

Clogging detection and productive layers identification along boreholes using Active Distributed Temperature Sensing

Jeremy Godinaud, Maria Klepikova, F. Larroque, Nicolas Guihéneuf, A. Dupuy, Olivier Bour

► To cite this version:

Jeremy Godinaud, Maria Klepikova, F. Larroque, Nicolas Guihéneuf, A. Dupuy, et al.. Clogging detection and productive layers identification along boreholes using Active Distributed Temperature Sensing. Journal of Hydrology, 2023, 617 (Part C), pp.129113. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.129113 . insu-03955737

HAL Id: insu-03955737 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03955737

Submitted on 20 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

¹ Highlights

² Clogging detection and productive layers identification along bore-

$_{3}$ holes using Active Distributed Temperature Sensing

- 4 J.Godinaud, M.Klepikova, F.Larroque, N.Guihéneuf, A.Dupuy, O.Bour
- Active Distributive Thermal Sensing experiment achievement at ATES
 site
- Determination of thermal conductivity and groundwater flux along
 boreholes
- Identification of clogging location along boreholes

¹⁰ Clogging detection and productive layers identification ¹¹ along boreholes using Active Distributed Temperature ¹² Sensing

J.Godinaud^a, M.Klepikova^b, F.Larroque^a, N.Guihéneuf^b, A.Dupuy^a, O.Bour^b

^aENSEGID Bordeaux INP, CNRS, EPOC UMR 5805, Pessac, 33600, France ^bUniv Rennes, CNRS, Geosciences Rennes - UMR 6118, Rennes, 35000, France

15 Abstract

Fiber-Optic Active Distributed Temperature Sensing (FO-ADTS) experi-16 ments were performed on an Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage system (ATES) 17 site located on the university campus of Bordeaux, France. The experiments 18 consisted in heating the steel core of the FO cable while monitoring the 19 rate of temperature increase during the heating periods. The changes in 20 temperature, that were monitored through time at every depth under var-21 ious hydraulic conditions and in different boreholes, were used to evaluate 22 both aquifer properties and wells conditions. A first ADTS experiment was 23 conducted under cross borehole configuration using a pumping well and a 24 monitoring well separated by a distance of 8.5 meters. Then, to check the 25 reciprocity of the results, a second experiment was conducted by switching 26 the monitoring and the pumping well. The results obtained through the use 27 of analytical solutions for reproducing and interpreting the data lead to the 28 following conclusions: (i) ADTS can be used to estimate both thermal con-29

Preprint submitted to Journal of Hydrology

February 10, 2023

ductivity and Darcy velocity distribution along boreholes, crucial properties for ATES performance. (ii) The proposed method is a promising tool to detect clogging locations in the boreholes when it occurs. This can be of great practical interest to maintain systems performance, since, once FO cables deployed, experiments could be easily repeated without opening boreholes and stop the system operation.

36 Keywords:

³⁷ Distributed Temperature Sensing, Groundwater Flux, Thermal

³⁸ Conductivity, Clogging, ATES

39 1. Introduction

Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage (ATES) is an open loop geothermal system involving at least two reversible boreholes [Fleuchaus et al., 2018]. This technology uses the subsurface as transient long-term storage of cold and warm water and it gains more and more popularity with a great potential to reduce green house gas emissions of the building sector [Vanhoudt et al., 2011, Gao et al., 2017, Ghaebi et al., 2017, Schüppler et al., 2019, Stemmle et al., 2021].

ATES performance is highly sensitive to hydrogeological conditions. It requires good aquifer permeability to pump and inject water into the aquifer, low natural groundwater flow to avoid stored energy losses due to advection and/or thermal interaction between wells. During system operation, storage efficiency and energy recovery rate depend on the cold and warm thermal plumes extension [Bloemendal and Hartog, 2018]. The spatiotemporal distribution of temperature is particularly impacted by aquifer heterogeneity
especially regarding the groundwater flux and thermal properties distribution [Ferguson, 2007, Sommer et al., 2013, Bridger and Allen, 2014, Ganguly
and Mohan Kumar, 2014, Possemiers et al., 2015, Visser et al., 2015, Nguyen
et al., 2016, Bloemendal and Hartog, 2018].

ATES performance also depends on wells sustainability which can be im-58 pacted by their aging. Among the main issues, corrosion, biological, chemical 59 and physical clogging are major problems which could lead to well damages 60 and performance decrease [Fleuchaus et al., 2018, Bloemendal and Olsthoorn, 61 2018]. The causes and consequences of the boreholes clogging are well docu-62 mented for open loop systems [Bakema, 2001, Song et al., 2020]. A large clog-63 ging development is an additional source of geothermal system performance 64 decrease. Quantification of the subsurface heterogeneity, and the surveillance 65 of clogging evolution in wells, are therefore major concerns on ATES site. 66

Classically, thermal and hydrodynamic properties of aquifers are char-67 acterized from laboratory measurements on core samples. Moreover, some 68 field surveys may be used in the context of ATES characterization. Among 69 these surveys, pumping tests give an average value of aquifer transmissivity 70 and specific storage. Their monitoring time ranges classically between 1 and 71 3 days and their well known interpretation provides a first overview of hy-72 drogeological properties and context of a site [Kruseman and Ridder, 1994]. 73 Flowmeter logs are suited to obtain the vertical flow distribution at a particu-74

lar point and can provide information on fracture connectivity, transmissivity 75 and storativity distribution [Molz et al., 1994, Paillet, 1998, Roubinet et al., 76 2015]. These tests are simple to realize and can be performed in short time. 77 Heat or solute tracer tests are used to investigate groundwater velocity, ther-78 mal and hydraulic dispersivity, or location of preferential groundwater paths 79 [Bodin et al., 2003, Klepikova et al., 2016, Irvine et al., 2015]. But they are 80 more difficult to realize, and a robust interpretation could require a lot of 81 observation points, as well as comprehensive numerical modeling. Thermal 82 Response Tests (TRT) are used to estimate the average thermal conductivity 83 of aquifers at the vicinity of the tested well, but the data collection could 84 require a long time [Beier et al., 2011, Dong et al., 2022]. Finally, cores 85 collection is financially costly, time-consuming, and their analysis provides 86 only local information which could not be representative of the global in-site 87 aquifer dynamics [Sharqawy et al., 2013]. 88

Preventive actions to tackle clogging development include reduction in 89 the frequency of pump starts and stops, underground removal of iron, avoid-90 ing possible oxygen infiltration or reduction of water turbidity and organic 91 content. Nevertheless, a certain clogging extension cannot be avoided during 92 the recharge process, thus leading to the decrease of geothermal system per-93 formance [Song et al., 2020]. Surveillance of the clogging evolution is thus a 94 major challenge for ATES systems, and developing adapted countermeasures 95 on site could significantly help to maintain their performance. Recently, a 96 strategy was developed to follow its evolution [Gjengedal et al., 2020]. The 97

⁹⁸ surveillance procedure aims to conduct a step-test with incremental increases ⁹⁹ in the groundwater flow rate while measuring the pressure and temperature ¹⁰⁰ responses in the system components. Nevertheless, it does not focus on clog-¹⁰¹ ging locations in the well, but gives an overall view of each component of the ¹⁰² geothermal system (production wells, submersible pump and groundwater ¹⁰³ heat exchanger).

Distributive Thermal Sensing with Fiber Optic cable (FO-DTS) opens a 104 timely opportunity to improve our understanding of the functioning of ATES 105 systems. This technology enables to collect efficiently long data set and to 106 monitor dynamics process [Bense et al., 2016]. For geothermal application, 107 it was first used to monitor temperature variations along production bore-108 holes [Hurtig et al., 1996]. DTS methods have seen further improvements 109 with the use of the active mode (ADTS). It consists on deploying a heat 110 source in the well and monitoring the induced temperature changes [Bense 111 et al., 2016]. The temperature elevation induced by the heat source and the 112 subsequent cooling directly depends on thermal properties of the porous me-113 dia and on water flux dissipating heat by advection. Among other, it can 114 be used to achieve Enhanced Thermal Response Test (ETRT) to establish 115 thermal conductivity profile for Borehole Heat Exchanger (BHE) application 116 Freifeld et al., 2008, Huber and Arslan, 2012, Vélez Márquez et al., 2018, 117 Zhang et al., 2020, Hakala et al., 2022]. Furthermore, the method proved 118 its ability to measure groundwater flux distribution in the subsurface [Read 119 et al., 2014, Bakker et al., 2015, des Tombe et al., 2019]. Recent laboratory 120

and field experiments demonstrate that analytical methods can be used to inspect the distribution of thermal conductivity and Darcy velocity along a fiber positioning in saturated porous media [Simon et al., 2021, del Val et al., 2021]. These works enable the generalization of ADTS experiments interpretation.

