

The first Geinitziidae (Polyneoptera: Reculida) from the Upper Triassic Amisan Formation of South Korea

Corentin Jouault, Gi-Soo Nam, André Nel

▶ To cite this version:

Corentin Jouault, Gi-Soo Nam, André Nel. The first Geinitziidae (Polyneoptera: Reculida) from the Upper Triassic Amisan Formation of South Korea. Annales de Paléontologie, 2022, 108 (4), pp.102558. 10.1016/j.annpal.2022.102558 . insu-03895672

HAL Id: insu-03895672 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03895672v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. The first Geinitziidae (Polyneoptera: Reculida) from the Upper Triassic Amisan Formation of Korea

Corentin Jouaulta,b,c,*, Gi-Soo Namd, André Nela

^aInstitut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP50, 57 rue Cuvier, F-75005 Paris, France. [jouaultc0@gmail.com]

^bUniv. Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, F-35000, Rennes, France.

°CNRS, UMR 5554 Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution de Montpellier (Université de Montpellier), Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095, Montpellier, France.

^dGongju National University of Education, Gongju, Chungcheongnam-do 32553, Republic of Korea.

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Shurabia taewani sp. nov., is first representative of the reculid family Geinitziidae described from the Upper Triassic of South Korea. The preservation of numerous wing venation characters on this new fossil allows for its placement in the genus *Geinitzia* and a deep comparison with other genera of Geinitziidae. This discovery suggests that the insect paleofauna of the Amisan Formation is rich and needs to be further investigated. *Shurabia* *taewani* sp. nov. differs from the other species currently included in the genus, *inter alia*, because its vein ScP ends near wing mid-length, its fork of M is at the same level as the fork of R, its RP has five branches, its MA four branches, its MP two branches, and its vein m-cua 'M5' originates from the stem of M and ends into CuA.

Résumé

Shurabia taewani sp. nov., est le premier représentant de la famille de Geinitziidae (Reculida) décrit du Trias supérieur de Corée du Sud. La préservation de nombreux caractères de nervation alaire sur ce nouveau fossile permet de le ranger dans le genre *Shurabia* par une comparaison complète avec les autres genres de Geinitziidae. Cette découverte suggère que la paléo-faune de la Formation d'Amisan est riche et doit faire l'objet d'une étude plus approfondie. *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov. diffère des autres espèces actuellement incluses dans le genre, *inter alia*, par sa nervure ScP se terminant près du milieu de l'aile, la fourche de M au même niveau que celle de R, la RP avec cinq branches, la MA avec quatre branches, sa MP avec deux branches et la vein m-cua 'M5' provenant de la tige de M et se terminant dans CuA.

Keywords Shurabia, Reculida, new species, fossil record, taxonomy

Mots clés Shurabia, Reculida, nouvelle espèce, registre fossile, taxinomie

Introduction

The 'Grylloblattodea' is a group, likely polyphyletic or paraphyletic, of insects composed of the extant apterous order Grylloblattida Walker, 1914, and numerous fossil families and genera of uncertain affinities. To date, there is still no evidence (synapomorphy) supporting the relationships within this group or the monophyly of the 'Grylloblattodea'. Nevertheless, Storozhenko (1998) proposed a first revision and phylogenetic analysis of the group providing crucial state of art and information for the following decade. Unfortunately, his phylogenetic analysis was not polarized (i.e., no outgroup) and needs to be updated. Recently, Aristov (2015a) revised the group but additional work is to be conducted to fully disentangle the relationships within this lineage. Aristov (2015a) notably transferred some former 'Grylloblattodea' families, such as the speciose Geinitziidae, into the order Reculida.

Despite the recent progress in phylogenetic analyses, the systematic of many clades within the 'Grylloblattodea' and the Reculida remains blurry and the current delineations of the families are poorly justified. This issue stems from the fossil material – often poorly preserved and partial (i.e., wings only) – that often consists of a single isolated wing that does not allow for the circumscription of the variability of the venation (a similar problem is known for other Polyneoptera see Jouault et al., 2021). It is to be hoped that future descriptions will bring additional information on the morphology of this group, and its evolution to refine the limit of its constitutive families.

The fossil representatives of the 'Grylloblattodea' including the Reculida span from late Carboniferous to mid-Cretaceous with numerous species known from the Permian and Triassic epochs (Cawood et al., 2022). Similarly, they are worldwide known – even in Gondwanan deposits (Lara and Aristov, 2017), which are often poorly documented – suggesting that they could be used to investigate the impact of at least three extinctions events: the end-Permian mass extinction, the Triassic-Jurassic extinction, and the Toarcian anoxic event (Zhang et al., 2022). However, before proposing hypotheses on the potential impact of these extinctions on the 'Grylloblattodea' and the Reculida in particular, it is necessary to clarify their systematic. Embracing this vision, we describe the first species and representative of Geinitziidae from the Korean Peninsula and provide a detailed justification for its placement. This species belongs to *Shurabia* Martynov, 1937 one of the most speciose genera of the family, known between the Middle Permian and the Late Jurassic, with a maximum of species in the Jurassic (Table. 1).

