

ALMA Images the Eccentric HD 53143 Debris Disk

Meredith A. Macgregor, Spencer A. Hurt, Christopher C. Stark, Ward S. Howard, Alycia J. Weinberger, Bin Ren, Glenn Schneider, Elodie Choquet, Dmitri Mawet

► To cite this version:

Meredith A. Macgregor, Spencer A. Hurt, Christopher C. Stark, Ward S. Howard, Alycia J. Weinberger, et al.. ALMA Images the Eccentric HD 53143 Debris Disk. The Astrophysical journal letters, 2022, 933, 10.3847/2041-8213/ac7729. insu-03780763

HAL Id: insu-03780763 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03780763

Submitted on 20 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



ALMA Images the Eccentric HD 53143 Debris Disk

Meredith A. MacGregor¹, Spencer A. Hurt¹, Christopher C. Stark², Ward S. Howard¹, Alycia J. Weinberger³, Bin Ren^{4,5}, Glenn Schneider⁶, Elodie Choquet⁷, and Dmitri Mawet^{8,9}

¹ Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences, University of Colorado, 2000 Colorado Avenue, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Exoplanets and Stellar Astrophysics Laboratory, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

³ Earth & Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, 5241 Broad Branch Road NW, Washington, DC 20015, USA ⁴ Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique (IPAG), F-38000 Grenoble, France ⁵ Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Lagrange, F-06304 Nice, France

⁶ Steward Observatory, The University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

⁸ Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, MC 249-17, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

Received 2022 May 9; revised 2022 June 8; accepted 2022 June 8; published 2022 June 28

Abstract

We present ALMA 1.3 mm observations of the HD 53143 debris disk-the first infrared or millimeter image produced of this ~ 1 Gyr old solar analog. Previous HST STIS coronagraphic imaging did not detect flux along the minor axis of the disk, which could suggest a face-on geometry with two clumps of dust. These ALMA observations reveal a disk with a strikingly different structure. In order to fit models to the millimeter visibilities and constrain the uncertainties on the disk parameters, we adopt a Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach. This is the most eccentric debris disk observed to date with a forced eccentricity of 0.21 ± 0.02 , nearly twice that of the Fomalhaut debris disk, and also displays an apocenter glow. Although this eccentric model fits the outer debris disk well, significant interior residuals remain, which may suggest a possible edge-on inner disk, which remains unresolved in these observations. Combined with the observed structure difference between HST and ALMA, these results suggest a potential previous scattering event or dynamical instability in this system. We also note that the stellar flux changes considerably over the course of our observations, suggesting flaring at millimeter wavelengths. Using simultaneous TESS observations, we determine the stellar rotation period to be 9.6 ± 0.1 days.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Radio astronomy (1338); Submillimeter astronomy (1647); Circumstellar disks (235); Debris disks (363); Exoplanet formation (492); Planet formation (1241); Exoplanet dynamics (490); Exoplanet evolution (491); Stellar activity (1580); Stellar flares (1603); Starspots (1572); Stellar physics (1621)

1. Introduction

While protoplanetary disks are the reservoirs for planet formation, debris disks are the fossil record. Within these remnant disks, asteroids and comets (often referred to as "planetesimals") collide and grind to replenish the population of small dust grains (see the review article by Hughes et al. 2018). The presence of debris disks around mature stars is often seen as an indication that earlier planet formation was successful in these systems (e.g., Meshkat et al. 2017). At later evolutionary stages, interior planets now sculpt and stir surrounding disks through gravitational perturbations. Thus, observed structure in debris disks can be traced back to the dynamical influence of planets and used to place constraints on their mass and orbital properties (e.g., Wyatt et al. 1999; Deller & Maddison 2005; Hahn & Malhotra 2005; Chiang et al. 2009, and references therein). Because the dominant exoplanet detection techniques (e.g., transits and radial velocities) miss analogs to the outer giant planets in our own solar system (e.g., Zhu & Dong 2021), the debris disk structure offers a tantalizing path forward to fill in gaps in the exoplanet census and help put our planetary system into context.