Apart from aguifer thermal properties, the thermal conductivity profile 126 established in a borehole could be also of major interest to inspect eventual 127 low anomalies due to clogging development. Indeed, the value of thermal 128 conductivity for clogging materials such as bentonite, clay or porous iron 129 hydroxides provides a particular low signature useful for identification [Tang 130 et al., 2008, Cho et al., 2008, Gjengedal et al., 2020, Dalla Santa et al., 2020]. 131 To our knowledge, FO-ADTS experiments in boreholes have never been 132 performed with a purpose of detecting both clogging development and pro-133 ductive layers. Most of the experiments achieved in boreholes mainly focus 134 on fractured zone identification [La Bernardie et al., 2018, Maldaner et al., 135 2019, Klepikova et al., 2022, Darcy velocity estimation [Selker and Selker, 136 2018, del Val et al., 2021 or aquifer thermal conductivity [Zhang et al., 2020, 137 Hakala et al., 2022]. 138

In this context, a cross-borehole ADTS experiment was carried out on a site located at the university of Bordeaux in France, and planned to be exploited by a new ATES. The test was realized under constant pumping rate condition and replicated in two different boreholes to verify the reciprocity of the data. The main objectives were (i) to characterize the vertical distributions of thermal conductivity and Darcy velocity in two different boreholes,
(ii) to reveal the preferential water pathways during injection (iii) to demonstrate the potential of ADTS to detect clogging area along well screens.

¹⁴⁷ 2. Experimental Site

148 2.1. Context



Figure 1: (a) Location of experimental area, (b) ENSEGID site map with boreholes location and focus on the experimental area

The study area is located at the university of Bordeaux (Pessac, France, 149 (Figure 1a), on the site of the Ecole Nationale Superieure en Environnement 150 Georessources et Ingéniérie du Developpement Durable (ENSEGID). An 151 ATES system was designed to supply both cooling and heating to a new 152 building of 3600 m^2 . The ATES consists of a pair of 70 m deep reversible 153 pumping/injection wells, GF1 hot well and GF2 cold well, located 145 me-154 ters apart (Figure 1b). In addition to the production wells, four piezometers 155 (Pz51, Pz101, Pz52 and Pz102) were created close to the main wells to mon-156 itor thermal plume extension and to control piezometric heads. Piezometers 157

Pz101 and Pz51 are used for the ADTS experiment. They are separated by a distance of 8.5 m and located 6.0 m and 6.5 m apart from the ATES hot production well GF1. They were drilled to 66 m and 70 m deep respectively. Our experiments were achieved 8 months before the beginning of ATES operation.

Located in the North Aquitaine Basin, the experimental site corresponds 163 to a large multi-layered aquifer system. The actual structure of this basin 164 is the result of Pyrenees retro-foreland basin developed from the Campanian 165 to the Miocene [Labat et al., 2021]. The local geology corresponds to the 166 top of the system formed by a succession of sedimentary deposits during 167 multiple transgression/regression periods, leading to a vertical heterogeneous 168 sequences of limestone, sandstone and clay from Eocene to Plio Quaternary 169 times [Larroque et al., 2013]. The proximal shore location of the site during 170 Oligocene period results in highly horizontally and vertically heterogeneous 171 limestone deposits [Sztrákos and Steurbaut, 2017]. A part of the Oligocene 172 formation, composed by highly porous and permeable fossiliferous limestones, 173 constitutes the ATES aguifer target. Around the experimental site, the deep 174 aquifer is confined, as pointed out by seasonal artesianism. Near the surface 175 level, a 10 meters thick unconfined aquifer, made of Plio Quaternary sand 176 and clay deposits, covers the Oligocene formation. At the site location, the 177 Oligocene aquifer limestone is crossed from 12 m depth to 68 m depth. 178



Figure 2: Field data : (a) Geological section of the site, (b) and (c) technical logs of piezometers with clogged area detect during video inspection, (d) Pz51 gamma ray, (e) GF1 and Pz101 flowmeter logs, and (f,g,h) video inspection screenshots

179 2.2. Field data

The available field data, including gamma ray log, flowmeter logs and drill cuttings observations, highlight a heterogeneous limestone aquifer with a vertical and horizontal unequal distribution of incoming water fluxes. The layer crossed between the depth of 40–60 m (Figure 2a), is made of fossiliferous white limestone. A developed porosity is identified from microscopic drill

cuttings observation. In this layer, the Pz51 Gamma Ray values fluctuate 185 around an average of 25.0 cps, which indicates a low clay content (Figure 2d). 186 The GF1 flowmeter reveals that the incoming water is located from the depth 187 of 40 m to 55 m. In this area, a layer of 2 meters thick between the depth 188 of 44 m and 46 m produces about the half of the total discharge (Figure 2e). 189 Another flowmeter realized in Pz101 one year after the end of borehole works 190 completion (and before the beginning of ATES operation) indicates a more 191 homogeneous flux distribution along this borehole (Figure 2e). Between the 192 depth of 60 m and 67 m, the drill cuttings observations emphasize gray 193 limestones, a less developed porosity and a significant clay content. From 194 67 m, to 71 m, blue marl is encountered. A mean transmissivity value of 195 $7.0 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m^2 \, s^{-1}}$ is estimated from a two days pumping test in GF1. 196

Borehole camera inspections show the presence of clogging material in 197 piezometers Pz101 and Pz51 (Figure 2f, g and h). From the depth of 35 198 m to 39 m, the Pz51 screens are totally clogged by a mix of vellow brown 199 bentonite clay/mud and white bio-fouling bacteria (Figure 2f). The screens 200 are clean in the interval length 40–54 m. The Pz51 bottom is filled with 201 clay and bentonite and encountered at the depth of 54.5 m instead of the 71 202 m initially drilled. We believe that the main reason for the fast clogging is 203 related to the fact that these wells are planned only for monitoring purpose 204 . As a consequence, the piezometers were not sufficiently developed. As for 205 Pz101, the screens are totally clogged by bentonite and mud from the depth 206 of 35 m to 53 m (Figure 2g). Below the depth of 53 m to the Pz101 bottom 207

at 60 m, the screens are partially clogged (Figure 2h). The clogged intervals of the piezometers are represented on the technical logs (Figure 2b and c).

210 3. Experiment and methodology

211 3.1. Experimental set up

ADTS experiments were conducted in Pz101 and Pz51. A loop of BRUsens 212 fiber-optic cable (SOLIFOS - Fiber Optic Systems AG, Switzerland) was 213 lowered to their annular space. It contains two multi-mode fibers encased 214 in a sealed stainless steel capillary and covered by wire rope and a PVC 215 jacket (Figure 3a). Two electrical cables were connected to the steel armor 216 of both fiber branches of the loop, to allow the injection of electricity from a 217 power controller. These connections were further sealed by epoxy resin. The 218 induced temperature variation was monitored with a Silixa XT DTS unit 210 reporting temperature every 25 cm at a 2 min sampling interval in Pz51 and 220 1 min sampling interval in Pz101 (Figure 3b). 221

In order to ensure contact with the porous media, while deployed in the 222 borehole, each branch of the FO cable was slightly stretched and attached 223 outside the polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube every 3 meters (Figure 3c). This 224 installation was similar to a previous study in 25 m depth boreholes [del Val 225 et al., 2021]. For both piezometers, 50 meters of FO cable of each side of the 226 loop remained outside the borehole for connection to the DTS unit, and for 227 calibration purposes. Once the whole equipment installed, the gravel pack 228 was added down, which embedded the FO cable in the gravel and prevented 229

²³⁰ any cable shaking.



