

Orange hydrogen is the new green

Florian Osselin, Cyprien Soulaine, C. Fauguerolles, E. C. Gaucher, Bruno Scaillet, Michel Pichavant

To cite this version:

Florian Osselin, Cyprien Soulaine, C. Fauguerolles, E. C. Gaucher, Bruno Scaillet, et al.. Orange hydrogen is the new green. Nature Geoscience, 2022, 15, pp.765-769. 10.1038/s41561-022-01043-9. insu-03858117

HAL Id: insu-03858117 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-03858117v1>

Submitted on 18 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 hydrogen and its combination with carbon sequestration has the potential to fuel a large part of the energy transition without the substantial energy and raw material cost of Green hydrogen.

2 Main

 To meet the Net Zero Emission Scenario (NZE) of the International Energy Agency [1], about 17,000 TWh of hydrogen-based energy will be consumed in the world in 2050. This hydrogen is usually seen as being provided by large facilities using renewable electricity to convert water to hydrogen through electrolysis (Green hydrogen). The energy cost for hydrogen production is related to the splitting of the water molecule into hydrogen and oxygen:

$$
H_2O \xrightarrow{energy} H_2 + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \tag{1}
$$

 and is directly calculated from the Gibbs energy of formation of water (120 $\mathrm{MJ/kg_{H_2}}$ at 25°C – THERMODDEM database [2]). Considering an 80% efficient electrolyser, this corresponds to about 400 Mt of hydrogen, or about five times the amount consumed in 2020 [1]. These 17,000 TWh represent more than the electricity produced by both China and the USA in 2020, about 63% of the world's electricity production, and more than twice the current worldwide production of electricity from renewable energies. Meeting this figure will then require an unprecedented upscaling of both electrolysis and renewable energies.

 Blue hydrogen does not fare better due to the extra cost related to CCS and is probably only a temporary solution [3]. Some authors are even more critical, arguing that the imperfect capture of $CO₂$ associated with methane losses along the whole chain leads to increased greenhouse gas emissions with only little benefits from pure Grey hydrogen $[4]$. Another critical shortcoming

 of Blue hydrogen is the continued reliance on fossil fuel. This last issue also impacts an emerging production technique, sometimes referred to as Turquoise, and which consists in producing H_2 from methane but with graphite-C and not $CO₂$ as a resulting product. This technology is still in development but could also play a key role in the coming years.

3 A greener hydrogen

 The Earth's subsurface is a giant and undervalued hydrogen factory. Long considered anecdotic, the current estimations give a rough estimate of 20 Mt of native hydrogen escaping from the surface towards the atmosphere each year [5]. Estimates vary widely but everything suggests that the subsurface is actually producing signicantly more, and that this value is just the tip of the iceberg. Natural hydrogen is most commonly produced by the reduction of water to hydrogen following the anoxic and abiotic oxidation of ferrous iron to the orange-coloured ferric iron [6]:

$$
2\,\text{FeO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\tag{2}
$$

 This oxidation occurs, for example, under the ocean floor $[7, 8]$, where peridotites $-$ magnesium silicate rocks which contain up to 10%wt of ferrous iron $-$ become hydrated at temperatures between 200 and 400 \degree C by the percolation of seawater. This process, known as serpentinization, also occurs at lower temperatures and pressures on land-based ophiolite-peridotite massifs [9]. Serpentinization is estimated to produce around 1-2 Mt of hydrogen per year [10] at oceanic ridges where it is the most active. However, serpentinization is not the only process producing hydrogen and anywhere water meets reduced iron, there is a possibility to produce hydrogen if the right conditions of temperature, fluid composition and pressure are met (e.g. archean

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 Banded-Iron Formations [11], peralkaline and biotite-rich granites [12, 13]). On land, numerous native hydrogen seeps (usually mixed with methane [14]) have been localized (e.g. Oman, Japan, New Caledonia, Greece, Spain, Italy, California) [15], France [16], Australia, Brazil [17], Kansas [18]). Some are even known since the antiquity such as the Mount Chimaera (Yanartaş, Turkey) which continuously produces a mixture of 87% vol. CH₄ and 10% vol. H₂ [14]. Exploitation of this hydrogen offers then an interesting alternative to Green and Blue hydrogen by completely skipping the electrolysis and simply collecting the hydrogen emitted by natural underground processes. This White hydrogen is already under production in Mali where a well drilled for water turned out to produce a 98%vol hydrogen stream currently used power the entire village of Bourakebougou for the last 10 years [19]. The actual source of the hydrogen remains unknown but chances are that the reduction of iron is involved deep underground.

