



**HAL**  
open science

## Revealing galaxy candidates out to $z$ 16 with JWST observations of the lensing cluster SMACS0723

Hakim Atek, Marko Shuntov, Lukas J. Furtak, Johan Richard, Jean-Paul Kneib, Guillaume Mahler, Adi Zitrin, H. J. McCracken, Stéphane Charlot, Jacopo Chevallard, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Hakim Atek, Marko Shuntov, Lukas J. Furtak, Johan Richard, Jean-Paul Kneib, et al.. Revealing galaxy candidates out to  $z$  16 with JWST observations of the lensing cluster SMACS0723. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2023, 519, pp.1201-1220. 10.1093/mnras/stac3144 . insu-03947310

**HAL Id: insu-03947310**

**<https://insu.hal.science/insu-03947310>**

Submitted on 24 May 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Revealing galaxy candidates out to $z \sim 16$ with JWST observations of the lensing cluster SMACS0723

Hakim Atek<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1\*</sup> Marko Shuntov,<sup>1</sup> Lukas J. Furtak<sup>2</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Johan Richard<sup>3</sup>,<sup>3</sup> Jean-Paul Kneib,<sup>4</sup> Guillaume Mahler<sup>5</sup>,<sup>5</sup> Adi Zitrin<sup>2</sup>,<sup>2</sup> H. J. McCracken,<sup>1</sup> Stéphane Charlot,<sup>1</sup> Jacopo Chevallard<sup>6</sup><sup>6</sup> and Iryna Chemerynska<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institut d’Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 98bis Boulevard Arago, Paris 75014, France*

<sup>2</sup>*Physics Department, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Be’er-Sheva 84105, Israel*

<sup>3</sup>*Univ Lyon, Univ Lyon1, Ens de Lyon, CNRS, Centre de Recherche Astrophysique de Lyon UMR5574, Saint-Genis Laval F-69230, France*

<sup>4</sup>*Laboratoire d’Astrophysique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Observatoire de Sauverny, Versoix CH-1290, Switzerland*

<sup>5</sup>*Institute for Computational Cosmology, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK*

Accepted 2022 October 28. Received 2022 October 28; in original form 2022 July 27

## ABSTRACT

One of the main goals of the JWST is to study the first galaxies in the Universe. We present a systematic photometric analysis of very distant galaxies in the first JWST deep field towards the massive lensing cluster SMACS0723. As a result, we report the discovery of two galaxy candidates at  $z \sim 16$ , only 250 million years after the big bang. We also identify two candidates at  $z \sim 12$  and six candidates at  $z \sim 9–11$ . Our search extended out to  $z \lesssim 21$  by combining colour information across seven near-infrared camera and near-infrared imager and slitless spectrograph filters. By modelling the Spectral Energy Distributions (SEDs) with EAZY and BEAGLE, we test the robustness of the photometric redshift estimates. While their intrinsic (unlensed) luminosity is typical of the characteristic luminosity  $L^*$  at  $z > 10$ , our high-redshift galaxies typically show small sizes and their morphologies are consistent with disks in some cases. The highest-redshift candidates have extremely blue ultraviolet-continuum slopes  $-3 < \beta < -2.4$ , young ages  $\sim 10–100$  Myr, and stellar masses around  $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 8.8$  inferred from their spectral energy distribution modelling, which indicate a rapid build-up of their stellar mass. Our search clearly demonstrates the capabilities of JWST to uncover robust photometric candidates up to very high redshifts and peer into the formation epoch of the first galaxies.

**Key words:** galaxies: high-redshift – cosmology: dark ages, reionization, first stars – galaxies: dwarfs – galaxies: evolution – gravitational lensing: strong – cosmology: observations.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Understanding the emergence of the first structures that hosted star formation has been one of the most important goals in modern astrophysics. One of the main routes to address this question is to identify the first generation of galaxies that we believe formed at  $z \gtrsim 15$ . Great progress has been made in the last decade to uncover the most distant galaxies out to  $z \sim 11$ , essentially thanks to the *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) and 8–10 m class ground-based telescopes. The record-holder for the farthest galaxy known with a spectroscopic confirmation is GN-z11 (Oesch et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2021), at a redshift of  $z = 10.96$ . Remarkably, GN-z11 is a relatively bright and massive galaxy ( $M_{UV} = -22.1$  AB), which challenges current galaxy formation models. The existence of a galaxy with a billion solar masses only  $\sim 400$  Myr after the big bang indicates that galaxy formation was well underway very early in the history of the universe.

At slightly lower redshifts  $z \sim 7–9$ , large samples of nearly 2000 galaxies have been photometrically identified, thanks mostly to the

infrared sensitivity of the *Wide Field Camera Three* (WFC3) aboard *HST* (e.g. Finkelstein et al. 2015; Bouwens et al. 2021) or ground-based observations (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2022). These large samples resulted in strong constraints on the shape of the ultraviolet (UV) luminosity function of galaxies at those epochs and its evolution with redshift. Moreover, *Spitzer Space Telescope* observations allowed to probe the rest-frame optical emission of these high-redshift galaxies, which enabled us to constrain their stellar mass (e.g. Song et al. 2016; Bhatwdekar et al. 2019; Kikuchihara et al. 2020; Stefanon et al. 2021), albeit with important uncertainties due to source confusion and nebular emission contamination (Grazian et al. 2015; Furtak et al. 2021).

More recently, using deep *Spitzer* and ground-based observations, Harikane et al. (2022) reported the detection of two galaxy candidates at  $z \sim 12–13$ . *Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array* follow-up observations have revealed the tentative ( $2\sigma$ ) detection of an [O III] $\lambda 88\mu\text{m}$  emission line, putting HD1 at a redshift of  $z = 13.27$ . Again, these two candidates do not align with theoretical models, which predict a significantly lower density of such bright galaxies at  $z > 10$ . While wide extragalactic surveys have unveiled these surprisingly large numbers of luminous galaxies at  $z \sim 9–11$ , deeper observations at longer wavelengths are needed to (i) push the

\* E-mail: hakim.atek@iap.fr

redshift frontier to uncover farther away galaxies and (ii) constrain the number density of faint galaxies at early times.

The advent of the *JWST* marks a new era in the detection and, more importantly, the characterization of early star-forming galaxies. The most important improvement is the significantly higher spatial resolution of *JWST* compared to *Spitzer*, which enables accurate photometric measurements in the near and mid-infrared range corresponding to the rest-frame optical for galaxies at  $z > 6$ . This is crucial for deriving physical properties of galaxies through spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting, since it allows us to account for a larger dynamic range in the age of the underlying stellar populations. Also, for the first time, we will be able to obtain rest-frame optical spectra for these early-star forming galaxies, giving us access to several optical emission lines, which are the gold standards for measuring galaxy properties such as star formation rate, gas-phase metallicity, dust content, etc.