Figure 3: Device installation scheme : (a) transverse section of the FO cable, (b) scheme of experimental configuration with acquisition well and FO-DTS set up, (c) traverse borehole section representing fiber configuration in annular space

231 3.2. Temperature calibration process and DTS spatial resolution

During the experiment, 10 meters of each branch from sticking out cables were immersed into separate warm and cold calibration baths for temperature calibration purposes. In order to cover the full range of temperatures that could be expected to be measured along the fiber optic cable, the cold bath was filled with ice and the warm bath was equipped with a resistance to maintain water temperature at 31°C (Figure 3b). The temperature was

recorded in each bath with a PT100 probe (0.1°C accuracy) and two RBR 238 SoloT probes (0.002°C accuracy). The temperature in both baths was ho-239 mogenized with small aquarium bubblers. The loop configuration of the fiber 240 in the boreholes allowed to create a double-ended connection providing accu-241 rate temperature measurements under field conditions van de Giesen et al., 242 2012]. The Python toolbox [des Tombe et al., 2020] was used to check the 243 quality of the DTS measurement and indicated a good calibration of the 244 temperature measured by the DTS device. 245

The DTS spatial resolution (S.R) during the experience was estimated 246 from the classical 90% step change [Tyler et al., 2009] and the derivative 247 method [Simon et al., 2020]. For Pz101, the S.R was estimated between 0.9 248 and 1.1 m. As the sampling time (S.T) was twice larger for Pz51 experiment, 249 the S.R was better and range from 0.7 to 0.9 m. The S.R of these experiments 250 were more precise than the 2–3 m S.R reported in the study of Tyler [Tyler 251 et al., 2009 and slightly less precise than the 0.5–0.9 m S.R reported in the 252 laboratory study of Simon [Simon et al., 2020]. 253

254 3.3. Experiments proceedings

The experiment was ran under cross-borehole configuration. It is a common practice for integrated aquifer characterization. It is an efficient method to explain complex hydraulic behavior of aquifers and investigate preferential flow-path in heterogeneous contexts [Butler and Zhan, 2004, Le Borgne et al., 2006a,b, Audouin and Bodin, 2008, Brauchler et al., 2010, Lods et al., 2020, Aliouache et al., 2021]. This configuration is therefore of great interest to study thermal transfer by advection at the aquifer scale. The cross-borehole configuration was replicated into Pz101 and Pz51 to verify the reciprocity of the acquired data. The reciprocity can be used to interpret the dynamic of the aquifers and highlights continuity or not of the hydraulic system [Hariga et al., 2010, Delay et al., 2011, 2012, Marinoni et al., 2016, Sanchez-Vila et al., 2016].

The experiment started once the hydrodynamic pseudo-steady state was 267 reached. The first stage consisted in heating the steel mantle of the fiber in 268 the well Pz101 with a constant injection rate of power P trough electrical 269 cables controlled by the Heat Pulse power controller. At the same time, the 270 neighboring piezometer Pz51 was pumped at a constant rate Q varying in 271 between 4.5 and 4.6 m^3/h depending on the experiment (Experiment 1 in 272 Figure 4). The water level was monitored with vented Level Troll probes (In 273 Situ) in both piezometers. The temperature evolution along the FO cable 274 induced by the heat injection was monitored by the DTS device. At the 275 end of the first stage, without stopping the heating of the fiber, the pumped 276 water was injected in the monitoring well to monitor thermal signals induced 277 by the injection (Experiment 2 in Figure 4). The injected water came from 278 the aquifer under natural conditions, consequently it was colder than the 279 water warmed by the fiber in the monitoring piezometer. Experiments 3 and 280 4 (Figure 4) correspond to ADTS experiment replicated in Pz51. 281



Figure 4: Scheme of the four experiment configurations with the indicated injected power by the heat pulse P and the pumping rate Q.

282 4. Experimental data analysis

Before the heating phase, the initial temperature distribution was recorded. 283 It represented a C-shape with a minimum temperature of $15.4\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ between 284 the length interval of 35–65 m depth (Figure 5a). Such a temperature pro-285 file is typical for subsurface temperature regime influenced by an increase 286 in ground surface temperature caused by global warming and/or urbaniza-287 tion[Dědeček et al., 2012, Visser et al., 2015, Bayer et al., 2016]. Figure 5b 288 represents transient temperature profiles along Pz51 (455 curves) acquired 289 during the heating phase of the experiment. 290

As pointed out by the heat map, the U-shape of the cable provided two 291 similar data sets at each sampling depth in Pz51. Data from Pz101 (423) 292 curves, not displayed in Figure 5) are analyzed further in the manuscript. 293 When the heating started (Experiment 1 and 3), there was a quick tem-294 perature increase along the cable, followed by a phase of slow change. As 295 expected, differences of temperature elevation along the wells were observed 296 due to thermal and hydrodynamic properties contrasts of aquifer layers [Si-297 mon et al., 2021, Zhang et al., 2020]. In some intervals, like 35 - 40 m depth 298 the temperature reached a value of 25 °C, whereas at 45 m depth the temper-299 ature quickly stabilized around 18 °C. Such differences in thermal behavior 300 along the well are analyzed in the following sections. During the water in-301 jection (Experiments 2 and 4) the temperature changes were less significant. 302 Once the electrical power switched off, the temperature along the FO cable 303 decreased drastically. 304



Figure 5: Temperature distribution along the FO cable in Pz51: (a) natural *in-situ* profile before the heating and (b) temperature variation with both time and depth along the two side of the FO loop. The temperatures measured at each side of the U cable are similar

305 4.1. Preferential water flux pathways during reinjection

The temperature anomalies measured during reinjection Experiments 2 and 4 informed about the preferential flow paths in front of the screens during injection. These anomalies were emphasized by the relative temperature anomaly ΔT_{inj} (Equation 1) induced by the injected water:

$$\Delta T_{inj} = T_t - T_{inj.start} \tag{1}$$

where $T_{inj.start}$ is the temperature profile just before the beginning of water injection, and T_t the temperature profile measured at the time t after the beginning of the injection. The evolution of ΔT_{inj} profiles revealed three different zones in both piezometers (Figure 6a and c).



Figure 6: Experimental injection results : (a) and (c) relative temperature anomalyprofiles ΔT_{inj} at different times since the beginning of the injection;(b) and (d) technical log with identified clogged area from video inspection and flux pathway deduced from injection experiment represented by blue arrows

First, above the screens, i.e., at 35 m depth, in the grouted area, the injected water induced a slight temperature decrease less than 0.5 °C in both wells. This was related to conductive heat transfer due to colder water injection. For depths greater than 54.5 m in Pz51 and 60 m in Pz101, the water

temperature continued to increase due to the cable heating. It indicated 319 that, the injected water did not influence the evolution of borehole temper-320 The latter means that the injected water did not infiltrate below ature. 321 these depths. Finally, in the middle parts of both wells, an overall significant 322 temperature decrease induced by the local flow increase occurred in both 323 piezometers. The water infiltration areas are identified on the technical log 324 of both piezometers (Figure 6b and d). The thermal response was heteroge-325 neous along the screens in both piezometers. For example, the layers at the 326 depths of 39 m and 53 m in Pz51, or 54 m in Pz 101 were more sensitive to 327 the cooling and reached a ΔT_{inj} of -2.0 °C. Other layers, especially in Pz51 328 (41, 44 and 50 m), were barely affected by the cooling. 329

The short duration of the Experiments 2 and 4 (30 min and 64 min respectively) did not allow a quantitative interpretation of the temperature transients and only provided a qualitative overview about zones of enhanced groundwater flow.

³³⁴ 4.2. Use of analytical solutions for parameter distribution estimation

335 4.2.1. General interpretation framework

ADTS data interpretation of Experiment 1 and Experiment 3 consisted on estimating vertically distributed parameters λ and q in the observation borehole. The parameters were calculated at each sampling depth. It was achieved through the assessment of experimental temperature evolution curves measured by the DTS device, following the theoretical approach developed ³⁴¹ by [Simon et al., 2021]. The interpretation framework was inspired from the
³⁴² one proposed by a free available Matlab Toolbox Simon and Bour [2022], and
³⁴³ detailed further.