At 18.3 pc (Gaia Collaboration 2020), HD 53143 is a Sunlike (G9V; Torres et al. 2006) star that gives us a glimpse into

Original content from this work may be used under the terms (cc) of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

what a planetary system like our own could have looked like at an earlier stage in its evolution (age \sim 1 Gyr; see discussion in Section 4.4). The outer debris disk was first imaged in scattered light at visible wavelengths by Kalas et al. (2006) using the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and subsequently imaged by Schneider et al. (2014) using the HST Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS). These previous coronagraphic images did not detect flux along the minor axis of the disk, which could be interpreted as resulting from a face-on geometry with two "clumps" of dust that could be resonant structures. At longer wavelengths, ISO observations indicated a high disk dust luminosity, i.e., surface area, relative to the star (2×10^{-4}) (Moór et al. 2006). Herschel PACS observations at 70 and 160 μ m marginally resolved a bright ring with a radius of \sim 54 au and width of 39 au that appeared elongated to the NW and SE (Marshall et al. 2021; Pearce et al. 2022). However, due to Herschel's poor spatial resolution, the exact geometry of the disk remained unclear.

In this paper, we present the first resolved image of the HD 53143 debris disk at either infrared or millimeter wavelengths made with the Atacama Large Millimeter/ submillimeter Array (ALMA). These observations show a striking eccentric disk with features that suggest a previous scattering event or dynamical instability. We discuss the observations and modeling in Sections 2 and 3.1, respectively. Section 3.2 presents the modeling results followed by a discussion in Section 4 and conclusions in Section 5.

Obs. ID	Date	Time Range (UTC)	# of Ants.	Baseline Lengths (m)	On-Source Time (minutes)	PWV (mm)	rms (mJy)
1	12 March	02:07:13.4-03:04:03.2	46	14-360	49.63	1.29	14.6
2	16 March	00:50:56.3-01:47:22.4	47	14-360	49.67	1.26	15.0
3	17 March	00:27:33.3-01:24:01.0	47	14-360	49.62	1.74	16.7
4	17–18 March	23:53:09.7-00:49:31.6	44	14-313	49.62	2.17	18.6
5	18 March	22:56:23.5-23:53:00.0	45	14-313	49.63	3.08	20.8
6	19 March	00:13:01.4-01:09:37.4	45	14-313	49.62	2.64	19.9
7	22 March	22:45:10.1-23:41:39.2	47	14-360	49.65	2.18	16.3
8	22-23 March	23:53:32.8-00:50:00.5	46	14-360	49.63	2.11	16.7

Table 12019 ALMA Observations of HD 53143

2. Observations

We used ALMA Band 6 centered at 1.36 mm (238 GHz) to observe the HD 53143 debris disk during Cycle 6 (2018.1.00461.S, PI Stark). A total of eight scheduling blocks (SBs) were executed between 2019 March 12 and 23. The details of these observations including the date, number of antennas, shortest and longest baseline lengths, total time on source, precipitable water vapor (PWV; a measure of the weather quality), and resulting rms noise are provided in Table 1.

The goal of these observations was to resolve the dust structure of the HD 53143 debris disk, so the correlator was set up to maximize sensitivity to the millimeter dust continuum emission. Three spectral windows were devoted entirely to continuum emission with a bandwidth of 2 GHz, each split into 128 channels and centered at frequencies of 231.5, 244, and 246 GHz. A fourth spectral window with a reduced bandwidth of 1.875 GHz split into 3840 channels was centered on the ¹²CO J = 2-1 line at 230.538 GHz, although no gas emission was detected.

The same calibration sources were used for all eight SBs. Bandpass, flux, and atmospheric calibration along with pointing were performed using the bright blazar J0538–4405 (21°.0 away from the target). Phase calibration made use of J0700–6610. All data processing, calibration, and imaging commands were executed in CASA (version 5.4.0; McMullin et al. 2007). Calibrated visibilities produced by the ALMA pipeline were then time-averaged in 30 s intervals to reduce data volume and improve modeling speed.