Material and methods

A single forewing with preserved venation was discovered in the middle shale unit of the Amisan Formation exposed in the Myeongcheon section (36°20'21"N, 126°37'34"E; see Park et al., 2022: fig. 1). The Amisan Formation is known to 1,000 m thick and consists of the lower sandstone units, the lower shale unit, the middle sandstone unit, the middle shale unit, and the upper sandstone unit (Yang, 1999). To date, the Amisan Formation is thought to be of Triassic age but the floral analyses of Kimura and Kim (1984) have to be challenged because of the life span extension (to the early Jurassic or Cretaceous) of numerous genera initially assumed to be restricted to the Late Triassic (Kim and Kimura, 1988; Kim, 1990, 2009, 2013; Kim et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004; Kim and Roh, 2008). The analysis of clam shrimp fauna supports a Triassic age (Kim and Lee, 2015), but the only bivalve genus *Margaritifera* Schumacher, 1816 known from the Formation is possibly indicative of a post-Triassic age (Kim et al., 2015), although a recent phylogenetic analysis of the Margaritiferidae supports a Triassic origin for this family (Araujo et al., 2017). Radiometric

analyses established a Jurassic age for the Nampo Group, encompassing the Amisan Formation, questioning the Triassic age derived from the paleontological evidences (Koh, 2006; Jeon et al., 2007). Finally, the composition of insect fauna, with Titanoptera and Triadophlebiomorpha, indicates a Triassic age for the Formation (Park et al., 2022; Jouault et al., 2022). Herein, we consider the age of the Amisan Formation to be Late Triassic until clear-cut analyses clarify the age of the formation.

The samples were photographed using a Canon EOS 6D camera with an attached Canon EF 100 mm f/2.8 USM macro lens. Images were cropped and enhanced using Adobe Illustrator and Adobe Photoshop CC2019. The specimen of *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov. is housed in the Gongju National University of Education under the collection number GNUE112003.

Venation abbreviations are as follows: C costa; CuA cubitus anterior; CuA1 first branch of cubitus anterior; CuA2 second branch of cubitus anterior; MA media anterior; MP media posterior; m-cua or M5 (supposedly the fifth branch of the media), also interpreted as a strong crossvein between M and R; RA radius anterior; RP radius posterior; ScP subcosta posterior.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: xxxx

Systematic paleontology

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758

Clade Polyneoptera Martynov, 1938

Order Reculida Handlirsch, 1906

Family Geinitziidae Handlirsch, 1906

Genus Shurabia Martynov, 1937

Type species: Shurabia ovata Martynov, 1937

Other species included in the genus: *Shurabia aleda* (Wappler, 2001), *Shurabia angustata* Martynov, 1937, *Shurabia anomala* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia australis* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia bashkuevi* Aristov, 2011, *Shurabia creta* Aristov, 2020, *Shurabia elegans* (Fujiyama, 1973), *Shurabia ferganensis* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia grandis* (Huang and Nel, 2008), *Shurabia hissarica* Aristov et al., 2009, *Shurabia inferior* Aristov, 2015, *Shurabia izyumica* Aristov, 2022, *Shurabia kapokkraalensis* (Wappler, 2001), *Shurabia lukashevichae* Aristov, 2011, *Shurabia magna* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia minuta* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia parvula* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia parvula* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia permiana* Aristov, 2013, *Shurabia postiretis* (Huang et al., 1991), *Shurabia serrata* Aristov et al., 2009, *Shurabia sogutensis* Rasnitsyn, 1982, *Shurabia tanga* Aristov, 2018, and *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov.

Shurabia taewani sp. nov.

(Figs. 1-2)

Zoobank xxxx

Etymology

The specific epithet honors Mr. Kim Taewan, a mentor of (G-SN) and renowned fossil collector in Korea; and is to be treated as a noun in a genitive case.

Material

Holotype GNUE112003 (a nearly complete wing, with basal and distal part slightly damaged), housed in the collection of the Gongju National University of Education, Gongju, Republic of Korea.

Locality, unit and age

Amisan Formation, Upper Triassic, Myeongcheon Section, Seongju-myeon, Boryeong City, Chungcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea.

Diagnosis

Space between each vein relatively broad; costal space broad; ScP ending near wing midlength, slightly s-shaped; fork of M and fork of R into RA and RP at same level; RP with five branches, not pectinate; MA with four branches (three directed anteriorly), distinct from RP along its entire length; first fork of MA slightly anteriad fork of MP; fork of the anterior branch of MA close to wing apex; MP with two branches; m-cua ('M5') originating from stem of M and ending into CuA; fork of CuA1 located near wing mid-length, space between posterior branch of CuA1 and anterior branch of CuA2 broad; CuA1 with two branches.

Description

Macropterous forewing, at least 10 mm long (likely ca. 12-13 mm if fully preserved) and ca. 4.5 mm wide, sides convex; crossveins present between main veins (number impossible to certify because of preservation); ScP short and slightly sigmoidal, with at least 10 branches ending into anterior wing margin; costal space more than twice as broad as subcostal space; R with two distinct branches (i.e., no fusion); RA branches ending into anterior wing margin, first fork of RA located well before first fork of RP, second fork of RA slightly anteriad first fork of RP; space between RA and RP slightly wider near first fork of RA; RP field nearly twice as broad as RA field; RP branches ending into anterior wing margin, first fork of RP closer to MA that RA; M divided into two distinct branches (i.e., no fusion); MA encompassing wing apex; MA fork located slightly anteriad fork of MP, second fork of MA distad first fork of MP; MP field reduced, nearly half the size of MA field; space between M (or MA) and CuA broad, broader than space between R (RP) and M (MA); m-cua ('M5') vertical; fork of CuA located distad m-cua; CuA1 fork located closer to M fork than to MP fork.

Color: colored stripes along crossveins and main branches (difficult to detail).