The two-dimensional heat transport in saturated porous media (Equation 2) involving conduction and advection processes is expressed as [Carslaw et al., 1959] :

347

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = D_t \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) - q \frac{\rho_w c_w}{\rho_b c_b} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x},\tag{2}$$

where T is the temperature [K], x and y are the coordinates [m], q is the 348 Darcy velocity $[m s^{-1}]$, $\rho_b c_b$ the volumetric heat capacity of the rock/fluid ma-349 trix $[J m^{-3} K^{-1}]$, $\rho_w c_w$ the volumetric heat capacity of the water $[J m^{-3} K^{-1}]$. 350 Ignoring thermal dispersion is a common practice for the interpretation of 351 ADTS experiments [Bakker et al., 2015, Simon et al., 2021, del Val et al., 352 2021]. The consequences of this choice on the estimation of the parameters 353 are discussed in subsection 5.2. Therefore, in Equation 2, only thermal dif-354 fusitivy $D_t \,[\mathrm{m}^2 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$ is involved. It depends on λ the bulk thermal conductivity 355 $[W m^{-1} K^{-1}]$ and is expressed as : 356

$$D_t = \frac{\lambda}{\rho_b c_b}.$$
(3)

Considering whether or not natural flow in the aquifer occured, Equation 2 could be solved for a linear heating source involving the Instantaneous Line Source model (ILS) or Moving Instantaneous Line Source model (MILS) (Carslaw et al., 1959]. The ILS solution expressed as follows (Equation 4), considers no-flow within the aquifer and is the solution to Equation 2 without
 considering the second term:

364

370

$$\Delta T(r,t)_{ILS} = \frac{P}{4\pi\lambda} \left[E_i \left(\frac{-r^2}{4tD_t} \right) \right],\tag{4}$$

where ΔT is the temperature evolution, $P \,[\mathrm{W\,m^{-1}}]$ is the constant heating power per unit length, $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ is the distance from the heating source. The MILS solution (Equation 5) is adapted from the ILS and considers uniform flow across the heat source to take into account heat transfer by advection. It is expressed as :

$$\Delta T(r,t)_{MILS} = \frac{P}{4\pi\lambda} \exp\left[\frac{qr}{2D_t}\frac{\rho_w c_w}{\rho c}\right] W(\alpha,\beta) \tag{5}$$

with $W(\alpha, \beta)$ the Hantush well function [Hantush, 1956]. λ and q are estimated from these two solutions.

The previous equations did not consider thermal effects induced by the 373 heating of the cable itself and the borehole material configuration. Just 374 after heat injection in the FO cable, the temperature increase was mainly 375 associated, first, to the conductive skin effect in the FO cable components 376 and secondly to the borehole material arrangement [del Val et al., 2021, 377 Simon et al., 2021. It generated a rapid increase of the temperature during 378 the first time after heat injection. The effect of the cable was the same 379 along the borehole. Nevertheless, the effect of the borehole material on the 380 temperature increase could be different along the FO, depending on the cable 381



Figure 7: Theoretical temperature evolution in response to a heat pulse in saturated porous media with and without skin effect of the FO cable (adapted from [del Val et al., 2021]

position in the gravel pack and mud and its relative distance to the PVC tube. This aspect lead to a difference between the theoretical temperature calculated with ILS and MILS and the ΔT_{exp} measured by FO-DTS. This difference was taken into account in a ΔT_{FO} term (Figure 7). Thus, to model the temperature increase during the experiment, ΔT_{FO} was added to the ILS and MILS solutions. This was solved by trial/error adjustments between ILS model and experimental data.

389 4.2.2. Parameters quantification

The ILS and MILS solutions were adjusted on the experimental data to estimate the λ and q values associated with the temperature evolution (Figure 8). The method consisted in reducing the Root Mean Square Error
between the observed and modeled temperature using the Brent algorithm
[Brent, 1974]. Four typical thermal behaviors were identified during the
ADTS experiments, ranging from no-flow and low-flow towards medium-flow
and high-flow.

For no-, low- and medium- Darcy velocity ($< 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$), the ex-397 perimental points before 1000 seconds were assimilated to the skin effect. 398 Thus, these points were excluded from λ fitting and estimation procedure 399 (Figure 8 a-c). When only conductive heat transfer occurred, (i.e. no-flow) 400 the temperature increased linearly along a log time scale during the whole 401 experimental time (Figure 8a). In this case, the ILS solution was used to es-402 timate λ . Advection transfer generated a transition phase until temperature 403 stabilization (Figure 8b and c), and both analytical solutions were used to 404 estimate λ and q. 405

High groundwater flux generated quick temperature stabilization. When 406 the Darcy velocity was too high $(> 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}})$, the sampling time of the 407 experiments was unsuited to visualize the linear part of the thermal evolution 408 plot (an example is provided in Figure 8d). Consequently, thermal conductiv-409 ity λ could not be estimated properly and was fixed at 2.8 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹. This 410 value corresponds to an average value for limestone rocks [Dalla Santa et al., 411 2020]. Further discussion about the impact of λ values on the estimated q 412 value is given in subsection 5.2. 413

414

The analytical models had a good fit to the field data, ,with the value of



Figure 8: Determination of mean thermal conductivity λ and Darcy velocity q (piezometer Pz51) when, (a) only conduction occurs, (b) low flux is detected, (c) medium flux and (d) high flux occur with an early temperature stabilization due to higher groundwater flow.

the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) generally remaining low, i.e. RMSE
0.1. The maximum value of 0.15 was calculated for the high Darcy velocity
interval.

Low q values below $3.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ should be considered cautiously. It was demonstrated that an experimental time of 24h with an error of $\pm 0.05 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the field temperature measurement, lead to errors above 20% on q estimation [Simon et al., 2021]. This error grew exponentially with the decrease of estimated q.

423 4.2.3. Groundwater and thermal conductivity distribution

Vertical distribution of λ and q were computed for each sampling depth along the two branches of the U cable (Figure 9). In each piezometer, the 2 branches gave similar parameter estimations, suggesting the consistency of the data acquisition and its processing. The uncertain q values below $3.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ were represented in a shaded area on the corresponding profiles (Figure 9a and c).



Figure 9: Parameter profiles estimated from FO-ADTS experiments in front of screened areas : (a and c) groundwater flux profiles, shaded areas correspond to estimated values with high uncertainty, (b and d) thermal conductivity profiles

⁴³⁰ Despite the short distance between Pz101 and Pz51 (8.5 m), the esti-⁴³¹ mated q profiles showed major discrepancies in front of the borehole screens. ⁴³² These q profiles were not equally distributed along the flowing areas of both

piezometers, highlighting a reciprocity gap. In Pz51, high Darcy velocities 433 of $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ were estimated in the intervals of 43–46 m and 67–68 m 434 (Figure 9a). A lower peak of $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ was detected in one branch at 435 the depth of 62 m. From the depth of 46 m to 53 m, the q value decreased 436 from $7.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ to $4.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$. As for Pz101, the ADTS experi-437 ment allowed to estimate a high Darcy velocity superior of $2.0\times10^{-5}\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ 438 only in the thin layer at the depth of 62 m. A medium flow of an aver-439 age of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ was estimated between the length interval 54–61 m 440 (Figure 9c). 441

In front of the screens, the low λ values were always estimated in front of no- or low flux areas. In Pz51, a value close to $1.0 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ was estimated in the length intervals 35–39 m and 54–67 m. On the contrary, high λ values were calculated where significant q values were calculated. This trend was also detected in Pz101. High λ values of $3.0 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ were measured in front of the highest Darcy velocity area at the depth of 62 m, whereas the values fluctuated around $1.5 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ in the rest of the piezometer.

449 5. Discussion

450 5.1. Consistency of the ADTS results in relation with available field data

The parameters profiles obtained from ADTS experiments were compared to available independent field data. The q profile in Pz51 emphasized the same high productive layer as the one detected in the GF1 flowmeter log at the depth of 44–46 m. The Darcy velocity reached a value of $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at this depth. Nevertheless, the flowmeter log achieved one year after borehole completion in Pz101 suggested a homogeneous flux distribution probably influenced by the clogging development along this borehole. But, the ADTS detected a medium Darcy velocity of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ where the screens are just partially clogged below the depth of 53 m.