3. Results and Analysis

Our new ALMA image of HD 53143 is shown in Figure 1. This is the first time that the millimeter dust continuum emission has been imaged for this debris disk system. The disk is noticeably asymmetric. The bright point source at the image center is coincident (<0."08 offset constrained by the modeling described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 below) with the stellar position (R.A. = 06:59:59.2, decl. = -61:20:05.2) but offset from the disk center. A brightness difference is also apparent between the two "ansae" (the limb-brightened edges of the disk), with the northwest side farther from the star roughly 3σ brighter than the southeast side closer to the star.

3.1. Modeling Approach

In order to quantify the significance of the brightness asymmetry of the HD 53143 disk, we fit both symmetric and eccentric disk models to the ALMA data. We follow the



Figure 1. The first millimeter image of HD 53143 taken with ALMA shows an asymmetric distribution of dust with a bright point source in the center coincident with the star. Contours are in steps of 3σ (3× the rms noise of 5.6 µJy beam⁻¹). The gray ellipse in the lower-left corner indicates the 1,"13 × 0,"92 synthesized beam with natural weighting.

modeling approach first described in MacGregor et al. (2013) and updated for eccentric disks in MacGregor et al. (2017) in order to effectively constrain uncertainties and efficiently explore parameter space. Visibilities are sampled from models of the sky brightness using the galario package (Tazzari et al. 2018) and compared directly to the ALMA visibilities within a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) framework using the emcee package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). For the symmetric model, we assume that the disk is radially symmetric and the surface brightness is described by a power law between an inner radius $R_{\rm in}$ and an outer radius $R_{\rm out}$: $I_{\nu} \propto r^{-0.5}$, where the power-law index describes the temperature profile and the surface density is assumed to be constant as a function of radius.

For the eccentric model, we first populate the complex eccentricity space defined by the forced eccentricity and argument of periastron (e_f and ω_f , respectively) and the proper eccentricity and argument of periastron (e_p and ω_p , respectively) following Wyatt et al. (1999). While ω_p is assumed to be randomly distributed from 0 to 2π , e_f , e_p , and ω_f are all left as free parameters. We then compute the true anomaly, f, using

	Parameter	Description	Symmetric Model	Eccentric Model
Disk geometry	а	Disk radial position [au]	88.3 ± 0.9	90.1 ± 0.5
	Δa	Disk width [au]	29.7 ± 1.0	19.7 ± 2.5
	h	Disk scale height	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.02
	i	Inclination [deg]	56.1 ± 0.4	56.2 ± 0.4
	PA	Position angle [deg]	156.4 ± 0.4	157.3 ± 0.3
	ω_{f}	Argument of pericenter [deg]		112.8 ± 2.1
Flux	F _{tot}	Total disk flux [mJy]	1.36 ± 0.03	1.42 ± 0.03
	$F_{\rm star}$	Total stellar flux [mJy]	0.05 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01
Eccentricity	e_f	Forced eccentricity		0.21 ± 0.02
-	e_p	Proper eccentricity		0.11 ± 0.01
Offset	Δα	RA offset ["]	-0.60 ± 0.01	0.07 + 0.06
	$\Delta \delta$	DEC offset ["]	0.17 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.04

Table 2Best-fit Model Parameters

the kepler package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2021), calculate the radial orbital position for each particle, and create a twodimensional image by binning using the desired pixel scale (0.8 au). By assuming the same $r^{-0.5}$ temperature profile as for the symmetric model, we can then determine the flux in each pixel. In order to sample the eccentricity parameter space completely and avoid the impact of shot noise where too few particles can make model images with identical parameters have different χ^2 values (Kennedy 2020), we use a minimum of 10^7 particles. Kennedy (2020) also includes a proper eccentricity dispersion in their model of the Fomalhaut disk to try to account for the fact that the apocenter side of the disk appears narrower than the pericenter side. Because the HD 53143 disk does not appear to have this feature, we exclude this extra parameter in our models for simplicity. Similarly, Lynch & Lovell (2022) allow the forced eccentricity to vary with the semimajor axis, which we also do not include here but could impact the derived parameter constraints.

