

# Improved modeling of Mars' HDO cycle using a Mars' Global Climate Model

Margaux Vals, Loïc Rossi, Franck Montmessin, Franck Lefèvre, Francisco Gonzalez-Galindo, Anna Fedorova, Mikhail Luginin, François Forget, Ehouarn Millour, Oleg Korablev, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Margaux Vals, Loïc Rossi, Franck Montmessin, Franck Lefèvre, Francisco Gonzalez-Galindo, et al.. Improved modeling of Mars' HDO cycle using a Mars' Global Climate Model. Journal of Geophysical Research. Planets, 2022, 127 (8), pp.e2022JE007192. 10.1029/2022JE007192 . insu-03740754

# HAL Id: insu-03740754 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03740754

Submitted on 31 Jul 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Improved modeling of Mars' HDO cycle using a Mars' Global Climate Model

## Margaux Vals<sup>1</sup>, Loïc Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Franck Montmessin<sup>1</sup>, Franck Lefèvre<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Gonzalez-Galindo<sup>2</sup>, Anna Fedorova<sup>3</sup>, Mikhail Luginin<sup>3</sup>, François Forget<sup>4</sup>, Ehouarn Millour<sup>4</sup>, Oleg Korablev<sup>3</sup>, Alexander Trokhimovskiy<sup>3</sup>, Alexey Shakun<sup>3</sup>, Antoine Bierjon<sup>4</sup>, Luca Montabone<sup>5</sup>

7	<sup>1</sup> LATMOS/IPSL, UVSQ Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Guyancourt, France
8	"Instituto de Astronsica de Andalucia-OSIC (IAA), Granada, Spain
9	<sup>3</sup> Space Research Institute (IKI), Moscow, Russia
10	<sup>4</sup> Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, ENS, PSL Research
11	University, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Paris France
12	<sup>o</sup> Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO, USA

Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5

6

13

19

14	•	The HDO cycle has been implemented in the last version of the LMD Mars GCM
15		including microphysics and radiative effect of water ice clouds.
16	•	Kinetics effect is now included in HDO fractionation during condensation and proves
17		to be significant.
18	•	Supersaturation, the presence of which is regulated by cloud processes, alters sig-

nificantly the relative abundances of HDO and  $H_2O$ .

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Margaux \ Vals, \ \texttt{margaux.vals@latmos.ipsl.fr}$ 

#### 20 Abstract

HDO and the D/H ratio are essential to understand Mars past and present climate, in 21 particular with regard to the evolution through ages of the Martian water cycle. We present 22 here new modeling developments of the HDO cycle with the LMD Mars GCM. The present 23 study aims at exploring the behaviour of the D/H ratio cycle and its sensitivity to the 24 modeling of water ice clouds and the formulation of the fractionation by condensation. 25 Our GCM simulations are compared with observations provided by the Atmospheric Chem-26 istry Suite (ACS) on board the ESA/Roscosmos Trace Gas Orbiter, and reveal that the 27 model quite well reproduces the temperature and water vapor fields, which offers a good 28 basis for representing the D/H ratio cycle. The comparison also emphasizes the impor-29 tance of modelling the effect of supersaturation, resulting from the microphysical pro-30 cesses of water ice clouds, to correctly account for the water vapor and the D/H ratio 31 of the middle-to-upper atmosphere. This work comes jointly with a detailed compari-32 son of the measured D/H profiles by TGO/ACS and the model outputs, conducted in 33

the companion paper of Rossi et al. 2022 (this issue).

#### 35 Plain Language Summary

The D/H ratio observed in a planetary atmosphere is traditionally used as a proxy 36 to estimate the initial water reservoir of the planet. We present here an improved global 37 circulation model including HDO, the main isotope of water on Mars. The updated model 38 takes into account the details of the formation of clouds and their radiative effect. It also 39 includes the effect of photochemistry on HDO and deuterated species. We find that su-40 persaturation is key to the representation of the D/H cycle by making the hygropause 41 more porous, allowing more HDO in the upper atmosphere. It also reduces the efficiency 42 of the isotopic fractionation occuring at condensation. We present here comparisons be-43 tween observations by the ACS spectrometer onboard the orbiter TGO. While the model 44 is globally in agreement with the observations, the inability of the model to reproduce 45 the observed vertical distribution of dust, especially during the Global Dust Storm, cause dis-46 crepancies in the representation of water vapour. The model reveals the importance of 47 representing the process of supersaturation to correctly account for the water vapor amount 48 reaching the top of the atmosphere and estimate the D/H ratio at escape. 49

## 50 1 Introduction

The D/H ratio is commonly used to constrain the amount of water that was present 51 initially on Mars. Because the light hydrogen atom escapes more easily to space than 52 deuterium, the D/H ratio of the martian atmosphere is 5 to 6 times higher than the Earth's 53 oceans (Owen et al., 1988; Encrenaz, T. et al., 2018; Krasnopolsky, 2015; Villanueva et 54 al., 2015). This is often viewed as a testimony of a progressive loss of water that has made 55 its heavier isotope accruing over time. Furthermore, the D and H atoms populating the 56 exosphere, where they can escape, are initially produced from their precursors  $H_2O$  and 57 58 HDO in the lower atmosphere.  $H_2O$  tends to be preferentially photolysed, because of its lower absorption cross-section (Cheng et al., 1999), while HDO is preferentially condensed 59 because of its lower vapor pressure (Bertaux & Montmessin, 2001). These isotopic-dependent 60 processes reduce the ability of deuterium to access the upper atmosphere, and thus its 61 escape rate in comparison to hydrogen. 62

Deciphering the main mechanisms that control the D/H cycle on Mars is key to understanding how escape has affected the evolution of water on the planet. The recent martian missions provide new insights into this problematic by profiling for the first time D/H ratio (Vandaele et al., 2019; Chaufray et al., 2021; Alday et al., 2021a; Villanueva et al., 2021). In addition, numerical models complete the investigation by helping to interpret the physical processes observed.

In this paper, we refine the modeling of the HDO cycle that was initially developed by Montmessin et al. (2004), and recently re-implemented in the current version of the Martian Global Climate Model (GCM) of the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) by Rossi et al. (2021). This work is part of the perspective of a detailed analysis of the D/H ratio behavior based on model outputs and TGO/ACS observations (see companion paper Rossi et al. 2022, this issue).

Condensation-induced fractionation, used in Rossi et al. (2021), makes the HDO 75 cloud cycle mainly dependent on  $H_2O$  cloud formation. Cloud formation is a key fea-76 ture of the H<sub>2</sub>O cycle, in particular, microphysics and radiative effect of water ice clouds 77 have been shown to be essential to physically reproduce the observed water cycle with 78 the LMD GCM (Madeleine et al., 2012; Navarro et al., 2014). Microphysics comprise nu-79 cleation, ice growth and scavenging of dust. Modeling these different processes allows 80 supersaturation to build up in the model. A phenomenon that is known to exist in the 81 atmosphere of Mars (Maltagliati et al., 2011; Fedorova et al., 2020; Belvaev et al., 2021). 82 Also, radiative effect of water ice clouds have been shown to play a crucial role in the 83 temperature field and, consequently, in the global circulation. In this paper we incor-84 porate a HDO cloud formation model into a GCM including microphysics and radiative 85 effect of clouds, and study the impact on the HDO and D/H cycles. Within the fraction-86 ation by condensation, we also implement the effect of kinetics, which moderates the HDO 87 condensation reaction depending on the saturation conditions. To make our model con-88 sistent with the detailed analysis of the D/H cycle observed by TGO/ACS (see compan-89 ion paper Rossi et al. 2022, this issue), we compare the model outputs to TGO/ACS tem-90 perature, water vapor and saturation data in the extreme conditions of the MY34 Global 91 Dust Storm. 92

Section 2 describes the model. Section 3 describes the upgrades implemented for the modeling of the HDO cycle. Section 4 analyzes the results obtained with the new simulations. Section 5 confronts the model outputs of the water cycle in comparison to TGO/ACS observations. Section 6 discusses the results in comparison to TGO/ACS observations and the consequences for the D/H cycle. Section 7 summarizes the conclusions of the paper and proposes perspectives of this work.

