

An experimental study of sunflower seed husk and zeolite as adsorbents of Ni(II) ion from industrial wastewater

Yasaman Tadayon, Mohammad Ebrahim Bahrololoom, Sirus Javadpour

► To cite this version:

Yasaman Tadayon, Mohammad Ebrahim Bahrololoom, Sirus Javadpour. An experimental study of sunflower seed husk and zeolite as adsorbents of Ni(II) ion from industrial wastewater. WATER RESOURCES AND INDUSTRY, 2023, 30, pp.100214. 10.1016/j.wri.2023.100214. insu-04119955v1

HAL Id: insu-04119955 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04119955v1

Submitted on 7 Jun 2023 (v1), last revised 11 Apr 2024 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

An experimental study of sunflower seed husk and zeolite as adsorbents of Ni(II) ion from industrial wastewater

Yasaman Tadayon, Mohammad Ebrahim Bahrololoom, Sirus Javadpour

PII: S2212-3717(23)00014-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wri.2023.100214

Reference: WRI 100214

To appear in: Water Resources and Industry

Received Date: 29 May 2022

Revised Date: 27 May 2023

Accepted Date: 2 June 2023

Please cite this article as: Y. Tadayon, M.E. Bahrololoom, S. Javadpour, An experimental study of sunflower seed husk and zeolite as adsorbents of Ni(II) ion from industrial wastewater, *Water Resources and Industry* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wri.2023.100214.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V.



An Experimental Study of sunflower seed husk and Zeolite as Adsorbents of Ni(II) Ion from Industrial Wastewater

3 4

Yasaman Tadayon ^{1,2}*, Mohammad Ebrahim Bahrololoom ², Sirus Javadpour ²

5 ¹ Géosciences Rennes, Université Rennes 1, 35042 Rennes, France

6 ² Department of Material Science and Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

7

8 Abstract

Due to the structural similarities, sunflower seed husk (SSH), an agricultural, low-cost, and 9 eco-friendly waste, is employed interchangeably with zeolite to extract Ni (II) ions from model 10 and real industrial wastewater in this work. Batch experiment adsorption was carried out to 11 12 investigate the possibility of Ni (II) ion removal by adsorbents. Zeolite powder and SSH were used in three different sizes and without any modifications to optimize the effect of adsorbent 13 size. The maximum adsorption rate of 76% for the bulk size of SSH was obtained at pH 6.5, 14 15 20 g/L of adsoadsorbent, an initial concentration of ions of 20 mg/L, and 2 h of contact time. Thermodynamic results showed that the process is spontaneous, feasible, and exothermic 16 within the set temperature range (10°C-80°C). It can be concluded from the results that SSH 17 can be used as a feasible, eco-friendly, and biodegradable organic material for Ni ion 18 adsorption. 19

20

21 Keywords: Biosorbent, Nickel, Sunflower Seed Husk, Wastewater, Zeolite.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>yasaman.tadayon@univ-rennes1.fr</u>

23 **1. Introduction**

24 Apart from being the most necessity of all living creatures in nature, water is regarded as the most essential source of life. Water contamination and inadequacy are putting a major strain 25 on the environment, and its restricted supply is worsening as natural water sources are depleted. 26 Consequently, economic growth, human sustenance, and environmental development are all 27 28 hampered [1]. Roughly 40% of the population faces water scarcity difficulties as a result of climatic change, increased urbanization, natural resource consumption, and dietary 29 30 requirements [2]. Freshwater utilization for industrial and agricultural needs is increasing, resulting in a rise in water demand. This issue can be addressed by using recovered wastewater, 31 32 which is a relatively new source of water [3–5]. Furthermore, due to fast industrialization and 33 manufacturing expansion, a steady increase in industrial pollution and metal content has 34 occurred in rivers and agricultural regions owing to the inappropriate dumping and disposal of industrialized waste products [6]. Heavy metals, the primary component of the earth's crust, 35 36 are long-lasting environmental pollutants that can merely be transferred into nontoxic forms 37 without considering to biodegrade them purely [7]. Heavy metal pollution in aquatic systems is a worldwide concern because it is a threat to lives of living beings. Heavy metals like 38 chromium, cadmium, Ni (II), lead, zinc, and copper are common metals present in industrial 39 effluents that can be toxic and chronic, even in low concentrations [8]. Ni (II) is one of the 40 41 heavy metals that is used in silver refineries, electroplating, and the battery industry, which can 42 cause health problems like disruption of the lungs, extreme weakness, and shortness of breath. In high concentrations, it can cause different cancers and even death. Dermatitis (Ni itch) is the 43 44 most common side effect of exposure to Ni (II). In some cases, the signs of poisoning by Ni were reported as severe headaches, dizziness, nausea, and vomiting [9]. Heavy metals can be 45 removed from aqueous solutions using a variety of techniques. Ion exchange, electrodialysis, 46 precipitation, flocculation, membrane separation and sorption are common methods for heavy 47

metal uptake from wastewaters [10]. However, each of the methods mentioned above has its 48 49 own pros and cons [11]. Chemical precipitation is commonly employed in Turkey [12] and 50 Thailand [13] to remediate electroplating effluent. In China [14] and Thailand [13], 51 coagulation-flocculation has been used to remove heavy metals from inorganic wastewater. The extraction of non-surface active metal ions from industrial wastewaters utilizing sorptive 52 53 flotation has piqued interest in the US [15] and Greece [16]. Ion exchange has gained attraction 54 in Spain [17] and Italy [18] as one of the key technologies for treating heavy metal-laden wastewaters. 55

