

# Correcting laser scanning intensity recorded in a cave environment for high-resolution lithological mapping: A case study of the Gouffre Georges, France

Michaela Nováková, Michal Gallay, Jozef Šupinský, Eric Ferré, Riccardo Asti, Michel de Saint Blanquat, Flora Bajolet, Patrick Sorriaux

# ▶ To cite this version:

Michaela Nováková, Michal Gallay, Jozef Šupinský, Eric Ferré, Riccardo Asti, et al.. Correcting laser scanning intensity recorded in a cave environment for high-resolution lithological mapping: A case study of the Gouffre Georges, France. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2022, 280, pp.113210. 10.1016/j.rse.2022.113210. insu-03752599

# HAL Id: insu-03752599 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03752599

Submitted on 12 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Correcting laser scanning intensity recorded in a cave environment for high-
2	resolution lithological mapping: a case study of the Gouffre Georges, France
3	Michaela Nováková <sup>1,</sup> *, Michal Gallay <sup>1</sup> , Jozef Šupinský <sup>1</sup> , Eric Ferré <sup>2</sup> , Riccardo Asti <sup>3,4</sup> , Michel de Saint
4	Blanquat <sup>5</sup> , Flora Bajolet <sup>5</sup> , Patrick Sorriaux <sup>6</sup>
5	
6	Affiliations:
7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geography, Faculty of Science, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University in Košice, Jesenná 5, 04001
8	Košice, Slovakia
9	<sup>2</sup> School of Geosciences, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA 70504, USA
10	<sup>3</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, IRD, Géoazur, France
11	<sup>4</sup> Univ Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France
12	<sup>5</sup> Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, IRD, UPS, CNES, 31400
13	Toulouse, France
14	<sup>6</sup> Spéléo Club du Haut Sabarthez, 09400 Tarascon sur Ariège, France
15	*Corresponding author, e-mail address: michaela.novakova@student.upjs.sk
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

# 23 Abstract

24 Active remote sensing by laser scanning (LiDAR) has markedly improved the mapping of a cave 25 environment with an unprecedented level of accuracy and spatial detail. However, the use of laser 26 intensity simultaneously recorded during the scanning of caves remains unexplored despite it having 27 promising potential for lithological mapping as it has been demonstrated by many applications in open-28 sky conditions. The appropriate use of laser intensity requires calibration and corrections for 29 influencing factors, which are different in caves as opposed to the above-ground environments. Our 30 study presents an efficient and complex workflow to correct the recorded intensity, which takes into 31 consideration the acquisition geometry, micromorphology of the cave surface, and the specific 32 atmospheric influence previously neglected in terrestrial laser scanning. The applicability of the 33 approach is demonstrated on terrestrial LiDAR data acquired in the Gouffre Georges, a cave located in 34 the northern Pyrenees in France. The cave is unique for its geology and lithology allowing for 35 observation, with a spectacular continuity without any vegetal cover, of the contact between marble 36 and lherzolite rocks and tectonic structures that characterize such contact. The overall accuracy of rock 37 surface classification based on the corrected laser intensity was over 84 %. The presence of water or a 38 wet surface introduced bias of the intensity values towards lower values complicating the material 39 discrimination. Such conditions have to be considered in applications of the recorded laser intensity in 40 mapping underground spaces. The presented method allows for putting geological observations in an 41 absolute spatial reference frame, which is often very difficult in a cave environment. Thus, laser 42 scanning of the cave geometry assigned with the corrected laser intensity is an invaluable tool to 43 unravel the complexity of such a lithological environment.

44

# 45 Key words

46 Intensity correction, Terrestrial laser scanning, LiDAR, Cave, Lithological mapping

# 48 **1. Introduction**

49 Laser scanning has demonstrated its extensive potential for landscape mapping over the last few 50 decades (Eitel et al., 2017). The majority of applications focus on acquiring 3D coordinates to 51 parametrise the three-dimensional (3D) structure of the landscape while a smaller portion of published 52 research makes use of the recorded intensity of the backscattered laser pulse, related to the surface 53 parameters and recorded for each point position. As a result of laser scanning, a 3D point cloud is generated, capturing morphology in a high level of spatial detail along with specific spectral properties 54 55 of the surface surrounding the laser scanner. The advantage of this active remote sensing technique 56 has been employed in cave mapping, where the complex morphology and limited light conditions 57 challenge studying the cave surface by direct contact or optical methods at a high spatial resolution 58 (Gallay et al., 2015). Compared to the methods traditionally used in speleology and cave surveying, 59 such as traversing with the compass or total station, laser scanning reduces the work time and is light-60 independent, unlike digital photogrammetry (Idrees and Pradhan, 2016a).

61 In the last decade, terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) of caves has been deployed in a range of scientific applications, e.g., archaeology (Burens et al., 2014; Kruger et al., 2016; Landeschi et al., 2019), 62 63 geology and geomorphology (Silvestre et al., 2015; Fabbri et al., 2017; De Waele et al., 2018), geomorphometry (Gallay et al., 2016), and biospeleology (Azmy et al., 2012; McFarlane et al., 2015). 64 65 The acquired 3D geometry is also beneficial for mapping and evaluating the ice surface morphology 66 change (Milius and Petters, 2012; Berenguer-Sempere et al., 2014; Šupinský et al., 2019), assessing the natural hazards and collapse susceptibility (Santo et al., 2017; Idrees and Pradhan, 2018), for general 67 68 visualization and creation of a 3D cave model (Núñez et al., 2013; Cosso et al., 2014), and creating a 69 highly-detailed cave map (Šupinský et al., 2022). Recent studies also demonstrated the potential of 70 using the TLS intensity for cave environment research, e.g., for the discernment of micro-71 morphologies, different speleogenetic phases, karstification processes, and identification of cave arts 72 and engravings (Idrees and Pradhan, 2017; Jalandoni et al., 2021). As well in biospeleology, the

intensity data can be used for non-intrusive population surveys and species recognition for cave fauna,
especially roosting bats (Azmy et al., 2012) or birds (Idrees and Pradhan, 2016b), with satisfactory
results for the selected cave sections even without any radiometric processing or intensity calibration.
However, the influence of individual factors is noticeable particularly for varying scanning ranges and
data-overlaps of multiple scanning positions acquired in an extensive cave complex.

78 The recorded intensity values are influenced by several factors, including surface properties, 79 sensor parameters, acquisition geometry, and atmospheric conditions during scanning (Kaasalainen et 80 al., 2011; Tan and Cheng, 2016). However, the atmospheric effect on laser signal propagation is often 81 neglected in TLS. The rationale is in the short scanning ranges within which the effect of the 82 atmospheric attenuation is considered to be constant if the atmospheric conditions remain unchanged 83 during scanning and the air transmittance is very high (Carrea et al., 2016). The content of the surface 84 moisture also affects the recorded intensity values which decrease with increasing moisture for water absorbs the near-infrared wavelengths (Franceschi et al., 2009). Therefore, in an extensive cave 85 environment with variable dimensions comprising narrow passages leading to grand domes and 86 87 specific atmospheric conditions, the effect of the influencing factors is considerable. Moreover, the 88 multi-scan TLS intensity data obtained by different acquisition campaigns are not directly comparable 89 (Tan et al., 2015). For extraction intensity values proportional primarily to the scanned surface reflectance, other influencing factors should be eliminated. Kashani et al. (2015) provide a 90 91 comprehensive overview of the processing methods of the intensity values mainly divided into 92 theoretical correction models based on the radar equation (Höfle and Pfeifer, 2007; Wagner, 2010) and data-driven empirical models (Höfle and Pfeifer, 2007; Teo and Yu, 2015; Xu et al., 2017), both 93 94 with specific limitations. Acquisition geometry is defined by the scanning range and the incidence 95 angle, i.e., the angle between the incident laser beam and the normal vector of the surface 96 (Soudarissanane et al., 2011). Kaasalainen et al. (2011) showed that the effect of incidence angle is 97 caused by the target surface properties, whereas the distance effect is mainly influenced by the 98 instrumental factors and does not entirely follow the radar equation range function. Specifically, neardistance intensities up to several meters deviate from the radar range equation and vary across
different laser scanning systems. Moreover, the correction of the incidence angle effect using
Lambert's cosine law is not sufficient, especially for the natural surfaces, which do not behave as
Lambertian reflectors (Carrea et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016).

103 Current remote sensing techniques, including passive photogrammetry and hyperspectral 104 imaging, allow for high-resolution mapping of difficult-to-access geological outcrops (Lorenz et al., 105 2021; Thiele et al., 2021). Recent studies have also demonstrated the potential of the corrected TLS 106 intensity data for geological mapping and distinguishing rock types within the aboveground rock 107 outcrops (Penasa et al., 2014; Matasci et al., 2015; Carrea et al., 2016; Živec et al., 2019), without the 108 need of eliminating the atmospheric attenuation effect. The presented paper aims to define the 109 method of correcting the laser scanning intensity acquired in the cave environment, where the variable 110 dimensions, specific atmospheric conditions, and limited light conditions restrict the employment of 111 optical sensing or direct use of the recorded intensity for the material differentiation. The proposed intensity correction approach combines the data-driven methods for the distance effect correction, 112 113 applying correction of the incidence angle effect using the Oren-Nayar model (Carrea et al., 2016) and 114 eliminating the atmospheric attenuation effect with the data-driven coefficients.

115 The proposed method was demonstrated on the data acquired in a cave known as Gouffre 116 Georges to distinguish two distinct rock types within the TLS point cloud. Part of the cave was formed 117 along the lithological contact between marble and lherzolite, which name was derived from its type 118 locality, the Étang de Lers (previously Étang de Lherz). This ultramafic igneous rock was formed in the 119 upper mantle and is rarely observable exposed on the surface (Sorriaux et al., 2019). The corrected 120 intensity values allow putting geological observations in an absolute spatial reference frame, which is 121 often very difficult in a cave environment. Such results provide a combined view of underground and 122 surficial geological settings which improves studying geological structures and understanding their 123 formation. Along with conventional near-infrared spectroscopy the method can become applicable in 124 mining for underground mapping and mineral exploration (Laukamp et al., 2012; Guha et al., 2015).

### 125 **1.1. Background on laser scanning intensity**

Laser scanning operates on the same physical principle as radar; therefore, the radar equation can be applied to describe the main factors affecting the received signal strength (Wagner, 2010), providing a theoretical basis for the model-driven approach of the intensity correction. This equation relates to the received power  $P_r$ , transmitted pulse power  $P_t$  and other parameters referring to the acquisition geometry and sensor, atmospheric, and target properties.