The first *JWST* observations consist of the Early Release Observations (ERO), which were obtained to showcase the observatory capabilities, and the Early Release Science (ERS) programs, aimed at testing the variety of instruments observing modes and to help the community understand and exploit *JWST* data. One of the primary targets of the ERO observations is the galaxy cluster SMACS J0723.3-7327 (SMACS0723 hereafter; Ebeling, Edge & Henry 2001; Repp & Ebeling 2018) which was recently observed with *HST* as part of the *Reionization Lensing Cluster Survey* program (RELICS; Coe et al. 2019; Salmon et al. 2020). One of the accepted ERS programs (1324, PI: Treu) also targets a lensing cluster, Abell 2744 (A2744), which is one of the *Hubble Frontier Fields* (HFF; Lotz et al. 2017) clusters. These observations follow in the steps of numerous *HST* observing campaigns and efforts to use massive galaxy clusters as gravitational telescopes. The magnification provided by the strong gravitational lensing (SL) enables us to reach intrinsically fainter and lower-mass galaxies and thus to constrain the very faint and low-mass ends of the luminosity and stellar mass function (e.g. Atek et al. 2014a, 2018; Livermore, Finkelstein & Lotz 2017; Bouwens et al. 2017; Ishigaki et al. 2018; Bhatawdekar et al. 2019; Kikuchihara et al. 2020; Furtak et al. 2021).

In this work, we use the *JWST* ERO data of SMACS0723 to identify and characterize the most distant galaxies in the Universe. We use imaging data in seven broad-band filters to select  $z \gtrsim 9$  galaxies based on the Lyman break technique coupled with SED fitting to estimate their photometric redshifts and physical properties. This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present the observational data. In Section 3, we describe how the galaxy sample was selected. The SL model used in this work and our SED-fitting analysis are shown in Sections 4 and 5 respectively. Finally, we compare our estimate with a compilation of literature results and discuss the implications on galaxy formation models in Section 6 before presenting our summary and conclusions in Section 7. Throughout the paper, magnitudes are in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and we adopt a cosmology with  $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ , and  $\Omega_m = 0.3$ .

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

The first *JWST* science observations, targeting the lensing cluster SMACS0723, were obtained as part of the ERO program (ID 2736; PI: Pontoppidan), and have been released on Wednesday, July 13. They consist of deep multiwavelength imaging with near-infrared camera (NIRCam) and mid-infrared instrument (MIRI), near-infrared spectrograph (NIRSpec) multi-object spectroscopy, and near-infrared imager and slitless spectrograph (NIRISS) wide-field slitless spectroscopy. We retrieved the data from the `Mikulski`

**Table 1.** Limiting AB magnitudes ( $5\sigma$ ) of the *JWST*/NIRCam and *JWST*/NIRISS imaging data used in this work. The depths were computed using random  $0.3''$  circular apertures.

SW filter	F090W	F115W	F150W	F200W
Depth	28.0	27.8	28.8	28.9
LW filter	F277W	F356W	F444W	
Depth	29.2	29.3	29.1	

Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Both the short wavelength (SW) and the long wavelength (LW) channels of NIRCam were used simultaneously to obtain uniform spectral coverage from  $\lambda \sim 1 \mu\text{m}$  to  $\lambda \sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ . Table 1 summarizes the set of filters used in this work, and Fig. 1 shows their transmission curves. Note that we complement the six available NIRCam filters with F115W-band imaging data from the NIRISS (Doyon et al. 2012). These however have a smaller field-of-view and only cover the core of the cluster.

For each of the filters, we reduced the raw *uncal* files using the most recent *JWST* `calwebb` pipeline `v1.6.2` and the calibration context `CRDS_CTX = jwst_0970.pmap`. In particular, the latest reference files contain updates from in-flight calibration, whereas ground calibrations were used in the early reductions of the ERO data. First, we applied the `Detector1` stage to all files. Second, we ran the images through the second reduction stage of the pipeline `Image2`, which performs flat-field correction, WCS (World Coordinate system) registration, and photometric calibration. Due to significant levels of background residuals in the calibrated images, and following recommendations from the ERS CEERS (the cosmic evolution early release science) program, we independently ran background subtraction on individual *cal* files. In addition, the first NIRCam calibration observations have shown that in most cases the detectors/filters were more sensitive than predicted (Rigby et al. 2022). Therefore, we updated the photometric zeropoints of the *cal* files with recent measurements of Boyer et al. (2022) and G. Brammer.<sup>1</sup> Finally, *cal* files were processed through the `Image3` stage of the pipeline to create the final drizzled mosaic in each filter. All images were registered to GAIA DR2 astrometry and the relative registration was refined using `TweakReg`. We adopted a pixel scale of  $0.03''$  for both SW and LW channels.

In order to increase the detection efficiency in the extraction procedure described in Section 3.1, we created a deep LW image by stacking the three LW filters using their respective weight maps. We also create a stacked SW image of the non-detection filters blueward of the break.

One common limitation for the detection of faint sources behind massive cluster fields is the intra-cluster light (ICL). This emission in the central region of the cluster is emitted by tidally stripped stars and bright cluster members (e.g. Atek et al. 2014a; Montes 2022). In order to reduce the impact of this diffuse light, we apply a median filter to the detection image. We adopted a filter size of  $\sim 2'' \times 2''$ , which significantly reduces the diffuse ICL and BCG (brightest cluster galaxy) light while minimizing residual artifacts. Note that the photometry measurement itself is still performed in the original image of each filter.

Finally, we also use spectroscopic observations of SMACS0723 obtained with the *Near-Infrared Spectrograph* (NIRSpec; Jakobsen et al. 2022) as part of the same ERO program. We obtained level 3 data products from MAST which include two-dimensional and one-dimensional spectra of selected targets in the cluster field.

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/gbrammer/grizli/pull/107>




Figure 1. JWST/NIRCam and JWST/NIRISS through-put curves of the filter set of the JWST ERO observations of SMACS0723 used in this work.

### 3 SAMPLE

#### 3.1 Photometric catalogs

We carry out source detection and photometry measurements using `SourceExtractor++ v0.17` (Bertin et al. 2020; Kümmel et al. 2020). `SourceExtractor++ (SE++)` is the successor of the classic and widely used `SEXTRACTOR2`, rewritten in C++ in order to optimize computational efficiency and parallelization across multiple cores. The novelty and advantage of the code is its support for two-level detection thresholding, flexible multi-object and multiframe model fitting as well as allowing for custom definition of models. Additionally, it can operate on multiple images based on their WCS information, bypassing the need to re-sample images on the same pixel scale. `SE++` has been extensively tested in its performance on photometry and morphological parameter recovery from model fitting in the *Euclid Morphology Challenge*, where it achieved the highest scores among all the tested codes (Euclid Collaboration 2022b,a).

For the detection of sources, we use the ICL-subtracted LW stack (cf. Section 3.1) and its corresponding weight image as a weight map. The background map is computed on a grid with cell size of 128 pixels and smoothed with a box-filter of five pixels per side. Sources are detected via two-level thresholding which ensures the detection of faint and low surface brightness sources while minimizing false positives around bright sources. First, we detect all sources with at least six contiguous pixels and an integrated signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) threshold of 1.75. A second, higher threshold of 2.55 and 18 contiguous pixels is applied, whose main impact is to clear false positives around bright sources. Finally, a minimum area of 15 pixels is applied to clean spurious detections near bright sources and random noise peaks. Detected sources that are close enough such that they have connected pixels above the thresholds are grouped together and later fitted simultaneously.

