 90 and 1700 m (central crater), but the majority of them are between 200 308 and 500 m, corresponding to explosion energies $3.5 \times 10^{13} \le E \le 5.6 \times 10^{14}$ J and initial surface 309 displacement $\eta_0 \le 100$ m (Eq. 1; Le Méhauté and Wang, 1996). These source parameters can 310 be considered as the most probable scenario of tsunamis generated by an explosion in Taal 311 Caldera Lake. It is also consistent with the range of energies produced by phreatomagmatic 312 explosions $(3 \times 10^9 \text{ to } 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ J}$ after Valentine et al., 2014). 313

Note that constant c in Eq. 1 is an important parameter as it depends on explosion depth d vs. 314 315 energy E. As an example, for explosions with a diameter of 500 m, the critical water depth is 50 m: below a depth of 50 m, explosions generate an initial surface displacement $\eta_0 = 100$ m, 316 317 whereas above 50 m water depth $\eta_0 = 50$ m. For $\eta_0 \le 50$ m, wave heights at the shoreline are less than 2 m with the non-dispersive model, and less than 0.5 m with the dispersive model, 318 whatever the explosion depth and location. Increasing the value of η_0 at 80 m or $110 \le \eta_0 \le$ 319 320 130 m for very shallow explosions (depending on the constant c) yields wave heights up to 4 321 m (with the non-dispersive model) and 2 m (dispersive model) at a distance of ~5 km from the explosion site. Worst-case scenarios of explosions with $\eta_0 >> 100$ m generate wave heights 322 higher than 2 m all around the lake and locally higher than 10 m. However, the occurrence of 323 324 such large explosions outside the main crater is not supported by available historical or geological observations, so it is uncertain whether such events are plausible. 325

326

327 Concluding remarks

329 Taal is one of the most active volcanoes in the Philippines and Southeast Asia, and its proximity to human activities makes it challenging in terms of risk mitigation. Most of the 330 331 historical eruptions occurred in the central crater (e.g. 1749, 1754 and 1911 eruptions), but sublacustrine activity is evidenced by the 1716 eruption and numerous emerged volcanic 332 cones around Taal Island. We simulated different scenarios of subaqueous explosions and 333 334 related tsunamis. This study has implications for tsunami hazard and risk evaluation in Taal 335 Caldera Lake. The dataset includes maps of tsunami propagation, time, and water surface elevation, together with wave heights at the shoreline for 12 different coastal sites (virtual tide 336 337 gauge stations). These sites were chosen to represent crucial areas at risk from a tsunami. Among all the activities distributed around the lake, schools, churches, coastal resorts (e.g. 338 northern coast), markets, fire stations, city halls (e.g. San Nicolas), the Taal Volcano 339 340 Observatory (east of Talisay), and fish-farming activities are the main vulnerable elements.

In this study, we only consider explosions occurring at an optimal depth for generating 341 342 tsunamis, i.e. explosions at the rim of the crater. However, tsunami generation is also 343 influenced by the evolution of the crater geometry during the eruption (crater excavation, growth of the ejecta ring) and location of the explosion inside the crater, but these 344 345 relationships have not been tested experimentally or numerically. Horizontal and vertical migrations of the successive explosions and their consequences in terms of tsunami 346 generation are quite unpredictable. More investigations are needed to understand why a 347 348 minority of subaqueous volcanic eruptions are tsunamigenic or evolve into conditions that can 349 generate tsunamis (e.g. decrease in the explosion depth).

350

Acknowledgements This research was financed by the French Government Laboratory of Excellence initiative n° ANR-10-LABX-0006, the Region Auvergne and the European Regional Development Fund, and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement n°753755. We acknowledge three anonymous reviewers for their relevant comments. This is
 Laboratory of Excellence ClerVolc contribution n° 328.