131 
$$P_r = \frac{P_t D_r^2}{4\pi R^4 \beta_t^2} \eta_{sys} \eta_{atm} \sigma$$
(Eq. 1)

132 Range *R* represents distance between the sensor and object,  $D_r$  is a diameter of the sensor 133 receiver aperture,  $\beta_t$  is the transmitter beamwidth,  $\eta_{sys}$  and  $\eta_{atm}$  are system and atmospheric 134 transmission factors, respectively. All target parameters are combined into backscatter cross-section 135  $\sigma$  expressing the backscattering target characteristics as a function of the target size, reflectance, and 136 scattering directionality (Wagner et al., 2006).

Assuming that the scanned area is an extended target larger than a laser footprint size and its surface has Lambertian scattering characteristics, the backscatter cross-section can be expressed as (Carrea et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017):

140  $\sigma = \pi \rho_{\lambda} R^2 \beta_t^2 \cos \alpha \tag{Eq. 2}$ 

141 where  $\rho_{\lambda}$  is the target surface reflectance at a certain wavelength  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  is the incidence angle, the 142 angle between the surface normal vector and the incident laser beam.

Parameters  $P_t$ ,  $D_r$  and  $\eta_{sys}$  depend on the sensor and can be considered constant for a specific device as well as the atmospheric attenuation  $\eta_{atm}$  for the TLS data acquisition under stable conditions (Xu et al., 2017). After substituting Equation (2) into Equation (1) and simplifying for constants, the radar equation can be written as:

147 
$$P_r = \rho_\lambda R^{-2} \cos \alpha \tag{Eq. 3}$$

The intensity value I represents the measure of the signal strength obtained by converting and amplifying the received power  $P_r$ , which is transformed into Digital Number (DN) as a scaled integer value (Höfle and Pfeifer, 2007; Tan et al., 2016) or provided in arbitrary units depending on the LiDAR device. As the intensity is generally proportional to the received power, then:

152  $I \propto P_r \propto \rho_\lambda R^{-2} \cos \alpha$ 

# 153 2. Study site

154 The LiDAR data were acquired by laser scanning in the Gouffre Georges, Ariège, France (Fig. 1). The cave formed in between the Mesozoic carbonate cover of the northern Pyrenees, and peridotites 155 156 on the eastern slope of the Mont Béas, in the vicinity of the Étang de Lers. The cave is unique because 157 it is carved along the tectonic contact between two distinctive rock units: variably serpentinized 158 Iherzolites of mantle origin and Jurassic and lower Cretaceous carbonate rocks. The degree of 159 serpentinization of the peridotites varies greatly at the scale of the Lherz Massif and tends to increase 160 near contacts, faults, and shear zones. The unserpentinized lherzolite consists primarily of olivine 161 (Fo89-Fo92), enstatite, Cr-diopside, and Cr-spinel (Le Roux et al., 2007). The main serpentines include 162 chrysotile and, to a minor extent, antigorite. The carbonate rocks are massive or banded marbles, and 163 monomictic to polymictic, locally dolomitic carbonate breccias. The most common minerals include 164 calcite, dolomite, scapolite, phlogopite, and quartz. The dark bands in the marbles tend to be blueish 165 due to the presence of scapolite and are continuous over several meters.

The terrestrial laser scanning was performed in one branch of the cave corridors accessible via the closable entrance Tube at the altitude of 1390 m. Continuously descending narrow entrance passage after about 70 meters enters a large dome of the Grande Galerie with over 10 to 15 m height, 20 m width and length of 130 m. The contact between marble and Iherzolite is very well preserved in this dome. The dome continues down to the Salle de la Famine dome which formed in marble and marble breccia, but Iherzolite debris was transferred from the upper parts forming the scree on the cave bottom. Overall, the surveyed part of the cave extended about 200 meters horizontally and 200 meters

7

(Eq. 4)

- 173 vertically with a general slope of the cave corridors of 45°. Scanning in the Gouffre Georges challenged
- 174 the standard outdoor terrestrial laser scanning by a steep ground surface often with unstable scree or
- 175 passing through narrow corridors and vertical sections.



Fig. 1. Location of the Gouffre Georges. Selected parts for the TLS survey (red) of the Gouffre Georges cave complex (orange)
are accessible via the entrance Tube at the altitude of 1390 m. The photographs A, B, C illustrate the cave space and surveying
conditions in different parts located in the map. Base map: ©2021 Esri

180

176

The scanned part of the cave provides a special opportunity to directly observe the rare geological 181 182 and lithological setting. More than 40 lherzolite bodies crop out in the Pyrenean belt (Monchoux, 1970). These rocks have been exhumed during the Albian-Cenomanian rifting phase (e.g., Lagabrielle 183 184 et al., 2010) and have been then uplifted and put in their present-day position during the Late 185 Cretaceous-Tertiary Pyrenean orogeny (Vergés et al., 2002; Saspiturry et al., 2020a). The North 186 Pyrenean Zone, where such ultramafic rocks crop out, represents then the suture zone between the Iberian and the Eurasian plates. In the last decade, renewed interest has grown in the scientific 187 community regarding the geologic history of the Pyrenean Iherzolites (e.g., Lagabrielle and Bodinier, 188 189 2008; Jammes et al., 2009; Clerc and Lagabrielle, 2014). In particular, active debates have developed 190 concerning the tectonic style related to the exhumation of mantle rocks during the Cretaceous rifting 191 (e.g., Masini et al., 2014; Asti et al., 2019; Lagabrielle et al., 2019a, b; Saspiturry et al., 2019), its thermal 192 implications (Clerc et al., 2015; Lagabrielle et al., 2016; Ducoux et al., 2019; Duretz et al., 2019; 193 Saspiturry et al., 2020b), the kinematics of this extensional phase (e.g., Jammes et al., 2009; Tavani et 194 al., 2018; Angrand et al., 2020) and the genesis of Iherzolite-bearing breccia which is often found in 195 association with the ultramafic bodies all along the North Pyrenean Zone (Lagabrielle and Bodinier, 196 2008; Debroas et al., 2010; Clerc et al., 2012; Saint Blanquat et al., 2016). The Lherz ultramafic massif 197 is a key locality to find important constraints to all these scientific questions (see Clerc et al., 2012 and 198 Lagabrielle et al., 2016 for exhaustive reviews).

199

# 200 **3. Data and methods**

201 The work undertaken in the presented research involved the workflow shown in Fig. 2. The 202 research commenced with the 3D mapping in the cave by TLS survey and processing of the recorded 203 data. It was followed by scanning in laboratory conditions. Subsequently, the effects of the acquisition 204 geometry and different surface conditions were analysed and the parameters required for the 205 corrections steps were derived. After correcting the intensity of each scan for the effect of distance 206 and incidence angle, the derivation of the atmospheric attenuation coefficient was performed based 207 on the data in overlaps of the individual scans. The last step involved denoising, i.e., removing the 208 outliers by the nearest neighbour analysis and interpretation of the obtained corrected intensity 209 values. Each step of the workflow is characterized in the following subsections.



210

Fig. 2. Schematic workflow of processing the data obtained by TLS survey and experimental scanning and subsequentparameter estimation for the intensity correction.

213

# 214 **3.1.** Cave laser scanning and data processing

The selected part of the cave was scanned from 47 positions in total (Fig. 3) representing the entrance narrow passage from Tube and the domes of Salle de la Famine and Grande Galerie. Data were acquired by the time-of-flight TLS RIEGL VZ-1000 with 360° horizontal and 100° vertical field of view emitting near-infrared laser pulses laser of 1,550 nm wavelength (RIEGL, 2015). The specification for the used TLS device is listed in Tab. 1. The robust construction of the device enables scanning in adverse conditions and prevents its damage during transportation and abseiling in the cave. Besides high-ranging precision and accuracy, implemented echo digitization and on-line waveform processing

enables assigning additional attributes to each point measurement (Pfenningbauer and Ullrich, 2010).

22	23		Tab.	1.	Specific	ation	for the	used	laser	scanner	•
----	----	--	------	----	----------	-------	---------	------	-------	---------	---

RIEGL VZ-1000			
Laser wavelength	1550 nm	Accuracy	8 mm
Field of view	100° x 360°	Precision	5 mm
Pulse repetition rate	70 – 300 kHz	Beam divergence	0.3 mrad
Maximum range	1400 m	Initial beam diameter	7 mm
Minimum range	2.5 m	Beam-widening	30 mm per 100 m

224

225 The first 26 positions were captured with an angular step width set to Panorama 40 with an 226 angular increment of 0.04° between two successive emitted laser beams. This ensured a high density 227 of points and detailed scanning in those cave sections where terrain and wall geometry are complex 228 and rock boundaries are well detectable. The remaining 21 positions were located in the narrow 229 passage from the Grande Galerie to the cave entrance of Tube. This was performed to geolocate the 230 cave interior with the above-ground terrain surface and global geodetic coordinate system (i.e., global 231 data registration) using the scanner's inbuilt GNSS receiver. In these narrow parts, the scanning density 232 of Panorama 60 with an angular increment of 0.06° was sufficient to record the high level of cave 233 surface detail. The data of the individual 47 scanning positions from the cave comprised almost 656 234 million points in total. Subsequent mutual registration of the scanning positions was processed in the 235 RISCAN PRO software by RIEGL using the iterative closest point adjustment of the consecutive scans 236 termed Multi-Station Adjustment (MSA) (Ullrich et al., 2003). The resulting internal data registration 237 error (standard deviation) of mutual orientation of all scans was 6.7 mm. Points captured within the 238 manufacturer-defined minimum scanning range of 2.5 m from the scanner were removed from further 239 processing due to the high probability of positional inaccuracy. For obtaining the intensity values 240 corresponding with the surface reflectance only, points recorded with the full emitted pulse captured 241 as a single echo were used. The points recorded as multiple echoes or stray points with a high pulse

242 shape deviation were filtered out. After registration and filtering, the final point cloud comprised



243 218,378,760 points with regular spacing set to 5 mm at a 10-meter range.

244

Fig. 3. The top view and side view of the laser-scanned part of Gouffre Georges comprising the domes of Salle de la Famineand Grande Galerie accessible via the Tube entrance with marked TLS positions (red dots) and cave exterior (green).

- 247
- 248

# 3.2. Intensity calibration experiment

249 Two sets of indoor experiments with different targets and varying acquisition geometry were 250 conducted. The angular step width was set to Panorama 10 with an angular increment of 0.01° to 251 ensure sufficient point density. The experimental settings are summarized in Tab. 2. The effect of 252 distance on the recorded laser intensity was investigated by consecutive scanning of a white reference 253 target with the size of 1 x 0.5 m mounted on a panel stand. Scanning started at the target's position of 254 2.5 m away from the scanner with the next scanning position at the distance of 3 m from the device, 255 and then each position with an increment of 1 m up to 60 m for the last position (Fig. 4). For each scan, 256 the target was perpendicular to the scanner to eliminate the influence of the incidence angle. During

the data processing, the incidence angles were computed and only the data of incidence angle below
2° were kept. Points captured beyond the white reference target, including the panel stand, were
removed.