Measurements are then carried out on all six NIRCam bands simultaneously. Additionally, we perform measurements on the NIRISS F115W-band separately because of its smaller size and shallower depth (cf. Table 1), but using the same detection image. Errors in the photometry are estimated from an RMS (root mean square) map, obtained as the inverse of the square root of the weight


image. The weight image contains the contribution of the sky, dark, flat, and read noise to each pixel. As such, it considers the Poisson noise of the background, but not of the sources. Regardless, given the fact that of interest for this paper are the faintest sources, which are typically dominated by read and background noise, this approach is sufficient. The photometry for each source is obtained using AUTO apertures, as well as from model-fitting. The models and the priors on their parameters can be user-defined, and in our case we use a Sérsic model (Sérsic 1963) with the radius, Sérsic index, angle, and axial ratio as free parameters. We find that this model performs well for the faint and small sources that we are interested in. The models are convolved with the point-spread-function (PSF) obtained using `WEBBPSF v1.0.0`. The PSF models are generated on a pixel scale of  $0.03''/\text{pix}$ , on a stamp with a field-of-view of  $\sim 10''$  (301 pixels).

For the purpose of this paper, we use the model magnitudes from the simultaneous fit of the NIRCam bands and the AUTO magnitudes for the separate NIRISS measurement. The resulting magnitude number counts are shown in Fig. 2 for all bands used in this work. In order to check the photometric calibration of these early JWST observations, we conducted a comparison with well-calibrated *HST* images of the same cluster from RELICS. We measured fluxes in the *HST*/WFC3 F140W and in the JWST/NIRCam F150W images, using the same apertures and the *HST* mosaic as a detection image. The results are discussed in Appendix A and show a good agreement with a small residual offset. Fig. 2 also shows consistent number counts and magnitude depths across the JWST filters. For comparison, we also show the number counts from the RELICS F140W-band.

#### 3.2 Dropout selection

We proceed to identify high-redshift galaxies using the Lyman-break, or dropout, selection technique (e.g. Steidel et al. 1996; Atek et al. 2015; Kawamata et al. 2015; Bouwens et al. 2015). In order to determine the best colour-colour selection criteria, we ran a set of simulations using different galaxy templates. We generated starburst galaxy templates using BEAGLE (Chevallard & Charlot 2016, cf. Section 5) at increasing redshifts from  $z = 6$  to  $z = 28$ , including the intergalactic medium (IGM) attenuation from Inoue et al. (2014). In addition, we explore a range of dust attenuation





**Figure 2.** Magnitude number counts from the SE++ extraction in the seven NIRCcam and NIRISS filters. Also overplotted are the *HST* WFC3/IR F140W number counts from RELICS (Coe et al. 2019; Salmon et al. 2020). The plot illustrates the improvement in depth and the increase of the number of faint sources detected with JWST.

from  $A_V = 0$  to  $A_V = 0.5$  using the SMC extinction law (Pei 1992), which has been found to well match the dust attenuation in high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Capak et al. 2015; Reddy et al. 2015, 2018a). We then computed synthetic photometry in the NIRCcam broadband filters and plotted the colour tracks of these mock galaxies in Fig. 3. In order to mitigate contamination from low-redshift interlopers that mimic the IGM absorption by their intrinsically red colours, we also explore the position of low-redshift quiescent galaxies, generated with GRASIL (Silva et al. 1998) and available in the framework of the SWIRES template library (Polletta et al. 2007), on this colour–colour diagram. These again include several values of dust attenuation between  $A_V = 1$  and  $A_V = 3$ . Finally, we include the last significant source of contamination, cold red stars and brown dwarfs, which can also mimic the Lyman break. We used the stellar templates from Chabrier et al. (2000) and Allard et al.

(2001). With this information in hand, we define the best colour selection window that maximizes identification of the high-redshift sources while minimizing contamination for each redshift range. The resulting selection criteria are illustrated in Fig. 3.

According to these simulations, we select galaxy candidates in the redshift ranges  $z \sim 9 - 11$  and  $z \sim 11 - 15$ , respectively, based on the following criteria:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{115} - M_{150} &> 0.5 \\ M_{115} - M_{150} &> 1.0 + 1.4(M_{150} - M_{200}) \\ M_{150} - M_{200} &< 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

and


$$\begin{aligned} M_{150} - M_{200} &> 0.5 \\ M_{150} - M_{200} &> 1.6 + 1.8(M_{200} - M_{277}) \\ M_{200} - M_{277} &< 0.2 \end{aligned}$$

In addition to these colour criteria, galaxy candidates must also satisfy a detection significance above  $5\sigma$  in the deep IR stacked image, and  $4\sigma$  in the individual detection filters. We also reject any candidate that shows a significant detection (at  $2\sigma$  level) in the stacked image that combines all filters blue-wards of the Lyman break. If a source is not detected in the dropout filter, we adopt the  $1\sigma$  lower limit measured in the same aperture to calculate the Lyman break.


For  $z \sim 16-21$  candidates, we adopt the following criteria:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{200} - M_{277} &> 0.5 \\ M_{200} - M_{277} &> 1.2 + 2.0(M_{277} - M_{356}) \\ M_{277} - M_{356} &< 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the  $11 < z < 15$  selection, all candidates must satisfy the same detection and non-detection criteria detailed above. All candidates are visually inspected to check for spurious detection, artifacts, or bad PSF residuals. We also carefully check the candidates against other potential sources of contamination. For instance, very strong emission lines in intermediate-redshift ( $z \sim 3-5$ ) galaxies can contaminate the broadband flux and create a photometric dropout (e.g. Atek et al. 2011; de Barros, Schaerer & Stark 2014). In particular, strong  $[\text{O III}]\lambda 4959,5007 + \text{H}\beta$  lines are expected in high-redshift galaxies, (e.g. De Barros et al. 2019) which can lead



**Figure 3.** Colour–colour selection windows using the NIRCcam broadband filters for high-redshift dropouts. *Left*: for  $9 < z < 11$  *Middle*: for  $11 < z < 15$ ; and *Right*: for  $16 < z < 21$ . The solid lines, from blue to turquoise, represent the colour tracks of star-forming galaxy templates at high redshift generated with BEAGLE (cf. text for details). The dashed lines, from yellow to red, are quiescent galaxies, illustrating low-redshift galaxy dust contaminants, generated with GRASIL (Silva et al. 1998). For each star-forming template we applied three values of dust attenuation following the SMC dust law,  $A_V = [0, 0.25, 0.5]$ , which are illustrated with the brighter blue or red colors in increasing order to illustrate the effect of dust attenuation on the colour tracks. For the quiescent galaxies, we apply attenuation values in the range  $A_V = [1, 2, 3]$ . The purple circles represent the colors of a library of M-class stars and brown dwarfs (Chabrier et al. 2000; Allard et al. 2001), which also represent potential contaminants. The high-redshift dropouts selected in this work are represented as green diamonds.



**Figure 4.** Examples of NIRSpectra using the G395M grism for two dropout galaxies at  $z \sim 7 - 9$ , displaying very strong  $H\beta$  and  $[O\text{III}]$  emission lines.


to red colors. To address this issue, we perform SED fitting that takes nebular emission lines into account (cf. Section 5). Note also that most of the intermediate-redshift contaminants should be easily detected in the bluer filters, given the depth of the F090W and F150W filters and the observed hypothetical excess in the red filters. Finally, we discard all sources that have point-source morphologies in order to sieve out any stars that might scatter into our selection window. The colors of our selected galaxies are shown in Fig. 3 as green diamonds. Note that there are a few objects selected as high-redshift galaxies in the  $z \sim 11 - 15$  bin which do not completely satisfy all of the colour-criteria. These objects were selected from their photometric redshift estimates with EAZY (cf. Section 5) because they have unambiguous high-redshift solutions and do not present morphologies consistent with stars.