356

357 References

- 358
- Begét JE (2000) Volcanic tsunamis. In: Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., Mc Nutt, S.R., Rymer, H., Stix,
 J. Encyplopedia of Volcanoes. Academic Press, New York, pp 1005-1013.
- Belousov A, Voight B, Belousova M, Muravyev Y (2000) Tsunamis generated by underwater volcanic
 explosions: unique data from 1996 eruption in Karymskoye Lake, Kamchatka, Russia. Pure and
 Applied Geophysics 157:1135-1143.
- 364 Craig BG (1974) Experimental observations of underwater detonations near the water surface. Los
 365 Alamos Scientific Laboratory of the University of California, report LA-5548-MS, UC-34. 21p.
- 366 Delos Reyes PJ, Bornas MA, Dominey-Howes D, Pidlaoan AC, Magill CR, Solidum RU (2018) A
 367 synthesis and review of historical eruptions at Taal Volcano, Southern Luzon, Philippines.
 368 Earth-Science Reviews 177:565-588.
- 369 Duffy DG (1992) On the generation of oceanic surface waves by underwater volcanic explosions.
 370 Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 50:323-344.
- 371 Egorov Y (2007) Tsunami wave generation by the eruption of underwater volcano. Natural Hazards
 372 and Earth System Sciences 7:65-69.
- Falvard S, Paris R, Belousova M, Belousov A, Giachetti T, Cuven S (2018) Scenario of the 1996
 volcanic tsunamis in Karymskoye Lake, Kamchatka, inferred from X-ray tomography of heavy
 minerals in tsunami deposits. Marine Geology 396:160-170.
- 376 Farr TG, Rosen PA, Caro E, Crippen R, Duren R, Hensley S, Kobrick M, Paller M, Rodriguez E, Roth
- L, Seal D, Shaffer S, Shimada J, Umland J, Werner M, Oskin M, Burbank D, Alsdorf D. (2007)
 The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. Reviews of Geophysics 45:1-33.
- 379 Freundt A, Strauch W, Kutterolf S, Schmincke HU (2007) Volcanogenic tsunamis in lakes: examples
- from Nicaragua and general implications. Pure and Applied Geophysics 164:527-545.

- Goto A, Taniguchi H, Yoshida M, Ohba T, Oshima H (2001) Effects of explosion energy and depth to
 the formation of blast wave and crater: field explosion experiment for the understanding of
 volcanic explosion. Geophysical Research Letters 28:4287-4290.
- Ichinose GA, Anderson JG, Satake K, Schweickart RA, Lahren MM (2000) The potential hazard from
 tsunami and seiche waves generated by large earthquakes within Lake Tahoe, CaliforniaNevada. Geophysical Research Letters 27(8):1203-1206.
- 387 Kedrinskii VK (2005) Hydrodynamics of Explosion. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 362 p.
- 388 Kirby JT, Wei G, Chen Q, Kennedy AB, Dalrymple RA (1998) "FUNWAVE 1.0, Fully nonlinear
- 389 Boussinesq wave model. Documentation and users manual". Report CACR- 98-06, Center for
- Applied Coastal Research, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University ofDelaware.
- Kokelaar P (1986) Magma-water interactions in subaqueous and emergent basaltic volcanism. Bulletin
 of Volcanology 48:275-289.
- Latter JN (1981) Tsunamis of volcanic origin: summary of causes with particular references to
 Krakatoa, 1883. Bulletin of Volcanology 44(3):467-490.
- Le Méhauté BL (1971) Theory of explosion-generated water waves. In: Chow VT (Ed.) Advances in
 Hydroscience 7, Academic Press, New York, London, pp 1-79.
- Le Méhauté BL, Wang S (1996) Water waves generated by underwater explosion. Advanced Series on
 Ocean Engineering 10, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey, 384 p.
- Listanco EL (1997) Taal Volcano. Field Guide Book for the International Conference on Materials
 Recycling Near Convergent Plate Boundaries, Puerto Azul, Philippines, pp 5–41.
- Liu PLF, Woo SB, Cho YS (1998) Computer Programs for Tsunami Propagation and Inundation.
 Technical Report, Cornell University, 104 p.
- 404 Maso SJ, Saderra M (1904) Volcanoes and seismic centers of the Philippines Archipelago.
 405 Department of Commerce and Labor, Bureau of the Census of the Philippine Islands, Bulletin 3,
 406 80 p.
- 407 Maso SJ, Saderra M (1911) The eruption of Taal volcano, January 30, 1911, Philippines Islands.
 408 Department of the Interior, Weather Bureau, Manila, 45 p.