260

Fig. 4. Scene of the calibration experiment showing the position of the scanner with respect to the scanned white target fordistances from 2.5 to 60 m. Recorded intensity decreases for longer ranges.

263 The second part of the experiment involved scanning samples of rocks collected from the cave during the TLS survey. Each sample of marble and lherzolite was fixed on a revolvable base on a tripod 264 265 and scanned at a fixed distance of 5 m while varying the incidence angle. The initial 0° incidence angle 266 was maintained by a perpendicular position to the scanner. The samples were rotated along their 267 vertical axis by a 10° increment starting from 0° with respect to the scanner approaching 90° for the 268 last scan. The data of different incidence angles required for further analysis were selected during the 269 post-processing. Lastly, the samples were scanned from the 5 m distance and 0° incidence angle as 270 dry samples. Afterward, the rocks were soaked in water for simulating condensation of air humidity 271 on the rock surface in the cave environment and analysing its influence on the recorded intensity.

	Range	Incidence angle
	experiment	experiment
Scanned object	White reference target	Rock samples
Measurement program	Panorama 10	Panorama 10
Angular increment	0.01°	0.01°
Scanning range	2.5 – 60 m	5 m
Incidence angle	close to 0°	0° – close to 90°

272 Tab. 2. Summary of the settings for the calibration experiments

### 278 3.3. Intensity correction

279 The character of the cave environment, especially the complex cave walls geometry and variable 280 dimensions of the cave spaces can considerably influence the recorded intensity values. The 281 temperature in a cave is influenced by the exchange of the cave air with the surface air, especially in 282 passages near the entrance. Further in the cave, the temperature in most caves is close to the mean 283 annual temperature of the region (James, 2013). The sensibility to temperature appears to be 284 observable in the case of continuous-wave LiDAR instruments more than for the time-of-flight laser 285 scanners. Errington and Daku (2017) have shown that the temperature compensation for radiometric 286 correction is not necessary for the RIEGL device. However, a high concentration of particulates in the 287 form of aerosol consisting of spores, dust, and water droplets is common in the cave, diminishing the 288 laser pulse while propagating. The relative humidity varies depending on the vicinity of the entrance, 289 ranging typically between 50 and 99 %. Deeper into the cave and further inside from the entrance, and 290 where vadose seepage or flowing water is present, relative humidity is stable and close to 100 % 291 (James, 2013). Under these circumstances, the atmospheric attenuation factor should be taken into 292 consideration when laser intensity is to be corrected.

For analysing the corrected intensity values  $I_c$  proportional to the object surface reflectance  $I_c \propto f(\rho_{\lambda})$ , other influencing factors should be eliminated. According to Equation (4) effects of the main physical parameters affecting the value of intensity can be described as a function of the surface reflectance  $f(\rho_{\lambda})$ , distance effect  $f_1(R)$ , incidence angle  $f_2(\alpha)$  and for the presented research with the inclusion of the atmospheric attenuation effect  $f_3(\eta_{atm})$ :

298 
$$I = f(\rho_{\lambda}) * f_1(R) * f_2(\alpha) * f_3(\eta_{atm})$$
(Eq. 5)

299

#### **3.3.1.** Correction of the distance effect

For the extended target, when the target is larger than the size of a laser footprint, so the entire energy of the laser footprint reflects on its surface, the backscattered signal power is inversely proportional to the squared range proceeding from the radar equation. However, the distance effect is dependent on the instrumental properties and does not follow the  $1/R^2$  relation for near distances less than 10 - 15 m varying for different laser scanners (Kaasalainen et al., 2011). The explanation for the near-distance effect links to the defocusing effect of the receiver's optics (Fang et al., 2014). As the continuous function in a closed interval can be approximated by the polynomial series, the range function for the particular device can be data-driven from the reference measurements (Tan et al., 2016). Therefore, the function of the distance effect  $f_1(R)$  for a specific instrument can be expressed as:

310 
$$f_1(R) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k R^k$$
 (Eq. 6)

where  $p_k$  stands for the polynomial coefficients, which were estimated from the measured intensity of the reference target of the calibration experiment using least-squares adjustment.

313 **3.3.2.** Correction of the incidence angle effect

314 The incidence angle enclosed by the surface normal vector and the incident laser beam can be 315 calculated from the measured point coordinates and the scanner position. Assuming the surface has 316 perfect diffuse scattering characteristics (i.e., Lambertian surface), the backscattered intensity is 317 directly proportional to the cosine of the incidence angle (Nayar et al., 1991; Rees, 2013; Xu et al., 318 2017). With increasing irregularities of the natural surfaces, the deviation from the Lambertian model 319 increases. These surfaces can be modelled using Oren-Nayar model based on the bidirectional 320 reflectance distribution function (Oren and Nayar 1995, 1994). The geometry of the natural surface is 321 described as a set of micro-facets with different orientations, returning more light in the direction of 322 the light source more than the surface following the Lambertian reflectance model. We used the Oren-323 Nayar reflectance model implemented by Carrea et al. (2016) for the correction of the incidence angle 324 effect taking into account the microstructures as the surface roughness. As in TLS the incident and 325 reflected laser beams are coincident, function using the Oren-Nayar model can be simplified as follows:

326 
$$f_2(\alpha) = \cos(\alpha)(A + B\sin(\alpha)\tan(\alpha))$$
 (Eq. 7)

327 
$$A = 1 - 0.5 \frac{\sigma_{slope}^2}{\sigma_{slope}^2 + 0.33} \qquad B = 0.45 \frac{\sigma_{slope}^2}{\sigma_{slope}^2 + 0.09}$$

where coefficients in A and B were defined by Oren and Nayar (1995) and parameter  $\sigma_{slope}$  represents the standard deviation of slope angle distribution of the micro-facets. As  $\sigma_{slope}$  depends on the surface roughness only, it was estimated from the reference rock samples calibration experiment dataset of different incidence angles after correcting the distance effect, therefore the intensities were dependent primarily on the surface properties.

333 Since the scanned surface of the rock samples contained many irregularities causing deviations of 334 the incidence angle, only sample points with the integer values starting from 0° with a 10° increment 335 up to 80° and the last dataset containing points with a maximum incidence angle of 89° were selected 336 for the  $\sigma_{slope}$  parameter estimation. Sampled dataset of the selected points was then repeatedly corrected for the incidence angle effect according to Equation (7) with the value of  $\sigma_{slove}$  from 0° to 337 338 90° with an increment interval of 1°. By comparing the corrected intensity data, the  $\sigma_{slope}$  can be found as the optimal value minimizing the differences between intensities of different incidence 339 340 angles.

#### 341

#### 3.3.3. Correction of the atmospheric attenuation effect

The atmospheric attenuation effect represents the diminution of the laser pulse while propagating through the atmosphere. It is related to the average atmospheric conditions during scanning, e.g., humidity, ambient temperature, aerosol concentration, and it can be described as (Höfle and Pfeifer, 2007; Ding et al., 2013):

346 
$$f_3(\eta_{atm}) = 10^{-2Ra/10000}$$
 (Eq. 8)

where *a* is the atmospheric attenuation coefficient. The attenuation of laser power for the horizontal propagation can range from 0.2 dB/km for clear conditions, 3.9 dB/km for haze conditions (Jelalian, 1992) up to more than 300 dB/km in very thick fog (Kim et al., 2001). For modelling the atmospheric effect without detailed meteorological data, an approximated value has to be defined. The attenuation coefficient *a* was determined for the selected cave parts based on the overlapping data of different scanning positions after correcting the data for distance (range) and incidence angleeffects.

### 354 **3.4. Denoising**

355 After applying the intensity correction, all scanning positions were merged into a single point 356 cloud and again spatially homogenized with a regular point spacing of 5 mm to remove duplicate points in the overlapping parts of several scanning positions. The corrected intensity of the final point cloud 357 358 was smoothed and outliers of extreme intensity were removed to obtain the most satisfactory results 359 for intensity interpretation. This was achieved by neighbourhood analysis within 100 nearest points 360 surrounding a location. Given the recorded point density such a neighbourhood represents an area of 361 about 5x5 cm. Denoising, data classification, and visualization were performed in the CloudCompare 362 software (Girardeau-Montaut, 2020).

363

### **364 3.5. Point cloud classification and accuracy assessment**

365 The corrected intensity of the denoised final point cloud was used to differentiate the lithology 366 apparent on the cave surface. For this task, the points were classified using threshold values derived 367 from the experimental rock samples scanning dataset after applying the intensity correction for the 368 scanning geometry influence. The atmospheric attenuation effect was neglected as the experimental 369 rock samples scanning was performed in laboratory conditions at a short scanning range. We used the 370 standard deviation with respect to the mean value of corrected intensity to classify the points as 371 marble or lherzolite. We also considered the assumption that the rock samples do not entirely 372 represent the rock properties within the cave environment. Hence, after the denoising, threshold 373 values were defined based on the entire TLS dataset. Using the histogram clustering, we calculated the 374 histogram limits of the corrected intensity values to separate classes of marble and lherzolite. We have 375 also tested the Otsu method for thresholding (Otsu, 1979) by using the R plugin autothresholdr (Nolan

et al., 2022) and the K-means clustering, to which it is supposed to be equivalent in the multilevel
thresholding (Liu and Yu, 2009).

378 The accuracy of the point cloud classification was assessed by comparing with the photographs 379 taken in the approachable part of the Grande Galerie dome, where it was possible to ensure sufficient 380 light conditions. The photographs were spatially aligned to the intensity-corrected TLS point cloud in 381 the Meshlab software (Cignoni et al., 2008) via raster registration using mutual correspondences. The 382 points were assigned with the natural colour as RGB triplets and the outlines of the lherzolite and 383 marble rock were identified by visual interpretation of the natural colour. The classification accuracy 384 was assessed using a confusion matrix (Tab. 3). True positives (TP) refer to all coincidently identified 385 marble points and true negative (TN) to all coincidently identified lherzolite points according to the 386 RGB and corrected intensity values. False positives (FP) stand for all marble points falsely identified as 387 Iherzolite and false negatives (FN) denote all Iherzolite points falsely identified as marble.