In the present study, we have also analyzed the NIRSpect observations to investigate the spectroscopic properties of the high-redshift galaxies targeted by this program. Two examples at  $z_{\text{spec}} = 7.66$  and  $z_{\text{spec}} = 8.5$  are shown in Fig. 4. These are the first rest-frame optical spectra observed for high-redshift ( $z > 6$ ) galaxies (cf. also Schaerer et al. 2022). They show strong  $H\beta$  and  $[O\text{III}]$  emission lines. The rest-frame equivalent width of these lines reaches up to  $\sim 400 \text{ \AA}$ , which is still a lower limit because the continuum is hardly detected. This means that the flux contribution of emission lines to the broadband flux can reach up to  $\sim 30$  per cent in some galaxies.

Another consequence of the strong lensing is that some background sources will have multiple images. Using the most recent available parametric lensing model (cf. Section 4), we have not identified any possible multi-image systems. The magnification factors of the candidates range from  $\mu \sim 1$  to  $\sim 4$ .

#### 4 LENSING MODEL

In order to compute the gravitational magnifications of our objects, we use the most recent strong lensing mass model by Mahler et al. (2022). The model was built using the `lenstool` (Kneib et al. 1996; Jullo et al. 2007; Jullo & Kneib 2009) software to optimize a parametric SL model for the mass distribution of the cluster. Following the approach used by Richard et al. (2014) for the HFF clusters (Lotz et al. 2017) and Fox et al. (2022) for the RELICS clusters, this model uses a combination of double Pseudo Isothermal Elliptical potentials (dPIE; Elíasdóttir et al. 2007) for the total mass distribution at cluster- and galaxy-scales. The parameters of the galaxy-scale dPIE potentials are matched to the identification of cluster members on the red sequence from the RELICS *HST* catalogs (cf. Coe et al. 2019 for details), fixing the center and elliptical



**Figure 5.** The cumulative surface area behind SMACS0723 at  $z = 9$  as a function of gravitational magnification  $\mu$  (cf. Section 4), expressed in magnitudes. The solid line shows the area corresponding to the NIRCcam field of view, whereas the dashed line is for the NIRISS pointing. The unlensed total survey area is  $7.23 \text{ arcmin}^2$ , and  $2.1 \text{ arcmin}^2$  for the NIRCcam and NIRISS observations respectively. For reference, the observed area is  $\sim 10.8 \text{ arcmin}^2$  and  $\sim 4.9 \text{ arcmin}^2$  for NIRCcam and NIRISS, respectively.


parameters of the potential to its isophotes. The mass parameters of the dPIE profile (velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , core radius  $r_{\text{core}}$ , cut radius  $r_{\text{cut}}$ ) are scaled according to the luminosity  $L^*$  of a reference galaxy using a constant mass-to-light ratio.

The SL constraints used in Mahler et al. (2022) are based on the released JWST/NIRCcam images (cf. Section 2) and make use of spectroscopic redshifts measured with public data from the *Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer* (Bacon et al. 2010) on ESO's *Very Large Telescope* by Golubchik et al. (2022) and with JWST/NIRSpect data in Mahler et al. (2022). In summary, 48 secured images of 16 separate sources were identified, including five spectroscopic redshifts. The Mahler et al. (2022) SL model reproduces all systems with a residual lens plane RMS of  $\sim 0.7''$  between the predicted and observed locations of all images.

The cumulated surface area of magnification according to the model is shown in Fig. 5. We make use of this model to compute the magnification factors and associated errors on each high redshift galaxy candidates. All magnifications assume  $z = 15$  as there is only very little variation of magnification with redshift at  $z > 7$ . `lenstool` allows us to compute a statistical error on the magnification by sampling the posterior probability distribution of each parameter of the model.

**Table 2.** Complete list of our high-redshift candidates identified behind SMACS0723 with their derived parameters.

ID	RA	Dec	$z_{\text{phot}}$	$M_{\text{UV}}$	$\beta$	$\log(M_*/M_\odot)$	$\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr})$	$n$	$r_e$ [kpc]
$z \sim 9 - 11$ candidates									
SMACS_z10a	7:23:26.252	-73:26:56.940	$9.78^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$-18.77 \pm 0.20$	$-1.72 \pm 0.04$	$9.11^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$8.68^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$< 1$	0.22
SMACS_z10b	7:23:22.709	-73:26:06.183	$8.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$-20.78 \pm 0.13$	$-1.36 \pm 0.19$	$10.20^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$8.74^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$< 1$	0.65
SMACS_z10c	7:23:20.169	-73:26:04.233	$9.77^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$-20.19 \pm 0.15$	$-2.14 \pm 0.12$	$9.53^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$8.68^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$< 1$	0.41
SMACS_z10d	7:22:46.696	-73:28:40.898	$9.31^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$	$-19.76 \pm 0.18$	$-2.22 \pm 0.17$	$7.77^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	$7.29^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$	$< 1$	0.55
SMACS_z10e	7:22:45.304	-73:29:30.557	$10.89^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	$-18.91 \pm 0.26$	$-2.03 \pm 0.19$	$8.51^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$	$7.49^{+0.26}_{-0.20}$	$< 1$	0.33
SMACS_z11a	7:22:39.505	-73:29:40.224	$11.05^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$-18.55 \pm 0.38$	$-1.97 \pm 0.38$	$8.77^{+0.17}_{-0.24}$	$8.20^{+0.21}_{-0.32}$	$< 1$	0.39
$z \sim 11 - 15$ candidates									
SMACS_z12a	7:22:47.380	-73:30:01.785	$12.20^{+0.21}_{-0.12}$	$-19.75 \pm 0.23$	$-2.69 \pm 0.16$	$8.14^{+0.21}_{-0.17}$	$7.61^{+0.26}_{-0.20}$	$< 1$	0.47
SMACS_z12b	7:22:52.261	-73:27:55.497	$12.26^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	$-20.01 \pm 0.17$	$-2.82 \pm 0.21$	$7.91^{+0.26}_{-0.17}$	$7.56^{+0.30}_{-0.23}$	$4.0 \pm 1.0$	1.99
$z > 15$ candidates									
SMACS_z16a	7:23:26.393	-73:28:04.561	$15.92^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$	$-20.59 \pm 0.15$	$-2.63 \pm 0.13$	$8.79^{+0.32}_{-0.33}$	$7.65^{+0.36}_{-0.39}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	0.40
SMACS_z16b	7:22:39.439	-73:30:08.185	$15.32^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$	$-20.96 \pm 0.14$	$-2.40 \pm 0.34$	$8.80^{+0.44}_{-0.25}$	$7.46^{+0.52}_{-0.35}$	$2.8 \pm 0.6$	1.17

**Figure 6.** Cutout images of SMACS\_z16a in the JWST bands centered on the source. The left-most column shows the LW-stack detection image (cf. Section 2) and the source partition map. The following columns show the seven NIRC2 and NIRISS science images in the top rows, best-fit model image in the middle rows and the residual in the bottom rows. The science and model images are scaled using a linear-stretch normalization, with minimum value equal to zero and maximum value 10 times the  $2\sigma$ -clipped standard deviation. The residuals are scaled linearly between  $\pm 7$  times the  $2\sigma$ -clipped standard deviation.