Remark: The wing is partly preserved and the breaking point along the posterior margin likely follows the CuP (even if the vein itself is not preserved and therefore not figured on our drawing).

Discussion

Systematic placement and discussion on the limit of wing venation characters

Following the key to grylloblattodean families of Storozhenko (1998), the new specimen keys out in the family Geinitziidae because of its wings fully developed; the vein m-cua developed (i.e., strong and oblique crossvein between M and CuA, so-called 'M5'); ScP with anterior branches, ending into C; MP fully developed but medially desclerotized; RA with anterior branches. Additionally, the diagnostic characters of the forewings, as detailed and translated from Storozhenko (1998), are all present in the new specimen: forewing of medium size, membranous, densely and finely pubescent, with a characteristic pattern of

transverse spots and stripes, more or less clearly attached to the crossveins; apex of the wing broadly rounded; costal field moderately wide, usually crossed by simple branches of ScP; RA branches reaching anterior wing margin; fork of R located anteriad wing mid-length; RP forked with branches in same axis as its stem; M divided into MA and MP, with its fork located anteriad wing mid-length; stem of MP (i.e., before its fork) desclerotized; strong crossvein m-cua (M5) present and originating from M; CuA divided into CuA1 and CuA2, both distinct, with three to five branches directed toward posterior wing margin; CuP simple, feebly sclerotized; area between CuA and CuP slightly widened basally, crossed by several crossveins or two-three rows of cells; anal field small, with two-four simple anal veins; crossveins mostly simple, sometimes (exceptionally) forming two-three rows of cells.

To date the delineation of the grylloblattodean families is unsatisfactory, resulting from the lack of apomorphic characters, incompletely documented intraspecific variability, and homoplasy. Nevertheless, the following genera are currently included or considered to belong to the family Geinitziidae: *Fletchitzia* Riek, 1976, *Geinitzia* Handlirsch, 1906, *Geinitziella* Aristov, 2022, *Permoshurabia* Aristov, 2009, *Permovalia* Aristov, 2015b, *Permuliercula* Aristov, 2020, *Prosepididontus* Handlirsch, 1920, *Sauk* Aristov, 2018 (in Aristov and Sukatcheva, 2018), *Say* Aristov, 2018 (in Aristov and Sukatcheva, 2018), *Shurabia* Martynov, 1937, *Sinosepididontus* Huang and Nel, 2008, *Stegopterum* Sharov, 1961, and *Sukhonia* Aristov, 2013.

Cui et al. (2012: 259) proposed a key to the genera of Geinitziidae, and following the latter the new specimen keys out in the genus *Shurabia* because of the following couplets: M with more than three branches; RA branched; M branching on MA and MP before or near the rise of RP; CuA with three branches; RP irregularly pectinate, forming an anterior or

posterior comb of branches. Aristov (2020) further considered that a vein CuA with four branches is typical for the genus *Geinitzia*, while species of the genus *Shurabia* have a CuA with only three branches. Therefore, affinities with the genus *Shurabia* appear likely, but we prefer to investigate additional differences with the other genera currently placed in the Geinitziidae.

The new specimen differs from the genus *Fletchitzia* because the fork of M is at the same level as the fork of R (vs. fork of M far distad the fork of R in *Fletchitzia*), the fork of CuA1 is located near wing mid-length (vs. distad), CuA is only composed of dichotomous CuA1 and CuA2 (vs. CuA1 with four branches), and the lack of crossveins between subcostal veinlets (vs. present) (Riek, 1976).

The ScP curved and relatively short (vs. straight and long in *Sinosepididontus*), and the developed RA with three branches reaching the anterior margin of the wing precludes affinities with the genus *Sinosepididontus* that only possesses a simple RA (Huang and Nel, 2008). Note that on the drawing on *S. chifengensis* in Huang and Nel (2008: fig. 2), the CuA2 is in fact the posterior branch of the CuA1, which is dichotomous. Similarly, the CuA3 figured in the original description of *S. chifengensis* is the CuA2. Cui et al. (2012: fig. 6D) interpreted the CuA of *Sinosepididontus* with three branches but it is clearly a misinterpretation of the CuA1, with two branches, and the CuA2 simple.

The genus *Geinitziella* was recently described from the Triassic of Kyrgyzstan and not included in the key of Cui et al. (2012). Aristov (2022) proposed the following diagnosis for the genus (vein nomenclature adapted): ScP slightly S-shaped. RP comb-like anteriorly. CuA1 with three branches, comb-like posteriorly, CuA2 straight. The interradial space is narrowed at its base. The ScP slightly S-shaped is not clearly visible in the photograph or the

drawing proposed to illustrate the genus (Aristov, 2022: fig 1e, pl. 4,4). Aristov (2022: 266) suggested that the main difference between the new genus and *Geinitzia* is the condition of CuA1 (dichotomous in *Geinitzia* vs. with three branches in *Geinitziella*). Because *Shurabia* species have a CuA1 dichotomous (similar condition found in *Geinitzia*), we consider this difference sufficient to preclude affinities of the new fossil with the genus *Geinitziella*.

The configuration of the ScP, i.e., short and slightly s-shaped, differs between the new fossil and *Permoshurabia*. In fact, ScP is nearly straight and not s-shaped in *Permoshurabia* (Aristov, 2009; Lara and Aristov, 2017). Additionally, the new fossil further differs from this genus owing to its RP with five branches (vs. four in *Permoshurabia*).