Figure 10: Interpretation scheme of boreholes clogging and flux location according to video inspection, parameters distribution and injection flow paths

In both piezometers, weak or zero Darcy velocity values were measured in front of clogged layers detected during video inspection (Figure 2f, g, and h). The λ values of these layers were always inferior to $1.5 \,\mathrm{W \,m^{-1} \,K}$

(Figure 9b and d). It is typical for clogging materials like clay, bentonite 463 and porous iron hydroxides [Dalla Santa et al., 2020, Cho et al., 2008, Tang 464 et al., 2008, Gjengedal et al., 2020] and does not correspond to the typical 465 values of the thermal conductivity of the limestone, i.e. $3.0 \,\mathrm{W \,m^{-1} \,K}$. These 466 observations highlight the potential of ADTS experiments to locate zones of 467 borehole clogging. We believe that the main reason for the clogging is related 468 to the fact that these wells were planned only for monitoring purpose, and, 469 as a consequence, were not sufficiently developed after their completion. The 470 clogged materials did not have the same position along both boreholes. It 471 seems to be the main explanation for reciprocity gaps between parameters 472 distribution in Pz51 and Pz101. The drill cuttings assessment revealed the 473 same geology in both boreholes. But, a fracture or a more productive area 474 might be intercepted in Pz51 and GF1 and not in Pz101 and could be the 475 reason of a high flux in Pz51 and GF1, involving the dilution of the bentonite 476 in Pz51 and not in Pz101. 477

The video camera showed bentonite and clay at the bottom of both 478 piezometers. The position of the plugs, at the depth of 54.5 m in Pz51 479 and 60 m in Pz101, corresponded exactly to the limits highlighted by Exper-480 iment 2 and Experiment 4 (Figure 6). It confirmed that during injection, the 481 water did not flow in the lower part of the piezometers. Nevertheless, during 482 Experience 1 and Experience 3, some fluxes were detected below the well 483 bottoms, at the depths of 62 m in Pz101 and 62 m and 68 m in Pz51 (Fig-484 ure 10). It may point out that at these depths, the boreholes were clogged 485

⁴⁸⁶ but the deposits were not completely compacted/encrusted into the annular ⁴⁸⁷ space. High thermal conductivity values ($> 3.0 \,\mathrm{W \, m^{-1} \, K^{-1}}$) may confirm a ⁴⁸⁸ likely dilution of the mud in these intervals.

489 5.2. Uncertainty and resolution of parameters calculation

In highly productive layers, the groundwater flow generated a fast tem-490 perature stabilization. In this context, ADTS experiments with a sampling 491 time of more than 1 minute are unsuited to visualize the linear part of the 492 ΔT_{exp} plot before the temperature stabilization (Figure 8d). Consequently, 493 it is not possible to accurately estimate the thermal conductivity λ . Con-494 sequently, values of thermal conductivity consistent with the properties of 495 the porous material [Dalla Santa et al., 2020] encountered in the borehole 496 must be chosen as the default value. However, in high productive context, 497 it is possible to demonstrate the low impact of λ on the estimated Darcy 498 velocity (Figure 11). A sampling time of a few seconds would be necessary 499 to visualize the conductive phase in this context. Nevertheless, a short sam-500 pling time produce noisier data which can also lead to high uncertainty [des 501 Tombe et al., 2020]. 502

As mentioned in section 4.2.1, the analytical model used in this study ignored thermal dispersion. By doing this, the thermal diffusivity is overestimated. It could be another source of error that could lead to underestimating q, especially in high-flow areas. It was demonstrated that an estimated q of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ without considering the thermal dispersion



Figure 11: Analytical solutions generated from the framework to reproduce experimental temperature evolution at 45.2m in Pz51 with a large scale of λ from 1.5 to 4.5 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹

will yield a q of $9.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ if the longitudinal dispersivity is set at 0.1 m [des Tombe et al., 2019]. Nevertheless, in our experiment, the effect of thermal dispersion should be very small, if not negligible, since here, we use the same cable as a heat source and for measuring temperature.

Moreover, the vertical resolution of the DTS is a limit to measure temperature variations induced by layers with a thickness ranging this resolution. Even if a thin layer is detected by the FO DTS experiment, the measured temperature in front of this layer is a result of the surrounding conditions leading to uncertainty in parameter estimation [des Tombe et al., 2019, Simon et al., 2020]. Finally, parameters estimation, especially λ estimation, is influenced by the test configuration. The resolved parameters are unlikely to provide the true aquifer properties since the FO cable is located in the borehole annular space, close to the PVC tube, surrounded by the gravel and clogging material. Despite these uncertainties, one should rather focus on relative parameter variation to identify clogging locations and productive layers along the borehole.

525 5.3. Advantages and limits of the proposed ADTS experiments

526 5.3.1. Comparison with common field surveys

These experiments demonstrated that ADTS could provide in short time 527 information regarding two crucial concerns for ATES operation: (i) the 528 method provides important insights regarding the aquifer heterogeneity in 529 thermal and hydrodynamic properties and (ii) it enables the detection of 530 clogging locations in the borehole. ADTS experiment presents the advan-531 tage to estimate a distribution of parameters while pumping tests and TRT 532 give an average value of hydrodynamics and thermal properties. Moreover, 533 these surveys require much longer time of data collection (i.e., at least 48h 534 versus 4-6 h required for the completion of ADTS tests). However, TRT and 535 pumping tests are very simple to deploy, and their well-known and relatively 536 quick interpretation can give a first overview of the global aquifer dynamics. 537 Unlike ADTS, flowmeter tests are not designed to provide information 538 about conductive heat transfers which could be of great interest in geothermal 539

projects. Nevertheless, the single-hole flowmeter is a common survey that 540 can be achieved in a shorter time than ADTS, after a borehole completion. 541 It has also the advantage of a more simple setup than the ADTS one. It 542 provides a local vertical distribution of the fluxes at the logging well, and is 543 used to estimate permeability variations along the aquifer [Molz et al., 1989, 544 1994, Paillet, 1998]. The application of the flowmeter test in cross-borehole 545 configuration proved to be also an efficient methodology to have a better 546 characterization of aquifer dynamics at a large scale. It allows highlighting 547 connectivity of preferential groundwater flow paths [Le Borgne et al., 2006a,b, 548 Audouin and Bodin, 2008]. 549

The ADTS experiments give thermal conductivity only in the vicinity 550 of the monitoring well. To characterize aquifer heterogeneity and thermal 551 diffusitivity on large scales, heat tracer tests with multiple monitoring well 552 may be more powerful experimental field test [Klepikova et al., 2016]. It 553 provides additional information compared to solute tracer tests, since the 554 thermal diffusitivity is higher than the solute dispersion [Irvine et al., 2015, 555 La Bernardie et al., 2018]. Consequently, heat tracer tests are more suited for 556 geothermal sites. However, due to the ability of heat to propagate through 557 solids by diffusion, completion of heat tracer tests may take much longer 558 time compared to solute tracer tests (days vs hours).[Irvine et al., 2015, 559 La Bernardie et al., 2018]. It can also be difficult to realize and interpret 560 compared to ADTS experiments. 561

562

Recent studies [Gjengedal et al., 2020, 2021] based on short step-test com-563 bined with temperature and hydraulic performance monitoring, first demon-564 strated a new technique enabling the detection of clogging and its further 565 evolution characterization in geothermal systems. While this method allows 566 the detection of clogging in the entire system, it does not precise the clogging 567 location in the boreholes. The new characteristic of our ADTS interpretation 568 is to image clogging distribution in the monitoring well. Therefore, this new 569 strategy and the one proposed by Gjengedal et al. [2020] could complement 570 each other. Once deployed in the borehole, and all cable connections realized, 571 ADTS technology could be used as a permanent *in-situ* device. It presents 572 a certain advantage as it can collect a large amount of data without opening 573 the borehole and removing the submersible pump. The aim would be to 574 monitor clogging evolution and aquifer properties changes over time and to 575 plan and adapt maintenance works before major deterioration of the wells. 576 This aspect would be time and financial saving compared to diagnostic well 577 works, or video camera inspections to visualize clogging development. It is 578 also an advantage to have a permanent fiber in the borehole. It allows to 579 warm the cable and collect the data with the DTS unit without deploying the 580 fiber in the borehole before each experiment like the methodology proposed 581 by Maldaner et al. [2019]. 582

5.3.2. Potential limits of cross-borehole ADTS experiments in a geothermal operational context

Our experiments were achieved in wells made of PVC tubes and screens. 585 This material has a low thermal conductivity of $0.2 \,\mathrm{W \, m^{-1} \, K^{-1}}$. It gives a 586 limited impact on the temperature evolution generated by the warming of the 587 fiber. This impact was included in the skin effect during our interpretation 588 process. Nevertheless, a lot of wells are made of steel or stainless steel. Be-589 cause of the high thermal conductivity of this material (15-30 $\rm W\,m^{-1}\,K^{-1}),$ 590 the energy demand may be significant to create a temperature increase de-591 tectable by the DTS device. A numerical model taking into account a well 592 equipped with steel material would be necessary to inspect the feasibility of 593 ADTS experiment in this configuration. 594

While on the ENSEGID site, the exploited aquifer is found at a shallow 595 depth (35-65 m), in other sites, the geothermal target may be deeper. The 596 longer cable length required for deeper applications will generate an addi-597 tional economic cost, and the deployment of the technology in the borehole 598 will be more time-consuming. Moreover, longer heated section of the cable 599 will require more power injection to reach similar temperature variations. In 600 this context, it is a major concern to provide suitable devices able to inject 601 safely more electrical power. 602