388 Tab. 3. Confusion matrix used for the classification accuracy assessment.

		Reference	
		Marble	Lherzolite
Predicted	Marble	ТР	FP
	Lherzolite	FN	TN

389

To evaluate the spatial correspondence between the classification based on the referenced RGB values and corrected intensity values representing different rock types, three indices were calculated, including marble accuracy (recall), Iherzolite accuracy (specificity), and overall accuracy defined as follows:

394

$$Marble\ accuracy = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$
(Eq. 9)

$$Lherzolite\ accuracy = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$
(Eq. 10)

396 
$$Overall\ accuracy = \frac{(TP+TN)}{(TP+FP+FN+TN)}$$
 (Eq. 11)

**4. Results** 

### 398 4.1. Intensity correction

The final and unified single point cloud contains the corrected intensity values which resulted from applying the proposed approach. The originally recorded laser intensity was corrected individually for each of the 47 scans. First, the intensity data were corrected for the influence of the scanning range based on the polynomial model fitted to the acquired empirical relationship between range and mean intensity values from the calibration experiment (Fig. 5).



404

Fig. 5. Mean values of the recorded laser intensity of the reference target as the function of scanning range acquired by the
 RIEGL VZ-1000 in the laboratory experiment. The vertical bars show standard deviations of intensity measurements.

The relationship between scanning range and raw intensity recorded by RIEGL VZ-1000 markedly deviates from the radar range equation. Starting from the 2.5 m, declared as the minimum scanning range, intensity first rapidly decreases up to 4 m and then increases as a result of instrumental effect for the near distances. The peak is observed at the distance of around 10 m and then the recorded intensity values are considerably attenuated with the increasing range, approaching the radar equation function. To achieve a higher accuracy of fitting the model curve to the empirical data, we applied the piecewise fitting approach and divided the ranges into three intervals: below 5 m 414 comprising 4 data points including the local minimum, 5 - 15 m, and above 15 m. The derived
415 polynomial coefficients are reported in Tab. 4.

Range	$p_0$	$p_1$	$p_2$	<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>p</b> <sub>4</sub>	$p_5$
R < 5 m	34.877	6.406	-2.279	0.241	-	-
5 - 15 m	27.106	4.725	-0.569	3.1361 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	-7.016 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	-
R > 15 m	64.714	-3.287	0.154	-3.808 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.594 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	-2.158 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>

416 Tab. 4. Polynomial coefficients used for the distance correction.

417

For correction of the incidence angle effect, parameter  $\sigma_{slope}$  was estimated from the rock 418 419 samples intensity data after applying the proposed distance effect correction. Subsequently, the 420 incidence angle correction was applied on the sample dataset of different incidence angles according 421 to Equation (7) with the varying  $\sigma_{slope}$  in the interval from 0° to 90°. Fig. 6 shows differences between 422 mean corrected intensity values of incidence angle in the interval of 0 - 10° and the entire range of 0° 423 to 89° for Iherzolite and marble samples. The lowest variation of intensities of 0.0154 [dB] for marble 424 was observed for  $\sigma_{slope}$  of 27° and 0.0083 [dB] for Iherzolite at  $\sigma_{slope}$  of 31°. The mean  $\sigma_{slope}$  value 425 of 29° was determined as the optimal value minimizing the variation of corrected intensity values of 426 the observed incidence angles for both materials.



428 Fig. 6. Differences between intensity values of various incidence angles corrected using different  $\sigma_{slope}$  values for lherzolite

429 and marble samples.

430 Determination of the  $\sigma_{slope}$  parameter for each material might improve the results of the 431 intensity correction, however, the implementation is unfeasible without prior material differentiation 432 within the entire dataset. Even a single optimized parameter significantly reduces the overcorrection 433 of the high incidence effect for the rock surfaces with non-Lambertian behaviour (Carrea et al., 2016). 434 Therefore a single  $\sigma_{slope}$  value of 29° was determined to be applicable for the entire Gouffre Georges 435 data set minimizing the incidence angle effect.

After applying the primary correction to eliminate the influencing factors related to the scanning geometry on each of 47 cave scans, differences of the intensity values were observed in the overlapping parts of the scans, attributed to the energy loss due to the atmospheric conditions. Fig. 7 and Tab. 5 demonstrate this problem on a representative dataset of 3 overlapping scans of a wall comprising of marble in the Salle de la Famine dome acquired within distances of 21.5, 32.9, and 41.1 m.



Fig. 7. Distribution of laser intensity data recorded from three overlapping scanning positions, i.e., three different ranges
(41.1 m, 32.9 m, 21.5 m) capturing the same cave wall in the Salle de la Famine dome: (A) raw intensities, (B) intensities after
the correction for distance and incidence angle, (C) final intensity values after the correction for the atmospheric attenuation.

- 447
- 448
- 449
- 450
- 451
- 452

453 Tab. 5. Summary statistics of the intensity distribution presented in Fig. 7: (A) raw intensities, (B) intensities after the

454 correction for distance and incidence angle, (C) final intensity values after the correction for the atmospheric attenuation.
455 The low index values refer to the scanning ranges as in Fig. 7.

	A <sub>41.1</sub>	A <sub>32.9</sub>	A <sub>21.5</sub>	B <sub>41.1</sub>	B <sub>32.9</sub>	B <sub>21.5</sub>	C <sub>41.1</sub>	C <sub>32.9</sub>	C <sub>21.5</sub>
Minimum	22.360	24.240	26.850	-9.070	-8.303	-7.887	-5.920	-5.813	-5.999
Maximum	29.860	32.200	35.670	-1.880	-0.364	-0.162	1.143	2.128	1.648
Range	7.500	7.960	8.820	7.190	7.939	7.726	7.063	7.940	7.647
Mean	26.660	28.450	31.970	-4.782	-4.163	-3.556	-1.681	-1.679	-1.743
Std	0.832	0.929	1.175	0.777	0.978	1.163	0.771	0.975	1.158
1st quartile	26.180	27.900	31.280	-5.234	-4.756	-4.277	-2.128	-2.272	-2.468
Median	26.740	28.510	32.030	-4.718	-4.075	-3.459	-1.616	-1.591	-1.642
3rd quartile	27.230	29.120	32.780	-4.255	-3.481	-2.725	-1.154	-0.996	-0.920

456

457 The three curves of raw intensity distribution do not match and the highest intensity occurs at the 458 shortest range and vice-versa (Fig. 7A). After the corrections for distance and incidence angle effect, 459 the differences between the distribution curves are reduced although the range dependence is still 460 apparent (Fig. 7B). The reason is in the energy loss of a laser pulse due to the atmospheric attenuation 461 with increasing distance from the scanner. The atmospheric attenuation coefficient was determined 462 for the particular cave sections based on selected small areas of overlapping data with consistent intensities at each scanning position. That prevented the confusion of diverse intensity values caused 463 464 by the atmospheric attenuation with the influence of the different surface materials. Depending on the distance to the cave entrance or the waterflow, the coefficient of the atmospheric attenuation a465 466 varied for the selected overlaps of scans from 0.7 at 10 m to 1.8 dB for the maximal scanning ranges 467 of 60 m. After correcting the atmospheric effect according to Equation (8), the intensity values at the 468 overlapping scanning positions of different scanning ranges became very similar (Fig. 7C). We conducted the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test to ascertain whether the three sample groups within the 469 470 batch A, B, C are significantly different at p=0.01. This test was preferred from analysis of variance test as the residuals of some sample groups were not normally distributed according to the Shapiro-Wilks
tests and quantile-quantile plots. The results are reported in Tab. 6. The resulting p-value increases
from A to C sample group and it is higher than the significance p=0.01 for the batch C. This supports
the statistically significant similarity of the three groups in batch C.

Tab. 6. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test of sample groups within the batches A (raw intensity), B (distance and
incidence angle corrected), C (atmospheric attenuation corrected).

477	Sample groups	chi-sq	Degree of freedom	p-value
478	A <sub>44.1</sub>			
170	A <sub>32.9</sub>	14.013	2	0.000906
170	A <sub>21.5</sub>			
475	B <sub>44.1</sub>			
490	B <sub>32.9</sub>	11.942	2	0.002552
480	<b>B</b> <sub>21.5</sub>			
404	C44.1			
481	C <sub>32.9</sub>	9.0364	2	0.010912
	C <sub>21.5</sub>			
482				

483 The range dependence of the original raw intensity values is most evident when combining the 484 individual scanning positions (Fig. 8A, 8B). Data shadows occurred primarily in the most constricted 485 parts and also in vertical transitions, where stabilization of the scanning positions was difficult. In this 486 case, no 3D surface model was interpolated from the TLS data. Even though it would interpolate points 487 in the areas of evident data shadows, it would also distort the resulting interpretation of real recorded 488 intensity values and the mapped objects. In the narrow passage leading from the Tube entrance down 489 to the Grande Galerie dome, the data scanned closer to the scanner than the recommended minimum 490 scanning range of 2.5 m were kept for visualization purposes. In these confined cave sections, the TLS 491 captured points at a distance of ca. 0.9 m, but with a certain position inaccuracy. Therefore, the further 492 results on the interpretation of the corrected intensity data concern the large domes of Grande Galerie 493 and Salle de la Famine.



494

495 Fig. 8. Final point cloud comprising of 47 scanning positions coloured by the (A) range values (B) original intensity (C)
496 corrected intensity.

The original intensity data of each scanning position rapidly decreases with the longer scanning ranges and the highest intensity values are primarily near the centre of the scanned point cloud (Fig. 8B). Merging these datasets into a single point cloud without any correction would lead to the misinterpretation of the surface materials. After eliminating the distance, incidence angle, and atmospheric attenuation effects, the resulting corrected intensity values depend primarily on the surface material. Even though data before applying the correction indicates different materials, acquired corrected intensity values delimited material borders and sections with water occurrence and the watercourse in the lower part of the Grande Galerie dome. Areas in the vicinity of the watercourse evince the lowest intensity values as a consequence of the water droplets. Similarly, the histogram distribution of the corrected intensity indicates the presence of three main different types of surface materials.

508 By applying the denoising process of nearest neighbour analysis, outliers comprising 0.015% 509 points were removed from the entire dataset. After this step, the histogram distribution is also more 510 delimited representing intensity limits for the different types of materials (Fig. 9). Although smoothing 511 may increase the uncertainty of the boundary position between the observed rocks by its shifting in 512 the direction of the prevailing values, it allows better distinguishing of the significant areas of different 513 rock types contact. One of these most noticeable features, the significant contact of lherzolite and 514 marble is visible in the upper part of the Grande Galerie dome. The indicator of this strong contrast 515 was visually recognizable from the original intensity values. However, the influence of the distance 516 effect is apparent resulting in the intensity values decrease with increasing range for the displayed 517 scanning positions acquired from about 20, 40, and 55 metres described within the upper right 518 scatterplot of the originally recorded intensity (Fig. 9A). After the intensity correction, the dependence 519 on distance is eliminated and the boundaries are clearly demarcated, the overlapping data of different 520 ranges evince similar intensity values (Fig. 9B). The distribution indicates two distinct materials in the 521 scatterplot of corrected intensity values with dashed line representing the boundary between them. 522 For high point density of each scanning position, the points are expressed by values of the probability 523 density function (PDF).



