

# Ganymede's atmosphere as constrained by HST/STIS observations

François Leblanc, L. Roth, Jean-Yves Chaufray, Ronan Modolo, M. Galand, Nickolay Ivchenko, Gianluca Carnielli, Claire Baskevitch, A. Oza, Elisabeth Werner

# ▶ To cite this version:

François Leblanc, L. Roth, Jean-Yves Chaufray, Ronan Modolo, M. Galand, et al.. Ganymede's atmosphere as constrained by HST/STIS observations. Icarus, 2023, 399, pp.115557. 10.1016/j.icarus.2023.115557. insu-04056918

# HAL Id: insu-04056918 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04056918

Submitted on 5 Jul2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Ganymede's atmosphere as constrained by HST/STIS observations
2	
3	F. Leblanc <sup>1</sup> , Roth L. <sup>2</sup> , Chaufray J.Y. <sup>1</sup> , R. Modolo <sup>1</sup> , M. Galand <sup>3</sup> , N. Ivchenko <sup>2</sup> , G.
4	Carnielli <sup>3</sup> , C. Baskevitch <sup>1</sup> , A. Oza <sup>4</sup> and A.L.E. Werner <sup>1, 5</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> LATMOS/CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, Paris, France
7	<sup>2</sup> Space and Plasma Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
8	<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London, UK
9	<sup>4</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
10	<sup>5</sup> Now at Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Uppsala, Sweden
11	
12	

# 13 Abstract

14 A new analysis of aurora observations of Ganymede's atmosphere on the orbital leading and trailing hemispheres has been recently published by Roth et al. (2021), suggesting that water is its main 15 16 constituent near noon. Here, we present two additional aurora observations of Ganymede's sub-Jovian 17 and anti-Jovian hemispheres, which suggest a modulation of the atmospheric  $H_2O/O_2$  ratio on the 18 moon's orbital period, and analyze the orbital evolution of the atmosphere. For this, we propose a 19 reconstruction of aurora observations based on a physical modelling of the exosphere taking into 20 account its orbital variability (the Exospheric Global Model; Leblanc et al. 2017). The solution described 21 in this paper agrees with Roth et al. (2021) that Ganymede's exosphere should be dominantly 22 composed of water molecules. From Ganymede's position when its leading hemisphere is illuminated to when it is its trailing hemisphere, the column density of O<sub>2</sub> may vary between 4.3×10<sup>14</sup> and 3.6×10<sup>14</sup> 23  $cm^{-2}$  whereas the H<sub>2</sub>O column density should vary between 5.6×10<sup>14</sup> and 1.3×10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. The water 24 25 content of Ganymede's atmosphere is essentially constrained by its sublimation rate whereas the O<sub>2</sub> 26 component of Ganymede's atmosphere is controlled by the radiolytic yield. The other species, 27 products of the water molecules, vary in a more complex way depending on their sources, either as 28 ejecta from the surface and/or as product of the dissociation of the other atmospheric constituents. 29 Electron impact on H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub> molecules is shown to likely produce H Lyman-alpha emissions close to Ganymede, in addition to the observed extended Lyman-alpha corona from H resonant scattering. All 30 31 these conclusions being highly dependent on our capability to accurately model the origins of the 32 observed Ganymede auroral emissions, modelling these emissions remains poorly constrained without an accurate knowledge of the Jovian magnetospheric and Ganymede ionospheric electron 33 34 populations.

# 35 I Introduction

As summarized in Roth et al. (2022), only very few direct observations of Ganymede's atmosphere 36 37 have been realized so far. The very first evidence of Ganymede's atmosphere was obtained by Galileo FUV instrument in 1996 (Barth et al. 1997) and revealed an extended atmosphere of H atoms, an 38 39 observation later confirmed by the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST/STIS; Feldman et al. 2000; Alday et al. 2017). Alday et al. (2017) concluded that the 40 41 Lyman  $\alpha$  emission brightness does not vary along Ganymede's orbit with an average corona emission intensity of ~320 R corresponding to a column density of 1.55±1.2×10<sup>12</sup> H/cm<sup>2</sup> if only produced by 42 43 resonant scattering. Recent HST/STIS observations of Ganymede in transit (Roth et al. 2023) found 44 weak but widely extended absorption around the moon, confirming the H corona profile and densities 45 derived earlier. In 1996, the Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph of the Hubble Space Telescope 46 (HST/GHRS) obtained the first evidence of two other emission lines at 130.4 and 135.6 nm from 47 Ganymede's atmosphere (Hall et al. 1998). The ratio of these two emissions strongly suggested that 48 these observed emissions were probably due to electron impact on O<sub>2</sub> molecules. Moreover, the first images of these emission lines obtained by HST/STIS clearly showed that the 135.6 nm was confined 49 50 in the polar regions (Hall et al. 1998) and even roughly colocated to the open-closed field line boundary 51 (OCFB) of Ganymede magnetosphere (McGrath et al. 2013; Molyneux et al. 2018). The auroral nature 52 of these two emissions implies that their brightnesses depend essentially on three parameters: the 53 column density and composition of the neutral atmosphere and the energy flux distribution of the 54 electrons. When supposing that the observed emission was only produced by electron impact on  $O_2$ , without a knowledge of the electron distribution in these regions, the column density of O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> could 55 not be estimated very accurately and was inferred to be between 10<sup>14</sup> to 10<sup>15</sup> O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> (Hall et al. 1998; 56 Molyneux et al. 2018). No observation constraining the H<sub>2</sub>O, OH and H<sub>2</sub> exosphere of Ganymede has 57 58 been obtained up to Roth et al. (2021), whereas the oxygen atomic species was tentatively identified 59 (Molyneux et al. 1998; Roth et al. 2021).

61 Considering the relatively limited number of observations and the difficulty to realize new ones, 62 modelling Ganymede's atmosphere remains presently our best approach to get an idea on how this 63 atmosphere might be spatially organized and temporarily variable. This atmosphere is thought to be 64 essentially composed of H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub> and their products. H<sub>2</sub>O is essentially produced by sublimation near 65 the subsolar point, which according to Marconi (2007), Shematovitch (2016) and Leblanc et al. (2017) 66 leads to a partially surface bounded exosphere in reference to the Moon exosphere (Stern 1999). But 67 H<sub>2</sub>O can also be produced by the sputtering of Ganymede icy surface (Cassidy et al. 2013) at high 68 latitudes.

69 Our ability to model Ganymede's atmosphere depends therefore on several parameters:

- the surface temperature and composition (Spencer et al. 1989; Leblanc et al. 2017),

- the spatial dependency of the ion bombardment of the surface by the Jovian particles (Plainaki et al.
2020a, b; Vorburger et al. 2022) but also by ions produced locally in Ganymede's neutral atmosphere
(Carnielli et al. 2020b),

- the ejection rate induced by the sputtering (Cooper et al. 2001; Turc et al. 2014; Plainaki et al. 2015;
2020a, b and Carnielli et al. 2020a, b) and by sublimation (Johnson et al. 1989; Fray and Schmitt 2009;
Leblanc et al. 2017; Vorburger et al. 2022),

- the losses by photon and electron ionization and dissociation, as well as by reabsorption at the surface
and by neutral and ion escape,

- the orbital variability of all these parameters (Plainaki et al. 2015; Leblanc et al. 2017).

Recently, Roth et al. (2021) proposed an original analysis of new and old HST/STIS observations obtained at two different orbital positions of Ganymede. These authors suggested that the radial distribution of the 130.4 nm and 135.6 nm emission lines brightness was consistent with the existence of a dominant H<sub>2</sub>O atmospheric component and with its predicted orbital variability. Based on a simple symmetric model of the atmosphere, Roth et al. (2021) concluded that Ganymede's atmosphere water column density peaks at a value of  $6 \times 10^{15} H_2 O/cm^2$  near the center of the visible disk when the trailing hemisphere is illuminated, a value which decreases to  $1 \times 10^{15} H_2 O/cm^2$  when the leading hemisphere of Ganymede is illuminated.

In the following, we present a detailed comparison between these observations and the results of simulation performed with Exospheric Global Model, EGM (Leblanc et al. 2017; Oza et al. 2019). In section II, we briefly describe the set of observations analyzed in this paper, in section III, our model, whereas in section IV a detailed comparison and discussion of the main lessons from such a comparison are provided. Section V summarizes the main conclusions of this work.

93

# 94 II HST/STIS Observations

Roth et al. (2021) reported the first indirect observation of Ganymede water atmosphere. They used
two sets of images by Hubble's Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (HST/STIS) performed at two

97 positions of Ganymede along its orbit:

98 - at a phase angle of 98° - 111° (2010-11-19, Figure 1 in yellow), that is with the leading hemispheric
99 side of Ganymede being illuminated,

- at a phase angle of 289° - 300° (1998-10-30, Figure 1 in blue), that is with the trailing hemispheric
side of Ganymede being illuminated.