Permovalia was created based on specimens from the Belebeevo Formation of Russia (Aristov, 2015b). The genus is considered to be brachypterous (the new fossil is macropterous) and possesses a MP simple (vs. forked) (Aristov, 2015b: fig. 4). The validity of this genus is to be considered as doubtful given the relatively poor preservation of the type material, but we restrain from proposing any taxonomic changes until additional material is found.

The new fossil differs from *Permuliercula* because of the vein m-cua (M5) connected to CuA (vs. connected to CuA1 in *Permuliercula*). The particular configuration of m-cua found in *Permuliercula* is shared with *Prosepididontus* precluding affinities with the latter genus (Aristov, 2020a). The new specimen further differs from *Permuliercula* because it possesses a vein RA with three branches (vs. two in *Permuliercula*) (Aristov, 2020a: fig. 1h).

The genus *Prosepididontus* was described from the Jurassic of Germany (Handlirsch, 1920; Ansorge and Rasnitsyn, 2000). The new specimen strongly differs from this genus because of its RA with three branches (vs. simple in *Prosepididontus*), RP with five branches

(vs. three), and MA with four branches (vs. two). Note that m-cua is connected to CuA1 in *Prosepididontus*.

The new fossil differs from the genus *Sauk* because its vein ScP ends near wing midlength (vs. in distal one-third of the wing for the genus *Sauk*), RP starting before wing midlength (vs. near the middle of the wing), and CuA1 forked (vs. simple) (Aristov and Sukatcheva, 2018).

The genus *Say* is characterized by a vein RA with four branches (vs. three in the new fossil), MA and MP with more than two branches (vs. with two branches), and CuA1 with three branches (vs. with two branches) (Aristov and Sukatcheva, 2018).

The new fossil cannot be attributed to the genus *Stegopterum* because it possesses a forewing without a trace of sculpture (vs. forewing with finely tuberculate sculpture in *Stegopterum*) (Sharov, 1961; Aristov, 2020a). Additionally, most of the *Stegopterum* species have long ScP (i.e., terminating distad wing mid-length), with numerous veinlets while the ScP of the new fossil is comparatively shorter and with fewer veinlets (Sharov, 1961; Aristov, 2020a: fig. 1c, D).

The genus *Sukhonia* strongly differs from the new fossil because of its thin costal space (vs. broad in the new fossil), RP encompassing wing apex (vs. finishing anteriad wing apex along anterior wing margin), basal branch of MA fused with MP (vs. not fused), and CuA1 with three branches (vs. two) (Aristov, 2013: fig. 73g).

The genus *Roemerula* Bode, 1953 was placed in the Geinitziidae by Bode (1953) but this placement has never been revised. The description of the genus *Roemerula* is old and the illustration poor (Bode, 1953: pl. 1, fig. 6). The drawing of the wing of *Roemerula* is not sufficiently accurate to provide a detailed comparison with the new fossil. However, they clearly differ in the length of the vein ScP (short in the new fossil vs. long in *Roemerula*), the size of the radial field (encompassing about a third of anterior wing margin and distinct from wing apex vs. encompassing wing apex), the patterns of MA and MP (both with two branches vs. MA with three? branches and MP simple), and a different configuration of the cubital field.

Cui et al. (2012) indicated that 'the combination of a branched RA and the presence of only three branches of the CuA in the forewing is characteristic of *Shurabia*'. Therefore, the new fossil is a representative of the genus *Shurabia* because its CuA has three branches.

Therefore, it appears that the new fossil is mostly similar to the genus *Shurabia* because its vein ScP is relatively short, the costal space broad, the RA has three branches, the RP five branches, MA and MP have both two branches, and CuA has three branches. The delineation of genera within the family Geinitziidae seems to be mainly based on the configuration of the RA, RP, CuA (i.e., number of branches), and the position of the forks of main branches. These characters are extremely plastic in Polyneoptera and have to be deeply investigated before to ensure their robustness and their utilization in genus diagnoses. The plasticity of the wing venation of Polyneoptera could be problematic and has to be investigated (see Plecoptera: Béthoux, 2005; Béthoux et al., 2011). It has notably been demonstrated that the position of the connection between MP and CuA is highly variable in species of the order Plecoptera, and it is likely that similar plasticity existed for the 'Grylloblattodea' or the Reculida. With some restrictions linked to this potential variability, but based one the comparison provided before, we place the new fossil in the genus *Shurabia*, which is one of the most species genera of the family.

Differences with other species of the genus Shurabia

Currently, the genus *Shurabia* encompasses the following species: *S. aleda*, *S. angustata*, *S. anomala*, *S. australis*, *S. bashkuevi*, *S. creta*, *S. elegans*, *S. ferganensis*, *S. grandis*, *S. hissarica*, *S. inferior*, *S. izyumica*, *S. kapokkraalensis*, *S. lukashevichae*, *S. magna*, *S. minuta*, *S. minutissima*, *S. ovata*, *S. parvula*, *S. permiana*, *S. postiretis*, *S. serrata*, *S. sogutensis*, and *S. tanga*.

The new species differs from *S. aleda* because of its MA with at least four branches (vs. two in *S. aleda*), and its CuA1 forked (vs. simple) (Wappler, 2001). The species *S. angustata* differs from the new fossil because its RA has fewer branches (at most two vs. three in the new species), and a different branching pattern of RP (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 1b-e). The new specimen cannot be placed in *S. anomala* because it lacks the presence of numerous crossveins (vs. present in *S. anomala*), the completely different branching pattern of the medial field with MA possessing four branches (vs. apparently simple), MP with two branches (vs. with at least three branches), and the space between CuA1 and CuA2 broad (vs. extremely narrow) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 4e).