⁶⁰³ Finally, the ENSEGID site is equipped with several wells close to each ⁶⁰⁴ other, thus offering a good opportunity to perform ADTS experiments in ⁶⁰⁵ cross-borehole configuration. Monitoring and pumping boreholes are only

separated by a distance of 8.5 m and a flow rate inferior to $5.0 \,\mathrm{m^3 \, h^{-1}}$ was 606 enough to induce groundwater flux measurable by the ADTS experiment. 607 Nevertheless, on geothermal sites just equipped with a warm and a cold well, 608 the high distance (generally more than 100 meters) between the wells to 609 avoid thermal breakthrough, may be a limit to perform cross-borehole ADTS 610 experiments. Depending on the hydrogeological context and the capacity of 611 the installed submersible pump, the groundwater velocity at the monitoring 612 well may not be detectable by the presented ADTS experiment configuration 613 and interpretation scheme. In this case, a single-hole ADTS configuration, 614 like the one proposed by Read et al. [2014], may be more suited. 615

616 6. Conclusion

This study demonstrated the potential of ADTS experiments to collect and interpret a large amount of data by placing a FO between the borehole screens and the porous media. Our study allowed to characterize simultaneously the distribution of thermal conductivity and Darcy velocity in a short time (4-6 hours per experiment), and to get useful insights about the water injection pathways in boreholes. Moreover, the data provided information concerning the position of the clogging development along the screens.

In the future, it would be certainly of interest to test ADTS experiments on a new operational geothermal site. The aim would be to determine initial parameters profiles before the beginning of ATES operation in the production/injection wells, and then, monitor their evolution during a long-term $_{\rm 628}$ $\,$ operation to have an overview on the wells performance behavior.

630 References

Mohammed Aliouache, Xiaoguang Wang, Pierre Fischer, Gerard Massonnat,
 and Herve Jourde. An inverse approach integrating flowmeter and pump ing test data for three-dimensional aquifer characterization. Journal of
 <u>Hydrology</u>, 603, 2021. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126939.

Olivier Audouin and Jacques Bodin. Cross-borehole slug test analysis in
a fractured limestone aquifer. Journal of Hydrology, 348(3-4):510-523,
2008. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.10.021. URL https:
//linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022169407006129.

G. Bakema. Well and Borehole Failures and Solutions in Underground Ther mal Energy Storage. 2001. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.10214.98885.

Mark Bakker, Ruben Caljé, Frans Schaars, Kees-Jan van der Made, and
Sander de Haas. An active heat tracer experiment to determine groundwater velocities using fiber optic cables installed with direct push equipment.
<u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 51:2760–2772, 2015. ISSN 0043-1397, 1944-7973. doi:
10.1002/2014WR016632.

Peter Bayer, Jaime A. Rivera, Daniel Schweizer, Ulrich Schärli, Philipp Blum,
and Ladislaus Rybach. Extracting past atmospheric warming and urban
heating effects from borehole temperature profiles. <u>Geothermics</u>, 64:289–
299, 2016. ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2016.06.011.

- Richard A. Beier, Marvin D. Smith, and Jeffrey D. Spitler. Reference data
 sets for vertical borehole ground heat exchanger models and thermal response test analysis. <u>Geothermics</u>, 40:79–85, 2011. ISSN 03756505. doi:
 10.1016/j.geothermics.2010.12.007.
- V. F. Bense, T. Read, O. Bour, T. Le Borgne, T. Coleman, S. Krause,
 A. Chalari, M. Mondanos, F. Ciocca, and J. S. Selker. Distributed Temperature Sensing as a downhole tool in hydrogeology: SUBSURFACE
 DTS. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 52(12):9259–9273, 2016. ISSN 00431397. doi:
 10.1002/2016WR018869.
- M. Bloemendal and T. Olsthoorn. ATES systems in aquifers with high
 ambient groundwater flow velocity. <u>Geothermics</u>, 75:81–92, 2018. ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2018.04.005.
- Martin Bloemendal and Niels Hartog. Analysis of the impact of storage
 conditions on the thermal recovery efficiency of low-temperature ATES
 systems. <u>Geothermics</u>, 71:306–319, 2018. ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.
 geothermics.2017.10.009.
- J. Bodin, F. Delay, and G. de Marsily. Solute transport in a single fracture with negligible matrix permeability: 2. mathematical formalism.
 <u>Hydrogeology Journal</u>, 11:434–454, 2003. ISSN 1431-2174, 1435-0157. doi:
 10.1007/s10040-003-0269-1.
- 670 R. Brauchler, R. Hu, T. Vogt, D. Al-Halbouni, T. Heinrichs, T. Ptak, and

M. Sauter. Cross-well slug interference tests: An effective characterization
method for resolving aquifer heterogeneity. Journal of Hydrology, 384(1-2):
33–45, 2010. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.01.004.

 Richard P. Brent. Algorithms for Minimization Without Derivatives.
 Mathematics of Computation, 28(127):865, 1974. ISSN 00255718. doi: 10.2307/2005713.

D. W. Bridger and D. M. Allen. Influence of geologic layering on heat transport and storage in an aquifer thermal energy storage system.
<u>Hydrogeol J</u>, 22:233–250, 2014. ISSN 1431-2174, 1435-0157. doi: 10.1007/ s10040-013-1049-1.

James J. Butler and Xiaoyong Zhan. Hydraulic tests in highly permeable
aquifers. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 40(12), 2004. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1029/
2003WR002998.

Horatio Scott Carslaw, John Conrad Jaeger, and Jaeger Jaeger, John Conrad.
 <u>Conduction of Heat in Solids</u>. Clarendon Press, 1959. ISBN 978-0-19 853368-9.

W. Cho, Jae-Owan Lee, and Sangki Kwon. Thermal Con-687 Compacted Bentonite-Sand ductivity of Bentonite and Mix-688 Society, ture. Journal of the Korean Radioactive Waste 689 URL https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ 2008.690

Thermal-Conductivity-of-Compacted-Bentonite-and-Cho-Lee/ 3dcdbbee80e6104837c4961adfaf93f744b771b8.

Giorgia Dalla Santa, Antonio Galgaro, Raffaele Sassi, Matteo Cultrera, Paolo
Scotton, Johannes Mueller, David Bertermann, Dimitrios Mendrinos, Riccardo Pasquali, Rodolfo Perego, Sebastian Pera, Eloisa Di Sipio, Giorgio
Cassiani, Michele De Carli, and Adriana Bernardi. An updated ground
thermal properties database for GSHP applications. <u>Geothermics</u>, 85:
101758, 2020. ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101758.

Laura del Val, Jesús Carrera, María Pool, Lurdes Martínez, Carlos
Casanovas, Olivier Bour, and Albert Folch. Heat Dissipation Test With
Fiber-Optic Distributed Temperature Sensing to Estimate Groundwater Flux. <u>Water Res</u>, 57, 2021. ISSN 0043-1397, 1944-7973. doi:
10.1029/2020WR027228.

Frederick Delay, Philippe Ackerer, and Alberto Guadagnini. Theoretical
analysis and field evidence of reciprocity gaps during interference pumping
tests. <u>Advances in Water Resources</u>, 34:592–606, 2011. ISSN 03091708.
doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.02.006.

Frederick Delay, Philippe Ackerer, Benjamin Belfort, and Alberto
Guadagnini. On the emergence of reciprocity gaps during interference
pumping tests in unconfined aquifers. <u>Advances in Water Resources</u>, 46:
11–19, 2012. ISSN 03091708. doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.06.002.

Bas F. des Tombe, Mark Bakker, Frank Smits, Frans Schaars, and Kees-Jan
Made. Estimation of the Variation in Specific Discharge Over Large Depth
Using Distributed Temperature Sensing (DTS) Measurements of the Heat
Pulse Response. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 55:811–826, 2019. ISSN 0043-1397,
1944-7973. doi: 10.1029/2018WR024171.

Bas.F des Tombe, Bart Schilperoort, and Mark Bakker. Estimation of Temperature and Associated Uncertainty from Fiber-Optic Raman-Spectrum
Distributed Temperature Sensing. <u>Sensors</u>, 20:2235, 2020. ISSN 1424-8220.
doi: 10.3390/s20082235.