102 In addition, Roth et al. (2021) analyzed spectra taken with HST's Cosmics Origins Spectrograph (COS)

103 at a phase angle of 352° – 357°, that is just before and partly when Ganymede is in the shadow of

104 Jupiter. At such phase angle, they reported no significant change in the relative intensity of the two

105 oxygen lines, 130.4 and 135.6 nm, suggesting that the atomic oxygen atmospheric content should

106 not exceed 2×10<sup>12</sup> O/cm<sup>2</sup>. In the following, we will not use the COS spectra in our analysis because

107 these observations were only used to estimate an upper limit for the exospheric content in atomic

108 oxygen and do not provide useful spatial information on the emissions.

109	To the two sets of HST/STIS observations, we add two other sets of HST/STIS observations obtained
110	at two complementary positions of Ganymede (Figure 1; Marzok et al. 2022):
111	- at a phase angle of 173-177° obtained with STIS on the 02/02/2017 (Figure 1, in green),
112	- at a phase angle of 335°-340° obtained with STIS on the 11/30/2003 (Figure 1, in red).
113	These two later sets of observations nicely complete the ones described in Roth et al. (2021) even if
114	obtained with lower signal/noise ratio (Figure 2) and allow us to track the atmospheric orbital
115	variability. The 135.6 nm images have been published in McGrath et al. (2013) (2003 dataset) and
116	Marzok et al. (2022) (2017 dataset). For this study, the 130.4 images obtained simultaneously were
117	analyzed for the first time and are used in addition. The data processing and image analysis of the
118	new datasets follows the same steps as described in Roth et al. (2021), Roth et al. (2016) and (2014):
119	- Earth 130.4 nm Geocorona is taken into account by selecting low-Geocorona exposures when HST
120	was in Earth's nightside and by monitoring the 130.4 nm background signal as HST moves into Earth's
121	shadow (Roth et al. 2016). The background induced by the Geocorona, interplanetary medium and
122	torus emissions is also estimated and subtracted using two regions far from Ganymede disk along the
123	slit (Roth et al. 2014).
124	- Solar reflected light from Ganymede's surface (surface albedo) is subtracted from the observation
125	using UV daily observations by SORCE/SOLSTICE instrument (McClintock et al. 2005) taking into
126	account STIS G140L resolution and using a homogeneous bright model disk of Ganymede convolved
127	with STIS Point Spread Function and adjusted to match the observed surface reflection signal
128	between 143 and 153 nm. We have tested different phase angle dependencies for the surface
129	reflection between a uniform disk (as used in Roth et al. 2021) and a Lambertian reflector using the
130	description from Oren and Nayar (1994). The effects on the resulting emission ratio is less than 10%

and for the presented results we used the simple uniform disk reflectance.

From the corrected HST/STIS images, we calculated the radial profiles of the intensity of the 130.4 and 135.6 nm emissions by integrating its brightness on annulus centred on Ganymede's disk and binned in steps of 0.2 R<sub>G</sub> for the observations obtained at a phase angle of 98°-111° and 298°-300° (panels a and d in Figure 2), of 0.4 R<sub>G</sub> for a phase angle of 335°-340° (panel b in Figure 2) and of 0.25 R<sub>G</sub> for a phase angle of 173°-177° (panel c in Figure 2). The large bin size in panel b is chosen to get somewhat lower error bars while still keeping a reasonable number of 4 bins to actually see a radial profile trend.



139

140 **Figure 1:** Positions of Ganymede during the four sets of observations of HST/STIS used in this paper. We

also indicated the range of phase angle of each set of observations as well as their date. The caption

142 colors of the circle refer to Figures 2 and 6.

143

144 Figure 2 provides a view of the four sets of observations used in this paper. At the four orbital positions

of Ganymede (Figure 1), HST/STIS realized 2D images of the emission brightness intensity of the 130.4

146 nm and 135.6 nm emission lines (left panels of each set of observations) from which it was possible to

147 extract an average emission intensity profile with respect to the distance from the center of Ganymede 148 apparent disk (right top panel). We also derived the ratio of these two emissions lines as a function of 149 the distance from the center (right bottom panels). This ratio is displayed up to  $1.4 R_{G}$  whereas the 2D 150 images show pixels up to at least 1.2 R<sub>G</sub> (panel d). The observations use a long slit and the images 151 therefore do not go beyond the left and right edges shown in Figure 2, but above and below the 152 displayed images. However, because the signal-to-noise ratio of a single pixel is well below 1 at 153 distances > 1.2 R<sub>G</sub>, we choose not to show those pixels above 1.2 R<sub>G</sub> in these 2D images. When the 154 signal was low, we rather choose to integrate over few pixels to show a significant signal, not 155 necessarily showing the noisy spatially resolved data. As explained in Roth et al. (2021) and displayed 156 in Figure 3d, this ratio should be of ~2.3 if both emissions are produced by the electron impact on O<sub>2</sub> 157 only. The ratio is close to  $\sim$ 0.2 if produced by electron impact on H<sub>2</sub>O and is lower than 0.1 if produced 158 by electron impact on atomic O (Figure 3d).



Figure 2: Observation of Ganymede's atmospheric emission by HST/STIS. Each panel corresponds to
one position displayed in Figure 1: a 2010-11-19, phase angle of 98°-111° (Roth et al. 2021). b: 200311-30, phase angle of 335°-340° (McGrath et al. 2013). c: 2017-02-02, phase angle of 173° - 177°. d:
1998-10-30, phase angle between 289° - 300° (Roth et al. 2021). Each panel surrounded by a rectangle
whose colour corresponds to that used for the circles in Figure 1 is composed of the STIS images of the

135.6 nm (red) and 130.4 nm (blue) brightness intensities, of the radial profile from the center of the disk up to around half a radius above the limb of the emission brightness intensity at these two wavelengths (top right panel in each rectangle where the red solid line corresponds to the 135.6 nm emission brightness and the blue solid line to the 130.4 nm emission brightness) and of the ratio of the 135.6 nm emission intensity divided by the 130.4 nm emission intensity (bottom right panel in each rectangle). The North direction in panels b and c (pointing towards the bottom of the figure) is inverted with respect to the North direction in panels a and b (pointing towards the top of the figure).



**Figure 3:** Emission excitation electron impact cross sections. *a*:  $O_2 + e$  (Kanik et al. 2003). *b*:  $H_2O + e$ (Makarov et al. 2004) and only available measurement of the  $H_2O + e$  135.6 nm at 100 eV (Roth et al. 2021). *c*: O + e (Tayal et al. 2016 for 135.6 nm; Johnson et al. 2005 for 130.4 nm). d: ratio of the 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm emission excitation cross section for  $O_2+e$  (black line),  $H_2O+e$  (blue line, with the assumption that the only measurement at 100 eV is valid on the whole range of electron energy plotted here) and O+e (orange line).

As displayed in Figure 2, the observed ratio of the 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm emission line brightness varies between 3.0 and 0.5 and was interpreted as a signature of the mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O or O relative to O<sub>2</sub>. Roth et al. (2021) also suggested that the contribution to these emission lines from electron impact on atomic oxygen was negligible on the disk but can explain the observed decrease in the 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm ratio above the limb (at radial distance > 1 R<sub>G</sub> in Figure 2).

185 As shown in Figure 2, the best observations in terms of signal noise ratio were obtained when 186 Ganymede was at a phase angle of 98° - 111° (panel a) and 289° - 300° (panel d) (Roth et al. 2021). 187 Adding two other sets of observation allow us to highlight the orbital variability of Ganymede's 188 atmosphere. The 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm emission intensity ratio at the center of the disk (all pixels inside 189  $< 0.5 \text{ R}_{G}$ ) is lower than  $\sim 1.0$  at a phase angle of 289° - 300° (panel d), i.e. when the trailing hemisphere 190 is observed. It is slightly higher with values around 1-1.5 on the subjovian (335°- 340°, panel b) and 191 antijovian sides (173°-177°, panel c) and peaking at a value around 2.0 at a phase angle of 98° - 111° 192 (panel a, wake or leading hemisphere). If interpreted with the  $H_2O$  abundance reducing the ratio, the 193 observations of a systematically lower ratio at the four orbital positions implies that the H<sub>2</sub>O 194 atmosphere is not transient but constantly present. The value of the oxygen emission ratio is around 195 2.5 at the limb at all four orbital positions.