Lately, adsorption has gained the attraction of many scientists as one of the alternative 56 treatment strategies for removing heavy metals from wastewater [19]. Adsorption, in its most 57 basic form, is a mass transfer procedure in which a material is transferred from the liquid phase 58 to the solid's surface and gets bonded by physical/chemical interactions [20]. Simple process 59 and design, sludge free environment and being cost effective are the superiorities of adsorption 60 61 technique against the other methods [21]. Recently, studies have been conducted to create novel adsorbents or improve existing adsorbents to find proper alternatives to common natural 62 adsorbents like zeolite [22]. Since the earliest initiatives to purify water in the late nineteenth 63 century, zeolites as potential materials have been particularly appealing due to their low price 64 and high efficiency for heavy metals [23]. Natural zeolites are extensively employed in 65 adsorption, construction, catalysis, soil remediation, agriculture, and energy, with global use 66 of natural zeolites reaching 5.5 Mt in 2010 [24]. 67

In accordance to previous studies, numerous agricultural residues have been used to remove heavy metals (e.g. coconut husk, mango husk, corncob and cornstalk, tomato waste and green walnut shell) [25–30]. Sunflower seed (Helianthus annuus) is a kind of oil seed used in oil production industries and bakery crafts [31]. These applications produce a large amount of SSH that have low density and thus need a large space to store. Therefore, the goal of this research

is to develop a cost-effective adsorbent as well as test the effectiveness of modified SSH in 73 removing Ni(II) from synthetic and industrial wastewater. Hence, on a small scale of effluent 74 75 or low metal ion contaminated industrial wastewater, a novel, affordable modified adsorbent 76 made from agricultural waste might be used and practicable for metal ion removal. Aluminum silicate minerals like zeolite are used as commercial absorbers and catalysts. The ability of 77 78 selective ion exchange and its microporous structure make them efficient adsorbents for 79 wastewater treatment [32,33]. The mesoporous structure of zeolite is the same as that of SSH. 80 Hence, we used these two adsorbents to study the potential of SSH absorbability in comparison 81 to zeolite.

82

83 **2. Materials and methods**

84 2.1. Adsorbents

The sunflower seeds were bought from a local market in Shiraz, Iran, and then dehulled. To remove dust and other contaminants, they were washed several times with distilled water. Then, they were dried in an oven at 80°C for 24 h [34]. To optimize the size, three different sizes of SSH were utilized as adsorbents: bulk, crushed, and milled powder (see Figure 1). The zeolite powders were supplied by Afrand Tooska Company (Tehran, Iran).



93 2.2. Batch Studies

Experiments were conducted in a 100 ml beaker containing a Ni(II) ion solution with a
specified concentration. The Ni ion solutions were made from a stock solution of Ni (II) nitrate.
The solutions were stirred on a magnetic stirrer to make sure equilibrium was reached. As a
control sample, sampling was performed from a solution containing Ni ions before adding the
adsorbent. At predetermined periods after adding the adsorbent (i.e., 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 60, 80,
100, and 120 min), the concentration of Ni(II) ions remaining in the solution was measured.

100 2.3. Experimental apparatuses

Atomic Adsorption Spectroscopy (AAS) was used to measure the Ni ion concentration in the 101 solution. The spectrometer that was used in this study was the Shimadzu A-A 680. The 102 wavelength was 232 nm and the slit width was 0.5 nm. A Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) 103 spectrometer, the Avatar model, made by Thermo Company, was used to determine the 104 functional groups of SSH, before and after adsorption. To study the morphology of adsorbents, 105 a Cambridge S360 scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used. The size of powder particles 106 was determined by a dynamic light scattering (DLS) analyzer, model SZ-100 Horiba, Japan. 107 108 To separate particles suspended in the solution were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min by a centrifuge machine, model PC-15000M. The pH values of the solutions were measured by a 109 Metrohm pH meter. 110

111 **2.4. Ion Adsorption Measurements**

A batch mode was used in all adsorption experiments on a magnetic stirrer with a rate of 90 rpm. The adsorption phenomenon was studied by adding adsorbents to 100 ml of Ni(II) ion solution with the required initial concentrations at room temperature. The percentage of adsorbed ions from solution was calculated using equation 1 [35]:

% of metal ion removed =
$$\frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times 100}{C_0}$$
 Eq. 1
116 The following equation was used to determine the adsorbent adsorption capacity [11,36]:

$$q = \frac{(c_0 - c_e)v}{m}$$
 Eq. 2

117

where c_0 and c_e are the concentrations of Ni(II) ions in the solution at the initial and equilibrium state, respectively, v is the adsorbent mass, and m stands for the adsorbent mass.

120

121 **2.5. Adsorption Isotherm**

The goal of the adsorption isotherm is to fit experimental data to the common isotherm models, such as Langmuir and Freundlich, which represent the relationship between the adsorbed ion per unit of adsorbent and the concentration of an ion in the liquid phase at equilibrium time, and are crucial in optimizing adsorbent usage. Equation 3 [37] gives the expression for the applied non-linear Langmuir model.

$$q_e = q_m \frac{k_L c_e}{1 + k_L c_e}$$
 Eq. 3

where C_e is the equilibrium ion concentration remained in the solution (mg/L), K_L is the Langmuir adsorption constant related to the capacity of adsorbent and adsorption energy, and q_m stands for the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g).

130 The favorability of the adsorption process (R_L) was obtained from the parameters of Langmuir131 isotherm model. It can be calculate from equation 4 [38].

$$R_{L} = \frac{1}{(1+K_{L}C_{0})}$$
Eq. 4

- 132 The value of R_L specifies the state of the adsorption process, with $R_L>1$ indicating an
- unfavorable adsorption process, R_L between 0 and 1 indicating a favorable adsorption process,
- 134 $R_L=1$ showing linear adsorption, and $R_L=0$ representing irreversible circumstances.
- Equation 5 [39] also gives the Freundlich isotherm model.

$$q_e = k_f c_e^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
 Eq. 5

The *n* value in this equation indicates that the adsorption process is favorable, and K_f represents the adsorbate's adsorption capacity and intensity.