Jierui Dong, Xuquan Li, Bo Han, Ran Tian, and Huili Yu. A regional study
of in-situ thermal conductivity of soil based on artificial neural network
model. <u>Energy and Buildings</u>, 257:111785, 2022. ISSN 03787788. doi:
10.1016/j.enbuild.2021.111785.

Petr Dědeček, Jan Šafanda, and Dušan Rajver. Detection and quantification
of local anthropogenic and regional climatic transient signals in temperature logs from Czechia and Slovenia. <u>Climatic Change</u>, 113(3-4):787–801,
2012. ISSN 0165-0009, 1573-1480. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0373-5.

Grant Ferguson. Heterogeneity and Thermal Modeling of Ground Water.
 <u>Ground Water</u>, 45:485–490, 2007. ISSN 0017-467X, 1745-6584. doi: 10.
 1111/j.1745-6584.2007.00323.x.

732 P. Fleuchaus, B. Godschalk, I. Stober, and P. Blum. Worldwide application

42

of aquifer thermal energy storage – A review. <u>Renewable and Sustainable</u>
<u>Energy Reviews</u>, 94:861–876, 2018. ISSN 1364-0321. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.
2018.06.057.

B. M. Freifeld, S. Finsterle, T. C. Onstott, P. Toole, and L. M. Pratt. Ground
surface temperature reconstructions: Using in situ estimates for thermal
conductivity acquired with a fiber-optic distributed thermal perturbation
sensor. <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, 35(14):L14309, 2008. ISSN 0094-8276. doi:
10.1029/2008GL034762.

Sayantan Ganguly and M.S. Mohan Kumar. Analytical solutions for move ment of cold water thermal front in a heterogeneous geothermal reservoir.
 <u>Applied Mathematical Modelling</u>, 38:451–463, 2014. ISSN 0307904X. doi:
 10.1016/j.apm.2013.06.031.

Liuhua Gao, Jun Zhao, Qingsong An, Junyao Wang, and Xueling Liu. A
review on system performance studies of aquifer thermal energy storage.
<u>Energy Procedia</u>, 142:3537–3545, 2017. ISSN 18766102. doi: 10.1016/j.
egypro.2017.12.242.

H Ghaebi, M N Bahadori, and M H Saidi. Economic and environmental
evaluation of different operation alternatives to aquifer thermal energy
storage in Tehran, Iran. Scientia Iranica, page 14, 2017.

⁷⁵² S. Gjengedal, L.A. Stenvik, R.K. Ramstad, Jan I. Ulfsnes, Bernt O. Hilmo,
⁷⁵³ and Bjørn S. Frengstad. Online remote-controlled and cost-effective

fouling and clogging surveillance of a groundwater heat pump system:
A case study from Lena Terrace in Melhus, Norway. <u>Bull Eng Geol</u>
<u>Environ</u>, 80:1063–1072, 2021. ISSN 1435-9529, 1435-9537. doi: 10.1007/
s10064-020-01963-z.

Sondre Gjengedal, Randi K. Ramstad, Bernt O. Hilmo, and Bjørn S.
Frengstad. Fouling and clogging surveillance in open loop GSHP systems: A systematic procedure for fouling and clogging detection in the
whole groundwater circuit. <u>Bull Eng Geol Environ</u>, 79:69–82, 2020. ISSN 1435-9529, 1435-9537. doi: 10.1007/s10064-019-01556-5.

Petri Hakala, Sami Vallin, Teppo Arola, and Ilkka Martinkauppi. Novel use
of the enhanced thermal response test in crystalline bedrock. <u>Renewable</u>
<u>Energy</u>, 182:467–482, 2022. ISSN 09601481. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2021.
10.020.

Madih Salih Hantush. Analysis of data from pumping tests in leaky
 aquifers. <u>Trans. AGU</u>, 37:702, 1956. ISSN 0002-8606. doi:
 10.1029/TR037i006p00702. URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/
 TR037i006p00702.

Nejla T. Hariga, Amel Ben Abda, Rachida Bouhlila, and Jean-Raynald
de Dreuzy. Definition and interests of reciprocity and reciprocity gap principles for groundwater flow problems. <u>Advances in Water Resources</u>, 33:
899–904, 2010. ISSN 03091708. doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2010.04.015.

Heiko Huber and Ulvi Arslan. Geothermal Field Tests with Forced Groundwater Flow. page 5, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 2012.

E. Hurtig, S. Groswig, and K. Kühn. Fibre optic temperature sensing: application for subsurface and ground temperature measurements.
<u>Tectonophysics</u>, 257:101–109, 1996. ISSN 00401951. doi: 10.1016/0040-1951(95)00124-7.

Dylan J. Irvine, Craig T. Simmons, Adrian D. Werner, and Thomas
Graf. Heat and Solute Tracers: How Do They Compare in Heterogeneous Aquifers? <u>Groundwater</u>, 53:10–20, 2015. ISSN 0017467X. doi:
10.1111/gwat.12146.

Maria Klepikova, Samuel Wildemeersch, Thomas Hermans, Pierre Jamin,
Philippe Orban, Frédéric Nguyen, Serge Brouyère, and Alain Dassargues.
Heat tracer test in an alluvial aquifer: Field experiment and inverse modelling. Journal of Hydrology, 540:812–823, 2016. ISSN 00221694. doi:
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.06.066.

Maria Klepikova, Bernard Brixel, and Delphine Roubinet. Analysis of thermal dilution experiments with distributed temperature sensing for fractured rock characterization. Journal of Hydrology, 610:127874, 2022. ISSN 0022-1694. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127874.

G. P. Kruseman and N. A. de Ridder. <u>Analysis and evaluation of pumping</u>
 test data. ILRI publication. International Institute for Land Reclamation

and Improvement, Wageningen, 2. ed. (compl. rev.), repr edition, 1994.
ISBN 978-90-70754-20-4. OCLC: 258203525.

- J. La Bernardie, O. Bour, T. Le Borgne, N. Guihéneuf, E. Chatton,
 T. Labasque, H. Le Lay, and M.-F. Gerard. Thermal Attenuation and
 Lag Time in Fractured Rock: Theory and Field Measurements From Joint
 Heat and Solute Tracer Tests. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 54, 2018. ISSN 00431397, 1944-7973. doi: 10.1029/2018WR023199.
- C. Labat, F. Larroque, B. de Grissac, A. Dupuy, M. Saltel, and P. Bourbon. Influence of an anticline structure on hydrogeological functioning and aquifer interactions in a multilayered aquifer system: the case of Villagrains-Landiras anticline (Gironde, France). <u>Hydrogeol J</u>, 29:1711–1732, 2021. ISSN 1431-2174, 1435-0157. doi: 10.1007/s10040-021-02333-z.
- F. Larroque, O. Cabaret, O. Atteia, A. Dupuy, and M. Franceschi. Vertical heterogeneities of hydraulic aquitard parameters: preliminary results
 from laboratory and *in situ* monitoring. <u>Hydrological Sciences Journal</u>, 58:
 912–929, 2013. ISSN 0262-6667, 2150-3435. doi: 10.1080/02626667.2013.
 783215.
- T. Le Borgne, O. Bour, F.L. Paillet, and J.-P. Caudal. Assessment of preferential flow path connectivity and hydraulic properties at single-borehole
 and cross-borehole scales in a fractured aquifer. Journal of Hydrology, 328:
 347–359, 2006a. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.12.029.

Tanguy Le Borgne, Frederick Paillet, Olivier Bour, and Jean-Pierre Caudal. Cross-Borehole Flowmeter Tests for Transient Heads in Heterogeneous
Aquifers. <u>Ground Water</u>, 44:444–452, 2006b. ISSN 0017-467X, 1745-6584.
doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.00150.x.

Gérard Lods, Delphine Roubinet, Jürg M. Matter, Richard Leprovost, and
Philippe Gouze. Groundwater flow characterization of an ophiolitic hardrock aquifer from cross-borehole multi-level hydraulic experiments. Journal
<u>of Hydrology</u>, 589, 2020. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.
125152.

C. H. Maldaner, J. D. Munn, T. I. Coleman, J. W. Molson, and B. L. Parker.
Groundwater Flow Quantification in Fractured Rock Boreholes Using Active Distributed Temperature Sensing Under Natural Gradient Conditions.
<u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 55:3285–3306, 2019. ISSN 0043-1397, 1944-7973. doi:
10.1029/2018WR024319.