## <sup>99</sup> 2 Description of the model

#### 2.1 General

100

128

140

143

The model used in this paper is the Martian Global Climate Model (GCM) developed at the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) in collaboration with several European teams (LATMOS, IAA Granada, University of Oxford, The Open University) (Forget et al., 1999). A detailed overview of the model, including the last implementations regarding the dust and water cycles, is provided by Navarro et al. (2014). Nevertheless, a brief description of the main modeling features of these two cycles is given in the following paragraphs.

Airborne dust is represented by a two-moment scheme, i.e. by two atmospheric trac-108 ers, accounting for both the dust particle number mixing ratio and the dust mass mix-109 ing ratio (Madeleine et al., 2011). The effective radius of the dust particles is then de-110 rived from these two moments assuming a log-normal distribution. The dust tracers are 111 incorporated into to a "semi-interactive" scheme (Madeleine et al., 2011), which simul-112 taneously allows the free evolution of the vertical distribution of dust, going through the 113 different physical parametrizations, and ensures the match of the integrated column dust 114 opacity to the observed values, as compiled by Montabone et al. (2015); Montabone et 115 al. (2020), for the radiative transfer calculations. 116

The atmospheric water is represented by two tracers, accounting for the ice and 117 vapor mixing ratios. The implementation of the radiative effect of water ice clouds (Madeleine 118 et al., 2012), shown to have a major impact on the global circulation, has led to the need 119 to finer represent the processes of cloud formation. A microphysics scheme, adapted from 120 Montmessin et al. (2002), has been introduced in the model by Navarro et al. (2014) and 121 includes the main processes of nucleation, ice growth and scavenging, allowing the oc-122 currence of supersaturation. The cloud particles are represented by both the amount of 123 condensed water, i.e. the mass mixing ratio of water ice, and the dust particles on which 124 the water has condensed, i.e. the dust particles converted to Cloud Condensation Nu-125 clei (CCN). As for the dust particles, the CCNs follow a two-moment scheme, with their 126 own size distribution, as described in Navarro et al. (2014). 127

## 2.2 Modeling of the HDO cycle

The HDO cycle based on the model developed by Montmessin et al. (2004), has been introduced in the most recent version of the GCM Rossi et al. (2021), yet without activating the radiative effect of clouds and the microphysics scheme. We briefly remind the main features of this implementation.

HDO is represented in the model by two tracers, namely its vapor and ice phases. Both tracers of the isotope go through the same physics of the model as the water tracer, and are freely mixed from the surface to the top of the model. The HDO initial atmospheric and surface mixing ratio are imposed in the model with a ratio of  $5 \times VSMOW$ . The effect of fractionation by condensation has been introduced in the model presented by Rossi et al. (2021), based on the calculation of a fractionation factor depending on the temperature according to the empirical expression of Lamb et al. (2017):

$$\alpha(T) = \frac{(HDO/H_2O)_{ice}}{(HDO/H_2O)_{vap}} = \exp\left(\frac{13525}{T^2} - 5.59 \times 10^{-2}\right) \tag{1}$$

The condensing mass flux  $dM_D$  of HDO can then be expressed from the condensing mass flux  $dM_H$  of H<sub>2</sub>O as:

$$dM_D = \alpha(T)dM_H \times \frac{M_D}{M_H} \tag{2}$$

where M indicates the mass mixing ratio in the vapor phase, with subscript H referring to water and D to HDO. Finally, as described in Rossi et al. (2021), in the dynamical part of the model, the isotopic ratio instead of the HDO tracer itself is advected to ensure monotonicity (Risi et al., 2010).

- <sup>148</sup> 3 Upgrades in modeling the HDO cycle
- 149

#### 3.1 HDO combined with microphysics of water ice clouds

In the study of Rossi et al. (2021), the choice was made to use the same simplified cloud model version as in Montmessin et al. (2004). It means the radiative effect of water ice clouds was neglected, as were the details of cloud microphysics (nucleation, ice growth and scavenging), which are necessary to account for supersaturation. In the present paper, we focus on the impact of using the current, detailed, cloud model of Navarro et al. (2014) on the HDO cycle. In practice, it requires to apply the equation (2) on the water condensing mass flux computed at each microphysical timestep.

157 158

### 3.2 Implementation of the effect of kinetics on the fractionation by condensation

Condensation fractionation is complicated by kinetics. Indeed, the higher weight 159 of the isotope HDO restricts its molecular mobility and therefore its ability to diffuse in 160 a gaseous environment compared to  $H_2O$ . The molecular diffusion coefficient is a key pa-161 rameter of the condensation flow. If condensation is not fast enough to maintain an equi-162 librium between the phases, i.e. to maintain saturation condition, kinetics then signif-163 icantly affect fractionation. In the experimental framework described by Merlivat and 164 Nief (1967), the vapor to ice conversion is slow enough for the system to remain perma-165 nently close to equilibrium, and hence, to isotopic equilibrium. However, ice formation 166 in nature does not necessarily occur in isotopic equilibrium. For example, terrestrial po-167 lar ice clouds encounter conditions in which the condensing vapor must diffuse in a strongly 168 supersaturated air (120 % relative humidity, Jouzel and Merlivat (1984)). In situ titra-169 tions of deuterium in ice clearly showed that the effect of actual fractionation was not 170 reproduced by Equation (1) (Jouzel & Merlivat, 1984). This formulation had to include 171 the effect of kinetics. Once taken into account, this correction makes it possible to ob-172 tain a valid relation under real conditions, where the kinetic fractionation coefficient  $\alpha_c$ 173 is expressed in function of the fractionation coefficient of the isotopic equilibrium, ob-174 tained with Equation (1), and the ratio of saturation of water vapor S: 175

$$\alpha_c = \frac{\alpha S}{\alpha (D_{H_2O}/D_{HDO})(S-1)+1} \tag{3}$$

<sup>177</sup> Where  $D_{H_2O}$  and  $D_{HDO}$  are the coefficients of diffusion of respectively H<sub>2</sub>O and HDO. <sup>178</sup> The HDO flux is then calculated as in equation 2 with  $\alpha_c$  instead of  $\alpha$ .

179

176

#### 3.3 Photochemistry of HDO

The photodissociation of HDO has been implemented in the latest version of the photochemical module coupled to the LMD GCM, described in Lefèvre et al. (2021). The HDO absorption cross-sections considered in the model are those measured at 295 K by Cheng et al. (2004) (125-140 nm) and Chung et al. (2001) (140-195 nm). The HDO crosssection is assumed to be identical to that of  $H_2O$  shortward of 125 nm.

The process of photolysis is particularly important from around 40 to 60 km altitude. HDO presents a lower absorption cross section to solar UV, which preserves HDO from photolysis relative to  $H_2O$  and thus refrains the release of Deuterium atoms. The model also disposes of a vertical extension up to the exobase around 250 km and fully represents the photochemical reactions occurring at these altitude ranges (Angelats i Coll et al., 2005; González-Galindo et al., 2013). This part was completed with the photochemical reactions of the deuterated species, both in the neutral and ionized form, based on Yung et al. (1988).