138 2.6. Adsorption Kinetics

139 The time-dependent experimental adsorption data are used for kinetic modeling [40]. To 140 estimate the removal kinetics of Ni (II) ion from an aqueous solution pseudo-first-order and 141 pseudo-second-order models were used. The pseudo-first-order model is given by equation 6.

$$\log \frac{(q_e - q)}{q_e} = -\frac{K_1}{2.303}t$$
 Eq. 6

where K₁ is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (min⁻¹), q_e is the adsorption capacity at
equilibrium state (mg/g), and q_t denotes the amount of metal adsorbed at specific times (mg/g).
Pseudo-second-order model was fitted according to equation 7:

$$\frac{t}{q} = \frac{1}{K_2 {q_e}^2} + \frac{1}{q_e}$$
 Eq. 7

145 The values of q_e , K_1 and K_2 were determined from the slopes and intercepts of the plot of $\frac{t}{q}$ 146 against t [41], and K_2 is the pseudo-second-order constant rate (min⁻¹).

147

148 2.7. Thermodynamic Studies

149 The effect of temperature on the sorption of Ni(II) ion onto the adsorbent was carried out at 150 different temperatures. Also, thermodynamic parameters including Gibbs free energy (ΔG , 151 J/mol), enthalpy (ΔH , J/mol), and entropy (ΔS , J/mol) were calculated using the following 152 equation.

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ} + RT ln K_L$$
 Eq. 8

where K_L is the Langmuir constant, R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/molK).

154 The values of ΔH and ΔS are defined using the Vant Hoff plot from equation 9.

$$LnK_L = -\frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{R}$$
 Eq. 9

155 Δ H and Δ S values were determined from the slope and intercept, respectively, based on the 156 plot of LnK_L against 1/T [42,43].

157 **2.8. Pre-equilibrium pH Measurements**

To determine the pre-equilibrium pH of SSH, 1g of biosorbent was added to 100 ml of distilled
water. The samples were agitated for 48h and the pH of the solution was measured using a pH
meter.

161 **2.9. Point of Zero Charge**

A 50 ml solution of 1 M KNO₃ was prepared in multiple containers to determine the point of zero charges of the adsorbent. By adding 0.1 M of HCl or 0.1 M of NaOH solution to the KNO₃ solution, the pH was changed from 2 to 12. After recording the initial pH of the solution (pH_i), 1 g of adsorbent was added to the solution and agitated at room temperature for 48 h on a stirrer. The adsorbent solutions were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min, and the ultimate pH of the solution (pH_f) was measured. The intercept of the pH (pH_i-pH_f) versus pH_i plot was used to determine the point of no charge [36,44,45]. 169

3. Results and Discussions

171 **3.1. Morphology Studies**

- 172 Figures 2 depicts the external and internal surfaces of bulk SSH at various magnifications
- 173 determined from DLS measurements.





Fig. 2 Photomicrographs of the external (a and b) and internal (c and d) surfaces of SSH with different magnifications

177 The morphology of bulk external surface is smooth and nonporous but the interface of bulk

- size is rough and few small cavities are visible. The inner surface was examined with higher
- 179 magnification and it was shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. SEM image of a pore in the inner surface of SSH

As illustrated in Figure 3, the mean size of pores is about $50 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Many pores were found in the cross-section of SSH, indicating that the adsorbent had a porous structure. The smallest pore measured roughly 0.86 m in diameter. The Microstructure Image Processing software (MIP) was employed and the porosity of the SSH bulk was estimated as 70 %. A DLS analysis was also performed on SSH powder particles to determine the size distribution of the powders. The powdered SSH particles have an average size of roughly 9 nm.

188 **3.2. FTIR Spectroscopy**

180

181

Before and after the adsorption process, the functional group composition of the SSH was analyzed using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry. The FTIR spectra of the adsorbent were recorded using an infrared spectrophotometer FT/IR-5300 in the 400–4000 cm⁻ ¹ range (JASCO Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

Before adsorption, the bands at 3424.61 and 2922.26 cm⁻¹ correspond to the alcohol group's O-H stretching and the alkane's C-H stretching, respectively. The values of these peaks remained unchanged after adsorption, but the intensity of the peaks changed. The peaks at 1729.5, 1030.2, and 1244.9 cm-1, as shown in Figure 4, correspond to the C=O stretching α , β unsaturated ester, S=O stretching sulfoxide, and C-N stretching amine, respectively. Following adsorption, these bands changed to 1733.74 cm⁻¹, 1028.96 cm⁻¹, and 1242.85 cm⁻¹, indicating

a significant decrease in the intensity of these peaks. After adsorption, the peak at 827.53 cm⁻¹
 which belonged to the minerals, slipped away.





Fig. 4. Differences between peaks of FTIR spectra before and after Nickle sorption onto SSH

3.3. Effects of adsorbent type on the Ni ion adsorption efficiency

To find out the capacity of adsorbents (SSH and Zeolite) for Ni (II) removal from solution, in the first step, 3ml solution containing Ni (II) ion (pH=6.5, Co=20 mg/L, T=24 C°) were taken to specify the total dissolved solution (TDS value) of water after adding the adsorbent to the solution and calculate the percentage of Ni (II) ion removal by different adsorbents. The TDS value for distilled water was determined as 12.38 ppm, and for the solutions containing different sizes of SSH (i.e., powdered, crushed, and bulk) and zeolite were measured as 111.10, 137.50, 71.12, and 118.25 ppm, respectively.