In both models, the vertical density profile of the disk as a function of radius is Gaussian, and the standard deviation is given by the scale height H(r) = hr. Given the resolution of the data, we assume that the aspect ratio h is constant and fit for it as a free parameter. Both models are also normalized to a total flux $F_{\text{disk}} = \int I_{\nu} d\Omega$ and include a point source to describe the detected stellar flux, F_{star} , and offsets relative to the pointing position in RA and DEC, $\Delta \alpha$ and $\Delta \delta$, respectively.

We assume uniform priors for all parameters, although some bounds are applied in order to ensure that the models are physical: $F_{disk} > 0$, $F_{star} > 0$, $0 < R_{in} < R_{out}$. To fully explore the parameter space of each model and ensure that all parameters have converged, we use $\sim 10^6$ iterations (100 walkers, 11,450 and 12,750 steps for the eccentric and symmetric models, respectively). We consider the MCMC converged once the number of steps is more than 50 times greater than the autocorrelation function for each parameter. The one-dimensional marginalized probability distributions for all model parameters appear Gaussian. For the eccentric model, we do note a degeneracy between the forced (e_f , global orbital shape of the disk) and proper (e_p , intrinsic orbital scatter for individual particles) eccentricity.

3.2. Modeling Results

Table 2 presents the best-fit model parameters for both the symmetric and eccentric models. The symmetric model is unable to reproduce the data without significant stellar offsets, which makes it clear that an eccentric model is required to describe the HD 53143 debris disk. Figure 2 shows the ALMA 1.3 mm data (left panel) along with the best-fit symmetric and eccentric models (top and bottom, respectively) displayed at full resolution (left center) and imaged like the ALMA data (right center). The residuals resulting from subtracting the bestfit model from the data are shown on the right. It is clear "by eve" that the eccentric model does a much better job fitting the structure of the outer debris disk. The symmetric model leaves $>3\sigma$ residuals on the apocenter (northwest) side of the disk. Both models leave $>6\sigma$ residuals interior to the disk. These significant residuals are clear evidence that there is an additional structure in this system that we are currently failing to resolve with our observations.

4. Discussion

We have presented the first millimeter image of the HD 53143 debris disk. This new ALMA image shows that the disk is clearly eccentric exhibiting the same apocenter glow seen previously in the Fomalhaut debris disk. Here, we discuss the eccentricity of the disk, a potential inner disk, and the dynamical implications of these results. In addition, we compare the structure of the disk as observed by ALMA and HST and consider apparent variability in the star's emission during the course of our observations that could be due to flaring.

4.1. Eccentric Structure

HD 53143 is the most eccentric debris disk observed to date $(e_f = 0.21 \pm 0.02)$ and the second example of a disk in which we have detected apocenter glow. The first conclusive detection of this effect was made using ALMA observations of the Fomalhaut debris disk $(e_f = 0.12 \pm 0.01;$ MacGregor et al. 2017). These new observations of HD 53143 show that this effect can be expected in any eccentric debris disk.



Figure 2. An eccentric disk model (bottom) provides a better fit to the ALMA data than a symmetric one (top). In both figures, panels are as follows: (left) the ALMA 1.3 mm continuum image, (left, center) the best-fit model at full resolution, (right, center) the best-fit model imaged like the data with no noise, and (right) the residuals produced by subtracting the best-fit model from the data. For reference, the dashed outline and star symbol indicate the edges of the outer disk and the stellar position in the residual image. Contours are in steps of 3σ (3× the rms noise of 5.6 μ Jy beam⁻¹) in all panels. As in Figure 1, the gray ellipse in the lower-left corner indicates the $1^{\prime\prime}_{.13} \times 0^{\prime\prime}_{.92}$ synthesized beam with natural weighting.

Because bodies on Keplerian orbits move more slowly at the apocenter than pericenter, theory predicts that we should see a surface density enhancement at this location in the disk (Pan et al. 2016). At shorter infrared wavelengths, grain temperature dominates, producing a brightness enhancement at the pericenter position closer to the star. At longer millimeter wavelengths, observations are more sensitive to surface density and apocenter glow can be seen. Lynch & Lovell (2022) argue that the interpretation from Pan et al. (2016) fails to account for the greater area over which dust is spread at the apocenter position and that debris disks might exhibit either pericenter or apocenter glow at longer wavelengths depending on the resolution of the observations. We note, however, that the spread in orbits at apocenter versus pericenter also depends on the free eccentricity, which is not included in these new models so further investigation is needed to fully explore this effect.