## 5 SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION FITTING

We continue our selection of high-redshift galaxy candidates by computing photometric redshifts by fitting galaxy spectral SEDs to the multiwavelength photometry.

The photometric redshifts are obtained with EAZY (Brammer, van Dokkum & Coppi 2008), a Python-based SED fitting code. EAZY fits a non-negative linear combination of a set of basis templates to the observed flux densities for each galaxy. The fit is performed on the flux densities obtained from the model-fitting in SE++ (cf. Section 3.1), converted to units of  $\mu\text{Jy}$  and corrected for Milky Way extinction internally in the code. Sources with valid flux density measurements available in at least three bands are considered for fitting. We use the template set `'tweak_fspqs_QSF_1.v3.param'` that is derived from the Flexible Stellar Population Synthesis models (Conroy, Gunn & White 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010). The allowed redshift range is set to  $0.01 < z < 22$ , with a flat prior on the luminosity. Sources of interest are then selected by visually inspecting all sources with a best-fit  $z_{\text{phot}} > 9$ . In a second

selection step, candidates are required to show a reasonably good SED fit.

In addition, we simultaneously infer physical parameters and photometric redshifts of the selected high-redshift candidates by fitting galaxy SEDs to the JWST photometry with the Bayesian Analysis of Galaxy SEDs tool (BEAGLE; Chevillard & Charlot 2016). This is done to refine our selection and obtain accurate uncertainty estimates on the physical parameters of the galaxies. Because the inclusion of nebular emission has been found to be crucial in fitting broad-band photometry of galaxies (Schaerer & de Barros 2009, 2010; Atek et al. 2011, 2014b; McLure et al. 2011; Smit et al. 2014; Duncan et al. 2014; Reddy et al. 2018b), BEAGLE uses galaxy templates computed by Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual (2016) which combine the stellar population templates by Bruzual & Charlot (2003) with the photoionization code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 2013). It then accounts for IGM attenuation using the Inoue et al. (2014) models and applies a dust attenuation law (cf. Section 5.1 for details) to the galaxy emission. The BEAGLE tool is fully Bayesian, i.e. performs a Monte Carlo Markov Chain analysis of the SED, which makes it ideally suited to determine both



**Figure 7.** Best-fit solution for the SED and photometric redshift of SMACS\_z16a. **Upper row:** Best-fit SED using the BEAGLE code. *Left:* Best-fit SED (black solid curve) with the observed photometric data (blue points) and expected model photometric points (black points) and associated uncertainties (pink areas). *Right:* Triangle plot of the posterior probability distribution of the four fitted galaxy parameters: redshift, stellar mass, stellar age and attenuation. **Bottom row:** Best-fit SED using the EAZY code. *Left panel:* The best-fit SED over-plotted over the observed flux densities (in dark squares). Model flux densities are shown in blue circles. The Lyman-break of the SED of this galaxy is estimated at  $z = 15.88$  and the redshift probability distribution is shown in the *right* panel. Both codes agree on a high-redshift solution with a relatively narrow posterior distribution and which does not show a secondary peak at lower redshift.

photometric redshifts and several physical parameters at the same time while robustly quantifying and combining their uncertainties.


### 5.1 BEAGLE set-up

For our SED-fit with BEAGLE, we assume a constant star-formation history (SFH). While this is a simplification, it is a common assumption made in high-redshift galaxy analyses (e.g. Eyles et al. 2007; Stark et al. 2009; González et al. 2011; Grazian et al. 2015; Kikuchihara et al. 2020) and the results have been shown to not strongly differ from more flexible analytic SFH assumptions such as e.g. a delayed exponential SFH (Furtak et al. 2021). In order to avoid

overfitting due to the relatively low number of photometric bands available for this work, we limit the fit-parameters to four:

- (i) Photometric redshift  $z_{\text{phot}}$  both fixed to the EAZY result first and then with a uniform prior in the limits of  $z_{\text{phot}} \in [0, 25]$  for confirming the robustness of the photometric redshift estimate.
- (ii) Stellar mass  $M_*$  with a log-uniform prior in the limits of  $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \in [6, 10]$ .
- (iii) Maximum stellar age  $t_{\text{age}}$  with a log-uniform prior in the limits of  $\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr}) \in [7, t_{\text{universe}}]$  where  $t_{\text{universe}}$  is the age of the universe at the redshift of the galaxy.
- (iv) Effective V-band dust attenuation optical depth  $\hat{\tau}_V$  with a uniform prior in the limits of  $\hat{\tau}_V \in [0, 0.5]$ .





**Figure 8.** Same as Fig. 6, this time for the  $z \sim 12$  candidate galaxy SMACS\_z12a.

We furthermore fix the stellar metallicity to a constant value of  $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$  since it has been shown that the broad-band photometry of high-redshift dropout galaxies is not sensitive to the metallicity in the very low metallicity regime expected for high-redshift galaxies (Furtak et al. 2021). Finally, we adopt an SMC dust extinction law (Pei 1992) which has been shown to match the observations of high-redshift galaxies best (Capak et al. 2015; Reddy et al. 2015, 2018a), in particular in the low-metallicity range that we are probing (Shivaei et al. 2020).

The SED fit is run on all six broad-band filters without taking the gravitational magnification into account yet, in order to avoid including the uncertainties of magnification in the SED fit. Instead, we correct the stellar mass for magnification after the fit following our approach in Furtak et al. (2021). Note though that the magnifications of our objects are not very high,  $\mu \sim 1 - 4$ .

## 5.2 Results

The final sample of high-redshift candidates consists of a total of six galaxies in the redshift range  $9 < z < 11$ , two galaxies at  $11 < z < 15$ , and two galaxies at  $z > 15$ . All sources are new identifications, since Salmon et al. (2020) only reported galaxies below  $z \sim 8$  in this field. The complete results for our full sample are reported in Table 2.


Our highest redshift galaxy, SMACS\_z16a, is consistently identified by the dropout selection and the two SED fitting procedures, as shown in Fig. 7. The photometric redshifts derived by both codes (cf. Section 5) are in excellent agreement:  $z = 15.92^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$  and  $z = 15.89 \pm 0.43$  for BEAGLE and EAZY, respectively. This places the galaxy just  $\sim 250$  Myr after the big bang. The galaxy exhibits an extremely blue UV continuum slope of  $\beta = -2.63 \pm 0.13$  which is consistent with a young and low-attenuation galaxy (cf. Fig. 7). We note in general that no template in EAZY is able to match the very blue UV continuum, whereas BEAGLE allows for more flexibility in this range of galaxy templates. To obtain a more accurate estimate of the physical properties of our galaxies, we ran a second round of SED fitting with BEAGLE using a prior in redshift from the PDF of EAZY (cf. Section 5.1). We derive a stellar mass of  $\log(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) = 8.79^{+0.32}_{-0.33}$  and a stellar age of  $\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr}) = 7.65^{+0.36}_{-0.39}$  for SMACS\_z16a. This galaxy is also very compact, as can be seen in Fig. 6, with an estimated size of  $\sim 0.4$  kpc based on the model fitting of SE + + (cf. Section 3.1) and after correcting for the lensing distortion.