SSH removed approximately 76 % of Ni (II) ions in bulk and crushed forms. Powdered SSH and zeolite, on the other hand, could remove approximately 62 % and 92 % of the Ni (II) ions from the solution, respectively. The TDS value is one of the factors used to assess water purification and quality [46]. The diagram shows that the amount of TDS in the crushed and powdered sizes of SSH, as well as the zeolite powder, was very high. Some other studies have generally claimed that powder samples have better sorption capacities when used to remove some kinds

of ions from aqueous solutions due to their larger surface areas [47]. However, in the present study, bulk samples were found to be better absorbents than powder samples in Ni (II) ion removal from aqueous solutions with no significant TDS increase. As a result, bulk size SSH was used as an adsorbent in our experiments. The percentage of Ni (II) ion removal by SSH and zeolite differed by almost 16%. So, the ability of SSH to absorb Ni (II) ions is comparable to that of an adsorbent such as zeolite.

222 **3.4. Effect of Contact Time**

The rate of ion removal is one of the most important factors to predicting the required time for water treatment. Thus, to estimate the needed time for Ni (II) ion removal by SSH and zeolite, 2g of SSH in three different forms and zeolite powder were added to 100 ml solution with the initial concentration of Ni (II) ion (20 mg/L), in separate containers (initial pH= 6.5, T=25 C°). The rate of Ni (II) ion adsorption in the presence of zeolite and SSH with different sizes is shown in Figure 5.

229 The rapid ion removal rate by adsorbents in the first 15 min is seen in contact time plots, and the required time to attain equilibrium was roughly 120 min, and the rate of adsorption 230 remained constant after equilibrium. During the sorption process, the sorbent surface has 231 numerous binding sites. Therefore, there is no competition amongst ions for sites at first, but 232 as time passes, available sites are filled by other ions, and there are insufficient sites for ion 233 234 adsorption. The repulsive forces between the adsorbed ions on the sorbent surface and the 235 remaining ions in the solution, on the other hand, make the adsorption process more difficult than it was in the beginning. As a result, after attaining equilibrium, the rate of adsorption 236 decreased with time. 237





239

Fig. 5. Rate of Ni (II) ion sorption by zeolite and different sizes of SSH

240 **3.5. Effect of pH on the Sorption Process**

Metal ion adsorption depends strongly on pH values. Ironically, the pH of the system regulates the adsorption capacity by influencing the surface characteristics of the adsorbent and the ionic forms of Ni (II) in the solution [48,49]. To investigate the effect of pH on Ni (II) ion removal by SSH, four different pH values in the range of 1.5 to 9.4 were examined. The batch studies were carried out under the aforementioned conditions (adsorbent dosage= 2 g, Ni (II) ion concentration=20 mg/L, and T=25 °C). The results are presented in Figure 6 before and after adding the adsorbent.



Fig. 6. Percentage of Ni (II) ion removal at different pH conditions in the presence (solid line) and absence
(dashed line) of the adsorbent

As the results show, the maximum adsorption occurred at pH 3.5 and decreased gradually by 255 increasing the pH to 9.4. This reduction was due to the precipitation of Ni (II) ions because of 256 257 the formation of Ni (II) hydroxide complexes [50]. To substantiate this conclusion, the initial concentration of the batch was set to 20 mg/L and then the pH range was changed from 1.5 to 258 9.4 without sorbent. Then, at specified pH values, the amounts of Ni (II) ions remained in the 259 solution were determined. After increasing pH to 9.5, the amount of initial Ni (II) concentration 260 reduced, indicating that complexation and precipitation occur at higher pH values. The amount 261 262 of adsorption was negligible at pH 1.5. This can be described in terms of PZC, which is the electrical potential at an adsorbent's surface and was determined to be 1.8 in our case, as shown 263 264 in Figure 7.



Fig. 7. PZC for the SSH solution

The surface of the adsorbent is negatively charged at any pH higher than 1.8, hence the ratio 267 of Ni (II) ions adsorption increases dramatically when the pH is changed from 3.5 to 6.5. 268 Conversely, the ions should compete with H+ ions for active site adhesion below the PZC due 269 to repulsive forces on the sorbent surface. As a result, at low pH values, the adsorption 270 percentage is negligible [51]. For additional testing, the optimum pH for Ni (II) ion removal 271 by SSH was determined to be 6.5. Argun observed that the highest rate of adsorption of Ni (II) 272 ion by zeolite occurred at pH 7 [52]. For low and high values of pH, the process of adsorption 273 was the same as for SSH. 274

275 **3.6. Effect of Temperature on the Sorption Process**

265

266

276 2g of adsorbent were introduced to 100 ml of solution with an initial concentration of 20 mg/L 277 at pH 6.5 to investigate the influence of temperature on Ni (II) ion sorption by SSH. The 278 sorption effectiveness of Ni (II) ions increased by 67.5% when the temperature was reduced 279 from 80 to 10 °C. With increasing temperature from 10 to 80 °C, the adsorbent capacity reduced 280 from 0.877 to 0.183 mg/g. The increased adsorption of Ni (II) ions by SSH can be attributed to 281 the lower energy of Ni (II) cations, resulting in more interaction between metal ions and active 282 sites on the adsorbent surface [53]. The effect of temperature on the adsorption of Ni (II) ions

is shown in Figure 8. Similarly, Hannachi et al. investigated zeolite, and their findings revealed

that the sorption of Ni (II) ions by zeolite increased with increasing temperature [54].





Fig. 8. Effect of temperature on adsorption process of Ni (II) ions

3.7. Effect of Adsorbent Dosage and Initial Ni (II) Ion Concentration on the Sorption Process

Figure 9 (a and b) shows the capacity and efficiency of Ni (II) ion adsorption by SSH as functions of the adsorbent, respectively. The effect of adsorbent dosage on the uptake of Ni (II) ion at pH 6.5, 20 mg/L initial ion concentration, and at ambient temperature was examined. The amount of sorbent varied between 1 and 4 g in a 100 ml solution.