Unlike Fomalhaut, however, HD 53143 appears to have a high proper eccentricity with $e_p = 0.11 \pm 0.01$ compared to $e_p = 0.06 \pm 0.04$ (MacGregor et al. 2017). The proper eccentricity contributes to the overall width of the disk, so the high best-fit e_p of the HD 53143 debris disk could have interesting implications for the structure and dynamics of this system. Future observations with higher angular resolution would better resolve the disk width, confirm this result, and distinguish between different potential sculpting scenarios by searching for azimuthal variation in the disk width. Low e_p ($e_p \ll e_f$, not likely for this system but not completely ruled out by the current observations) generally indicates that particles are on apsidally aligned orbits (i.e., they share the same pericenter position) resulting in a disk that is narrower at

pericenter than at apocenter. This "nesting" of orbits is frequently seen in other eccentric planetary systems. For example, the "hot classical" Kuiper Belt objects (KBOs) in our own solar system share a pericenter position determined by resonances with Neptune but with varying eccentricities (e.g., Malhotra 2019), and apsidal alignment is apparent in the Upsilon Andromeda planetary system potentially mediated by a circumstellar disk (Chiang et al. 2001). For HD 53143, our current observations support a scenario where the proper eccentricity is comparable to the forced eccentricity $(e_n \sim e_f)$. In this case, the width should be more uniform azimuthally and higher-resolution observations would not see a difference between apocenter and pericenter. A more extreme and varied ratio of widths between apocenter and pericenter is possible if $e_p > e_f$. Dermott & Murray (1980) propose a combination of self-gravitation, particle collisions, and close packing to produce an extreme pinching of a belt at pericenter. The ϵ ring of Uranus has a width of ~ 20 km at pericenter compared to \sim 96 km at apocenter, implying a width ratio of \sim 1:5 (Elliot & Nicholson 1984).

HD 53143 is also notable when compared with other eccentric disks because of its width. Our model fits yield $\Delta a = 19.7 \pm 2.5$ au, which gives $\Delta a/a \sim 0.22$. Other eccentric debris disks are significantly narrower with $\Delta a/a$ values of ~0.10 for Fomalhaut (MacGregor et al. 2017) and ~0.14 for HD 202628 (Faramaz et al. 2019). Secular perturbations from an eccentric planet predict that the width of an eccentric debris disk should be $2ae_f$, and both Fomalhaut and HD 202628 are far narrower than this (Kennedy 2020). Even though HD 53143 is comparably broader, it is still narrower than the theoretical

prediction of \sim 40 au given the best-fit semimajor axis and forced eccentricity. Kennedy (2020) proposed that narrower debris disks could be created if earlier planet perturbations during the gas-rich protoplanetary disk phase put planetesimals on initially eccentric orbits. This is an intriguing possibility to consider for the HD 53143 system given the presence of a potentially misaligned inner disk (Section 4.2) and vertically scattered small grains (Section 4.3), which both also suggest a previous scattering event or dynamical instability.

4.2. Inner Dust Emission?

Given the significant excess of millimeter emission interior to the outer debris ring, it is clear that there is a source of dust in the inner part of the HD 53143 system. The two 6σ peaks on either side of the star are suggestive of limb brightening from an inclined inner disk. From the image, we can estimate the potential radius and width of this inner ring to be ~ 25 au (1.4) and ~ 5 au (0["]/_"3), respectively, given the separation of the two peaks, with a maximum flux density of $\sim 80 \,\mu$ Jy. We attempted to include this inner component in our eccentric model, but results were limited by the data quality. By fixing the disk flux and radial position, we were able to return a fit on the inclination to be $85^{\circ+5}_{-15}$, close to edge on. However, because the current ALMA observations only achieve a resolution of roughly 20 au, this potential inner disk is not well resolved and the geometry is not well constrained. Earlier HST observations detected a circularly symmetric excess, indicating the presence of an inner ring. The inferred geometry is quite different, however, with the inner disk extending from 5-55 au (0."3-3."1) and viewed face on (i.e., the inclination of 0° ; Schneider et al. 2014). Interestingly, either a face-on or close to edge-on geometry would imply that the inner and outer rings are not aligned.