4 Orange Hydrogen

 It is possible to go even further and go Orange. This color, which refers to the color of oxidized iron, follows the same principles of White hydrogen and looks to make the Earth provide most of the work for hydrogen production. Yet, instead of using a passive approach of exploration/exploitation, Orange hydrogen follows a proactive way and searches to stimulate the reaction. This is done by injecting water in situ in identified reactive formations and collect the hydrogen-saturated water from recovery wells surrounding the injection point (Fig. 1). This approach can also be adopted for Fe-rich mine wastes and steel slags [20] in an ex situ surface reactor which has the advantage of being easier to control and set-up. Additionally, the magnetite particles $(Fe₃O₄)$ resulting from the oxidation process have a commercial value, especially in

 the pharmaceutical industry. However, the signicantly lower volumes of raw materials available for $ex\ situ$ Orange Hydrogen and the prohibitive financial and environmental cost of extracting and grinding new feedstock makes the ex situ solution less attractive than the *in situ* one.

 In situ Orange hydrogen requires more energy than White hydrogen production, but the outputs will be significantly higher, while production costs will likely remain under Blue/Green ones. An average peridotite can provide around 2-4 $\mathrm{kg_{H_2}/m^3}$ upon complete oxidation. With 10^{20} kg of peridotites in the upper crust (top 7km) [21], there are 100 trillions tons of hydrogen to be extracted from the subsurface, sufficient for $250,000$ years at a rate of 400 Mt/year. This is not even considering that over these timescales, tectonic activity will refresh the peridotites at a rate of 10^{12} kg/year [21]. The exploitation of the whole volume is obviously unrealistic due to technical, economic and regulatory reasons, but even a small percentage would make Orange hydrogen a key player in the achievement of the NZE scenario.

 The real game-changing impact of Orange hydrogen, is that the very same formations which naturally produce hydrogen are also the perfect location for carbon sequestration [22]. These formations are part of the deep carbon cycle, balancing the concentration of atmospheric carbon dioxide through its reaction with silicate rocks. This natural weathering has allowed the capture of 99.9% of the total carbon on Earth as solid, stable carbonates [23], but is now getting rapidly outpaced by the increase in anthropic emissions since the industrial era. Matter *et al.* [24] calculated for example that 30 trillions tons of CO_2 can be stored in the Oman Ophiolite (the largest on-land peridotite massif), and 100 trillions tons globally. For comparison, the $CO₂$ anthropic emissions in 2020 are estimated at 33 billions tons.

Springer Nature 2021 LATEX template

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 In Iceland, Carbfix, a company built on the results of several European Union's research projects, has been injecting 72,000 tons of carbon dioxide in the island basaltic formation for about 10 years with great success [25, 26]. A similar pilot injected 1000 tons of pure liquid CO_2 in the Columbia River Basalts near Wallula (USA). Cores retrieved 2 years after the injection presented unequivocal evidence of the mineralization of the injected CO_2 [27]. However, neither of these large-scale pilots considered the hydrogen production associated with the $CO₂$ mineralization process despite the iron-rich nature of the targeted basalts and thus the possibility of coupled production [28].

 The list of Orange hydrogen advantages does not end here. In contrast to electrolysis, where only specifically tuned water compositions can be used, natural oxidation of iron as well as carbon mineralization works very well with seawater [29] or even wastewater, alleviating significantly the water cost of hydrogen production. Target formations can also contain elements of economic interest such as Li, Ni, Co. . . as is usually the case in serpentinite formations [30]. The dissolution of the primary minerals following the injection will release these elements in the percolating fluids, which can be extracted in parallel to hydrogen by fractionated precipitation. Similar processes are currently in use in Uranium recovery [31] and extraction of Li in parallel to heat is being considered in geothermal applications $[32]$. Orange hydrogen differs significantly from the alternatives as it does not rely on critical raw materials as electrolysis processes, and can even produce them as by-products of the main operation.

 Laboratory experiments on the reactivity of magnesium silicates with carbon dioxide have shown that a mixture of NaHCO₃ and dissolved CO₂ can achieve complete carbonation over the course of a few hours to a few days [33]. Carbonation rate is a direct function of the $CO₂$ partial pressure but the rate of serpentinization seems either unaffected $[34]$ or slightly accelerated $[35]$ by

Orange hydrogen is the new Green 7

 the presence of CO_2 . Both carbonation and serpentinization reaction rates are also strongly temperature-dependent, and follow a bell-shaped curve with an maximum respectively at 185° C and between 250 and 280 $^{\circ}$ C for serpentinization $[36, 37]$. Maintaining a high rate of $H₂$ production and CO₂ mineralization requires thus a careful control of the downhole temperature. Luckily, serpentinization and carbonation are very exothermic (respectively $\Delta H = 250 \text{ kJ/kg}$ and $\Delta H = 760 \text{ kJ/kg}$. Once the process is initiated, the desired temperature can be obtained through self-heating with a heat production from the chemical reactions balancing the heat losses through convection and conduction, as well as the cooling induced by the injection of colder fluids $[24, 38]$. This selfheating behavior allows reducing production costs as no surface heating of the injected fluids is necessary, but requires a careful control of the injection rate. Interestingly, some of this heat can be extracted from the recovered fluids in a geothermal-like process and be reused to power the facility with recovered fluid temperatures up to 200-300°C (considering the combined action of exothermic reaction and formation temperatures e.g. 250° C for Carbfix2 [39]).

 Kelemen *et al.* [38] calculate a carbonation rate of 4.10^9 $\rm{t_{CO_2}/year}$ for the stimulation of 1 km³ of peridotite at 185°C (5.10⁶ kg/s of carbonation, CO_2 partial pressure between 75 and 300 bars). Extrapolating their calculation with 10%wt FeO, this leads to a rate of 5 $Mt_{H2}/year$ for 1 km³ of peridotite $(5.10⁴ kg/s$ for serpentinization in the same conditions). In Figure 2 are represented hydrogen production rates from batch $[40-42]$ and reactive percolation [43] experiments. In all cases, measured hydrogen production is significant and approaches the maximum production which can be expected from these rocks. A linear fit of the data gives rates from 0.1 to 3 $Mt_{H2}/km^3/year$, similar to the extrapolation from Kelemen et al. [38]. As a result, experimental

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 data from laboratory experiments support directly the preliminary estimations. Extra research is however necessary to assess the potential of other types of formations for hydrogen production combined with carbon sequestration.

 This means that, while it is not expected that Orange hydrogen will solely meet the 400 Mt for the NZE scenario, it has the potential to provide a signicant part of it, at a fraction of the energy and raw/critical mineral cost of electrolysers. In other words, Orange hydrogen promises to provide abundant, clean, rock-based, carbon-negative, and price-competitive energy to fuel the energy transition towards the NZE scenario.

5 Challenges for Orange hydrogen

 Developing Orange hydrogen faces numerous scientific challenges, which can be sorted in 3 different categories:

 1. Accurate description, quantification and mapping of the resource, taking into account the iron grade of the targeted rock formation and its technical and societal accessibility.

 2. Identification of the ideal pressure, temperature, flow rate, fluid composition for the optimized production of hydrogen and carbon mineralization. These settings need to account for the precipitation of parasitic minerals competing with carbonate precipitation, the incorporation of Fe into precipitating minerals preventing its oxidation and $H₂$ production, as well as the careful control of porosity to prevent any clogging by the precipitating minerals. Parasitic reactions are for example at work in the experiment from Grozeva *et al.* [41] represented on Figure 2. The injection of a $\mathrm{CO}_2\text{-rich}$ fluid mid-experiment lead to a decrease in H_2 in the reactor. The process consuming the hydrogen was however, not clearly identified.

Orange hydrogen is the new Green 9

 3. Field-scale optimization of the injection and recovery of the fluids to maximize the impacted zone and minimize hydrogen losses. This includes research on reservoir stimulation (hydraulic fracturing and chemical stimulation) and potential induced (micro)-seismicity, as well as the microbiology of low-temperature reservoirs which, if left unchecked, can consume the produced H_2 and impact significantly the yield.

 These challenges cover a wide range of scales and disciplines and require a combined effort to offer a satisfactory solution. The first challenge falls within the scope of geologists and economists, the second is related to thermodynamics and geochemistry as well as the physics of coupled processes in porous media. The third one concerns reservoir engineering and simulations, geotechnics and microbiology. Given the scale of the projects, social acceptance will also be a key point in the development of the technology, in particular in the case of induced-seismicity.

 One example of these multidisciplinary and multiscale challenges is the proper modeling of the porosity/permeability relationship during the process. Carbonation of target rocks leads to the precipitation of carbonate minerals within the porosity as well as the precipitation of serpentine, which is less dense than the minerals it replaces. As such, the porosity and thus the permeability of the rock will be a function of the dynamic balance between dissolution of the primary minerals and the precipitation of the secondary minerals. If the latter occurs faster, it is likely that some clogging will occur with dramatic effects on the long-term viability of the process. Yet, the only two large-scale pilots for geological mineralization of $CO₂$ have not evidenced any clogging or permeability decrease, even several years after the beginning of the injection in the case of Carbfix [26]. Moreover, natural settings are proofs that carbonation can be going on for tens to hundreds of thousands of years without any clogging [44].

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 Reaction-induced fracturing, which is often invoked to explain the maintained permeability during some natural processes despite adverse molar volume evolution is the process during which a growing mineral in a confined space is able to generate large stresses on the surrounding matrix, enough to fracture it and open new percolation paths for the fluid $[45-47]$. However, up to date, not only does the modeling of such fracturing still fail to reproduce the experimental observations but experiments of reactive percolation where such phenomenon is expected, usually do not present any evidence that reactive-induced fracturing occurred [43, 48, 49]. The control of reaction-induced fracturing and the overall control of the reactivity and injectivity during the production of Orange hydrogen (potentially through targeted hydraulic fracturing) is of paramount importance for the viability of the process and requires a deeper understanding of the microscopic behavior of dissolution/precipitation as well as a proper upscaling of these processes to reservoir and field scale.

-
-
-
- 6 Conclusion

 We are at a tipping point for the climate, and the exploitation of Orange hydrogen is an additional technology which could prevent a dramatic evolution. Hydrogen is nowadays a controversial energy carrier as it is considered by some as an easy way to transport energy and decarbonize the transportation sector, while others see it as an unnecessary intermediate and advocate a full electric switch. This controversy becomes irrelevant if White and Orange hydrogen are included in the debate. Their production does not require as much electricity or raw materials as Green hydrogen and Orange hydrogen offers also the possibility to store enough CO_2 to curb global warming.

 $2H_2O$ + energy = $2H_2 + O_2$ 461 **Blue** Green 462 $CH_4 + 2H_2O = 4H_2 + CO_2$ Hydrogen Wind Hydrogen 463 **Black/Grev** $CO₂$ 464 Hydrogen 465 S olai 466 CO₂ 467 **KKKK** White 468 Hydrogen 469 FOR 470 471 Orange Hydrogen **Deep Saline** 472 Aquifer 473 474 Fe(II) oxidation, Oil/Coal Serpentinization
Radiolysis... 475 Reactive formation 476 $CO₂ + MgO/CaO = MgCO₃/CaCO₃$ 477 $2FeO + H₂O = H₂ + Fe₂O₃$ 478

Fig. 1 The different colors of Hydrogen. Black/Grey H₂ is from steam reforming. It turns Blue through the addition of CCS, either through permanent storage (e.g. in deep saline aquifers) or through Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR). On the other end of the spectrum, Green hydrogen is produced by electrolysis using renewable energies. White hydrogen corresponds to the exploitation of subsurface-sourced natural hydrogen. Orange hydrogen is a proactive take on White hydrogen and consists in injecting in a reactive formation a carbon-enriched solution. Geochemical reactions lead to the precipitation of solid carbonates while hydrogen is formed and recovered from the fluid.