The new specimen does not fit inside *S. australis* because of its wide costal area (vs. thin in *S. australis*), its ScP s-shaped (vs. straight), its RA with three branches (vs. two), RP with five branches (vs. four), MA apparently with four branches (vs. apparently three) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 4f). Additionally, the space between main branches appears to be larger in the fossil than in *S. australis*, notably between the first abscissa of RP and MA, and between the first abscissa of CuA1 and CuA2. The new specimen clearly differs from *S. bashkuevi* because of its RP with five branches (vs. two in *S. bashkuevi*), MA with four

branches (vs. two), and the wide space between the posterior branch of CuA1 and CuA2 (Aristov, 2011: fig. 2b). The species *S. creta* is the only species of the genus known from the Cretaceous period. The preservation of the type material is not perfect, but this species differs from our new species because its interradial field is not widened (vs. widened in *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov.), RP with two(?) (vs. five), MA pectinate backward (vs. encompassing wing apex) (Aristov, 2020b). The temporal range of the two species (Cretaceous vs. Late Triassic) is another argument supporting their placement into two different species. The new specimen differs from *S. elegans* because of its short ScP (vs. long in *S. elegans*), its RA with three branches (vs. four), MP with two branches (vs. three), and the forks of R and M nearly aligned (vs. fork of R clearly distad fork M) (Fujiyama, 1973: fig. 16).

Shurabia ferganensis has a long ScP (vs. short in *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov.), a comparatively thin costal space (vs. broad) the fork of R clearly distad fork M (vs. nearly aligned), MA branches mostly directed toward the posterior wing margin (vs. anterior wing margin and apex) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 4a-d). The new species differs from *S. grandis* because of its ScP short (vs. long), its RP with five branches (vs. three?), and the fork of R nearly aligned with the fork of M (vs. fork of R clearly distad the fork of M) (Huang and Nel, 2008: fig. 3). The new species differs from *S. hissarica* because of its RP with five branches (vs. three in *S. hissarica*), its MA with four branches (vs. two) (Aristov et al., 2009). The new species differs from *S. inferior* because its RA has three branches (vs. two in *S. inferior*), its RP with five branches (vs. two in *S. inferior*), its RP with five branches (vs. three in *S. hissarica*).

The new species differs from *S. izyumica* by its smaller size (forewing 12-13 mm vs. 16 mm) (Aristov, 2022: fig. 1d), the fork of the anterior branch of MA is much more distal

than that of the posterior one (vs. nearly aligned), the fork of MP is distad that of MA (vs. anteriad in *S. izyumica*), the forking of RP not anteriorly pectinate (vs. anteriorly pectinate). The new species differs from *S. kapokkraalensis* because all its costal veinlets are simple (vs. sometimes forked), its RA is simpler (three vs. six? branches in *S. kapokkraalensis*), its RP with five branches (vs. three), the fork of MA anteriad the fork of MP (vs. distad MP), MP with two branches (vs. three) (Wappler, 2001). Affinities with *S. lukashevichae* are excluded because the new species has a RP with five branches (vs. three), the fork of MA slightly anteriad the fork of MP (vs. distad), MA with four branches (vs. apparently with fewer branches) (Aristov, 2011).

The new species differs from *S. magna* because of its smaller size (forewing length 12-13 mm vs. 22-27 mm in *S. magna*), its RP has five branches (vs. at most four), and the fork of MA is anteriad fork of MP (vs. distad) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 1f,g). The new species differs from *S. minuta* because its costal field is broad (vs. thin in *S. minuta*), its ScP is comparatively short and s-shaped (vs. long and straight), its RP has five branches (vs. four), the fork of MA anteriad the fork of MP (vs. far distad), and its MA has four branches (vs. three) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 3a). The new species differs from S. minutissima, at least, because of its larger size (ca. 12-13 mm vs. 5 mm in S. minutissima), its broad costal area (vs. thin), its RP with five branches (vs. two?), and its MA with four branches (vs. apparently simple) (Aristov, 2022).

The ovoid wings of *S. ovata* preclude affinities with the new species (Martynov, 1937: fig. 34). The new species further differs from *S. ovata* because its ScP is comparatively short (vs. long in *S. ovata*), its RA has three branches (vs. two), its RP five (vs. three), the fork of R and the fork of M nearly aligned (vs. fork of R far distad fork of M), its MA with four

branches (vs. two), and the fork of MA slightly anteriad the fork of MP (vs. fork of MA distad fork of MP) (Martynov, 1937: fig. 34). The new species differs from S. parvula, at least, because its RP has five branches (vs. three in *S. parvula*), and its MA has four branches (vs. two) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 2a,d).

The new species differs from *S. permiana* because of it short and s-shaped ScP (vs. straight and long in *S. permiana*), RA with three branches (vs. often more), its fork of R and M nearly aligned (vs. fork of M strongly anteriad fork of R), its MA with four branches (vs. at most three), its fork of MA anteriad fork of MP (vs. distad fork of MP) (Aristov, 2013: fig. 73). The species *S. postiretis* possesses a thin costal space (vs. broad in the new species), a pectinate RP directed toward the anterior margin of the wing (vs. not pectinate), and a fork of MA distad the fork of MP (vs. slightly anteriad) (Huang et al., 1991: fig. 4). The new species differs from *S. serrata* because its RA has three branches (vs. two), its RP is not pectinate (vs. pectinate), its MA with a different branching pattern, and more space between main branches (Aristov et al., 2009: fig. 1f). The apex of the veins RA and RP of the holotype specimen of *S. sogutensis* are not preserved but the paratype specimen provides important information on this part of the wing (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 1h,i). The RP of *S. sogutensis* have three branches (vs. four), and the fork of MA is disted the fork of MP (vs. anteriad the fork of MP) (Rasnitsyn, 1982: fig. 1h,i).