Another possibility is that dust from the outer ring is being passed inwards. The η Corvi system includes a hot inner dust ring and a cold outer belt that has been previously resolved by ALMA. In order to replenish the inner disk, the material must flow from the outer to the inner disk. Marino et al. (2017) suggest that a stable planetary system could scatter material and feed a close-in collisional cascade. They also discuss the possibility that grains could be scattered inwards by a planet and then transported through the Poynting-Robertson (PR) drag. PR drag generally affects small grains that do not emit efficiently at millimeter wavelengths. However, an abundance of micron-sized grains can still produce emission at longer wavelengths as has been discussed previously for the extended halos observed toward HD 32297 and HD 61005 (MacGregor et al. 2018b). Future observations with the higher spatial resolution are required to definitively show us what mechanism or structure is responsible for the observed inner excess emission in the HD 53143 system and, as a result, reveal the dynamical history of this planetary system.

4.3. Comparison to Scattered-light Observations

As discussed above, previous HST coronagraphic imaging did not detect flux along the minor axis of the disk (Kalas et al. 2006; Schneider et al. 2014), which could suggest that the HD 53143 disk might be face on with resonant clumps of emission. New HST STIS observations from program GO-16202 (Ren et al., in prep.) show that this interpretation is not correct and confirm that the disk is in fact inclined relative to

the line of sight. However, while the ALMA data are best fit by a thin (h < 0.04) disk, the HST data suggest a significant scale height of $h \sim 0.3$. Unlike every other detected inclined debris disk, the HD 53143 disk shows no clear forward-scattering side in any of the previous HST images that match the minor axis of the ALMA observation at visible wavelengths. In fact, it shows the opposite-a likely absence of flux near the minor axes and an enhancement of flux near the ansae. Given the additional constraints from the ALMA observations, the two best physical explanations for this are a semi-inclined disk with either (1) a large scale height and isotropically scattering small grains or (2) a small scale height and density enhancements at the ansae. ALMA observations trace thermal emission from roughly millimeter-sized grains. HST observations trace scattered light from small, micron-sized grains. Thus, if the modeled difference in scale height between these two observations is real, then the dynamics of large versus small grains are different in the HD 53143 disk. One possible explanation is that the small grains are getting stirred and puffed up by a giant planet orbiting within the disk (e.g., Quillen & Faber 2006; Pan & Schlichting 2012). A significant scattering event, potentially involving the migration of giant planets, could also potentially puff up the disk and might also help explain the potential misalignment between an inner and outer disk (see Section 4.2).

4.4. Stellar Emission

The ALMA observations of HD 53143 were executed over eight nights in 2019 March. Although the sensitivity is not high enough to split the data up further, we are able to fit the flux of the star in each of these eight observations separately. The results are shown in Figure 3. Assuming that HD 53143 is a G9V star (temperature ~5413 K, radius ~0.86 R_{\odot} ; Fuhrmann & Chini 2015) the expected photospheric flux at 1.3 mm is 33 μ Jy using a PHOENIX stellar atmosphere model (Husser et al. 2013). In observations 2 and 5–8, the best-fit flux for the star is significantly in excess of this value. The excess is most extreme in observation 6, when the star is >3× brighter than we would expect in quiescence. This transient behavior on roughly hour-long timescales is consistent with stellar flaring, which has been observed from several M dwarf stars (MacGregor et al. 2018a, 2020).