## <sup>193</sup> 4 Model results and sensitivity

GCM simulations presented in this section have all been run with a horizontal res-194 olution of  $64 \times 48$  grid points, corresponding to  $\sim 5.625^{\circ}$  resolution in longitude at the 195 equator and  $\sim 3.75^{\circ}$  resolution in latitude, and 32 vertical levels. The vertical resolu-196 tion irregularly decreases from  $\sim 2$  m close to the surface, to correctly resolve the plan-197 etary boundary layer, to  $\sim 5$  km close to the top of the model located around 120 km al-198 titude. For the study described in this section, photochemistry and the extension to the 199 thermosphere introduced in Section 3.3, are not included, as they are computationally 200 expensive. Their effect will nevertheless be studied and discussed in Sections 5 and 6. The D/H ratio of both the atmosphere and the surface ice have been initialized to the 202 value of  $5 \times$  VSMOW. The dust load scenario used in the simulations corresponds to the 203 "climatology" one, typical for a martian year without a global dust storm. The initial 204 state for the presented simulations has been obtained after 10 Martian years of simula-205 tion in which the HDO modeling upgrades presented in Section 3, i.e. radiatively active 206 clouds, microphysics and kinetics, were activated. In this section, we focus on the par-207 ticular season of perihelion, around  $L_s = 270^\circ$ , for the comparison between the differ-208 ent simulations. This season is the most challenging in modeling as it corresponds to the 209 dust storm season, and is particularly critical for the hydrogen escape as emphasized in 210 Alday et al. (2021b). All Figures of the Section display the fields during daytime (local 211 time 14:00), when the altitude of the hygropause is supposed to be the highest and es-212 cape rate the most efficient. 213

214 215

#### 4.1 Effect of radiatively active clouds and microphysics on the D/H cycle

In this section we study the separate impact of radiatively active clouds (RAC) and 216 of microphysics on the D/H cycle. In particular, supersaturation, which is the main con-217 sequence of using improved microphysics, is analyzed. Figure 1 shows the zonal mean 218 of temperature, water vapor superimposed with water ice contours, and D/H ratio of the 219 vapor phase superimposed with the seasonal stream functions, at the Southern Hemi-220 sphere Summer solstice  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  and at local time 14:00 for three cases of simulation: 221 one with all processes activated (RAC, microphysics and kinetics), which is referred to 222 as the reference simulation ([REF]), and two other simulations, where RAC and super-223 saturation have been alternately deactivated (respectively called [RAC OFF] and [su-224 persat OFF; see details on how we perform this simulation in Section 4.1.2), all other 225 parameters being equal. Figure 2 shows the difference between the different fields of the 226 different simulations, including a fourth simulation corresponding to the initial case of 227 simple physics of clouds used in Rossi et al. (2021) (simulation called "simple", for "sim-228 ple physics of clouds"). 229

230

## 4.1.1 Impact of RAC

We analyse the difference observed between simulations [REF] and [RAC OFF] to 231 isolate the effect of radiatively active clouds on the D/H cycle. Differences between the 232 two simulations are depicted by Figures 2a, 2b and 2c. During daytime (here at local 233 time 14:00), the main effect of adding the RAC is the warming of the middle atmosphere 234 between 10 and 1 Pa at the low to mid-latitudes, and at the high latitudes (enhanced 235 polar warming). The consequence of such an increase of temperature is the enhancement 236 of the global circulation, illustrated by the streamfunctions shown in Figures 1c and 1f. 237 This effect was already reported in Madeleine et al. (2012) and Navarro et al. (2014). 238 Both effects of increasing temperature and enhancing circulation impact the water cy-239



Figure 1. Meteorological variables output of the model zonally averaged at LT=14:00 around  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  [REF] Results for the reference GCM simulation with radiative effect and microphysics of clouds. [RAC OFF] Results for the GCM simulation in which radiative effect of clouds is turned off. [supersat OFF] Results of the GCM simulation in which the suspersaturation has been turned off. (Left column) Temperature (K). (Middle column) H<sub>2</sub>O ice mixing ratio (ppm). (Right column) D/H ratio of the vapor phase. White contours are the stream function in  $10^8 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$  averaged over Ls=  $240^{\circ} - 270^{\circ}$ .



Figure 2. Meteorological variables output of the model zonally averaged at LT=14:00 around  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  [REF -RAC off] Difference between the meteorological fields of the GCM simulation [REF] and the GCM simulation [RAC OFF]. [REF - supersat OFF] Difference between the meteorological fields of the GCM simulation [REF] and the GCM simulation [supersat OFF]. [REF -simple] Difference between the meteorological fields of the GCM simulation [REF] and the GCM simulation run with simple physics of clouds, i.e. deactivated radiative effect and microphysics [simple]. (Left column) Difference of temperature (K). (Middle column) Difference of the H<sub>2</sub>O vapor mixing ratio (ppm). (Right column) Difference of D/H ratio of the vapor phase. Panel c) also displays the contours of saturation in the case of the simulation [REF].

cle, on the one hand by rising the hygropause altitude, and, on the other hand, by fos-240 tering the distribution of water higher in the atmosphere. The concentration of water 241 vapor is increased by  $\sim 50$  ppm at the hygropause in the low-to-middle latitudes, so as 242 in the South pole near to the surface, and by  $\sim 20$  ppm in average in the atmosphere above 243 the hygropause. The concentration of ice clouds is decreased by  $\sim 20\%$  around 10 Pa in 244 the middle atmosphere at low-to-middle latitudes. These ice clouds also show a differ-245 ent structure, spanning a wider altitude range and centered to the tropics, instead of be-246 ing spread over all latitudes in [RAC OFF]. More water ice (tens of ppm) is also observed 247 in [REF] at the North Pole. The D/H ratio is increased by two in the middle altitudes 248 between 10 and 1 Pa, where the temperature increase and the cloud depletion are ob-249 served. The radiative effect of clouds tends to warm the atmosphere between 10 and 1 Pa, 250 which impedes condensation and thus fractionation and explains the corresponding higher 251 D/H ratio of the vapor phase. However, a slightly lower D/H ratio is observed at higher 252 altitude in the area of the polar warming and of the Northern pole. This can be inferred 253 from the presence of thicker ice clouds in [REF] than in [RAC OFF] seen in the North 254 pole, which create a stronger fractionation in these latitudes and a lower amount of HDO 255 in the vapor phase. 256

#### 4.1.2 Impact of microphysics and the particular role of supersaturation

257

The implementation of microphysics in the LMD GCM has been shown to signif-258 icantly improve the representation of the water cycle by correcting unrealistic biases am-259 plified by the radiative effect of clouds (Madeleine et al., 2012; Navarro et al., 2014). In 260 particular, microphysics now allow supersaturation to exist and build up, which is key 261 to simulate clouds realistically (Navarro et al., 2014; Maltagliati et al., 2011; Urata & 262 Toon, 2013). Indeed, microphysics of clouds involve the conversion of dust to conden-263 sation nuclei, and the release of this dust in the atmosphere right below the cloud level 264 where water ice particles fall and then sublimate. Nucleation and condensation depend 265 on the availability of dust nuclei and the formation of clouds may be inhibited by a pre-266 vious episode of scavenging that left condensation level devoid of nuclei. Thus the model 267 is now able to reproduce the emergence and further growth supersaturation, which is ob-268 served in the martian atmosphere (Maltagliati et al., 2011; Fedorova et al., 2020). In this 269 part, we focus on the particular role of the presence of supersaturation in the altitude 270 dependence of D/H. In order not to be biased by the radiative effect of clouds, which can-271 not be correctly represented without activating microphysics, we chose to isolate the ef-272 fect of supersaturation with a simulation called [supersat OFF]. In this simulation, clouds 273 are still formed with detailed microphysics, including the physical processes of nucleation 274 and ice particle growth, but in the end of each time step, the remnants of supersaturated 275 water vapor are systematically turned into ice. As the number of CCN is however con-276 served, particles get instantaneously bigger and fall rapidly by sedimentation to the sur-277 face. This way, the impact of supersaturation on the altitude dependence of D/H can 278 be addressed. 279

Results are shown in Figures 2d, 2e and 2f, which correspond to the difference of 280 temperature, water vapor and D/H ratio between the [REF] and [supersat OFF] sim-281 ulations at  $Ls=270^{\circ}$  and local time 14:00. The temperature field displays an enhanced 282 polar warming effect in the case of [REF] in comparison to [supersat OFF], as shown by 283 2d). This difference can be inferred from the clouds, which impact on the circulation: 284 the water ice issued from the conversion of supersaturated water vapor in the case of su-285 persat OFF] involve bigger particles, which fall rapidly to the surface. Therefore, atmo-286 spheric water ice is less present in [supersat OFF] than in [REF], i.e. clouds are thin-287 288 ner (see Figures 1b and 1h) and have less radiative impact on the global circulation, which reduces polar warming. Figure 2e reveals that water vapor is redistributed from the lower 289 to the middle-to-upper altitudes in the case of permitted supersaturation, explaining the 290 higher amount (increase by 10 to 50 ppm) predicted in the middle-to-upper atmosphere 291 at all latitudes from around 100 Pa altitude, so as the depletion observed in the lower 292

altitude ranges. The hygropause is lower in the case of [supersat OFF] and water vapor 293 is confined to lower altitude ranges than in [REF]. The difference in D/H between sim-294 ulations replicates that of water vapor (see Figure 2e vs. Figure 2f). The global effect 295 of supersaturation at the perihelion season makes the hygropause and the deuteropause more porous, and allows more water vapor to penetrate the middle-to-upper atmosphere 297 while inhibiting fractionation by condensation. Microphysics, especially supersaturation, 298 significantly impact water vapor and ice distributions, which in turn affects the D/H struc-299 ture essentially by allowing water with a high D/H ratio to migrate towards high alti-300 tude. 301