Finally, the new species differs from the species *S. tanga* because it lacks the distinctive feature of the latter species i.e., a long s-shaped crossvein in the interradial field (Aristov, 2018: fig. 1d). Both species further differ because the MA is directed toward posterior wing margin in *S. tanga* while directed toward the anterior margin in the new species.

The temporal ranges of these species are also potential arguments to preclude affinities between each other. For example, it is expected that Cretaceous species or Jurassic species would greatly differ from the Permian ones, an argument already proposed by Rasnitsyn (1982). This assumption stems from the longevity of fossil species that is often a few million years (sometimes more), and suggesting a 'cyclic decline' of species through time. Additionally, even if the similarity of the wing venation is the main criterion to discriminate *Shurabia* species, it is also expected that the other parts of the body may have differed between species (e.g., genitalia). Therefore, superficial wing venation similarities cannot be considered unalterable arguments to group specimens from different geographical areas or temporal periods. Biogeographical constraints such as insularism, or the presence of mountains may result in allopatric speciation not necessarily detectible on from wing venation alone.

Conclusion

The description of *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov. serves to increase the diversity of Geinitziidae documented from Asia during the Triassic period and adds to the morphological diversity of the genus *Shurabia* of this period. Similarly, it extends the distribution of the genus already known from the Triassic of Australia, Japan, Kyrgyzstan, South Africa and Ukraine.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Prof. Alexandr P. Rasnitsyn and an anonymous reviewer for their insightful comments on the manuscript. We also thank the editor Prof. Didier Néraudeau for managing our article. This work is part of the Ph.D. project of CJ.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no known competing interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

ORCID

Corentin Jouault: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3680-5172

André Nel: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4241-7651

References

- Ansorge, J., Rasnitsyn, A.P., 2000. Identity of *Prosepididontus calopteryx* Handlirsch 1920 (Insecta: Grylloblattida: Geinitziidae). Acta Geologica Hispanica 35, 19–23.
- Aristov, D.S., 2009. Review of the stratigraphic distribution of Permian Grylloblattida (Insecta), with descriptions of new taxa. Paleontological Journal 43, 643–651. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030109060070
- Aristov, D.S., 2011. New and little known Grylloblattida (Insecta) from intertrappean deposits of the Tunguska Basin of Siberia. Paleontological Journal 45, 537–545.

- Aristov, D.S., 2013. New grylloblattids (Insecta: Grylloblattida) from the Upper Permian of the Vologda region. Paleontological Journal 47, 751–766
- Aristov, D.S., 2015a. Classification of the order Eoblattida (Insecta: Blattidea) with description of new taxa. Far Eastern Entomologist 301, 1–56.
- Aristov, D.S., 2015b. New Gryllones (Insecta) from the Permian of Russia. Paleontological Journal 49, 1310–1333. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030115120023
- Aristov, D.S., 2020a. New Gryllones insects (Insecta: Gryllones) from the Babii Kamen' Locality (Upper Permian of Russia). 2. Order Reculida and Gryllones *ordinis incertis*.
 Paleontological Journal 54, 132–142. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030120020021
- Aristov, D.S., 2020b. The Khasurty fossil insect Lagerstätte. Paleontological Journal 54, 1221-1394. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030120110027
- Aristov, D.S., 2022. New Geinitziidae (Insecta, Reculida) from the Permian and Triassic of Eurasia. Paleontological Journal 56, 263–267. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0031030122030030
- Aristov, D.S., Sukatcheva, I.D., 2018. New insects (Insecta: Trichoptera, Reculida, Eoblattida) from the Mesozoic of Asia. Paleontological Journal 52, 405–413. https:// doi.org/10.1134/S0031030118040032
- Aristov, D.S., Wappler, T., Rasnitsyn, A.P., 2009. New and little-known grylloblattids of the family Geinitziidae (Insecta: Grylloblattida) from the Triassic and Jurassic of Europe, Asia, and South Africa. Paleontological Journal 43, 418–424. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030109040091

- Araujo, R., Schneider, S., Roe, K.J., Erpenbeck, D., Machordom, A., 2017. The origin and phylogeny of Margaritiferidae (Bivalvia, Unionoida): a synthesis of molecular and fossil data. Zoologica Scripta 46, 289–307. https://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12217
- Béthoux, O., 2005. Wing venation pattern of Plecoptera (Insecta: Neoptera). Illiesia 1, 52-81.
- Béthoux, O., Cui, Y., Kondratieff, B., Stark, B., Ren, D., 2011. At last, a Pennsylvanian stemstonefly (Plecoptera) discovered. BMC Evolutionary Biology 11, 248. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2148-11-248
- Bode, A., 1953. Die Insektenfauna des Ostniedersachsischen Oberen Lias. Palaeontographica Abteilung A 103, 1–375
- Cawood, R., Nel, A., Garrouste, R., Moyo, S., Villet, M.H., Prevec, R., 2022. The first 'Grylloblattida' of the family Liomopteridae from the middle Permian in the Onder Karoo, South Africa (Insecta: Polyneoptera). Comptes Rendus Palevol 21, 451–461. https://doi.org/10.5852/cr-palevol2022v21a22
- Cui, Y., Storozhenko, S.Y., Ren, D., 2012. New and little-known species of Geinitziidae (Insecta: Grylloblattida) from the Middle Jurassic of China, with notes on taxonomy, habitus and habitat of these insects. Alcheringa 36, 251–261. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/03115518.2012.628806
- Fujiyama, I., 1973. Mesozoic insect faunas of east Asia, part I. Introduction and Upper Triassic faunas. Bulletin of the National Science Museum, Tokyo 16, 331–386.
- Geinitz, F.E., 1884. Ueber die Fauna des Dobbertiner Lias. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Geologischen Gesellschaft 36, 566–583.