524

Fig. 9. Top and side view of the selected Gouffre Georges cave parts point cloud with corrected intensity values after removing
the outliers by the denoising, revealing the lithological contacts and the strong water occurrence. The dashed line in the
scatterplot indicates the boundary between marble and Iherzolite.

528

## 529 **4.2.** Lithological differentiation based on the corrected laser intensity

The primary lithological differentiation was performed by classifying the 3D point cloud based on the determined intensity intervals for the observed materials. The first classification approach was based on the thresholds defined by the mean and standard deviation of the corrected intensities of the rock samples (Tab. 7), thus derived in the lab experiment. Moistening the rock samples surfaces caused a shift towards lower intensity values for both rock types as a result of absorbing the nearinfrared wavelengths by the water (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10. Results of scanning of the (A) Iherzolite and (B) marble samples: distribution of the original intensities for the samples of (C) Iherzolite and (D) marble, and the distribution of the corrected intensities for the samples of (E) Iherzolite and (F) marble, each observed under the dry and wet surface conditions. The blue bell-shaped curve indicates normal distribution model based on the sample mean and standard deviation as reported in Tab. 7 and vertical dashed and solid lines, respectively.

542

536

543 Tab. 7. Mean and standard deviation of the corrected intensity values of the scanned rock samples.

contantion	iviean	Std
wet	-8.043	1.995
dry	-5.036	1.022
wet	-3.676	0.875
dry	-1.895	0.763
	wet dry wet dry	wet       -8.043         dry       -5.036         wet       -3.676         dry       -1.895

544

The intensity values of Iherzolite decreased on average by 3 dB and marble by 1.8 dB, while the decrease in the surface reflectivity represents almost 13 % for Iherzolite and 22 % for marble. Different rate of decline is related to the amount of applied water, the rock properties, and its ability to absorb the water. The laser intensities of the samples for both rock types remained distinguishable for both wet and dry conditions after the intensity correction. Tab. 8 reports the statistics of Welch Two sample t-test with null hypothesis that the two samples are not significantly different at p=0.01. Results of all four combinations allow for accepting the statistically significant difference between the wet and dry samples of the two rock types. Therefore, we could further use the corrected intensity values fordifferentiating the lherzolite and marble even in the cave environment.

Tab. 8. Results of Welch Two Sample t-test of difference between corrected laser intensities of wet and dry samples of Iherzolite and marble.

556	Combination of two samples	t-value	Degrees of freedom	p-value
557	Lherzolite dry and lherzolite wet	-97.072	7723	< 2.2e-16
гго	Marble dry and marble wet	-106.10	9465	< 2.2e-16
558	Lerzolite dry and marble dry	-175.66	9796	< 2.2e-16
559	Lherzolite wet and marble wet	-143.83	7250	< 2.2e-16
560	Lherzolite dry and marble wet	-72.359	10179	< 2.2e-16
	Lherzolite wet and marble dry	-206.26	6841	< 2.2e-16
561				

562 The threshold intensities were determined independently of the surface wetness conditions to 563 classify the LiDAR points into the two rock classes within the cave environment. The intensity limit was 564 set from -10.038 to -4.014 dB and -4.551 to -1.132 dB, for Iherzolite and marble respectively. The points 565 with intensities below the lower threshold of lherzolite (-10.038 dB) were considered as strongly 566 influenced by the presence of water as their intensity values were similar to the values in the lower 567 part of Grande Galerie with the watercourse. Hence, the points were assigned to the class of water 568 (Fig. 9C). With the slight overlap of the lower-intensity threshold for the wet marble and the upper-569 intensity threshold of the dry lherzolite, a misinterpretation of the surface materials is expected for 570 5.14 % of points from the entire dataset. The second classification approach used the intensity limits 571 determined from the histogram distribution of the corrected intensity values of the entire final point 572 cloud (Fig. 9), thus derived from recordings from the natural cave environment. The threshold of -4.783 573 dB was used to distinguish lherzolite and marble and -12.207 dB was applied to separate lherzolite 574 from water class. The other approaches also proceeded from the entire cave point cloud. The third 575 classification used the Otsu method to define the threshold of -6.736 dB between marble and lherzolite 576 after removing the water class based on the previous limit. And the fourth classification method, K- 577 means clustering, determined the threshold of -5.996 dB between marble and lherzolite and -10.231
578 dB between lherzolite and the water class.

The classification assessment is presented on the example of the upper part of Grande Galerie, 579 580 where there is no presumable strong water occurrence, on a wall with no present mud and flowstone 581 coverage (Fig. 9, Fig. 11A). The assessment of the classification accuracy was performed on the selected 582 point cloud containing over 1.896 million points with assigned RGB values and three classes 583 determined from the lab and the cave environment data. As the water occurrence is not recognizable 584 from the RGB information, the points representing this class identified by all approaches were not 585 included in the assessment. This class comprised 108,380 points (5.71 %) based on the first approach 586 and 5,105 points (0.26 %) based on the second and third classification approach and 89,493 points 587 (4.72 %) based on the fourth classification method. Tab. 9 reports the calculated classification accuracy 588 with the measures defined in Section 3.5. For all approaches, the overall accuracy is high (over 84 %). 589 The most successful results with highest overall accuracy was achieved by using the second approach 590 for which the intensity limits were determined from the point cloud histogram distribution of the 591 corrected intensity values.

Tab. 9. Classification accuracy of the four approaches based on the lab-recorded intensity (Classification 1), the thresholds
from the histogram distribution of the corrected intensity of the cave point cloud (Classification 2), the Otsu thresholding
(Classification 3), the K-means clustering (Classification 4).

	Marble Accuracy	Lherzolite Accuracy	Overall Accuracy
Classification 1	97.96 %	80.90 %	86.18 %
Classification 2	95.19 %	85.47 %	89.24 %
Classification 3	74.51 %	97.41 %	84.03 %
Classification 4	82.90%	95.52 %	88.73 %





Fig. 11. Section of the 3D TLS point cloud from Grande Galerie (Fig. 9) comprising a cave wall coloured by (A) photographed natural colours with dark lherzolite and light marble, (B) the corrected intensity values, (C) classification based on the thresholds from corrected intensities of the rock samples, (D) classification based on the thresholds from the histogram of the corrected intensity of the cave, (E) classification based on the Otsu thresholding, and (F) classification based on the Kmeans clustering.

602 The classification results for the entire cave environment are presented for the most successful 603 classification approach based on the histogram clustering. Proceeding from the histogram distribution 604 of the corrected intensity values, marble represents 24.49 %, Iherzolite 63.43 %, and water 12.08 % of 605 points in the analysed dataset. The classification was focused on the three, most dominant classes, not 606 considering other surface materials or surface changes. As it was applied on the entire cave dataset 607 including the cave floor, the occurrence of mud is presumable. The problematic are also the areas in 608 the vicinity of the watercourse, which evince the lowest intensity values as a consequence of the water 609 droplets. The strong water occurrence near the water course or in a form of condensed water mainly 610 on the ceiling could significantly influence the intensity values and subsequent material differentiation. 611 This can be partially solved by the local histogram clustering applied on the selected cave sections. 612 After segmenting the cave to the central part strongly influenced by water and parts with minimum 613 water occurrence during the data acquisition, i.e., Salle de la Famine dome and the upper part of the 614 Grande Galerie or even smaller section, e.g., ceiling or cave floor to discriminate the scree material, 615 the threshold values for the cave section with strong water occurrence were defined individually based 616 on the repeated histogram clustering. After combining all of these segments, the cave point cloud 617 comprises 59.11 % marble, 40.42 % lherzolite, and only 0.47 % of points were assigned as water (Fig. 618 12). The water occurrence caused the decrease of the intensity values of 3 to almost 5 dB, therefore 619 the marble in some section was assigned as Iherzolite and Iherzolite was classified as water.



Fig. 12. Results of the material differentiation based on the histogram clustering applied individually on the segmented cavesections.

# 623 **5. Discussion**

624 Results of the range calibration experiment performed with a reference target evinced that the 625 TLS recorded intensity values are not inversely proportional to the squared value of range, especially 626 at near distances. This relationship may even vary for different TLS devices. The range dependence is 627 also present for the attenuation effect, which is besides the scanning range influenced by the vicinity 628 of the entrance or waterflow. Therefore, the irregular morphology and cave variable dimensions 629 captured by multiple scanning positions determine the need for a complex intensity correction 630 approach. Our results also show that TLS intensity data is applicable for distinguishing the rock material 631 of the scanned surface in the cave environment. Laser scanning of the cave thus provides a highly 632 detailed perception of the 3D geometry and also information about the properties of the rock surface. 633 The data acquired by the one-wavelength LiDAR instrument may be limited due to the restricted 634 spectral information. Overlapping intensity distributions of mapped rock types restrict the material 635 differentiation (Matasci et al., 2015). Marble and Iherzolite evince distinct reflectances at 1,550 nm 636 enabling the lithological classification using the presented approach. Rock types with small spectral 637 differences at one wavelength can be distinguished based on the spectral information from different 638 channels (Kaasalainen and Malkamäki, 2020; Chen et al., 2018). However, multi-wavelength TLS 639 instrument is not commercially available yet.

640 Recent studies demonstrated the applicability of digital photogrammetry for acquiring 3D cave 641 datasets for analysis of morphologies and geological structures, using a digital camera for ground-642 captured photographs (De Waele et al., 2018) or combined with an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) 643 (Triantafyllou et al., 2019). Also, a combination of digital photogrammetry and laser scanning was 644 successfully used in a cave to reveal painted black rock art behind graffiti and moss (Jalandoni et al., 645 2021). The cave dimensions and primarily the light conditions in the cave are the main limiting factor 646 of applying passive remote sensing. Artificial illumination must be used either in the form of a flashlight 647 on the camera or spotlights in the scene. Also, the irregularity and complexness of cave morphology 648 introduce shadows and overexposure, resulting in low-quality 3D model reconstruction. The exposure

variation can lead to the incorrect differentiation of materials and can be problematic for further analysis and interpretation of lithology. The extent of cave space in Gouffre Georges was in the order of tens and hundreds of meters which is too large to ensure optimum illumination. In the abseiling parts, the use of photography is hardly possible.