In the next lower redshift range, one of the two most robust candidates, SMACS\_z12a, is presented in Fig. 8. Again, the source is well detected in all four filters and shows a clear continuum break. As before, there is a good agreement between the two photometric redshifts  $z = 12.20^{+0.21}_{-0.12}$  (BEAGLE) and  $z = 12.11 \pm 0.19$  (EAZY). The PDF from EAZY is broader than the BEAGLE one, because of the challenge in matching the blue colors ( $\beta \sim -2.60 \pm 0.32$ ) of this object (Fig. 9). This source is also among the highest-redshift candidates identified photometrically in the literature (e.g. Harikane et al. 2022; Naidu et al. 2022; Castellano et al. 2022), around 360 Myr after the big bang. The derived parameters are very similar to SMACS\_z16a, with a stellar mass of  $\log(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) = 8.14^{+0.21}_{-0.17}$ , and an age of  $\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr}) = 7.61^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$ .

In the lowest redshift range,  $9 < z < 11$ , some sources around  $z \sim 10$  show indication of a Balmer break as a clear excess in the F444W-band. An example is shown in Fig. 10, where flux excess is observed relative to a flat continuum in the bluer bands. This could indicate that an evolved stellar population was already in place at those early epochs, which is confirmed by the best-fit SED with a stellar age around  $\sim 400$  Myr. An example for our  $9 < z < 11$  objects is shown in Appendix B. Of course, a stronger contribution from rest-frame optical emission lines is also possible, although this alternative does not yield the best-fit solution.

## 6 DISCUSSION

While observing through a lensing cluster offers invaluable flux amplification, it also results in a smaller survey area in the source plane. The field-of-view in the NIRCcam image plane is about  $9.7 \text{ arcmin}^2$ , which translates into  $7.23 \text{ arcmin}^2$  in the source plane after de-lensing. Although the area reduction is still reasonable (because one of the NIRCcam modules is less affected by magnification), wide-area surveys are more prone to uncover rare and bright high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Roberts-Borsani et al. 2016; Oesch et al. 2016; Bowler et al. 2020; Harikane et al. 2022), while lensing-assisted surveys are sensitive to the fainter population. While some of our sources are relatively bright, they all have luminosities around, or below, the characteristic luminosity  $M^{*}$  at  $z \sim 9-10$  (Oesch et al. 2018; Bouwens et al. 2021). The highest redshift candidate in our sample, SMACS\_z16a, has an intrinsic UV magnitude of  $M_{\text{UV}} = -20.59 \pm 0.15$ , which is fainter than previous very high redshift candidates Gn\_z11 and HD1, and roughly similar to the recently




**Figure 9.** Same as Fig. 7, here showing the  $z \sim 12$  galaxy candidate SMACS\_z12a.

discovered candidate GLz13 (Naidu et al. 2022). Moreover, the yield of the galaxy hunt presented in this paper is surprisingly high, with the discovery of 2 candidates at  $z \sim 16$ , 2 candidates at  $z \sim 12$ , and 6 candidates at  $z = 10 - 11$ . In Fig. 11, we put these discoveries in the context of known high-redshift galaxies and compare our candidates to the published samples of galaxies at  $z > 8$ . Not only do these results demonstrate the exceptional capabilities of JWST, but they also suggest a higher number density than predicted by an extrapolation of the  $z \sim 9-10$  luminosity function (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2021) and theoretical predictions (e.g. Ocvirk et al. 2020; Dayal et al. 2022). Some early theoretical interpretations suggest that these observations could be compatible with a total absence of dust in these galaxies, as their very blue UV slopes might also indicate (Ziparo et al. 2022). The evolution of the UV luminosity function observed through lensing clusters will be the scope of a future paper.

The inferred age of SMACS\_z16a indicates a recent formation history, which points to a rapid stellar mass assembly in this galaxy. In the context of early galaxy formation, one would expect the first galaxies to more resemble SMACS\_z16a in terms of physical

parameters, than the bright and uncommon galaxies discussed earlier. As we are closing in on the formation epoch of the first galaxies, it will become increasingly challenging to find such evolved and relatively massive objects. Perhaps upcoming and future deep surveys through gravitational lenses will offer the best route to unveil these smallest structures. This first JWST cycle already includes several ERS, General Observer (GO), and Guaranteed Time (GTO) programs that target lensing clusters using different observing modes.

Another interesting finding is the small sizes of these galaxies. For instance, SMACS\_z16a has an effective radius of  $r_e = 0.4$  kpc, which is consistent with recent size measurement of high-redshift galaxies (Kawamata et al. 2018; Adams et al. 2022). More importantly, the Sérsic index, derived from the  $\text{SE}++$  modeling and source-plane reconstruction, hints to a disk-like morphology. We measured  $n = 1.1 \pm 0.2$  for SMACS\_z16a, which corresponds to a disk-like profile, although there is a real possibility that we are detecting only the brightest star-forming region in the galaxy. Such a morphology was also observed for GLz13 (Naidu et al. 2022), as well as in several other high-redshift candidates reported in Adams et al. (2022). The



**Figure 10.** Example of a galaxy candidate, SMACS\_z10c, at  $z \simeq 9.76 \pm 0.01$  showing an excess in the F444W-band. The best-fit SED (same legend as Fig. 7) shows the presence of a Balmer break around  $4.5 \mu\text{m}$ .

existence of well settled disks at early epochs would provide strong constraints on theoretical models of galaxy formation.


While the nature of these ERO observations implies potential sources of uncertainties, we performed photometric quality verifications, and also combined multiple selection methods to build our sample of high-redshift sources. The dropout selection and the photometric redshifts from two independent codes significantly increase the robustness of the candidates. In the near future, deeper imaging in the short wavelength range will bring additional confirmation to these candidates, in addition to follow-up spectroscopy, e.g with NIRSpc, which will provide definitive redshifts.

## 7 SUMMARY

In this paper, we presented our search for very high-redshift galaxies in the first JWST observations of the lensing cluster SMACS 0723. We report the discovery of the highest redshift candidate known to date at  $z \sim 16$ . We also discover two candidates at  $z \sim 12$ , and 11 candidates at  $z \sim 10$ –11.

We have used 6 NIRCcam broadband filters, and one NIRISS filter, between  $\sim 0.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $5 \mu\text{m}$  to identify Lyman break galaxies in the redshift ranges  $9 < z < 11$ ,  $11 < z < 15$ , and  $16 < z < 21$ . We combined the dropout selection with SED-fitting to obtain accurate photometric redshifts using two different codes, BEAGLE and EAZY. We also simultaneously derive the physical properties of these galaxies. Our final sample is based on all candidates that satisfy the three selection methods and whose photometric redshifts from the two SED fits are in agreement. To determine the intrinsic luminosity of galaxies and account for potential multiple images, we use the one of the latest lensing models of SMACS 0723 produced from the same JWST data set in a companion paper (Mahler et al. 2022). The measured amplification factors range from 1 to  $\sim 4$ , which gives us a lensing boost up to 1.5 magnitudes in the best case.