Handlirsch, A., 1906. Die Fossilen Insekten und die Phylogenie der Rezenten Formen, parts I-IV. Ein Handbuch fur Palaontologen und Zoologen 1–640

Handlirsch, A., 1920. Palaeontologie. Handbuch der Entomologie 3, 117–208

- Huang, D., Nel, A., 2008. New "Grylloblattida" related to the genus *Prosepididontus* Handlirsch, 1920 in the Middle Jurassic of China (Insecta: Geinitziidae). Alcheringa 32, 395–403. https://doi.org/10.1080/03115510802417893
- Jeon, H., Cho, M., Kim, H., Horie, K., Hidaka, H., 2007. Early Archean to Middle Jurassic evolution of the Korean Peninsula and its correlation with Chinese cratons: SHRIMP U-Pb zircon age constraints. The Journal of Geology 115, 525–539. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/519776
- Jouault, C., Legendre, F., Condamine, F.L., Nel, A., 2021. A new stonefly species (Plecoptera: Perlodidae) from Eocene Baltic amber and questions on the wing venation potential for species diagnostic of fossil Plecoptera. Palaeoentomology 4, 243–256. https://doi.org/10.11646/palaeoentomology.4.3.12
- Jouault, C., Nam, G.-S., Nel, A., 2022. *Koreaphlebia* gen. nov. (Odonatoptera: Triadophlebiomorpha): new evidence of a Triassic age for the Amisan Formation in Korea. Historical Biology <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2022.2102492</u>
- Kim, J.-H., 1990. Three new Equisetites species found from the Upper Triassic Amisan Formation, Nampo Group, Korea. Journal of Paleontological Society of Korea 6, 91– 99.

- Kim, J.-H., 2009. Species diversity and leaf form of ginkgoaleans from the Mesozoic and Cenozoic strata in Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society 30, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.5467/JKESS.2009.30.1.001
- Kim, J.-H., 2013. Weltrichia sp. from the Late Triassic Amisan Formation of Nampo Group, Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society 34, 402–406. https://doi.org/ 10.5467/JKESS.2013.34.5.402
- Kim, J.-H., Kim, Y.-S., Lee, B.-J., Kim, J.-M., Lee, H.-K., 2002. A new species of *Leptostrobus* from the Upper Triassic Amisan Formation of the Nampo Group in Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society 23, 30–37.
- Kim, J.-H., Kimura, T., 1988. Lobatannularia nampoensis Kawasaki from the Upper Triassic Baegunsa Formation, Nampo Group, Korea. Proceedings of the Japan Academy, series B 64, 221–224.
- Kim, J.-H., Lee, C.-K., Choi, D.-Y., 2015. Margaritifera cf. isfarensis (Chernishev) from the Amisan Formation, Nampo Group, Korea. Journal of the Geological Society of Korea 51, 357–362. https://doi.org/10.14770/jgsk.2015.51.4.357
- Kim, J.-H., Roh, H.-S., 2008. Organ fossils of *Neocalamites carrerei* from the Amisan Formation of the Nampo Group, Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society 29, 466–473. https://doi.org/10.5467/JKESS.2008.29.6.466
- Kimura, T., Kim, B.-K., 1984. Geological age of the Daedong flora in the Korean Peninsula and its phytogeographical significance in Asia. Proceedings of the Japan Academy series B 60, 337–340.

- Koh, H., 2006. Tectonic implication of the Mungyeong-Jeongseon tectonic line, the Yeongweol Nappe and the Bansong Group in the Ogcheon belt. In: Kee, W.-S. (ed.).
 Mesozoic crustal evolution of Northeast Asia. Daejeon, Korean Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources, 228–259.
- Lara, M.B., Aristov, D., 2017. First records of Geinitziidae (Insecta: Grylloblattida) from the Upper Triassic of Argentina (Mendoza). Alcheringa 41, 207–214. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/03115518.2016.1206323
- Lee, W.-K., Kim, Y.-S., Kim, C.-Y., Kim, H.-S., Kim, J.-H., 2004. A revision of Mesozoic Equisetales *Annuriopsis bunkeiensis* Kimura et Kim from the Amisan Formation of Nampo Group, Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society 25, 32–38.
- Martynov, A.V., 1937. Liassic insects from Shurab and Kisyl-Kiya, Part I, Various orders except Blattodea and Coleoptera. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta 7, 1–178
- Park, T., Kim, D., Nam, G., Lee, M., 2022. A new titanopteran *Magnatitan jongheoni* n. gen.
 n. sp. from southwestern Korean Peninsula. Journal of Paleontology, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/jpa.2022.30
- Rasnitsyn, A.P., 1982. Triassic and Jurassic Insects of the genus *Shurabia* (Grylloblattida, Geinitziidae). Paleontological Journal 16, 77–86.
- Sharov, A.G., 1961. Order Protoblattodea, Paraplecoptera, Plecoptera, in Paleozoiskie nasekomye kuznetskogo basseina. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta 85, 157–234.