- 409 Mastin LG, Witter JB (2000) The hazards of eruptions through lakes and seawater. Journal of
 410 Volcanology and Geothermal Research 97:195-214.
- 411 Mirchina NR, Pelinovsky EN (1988) Estimation of underwater eruption energy based on tsunami
 412 wave data. Natural Hazards 1:277–283.
- 413 Moore JG, Nakamura K, Alcaraz A (1966) The 1965 eruption of Taal volcano. Science 151:955-960.
- 414 Morrissey M, Gisler G, Weaver R, Gittings M (2010) Numerical model of crater lake eruptions.
 415 Bulletin of Volcanology 72:1169-1178.
- 416 Nakano M, Unoki S, Hanzawa M, Marumo R, Fukuoka J (1954) Oceanographic features of a
 417 submarine eruption that destroyed the Kaiyo-Maru No. 5. Sears Foundation. Journal of Marine
 418 Research 13:48–66.
- 419 Paris R, Switzer AD, Belousova M, Belousov A, Ontowirjo B, Whelley PL, Ulvrová M (2014)
 420 Volcanic tsunami: a review of source mechanisms, past events and hazards in Southeast Asia
 421 (Indonesia, Philippines, Papua New Guinea). Natural Hazards 70(1):447-470.
- 422 Paris R (2015) Source mechanisms of volcanic tsunamis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
 423 Society A 373:20140380.
- 424 Pratt WE (1911) The eruption of Taal volcano, January 30, 1911. Bulletin of the American
 425 Geographical Society 43(12): 903-916.
- Ramos EG (2002) Origin and geologic features of Taal Lake, Philippines. Aquatic Ecosystem Health
 and Management 5(2):155-162.
- 428 Sato H, Taniguchi H (1997) Relationship between crater size and ejecta volume of recent magmatic
 429 and phreato-magmatic eruptions: implications for energy partitioning. Geophysical Research
 430 Letters 24:205-208.
- 431 Sonder, I., Graettinger, A.H., Valentine, G.A., 2015. Scaling multiblast craters: general approach and
 432 application to volcanic craters. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 120:6141-6158.
- 433 Taddeucci J, Sottili G, Palladino DM, Ventura G, Scarlato P (2010) A note on maar eruption
 434 energetics: current models and their applications. Bulletin of Volcanology 72:75-83.

- Tehranirad B, Shi F, Kirby JT, Harris JC, Grilli S (2013) Tsunami benchmark results for fully
 nonlinear Boussinesq wave model FUNWAVE-TVD, Version 2.1, Research Report CACR-1310, Center for Applied Coastal Research, University of Delaware, 77 p.
- 438 Torsvik T, Paris R, Didenkulova I, Pelinovsky E, Belousov A, Belousova M (2010) Numerical
 439 simulation of explosive tsunami wave generation and propagation in Karymskoye Lake, Russia.
- 440 Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 10(11):2359-2369.
- Ulvrová M, Paris R, Kelfoun K, Nomikou P (2014) Numerical simulations of tsunami generated by
 underwater volcanic explosions at Karymskoye Lake (Kamchatka, Russia) and Kolumbo
 volcano (Aegean Sea, Greece). Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 14:401-412.
- 444 Ulvrova M, Paris R, Nomikou P, Kelfoun K, Leibrandt S, Tappin DR, McCoy FW (2016) Source of
- the tsunami generated by the 1650 AD eruption of Kolumbo submarine volcano (Aegean Sea,
 Greece). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 321:125-139.
- Valentine GA, Graettinger AH, Sonder I (2014) Explosion depths for phreatomagmatic eruptions.
 Geophysical Research Letters 41:3045-3051.
- Valentine GA, Graettinger AH, Macorps É, Ross P-S, White JDL, Döhring E, Sonder I (2015)
 Experiments with vertically and laterally migrating subsurface explosions with applications to
 the geology of phreatomagmatic and hydrothermal explosion craters and diatremes. Bulletin of
 Volcanology 77(3):1-17.
- Wohletz KH (1986) Explosive magma-water interactions: thermodynamics, explosion mechanisms,
 and field studies. Bulletin of Volcanology 48:245-2654.
- Yokoyama I (1987) A scenario of the 1883 Krakatau tsunami. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal
 Research 34:123-13.
- 457

459 **Figure captions**

460

461 Fig. 1 Volcanic hazards zonation in Taal Caldera Lake (based on hazard maps provided by 462 the Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology - PHIVOLCS), and tsunamis 463 observed during historical eruptions. Subaqueous volcanic explosions and related tsunamis 464 are numerically simulated at several explosion sites and the wave heights are calculated at 12 465 virtual tide gauge stations around the lakeshore.

466

467 **Fig. 2** Physical parameters controlling the initial displacement of the water surface and 468 subsequent tsunami in the case of a subaqueous volcanic explosion. E: explosion energy; d: 469 explosion depth; D: total water depth around the volcanic cone; R: crater radius; η_0 : initial 470 water surface displacement.

471

472 Fig. 3 Simulations of tide gauge records of tsunamis generated by two different subaqueous
473 explosions (scenarios 3 and 14 in Table 1), using a non-dispersive (COMCOT) and a
474 dispersive model of tsunami propagation (FUNWAVE-TVD).

475

Fig. 4 Simulations of tide gauge records of tsunamis generated by an explosion at a water depth of 50 m for three different values of initial surface displacement (η_0) corresponding to three different explosion energies (scenarios 19, 20 and 21 in Table 1).

479

480 Fig. 5 Tsunami wave arrival times following subaqueous explosions in the northern (A) and
481 southern (B) basins of Taal Caldera Lake. White stars indicate the explosion sites.

483 **Fig. 6** Initial surface displacement (η_0) vs. maximum tsunami wave heights (H_{max}) calculated 484 at four different virtual tide gauges (see Fig. 1 for location) for different scenarios of 485 explosions in the northern (A) and southern (B) basins of the Taal Caldera Lake.

486

487 **Fig. 7** Maximum tsunami wave heights (H_{max}) calculated at 12 virtual tide gauge stations 488 around Taal Caldera Lake for a subaqueous volcanic explosion in the southern basin 489 (scenarios 2 and 3 in Table 1). Histograms represent the results obtained with two values of 490 initial surface displacement (η_0) both for the non-dispersive and dispersive model of tsunami 491 propagation.

492

Fig. 8 Maximum tsunami wave heights (H_{max}) calculated at 12 virtual tide gauge stations around Taal Caldera Lake for a subaqueous volcanic explosion in the northern basin (scenarios 14 and 15 in Table 1). Histograms represent the results obtained with two values of initial surface displacement (η_0) both for the non-dispersive and dispersive model of tsunami propagation.

498

499 **Fig. 9** Maximum tsunami wave height (H_{max}) vs. distance from the explosion. Two scenarios 500 of explosions are considered: a first explosion with $\eta_0 = 80$ m in the southern basin and a 501 second explosion with $\eta_0 = 140$ m in the northern basin.

502

Fig. 10 Initial surface displacement (η_0) vs. maximum tsunami wave height (H_{max}) at six different tide gauges for an explosion close to the 1716 eruptive vent (sublacustrine eruption in the southern basin). Six different values of η_0 are tested.

Fig. 11 Spectral analysis of wave height vs. time at a virtual tide gauge station located in the northern basin (Laurel) for an explosion at 105 m water depth in the northern basin ($\eta_0 = 120$ m) (scenario 11 in Table 1). A: wave height vs. time profile calculated at the Laurel virtual tide gauge; B: wavelet power spectrum (continuous wavelet transform); C: frequency spectrum (Lomb periodogram).

512

Fig. 12 Spectral analysis of wave height vs. time at a virtual tide gauge station located in the southern basin (Balete) for an explosion at 105 m water depth in the northern basin ($\eta_0 = 120$ m) (scenario 11 in Table 1). A: wave height vs. time profile calculated at the Laurel virtual tide gauge; B: wavelet power spectrum (continuous wavelet transform); C: frequency spectrum (Lomb periodogram).