With an intrinsic UV luminosity of  $M_{\text{UV}} = -20.59 \pm 0.15$ , we find that SMACS\_z16a is fainter than typical  $z > 10$  galaxies in the literature and close to the characteristic luminosity  $M^*$  at  $z \sim 10$ . The best-fit SED of SMACS\_z16a is compatible with a young age of  $\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr}) = 7.65^{+0.36}_{-0.39}$  and a stellar mass of  $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 8.79^{+0.32}_{-0.33}$ . The bulk of its stellar mass build-up took place in the last 20 Myr. This galaxy, like some other candidates, shows a very blue UV continuum-slope of  $\beta = -2.63 \pm 0.13$  consistent with young ages. In general, the galaxy candidates, from  $\sim 10$  to  $z \sim 16$ , have ages in the range  $\log(t_{\text{age}}/\text{yr}) \simeq 7.3 - 8.7$ , and stellar



**Figure 11.** Absolute UV magnitude  $M_{\text{UV}}$  as a function of redshift for the high-redshift candidates in this work, along with a compilation of known galaxies at  $z > 8$ . The candidates in this work are shown as orange filled hexagons, while empty hexagon marks the less secure candidate. The empty purple pentagons show the recently identified candidates from JWST in the GLASS parallel field by Naidu et al. (2022). The empty blue circles show photometric-redshift galaxies, while the solid circles represent spectroscopically confirmed galaxies compiled from Bouwens et al. (2022).

masses of  $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \sim 7.8\text{--}10.2$ . Our source extraction and photometry procedure with SE++ also provide morphological measurements, since it relies on model-fitting. The measured sizes were reconstructed back to the lens plane using `lenstool` to determine the intrinsic effective radius and the Sérsic index of the sources. Our measurements indicate very compact sources, with  $r_e$  below 1 kpc for most of the candidates. Some of these sources also have Sérsic indices compatible with disk-like a morphology. If true, it is surprising, for a galaxy like SMACS\_z16a, to evolve so quickly in the span of less than  $\sim 250$  Myr.

The discovery of one, possibly two, galaxy candidates only  $\sim 250$  Myr after the big bang, together with eight new candidates at  $9 < z < 13$ , announces great discoveries awaiting in the deep extragalactic fields that will be observed by JWST. The number density, the physical properties and the morphologies of these galaxies will be extremely valuable in constraining hydrodynamical simulations of galaxy formation in a cosmological context.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Gabriel Brammer for the GRIZLI reduction notebooks. HA acknowledges support from CNES. LF and AZ acknowledge support by Grant No. 2020750 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) and Grant No. 2109066 from the United States National Science Foundation (NSF). AZ acknowledges support by the Ministry of Science & Technology, Israel.

This work is based on observations obtained with the NASA/ESA/CSA JWST and the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope (HST), retrieved from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. This work has made use of the CANDIDE Cluster at the Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris (IAP), made possible by grants from the PNCG and the region of Ile de France through the program DIM-ACAV+.s

The JWST Early Release Observations (ERO) and associated materials were developed, executed, and compiled by the ERO production team: Hannah Braun, Claire Blome, Matthew Brown, Margaret Carruthers, Dan Coe, Joseph DePasquale, Nestor Espinoza, Macarena Garcia Marin, Karl Gordon, Alaina Henry, Leah Hustak, Andi James, Ann Jenkins, Anton Koekemoer, Stephanie LaMassa, David Law, Alexandra Lockwood, Amaya Moro-Martin, Susan Mullally, Alyssa Pagan, Dani Player, Klaus Pontoppidan, Charles Proffitt, Christine Pulliam, Leah Ramsay, Swara Ravindranath, Neill Reid, Massimo Robberto, Elena Sabbi, Leonardo Ubeda. The EROs were also made possible by the foundational efforts and support from the JWST instruments, STScI planning and scheduling, and Data Management teams.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are publicly available on the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes<sup>2</sup> (MAST), under program ID 2736.

## REFERENCES

Adams N. J. et al., 2022, preprint ([arXiv:2207.11217](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11217))  
Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., Alexander D. R., Tamanai A., Schweitzer A., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 357

Atek H. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 121  
Atek H. et al., 2014a, *ApJ*, 786, 60  
Atek H. et al., 2014b, *ApJ*, 789, 96  
Atek H. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 18  
Atek H., Richard J., Kneib J.-P., Schaerer D., 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 5184  
Bacon R. et al., 2010, in McLean I. S., Ramsay S. K., Takami H., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 7735, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy III. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 773508  
Bertin E., Schefer M., Apostolakis N., Álvarez-Ayllón A., Dubath P., Kummel M., 2020, in Pizzo R., Deul E. R., Mol J. D., de Plaa J., Verkouter H., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 527, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIX. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 461  
Bhatavdekar R., Conselice C. J., Margalef-Bentabol B., Duncan K., 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 3805  
Bouwens R. J. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 803, 34  
Bouwens R. J., Oesch P. A., Illingworth G. D., Ellis R. S., Stefanon M., 2017, *ApJ*, 843, 129  
Bouwens R. J. et al., 2021, *AJ*, 162, 47  
Bouwens R. J. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 931, 160  
Bowler R. A. A., Jarvis M. J., Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., McLeod D. J., Adams N. J., Milvang-Jensen B., McCracken H. J., 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 2059  
Boyer M. L. et al., 2022, *RNAAS*, 6, 191  
Brammer G. B., van Dokkum P. G., Coppi P., 2008, *ApJ*, 686, 1503  
Bruzual A. G., Charlot S., 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 538  
Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000  
Capak P. L. et al., 2015, *Nature*, 522, 455  
Castellano M. et al. 2022, *ApJL*, 938, L15  
Chabrier G., Baraffe I., Allard F., Hauschildt P., 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 464  
Chevallard J., Charlot S., 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 1415  
Coe D. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 884, 85  
Conroy C., Gunn J. E., 2010, *ApJ*, 712, 833  
Conroy C., Gunn J. E., White M., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 486  
Dayal P. et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, 512, 989  
de Barros S., Schaerer D., Stark D. P., 2014, *A&A*, 563, A81  
De Barros S., Oesch P. A., Labbé I., Stefanon M., González V., Smit R., Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G. D., 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 2355  
Doyon R. et al., 2012, in Clampin M. C., Fazio G. G., MacEwen H. A., Oschmann J. M. J., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 8442, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2012: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 84422R  
Duncan K. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 2960  
Ebeling H., Edge A. C., Henry J. P., 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 668  
Elíasdóttir Á. et al., 2007, preprint ([arXiv:0710.5636](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.5636))  
Euclid Collaboration, 2022a, preprint ([arXiv:2209.12906](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.12906))  
Euclid Collaboration, 2022b, preprint ([arXiv:2209.12907](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.12907))  
Eyles L. P., Bunker A. J., Ellis R. S., Lacy M., Stanway E. R., Stark D. P., Chiu K., 2007, *MNRAS*, 374, 910  
Ferland G. J. et al., 2013, *RMxAA*, 49, 137  
Finkelstein S. L. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 71  
Fox C., Mahler G., Sharon K., Remolina González J. D., 2022, *ApJ*, 928, 87  
Furtak L. J., Atek H., Lehnert M. D., Chevallard J., Charlot S., 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 1568  
Golubchik M., Furtak L. J., Meena A. K., Zitrin A., 2022, *ApJ*, 938, 14  
González V., Labbé I., Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G., Franx M., Kriek M., 2011, *ApJ*, 735, L34  
Grazian A. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 575, A96  
Gutkin J., Charlot S., Bruzual G., 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 1757  
Harikane Y. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 929, 1  
Inoue A. K., Shimizu I., Iwata I., Tanaka M., 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 1805  
Ishigaki M., Kawamata R., Ouchi M., Oguri M., Shimasaku K., Ono Y., 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 73  
Jakobsen P. et al., 2022, *A&A*, 661, A80  
Jiang L. et al., 2021, *Nature Astron.*, 5, 256  
Jullo E., Kneib J.-P., 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 1319  
Jullo E., Kneib J.-P., Limousin M., Elíasdóttir Á., Marshall P. J., Verdugo T., 2007, *New J. Phys.*, 9, 447  
Kauffmann O. B. et al., 2022, *A&A*, 667, 65

<sup>2</sup><https://archive.stsci.edu/>




- Kawamata R., Ishigaki M., Shimasaku K., Oguri M., Ouchi M., 2015, *ApJ*, 804, 103
- Kawamata R., Ishigaki M., Shimasaku K., Oguri M., Ouchi M., Tanigawa S., 2018, *ApJ*, 855, 4
- Kikuchihara S. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 893, 60
- Kinney A. L., Calzetti D., Bohlin R. C., McQuade K., Storchi-Bergmann T., Schmitt H. R., 1996, *ApJ*, 467, 38
- Kneib J. P., Ellis R. S., Smail I., Couch W. J., Sharples R. M., 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 643
- Kümmel M., Bertin E., Schefer M., Apostolakis N., Álvarez-Ayllón A., Dubath P., 2020, in Pizzo R., Deul E. R., Mol J. D., de Plaa J., Verkouter H., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 527, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIX*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 29
- Livermore R. C., Finkelstein S. L., Lotz J. M., 2017, *ApJ*, 835, 113
- Lotz J. M. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 837, 97
- Mahler G. et al., 2022, preprint ([arXiv:2207.07101](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.07101))
- McLure R. J. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 2074
- Montes M., 2022, *Nature Astron.*, 6, 308
- Naidu R. P. et al., 2022, preprint ([arXiv:2207.09434](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.09434))
- Ocvirk P. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 496, 4087
- Oesch P. A. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 129
- Oesch P. A., Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G. D., Labbé I., Stefanon M., 2018, *ApJ*, 855, 105
- Oke J. B., Gunn J. E., 1983, *ApJ*, 266, 713
- Pei Y. C., 1992, *ApJ*, 395, 130
- Polletta M. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 663, 81
- Reddy N. A. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 259
- Reddy N. A. et al., 2018a, *ApJ*, 853, 56
- Reddy N. A. et al., 2018b, *ApJ*, 869, 92
- Repp A., Ebeling H., 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 844
- Richard J. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 268
- Rigby J. et al., 2022, preprint ([arXiv:2207.05632](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.05632))
- Roberts-Borsani G. W. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 143
- Salmon B. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 189
- Schaerer D., de Barros S., 2009, *A&A*, 502, 423
- Schaerer D., de Barros S., 2010, *A&A*, 515, A73
- Schaerer D., Marques-Chaves R., Oesch P., Naidu R., Barrufet L., Izotov Y. I., Guseva N. G., Brammer G., 2022, *A&A*, 665L, 4S
- Sérsic J. L., 1963, *Boletín de la Asociación Argentina de Astronomía La Plata Argentina*, 6, 41
- Shivaei I. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 117
- Silva L., Granato G. L., Bressan A., Danese L., 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 103
- Smit R. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 784, 58
- Song M. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 825, 5
- Stark D. P., Ellis R. S., Bunker A., Bundy K., Targett T., Benson A., Lacy M., 2009, *ApJ*, 697, 1493
- Stefanon M., Bouwens R. J., Labbé I., Illingworth G. D., Gonzalez V., Oesch P. A., 2021, *ApJ*, 922, 29
- Steidel C. C., Giavalisco M., Pettini M., Dickinson M., Adelberger K. L., 1996, *ApJ*, 462, L17
- Ziparo F., Ferrara A., Sommovigo L., Kohandel M., 2022, preprint ([arXiv:2209.06840](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.06840))

## APPENDIX A: PHOTOMETRY VALIDATION


We perform photometry validation by comparing our photometry with the well known and calibrated *HST* WFC3/IR images from the RELICS survey. We run SE++ on a stacked detection image and do photometric measurements simultaneously on the WFC3/IR F140W and NIRCcam F150W bands.

Fig. A1 shows the AUTO magnitude number counts in NIRCcam F150W and WFC3/IR F140W as measured with SE++. The number counts are in excellent agreement, which is not surprising given the same detection image and with minimal or no offsets. This confirms the accurate zero-point calibration of our JWST data reduction, explained in Section 2. The comparison of magnitudes



**Figure A1.** Magnitude number counts in the *HST* WFC3/IR F140W and JWST NIRCcam F150W bands. The magnitudes are obtained for objects detected in the shallower F140W-band and measured simultaneously in F140W and F150W. The number counts show a good agreement and no photometric offset is present.

## SE++ AUTO *HST*/WFC3-F140W vs NIRCcam-F150W



**Figure A2.** Magnitude comparison between *HST*-WFC3/IR F140W and JWST-NIRCcam F150W bands. The blue line marks the running median offset and the blue envelope the  $\sigma$ -clipped standard deviation. The magnitudes of the two bands show a relatively tight dispersion and an offset of about 0.24 mag, that can be explained by the difference between the filter curves and pivot wavelengths.

is shown in Fig. A2, where on the y-axis we show the magnitude difference between the F140W and F150W bands. There is a relatively tight scatter and a median offset of about 0.36 towards fainter F140W magnitudes. Most of this offset can be attributed to the difference between the F140W and F150W filter curves and pivot wavelengths. Using `Pysynphot`, we performed synthetic photometry in the two filters, assuming a variety of galaxy templates from BC95 (Bruzual A. & Charlot 1993) and KC96 (Kinney et al. 1996) libraries, and a redshift range of  $z = 0 - 5$ . We found a median offset of  $\sim 0.3$  magnitudes.

## APPENDIX B: EXAMPLE OF A $z \sim 10$ CANDIDATE

In addition to the  $z \sim 16$  and  $z \sim 12$  examples shown in the main text (cf. Section 5.2), we display here an example of a  $z \sim 10$  galaxy, the candidate SMACS\_z11a, in Figs B1 and B2.




Figure B1. Same as Fig. 6, this time for the  $z \sim 12$  candidate galaxy SMACS\_z11a.




Figure B2. Same as Fig. 7, here showing the galaxy candidate SMACS\_z11a.

## APPENDIX C: FULL CANDIDATE LIST

In this section, we present the properties of the remainder of our sample in Figs C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, and C7.




Figure C1. Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z10a.





Figure C2. Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z10b.





**Figure C3.** Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z10c.




Figure C4. Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z10d.




Figure C5. Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z10e.





Figure C6. Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z12b.





**Figure C7.** Photometric data and best-fit SED results for candidate SMACS\_z16b.

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.