196 We note that the propagated uncertainty of the OI ratio near the disk center in the profiles of the 197 newly added images is particularly high, allowing in principle for values consistent with (almost) only 198  $O_2$  in the atmosphere. However, when integrating all pixels within 0.8 R<sub>G</sub> for the phase angle 335°-199 340° images (panel b, corresponding to the first 2 radial bins), we find a OI ratio of  $1.4 \pm 0.8$ , which is 200 slightly outside the 1-sigma bounds for a pure O2 atmosphere. Integrating over all pixels withing 0.6 201  $R_G$  for the phase angle 173° - 177° image (panel c, ~2.4 bins), the OI ratio of 1.1  $\pm$  0.5 is inconsistent 202 with pure  $O_2$  at a 2-sigma level. Thus, also only marginally significant, the radial trend in the OI ratio 203 found by Roth et al. (2021) is also present in the new two datasets.

204 The 2D images of the emission brightness might help us to explain this variability, with auroral regions 205 closer to the center of the disk at 98° - 111° and 173° - 177° than at 289° - 300° and 335° - 340°. As 206 shown in Marconi (2007), Ganymede's atmosphere is probably composed of H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> and of their 207 products, with a denser O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the polar auroral regions and an H<sub>2</sub>O atmosphere essentially 208 produced by sublimation around the subsolar region. In another way, at phase angles of 98° - 111°, the 209 auroral regions are close to the center of the apparent disk, that is, to a region dominated by H<sub>2</sub>O 210 leading to a low ratio of the two O emission lines. At 177°, the auroral regions are close to the limb far 211 from the subsolar region, in a region of the exosphere dominated by O<sub>2</sub> leading to a higher ratio of 212 these two O emission lines.

213

In order to properly compare observation and simulation, there are three effects that should beconsidered because they can degrade the spatial resolution when observing Ganymede with HST/STIS:

• the pixel resolution of the instrument which is about 80 km,

• the PSF-smearing of about 2-2.5 pixels,

• the spectral smearing due to the dispersion included in the telescope setup. This will be small

and not distinguishable from spatial effects. We neglect the effects in our modelling.

220 The first two effects are taken into account by projecting the simulated image on a grid with 80 km

resolution and then convolving this with a 2D Lorentzian with a FWHM of 2.5 pixels.

222

# 223 III Exospheric Global Model

224 III.1 Description of the model

The Exospheric Global Model (EGM) is a 3D time dependent Monte Carlo model that describes the fate of atmospheric species ejected from Ganymede's surface, moving under the influence of Ganymede and Jupiter gravity fields, being absorbed or reejected when reimpacting the surface and eventually 228 ionized or dissociated by photon and electron impacts. All products of water and O<sub>2</sub> are described, that 229 is, H<sub>2</sub>O, H, H<sub>2</sub>, O, O<sub>2</sub> and OH. EGM can also describe the effects of collision between these species in 230 the case of weakly dense atmosphere but in the following we will present simulations performed by 231 neglecting collisions between atmospheric species. Indeed, as explained in Leblanc et al. (2017), 232 collisions have a limited impact on the 3D large scale structure of the atmosphere and would not 233 change the conclusions of this present work. All details regarding EGM can be found in Leblanc et al. 234 (2017). EGM is time dependent so that it allows to follow the trajectory of the exospheric particles all 235 along Ganymede rotation around Jupiter. It therefore takes into account the centrifugal and Coriolis 236 forces applied on these particles due to Ganymede rotation in Jupiter frame and the variations of the 237 solar illumination (including the shadow induced by Jupiter) during Ganymede's orbit.

238 With EGM, we reconstruct in 3D the density, bulk velocity and temperature of all neutral species 239 around Ganymede. In order to reconstruct the emission intensity, we consider the various electron 240 and photon induced reactions that could produce the 130.4 nm, 135.6 nm or 121.6 nm emissions. In 241 the case of electron impact induced emission excitation, we considered various laboratory 242 measurements as listed in the fourth column of Table 1. The calculated emission rates at an energy of 243 100 eV are close to the ones used in Roth et al. (2021), as displayed in the second and third columns 244 of Table 1, except for electron impact on O impact leading to 135.6 nm emission for which we used 245 different cross sections than in Roth et al. (2021). For photon impact emission excitation, we used 246 previously published rates as indicated in Table 1.

	Rate for electrons	Rate for electrons	
Reactions	at 100 eV	at 100 eV	Reference
	(Roth et al. 2021)	(This work)	
O₂ + e → 1356 Å	3.7×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Kanik et al. (2003)
O₂ + e → 1304 Å	1.57×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.72×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
O + e → 1356 Å	0.195×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	0.24×10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Tayal et al. (2016)

4.84×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> 5.38×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		Johnson et al. (2005)
0.16×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	0.19×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Makarov et al. (2004)
0.32×10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	0.37×10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Rate at 130.4 nm divided by 5
		(Roth et al. 2021)
Not available	3.2×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Ajello et al. (1995)
Not available	4.2×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Makarov et al. (2004)
Excitati	ion rate	Reference
1 4×1	<b>O</b> <sup>-10</sup> c <sup>-1</sup>	Poth at al. (2014)
1.4^1	0 3	Kotii et al. (2014)
7.29 – 11.8×10 <sup>-5</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		Aldaviet al. (2017)
7.25-11	.0^10_3	
	4.84×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> 0.16×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> 0.32×10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> Not available Not available Excitati	$4.84 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $5.38 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $0.16 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $0.19 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $0.32 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $0.37 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ Not available $3.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ Not available $4.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ Image: the second

**Table 1:** Reactions and rates used to calculate the emission intensity at 1304, 1356 and 1216 Å.

248 In Leblanc et al. (2017), we discussed two scenarios for the production of H<sub>2</sub>O. One case with low 249 sublimation rate (hereafter the dry exospheric case) is inconsistent with the  $H_2O/O_2$  ratios from Roth et al. (2021) for  $O_2$  column densities higher than  $1 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, as generally assumed to be the case for 250 251 Ganymede (Hall et al. 1998, Johnson et al. 2004). The second case used nominal sublimation rate as 252 calculated from Fray and Schmitt (2009) parametrization of the sublimation of water ice at very low 253 pressure and cold temperature. Using the nominal sublimation rate scenario, we here simulate five 254 consecutive orbits of Ganymede around Jupiter following around 2×10<sup>6</sup> test-particles at each time step 255 of 0.25 s. Few hundred thousand test-particles were used to describe each of the 6 species, namely, H, H<sub>2</sub>, O, OH, H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> with weight values between 10<sup>7</sup> to 10<sup>16</sup> (number of real particles represented 256 257 by each test-particle). The macroscopic quantities like density, velocity, temperature, escape flux and 258 reabsorbed surface flux are reconstructed on a 100 (along the radial direction) × 50 (along the polar 259 direction) × 100 (along the azimuth direction) spherical grid. A typical run lasts one to two weeks on 64 CPUs. Four orbits are needed in order to reach a steady solution with no significant difference in
the 3D reconstructed macroscopic parameters between the two last orbits.

262 The characteristics of the electron population at the origin of the auroral emissions remain poorly 263 constrained by observation. Roth et al. (2021) assumed an effective averaged homogeneous electron 264 density with values between 20 and 30 cm<sup>-3</sup>, an electronic temperature of 100 eV and global  $O_2$ abundances of ~3 x 10<sup>14</sup> O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>, which yields effective 135.6 emission brightnesses primarily produced 265 266 by impact of electrons on O<sub>2</sub> (see Figure 3) in the range of the observed values. As discussed in Carnielli et al. (2020a), the original  $10^{14}$  -  $10^{15}$  O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> suggested by Hall et al. (1998) is based on the upper limit 267 268 set by a stellar occultation observation performed by Voyager 1 (Broadfoot et al. 1979) which suggested actually an upper limit at 2.5×10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> (Carnielli et al. 2020a). Carnielli et al. (2020a) 269 270 reconstructed the ionospheric density, compared the resulting density to the G2 flyby measurements 271 for the case of a dry exosphere (Leblanc et al. 2017) and concluded that both exospheric content and 272 electron ionization rate might have been underestimated in previous modelling (Marconi 2007; 273 Leblanc et al. 2017) illustrating the difficulty to derive from the auroral emission observations an 274 accurate estimate of Ganymede's atmospheric content without knowing the electron density and 275 temperature. Eviatar et al. (2001) using a simple auroral model (or more specifically, the electron 276 energy spectral flux measured by Galileo over the auroral region) suggested that the electron density responsible for Ganymede's auroral emissions should be closer to a few hundred cm<sup>-3</sup> rather than few 277 278 ten of cm<sup>-3</sup>. Such a much larger electron density would mechanically imply a much less dense 279 exosphere in the auroral regions to explain the observed auroral brightnesses except if the electron 280 temperature is significantly smaller than supposed by these authors.