492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 In addition to energy considerations, the decarbonization of the world's energy mix will require an unprecedented upscaling in the production of renewable energies and the associated batteries and thus in ore mining, especially for Nickel-Cobalt ($\times 20 - 25$) and Lithium ($\times 40$) [50]. Every million tons of White and Orange hydrogen means at least 120 TJ (or 33GWh) of electricity saved. This saved electricity as well as the saved critical metals can be used for more batteries, electric car or wind turbines in order to accelerate the energy transition. If we add the carbon storage ability of Orange hydrogen, we might just have the solution for some of the energy problems of the coming decades.

 Fig. 2 Measured hydrogen in both batch and reactive percolation experiments. In controlled conditions, the production of ${\rm H_2}$ is significant and reaches values close to the theoretical maximum. $RP =$ Reactive Percolation

7 Corresponding Author

 All correspondence should be addressed to F . Osselin (florian.osselin@cnrsorleans.fr).

8 Aknowledgment

 This research was supported by the LABEX Voltaire (ANR-10-LABX-100-01)

```
537
and EQUIPEX PLANEX (ANR-11-EQPX-0036).
```


9 Author contribution

 FO - design of the study, drafting the article and data acquisition. CF - data

 acquisition. CS, EG and BS revisions. MP - design of the study.

10 Competing interests

 The authors declare no competing interests.

[Orange hydrogen is the new Green](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1102556)

 [www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1102556.](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1102556) [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102556) [10.1126/science.1102556](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102556) .

[8] Cannat, M., Fontaine, F. & Escartín, J. in Serpentinization and associated hydrogen and methane fluxes at slow spreading ridges $241-$ 264 (2010). URL [http://www.agu.org/books/gm/v188/2008GM000760/](http://www.agu.org/books/gm/v188/2008GM000760/2008GM000760.shtml) [2008GM000760.shtml.](http://www.agu.org/books/gm/v188/2008GM000760/2008GM000760.shtml)

- [9] Neal, C. & Stanger, G. Hydrogen generation from mantle source rocks in Oman. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 66, 315-320 (1983). URL [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0012821X83901449.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0012821X83901449) [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(83)90144-9) $\frac{\text{doi.org}}{10.1016}/\frac{0012-821}{X}(83)90144-9$
- [\[](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1102556)10] Worman, S. L., Pratson, L. F., Karson, J. A. & Klein, E. M. Global rate and distribution of H 2 gas produced by serpentinization within oceanic lithosphere. Geophysical Research Letters $\bf{43}$ (12), 6435–6443 (2016). URL [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016GL069066.](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016GL069066) [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069066) [1002/2016GL069066](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069066) .
- [11] Geymond, U., Ramanaidou, E., Lévy, D., Ouaya, A. & Moretti, I. Can Weathering of Banded Iron Formations Generate Natural Hydrogen? Evidence from Australia, Brazil and South Africa. Minerals 12 (2) (2022). <https://doi.org/10.3390/min12020163> .
- [12] Truche, L. et al. Hydrogen generation during hydrothermal alteration of peralkaline granite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta (2021). URL [https:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.05.048) [//doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.05.048.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.05.048) [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.05.048) [05.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.05.048) .
-
-
-
- [13] Murray, J. et al. Abiotic hydrogen generation from biotite-rich granite: A case study of the Soultz-sous-Forêts geothermal site, France. Applied Geochemistry 119 (May 2019) (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104631) [2020.104631](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104631) .
- [14] Etiope, G., Schoell, M. & Hosgörmez, H. Abiotic methane flux from the Chimaera seep and Tekirova ophiolites (Turkey): Understanding gas exhalation from low temperature serpentinization and implications for Mars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters (1-2), 96-104 (2011). URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.08.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.08.001) [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.08.001) [epsl.2011.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.08.001) .
- [15] Gaucher, E. C. New perspectives in the industrial exploration for native hydrogen. Elements 16 (1), 8–9 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.2138/](https://doi.org/10.2138/gselements.16.1.8) [gselements.16.1.8](https://doi.org/10.2138/gselements.16.1.8) .
- [16] Lefeuvre, N. et al. Native H 2 Exploration in the Western Pyrenean Foothills . Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems (8), 1-20 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gc009917>
- [17] Moretti, I., Brouilly, E., Loiseau, K., Prinzhofer, A. & Deville, E. Hydrogen emanations in intracratonic areas: New guide lines for early exploration basin screening. Geosciences (Switzerland) 11 (3) (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11030145>.