653 Material differentiation and classification of the point cloud to map not only the observed 654 Iherzolite and marble based on the corrected intensity has some limitations. The presence of water 655 considerably affects the discrimination of mapped materials as the moisture content causes decrease 656 of the intensity values. The water occurrence covering the rocks in the close vicinity of the watercourse 657 complicates the material differentiation based on the corrected intensity in the lower part of the 658 Grande Galerie. Consequently, in these parts of the cave, the determined threshold values of corrected 659 intensity for marble can be assigned as Iherzolite. This fact indicates the limitation of using of the 660 corrected intensity for the material differentiation in the water course surroundings or places of 661 significant water seepage characteristic for the cave environment. Therefore, it is necessary to map 662 and interpret the wet parts as well as in case of the flowstone or mud occurrence in the cave 663 individually and use supplementing data sources and field measurements. The results of the LiDAR 664 survey in the Gouffre Georges cave show that intensity data, albeit a by-product of the survey and 665 acquired 3D geometry, provide valuable information for detailed mapping of rock types in 666 underground environments.

# 667 **6. Conclusion**

The presented case study in Gouffre Georges demonstrated an efficient and complex intensity correction procedure for data acquired in the cave environment for mapping the surface material. The key step in this approach is the elimination of the influencing factors related to the scanning geometry, surface roughness, and atmospheric attenuation. Such conditions have to be considered before applying the recorded laser intensity to mapping.

673 The geological and lithological setting of the Gouffre Georges is rather unique in the whole Pyrenean 674 belt since it allows to observe with a spectacular continuity and without any vegetal cover the contact 675 between marble and lherzolite. The laser scanning of the cave geometry assigned with the corrected 676 laser intensity is an invaluable tool to unravel the complexity of such a lithological environment. This 677 work contributes to the growing body of studies demonstrating the potential of LiDAR remote sensing 678 even in the underground environment by showing that it has the potential to differentiate material 679 using laser intensity data. Furthermore, the presented approach is transposable to artificial 680 underground spaces, such as tunnels, cellars, or mining shafts, which are similar to caves in terms of 681 environmental conditions.

- 682
- 683

# 684 Acknowledgement

685 The presented research was financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic under the grant nr. VEGA 1/0798/20 "Synergistic use of multiple 686 687 remote sensing data sources in geographic research" and VEGA 1/0168/22 "Paleogeographic and 688 geodynamic interpretations of detrital minerals from selected areas of the Western Carpathians: a case 689 study identifying the nature of transport conditions and source areas in karst and non-karst areas". 690 Riccardo Asti wishes to acknowledge the Orogen Project (BRGM, Total, CNRS-INSU) for providing a 691 post-doc grant. Yves Lagabrielle is warmly thanked for helping the scientific organization of the 692 expedition in the cave. Nicolas Clément, Robert Guinot and the SCHS (Spéléo Club du Haut Sabarthez) 693 speleological club of Tarascon-sur-Ariège are greatly thanked for their support during the acquisition days. We highly appreciate the comments and suggestions of the reviewers which contributed to the 694 695 improved presentation of the conducted research.

- 696
- 697

# 698 **References**

- Angrand P, Mouthereau F, Masini E, Asti R., 2020. A reconstruction of Iberia accounting for W-Tethys/N-Atlantic kinematics
   since the late Permian-Triassic. Solid Earth. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2020-24.
- 701 Asti, R., Lagabrielle, Y., Fourcade, S., Corre, B., Monié, P., 2019. How do continents deform during mantle exhumation?
- 702 Insights from the northern Iberia inverted paleopassive margin, western Pyrenees (France). Tectonics, 38, 1666–1693.
- 703 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005428</u>.
- 704 Azmy, S. N., Sah, S. A. M., Shafie, N. J., Ariffin, A., Majid, Z., Ismail, M. N. A., Shamsir, M. S., 2012. Counting in the dark: non-
- intrusive laser scanning for population counting and identifying roosting bats. Scientific Reports, 2, 524.
- 706 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00524</u>.
- 707 Berenguer-Sempere, F., Gómez-Lende, M., Seranno, E., de Sanjosé-Blasco, J. J., 2014. Orthothermographies and 3D modeling
- 708 as potential tools in ice caves studies: the Peña Castil Ice Cave (Picos de Europa, Northern Spain). International Journal of
- 709 Speleology, 43(1), 4. <u>https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.43.1.4</u>.
- 710 Burens, A., Grussenmeyer, P., Guillemin, S., Carozza, L., Lévêque, F., and Mathé, V., 2013. Methodological developments in
- 3D scanning and modelling of archaeological French heritage site: the Bronze Age painted cave of "Les Fraux", Dordogne
- 712 (France), Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci., XL-5/W2, 131–135. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XL-
- 713 <u>5-W2-131-2013</u>.
- 714 Carrea, D., Abellan, A., Humair, F., Matasci, B., Derron, M. H., Jaboyedoff, M., 2016. Correction of terrestrial LiDAR intensity
- 715 channel using Oren–Nayar reflectance model: An application to lithological differentiation. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry
- 716 and Remote Sensing, 113, 17-29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.12.004</u>.
- 717 Chen, Y., Jiang, C., Hyyppä, J., Qiu, S., Wang, Z., Tian, M., Puttonen, E., Zhou, H, Feng, Z., Bo, Y., Wen, Z., 2018. Feasibility study
- 718 of ore classification using active hyperspectral LiDAR. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 15(11), 1785-1789.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.1109/LGRS.2018.2854358
- 720 Cignoni, P., Callieri, M., Corsini, M., Dellepiane, M., Ganovelli, F., Ranzuglia, G., 2008. MeshLab: an Open-Source Mesh
- 721 Processing Tool. Sixth Eurographics Italian Chapter Conference, pp. 129-136.
- 722 Clerc C, Lagabrielle, Y., Neumaier M., Reynaud J.-Y., de Saint Blanquat M., 2012. Exhumation of subcontinental mantle
- 723 rocks: evidence from ultramafic-bearing clatic deposits nearby the Lherz peridotite body, French Pyrenees. Bulletin de la
- 724 Société géologique de France, 183(5), 443-459. <u>https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.183.5.443</u>.

- 725 Clerc, C., Lagabrielle, Y., 2014. Thermal control on the modes of crustal thinning leading to mantle exhumation: Insights
- from the Cretaceous Pyrenean hot paleomargins. Tectonics, 33, 1340–1359. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013TC003471</u>.
- 727 Clerc, C., Lahfid, A., Monié, P., Lagabrielle, Y., Chopin, C., Poujol, M., Boulvais, J., Ringenbach, C., Masini, E., de St Blanquat,
- 728 M., 2015. High-temperature metamorphism during extreme thinning of the continental crust: A reappraisal of the north
- 729 Pyrenean passive paleomargin. Solid Earth, 6(2), 643–668. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/se-6-643-2015</u>.
- 730 Cosso, T., Ferrando, I., Orlando, A., 2014. Surveying and mapping a cave using 3d laser scanner: the open challenge with free
- 731 and open source software. International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing & Spatial Information Sciences,
- 732 45. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XL-5-181-2014</u>.
- 733 Debroas, E. J., Canérot, J., Bilotte, M., 2010. Les Brèches d'Urdach, témoins de l'exhumation du manteau pyrénéen dans un
- 734 escarpement de faille Vraconnien-Cénomanien inférieur (zone nord-pyrénéenne, Pyrénées-Atlantiques, France). Géologie
- 735 de la France, 2, 53–63.
- 736 De Waele, J., Fabbri, S., Santagata, T., Chiarini, V., Columbu, A., Pisani, L., 2018. Geomorphological and speleogenetical
- observations using terrestrial laser scanning and 3D photogrammetry in a gypsum cave (Emilia Romagna, N. Italy).
- 738 Geomorphology, 319, 47-61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.07.012</u>.
- 739 Ding, Q., Chen, W., King, B., Liu, Y., Liu, G., 2013. Combination of overlap-driven adjustment and Phong model for LiDAR

740 intensity correction. ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing, 75, 40-47.

- 741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2012.09.015.
- 742 Ducoux, M., Jolivet, L., Callot, J. P., Aubourg, C., Masini, E., Lahfid, A., Homonnay, E., Cagnard, F., Gumiaux., C., Baudin, T.,
- 743 2019. The Nappe des Marbres unit of the Basque-Cantabrian Basin: the tectono-thermal evolution of a fossil hyperextended
- 744 rift basin. Tectonics, 38(11), 3881-3915. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005348</u>.
- 745 Duretz, T., Asti, R., Lagabrielle, Y., Brun, J.P., Jourdon, A., Clerc, C., Corre, B., 2019. Numerical modelling of syn-rift salt
- tectonics during Cretaceous Pyrenean Rifting. Basin Research, 32(4), 652-667. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12389</u>.
- 747 Eitel, J. U., Höfle, B., Vierling, L. A., Abellán, A., Asner, G. P., Deems, J. S., Glennie, C. L., Joerg, P. C., LeWinter, A. L., Magney,
- 748 T. S., Mandlburger, G., Morton, D. C., Müller, J., Vierling, K. T., 2016. Beyond 3-D: The new spectrum of lidar applications for
- rand ecological sciences. Remote Sensing of Environment, 186, 372-392. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.08.018</u>.
- 750 Errington, A. F., Daku, B. L., 2017. Temperature compensation for radiometric correction of terrestrial LiDAR intensity data.
- 751 Remote Sensing, 9(4), 356. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9040356</u>.