In the following, we chose to use an electron population at 100 eV. A uniform reaction rate corresponding to the rate displayed in Table 1 multiplied by an electron density of 20 cm<sup>-3</sup> is assumed everywhere except over the auroral ovals. A locally increased reaction rate is set over the latitudes ±10° of the OCFB (see Figure 3 of McGrath et al. 2013 and Figure 3 of Leblanc et al. 2017) and corresponds to the rate displayed in Table 1 multiplied by an electron density of 70 cm<sup>-3</sup>. The ±10° was

chosen to reproduce roughly the observed latitudinal width (c.f. Musacchio et al. 2017, their figure 9)
and corresponds to the current structure in Ganymede's magnetosphere as modelled by Jia et al.
(2009). The ejection mechanisms at the origin of Ganymede's exosphere are also constrained using
this same separation between open and close field line regions as described in Leblanc et al. (2017).

290

# 291 III.2 Ganymede's exosphere as modelled by EGM

292 Table 2 provides the surface ejection rate for the 7 species described in EGM for the nominal 293 sublimation rate and sputtering conditions. With the exception of water molecules which can be 294 ejected by sublimation, most of the species are ejected from the surface by sputtering or radiolysis 295 induced ejection. The typical rates are close to the ones used in Marconi (2007). When comparing the 296 source rates with the neutral escape rates, we can see that loss and source terms are never equal 297 which implies that surface reabsorption, photon and electron impact dissociation and photon and 298 electron impact ionization are important loss mechanisms. Vorburger et al. (2021) recently published 299 a detailed modelling of Ganymede's exosphere. They concluded that Leblanc et al. (2017) sputtering 300 water rate was up to two orders larger than the ones of other models. It is unclear what the origin of 301 these discrepancies is, our reconstructed yield being based on Cassidy et al. (2013) analysis derived 302 from a large set of laboratory measurements.

Sp	oecies	Н	H <sub>2</sub>	0	OH	F	l <sub>2</sub> 0	O <sub>2</sub>
So	ource	Sputtering	Sputtering	Sputtering	Sputtering	Sputtering	Sublimation	Sputtering
	Surface							
Rate		2.0×10 <sup>25</sup>	8.3×10 <sup>26</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>25</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>25</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>27</sup>	8.0×10 <sup>29</sup>	4.4×10 <sup>26</sup>
	ejection							
(s <sup>-1</sup> )								
	Escape	2.9×10 <sup>26</sup>	2.7×10 <sup>26</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>26</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>25</sup>	7.0	×10 <sup>26</sup>	4.4×10 <sup>15</sup>

303

 Table 2: Simulated source and neutral escape rates (average values on 2 consecutive orbits)

In the case of the atomic H exosphere, the neutral escape rate is 10 times larger than the sputtering
 rate which is due to the fact that most of the H atoms are produced from the dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>O and
 H<sub>2</sub>. Moreover, dissociation produces H atoms with enough energy to be lost by neutral escape. A small

307 fraction of these H atoms is also reabsorbed by the surface or ionized. In the simulation,  $H_2$  is not 308 reabsorbed by the surface by assumption (see Leblanc et al. 2017), so that H<sub>2</sub> molecules either escape 309 as neutral particle, are dissociated or are ionized. Oxygen atoms can be sputtered from the surface but 310 are also the products of the dissociation of O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. A large proportion of the O atoms are 311 reabsorbed in the surface. In the same way, OH is either ejected from the surface by sputtering or 312 produced from the dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>O exospheric molecules. The main source of the H<sub>2</sub>O exosphere 313 is sublimation, the sputtering rate being of less than one percent of the sublimation one. Most of the 314 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules ejected from the surface are reabsorbed in the surface. Contrary to the other 315 exospheric species,  $O_2$  is too heavy to escape Ganymede gravity as neutral so that its ejection rate is 316 balanced by the dissociation and ionization rates. Numerically, a simulation of more than 4 orbits is 317 needed to reach a steady state between loss and source for this species.

318 In Figures 4 and 5, we display how Ganymede's H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> exospheric column densities would be seen 319 from the Earth at the four positions of HST/STIS observations described in Figure 1. As expected and 320 described in many past publications (see example, Marconi 2007; Plainaki et al. 2015; Leblanc et al. 321 2017; Plainaki et al. 2020), the  $H_2O$  exosphere is spatially organized compared to the  $O_2$  exosphere, 322 with a peak near the subsolar point for the  $H_2O$  whereas the  $O_2$  column density peaks around the limb 323 with slightly larger values near the poles. As explained in Leblanc et al. (2017), Oza et al. (2019) and 324 Johnson et al. (2019), Ganymede's orbital motion leads to a slightly shift of the H<sub>2</sub>O peak towards the 325 dusk side.





Figure 4: Simulated column densities of the  $H_2O$  exospheric component (in  $log_{10}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) at the four positions of Figure 1 in the nominal simulation case. Panel a: phase angle of 98°-111°. Panel b: phase angle of 335°-340. Panel c: phase angle of 173° - 177°. Panel d: phase angle between 289° - 300°. The subsolar point is at the center of each panel. Dawn is on the left of each panel, the North being towards the top. Ganymede limb is represented by the white circle.

333 Whereas we observe a significant change with respect to Ganymede orbital positions in the H<sub>2</sub>O 334 exosphere as seen from the Earth, the O2 exosphere does not appear to vary in terms of global 335 distribution. The water orbital variability is related to the change in surface albedo between leading 336 and trailing sides of Ganymede (Spencer 1987) leading to a variation between 146 (maximum on the 337 trailing side) to 142 K (minimum on the leading side) of the subsolar surface temperature and to an 338 order of magnitude variation of the sublimation rate (see Figure 2 in Leblanc et al. 2017). The maximum H<sub>2</sub>O column density around the subsolar region reaches values of few 10<sup>15</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O/cm<sup>2</sup> near the surface 339 (and a peak density around  $10^9$  H<sub>2</sub>O/cm<sup>3</sup> at the surface with atmospheric temperature close to the 340 341 surface one up to 400 km in altitude) and decreases to a plateau at 10<sup>13</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O/cm<sup>2</sup> from 500 km to few

thousands km in altitude (corresponding to temperature around 2000 K above 800 km). These twoslope profile is related to the two main sources of H<sub>2</sub>O sputtering and sublimation (Table 2). The only change that can be inferred from the 2D images of the O<sub>2</sub> column density is due to the latitudinal extension of the polar regions which is closer to the equator when the leading side of Ganymede is illuminated (Figures 2 panels a and c) with respect to the trailing side illuminated hemisphere. The O<sub>2</sub> column density peaks at a value of 10<sup>15</sup> O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> at the surface (and a density of 10<sup>8</sup> O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>3</sup>) and decreases by three to four orders of magnitude within the first 100 km in altitude.



349

**Figure 5**: Same as in Figure 4 but for the  $O_2$  column density.

	Phase angle 98°-	Phase angle 173°	Phase angle 289°	Phase angle
	111°	- 177°	- 300°	335°-340°
Н	2.5×10 <sup>+11</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>+11</sup>	2.8×10 <sup>+11</sup>	2.2×10 <sup>+11</sup>
H <sub>2</sub>	5.6×10 <sup>+14</sup>	6.1×10 <sup>+14</sup>	5.0×10 <sup>+14</sup>	4.6×10 <sup>+14</sup>
H <sub>2</sub> O	5.6×10 <sup>+14</sup>	6.4×10 <sup>+14</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>+15</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>+15</sup>

0	1.6×10 <sup>+12</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>+12</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>+12</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>+12</sup>
O <sub>2</sub>	4.3×10 <sup>+14</sup>	4.3×10 <sup>+14</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>+14</sup>	3.7×10 <sup>+14</sup>
ОН	1.5×10 <sup>+12</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>+12</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>+12</sup>	4.7×10 <sup>+12</sup>

**Table 3:** Simulated disk averaged column densities in cm<sup>-2</sup> for the nominal sublimation and sputtering

# 352

# rate conditions

As illustrated in Figures 4, 5 and Table 3, there is some orbital dependency of Ganymede's exosphere which are essentially driven by the leading/trailing variation of the albedo which controls the sublimation rate of the H<sub>2</sub>O and in a lesser way the efficiency of the sputtering (Cassidy et al. 2013). Whereas the O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> average column densities do not change significantly along Ganymede's orbit, the H<sub>2</sub>O exosphere column density displays a clear variation. According to Table 3, Ganymede's exosphere is always dominated by water molecules in an average over the full disk.