#### 302

## 4.1.3 Impact of RAC and microphysics

Finally we analyse the difference between simulations [REF] and [simple], consid-303 ering the impact of both effects of microphysics and radiatively active clouds (see Fig-304 ures 2g, 2h and 2i for the differences between both simulations). The global effect for 305 temperature is almost the same as the difference between simulation [REF] and [RAC 306 OFF]: there is a strong warming at the same locations (see Figure 2g). The water con-307 centration is increased the same way as in the difference between simulation [REF] and 308 [supersat OFF], redistributing water through a more porous and higher hygropause (see 309 Figure 2h). The difference in the D/H ratio illustrates well the combination of both ef-310 fects with the local increase of D/H around 10 Pa and the increase of D/H in the up-311 per atmosphere (see Figure 2i). This illustrates the impact of both physical processes 312 of microphysics and radiative effect of clouds on the D/H cycle through the impact on 313 the temperature and the water cycle. Both effects significantly impact the distribution 314 of water in the atmosphere, and consequently significantly impact the D/H ratio. 315

#### 4.2 Effect of the kinetics on the D/H cycle

Taking into account the effect of kinetics in the calculation of the condensation flux 317 of HDO makes the fractionation effect directly dependent on supersaturation. This ef-318 fect is illustrated by Figure 3, where the HDO vapor mass mixing ratio, and the D/H319 ratio are displayed respectively for the reference simulation ([REF]) and a simulation in 320 which the effect of kinetics has been turned off ([kinetics OFF]). Kinetics counterbalance 321 fractionation by condensation, as HDO diffuses slower than  $H_2O$  in the condensing flux. 322 Equation 2 says that for a given temperature, the higher the saturation, the lower the 323 fractionation coefficient. The correlation between the D/H ratio and the saturation is 324 well illustrated by the contours of saturation showed in Figure 3i. 325

The global impact of kinetics on the integrated D/H column is shown in Figure 4. The main impact is in the polar nights, where the supersaturation is high. The high fractionation effect occurring at these locations is clearly reduced by kinetics, increasing the D/H from 3 to 4×SMOW.



Figure 3. Model outputs of the zonally averaged HDO vapor, HDO ice and D/H at LT=14:00 around  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  [REF] Results for the reference GCM simulation with radiative effect and microphysics of clouds. [kinetics OFF] Results for the GCM simulation in which the effect of kinetics off fractionation has been turned on. [REF - kinetics OFF] Difference between the two simulations [REF] and [kinetics OFF] described before. (Left column) HDO vapor mixing ratio (ppb). (Middle column) HDO ice mixing ratio (ppb). (Right column) D/H ratio of the vapor phase. The contours of saturation are displayed in the sub-figure i (black contours)



**Figure 4.** [REF] Zonal average of the D/H integrated water vapor column for the reference GCM simulation with radiative effect, microphysics of clouds and the effect of kinetics on fractionation. [kinetics ON] Zonal average of the D/H integrated water vapor column for the GCM simulation in which the effect of kinetics on fractionation has been turned on. [kinetics ON -REF] Difference between the two simulations [kinetics ON] and [REF] described before.

#### <sup>330</sup> 5 Comparison with observations

In this section, we confront our reference simulation in which all the upgrades of the HDO modeling presented before have been activated, to temperature,  $H_2O$  and saturation occultation profiles derived from the TGO/ACS instrument provided by A. Fedorova for the MY34 with the NIR channel (Fedorova et al., 2020). This comparison aims at assessing the validity of the model regarding water and condensation. A detailed comparison between model and observations for HDO is conducted in Rossi et al. 2022 companion paper (this issue).

As mentioned in Navarro et al. (2014) in Section 4.3, the supersaturated water present 338 above the hygropause is exposed to photodissociation, which cannot be neglected in this 339 case. Therefore, the photochemistry module described by Lefèvre et al. (2021), includ-340 ing the upgrades implemented for Deuterium (see Section 3.3), so as the extension to ther-341 mosphere, have been turned on in the simulations presented in this section, in order to 342 make the most realistic comparison to the observations. The model outputs, for a sim-343 ulation run with the MY34 dust scenario of Montabone et al. (2020), have been extracted 344 and interpolated at the same Ls, spatial coordinates (latitude, longitude) and local times 345 as the ACS data. Both, model outputs and data, were interpolated on a vertical grid of 346 101 levels of 1 km resolution from 0 to 100 km above the areoid. 347

#### 5.1 Temperature

348

Figure 5 compares the temperature field produced by TGO/ACS for both hemispheres during MY34 between  $L_s = 150^\circ$  and  $L_s = 360^\circ$  with the GCM.

The global structure of temperature is overall well reproduced by the model. The model is generally warmer than observations in the upper atmosphere above 50 km in both hemispheres. The temperature field remains warmer even in the model for the case of simple cloud physics without radiative effect of clouds (not shown here), suggesting that it is not linked to cloud formation but to other processes.

The comparison with data from the instrument Mars Climate Sounder onboard Mars 356 Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO/MCS), provided by L. Montabone, and shown in Figure 357 6, confirms the warm bias of 20 K, in particular in the higher latitudes and upper alti-358 tudes. This bias could be explained by processes not included in the model. For instance, 359 some simulations have shown that taking into account non-orographic gravity waves can 360 reduce the global circulation and, consequently, the polar warming (Gilli et al., 2020). 361 The influence of the  $CO_2$  clouds microphysics, studied with the model in a paper recently 362 submitted by A. Määttänen, is also a factor to be explored, as it could impact the south-363 ward returning circulation in the lower atmosphere. 364

#### **5.2 Water vapor**

Figure 7 is the same as Figure 5 but for the H<sub>2</sub>O vapor field. As for the temperature, the global structure of the water distribution, including the average altitude of the hygropause, is well reproduced by the model. However, the model presents slightly more water vapor in the upper atmosphere than what is observed, in particular in the northern hemisphere between  $L_s = 210^\circ$  and  $L_s = 240^\circ$ , whereas it fails to reproduce the distinct increase of water vapor between  $L_s = 270^\circ$  and  $L_s = 300^\circ$  in the southern hemisphere.

The excess of water does not necessarily correlate with the warm temperature bias. Indeed, the difference in water vapor persists in the particular cases where the measured and the modelled temperature finely agree, mainly in the tropical latitudes, like for instance near to  $L_s = 240^{\circ}$  (Figures 8a, 8b and 8c), where saturation is much higher in the model than in the observations. In other cases, as the one displayed in Figures 8c,



**Figure 5.** GCM temperature profiles interpolated to the ACS spatial and temporal coordinates, as a function of altitude and solar longitude (Ls). Left column: northern hemisphere, right column: southern hemisphere. First panel corresponds to the data coverage in latitude and local time. Second panel displays the GCM outputs. Third panel shows the ACS data. Fourth panel is the difference between the GCM outputs and the ACS data.



Figure 6. Comparison between the zonal mean daytime temperature at  $\sim L_s = 240^{\circ}$  as measured by MCS and as computed by the GCM. Left panel: MCS temperature field as a function of pressure and latitude, right panel: difference between the GCM outputs and the MCS data.



Figure 7. Same layout as Figure 5 but for water vapor volume mixing ratio

8d and 8e, the disagreement in water vapor seems related to the differences in temperature. In this Figure we see that the peak of 300 ppm of water vapor between 40 and
50 km doesn't correspond to supersaturated conditions, but to a slight increase of temperature in the same altitude range.