- 752 Fabbri, S., Sauro, F., Santagata, T., Rossi, G., De Waele, J., 2017. High-resolution 3-D mapping using terrestrial laser scanning
- as a tool for geomorphological and speleogenetical studies in caves: An example from the Lessini mountains (North Italy).
- 754 Geomorphology, 280, 16-29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.12.001</u>.
- 755 Fang, W., Huang, X., Zhang, F., Li, D., 2014. Intensity correction of terrestrial laser scanning data by estimating laser
- transmission function. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(2), 942-951.
- 757 <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2014.2330852</u>.
- 758 Franceschi, M., Teza, G., Preto, N., Pesci, A., Galgaro, A., Girardi, S., 2009. Discrimination between marls and limestones using
- intensity data from terrestrial laser scanner. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and remote sensing, 64(6), 522-528.
- 760 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2009.03.003</u>.
- 761 Gallay, M., Kaňuk, J., Hochmuth, Z., Meneely, J. D., Hofierka, J., Sedlák, V., 2015. Large-scale and high-resolution 3-D cave
- 762 mapping by terrestrial laser scanning: a case study of the Domica Cave, Slovakia. International Journal of Speleology, 44(3),
- 763 6. http://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.3.6.
- 764 Gallay, M., Hochmuth, Z., Kaňuk, J., Hofierka, J., 2016. Geomorphometric analysis of cave ceiling channels mapped with 3-D
- terrestrial laser scanning. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(5), 1827. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-1827-2016</u>.
- 766 Guha, A., Ghosh, B., Kumar, K. V., Chaudhury, S., 2015. Implementation of reflection spectroscopy based new ASTER indices
- 767 and principal components to delineate chromitite and associated ultramafic–mafic complex in parts of Dharwar Craton, India.
- 768 Advances in Space Research, 56(7), 1453-1468. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2015.06.043</u>.
- 769 Girardeau-Montaut, D., 2020. CloudCompare 3D point cloud and mesh processing software. Open Source Project.
- 770 Höfle, B., Pfeifer, N., 2007. Correction of laser scanning intensity data: Data and model-driven approaches. ISPRS Journal of
- 771 Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 62(6), 415-433. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2007.05.008</u>.
- 772 Idrees, M. O., Pradhan, B. 2016a. A decade of modern cave surveying with terrestrial laser scanning: A review of sensors,
- 773 method and application development. International Journal of Speleology, 45(1), 8. <u>https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-</u>
- 774 <u>806X.45.1.1923</u>.
- 775 Idrees, M. O., Pradhan, B. 2016b. Hybrid Taguchi-objective function optimization approach for automatic cave bird detection
- from terrestrial laser scanning intensity image. International Journal of Speleology, 45(3), 9. https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-
- 777 <u>806X.45.3.1988</u>

- 778 Idrees, M. O., Pradhan, B., 2017. Characterization of macro-and micro-geomorphology of cave channel from high-resolution
- 3D laser scanning survey: case study of Gomantong cave in Sabah, Malaysia, in: Karabulut, S., Çinku, M. (Eds.), Cave
- 780 Investigation. IntechOpen. pp 3-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.69084</u>.
- 781 Idrees, M. O., Pradhan, B., 2018. Geostructural stability assessment of cave using rock surface discontinuity extracted from
- terrestrial laser scanning point cloud. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 10(3), 534-544.
- 783 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2017.11.011</u>.
- Jalandoni, A., Winans, W. R., Willis, M. D., 2021. Intensity values of terrestrial laser scans reveal hidden black rock art pigment.
  Remote Sensing, 13(7), 1357. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13071357</u>.
- 786 James, J.M., 2013. Atmospheric processes in caves. In: Shroder, J. (Editor in Chief), Frumkin, A. (Ed.), Treatise on
- 787 Geomorphology. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, vol. 6, Karst Geomorphology, pp. 304–318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-</u>

#### 788 <u>0-12-374739-6.00118-4</u>.

- 789 Jammes, S., Manatschal, G., Lavier, L., Masini, E., 2009. Tectono-sedimentary evolution related to extreme crustal thinning
- ahead of a propagating ocean: example of the western Pyrenees. Tectonics 28, 4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2008TC002406</u>.
- 791 Jelalian, A. V., 1992. Laser radar systems. Artech House, Boston. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(92)90171-G</u>.
- 792 Kaasalainen, S., Jaakkola, A., Kaasalainen, M., Krooks, A., Kukko, A., 2011. Analysis of incidence angle and distance effects on
- terrestrial laser scanner intensity: Search for correction methods. Remote Sensing, 3(10), 2207-2221.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs3102207</u>.
- Kaasalainen, S., Malkamäki, T., 2020. Potential of active multispectral lidar for detecting low reflectance targets. Optics
  Express, 28(2), 1408-1416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.379491</u>.
- 797 Kashani, A. G., Olsen, M. J., Parrish, C. E., Wilson, N., 2015. A review of LiDAR radiometric processing: From ad hoc intensity
- 798 correction to rigorous radiometric calibration. Sensors, 15(11), 28099-28128. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/s151128099</u>.
- 799 Kim, I. I., McArthur, B., Korevaar, E. J., 2001. Comparison of laser beam propagation at 785 nm and 1550 nm in fog and haze
- for optical wireless communications. Proceedings of SPIE, Optical Wireless Communications, 4214, 26-37.
   https://doi.org/10.1117/12.417512.
- 802 Kruger, A., Randolph-Quinney, P., Elliott, M., 2016. Multimodal spatial mapping and visualisation of Dinaledi Chamber and
- 803 Rising Star Cave. South African journal of science, 112(5-6), 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2016/20160032</u>.

- 804 Lagabrielle, Y., Bodinier, J.-L., 2008. Submarine reworking of exhumed sub-continental mantle rocks: field evidence from the
- 805 Lherz peridotites, French Pyrenees: cretaceous exhumation of pyrenean mantle. Terra. Nova 20 (1), 11–21.
- 806 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2007.00781.x</u>.
- 807 Lagabrielle Y., Labaume P. de Saint Blanquat M., 2010. Mantle exhumation, crustal denudation, and gravity tectonics during
- 808 Cretaceous rifting in the Pyrenean realm (SW Europe): Insights from the geological setting of the Iherzolite bodies.
- 809 Tectonics, 29, TC4012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002588</u>.
- 810 Lagabrielle, Y., Clerc, C., Vauchez, A., Lahfid, A., Labaume, P., Azambre, B., Fourcade, S., Dautria, J.-M., 2016. Very high
- 811 geothermal gradient during mantle exhumation recorded in mylonitic marbles and carbonate breccias from a Mesozoic
- 812 Pyrenean palaeomargin (Lherz area, North Pyrenean Zone, France). Comptes Rendus Geosciences, 348, 257–267.
- 813 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2015.11.004</u>.
- 814 Lagabrielle, Y., Asti, R., Fourcade, S., Corre, B., Poujol, M., Uzel, J., Labaume, P., Clerc, C., Lafay, R., Picazo, S., Maury, R.,
- 815 2019a. Mantle exhumation at magma-poor passive continental margins. Part I. 3D architecture and metasomatic evolution
- 816 of a fossil exhumed mantle domain (Urdach Iherzolite, North-Western Pyrenees, France). BSGF Earth Sci. Bull. 190, 8.
- 817 <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/bsgf/2019007</u>.
- 818 Lagabrielle, Y., Asti, R., Fourcade, S., Corre, B., Uzel, J., Labaume, P., Clerc, C., Lafay, R., Picazo, S., 2019b. The mechanisms of
- 819 mantle exhumation at magma-poor passive continental margins. Part II. Insights from high-displacement, low-angle faults
- 820 preserved in a fossil distal margin domain (Saraillé Iherzolites, north-western Pyrenees, France). BSGF Earth Sci. Bull. 190,
- 821 14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/bsgf/2019013</u>.
- 822 Landeschi, G., Apel, J., Lundström, V., Storå, J., Lindgren, S., Dell'Unto, N., 2019. Re-enacting the sequence: combined digital
- 823 methods to study a prehistoric cave. Archaeological and anthropological sciences, 11(6), https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-
- 824 <u>018-0724-5</u>.
- Laukamp, C., Termin, K. A., Pejcic, B., Haest, M., Cudahy, T., 2012. Vibrational spectroscopy of calcic amphiboles–applications
   for exploration and mining. European Journal of Mineralogy, 24(5), 863-878. <u>https://doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2012/0024-</u>
- 827 <u>2218</u>.
- Le Roux, V., Bodinier, J. L., Tommasi, A., Alard, O., Dautria, J. M., Vauchez, A., Riches, A. J. V., 2007. The Lherz spinel Iherzolite:
  refertilized rather than pristine mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 259(3-4), 599-612.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.05.026.
- Liu, D., Yu, J., 2009. Otsu method and K-means. In 2009 Ninth International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems (Vol. 1,
- 832 pp. 344-349). IEEE. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/HIS.2009.74</u>.

- 833 Lorenz, S., Ghamisi, P., Kirsch, M., Jackisch, R., Rasti, B., Gloaguen, R., 2021. Feature extraction for hyperspectral mineral
- domain mapping: A test of conventional and innovative methods. Remote Sensing of Environment, 252, 112129.
- 835 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112129</u>.
- 836 Masini, E., Manatschal, G., Tugend, J., Mohn, G., Flament, J.-M., 2014. The tectonosedimentary evolution of a hyper-
- 837 extended rift basin: the example of the Arzacq–Mauléon rift system (Western Pyrenees, SW France). Int. J. Earth Sci. 103
- 838 (6), 1569–1596. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1023-8</u>.
- 839 Matasci, B., Carrea, D., Abellan, A., Derron, M. H., Humair, F., Jaboyedoff, M., Metzger, R., 2015. Geological mapping and
- 840 fold modeling using Terrestrial Laser Scanning point clouds: application to the Dents-du-Midi limestone massif
- 841 (Switzerland). European Journal of Remote Sensing, 48(1), 569-591. <u>https://doi.org/10.5721/EuJRS20154832</u>.
- 842 McFarlane, D. A., Roberts, W., Buchroithner, M., Van Rentergem, G., Lundberg, J., Hautz, S., 2015. Terrestrial LiDAR-based
- automated counting of swiftlet nests in the caves of Gomantong, Sabah, Borneo. International Journal of Speleology, 44(2),
- 844 6. <u>https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.2.8</u>.
- Milius, J., Petters, C., 2012. Eisriesenwelt–From laser scanning to photo-realistic 3D model of the biggest ice cave on Earth. In
  GI-Forum (pp. 513-523).
- 847 Monchoux, P., 1970. Les Iherzolites Pyrénéennes: Contribution à l'étude de leur minéralogie, de leur genèse et de leurs
- transformations, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toulouse, Toulouse, France.
- 849 Nayar, S.K., Ikeuchi, K., Kanade, T., 1991. Surface reflection: physical and geometrical perspectives. IEEE Transactions on
- Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 611-634. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/34.85654</u>.
- 851 Nolan, R., Alvarez, L., Padilla-Parra, S., Landini, G., 2022. autothresholdr: an R port of the ImageJ plugin "Auto Threshold".
- Núñez, M. A., Buill, F., Edo, M., 2013. 3D model of the Can Sadurní cave. Journal of archaeological Science, 40(12), 4420-4428.
- 853 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.07.006</u>.
- Oren, M., Nayar, S.K., 1994. Seeing beyond Lambert's law. In: Eklundh, J.-O. (Ed.), Computer vision ECCV '94, Lecture
- 855 Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, pp. 269–280. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0028360</u>.
- 856 Oren, M., Nayar, S. K., 1995. Generalization of the Lambertian model and implications for machine vision. International
- 857 Journal of Computer Vision, 14(3), 227-251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01679684</u>.
- 858 Otsu, N., 1979. A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. IEEE Trans. Sys. Man. Cyber. 9 (1): 62-66.
- 859 <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/tsmc.1979.4310076</u>.