- Storozhenko, S.Y., 1998. Sistematika, filogeniya i evolyutsiya grilloblattidovykh nasekomykh (Insecta: Grylloblattida) [Systematics, phylogeny and evolution of the grylloblattids (Insecta: Grylloblattida).] Dal'nauka, Vladivostok: 1–207. [in Russian]
- Wappler, T., 2001. New orthopteroid insects from the Upper Triassic Molteno Formation, Karoo Basin, southern Africa. Acta Geologica Leopoldensia 24, 87–104.
- Yang, S., 1999. The lower Mesozoic strata. In: Kim, J.-H. (ed.). Geology of Korea: Seoul, Sigma Press, 206–226.
- Zhang, Q., Jarzembowski, E.A., Wang, B., 2022. Widespread grylloblattid insects after the End Permian mass extinction. Frontiers in Earth Science 10, 853833. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/feart.2022.853833

Table 1: Species diversity of the genus Shurabia.

Table 1: Diversité spécifique du genre Shurabia.

Species	Locality	Age	Formation	Country	Reference
Shurabia aleda (Wappler 2001)	Nuwejaarspruit (Nuw 111)	Carnian	Molteno Formation	South Africa	Wappler, 2001
Shurabia angustata Martynov, 1937	Shurab II, Ditch 63(8)	Toarcian	Sulyukta Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Martynov, 1937
Shurabia anomala Rasnitsyn, 1982	Madygen, Dzhailoucho area	Ladinian	Madygen Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia australis Rasnitsyn, 1982	Mount Crosby Insect Bed	Norian	_	Australia	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia bashkuevi Aristov, 2011	Lower Lyulyuikta-1	Changhsingian	Khungtukun Formation	Russia	Aristov, 2011
Shurabia creta Aristov, 2020	Khasurty	Aptian	_	Russia	Aristov, 2020b
Shurabia elegans (Fujiyama, 1973)	Hazegatani coal mine, Omine	Carnian	Momonoki Formation	Japan	Fujiyama, 1973
Shurabia ferganensis Rasnitsyn, 1982	Madygen, Dzhailoucho area	Ladinian	Madygen Formation	Russia	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia grandis (Huang & Nel, 2008)	Daohugou	Callovian/Oxfordian	Daohugou Formation	China	Huang and Nel, 2008
Shurabia hissarica Aristov et al., 2009	Kugitang	Callovian/Oxfordian	_	Tajikistan	Aristov et al., 2009
Shurabia inferior Aristov, 2015	Soyana	Roadian	Iva-Gora Beds Formation	Russia	Aristov, 2015b
Shurabia izyumica Aristov, 2022	Garazhovka	Norian	Protopivka Formation	Ukraine	Aristov, 2022
Shurabia kapokkraalensis (Wappler, 2001)	Kap 111, Kapokkraal	Carnian	Molteno Formation	South Africa	Wappler, 2001
Shurabia lukashevichae Aristov, 2011	Lower Lyulyuikta-1	Changhsingian	Khungtukun Formation	Russia	Aristov, 2011
Shurabia magna Rasnitsyn, 1982	Sai-Sagul, Shurab III	Toarcian	Sagul Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia minuta Rasnitsyn, 1982	Madygen, Dzhailoucho area	Ladinian	Madygen Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia minutissima Aristov, 2022	Madygen, Dzhailoucho area	Ladinian	Madygen Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Aristov, 2022
Shurabia ovata Martynov, 1937	Shurab II, Ditch 63(8)	Toarcian	Sulyukta Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Martynov, 1937
Shurabia parvula Rasnitsyn, 1982	Ust-Baley	Toarcian	Cheremkhovskaya Formation	Russia	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia permiana Aristov, 2013	Isady	Wuchiapingian	Poldarsa Formation	Russia	Aristov, 2013
Shurabia postiretis (Huang et al., 1991)	Meixi, Yiyang County	Sinemurian	Menkoushan Formation	China	Huang et al., 1991
Shurabia serrata Aristov et al., 2009	Madygen, Dzhailoucho area	Ladinian	Madygen Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Aristov et al., 2009
Shurabia sogutensis Rasnitsyn, 1982	Sogyuty	Sinemurian	Dzhil Formation	Kyrgyzstan	Rasnitsyn, 1982
Shurabia tanga Aristov, 2018	Sauk-Tanga	Toarcian/Aalenian	—	Kyrgyzstan	Aristov and Sukatcheva, 201
Shurabia taewani sp. nov.	Myeongcheon Section	Late Triassic	Amisan Formation	South Korea	This study

Figure 1. *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov., holotype GNUE112003. Photographs with different colorations. **A**, Normal; **B**, Enhanced with Photoshop; **C**, Contrast of coloration patterns exaggerated. Scale bars = 2 mm.

Figure 1. *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov., holotype GNUE112003. Photographies avec différentes colorations. **A**, Normale; **B**, Améliorée avec Photoshop; **C**, Constraste du motif de coloration accentué. Barre d'échelle = 2 mm.



Figure 2. *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov., holotype GNUE112003. Interpretative line drawing of forewing venation with names of main veins labeled. Scale bar = 2 mm.

Figure 2. *Shurabia taewani* sp. nov., holotype GNUE112003. Dessin interprétatif de la nervation de l'aile antérieure avec indications des noms des nervures principales. Barre d'échelle = 2 mm.