 [18] Guélard, J. et al. Natural H 2 in Kansas: Deep or shallow origin? $Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems$ 18 (5), 1841-1865 (2017). URL [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016GC006544.](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016GC006544) [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006544) [2016GC006544](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006544) .

Springer Nature 2021 LATEX template

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

- [19] Prinzhofer, A., Tahara Cissé, C. S. & Diallo, A. B. Discovery of a large accumulation of natural hydrogen in Bourakebougou (Mali). International *Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 43 (42), 19315-19326 (2018). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.08.193) [org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.08.193](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.08.193) .
- [20] Kularatne, K. et al. Simultaneous ex-situ CO2 mineral sequestration and hydrogen production from olivine-bearing mine tailings. Applied $Geochemistry 95 (May), 195-205 (2018). URL $\frac{https://doi.org/10.1016)}{https://doi.org/10.1016/}$ $Geochemistry 95 (May), 195-205 (2018). URL $\frac{https://doi.org/10.1016)}{https://doi.org/10.1016/}$ $Geochemistry 95 (May), 195-205 (2018). URL $\frac{https://doi.org/10.1016)}{https://doi.org/10.1016/}$$$$ [j.apgeochem.2018.05.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.05.020) [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.05.020) .
- [21] Kelemen, P. B. et al. Rates and Mechanisms of Mineral Carbonation in Peridotite: Natural Processes and Recipes for Enhanced, in situ CO 2 Capture and Storage. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 39 (1), 545-576 (2011). URL [http://www.annualreviews.](http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-earth-092010-152509) [org/doi/10.1146/annurev-earth-092010-152509.](http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-earth-092010-152509) [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-092010-152509) [annurev-earth-092010-152509](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-092010-152509) .
- $[22]$ Kelemen, P. et al. In situ carbon mineralization in ultramatic rocks: Natural processes and possible engineered methods. Energy Procedia 146 (August), 92-102 (2018). URL $\frac{htps}{/linkinghub.}$ elsevier.com/ [retrieve/pii/S1876610218301450.](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876610218301450) [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.07.013) [07.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.07.013) .
- [23] Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., Louvat, P. & Allègre, C. J. Global silicate weathering and CO2 consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. Chemical Geology 159 (1-4), 3-30 (1999). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00031-5) [10.1016/S0009-2541\(99\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00031-5) .
-

$\operatorname{Springer}$ Nature 2021 $\operatorname{LFT}_E X$ template

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

Orange hydrogen is the new Green

 [42] Fauguerolles, C. Etude Expérimentale de la production d'H2 associée à la serpentinisation des péridotites au niveau des dorsales océaniques lentes. Ph.D. thesis, Université d'Orléans (2016). [43] Osselin, F., Pichavant, M., Champallier, R., Ulrich, M. & Raimbourg, H. Reactive transport experiments of coupled carbonation and serpentinization in a natural serpentinite. Implication for hydrogen production and carbon geological storage. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta , 165–189 (2022). URL [https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0016703721006943) [S0016703721006943.](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0016703721006943) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.11.039> . [44] Menzel, M. D. et al. Carbonation of mantle peridotite by CO 2-rich fluids: the formation of listvenites in the Advocate ophiolite complex (Newfoundland, Canada). Lithos 323 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2018.06.001) [06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2018.06.001) . [45] Jamtveit, B., Austrheim, H. & Malthe-Sorenssen, A. Accelerated hydration of the Earth's deep crust induced by stress perturbations. Nature 408 (6808), 75-78 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1038/35040537> [46] Osselin, F. et al. Stress from NaCl crystallisation by carbon dioxide injection in aquifers. *Environmental Geotechnics* $2(5)$, $280-291(2015)$. URL [http://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/doi/10.1680/envgeo.13.00057.](http://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/doi/10.1680/envgeo.13.00057) [https://](https://doi.org/10.1680/envgeo.13.00057) doi.org/10.1680/envgeo.13.00057 . [47] Zhu, W. et al. Experimental evidence of reaction-induced fracturing during olivine carbonation. Geophysical Research Letters (18), 9535–9543 (2016) . <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070834>.