- 860 Penasa, L., Franceschi, M., Preto, N., Teza, G., Polito, V., 2014. Integration of intensity textures and local geometry
- 861 descriptors from Terrestrial Laser Scanning to map chert in outcrops. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote
- 862 Sensing, 93, 88-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.04.003</u>.
- 863 Pfennigbauer, M., Ullrich, A., 2010. Improving quality of laser scanning data acquisition through calibrated amplitude and
- pulse deviation measurement. Proceedings of SPIE, 7684, 76841F. <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.849641</u>.
- 865 RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems GmbH, 2015. 3D Terrestrial laser scanner RIEGL VZ-400/RIEGL VZ-1000/RIEGL VZ-2000
- 866 General Description and Data Interfaces.
- 867 RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems GmbH, 2017. LAS Extrabytes Implementation in RIEGL Software Whitepaper.
- Rees, W. G., 2013. Physical principles of remote sensing (3rd ed.). Cambridge university press.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139017411
- 870 Saint Blanquat, M., Bajolet, F., Grand'Homme, A., Proietti, A., Zanti, M., Boutin, A., Clerc, C., Lagabrielle, Y., Labaume, P.,
- 871 2016. Cretaceous mantle exhumation in the central Pyrenees: new constraints from the peridotites in eastern Ariège (North
- 872 Pyrenean zone, France). Comptes Rendus Géoscience, 348(3-4), 268-278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2015.12.003</u>
- 873 Santo, A., Budetta, P., Forte, G., Marino, E., Pignalosa, A., 2017. Karst collapse susceptibility assessment: A case study on the
- 874 Amalfi Coast (Southern Italy). Geomorphology, 285, 247-259. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.02.012</u>.
- 875 Saspiturry, N., Razin, P., Baudin, T., Serrano, O., Issautier, B., Lasseur, E., Allanic, C., Thinon, I., Leleu, S., 2019. Symmetry vs.
- asymmetry of a hyper-thinned rift: Example of the Mauléon Basin (Western Pyrenees, France). Marine and Petroleum
- 877 Geology, 104, 86–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.03.031</u>.
- 878 Saspiturry, N., Allanic, C., Razin, P., Issautier, B., Baudin, T., Lasseur, E., Serrano, O., Leleu, S., 2020a. Closure of a
- 879 hyperextended system in an orogenic lithospheric pop-up, Western Pyrenees: The role of mantle buttressing and rift
- 880 structural inheritance. Terra Nova, 32(4), 253–260. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12457</u>.
- 881 Saspiturry, N., Lahfid, A., Baudin, T., Guillou-Frottier, G., Razin, P., Issautier, B., Le Bayon, B., Serrano, O., Lagabrielle, Y.,
- 882 Corre, B., 2020b. Paleogeothermal gradients across an inverted hyperextended rift system: Example of the mauléon fossil
- 883 rift (Western Pyrenees). Tectonics, 39(10), 1–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006206</u>.
- Silvestre, I., Rodrigues, J. I., Figueiredo, M., Veiga-Pires, C., 2015. High-resolution digital 3D models of Algar do Penico
  Chamber: limitations, challenges, and potential. International Journal of Speleology, 44(1), 25-35.
  <a href="https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.1.3">https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.1.3</a>.

- 887 Soudarissanane, S., Lindenbergh, R., Menenti, M., Teunissen, P., 2011. Scanning geometry: Influencing factor on the quality
- 888 of terrestrial laser scanning points. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 66(4), 389-399.
- 889 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2011.01.005</u>.
- 890 Sorriaux, P., Guinot, R., Ferré, E., Gallay, M., Šupinský, J., de Saint Blanguat, M., Asti, R., 2019. Expédition spéléo-scientifique
- au gouffre Georges: Relevé 3D de la Grande galerie. Spelunca, 5, 5-13.
- 892 Šupinský, J., Kaňuk, J., Hochmuth, Z., Gallay, M., 2019. Detecting dynamics of cave floor ice with selective cloud-to-cloud
- 893 approach. The Cryosphere, 13(11), 2835-2851. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2835-2019</u>.
- 894 Šupinský, J., Kaňuk, J., Nováková, M., Hochmuth, Z., 2022. LiDAR point clouds processing for large-scale cave mapping: a case
- study of the Majko dome in the Domica cave. Journal of Maps, 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2022.2035270</u>.
- 896 Tan, K., Cheng, X., Ding, X., Zhang, Q., 2015. Intensity data correction for the distance effect in terrestrial laser scanners. IEEE
- 897 Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 9(1), 304-312.
- 898 <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2015.2497310</u>.
- Tan, K., Cheng, X., 2016. Correction of incidence angle and distance effects on TLS intensity data based on reference targets.
- 900 Remote Sensing, 8(3), 251. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8030251</u>.
- 901 Tan, K., Cheng, X., Cheng, X., 2016. Modeling hemispherical reflectance for natural surfaces based on terrestrial laser scanning
- 902 backscattered intensity data. Optics express, 24(20), 22971-22988. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9080853.
- 903 Tavani S, Bertok C, Granado P, Piana F, Salas R, Vigna B, Muñoz JA., 2018. The Iberia-Eurasia plate boundary east of the
- 904 Pyrenees. Earth-Science Reviews 187, 314–337. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.10.008</u>.
- 905 Teo, T. A., Yu, H. L., 2015. Empirical radiometric normalization of road points from terrestrial mobile LiDAR system. Remote
- 906 Sensing, 7(5), 6336-6357. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70506336</u>.
- 907 Thiele, S. T., Lorenz, S., Kirsch, M., Acosta, I. C. C., Tusa, L., Herrmann, E., Möckel, R., Gloaguen, R. 2021. Multi-scale, multi-
- 908 sensor data integration for automated 3-D geological mapping. Ore Geology Reviews, 104252.
- 909 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2021.104252.
- 910 Triantafyllou, A., Watlet, A., Le Mouélic, S., Camelbeeck, T., Civet, F., Kaufmann, O., Quinif, Y., Vandycke, S., 2019. 3-D digital
- 911 outcrop model for analysis of brittle deformation and lithological mapping (Lorette cave, Belgium). Journal of Structural
- 912 Geology, 120, 55-66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.01.001</u>.
- 913 Ullrich, A.; Schwarz, R.; Kager, H., 2003. Using hybrid multi-station adjustment for an integrated camera laser-scanner system.
- 914 Opt. 3-D Meas. Tech. IV, 1, 298–305.

- 915 Vergés, J., Fernàndez, M., Martìnez, A., 2002. The Pyrenean orogen: pre-, syn-, and post-collisional evolution. Journal of the
- 916 Virtual Explorer, 8, 55-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.3809/JVIRTEX.2002.00058</u>.
- 917 Wagner, W., Ullrich, A., Ducic, V., Melzer, T., Studnicka, N., 2006. Gaussian decomposition and calibration of a novel small-
- 918 footprint full-waveform digitising airborne laser scanner. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 60(2), 100-
- 919 112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2005.12.001</u>.
- 920 Wagner, W., 2010. Radiometric calibration of small-footprint full-waveform airborne laser scanner measurements: Basic
- 921 physical concepts. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 65(6), 505-513.
- 922 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2010.06.007</u>.
- 923 Xu, T., Xu, L., Yang, B., Li, X., Yao, J., 2017. Terrestrial laser scanning intensity correction by piecewise fitting and overlap-
- 924 driven adjustment. Remote Sensing, 9(11), 1090. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9111090</u>.
- 925 Živec, T., Anžur, A., Verbovšek, T., 2019. Determination of rock type and moisture content in flysch using TLS intensity in the
- Belerji quarry (south-west Slovenia). Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 78(3), 1631-1643.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-018-1245-2.
- 928

# 929 List of Figure Captions

- 930 Fig. 1. Location of the Gouffre Georges. Selected parts for the TLS survey (red) of the Gouffre Georges cave complex (orange)
- 931 are accessible via the entrance Tube at the altitude of 1390 m. The photographs A, B, C illustrate the cave space and surveying

932 conditions in different parts located in the map. Base map: ©2021 Esri

933

934 Fig. 2. Schematic workflow of processing the data obtained by TLS survey and experimental scanning and subsequent

935 parameter estimation for the intensity correction.

- 936
- 937 Fig. 3. The top view and side view of the laser-scanned part of Gouffre Georges comprising the domes of Salle de la Famine
- 938 and Grande Galerie accessible via the Tube entrance with marked TLS positions (red dots) and cave exterior (green).
- 939
- 940 Fig. 4. Scene of the calibration experiment showing the position of the scanner with respect to the scanned white target for
- 941 distances from 2.5 to 60 m. Recorded intensity decreases for longer ranges.
- 942

- 943 Fig. 5. Mean values of the recorded laser intensity of the reference target as the function of scanning range acquired by the
- 944 RIEGL VZ-1000 in the laboratory experiment. The vertical bars show standard deviations of intensity measurements.

945

946 Fig. 6. Differences between intensity values of various incidence angles corrected using different  $\sigma_{slope}$  values for lherzolite 947 and marble samples.

948

- Fig. 7. Distribution of laser intensity data recorded from three overlapping scanning positions, i.e., three different ranges
  (41.1 m, 32.9 m, 21.5 m) capturing the same cave wall in the Salle de la Famine dome: (A) raw intensities, (B) intensities after
  the correction for distance and incidence angle, (C) final intensity values after the correction for the atmospheric attenuation.
  Fig. 8. Final point cloud comprising of 47 scanning positions coloured by the (A) range values (B) original intensity (C)
- 953 corrected intensity.

954

Fig. 9. Top and side view of the selected Gouffre Georges cave parts point cloud with corrected intensity values after removing
the outliers by the denoising, revealing the lithological contacts and the strong water occurrence. The dashed line in the
scatterplot indicates the boundary between marble and Iherzolite.

958

Fig. 10. Results of scanning of the (A) Iherzolite and (B) marble samples: distribution of the original intensities for the samples of (C) Iherzolite and (D) marble, and the distribution of the corrected intensities for the samples of (E) Iherzolite and (F) marble, each observed under the dry and wet surface conditions. The blue bell-shaped curve indicates normal distribution model based on the sample mean and standard deviation as reported in Tab. 7 and vertical dashed and solid lines, respectively.

964

Fig. 11. Section of the 3D TLS point cloud from Grande Galerie (Fig. 9) comprising a cave wall coloured by (A) photographed natural colours with dark lherzolite and light marble, (B) the corrected intensity values, (C) classification based on the thresholds from corrected intensities of the rock samples, (D) classification based on the thresholds from the histogram of the corrected intensity of the cave, (E) classification based on the Otsu thresholding, and (F) classification based on the Kmeans clustering.

- 971 Fig. 12. Results of the material differentiation based on the histogram clustering applied individually on the segmented cave972 sections.
- 973
- 974