359

# 360 IV Comparison with HST observations

# 361 *IV.1 Reconstructed oxygen emissions*

All together, the agreement between observation and simulation using the nominal sublimation rate (section III.2) as displayed in Figure 6 is remarkably good considering that we did not make any change of the exospheric model and used a fixed temperature and density of the electrons responsible for the auroral emission (section III.1). There are however still several discrepancies between the simulated profiles (right panels in each rectangle) which could be either due to the electron population description or due to the exospheric description as discussed in the following.



Figure 6: Comparison between observation of Ganymede's atmospheric emission by HST/STIS and EGM
simulation. Each panel corresponds to one date. a 2010-11-19, phase angle of 98°-111° (Roth et al.
2021). b: 2003-11-30, phase angle of 335°-340° (McGrath et al. 2013). c: 2017-02-02, phase angle of

373 173°-177°. d: 1998-10-30, phase angle between 289° - 300° (Roth et al. 2021). Each panel surrounded by a rectangle which colour corresponding to Figure 1 circle colours is composed of the simulated 374 images of the 1356 A (red) and 1304 A (blue) brightness intensities, of the radial profile from the center 375 376 of the disk up to around half a radius above the limb of the emission brightness intensity for these 377 wavelength (top right panel in each rectangle with in solid line, HST/STIS observations, in dashed lines, 378 EGM reconstructed emission intensity, in dashed-dotted lines, EGM emission intensity from  $H_2O+e$ 379 reaction and in dotted line, EGM emission intensity from O+hv reaction) and of the ratio of these two 380 emission lines 1356 emission intensity divided by 1304 emission intensity (bottom right panel in each 381 rectangle).

382

383 Starting from panel a, at a phase angle of 98°-111°, that is looking to Ganymede when its leading side 384 faces the Sun, the measured 135.6 nm emission (red solid line) is underestimated by 50% by the simulation on the disk (below a radial distance of 0.8 R<sub>G</sub>). The observed 130.4 nm emission (solid blue 385 386 line) is also slightly underestimated. The 135.6 nm emission being produced by electron impact on the 387 O<sub>2</sub> molecule (the dashed-dotted red line corresponds to the emission intensity produced by electron 388 impact on  $H_2O$  molecules which is then one order smaller), a 50% denser  $O_2$  exosphere on the 389 illuminated leading side or an electron density larger by 50% (that is an electron density  $\sim$ 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> in 390 the auroral regions) would lead to a much better reproduction of the observations close to the center of the disk. At the limb (above 1 R<sub>G</sub>), the ratio of the two observed emission lines decreases down to a 391 392 value around 0.5, showing either an increase of the amount of  $H_2O$  molecules at the origin of these 393 emissions or an increase of the atomic oxygen abundance. Roth et al. (2021) suggested that the oxygen 394 atoms should get more abundant in the exosphere at higher altitude being among the less massive 395 species. Clearly, if it is the case, the model underestimates this population. Oxygen atoms can come 396 either from the dissociation of the  $O_2$  and  $H_2O$  or directly from the surface sputtering. Since increasing 397 the  $O_2$  and  $H_2O$  densities, in particular close to the limb, would lead to a discrepancy with the 398 observations, the most plausible explanation is that the flux of O atoms sputtered from the surface is 399 underestimated by the model. We therefore tested much larger ejection rate by sputtering of the O 400 atoms, by up to a factor 100, and simulated an exosphere with emissions closer to the ones reported 401 in Figure 2 but still not matching the observed emission brightness ratio at 1.3 R<sub>G</sub> from the limb. The 402 reconstructed 2D images of the emission brightness as displayed in Figure 6a (left) reproduced the 403 shape of the auroral emissions, logically since we imposed it arbitrarily in our reconstruction of these 404 emissions, through the increase in electron density around the OCBF (see section III). We also 405 simulated a limb asymmetry between dawn and dusk, an asymmetry due to the asymmetry of the 406 exosphere as seen from the Earth. Such asymmetry in the auroral emissions might be also related to 407 the electron current system as discussed by Musacchio et al. (2017).

408 At a phase angle around 335° - 340° (panel b in Figure 6), the Sub-Jovian hemisphere of Ganymede 409 faces the observer. For such case, the model results are in rather good agreement with the 410 observation, the emission brightness intensities of the two emission lines and their ratio being 411 reproduced by the model within the uncertainties of the observation. A similar excellent agreement 412 between simulation and observation is also obtained at a phase angle of 289°-300° (panel d). However, 413 at 0.9  $R_{G}$  from the center of the disk, the observed ratio reaches a value above 2.5. Even with a pure 414 O<sub>2</sub> exosphere, maximum values around 2 would be produced according to Figure 3d, making a ratio of 415 2.5 very unlikely with only a mixture of  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$ .

The comparison between simulated emission brightness intensity and observed ones in panel c (phase angle of 173° - 177°) displays significant discrepancies. Close to the center of the disk, the model calculated an emission brightness much more intense than observed, by a factor 6 for the 135.6 nm emission and a factor 4 for the 130.4 nm emission. At this orbital position, Ganymede's anti-Jovian hemisphere faces the observer. A potential reason for the observed decrease in the emission brightness intensity close to the center of the disk at such orbital position might be therefore related to the dependency of the current system at the origin of these auroral emissions with respect to the

423 ram/wake orientation and Jovian/Anti-Jovian hemisphere, a dependency that we did not consider 424 when adopting constant density and energy of the electron in the auroral bands. A smaller density of 425 the electron at the origin of these emissions would lead to a less intense emission intensity but to a 426 similar value of their ratio. At the limb, the observed emission lines have similar intensities, leading to 427 a ratio close to one. The simulation predicts a ratio close to 1.5 which is consistent with the observation 428 when considering the uncertainty on the observed ratio. At 0.8 R<sub>G</sub> from the center of the apparent 429 disk, this same ratio reaches a value of 2.8±0.7. If real, it would suggest a mechanism for the production 430 of these emissions which is not taken into account in our model.

431 To summarize, the nominal sublimation model of Ganymede's exosphere convolved with the simple 432 description of the population of electron at the origin of the observed emission leads to a good 433 agreement with the observation on the side of the orbit when Ganymede trailing hemisphere is facing 434 the Sun. We obtained a less good agreement on the other side. In the exospheric model, the only 435 difference taken into account between these two portions of the orbit is related to the change of the 436 wake/ram angle with respect to the subsolar/anti-solar direction. The most probable origin of this 437 discrepancy is related to the simple description of the electron population leading to these auroral 438 emissions. This population has been shown to be variable and dependent on the wake/ram, Sub-solar 439 - anti-jovian axis and to the sub-solar direction (Jia et al. 2009; Sauer et al. 2015). As a matter of fact, 440 Carnielli et al. (2020a) reconstructed the ionosphere of Ganymede using Leblanc et al. (2017) dry 441 exospheric model and concluded that the density of ionising electrons is denser than previously 442 inferred from Galileo (Carnielli et al. 2019) at (or extrapolated to) Ganymede's orbit so that the 443 electron impact ionization frequency should be increased by a factor 4 in the anti-Jovian hemisphere 444 for the G2 flyby conditions. As a matter of fact, recent radio-occultation observations performed during JUNO flyby of Ganymede suggest an electron density close to the surface of  $2000\pm500$  cm<sup>-3</sup> in the open 445 446 magnetic field regions of Ganymede magnetosphere (Buccino et al. 2022). A higher ionisation 447 frequency is also consistent with our conclusions that to reproduce the observed emission profiles 448 above the limb, a higher electron impact dissociation rate of  $O_2$  is needed. Carnielli et al. (2020a) also 449 concluded that the O<sub>2</sub> density in the polar regions should be increased by a factor 10 with respect to 450 the dry scenario (Table 3). The nominal sublimation scenario used here leads to H<sub>2</sub>O average column 451 densities 30 to 100 times denser than in the dry scenario (Leblanc et al. 2017). However, a nominal 452 sublimation rate scenario might be not enough to reproduce Galileo electron density at high latitudes, 453 since the H<sub>2</sub>O exosphere is essentially concentrated in the sublimation equatorward region. Moreover, 454 a 10 times denser  $O_2$  exosphere convolved with a higher electron impact rate, would probably lead to 455 a significantly larger 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm ratio in the auroral regions in disagreement with the 456 observations (Figure 6). Without a detailed knowledge of the electron density and temperature in the 457 open field lines regions, it is therefore not realistic to conclude firmly on how to reconcile measured 458 electron density and auroral emission intensities. We note, however, that the 135.6 nm / 130.4 nm 459 ratio for  $O_2$  is similar within the relevant electron temperatures range (e.g. Kanik et al. 2003 and Figure 460 3d). If the ratio for  $H_2O$  is similarly insensitive to the temperature as supposed in Figure 3d, firm 461 conclusions are possible without exact knowledge of the absolute excitation and brightnesses.