Marianna Marinoni, Frederick Delay, Philippe Ackerer, Monica Riva, and
Alberto Guadagnini. Identification of groundwater flow parameters using
reciprocal data from hydraulic interference tests. Journal of Hydrology,
539:88–101, 2016. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.019.

F.J. Molz, G.K. Boman, S.C. Young, and W.R. Waldrop. Borehole flowmeters: field application and data analysis. Journal of Hydrology, 163(3-4):
347–371, 1994. ISSN 00221694. doi: 10.1016/0022-1694(94)90148-1.

838	Fred J. Molz, Roger H. Morin, Alfred E. Hess, Joel G. Melville, and Oktay
839	Güven. The Impeller Meter for measuring aquifer permeability variations:
840	Evaluation and comparison with other tests. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u> , 25:1677–
841	1683, 1989. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1029/WR025i007p01677.

- Van Tam Nguyen, Thomas Graf, and Carlos R. Guevara Morel. Free thermal
 convection in heterogeneous porous media. <u>Geothermics</u>, 64:152–162, 2016.
 ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2016.05.006.
- F. L. Paillet. Flow modeling and permeability estimation using borehole
 flow logs in heterogeneous fractured formations. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 34:
 997–1010, 1998. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1029/98WR00268.
- M. Possemiers, M. Huysmans, and O. Batelaan. Application of multiple-point
 geostatistics to simulate the effect of small-scale aquifer heterogeneity on
 the efficiency of aquifer thermal energy storage. <u>Hydrogeology Journal</u>, 23,
 2015. doi: 10.1007/s10040-015-1244-3.
- T. Read, O. Bour, J. S. Selker, V. F. Bense, T. Le Borgne, R. Hochreutener,
 and N. Lavenant. Active-distributed temperature sensing to continuously
 quantify vertical flow in boreholes. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 50:3706–3713,
 2014. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1002/2014WR015273.
- ⁸⁵⁶ Delphine Roubinet, James Irving, and Frederick D. Day-Lewis. Develop-⁸⁵⁷ ment of a new semi-analytical model for cross-borehole flow experiments

in fractured media. <u>Advances in Water Resources</u>, 76:97–108, 2015. ISSN
 03091708. doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2014.12.002.

X. Sanchez-Vila, P. Ackerer, F. Delay, and A. Guadagnini. Characterization
of reciprocity gaps from interference tests in fractured media through a dual
porosity model. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 52:1696–1704, 2016. ISSN 00431397.
doi: 10.1002/2015WR018171.

S. Schüppler, P. Fleuchaus, and Philipp Blum. Techno-economic and environmental analysis of an Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage (ATES)
in Germany. <u>Geotherm Energy</u>, 7:11, 2019. ISSN 2195-9706. doi:
10.1186/s40517-019-0127-6.

Frank Selker and John Selker. Investigating Water Movement Within and
Near Wells Using Active Point Heating and Fiber Optic Distributed Temperature Sensing. <u>Sensors</u>, 18, 2018. ISSN 1424-8220. doi: 10.3390/
s18041023.

Mostafa H. Sharqawy, Hassan M. Badr, and Esmail M. Mokheimer. Investigation of buoyancy effects on heat transfer between a vertical borehole heat
exchanger and the ground. <u>Geothermics</u>, 48:52–59, 2013. ISSN 03756505.
doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2013.04.003.

N. Simon and O. Bour. An ADTS Toolbox for Automatically Interpreting
Active Distributed Temperature Sensing Measurements. Groundwater,

page gwat.13172, January 2022. ISSN 0017-467X, 1745-6584. doi:
10.1111/gwat.13172.

N. Simon, O. Bour, N. Lavenant, G. Porel, B. Nauleau, B. Pouladi,
L. Longuevergne, and A. Crave. Numerical and Experimental Validation
of the Applicability of Active-DTS Experiments to Estimate Thermal Conductivity and Groundwater Flux in Porous Media. <u>Water Res</u>, 57, 2021.
ISSN 0043-1397, 1944-7973. doi: 10.1029/2020WR028078.

Nataline Simon, Olivier Bour, Nicolas Lavenant, Gilles Porel, Benoît
Nauleau, Behzad Pouladi, and Laurent Longuevergne. A Comparison of
Different Methods to Estimate the Effective Spatial Resolution of FO-DTS
Measurements Achieved during Sandbox Experiments. <u>Sensors</u>, 20, 2020.
ISSN 1424-8220. doi: 10.3390/s20020570.

W. Sommer, J. Valstar, P. van Gaans, T. Grotenhuis, and H. Rijnaarts.
The impact of aquifer heterogeneity on the performance of aquifer thermal energy storage. <u>Water Resources Research</u>, 49:8128–8138, 2013.
ISSN 1944-7973. doi: 10.1002/2013WR013677. URL https://agupubs.
onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013WR013677. Number:
12.

Wei Song, Xiaoxiu Liu, Tuanfeng Zheng, and Jiaming Yang. A review of
recharge and clogging in sandstone aquifer. <u>Geothermics</u>, 87:101857, 2020.
ISSN 03756505. doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2020.101857.

Ruben Stemmle, Philipp Blum, Simon Schüppler, Paul Fleuchaus, Melissa
Limoges, Peter Bayer, and Kathrin Menberg. Environmental impacts
of aquifer thermal energy storage (ATES). <u>Renewable and Sustainable</u>
<u>Energy Reviews</u>, 151, 2021. ISSN 13640321. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.
111560.

Károly Sztrákos and Etienne Steurbaut. Révision lithostratigraphique
et biostratigraphique de l'Oligocène d'Aquitaine occidentale (France).
<u>Geodiversitas</u>, 39:741–781, 2017. ISSN 1280-9659, 1638-9395. doi: 10.
5252/g2017n4a6.

- Anh-Minh Tang, Yu-Jun Cui, and Trung-Tinh Le. A study on the thermal
 conductivity of compacted bentonites. <u>Applied Clay Science</u>, 41:181–189,
 2008. ISSN 0169-1317. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2007.11.001.
- S. W. Tyler, J. S. Selker, M. B. Hausner, C. E. Hatch, T. Torgersen, C. E.
 Thodal, and S. G. Schladow. Environmental temperature sensing using
 Raman spectra DTS fiber-optic methods: DTS FIBER-OPTIC SENSING. <u>Water Resour. Res.</u>, 45, 2009. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1029/
 2008WR007052.

Nick van de Giesen, Susan C. Steele-Dunne, Jop Jansen, Olivier Hoes,
Mark B. Hausner, Scott Tyler, and John Selker. Double-Ended Calibration of Fiber-Optic Raman Spectra Distributed Temperature Sensing Data.
Sensors, 12:5471–5485, 2012. ISSN 1424-8220. doi: 10.3390/s120505471.

D. Vanhoudt, J. Desmedt, J. Van Bael, N. Robeyn, and H. Hoes. An aquifer
thermal storage system in a Belgian hospital: Long-term experimental
evaluation of energy and cost savings. <u>Energy and Buildings</u>, 43:3657–
3665, 2011. ISSN 03787788. doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2011.09.040.

- P.W. Visser, H. Kooi, and P.J. Stuyfzand. The thermal impact of aquifer
 thermal energy storage (ATES) systems: a case study in the Netherlands,
 combining monitoring and modeling. <u>Hydrogeol J</u>, 23:507–532, 2015. ISSN
 1431-2174, 1435-0157. doi: 10.1007/s10040-014-1224-z. Number: 3.
- Maria Vélez Márquez, Jasmin Raymond, Daniela Blessent, Mikael Philippe,
 Nataline Simon, Olivier Bour, and Louis Lamarche. Distributed Thermal Response Tests Using a Heating Cable and Fiber Optic Temperature Sensing. <u>Energies</u>, 11(11):3059, 2018. ISSN 1996-1073. doi:
 10.3390/en11113059.

⁹³³ Bo Zhang, Kai Gu, Bin Shi, Chun Liu, Peter Bayer, Guangqing Wei, Xülong
⁹³⁴ Gong, and Lei Yang. Actively heated fiber optics based thermal response
⁹³⁵ test: A field demonstration. <u>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</u>,
⁹³⁶ 134, 2020. ISSN 13640321. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.110336.

937 Acknowledgements

This research was partly funded by the ANR project EQUIPEX CRI-TEX (grant number ANR-11-EQPX-0011). Jeremy Godinaud acknowleges financial and technical support by Storengy and Antea Group for technical
support. Maria Klepikova acknowledges financial support by the European
Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the MarieSklodowska Curie grant agreement No 838508.