Serendipitously, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) observed HD 53143 simultaneously with our ALMA observations (the light curve is shown in gray in Figure 3). Although no significant flares are apparent, the periodic variations in brightness are indicative of spot modulation. We expect that millimeter emission is similar to FUV in that it traces particle acceleration in flares, while TESS traces the resulting photospheric heating (MacGregor et al. 2020). It is notable that stars observed to flare in the FUV (and therefore presumably in the millimeter) sometimes have no flares in the TESS bandpass (Loyd et al. 2020). Similarly, Williams et al. (2014) suggest that radio-loud dwarfs may be explained by constant low-level particle acceleration without producing heating in other bands. Because of its extreme southern decl., HD 53143 is actually near the continuous viewing zone for TESS and was observed in 14 additional sectors. We use a Lomb-Scargle periodogram to determine the rotation period for the star to be 9.6 ± 0.1 days. The rotation–age relation for a G9/K0 dwarf in Curtis et al. (2019) gives 10 days as the expected rotation period at 1 Gyr, although some K0 dwarfs



Figure 3. The flux density of the central star varies over the course of our eight ALMA observations, indicating potential flaring. Each point in this light curve represents the best-fit stellar flux density in each individual observation. For reference, the expected photospheric flux of 33 μ Jy for a G9V star is indicated by the dashed red line. Simultaneous TESS observations plotted in gray indicate significant spot modulation.

with 10 day periods are as young as 670 Myr. This is now the best age determination for HD 53143. The previous estimate was slightly higher at 1.1 ± 0.13 Gyr derived using ROSAT X-ray observations and the stellar-activity-rotation relationship (Pizzolato et al. 2003).

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we present the first ALMA image of the HD 53143 debris disk. This disk had only been imaged previously in scattered light with HST coronagraphic observations. Our ALMA observations yield new insights into the structure of this young solar analog, revealing a clearly eccentric disk that displays an apocenter glow. In order to constrain the geometry of the disk, we adopt an MCMC framework to fit models directly to the millimeter visibilities. Our main conclusions are as follows:

- 1. The best-fit model constrains the disk eccentricity to be 0.21 ± 0.02 , considerably higher than the Fomalhaut debris disk. In addition, the proper eccentricity of the disk is 0.11 ± 0.01 , much higher than the Fomalhaut disk. This result suggests that the orbits in the disk are not apsidally aligned with each other.
- 2. Although this eccentric model reproduces the outer disk structure well, $>6\sigma$ residuals remain interior. These could be due to an additional inner disk or dust from the outer ring being passed inwards.
- 3. Modeling of HST and ALMA observations does not produce consistent results for the structure and geometry of the outer disk. Because HST observations trace micron-sized grains and ALMA observations trace millimeter-sized grains, this suggests that small and large grains are experiencing different sculpting mechanisms.
- 4. The stellar flux varies considerably over the course of our observations, with a clear peak in observation 6. We interpret this as evidence for millimeter flaring. Simultaneous TESS observations suggest considerable spot modulation. Using the complete TESS light curve, we have made the first determination of the rotation period for this star of 9.6 ± 0.1 days.

The HD 53143 debris disk is an intriguing system that may have undergone a previous scattering event or dynamical instability. Its unique characteristics certainly suggest a need for future study as a test bed to consider the effects of planetary migration on debris disk structure. ALMA observations with higher resolution would be able to resolve an inner disk if present and look for any variation in the disk width as a function of azimuthal angle. With this critical information, we would be able to place strong constraints on the orbits and masses of any planets in the system.

This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/ JAO.ALMA #2018.1.00461.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan) and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. The TESS data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute. The specific observations analyzed can be accessed via https://doi.org/ 10.17909/t9-nmc8-f686.

M.A.M. acknowledges support for part of this research from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) under award number 19-ICAR19_2-0041.

Software: CASA (v5.4.0 McMullin et al. 2007), galario (Tazzari et al. 2018), emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), kepler (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2021), Lightkurve (Lightkurve Collaboration et al.2018), astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018), astroquery (Ginsburg et al. 2019).