462 As discussed before, the ratio of the two measured emission lines above the limb suggests an 463 underestimate of the O population by EGM in this region. We simulated much larger sputtering rate 464 of the O atoms (by a factor 100) from the surface without changing significantly the simulated ratio 465 displayed in Figure 6. The main reason is that the main source of the O exospheric population is not its 466 sputtering from the surface but the dissociation of  $O_2$  and  $H_2O$ . We therefore performed a simulation 467 with an electron impact rate increased by a factor 2.0 in the open field lines region (equivalent to 468 increase the electron density by a similar factor). However, increasing the electron impact dissociation 469 also leads to a lower density of both molecules and therefore a smaller brightness intensity at 130.4 470 and 136.6 nm. We had therefore to increase by a factor 1.8 the sputtering rate of both  $O_2$  and  $H_2O$  to 471 compensate the increase in their dissociation rate to fit the observed emission brightness. We also had 472 to increase the sputtering rate for O and H with respect to the one of  $O_2$  by a factor 40 in order to 473 retrieve emission brightness ratio above the limb close to the ones observed by HST. With such 474 increase of the sputtering rate of H and O, sputtering is equivalent to the dissociation of  $O_2$  and  $H_2$  as

source for these two exospheric species. The density for these two species significantly increased by
more than an order of magnitude in the case of H and by a factor 2 to 3 in the case of the O exosphere.
In that case, the simulated O column density is marginally larger than the upper limit set by Roth et al.
(2021) for the column density by a factor 2.5. The other species, namely H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and OH, remains
almost unchanged with respect to the nominal species.

480

#### 481 IV.2 Reconstructed H emission

482 Lyman  $\alpha$  emission from Ganymede's atmosphere was detected for the first time by Galileo (Barth et al. 1997) and later confirmed from HST by Feldman et al. (2000). Recently, Alday et al. (2017) provided 483 484 a detailed analysis of four sets of HST observations obtained between 1998 and 2014. These authors 485 estimated the emission brightness from Ganymede's corona (outside from the apparent disk) as ranging from 0 to 450 R for a phase angle between 77.9° and 103.5° (average emission of 215±66 R), 486 487 from 110 to 310 R for a phase angle between 269.3° and 291.8° (average emission of 180±52 R). As 488 discussed in Alday et al. (2017), the globally lower emission intensities of the Lyman  $\alpha$  emission 489 obtained in 2014 with respect to the other set of observations obtained in 1998, 2010 and 2011 are 490 probably due to strong absorption of the emission by the geocorona. Excluding these 2014 491 observations, the Lyman  $\alpha$  emission brightness between 90.1° and 103.5° is 328±159 R and at 291.8° 492 is 310 R.

In order to predict brightnesses, we simulated the emission brightness at Lyman α using the various
possible excitation processes described in Table 1 for the nominal exosphere case. At a phase angle of
98° - 111°, the emission brightness intensity at Lyman α was simulated as being equal to 170 Rayleigh
on the apparent disk and 47 Rayleigh outside. On the disk, H<sub>2</sub>O electron impact emission dominates
with 100 Rayleigh induced by this process and 50 Rayleigh is associated with H<sub>2</sub> electron impact.
Outside of the disk, H<sub>2</sub>O electron impact induces only 9 Rayleigh of emission intensity and 25 Rayleigh
is produced by H<sub>2</sub> electron impact. Very similar values are simulated at a phase angle of 289° - 300°.

These H Lyman-alpha aurora intensities are not discernible from the other sources in the HST observations and therefore not detectable. For resonant scattering by H atoms, we get intensities on the order of 10-20 Rayleigh for our simulations. With respect to the reported emission intensity in the extended corona outside of the disk with peak brightness near the moon of ~300 R (Alday et al. 2017), our simulated intensities are therefore an order of magnitude too low.

505 The only way to increase the simulated emission intensity would be to increase the density in H atoms. 506 exospheric H atoms are produced either from direct sputtering from the surface or coming from the 507 dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>. Increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>2</sub> dissociation rate is possible by increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O 508 or H<sub>2</sub> density but this would lead to a disagreement between simulation and observation regarding the 509 OI 130.4 nm and 135.6 nm emission line profiles (Figure 6). It is also possible that the dissociation 510 frequency used in our simulation for these two molecules is underestimated because of a higher 511 electron density or temperature. Increasing the electron energy would lead to a small increase of the 512 electron impact dissociation, the typical electron impact dissociation cross section with respect to the 513 electron energy forming a plateau above 20 eV for  $H_2+e \rightarrow H + H$  (Dalgarno et al. 1999) or peaking 514 around 100 eV for  $H_2O+e \rightarrow H+OH$  and  $H_2O + e \rightarrow H+H+O$  (Song et al. 2021). We performed a 515 simulation where the electron impact rate is increased by a factor 2 through an increase of the electron 516 density in the open field lines region, combined with a sputtering rate of the H atoms increased by a 517 factor 72. In that case, between 98° and 111°, the H density in the corona of Ganymede is increased 518 by a factor 10, leading to a stronger emission intensity from the corona equal to 450 Rayleigh on the 519 disk and of 230 Rayleigh outside the disk (with 20 Rayleigh produced by electron impact on  $H_2$  and 200 520 by resonant scattering on H atoms). At a phase angle of 289° - 300°, the H corona is also increased by 521 a factor 10 leading to an emission brightness of 300 Rayleigh on the disk and of 180 Rayleigh outside 522 the disk (with 20 Rayleigh produced by electron impact on  $H_2$  and 160 by resonant scattering on H 523 atoms). Therefore, as concluded in the case of the oxygen atoms, a sputtering rate of H atoms from 524 Ganymede surface increased by almost two orders of magnitude and a factor two increase of the

- electron impact dissociation of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  with respect to the nominal value are needed to reproduce the brightness intensity of the Lyman  $\alpha$  extended exosphere of Ganymede.
- 527

# 528 VI Conclusion

529 Ganymede's atmosphere is thought to be composed of water molecules and its products (in particular 530 O<sub>2</sub>), thanks to several set of observations from Galileo and Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and to 531 modelling (see Roth et al. 2021 for more details). Ganymede's atmosphere is probably unique in our 532 solar system, in the sense, that it is not exactly a surface bounded exosphere as defined by Stern (1999) 533 but is probably collisional locally. Indeed, it is partly produced by (1) the sublimation of its icy surface 534 around the subsolar point which leads to a water molecular atmosphere locally thick enough to be 535 collisional; and (2) for the rest of its surface, by the permanent bombardment of its surface by the 536 Jovian and ionospheric electrons and ions leading to radiolysis and sputtering (Roth et al. 2021). The 537 observation of Ganymede's atmosphere presented in Roth et al. (2021) provided for the first time the 538 clear evidence of the presence of a thick water molecular atmospheric component as predicted by 539 Marconi (2007). Roth et al. (2021) analysed a set of HST observations with a simple parametric description of Ganymede's atmosphere and derived the amount of water molecule that should be 540 541 present around Ganymede. A recent study by de Kleer et al. (2023) measured optical oxygen emissions 542 deriving a global upper limit on the H<sub>2</sub>O/O<sub>2</sub> ratio below Roth et al. (2021) derived ratios from aperture-543 integrated emissions brightnesses. Although the authors claim a conflict with Roth et al. (2021) results, 544 they are in fact consistent: the majority of the emissions on the sub-Jovian are located near or above 545 the limb. The globally integrated emission ratio, which de Kleer et al. use, is therefore only 546 representative for this region and the lower line ratio on the disk center and the H<sub>2</sub>O abundance there 547 will remain undetected in their data.

In this paper, the newly published observations are taken such that the anti-Jovian and sub-Jovian
hemispheres are observed, i.e. at intermediate geometries between the previously published leading

550 and trailing side observations (Roth et al. 2021). The new data show that the 135.6 nm/130.4 nm ratio in the Ganymede disk center is also intermediate, i.e., lower on the leading side but higher on the 551 552 trailing side. This means that the oxygen emission ratio and related molecular abundances appear to 553 be modulated by Ganymede's orbital period. Using a simplified description for the electron to 554 reconstruct the electron impact excitation at the origin of HST observations, we showed that 555 Exospheric Global Model (EGM; Leblanc et al. 2017) provides a rather good agreement with this set of 556 observations obtained at four positions of Ganymede around its orbit. By modelling the orbital 557 variability of the surface radiolysis and sublimation and their dependency with respect to the intrinsic 558 Ganymede's magnetosphere and to the surface temperature, EGM succeeded to provide a consistent 559 description of the origins of Ganymede's exosphere and of its content.

560 We found slightly smaller amount of water than Roth et al. (2021), with an average disk column density between 0.5×10<sup>+15</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O/cm<sup>2</sup> when the leading hemisphere of Ganymede is illuminated and 1.3×10<sup>+15</sup> 561 562 when it is the trailing hemisphere. The H<sub>2</sub>O atmosphere density on the sub-Jovian and anti-Jovian sides 563 is in between these values, consistent with the observations. The  $O_2$  atmosphere is less variable with an average disk column density around 4.0×10<sup>+14</sup> O<sub>2</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>, a relatively low abundance with respect to 564 565 previous analysis (Hall et al. 1998). Our analysis also suggests that the spatial distribution of the 566 exosphere should be slightly shifted towards the dusk side on the dayside, in particular for its  $H_2O$ 567 component. We also discussed the reconstructed Lyman  $\alpha$  emission brightness and compared it to 568 observations (Alday et al. 2017) and showed that the model significantly underestimates the 569 brightness intensity of this emission line far from Ganymede's surface. As for the oxygen emission lines, 570 we concluded that to reproduce the emission brightness far from the surface, a much higher sputtering 571 rate of the oxygen and hydrogen atoms is needed with respect to the nominal rate used in Leblanc et 572 al. (2017) and previous publications. This higher sputtering rate should be also combined with a two 573 times higher dissociation rate of the exospheric molecules close to Ganymede, suggesting a denser 574 electron population with enough energy to dissociate the exospheric molecules in the open field line 575 regions than supposed in Leblanc et al. (2017).

This paper provides the first consistent attempt to reconstruct of the observations that presently constrain Ganymede's exosphere. It also highlights the need to properly take into account the various possible sources of the auroral emissions, in particular the electron population. Without either in-situ measurements to better constrain this electron population, or detailed modelling of the ionosphere and its suprathermal electron component, any analysis of the auroral emissions observed around Ganymede would remain a degenerated problem. Other methods to observe Ganymede's atmosphere are therefore highly needed to resolve this non-constrained issue.

583

584	Acknowledgement: F.L., R.M. and JY.C. acknowledge the support by ANR of the TEMPETE project
585	(grant ANR-17-CE31-0016). Authors are also indebted to the "Système Solaire" and "Soleil
586	Héliosphère et Magnétosphère" programs of the French Space Agency CNES for their supports. Work
587	at Imperial College London was supported by the STFC of the UK under grant ST/W001071/1.
588	Authors also acknowledge the support of the IPSL data center CICLAD for providing us access to their
589	computing resources and data. Data may be obtained upon request from F. Leblanc
590	(email:francois.leblanc@latmos.ipsl.fr).

# 593 References

- 594 Ajello J.M., Kanik I., Ahmed S.M. and J.T. Clarke, Line profile of H Lyman α from dissociative excitation
- of H<sub>2</sub> with application to Jupiter, J. Geophys. Res. et al., 100, E12, 26411-26420, 1995
- Alday J., L. Roth, N. Ivchenko, K. D. Retherford, T. M. Becker, P. Molyneux, and J. Saur. New constraints
- 597 on Ganymede's hydrogen corona: Analysis of Lyman-\_ emissions observed by HST/STIS between 1998

598 and 2014. Planet. Space Sci., 148:35-44, Nov 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2017.10.006.

599 Barth, C.A., Hord, C.W., Stewart, A.I.F., Pryor, W.R., Simmons, K.E., McClintock, W.E., Ajello, J.M.,

600 Naviaux, K.L. and Aiello, J.J.: Galileo ultraviolet spectrometer observations of atomic hydrogen in the

- atmosphere of Ganymede, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 2147–2150, 1997
- 602 Broadfoot A. L., Belton M. J., Takacs P. Z., Sandel B. R., Shemansky D. E., Holberg J. B., Ajello J. M., Moos
- H. W., Atreya S. K., Donahue T. M., Bertaux J. L., Blamont J. E., Strobel D. F., McConnell J. C., Goody R.,
  Dalgarno A., and McElroy M. B. Extreme ultraviolet observations from Voyager 1 encounter with
  Jupiter. Science, 204:979–982, June 1979. doi: 10.1126/science.204.4396.979. URL
- 606 <u>http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1979Sci...204..979B</u>.
- 607 Buccino D.R., Parisi M., Gramigna E., Gomez-Casajus L., Tortora P., Zannoni M., Caruso A., Park R.S.,
- 608 Withers P., Steffess P., Hodges A., Levin S. and Bolton S., Ganymede's Ionosphere observed by a Dual-
- Frequency Radio Occultation with Juno, Geophys. Res. Let, 2022. doi: 10.1029/2022GL098420.
- 610 Carnielli G., Galand M. Leblanc F., L. Leclercq, Modolo R. and A. Beth, First 3D test particle
- 611 model of Ganymede's ionosphere, Icarus, 330, 42, 2019. (10.1016/j.icarus.2019.04.016) insu-

612 02111422

Carnielli G., M. Galand, F. Leblanc, R. Modolo, A. Beth, X. Jia, Constraining Ganymede's neutral and
plasma environments through simulations of its ionosphere and Galileo observations, Icarus, 343,
113691, 2020a. (10.1016/j.icarus.2020.113691)

- 616 Carnielli G., M. Galand, F. Leblanc, R. Modolo, A. Beth, X. Jia, Simulations of ion sputtering at
  617 Ganymede, Icarus, Icarus, 351, 113918, 2020b. (10.1016/j.icarus.2020.113918)
- 618 Cassidy T.A., C.P. Paranicas, J.H. Shirley, J.B. Dalton III, B.D. Teolis, R.E. Johnson, L. Kamp, A.R. Hendrix,
- 619 Magnetospheric ion sputtering and water ice grain size at Europa. Planet. Space Sci. **77**, 64–73 (2013).
- 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2012.07.008
- 621 Cooper J.F., R. E. Johnson, B. H. Mauk, H. B. Garrett, and N. Gehrels. Energetic Ion and Electron
- 622 Irradiation of the Icy Galilean Satellites. Icarus, 149(1):133-159, 2001. doi: 10.1006/icar.2000.6498.
- 623 Dalgarno A., Yan M., W. Liu, Electron energy deposition in a gas mixture of atomic and molecular
- 624 hydrogen and helium, ApJS, 125:237-256, 1999. 10.1086/313267
- de Kleer K., Milby Z., Schmidt C., Camarca M. and M. Brown, The Optical Aurorae of Europa, Ganymede
- and Callisto, The Planetary Science Journal, 4:37, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/acb53c
- 627 Feldman, P.D., McGrath, M.A., Strobel, D.F., Warren Moos, H., Retherford, K.D., Wolven, B.C., HST/STIS
- 628 ultraviolet imaging of polar aurora on Ganymede. Astrophys. J. 535, 1085–1090, 2000.
- 629 Fray, N., Schmitt, B., Sublimation of ices of astrophysical interest: A bibliographic review. Planet. Space
- 630 Sci. 57, 2053–2080, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2009.09.011, 2009.
- Hall, D.T., Feldman, P.D., McGrath, M.A., Strobel, D.F., The far-ultraviolet oxygen airglow of Europa and
  Ganymede, The Astrophysical Journal 499, 475, 1998.
- Jia, X., Walker, R.J., Kivelson, M.G., Khurana, K.K., Linker, J.A., 2009. Properties of Ganymede's
  magnetosphere inferred from improved three-dimensional MHD simulations. Journal of Geophysical
  Research (Space Physics) 114, A09209. URL: http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009JGRA..114.9209J,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.10 29/2009JA014375.
- 637 Johnson P.V., J.W. McConkey, S.S. Tayal, and I. Kanik, Collisions of electrons with atomic oxygen:
- 638 current status, Can. J. Phys. 83: 589–616, 2005, doi: 10.1139/P05-034

- Johnson, R.E., Carlson, R.W., Cooper, J.F., Paranicas, C., Moore, M.H., Wong, M.C., 2004. In: Bagenal,
  F., Dowling, T., McKinnon, W. (Eds.), Jupiter Atmosphere, Satellites and Magnetosphere. Cambridge
  Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 485–512.
- Johnson, R.E., A.V. Oza, F. Leblanc, C. Schmidt, T.A. Nordheim, The Origin and Fate of O2 in Europa's
- 643 Ice: an Atmospheric Perspective, Space Science Review, 215, 1, 2019. (10.1007/s11214-019-0582-1) -

644 <u>insu-02020077</u>

- Kanik I., C. Noren, 489 O. P. Makarov, P. Vatti Palle, J. M. Ajello, and D. E. Shemansky. Electron impact
- dissociative excitation of O2: 2. Absolute emission cross sections of the OI(130.4 nm) and OI(135.6 nm)
- 647 lines. J. Geophys. Res., 108:5126, 2003.
- Leblanc F., Leclercq L., A. Oza, C. Schmidt, Modolo R., J.Y. Chaufray and R.E. Johnson, On the orbital
- 649 variability of Ganymede's atmosphere Icarus, 293, 185-198, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.04.025, 2017.
- 650 Makarov, O. P., J. M. Ajello, P. Vattipalle, I. Kanik, M. C. Festou, and A. Bhardwaj, Kinetic energy
- distributions and line profile measurements of dissociation products of water upon electron impact, J.
- 652 Geophys. Res., 109, A09303, doi:10.1029/2002JA009353, 2004
- Marconi, M.L., A kinetic model of Ganymede's atmosphere. Icarus 190, 155–174, 2007.
- Marzok, A., Schlegel, S., Saur, J., Roth, L., Grodent, D., Strobel, D. F., & Retherford, K. D. (2022).
- 655 Mapping the brightness of Ganymede's ultraviolet aurora using Hubble Space Telescope observations.
- 656 Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 127, e2022JE007256.
- 657 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JE007256
- McClintock W. E., G. J. Rottman, and T. N. Woods. Solar-Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment II
  (Solstice II): Instrument Concept and Design. Sol. Phys., 230:225-258, 2005.
- 660 McGrath, M.A., Xianzhe, J., Retherford, K., Feldman, P.D., Stroberl, D.F., Saur, J., Aurora on Ganymede.
- 661 J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys. 118 (5), 2043–2054, 2013.

- Modolo R., S. Hess, M. Mancini, F. Leblanc, JY Chaufray, D. Brain, L. Leclercq, G. Chanteur, P. Weill,
  Gonzalez-Galindo, F. Forget, M. Yagi and C. Mazelle, Mars-solar wind interaction: LatHyS, an improved
  parallel 3D multi-species hybrid model, J. Geophys. Res., 121 (7), 6378-6399, 2016.
- 665 Molyneux P. M., J. D. Nichols, N. P. Bannister, E. J. Bunce, J. T. Clarke, S. W. H. Cowley, J. C. Gérard, D.
- 666 Grodent, S. E. Milan, and C. Paty. Hubble Space Telescope Observations of Variations in Ganymede's
- 667 Oxygen Atmosphere and Aurora. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 123(5):3777-3793,
- 668 2018. doi: 10.1029/2018JA025243.
- 669 Musacchio, F., J. Saur, L. Roth, K. D. Retherford, M. A. McGrath, P. D. Feldman, and D. F. Strobel (2017),
- 670 Morphology of Ganymede's FUV auroral ovals, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, *122*, 2855–2876,
  671 doi:10.1002/2016JA023220.
- Neubauer, F.M., The sub-Alfvnic interaction of the Galilean satellites with the Jovian magnetosphere.
  Journal of Geophysical Research 104 (E2), 3863, 1999. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/1998JE900031
- Oren M. and Nayar S. K., Generalization of Lambert's Reflectance Model, Proceedings of the 21st
   Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques: 239–246, 1994.
   <u>doi:10.1145/192161.192213</u>
- Oza A. V., F. Leblanc, R. E. Johnson, C. Schmidt, L. Leclercq, Cassidy T. and J.Y. Chaufray, Dusk over dawn
   O<sub>2</sub> asymmetry in Europa's near-surface atmosphere, Planetary & Space Science, 167, 23-32, 2019.
   doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2019.01.006
- 680 Plainaki, C., Milillo, A., Massetti, S., Mura, A., Jia, X., Orsini, S., Mangano, V., DeAngelis, E., Rispoli, R., The  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$  exospheres of Ganymede: the result of a complex interaction between the jovian 681 682 magnetospheric ions and the icy moon, Icarus, 245, 306-319, 683 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.09.018, 2015.
- Plainaki C., G. Sindoni, D. Grassi, L. Cafarelli, E. D'Aversa, S. Massetti, A. Mura, A. Milillo, G. Filacchione,
  G. Piccioni, Y. Langevin, F. Poulet, F. Tosi, A. Migliorini, and F. Altieri. Preliminary estimation of the

- detection possibilities of Ganymede's water vapor environment with MAJIS. Planet. Space Sci.,
  191:105004, 2020a, doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2020.105004.
- 688 Plainaki C., S. Massetti, X. Jia, A. Mura, A. Milillo, D. Grassi, G. Sindoni, E. D'Aversa, and G. Filacchione.
- 689 Kinetic Simulations of the Jovian Energetic Ion Circulation around Ganymede. ApJ, 900(1):74, 2020a.
- 690 doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aba94c.
- Roth L., J. Saur, K. D. Retherford, P. D. Feldman, and D. F. Strobel. A phenomenological model of Io's
  UV aurora based on HST/STIS observations. Icarus, 228:386-406, 2014.
- 693 Roth L., J. Saur, K. D. Retherford, D. F. Strobel, P. D. Feldman, M. A. McGrath, J. R. Spencer, A. Blöcker,
- and N. Ivchenko. Europa's far ultraviolet oxygen aurora from a comprehensive set of HST observations.
- Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 121:2143-2170, March 2016.
- Roth L. et al., Evidence for a sublimated water atmosphere on Ganymede from Hubble Space Telescope
  Observations, Nature, 2021
- 698 Roth L., C. Plainaki, A.V. Oza, A. Vorburger, R.E. Johnson, P.M. Moyneux, K.D. Retherford, F. Leblanc, S.
- 699 Massetti, A. Mura and P. Wurz, Ganymede's tenuous atmosphere, Ganymede book, 2022
- 700 Roth L., Marchesini G., Becker T.M., Hoeijmakers H.J., Molyneux, P.M., Retherford K.D., Saur J.,
- 701 Carberry Mogan S.R. and J.R. Szalay, Probing Ganymede's Atmosphere with HST Lyα Images in Transit
- of Jupiter, The Planetary Science Journal, 4:12, 2023. https//doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/acaf7f
- Saur, J., Duling, S., Roth, L., Jia, X., Strobel, D. F., Feldman, P. D., et al. (2015). The search for a subsurface
- ocean in Ganymede with Hubble Space Telescope observations of its auroral ovals. Journal of
- 705 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *120*(3), 1715–1737. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020778
- 706 Shemansky D.E., Yung Y.L., Liu W., Yoshii J., Hansen C.J., Hendrix A.R. and L.W. Esposito, A new
- understanding of the Europa Atmosphere and limits on geophysical activity, ApJ, 797-84, 2014. doi:
- 708 10.1088/0004-637X/797/2/84

- Shematovich, V.I., Neutral Atmosphere Near the Icy Surface of Jupiter's Moon Ganymede, Solar System
  Research, 2016, 50, 4, 262–280, 2016.
- 711 Song M.Y., Hyuck C., Karwasz G.P., Kokoouline V., Nakamura Y., Tennyson J., Faure A., Mason N.J. and
- 712 Y. Itikawa, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 50, 023103 (2021). doi: 10.1063/5.0035315
- Spencer, J.R., Icy Galilean satellite reflectance spectra: less ice on Ganymede and Callisto? Icarus 70,
  99–110, 1987.
- Spencer J. R., L. A. Lebofsky, and M. V. Sykes. Systematic biases in radiometric diameter
  determinations. Icarus, 78(2):337{354, 1989. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(89)90182-6.
- Stern, S.A., The lunar atmosphere: history, status, current problems and context, Reviews of
  Geophysics, 37, 4, 453–491, 1999.
- Tayal S.S. and O. Zatsarinny, *B*-spline *R*-matrix-with-pseudostates approach for excitation and
  ionization of atomic oxygen by electron collisions, Physical Review A 94, 042707, 2016. doi:
  10.1103/PhysRevA.94.042707
- Turc, L., Leclercq, L., Leblanc, F., Modolo, R., Chaufray, J.-Y., Modelling Ganymede's neutral
  environment: A 3D test-particle simulation. Icarus 229, 157–169, 2014.
- Vasyliūnas V.M. and A. Eviatar, Outflow of ions from Ganymede: a reinterpretation, Geophys. Res. Let.,
  27, 9, 1347-1349, 2000.
- Vorburger A., Fatemi S., Galli A., Liuzzo L., Poppe A.R. and P. Wurz, 3D Monte-Carlo simulation of
  Ganymede's water exosphere, Icarus, 375, 114810, 2022.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2021.114810