As can be deduced from Figure 9 b, the increase in adsorbent dosage from 10 to 40 g/L causes an increase in the adsorption of Ni (II) ions from 67% to 88%. This substantial increase is because of the increased surface area of the adsorbent and more accessible active sites for the adsorption of Ni (II) ions. To compare the dose of the adsorbent parameter by zeolite, Cocaoba et al. conducted a similar study. They reported the same trend for Ni (II) ion sorption by zeolite. They showed that the efficiency of Ni (II) ion sorption by zeolite increased to 81.6% by increasing the amount of sorbent from 0.2 to 2 g [55].

300 The Ni (II) ion adsorption capacity and efficiency of SSH in Ni (II) removal from the solution are respectively presented against time in Figures 9 (c and d). The initial concentration was 301 changed from 10 to 35 mg/L. As shown in Figure 9c, by increasing the initial Ni (II) ion 302 concentration from 10 to 35 mg/L, the residual Ni (II) ion concentration of the solution 303 increased. Hence, the efficiency of Ni (II) ion sorption decreased. However, the capacity of the 304 adsorbent increased from 0.36 to 1.31 mg/g by increasing the initial concentration of Ni (II) 305 306 ions. This can be due to increasing the driving force of Ni (II) ions in high concentration solutions [30]. The Ni (II) ion uptake increased rapidly with time up to 35 min and then 307 308 increased slowly. According to the results, the adsorption equilibrium time was 120 min. Cocaoba et al. studied the effect of Ni (II) initial concentration on zeolite as a biosorbent. In 309 their study, the initial concentration changed from 1 to 100 mg/L. The results showed that Ni 310 (II) ion sorption rate was rapid for the first 10 min and thereafter it increased slowly to reach 311 an equilibrium time of 80 min [55]. 312



Fig. 9. Effect of sorbent dosage and initial concentration of Ni (II) on the adsorption capacity of SSH
(respectively shown in figures a and c), and on the percentage of Ni (II) removal by SSH (respectively shown in figures b and d)

317 **3.8. Adsorption Isotherm**

The adsorption isotherm's purpose is to fit adsorption experimental data using prevalent isotherm models that represent the relationship between the adsorbed ion per unit of adsorbent and the concentration of an ion in the liquid phase at equilibrium time.

As demonstrated in Figure 10, the amount of Ni (II) absorbed by the adsorbent increased sharply after the equilibrium starting concentration was increased, and subsequently the trend went toward a plateau. It could be owing to the energy of ion adsorption at varying concentrations. In low concentrations of Ni (II), ion adsorption on active sites requires more energy to bind these sites, while in high concentrations, the opposite is true [56].



326 327

Fig. 10. Changes in the Ni (II) ion removal against different equilibrium concentrations

Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were utilized to determine the interaction between SSH and Ni (II) ions. The results are depicted in Figure 11. Within the SSH, the Langmuir isotherm model predicts monolayer adsorption of Ni ions on a homogeneous surface. Adsorption of adsorbate on an adsorbent occurs on a heterogeneous surface by multilayer sorption in the Freundlich model, and the adsorption capacity can be improved by increasing the adsorbent dosage. Eq. 5 gives a non-linear Freundlich isotherm model. The *n* value in this

equation denotes the favorability of the adsorption process, while K_f represents the adsorption capacity and intensity of the adsorbate. The experimental isotherm data were fitted to the Langmuir and Freundlich models to distinguish between heterogeneous and homogeneous adsorption of the Ni (II) ion on SSH. The parameters of these models are tabulated in Table 1.



Fig. 11. Models for Ni ion removal by SSH: Langmuir (left) and Freundlich (right) adsorption isotherms



Table 1. Parameters of the Langmuir and Freundlich models

Freundlich model			Langmuir model			
\mathbb{R}^2	Ν	K _f (mg/g)	R ²	K _L (L/mg)	q _m (mg/g)	Adsorbed ion
0.81	1.34	0.28	0.95	0.04	5.29	Ni (II)

340

The Langmuir model could better predict the sorption process of Ni (II) ions on SSH. The *n* values in equation 5 were found to be more than 1 despite the fact that the adsorption process did not match the Freundlich model properly. It indicates that Ni (II) ion sorption on SSH was favorable [57,58].

From Table 1 the equilibrium adsorption capacity of SSH was found to be 5.29 mg/g under the optimized situations. It may be concluded that the SSH can be applied to remove Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution. Hence, the isotherm of Ni (II) sorption on zeolite was investigated and the results showed that sorption of Ni (II) on SSH by zeolite was fitted to the Langmuir model and the sorption was also monolayer [59,60].

350 **3.9. Thermodynamic Parameters**

The effect of temperature on Ni (II) ion sorption onto SSH was investigated at five different temperatures: 283, 298, 313, and 353 K, based on the change in ion adsorption capacity when the temperature was varied. Equation 9 was used to calculate thermodynamic characteristics such as Gibbs free energy (G, kJ/mol) and standard Gibbs free energy (G°, kJ/mol), enthalpy (H, kJ/mol), and entropy (S, kJ/molK), as tabulated in Table 2. The values of H and S were calculated using the Vant Hoff plot (see Figure 12) [61–63].





	Table 2. Th	ermodyna	amic parameters	
$\Delta GO(kJ/mol)$	$\Delta G(kJ/mol)$	T (K)	$\Delta S^{0}(kJ/molK)$	$\Delta H^0(kJ/mol)$
-26.97	-9.54	283.15		
-25.52	-7.15	298.15		
-26.44	-7.13	313.15	-0.052	-42.07
-23.29	-2.72	333.15		
-23.41	-1.59	353.15		

360

357 358

359

The spontaneous nature of Ni (II) ion sorption on SSH is indicated by the negative values of G at all temperatures. With increasing temperature, the negative values indicate stronger adsorptive forces between Ni (II) ions and SSH. The sorption process had an enthalpy value of 42.07 (kJ/mol), indicating that Ni (II) ion adsorption on SSH is exothermic. Negative S values indicate that the randomness of the solid/solution interface is decreasing [64]. Argun et al. investigated the effects of temperature and thermodynamic behavior on Ni ion adsorption by

367 zeolite. They discovered that the adsorption process was spontaneous and that both the enthalpy368 and the entropy were negative [52].