For both types of profiles presented here, the observed discrepancies between ob-382 servations and model outputs could be explained by the difficulty of the model to repro-383 duce the dust vertical distribution, in particular during the Global Dust Storm. For or-384 bit 3418 ( $L_s = 240.0^\circ$ , Lat=11.3°, LT=6.3 h), shown in 8a, 8b and 8c, the underesti-385 mation of condensation, correlated with the excess of supersaturation, could be the re-386 sult of a lack of dust nuclei in the upper atmosphere. Indeed, ACS observations have iden-387 tified the presence of water ice clouds at altitudes as high as 80km (Stcherbinine et al., 388 2020), which are not reproduced by the model. This is also illustrated by Figure 9a that 389 compares GCM outputs with TGO/ACS/TIRVIM and TGO/ACS/NIR ice mass data 390 around the same ranges of solar longitude and latitude as the profile 3418 (Luginin et 391 al., 2020). We can see that the model does not reproduces the water ice amount observed 392 between 60 and 100 km altitude. 393

For orbit 4192 ( $L_s = 279.9^{\circ}$ , Lat=-62.4°, LT=1.8 h), shown in 8d, 8e and 8f, the 394 layer of warmer temperature observed around 30 to 50 km by ACS, that is also corre-395 lated to much higher water vapor mixing ratio, could be the result of detached dust lay-396 ers created by strong convective activity (Spiga et al., 2013; Daerden et al., 2015; Heav-397 ens et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2018; Heavens et al., 2019). In the model, which does not 398 account for these subgrid-scale processes, water vapor is concentrated to lower altitude 399 than observed while the lower hygropause prevents water from reaching higher altitude. 400 This hypothesis is corroborated by Figure 9b which compares GCM outputs with MRO/MCS 401 dust opacity which shows that the dust opacity observed is not well reproduced by the 402 model. 403



**Figure 8.** Profiles of temperature, water vapor mixing ratio and saturation in function of altitude corresponding to orbits 3418 (Ls=240.0°, Lat=11.3°, LT=6.3 h) and 4192 (Ls=279.9°, Lat=-62.4°, LT=1.8 h) as measured by ACS (black line) and computed by the GCM (red line).



Figure 9. a) Profiles of water ice mass load between  $L_s = 235^{\circ}$  and  $L_s = 245^{\circ}$  and latitude  $25^{\circ}$ S and  $25^{\circ}$ N as measured by ACS (black line) and computed by the GCM (blue line). b) Profiles of nighttime dust opacity extinction at wavelength 21.6  $\mu$ m between Ls=280° and Ls=290° and latitude 60°S and 90°N as measured by MCS (black line) and computed by the GCM (red line).

#### 404 5.3 Saturation

Figure 10 is the same as Figure 5 but for the saturation field. As for temperature 405 and water vapor, the GCM reproduces the main features of supersaturation observed. 406 However, some disagreements appear and globally correlate with the ones observed in 407 the upper atmosphere (above 50 km) for the water vapor. From  $L_s = 210^{\circ}$  to  $L_s =$ 408  $270^{\circ}$ , saturation in the model is higher than in the observations near 100 km, and from 409  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  to  $L_s = 300^{\circ}$  in the Northern Hemisphere. However, observations present 410 higher saturation from 50 to 100 km altitude, which correlates with the colder temper-411 atures. In the Southern Hemisphere, the lack of water vapor seen in the model in com-412 parison with observations between  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$  and  $L_s = 300^{\circ}$  correlates with the lower 413 saturation observed in comparison to TGO/ACS data. 414



Figure 10. Same layout as Figure 5 but for the saturation

#### 415 6 Discussion

416

#### 6.1 Impact of the different physical processes

Figure 11 summarizes the impact of each different physical process implemented 417 in the model and studied in this work. It shows the H<sub>2</sub>O and HDO mixing ratios, and 418 the D/H ratio at 80 km altitude, as computed by the model, and interpolated at the ACS 419 measurements coordinates, for a simulation of reference [REF], where all physical pack-420 ages (RAC, microphysics, kinetics and photochemistry) have been activated, i.e. the sim-421 ulation used for the comparison with observations in Section 5, and for other experimen-422 tal simulations, in which each physical process studied has been separately turned off. 423 The impact on D/H of each physical process is detailed hereafter. 424

As illustrated in Section 4, radiatively active clouds contribute to the presence of 425 water vapor at high altitude. The comparison between [REF] (red dots) and [RAC OFF] 426 (green dots) in Figure 11 reveals that ignoring the radiative effect of clouds significantly 427 degrades the agreement between the model and the observations. Indeed, the amount 428 of water vapor can vary by one  $(L_s = 210^\circ - 270^\circ)$ , to two orders of magnitude  $(L_s =$ 429  $270^{\circ}$  -  $330^{\circ}$ ) from one simulation to the other. This also has a consequence on the HDO 430 mixing ratio, and on the D/H ratio, which is depleted for the simulation [REF] at 80 km 431 altitude in comparison to simulation [RAC OFF]. This can possibly be explained by the 432 North pole water ice clouds, which are thicker in [REF] than in [RAC OFF] at this sea-433 son, as illustrated by Figure 2 in Section 4.1.1. The formation of these clouds in [REF] 434 impoverishes the air in deuterium through the global circulation, which results in a lower 435 D/H ratio in the upper atmosphere in comparison to [RAC OFF]. 436

Supersaturation significantly impacts D/H. The comparison between simulations
 [REF] (red dots) and [supersat OFF] (blue dots) in Figure 11 reveals that ignoring supersaturation results in an underestimation of water vapor of two orders of magnitude

in comparison to the ACS measurements. This proves supersaturation cannot be neglected 440 to realistically reproduce the water amount in the upper atmosphere. This also has a 441 strong impact on D/H, which can be two times lower in the case of not allowing the su-442 persaturation ([SUPERSAT OFF]) than in [REF]. Indeed, according to the model, wa-443 ter vapor in the middle-to-upper atmosphere is in a supersaturated state. Supersatura-444 tion makes the hygropause, and the deuteropause more porous, and allows  $H_2O$  as well 445 as HDO, penetrate higher altitude ranges than the ones delimited by the water ice cloud 446 level. Both H<sub>2</sub>O and HDO amounts increase by two orders of magnitude at 80 km when 447 supersaturation is enabled, as shown by Figure 11. Water can then reach the middle-448 to-upper atmosphere and becomes exposed to photolysis, subsequently determining the 449 D/H ratio at escape. We also notice that the representation of supersaturation allows 450 the presence of water (tens of ppm) within the middle-to-upper atmosphere as soon as 451  $L_s = 190^\circ$ , at the beginning of the storm. There we could have expected that the model, 452 which fails at reproducing the vertical distribution of dust, would not be able to trans-453 port water at such high altitudes. This is the case in the model presented by Neary et 454 al. (2020), where supersaturation is not taken into account. Therefore, allowing super-455 saturation compensates partly for the misrepresentation of the dust dynamics. 456

The effect of kinetics in the fractionation process is illustrated by Figure 11 with simulations [REF] (red dots) and [kinetics OFF] (purple dots). As this effect only intervenes in HDO fractionation, it does not impact on the comparison of water vapor between the model and the observations (red and purple dots are almost superimposed). As expected, the difference is seen in the mixing ratio of HDO, and consequently in the D/H ratio, which is globally increased by 5% when this process is taken into account.

The comparison between simulations [REF] (red dots) and [photochemistry OFF] 463 (orange dots) in the same figure shows that ignoring photochemistry significantly degrades 464 the agreement between model and observations. This is especially seen within  $L_s = 270^{\circ}$ 465  $330^{\circ}$ , where the amount of water vapor is decreased by 25 to 50 % when activating pho-466 tochemical reactions in both hemispheres. The photolysis is expected to be significant 467 at these altitudes, and is particularly strong near to the perihelion (Clarke et al., 2014; 468 Alday et al., 2021a). The global impact of photochemistry at this altitude is to increase 469 D/H ratio. As  $H_2O$  is preferentially photolysed compared to HDO, the D/H ratio of the 470 neutral species is increased. The effect is particularly important around  $Ls=315^{\circ}$  in both 471 472 hemispheres, where a peak is observed for [REF] and not for [phtochemistry OFF]. These peaks indicate a particularly strong photolysis activity, which can be the result of sam-473 pling the descending air from the thermosphere (Hadley circulation near the equinox and 474 the poles), where photolysis is particularly active. 475

476

#### 6.2 Global average versus ACS sampling

477 Considering that ACS sampling is restricted by the TGO orbit which induces a lat478 itudinal drift with time, it is important to question the global representativity of such
479 sampling. This kind of question can be addressed with the model which simulates the
entire Martian globe and allows comparing the state of the atmosphere at the sampling
locations with the state of the global atmosphere. One expects a bias in this configuration, but does it make ACS and TGO incapable of delivering a consistent appreciation
of the entire Martian atmosphere?

Figures 12 and 13 display the global average of the different fields of  $H_2O$  and HDO vapor mixing ratio and D/H over both hemispheres compared to the GCM outputs obtained for the reference simulation with the ACS sampling respectively at 80 km and 50 km altitude. Figure 12 shows that at 80 km, although the GCM reproduces the two peaks of water vapor corresponding to the two peaks of dust opacity of MY34 near Ls~ 190° and Ls~ 320°, it fails to simulate the increase of water vapor occurring near perihelion in both hemispheres, its intensity being much higher in the southern hemisphere. We



Figure 11.  $H_2O$ , HDO and D/H ratio GCM outputs interpolated to the ACS spatial and temporal coordinates at 80 km altitude, as a function of solar longitude (Ls). Left column: northern hemisphere, right column: southern hemisphere. First panel corresponds to the data coverage in latitude and local time. Second row displays the  $H_2O$  volume mixing ratio in logarithmic scale. Third row displays the HDO volume mixing ratio in logarithmic scale. Fourth row contains the D/H ratio. GCM outputs are shown for the reference simulation [REF], a simulation in which the supersaturation has been turned off [supersat OFF], a simulation in which the radiative effect of clouds has been turned off [RAC OFF], a simulation in which the effect of kinetics has been turned off [kinetics OFF] and a simulation in which the photochemistry has been turned off [photochem OFF]. ACS water vapor volume mixing ratio are shown in the second row (black dots).

also remark the decrease of water vapor around  $Ls=240^{\circ}$  and  $Ls=300^{\circ}$ , which are not 491 reproduced by the model. As described in Section 4 before, the decrease of water vapor 492 observed between Ls $\sim 210^{\circ}$  and Ls $\sim 270^{\circ}$  can be correlated with the presence of wa-493 ter ice clouds not reproduced by the model, because of the lack of dust nuclei transported to these upper altitude ranges. The absence of the peak observed around perihelion in 495 the model can also be attributed to the misrepresentation of the dust vertical distribu-496 tion, as strong convection transports dust that warms higher altitude and therefore al-497 lows water penetration to higher altitude. At 50 km, on the other hand, the model seems 498 to reproduce the observed tendency, except near the perihelion in the southern hemisphere, 499 for the same reasons as at 80 km. 500

Figure 12 reveals that the ACS sampling is representative of the global behaviour 501 of the atmosphere at 80 km, where the red dots and the blue line follow each other. This 502 is not the case at 50 km, where strong deviations between ACS sampling and global av-503 erage can be seen. Indeed, the latitudinal coverage of the sampling easily explains the 504 variability of the fields in comparison to the global average over each hemisphere, and 505 we can observe that the water vapor amount at this altitude is increasing with decreas-506 ing latitudes. In particular D/H variation appears tightly correlated to the latitudinal 507 sampling. The difference with the global average increases when the sampling approaches 508 the poles, for example in the northern hemisphere between Ls $\sim 340^{\circ}$  and Ls $\sim 350^{\circ}$ , 509 where the D/H of the sampling reaches the value of  $5 \times VSMOW$ , whereas it slowly de-510 creases in the global average around  $3.6 \times VSMOW$ . The steep increase near both poles 511 disappears, when turning the photochemistry off, which suggests it is due to fraction-512 ation by photolysis. As mentioned before, this is the direct effect of sampling near the 513 equinox and the poles, where the air of the descending branch of the Hadley cell comes 514 from the thermosphere, where photodissociation strongly operates. 515



Figure 12.  $H_2O$ , HDO and D/H ratio GCM outputs interpolated to the ACS spatial and temporal coordinates at 80 km altitude, and globally averaged over each hemisphere, as a function of altitude and solar longitude (Ls). Left column: northern hemisphere, right column: southern hemisphere. First panel corresponds to the data coverage in latitude and local time. Second row displays the H<sub>2</sub>O volume mixing ratio. Third row displays the HDO volume mixing ratio. Fourth row contains the D/H ratio. GCM outputs are shown for the reference simulation [REF] with GCM outputs interpolated to ACS coordinates (red dots), and for the global (zonal and latitudinal) average over each hemisphere (dark blue line). ACS water vapor volume mixing ratio are shown in the second row (black dots).



Figure 13. Same layout as Figure 12 at 50 km altitude

#### 516 7 Conclusion

In this work we have shown the importance of modeling the detailed aspects of the 517 water cycle to correctly account for the D/H cycle and, consequently, for the amount of 518 water potentially escaping from the upper atmosphere. In particular, simulations with 519 the LMD Mars GCM have shown that the radiative effect of clouds and their microphysics 520 tend both to rise the altitude of the hygropause and the deutoropause, and significantly 521 increase the D/H ratio in the middle atmosphere. In particular, the interaction between 522 dust and water ice, responsible for the presence of supersaturation, tends to increase the 523 porosity of the hygropause and deuteropause, allowing water (between 30 to 100 ppm) 524 to penetrate higher altitude ranges (up to 100 km). The implementation of the effect of 525 kinetics in the process of fractionation by condensation has also shown a significant dif-526 ference in the D/H ratio, correlated with strong saturation. To conclude, supersatura-527 tion has two main impacts on the D/H, first by allowing a significant amount of water 528 and HDO vapor to penetrate the upper atmosphere, and second by moderating the pro-529 cess of fractionation by condensation through the effect of kinetics. 530

The comparison between the TGO/ACS measurements of MY34 and the correspond-531 ing GCM outputs reveals a slight overestimation of the water vapor amount of the model 532 in the middle-to-upper atmosphere, where water is in supersaturated state. This over-533 estimation of supersaturation is suspected to result from a lack of dust nuclei present 534 in the upper atmosphere, consequence of the misdealing of the vertical distribution of 535 dust by the model. Supersaturation is also very sensitive to the poorly known "contact 536 parameter" of the condensation nuclei, which is fixed in the model (see Navarro et al. 537 (2014)). Besides, the model fails to represent the large increase of water vapor observed 538 near to the perihelion in the Southern Hemisphere. This could also be attributed to the 539 difficulty to represent the dust vertical distribution, supposed to be particularly controlled 540 by vertical convection mechanisms during the dusty season. Further comparisons between 541 GCM simulations and TGO/ACS observations have also confirmed the importance in 542

representing supersaturation to correctly account for the water vapor amount present in the middle-to-upper atmosphere.

Finally, this study reveals that the TGO/ACS sampling is quite representative for the global behavior of the atmosphere at high altitude levels ( $\sim$ 80 km), except near the poles, but the agreement is degraded in the middle altitude levels ( $\sim$ 50 km), especially near the poles.

Comparisons of the measured D/H profiles by TGO/ACS and the GCM are studied in the companion paper of Rossi et al. 2022 (this issue). In the near future, the fate of deuterium on Mars will be studied with our GCM by means of a comprehensive description of the deuterium photochemistry and escape. These recent improvements bring us closer fully representing the global D/H cycle, from the surface up to the top of the atmosphere.

### 555 Acknowledgments

ExoMars is the space mission of ESA and Roscosmos. The ACS experiment is led by IKI
 Space Research Institute in Moscow. The project acknowledges funding by Roscosmos
 and CNES. Science operations of ACS are funded by Roscosmos and ESA.