ORCID iDs

Meredith A. MacGregor https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7891-8143

Spencer A. Hurt ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6903-9080 Ward S. Howard ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0583-0949 Alycia J. Weinberger https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6654-7859

Bin Ren l https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1698-9696 Glenn Schneider https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4511-5966 Elodie Choquet https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9173-0740 Dmitri Mawet () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8895-4735

References

- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., SipHocz, B. M., et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 123
- Chiang, E., Kite, E., Kalas, P., Graham, J. R., & Clampin, M. 2009, ApJ, 693, 734
- Chiang, E. I., Tabachnik, S., & Tremaine, S. 2001, AJ, 122, 1607
- Curtis, J. L., Agüeros, M. A., Douglas, S. T., & Meibom, S. 2019, ApJ, 879, 49
- Deller, A. T., & Maddison, S. T. 2005, ApJ, 625, 398
- Dermott, S. F., & Murray, C. D. 1980, Icar, 43, 338
- Elliot, J. L., & Nicholson, P. D. 1984, in IAU Colloq. 75, Planetary Rings, ed. R. Greenberg & A. Brahic (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 25
- Faramaz, V., Krist, J., Stapelfeldt, K. R., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 162
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Luger, R., Agol, E., et al. 2021, JOSS, 6, 3285
- Fuhrmann, K., & Chini, R. 2015, ApJ, 809, 107
- Gaia Collaboration 2020, VizieR Online Data Catalog, I/350
- Ginsburg, A., Sipőcz, B. M., Brasseur, C. E., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 98
- Hahn, J. M., & Malhotra, R. 2005, AJ, 130, 2392
- Hughes, A. M., Duchêne, G., & Matthews, B. C. 2018, ARA&A, 56, 541
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A6
- Kalas, P., Graham, J. R., Clampin, M. C., & Fitzgerald, M. P. 2006, ApJL, 637, L57

- Lightkurve Collaboration, Cardoso, J. V. d. M., Hedges, C., et al. 2018, Lightkurve: Kepler and TESS time series analysis in Python, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl:1812.013
- Loyd, R. O. P., Shkolnik, E. L., France, K., Wood, B. E., & Youngblood, A. 2020, RNAAS, 4, 119
- Lynch, E. M., & Lovell, J. B. 2022, MNRAS, 510, 2538
- MacGregor, M. A., Osten, R. A., & Hughes, A. M. 2020, arXiv:2001.10546 MacGregor, M. A., Weinberger, A. J., Wilner, D. J., Kowalski, A. F., &
- Cranmer, S. R. 2018a, ApJL, 855, L2
- MacGregor, M. A., Wilner, D. J., Rosenfeld, K. A., et al. 2013, ApJL, 762, L21 MacGregor, M. A., Matrà, L., Kalas, P., et al. 2017, ApJ, 842, 8
- MacGregor, M. A., Weinberger, A. J., Hughes, A. M., et al. 2018b, ApJ, 869, 75
- Malhotra, R. 2019, GSL, 6, 12
- Marino, S., Wyatt, M. C., Panić, O., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 465, 2595
- Marshall, J. P., Wang, L., Kennedy, G. M., Zeegers, S. T., & Scicluna, P. 2021, 501, 6168
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in ASP Conf. Ser. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 127
- Meshkat, T., Mawet, D., Bryan, M. L., et al. 2017, AJ, 154, 245
- Moór, A., Ábrahám, P., Derekas, A., et al. 2006, ApJ, 644, 525
- Pan, M., Nesvold, E. R., & Kuchner, M. J. 2016, ApJ, 832, 81
- Pan, M., & Schlichting, H. E. 2012, ApJ, 747, 113
- Pearce, T. D., Launhardt, R., Ostermann, R., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, A135 Pizzolato, N., Maggio, A., Micela, G., Sciortino, S., & Ventura, P. 2003, A&A, 397.147
- Quillen, A. C., & Faber, P. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 1245
- Schneider, G., Grady, C. A., Hines, D. C., et al. 2014, AJ, 148, 59
- Tazzari, M., Beaujean, F., & Testi, L. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 4527
- Torres, C. A. O., Quast, G. R., da Silva, L., et al. 2006, A&A, 460, 695
- Williams, P. K. G., Cook, B. A., & Berger, E. 2014, ApJ, 785, 9
- Wyatt, M. C., Dermott, S. F., Telesco, C. M., et al. 1999, ApJ, 527, 918
- Zhu, W., & Dong, S. 2021, ARA&A, 59, arXiv:2103.02127