369 3.10. Comparison the Performance of Ni (II) Ion Sorption from an Industrial Effluent by 370 SSH and a Selected Zeolite

To study the industrial application of adsorbates, effluents from two different companies (Pooshesh Loab and Rangin Poosheh, Shiraz, Iran) were collected. Ni (II) ion concentrations in Pooshesh Loab and Rangin Pooshesh were 115.5 and 59.83, respectively. Due to the high concentration of Ni (II) in the effluents, 5g of adsorbent was used in each experiment, and the results are shown in Figure 13.



376
 377
 378
 Fig. 13. Comparison of the Ni (II) ion removal percentage from industrial wastewater samples by SSH and Zeolite

The results show that the percentage of Ni (II) ion sorption by SSH in solutions with higher concentrations of Ni (II) ions was greater than their adsorption by zeolite. The reason for this is the selective properties of zeolite. Since industrial effluents contain other heavy metal ions apart from Ni ions, the active sites on the surface of zeolite were saturated, and the Ni (II) ion sorption was less probable [32]. Therefore, it can be deduced that Ni (II) ion removal from industrial effluents is more efficient by SSH than zeolite.

386 4. Conclusions

387 In this study, SSH was used to adsorb Ni ion at different contact times in three different sizes: bulk (20 mm), crushed (7 mm), and milled powder (10 nm). SEM was used to examine the 388 surface and morphology of different shapes, and DLS was used to determine particle sizes. The 389 concentration of Ni (II) ions was also measured using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) 390 before and after the adsorption process. FTIR tests were used to determine the active groups 391 on the adsorbent's surface before and after Ni (II) adsorption. The SEM micrographs show the 392 393 bulk SSH surface's rough, tubular, and porous structure, which is suitable for use as an absorbent. The maximum absorbing rate for bulk SSH was 76%, while zeolite absorption was 394 395 86%. The effects of pH, adsorbent dose, initial Ni (II) ion concentration, temperature, and 396 contact time were investigated. The optimum adsorption of Ni (II) ions was observed at pH 6.5, 20 g/L adsorbents, an initial ion concentration of 20 mg/L, and a contact time of 2 h. In 397 addition, the Langmuir isotherm model fit the experimental metal absorption values well. The 398 thermodynamic parameters analysis revealed that the process was spontaneous, feasible, and 399 exothermic within the specified temperature range (10-80 °C). Eventually, waste bulk SSH 400 can be used as a biodegradable organic material to absorb the Ni ion from industrial wastewater. 401 402

403 **References:**

404 [1] Elgallal M, Fletcher L, Evans B. Assessment of potential risks associated with
405 chemicals in wastewater used for irrigation in arid and semiarid zones: A review.
406 Agric Water Manag 2016;177:419–31.

407 [2] Calzadilla A, Rehdanz K, Tol RSJ. Water scarcity and the impact of improved
408 irrigation management: a computable general equilibrium analysis. Agric Econ
409 2011;42:305–23.

410 [3] Tee PF, Abdullah MO, Tan IAW, Rashid NKA, Amin MAM, Nolasco-Hipolito C, et
411 al. Review on hybrid energy systems for wastewater treatment and bio-energy
412 production. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;54:235–46.

413 [4] Abou-Shady A, El-Araby H. Electro-agric, a novel environmental engineering
414 perspective to overcome the global water crisis via marginal water reuse. Nat Hazards

415		Res 2021.
416 417 418	[5]	Saleh HM, Eskander SB, Mahmoud HH, Abdou MI. Groundwater quality and health assessments based on heavy metals and trace elementscontent in DakhlaOasis, NewValley Governorate, Egypt. Water Sci 2022;36:1–12.
419 420 421	[6]	Dixit R, Malaviya D, Pandiyan K, Singh UB, Sahu A, Shukla R, et al. Bioremediation of heavy metals from soil and aquatic environment: an overview of principles and criteria of fundamental processes. Sustainability 2015;7:2189–212.
422 423 424	[7]	Mishra S, Bharagava RN, More N, Yadav A, Zainith S, Mani S, et al. Heavy metal contamination: an alarming threat to environment and human health. Environ. Biotechnol. Sustain. Futur., Springer; 2019, p. 103–25.
425 426	[8]	Ahmaruzzaman M. Industrial wastes as low-cost potential adsorbents for the treatment of wastewater laden with heavy metals. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 2011;166:36–59.
427 428 429	[9]	Periasamy K, Namasivayam C. Removal of nickel (II) from aqueous solution and nickel plating industry wastewater using an agricultural waste: peanut hulls. Waste Manag 1995;15:63–8.
430 431	[10]	Metcalf & Eddy I. Wastewater engineering: treatment disposal and reuse. 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1991. https://doi.org/10.1016/0309-1708(80)90067-6.
432 433 434	[11]	Leyva-Ramos R, Bernal-Jacome LA, Acosta-Rodriguez I. Adsorption of cadmium (II) from aqueous solution on natural and oxidized corncob. Sep Purif Technol 2005;45:41–9.
435 436	[12]	Tünay O, Kabdaşli NI. Hydroxide precipitation of complexed metals. Water Res 1994;28:2117–24.
437 438	[13]	Charerntanyarak L. Heavy metals removal by chemical coagulation and precipitation. Water Sci Technol 1999;39:135–8.
439 440 441	[14]	Li Y, Zeng X, Liu Y, Yan S, Hu Z, Ni Y. Study on the treatment of copper- electroplating wastewater by chemical trapping and flocculation. Sep Purif Technol 2003;31:91–5.
442 443	[15]	Doyle FM, Liu Z. The effect of triethylenetetraamine (Trien) on the ion flotation of Cu2+ and Ni2+. J Colloid Interface Sci 2003;258:396–403.
444 445	[16]	Lazaridis NK, Matis KA, Webb M. Flotation of metal-loaded clay anion exchangers. Part I: the case of chromates. Chemosphere 2001;42:373–8.
446 447	[17]	Alvarez-Ayuso E, Garcıa-Sánchez A, Querol X. Purification of metal electroplating waste waters using zeolites. Water Res 2003;37:4855–62.
448 449	[18]	Pansini M, Colella C, De Gennaro M. Chromium removal from water by ion exchange using zeolite. Desalination 1991;83:145–57.
450 451	[19]	Lo W, Chua H, Lam K-H, Bi S-P. A comparative investigation on the biosorption of lead by filamentous fungal biomass. Chemosphere 1999;39:2723–36.
452 453 454	[20]	Kurniawan TA, Babel S. A research study on Cr (VI) removal from contaminated wastewater using low-cost adsorbents and commercial activated carbon. Second Int. Conf. Energy Technol. Towar. a Clean Environ., vol. 2, 2003, p. 1110–7.