The data used to produce the figures presented in this article can be obtained on 559 the following link : https://doi.org/10.14768/9ba77f68-29a3-4bd6-8966-0900e2316a54 560 The MRO/MCS dust opacity data were provided by Luca Montabone and are available 561 at the same link. The TGO/ACS/NIR temperature and water retrievals used in this study were initially published in Fedorova et al. (2020) and are available at http://exomars 563 .cosmos.ru/ACS\_Results\_stormy\_water\_vREzUd4pxG/. The TGO/ACS/TIRVIM and 564 TGO/ACS/NIR water ice retrievals were initially published in Luginin et al. (2020) and 565 can be obtained at https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/rkszdmpdcy/1. The LMD 566 General Circulation Model is available on request from the LMD team. 567

We thank the TGO/ACS team, so as Luca Montabone, for treating and providing the data with valuable inputs. We also thank the LMD team, in particular Antoine Bierjon, for providing the tools to correctly handle with the model outputs.

M.V. acknowledges support from the DIM ACAV labelled by the Ile-de-France region in support for the research (Domaine d'Intérêt Majeur, Astrophysique et Conditions d'Apparition de la Vie)". L.R. acknowledges support from CNES.

## 574 **References**

582

- Alday, J., Trokhimovskiy, A., Irwin, P. G. J., Wilson, C. F., Montmessin, F.,
- Lefévre, F., ... Shakun, A. (2021a). Isotopic fractionation of water and its
   photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars. *Nature Astronomy*. doi:
   10.1038/s41550-021-01389-x
- Alday, J., Trokhimovskiy, A., Irwin, P. G. J., Wilson, C. F., Montmessin, F.,
   Lefévre, F., ... Shakun, A. (2021b). Isotopic fractionation of water and its
   photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars. *Nature Astronomy*, 5. doi:
  - photolytic products in the atmosphere of Mars. 10.1038/s41550-021-01389-x
- Angelats i Coll, M., Forget, F., López-Valverde, M. A., & González-Galindo, F.
  (2005). The first Mars thermospheric general circulation model: The Martian atmosphere from the ground to 240 km. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, 4201. doi: 10.1029/2004GL021368
- Belyaev, D. A., Fedorova, A. A., Trokhimovskiy, A., Alday, J., Montmessin, F., Ko rablev, O. I., ... Shakun, A. V. (2021). Revealing a High Water Abundance
   in the Upper Mesosphere of Mars With ACS Onboard TGO. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(10). doi: 10.1029/2021GL093411

591	Bertaux, JL., & Montmessin, F. (2001). Isotopic fractionation through water vapor
592	condensation: The deuteropause, a cold trap for deuterium in the atmosphere
593	of mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 106(E12), 32879-32884.
594	doi: 10.1029/2000JE001358
595	Chaufray, J. Y., Mayyasi, M., Chaffin, M., Deighan, J., Bhattacharyya, D.,
596	Clarke, J., Jakosky, B. (2021). Estimate of the D/H Ratio in the
597	Martian Upper Atmosphere from the Low Spectral Resolution Mode of
598	MAVEN/IUVS. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 126(4), e06814.
599	doi: $10.1029/2020JE000814$
600	Cheng, BM., Chew, E. P., Liu, CP., Bahou, M., Lee, YP., Yung, Y. L., & Ger-
601	stell, M. F. (1999). Photo-induced fractionation of water isotopomers in the
602	martian atmosphere. Geophysical Research Letters, $20(24)$ , $5057-5000$ . doi: 10.1020/1000CL008267
603	Chong B M Chung C V Bahou M Loo V P Loo I C van Harrovolt B k
604	van Hemert M. C. (2004) Quantitative spectroscopic and theoretical study
606	of the optical absorption spectra of $H_2O$ HOD and $D_2O$ in the 125-145 nm
607	region. Journal of Chemical Physics, $120(1)$ , $224-229$ , doi: $10.1063/1.1630304$
608	Chung, CY., Chew, E. P., Cheng, BM., Bahou, M., & Lee, YP. (2001). Tem-
609	perature dependence of absorption cross-section of H <sub>2</sub> O, HOD, and D <sub>2</sub> O in
610	the spectral region 140-193 nm. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
611	Research A, 467(2002), 1572-1576. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00762-8
612	Clarke, J. T., Bertaux, J. L., Chaufray, J. Y., Gladstone, G. R., Quemerais, E., Wil-
613	son, J. K., & Bhattacharyya, D. (2014, November). A rapid decrease of the
614	hydrogen corona of Mars. $grl,41(22),8013\text{-}8020.$ doi: 10.1002/2014GL061803
615	Daerden, F., Whiteway, J. A., Neary, L., Komguem, L., Lemmon, M. T., Heav-
616	ens, N. G., Smith, M. D. (2015). A solar escalator on Mars: Self-
617	lifting of dust layers by radiative heating. $grl$ , $42(18)$ , 7319-7326. doi:
618	10 1002/2015GL064892
619	Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T.,
619 620	Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars
619 620 621	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T.,</li> <li>Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fodoroup, A. A. Montmessin, F. Korabley, O. Luginin, M. Trokhimovskiy, A.</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belvaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution.</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622 623 624	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distri- bution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season Science</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622 623 624 625	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distri- bution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M.,</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo-</li> </ul>
619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 626 627 628	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L.,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distri- bution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Baser (Planets), 125(1), e015 2122. doi: 10.1002/jray.2015</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>632</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distri- bution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Yaer 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event Learnal of Combusing Processes</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11) 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> <li>641</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11), 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Bichardson, M. I. Kleinböhl A. Kass D. M. McCleese D. J.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> <li>641</li> <li>642</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11), 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Richardson, M. I., Kleinböhl, A., Kass, D. M., McCleese, D. J., Abdou, W.,, Wolkenberg, P. M. (2011). Vertical distribution of dust in</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> <li>641</li> <li>642</li> <li>643</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11), 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Richardson, M. I., Kleinböhl, A., Kass, D. M., McCleese, D. J., Abdou, W., Wolkenberg, P. M. (2011). Vertical distribution of dust in the Martian atmosphere during northern spring and summer: High-altitude</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> <li>641</li> <li>642</li> <li>644</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navarro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11), 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Richardson, M. I., Kleinböhl, A., Kass, D. M., McCleese, D. J., Abdou, W., Wolkenberg, P. M. (2011). Vertical distribution of dust in the Martian atmosphere during northern spring and summer: High-altitude tropical dust maximum at northern summer solstice. Journal of Geophysical</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>619</li> <li>620</li> <li>621</li> <li>622</li> <li>623</li> <li>624</li> <li>625</li> <li>626</li> <li>627</li> <li>628</li> <li>629</li> <li>630</li> <li>631</li> <li>632</li> <li>633</li> <li>634</li> <li>635</li> <li>636</li> <li>637</li> <li>638</li> <li>639</li> <li>640</li> <li>641</li> <li>642</li> <li>643</li> <li>644</li> <li>645</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encrenaz, T., DeWitt, C., Richter, M. J., Greathouse, T. K., Fouchet, T., Montmessin, F., Sagawa, H. (2018). New measurements of d/h on mars using exes aboard sofia. A&amp;A, 612, A112. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732367</li> <li>Fedorova, A. A., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Luginin, M., Trokhimovskiy, A., Belyaev, D. A., Wilson, C. F. (2020). Stormy water on Mars: The distribution and saturation of atmospheric water during the dusty season. Science, 367(6475), 297-300. doi: 10.1126/science.aay9522</li> <li>Forget, F., Hourdin, F., Fournier, R., Hourdin, C., Talagrand, O., Collins, M., Huot., JP. (1999). Improved general circulation models of the Martian atmo- sphere from the surface to above 80 km. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 24,155-24,176.</li> <li>Gilli, G., Forget, F., Spiga, A., Navaro, T., Millour, E., Montabone, L., Schofield, J. T. (2020). Impact of Gravity Waves on the Middle Atmosphere of Mars: A Non-Orographic Gravity Wave Parameterization Based on Global Climate Modeling and MCS Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3), e05873. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005873</li> <li>González-Galindo, F., Chaufray, J. Y., López-Valverde, M. A., Gilli, G., Forget, F., Leblanc, F., Yagi, M. (2013). Three-dimensional Martian ionosphere model: I. The photochemical ionosphere below 180 km. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118(10), 2105-2123. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20150</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Kass, D. M., &amp; Shirley, J. H. (2019). Dusty Deep Convection in the Mars Year 34 Planet-Encircling Dust Event. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 124(11), 2863-2892. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006110</li> <li>Heavens, N. G., Richardson, M. I., Kleinböhl, A., Kass, D. M., McCleese, D. J., Abdou, W., Wolkenberg, P. M. (2011). Vertical distribution of dust in the Martian atmosphere during northern spring and summer: High-altitude tropical dust maximum at northern spring and summer: High-altitude tropical dust maximum at northern spring and summer: High-altitude tropical dust maximum at n</li></ul>

646	Jouzel, J., & Merlivat, L. (1984). Deuterium and oxygen 18 in precipitation: Mod-
647	eling of the isotopic effects during snow formation. $jgr$ , $89(D7)$ , 11,749-11,757.
648	doi: $10.1029/JD089iD07p11749$
649	Krasnopolsky, V. A. (2015). Variations of the hdo/h2o ratio in the martian atmo-
650	sphere and loss of water from mars. <i>Icarus</i> , 257, 377 - 386. doi: https://doi
651	.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.05.021
652	Lamb, K. D., Clouser, B. W., Bolot, M., Sarkozy, L., Ebert, V., Saathoff, H.,
653	Moyer, E. J. (2017). Laboratory measurements of hdo/h2o iso-
654	topic fractionation during ice deposition in simulated cirrus clouds. Pro-
655	ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, $114(22)$ , $5612-5617$ . doi:
656	10.10/3/pnas.10183/4114
657	Lefevre, F., Trokhimovskiy, A., Fedorova, A., Baggio, L., Lacombe, G., Maattanen,
658	A., Monthessin, F. (2021). Relationship Between the Ozone and Wa-
659	Clobal Climate Model Lowrad of Coonducical Research (Planets) 126(4) doi:
661	10.1029/2021JE006838
662	Luginin, M., Fedorova, A., Ignatiev, N., Trokhimovskiy, A., Shakun, A., Grigoriev,
663	A., Korablev, O. (2020). Properties of Water Ice and Dust Particles in
664	the Atmosphere of Mars During the 2018 Global Dust Storm as Inferred From
665	the Atmospheric Chemistry Suite. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets),
666	125(11), e06419. doi: $10.1029/2020$ JE006419
667	Madeleine, JB., Forget, F., Millour, E., Montabone, L., & Wolff, M. J. (2011,
668	November). Revisiting the radiative impact of dust on Mars using the LMD
669	Global Climate Model. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 116, 11010.
670	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
671	Madeleine, JB., Forget, F., Millour, E., Navarro, I., & Spiga, A. (2012). The
672	multiple of radiatively active water ice clouds on the Martian climate. Geo-
673	Maltagliati I. Montmaggin E. Endonome A. Konahley, O. Enget E. & Portaux
675	I.L. (2011 September) Evidence of Water Vapor in Excess of Saturation in
676	the Atmosphere of Mars Science 333 1868- doi: 10.1126/science 1207957
677	Merlivat, L., & Nief, G. (1967). Fractionnement isotopique lors des changements
678	d'état solide-vapeur et liquide-vapeur de l'eau à des températures inférieures à
679	0°c. Tellus, 19(1), 122-127. doi: 10.1111/j.2153-3490.1967.tb01465.x
680	Montabone, L., Forget, F., Millour, E., Wilson, R. J., Lewis, S. R., Cantor, B.,
681	Wolff, M. J. (2015). Eight-year climatology of dust optical depth on Mars.
682	Icarus, 251, 65-95. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.034
683	Montabone, L., Spiga, A., Kass, D. M., Kleinböhl, A., Forget, F., & Millour,
684	E. (2020). Martian year 34 column dust climatology from mars climate
685	sounder observations: Reconstructed maps and model simulations. Jour-
686	nal of Geophysical Research: Planets, $n/a(n/a)$ , e2019JE006111. doi:
687	10.1029/2019JE006111
688	Montmessin, F., Fouchet, T., & Forget, F. (2004). Modeling the annual cycle of
689	HDO in the Martian atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research, Submit-
690	lea. Montroccin E. Donnou D. & Cohono M. (2002). Now insights into Montion dust.
691	distribution and water ice cloud microphysics Low Coophys. Res. (Planets)
692	A-1
604	Navarro T Madeleine J-B Forget F Spiga A Millour E Montmessin F &
695	Määttänen, A. (2014). Global Climate Modeling of the Martian water cycle
696	with improved microphysics and radiatively active water ice clouds. <i>Journal of</i>
697	Geophysical Research (Planets). doi: 10.1002/2013JE004550
698	Neary, L., Daerden, F., Aoki, S., Whiteway, J., Clancy, R. T., Smith, M., Van-
699	daele, A. C. (2020). Explanation for the Increase in High-Altitude Water on

<sup>700</sup> Mars Observed by NOMAD During the 2018 Global Dust Storm. *Geophysical* 

701	Research Letters, 47(7), e84354. doi: 10.1029/2019GL084354
702	Owen, T., Maillard, J. P., de Bergh, C., & Lutz, B. L. (1988). Deuterium on Mars:
703	The Abundance of HDO and the Value of D/H. Science, 240(4860), 1767-
704	1770. doi: 10.1126/science.240.4860.1767
705	Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., & Jouzel, J. (2010). Water-stable isotopes in the
706	LMDZ4 general circulation model: Model evaluation for present-day and
707	past climates and applications to climatic interpretations of tropical isotopic
708	records. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres), 115, D12118. doi:
709	10.1029/2009JD013255
710	Rossi, L., Vals, M., Montmessin, F., Forget, F., Millour, E., Fedorova, A., Ko-
711	rablev, O. (2021). The Effect of the Martian 2018 Global Dust Storm on
712	HDO as Predicted by a Mars Global Climate Model. $qrl$ , $48(7)$ , e90962. doi:
713	10.1029/2020GL090962
714	Spiga, A., Faure, J., Madeleine, JB., Määttänen, A., & Forget, F. (2013, April).
715	Rocket dust storms and detached dust layers in the Martian atmosphere. Jour-
716	nal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 118, 746-767. doi: 10.1002/jgre.20046
717	Stcherbinine, A., Vincendon, M., Montmessin, F., Wolff, M. J., Korablev, O., Fe-
718	dorova, A., Shakun, A. (2020, March). Martian Water Ice Clouds During
719	the 2018 Global Dust Storm as Observed by the ACS-MIR Channel Onboard
720	the Trace Gas Orbiter. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets), 125(3),
721	e06300. doi: 10.1029/2019JE006300
722	Urata, R. A., & Toon, O. B. (2013). Simulations of the martian hydrologic cycle
723	with a general circulation model: Implications for the ancient martian climate.
724	Icarus, 226, 229-250. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2013.05.014
725	Vandaele, A. C., Korablev, O., Daerden, F., Aoki, S., Thomas, I. R., Altieri, F.,
726	Zorzano, M. P. (2019). Martian dust storm impact on atmospheric $H_2O$ and
727	D/H observed by ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. Nature, 568(7753), 521-525.
728	doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1097-3
729	Villanueva, G., Liuzzi, G., Crismani, M. M. J., Aoki, S., Vandaele, A. C., Daer-
730	den, F., NOMAD team (2021). Water heavily fractionated as it as-
731	cends on Mars as revealed by ExoMars/NOMAD. Science Advances. doi:
732	10.1126/sciadv.abc8843
733	Villanueva, G. L., Mumma, M. J., Novak, R. E., Käufl, H. U., Hartogh, P., Encre-
734	naz, T., Smith, M. D. (2015). Strong water isotopic anomalies in the mar-
735	tian atmosphere: Probing current and ancient reservoirs. Science, $348(6231)$ ,
736	218–221. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa3630
737	Wang, C., Forget, F., Bertrand, T., Spiga, A., Millour, E., & Navarro, T. (2018).
738	Parameterization of Rocket Dust Storms on Mars in the LMD Martian GCM:
739	Modeling Details and Validation. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets),
740	123(4), 982-1000. doi: $10.1002/2017$ JE005255
741	Yung, Y. L., Wen, JS., Pinto, J. P., Allen, M., Pierce, K. K., & Paulson, S. (1988).
742	HDO in the Martian atmosphere: Implications for the abundance of crustal
743	water. Icarus, $76(1)$ , 146-159. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(88)90147-9