455 456 457	[21]	Viraraghavan T, Dronamraju MM. Removal of copper, nickel and zinc from wastewater by adsorption using feat. J Environ Sci Heal Part A Environ Sci Eng Toxicol 1993;28:1261–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529309375941.
458 459	[22]	Knocke WR, Hemphill LH. Mercury (II) sorption by waste rubber. Water Res 1981;15:275–82.
460	[23]	Breck DW. Zeolite Molecular Sieves Wiley. New York 1974;634.
461 462	[24]	Yuna Z. Review of the natural, modified, and synthetic zeolites for heavy metals removal from wastewater. Environ Eng Sci 2016;33:443–54.
463 464 465 466	[25]	Bazargan-Lari R, Zafarani HR, Bahrololoom ME, Nemati A. Removal of Cu(II) ions from aqueous solutions by low-cost natural hydroxyapatite/chitosan composite: Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 2014;45:1642–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2013.11.009.
467 468 469 470	[26]	Vafakhah S, Bahrololoom ME, Bazarganlari R, Saeedikhani M. Removal of copper ions from electroplating effluent solutions with native corn cob and corn stalk and chemically modified corn stalk. J Environ Chem Eng 2014;2:356–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2014.01.005.
471 472 473	[27]	Vafakhah S, Bahrololoom ME, Saeedikhani M. Adsorption Kinetics of Cupric Ions on Mixture of Modified Corn Stalk and Modified Tomato Waste. J Water Resour Prot 2016;08:1238–50. https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2016.813095.
474 475 476	[28]	Zafarani HR, Bahrololoom ME, Noubactep C, Tashkhourian J. Green walnut shell as a new material for removal of Cr(VI) ions from aqueous solutions. Desalin Water Treat 2015;55. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.917986.
477 478 479	[29]	Boroomand B, Vafaii F, Bahrololoom ME, Noubactep C. TESTING WILLOW LEAVES FOR THE REMOVAL OF Cu2+ FROM AQUEOUS EFFLUENTS. Fresenius Environ Bull 2016;25:4569–77.
480 481	[30]	Demirbas A. Heavy metal adsorption onto agro-based waste materials: a review. J Hazard Mater 2008;157:220–9.
482 483	[31]	Rousseau D. Vegetable Oils in Food Technology: Composition, Properties and Uses. vol. 36. John Wiley & Sons; 2003. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(03)00033-4.
484 485	[32]	Erdem E, Karapinar N, Donat R. The removal of heavy metal cations by natural zeolites. J Colloid Interface Sci 2004;280:309–14.
486 487 488	[33]	Wang Y, Tang Y, Dong A, Wang X, Ren N, Gao Z. Zeolitization of diatomite to prepare hierarchical porous zeolite materials through a vapor-phase transport process. J Mater Chem 2002;12:1812–8.
489 490 491	[34]	Feizi M, Jalali M. Removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions using sunflower, potato, canola and walnut shell residues. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 2015;54:125–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2015.03.027.
492 493 494	[35]	Rezaei A, Riazi M, Escrochi M, Elhaei R. Integrating surfactant, alkali and nano-fluid flooding for enhanced oil recovery: A mechanistic experimental study of novel chemical combinations. J Mol Liq 2020:113106.
495	[36]	Derikvand Z, Rezaei A, Parsaei R, Riazi M, Torabi F. A mechanistic experimental

496 497 498		study on the combined effect of Mg2+, Ca2+, and SO42-ions and a cationic surfactant in improving the surface properties of oil/water/rock system. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp 2019:124327.
499 500	[37]	Huang Y, Shih M. Effect of linearized expressions of Langmuir equations on the prediction of the adsorption of methylene blue on rice husk 2016;6:549–54.
501 502 503	[38]	Dada AO, Olalekan AP, Olatunya AM, Dada O. Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherms studies of equilibrium sorption of Zn2+ unto phosphoric acid modified rice husk. IOSR J Appl Chem 2012;3:38–45.
504 505 506	[39]	Allen SJ, Mckay G, Porter JF. Adsorption isotherm models for basic dye adsorption by peat in single and binary component systems. J Colloid Interface Sci 2004;280:322–33.
507 508	[40]	Ye H, Zhu Q, Du D. Adsorptive removal of Cd (II) from aqueous solution using natural and modified rice husk. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:5175–9.
509 510	[41]	Ho Y-S, McKay G. The kinetics of sorption of divalent metal ions onto sphagnum moss peat. Water Res 2000;34:735–42.
511 512 513	[42]	Gupta VK, Jain R, Varshney S. Removal of Reactofix golden yellow 3 RFN from aqueous solution using wheat husk-An agricultural waste. J Hazard Mater 2007;142:443–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.08.048.
514 515	[43]	Doğan M, Alkan M. Adsorption kinetics of methyl violet onto perlite. Chemosphere 2003;50:517–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00629-X.
516 517	[44]	Gavriloaiei T, Gavriloaiei D-I. Determination of surface charge for metal oxides. Analele Stiint Univ AI Cuza Din Iasi Sect 2, Geol 2008;54:11.
518 519 520	[45]	Singh M, Thanh DN, Ulbrich P, Strnadova N, Štěpánek F. Synthesis, characterization and study of arsenate adsorption from aqueous solution by α -and δ -phase manganese dioxide nanoadsorbents. J Solid State Chem 2010;183:2979–86.
521 522 523	[46]	Saleh ME, El-Refaey AA, Mahmoud AH. Effectiveness of sunflower seed husk biochar for removing copper ions from wastewater: a comparative study. Soil Water Res 2016;11:53–63.
524 525 526	[47]	Stanković S, Šoštarić T, Bugarčić M, Janićijević A, Pantović-Spajić K, Lopičić Z. Adsorption of Cu (II) ions from synthetic solution by sunflower seed husks. Acta Period Technol 2019:268–77.
527 528 529	[48]	van der Veldt AAM, Hendrikse NH, Smit EF, Mooijer MPJ, Rijnders AY, Gerritsen WR, et al. Biodistribution and radiation dosimetry of 11 C-labelled docetaxel in cancer patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2010;37:1950–8.
530 531 532	[49]	Ajouyed O, Hurel C, Ammari M, Allal L Ben, Marmier N. Sorption of Cr (VI) onto natural iron and aluminum (oxy) hydroxides: effects of pH, ionic strength and initial concentration. J Hazard Mater 2010;174:616–22.
533 534 535 536	[50]	Malamis S, Katsou E. A review on zinc and nickel adsorption on natural and modified zeolite, bentonite and vermiculite: Examination of process parameters, kinetics and isotherms. J Hazard Mater 2013;252–253:428–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.03.024.

- [51] Kazemipour M, Ansari M, Tajrobehkar S, Majdzadeh M, Kermani HR. Removal of
 lead, cadmium, zinc, and copper from industrial wastewater by carbon developed from
 walnut, hazelnut, almond, pistachio shell, and apricot stone. J Hazard Mater
 2008;150:322–7.
- 541 [52] Argun ME. Use of clinoptilolite for the removal of nickel ions from water: Kinetics
 542 and thermodynamics. J Hazard Mater 2008;150:587–95.
 543 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.05.008.
- 544 [53] Torab-Mostaedi M, Ghassabzadeh H, Ghannadi-Maragheh M, Ahmadi S, Taheri H.
 545 Removal of cadmium and nickel from aqueous solution using expanded perlite.
 546 Brazilian J Chem Eng 2010;27:299–308.
- 547 [54] Hannachi Y, Ghorbel A, Lasram T, Boubaker T. Removal of Ni(II) ions from aqueous
 548 solutions using clinoptilolite: Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. Chem
 549 Ecol 2012;28:481–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2012.666528.
- [55] Kocaoba S, Orhan Y, Akyuz T. Kinetics and equilibrium studies of heavy metal ions
 removal by use of natural zeolite. Desalination 2007;214:1–10. https://doi.org/DOI
 10.1016/j.desal.2006.09.023.
- [56] Kwon J-S, Yun S-T, Lee J-H, Kim S-O, Jo HY. Removal of divalent heavy metals
 (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn) and arsenic (III) from aqueous solutions using scoria: kinetics and equilibria of sorption. J Hazard Mater 2010;174:307–13.
- 556 [57] Özer A. Removal of Pb (II) ions from aqueous solutions by sulphuric acid-treated
 557 wheat bran. J Hazard Mater 2007;141:753–61.
- [58] Prasad RK, Srivastava SN. Sorption of distillery spent wash onto fly ash: kinetics and mass transfer studies. Chem Eng J 2009;146:90–7.
- [59] Wang S, Peng Y. Natural zeolites as effective adsorbents in water and wastewater
 treatment. Chem Eng J 2010;156:11–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.10.029.
- 562 [60] Álvarez-Ayuso E, García-Sánchez A, Querol X. Purification of metal electroplating
 563 waste waters using zeolites. Water Res 2003;37:4855–62.
 564 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2003.08.009.
- Liu Y. Is the free energy change of adsorption correctly calculated? J Chem Eng Data 2009;54:1981–5.
- 567 [62] Milonjić SK. A consideration of the correct calculation of thermodynamic parameters
 568 of adsorption. J Serbian Chem Soc 2007;72:1363–7.
- [63] Liu X, Lee D-J. Thermodynamic parameters for adsorption equilibrium of heavy metals and dyes from wastewaters. Bioresour Technol 2014;160:24–31.
- [64] Ramesh A, Lee DJ, Wong JWC. Thermodynamic parameters for adsorption
 equilibrium of heavy metals and dyes from wastewater with low-cost adsorbents. J
 Colloid Interface Sci 2005;291:588–92.

Author Statement

The following CRediT author statement describes the contributions of each author to the published work:

Yasaman Tadayon^{*}:

Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Software, Validation, Data curation, Writing - Original Draft

Mohammad Ebrahim Bahrololoom:

Project administration, Writing - Review & Editing

Sirus Javadpour:

Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision

Please note that the corresponding author (Author 1) has ensured that these descriptions accurately represent the contributions of all authors.

Yasaman.tadayon@univ-rennes1.fr

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: