

## **Sub-frontal niches of plankton communities driven by transport and trophic interactions at ocean fronts**

Inès Mangolte, Marina Lévy, Clément Haëck, Mark D. Ohman

### **To cite this version:**

Inès Mangolte, Marina Lévy, Clément Haëck, Mark D. Ohman. Sub-frontal niches of plankton communities driven by transport and trophic interactions at ocean fronts. Biogeosciences, 2023, 20, pp.3273-3299. 10.5194/bg-20-3273-2023. insu-04195431

## **HAL Id: insu-04195431 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-04195431v1>**

Submitted on 4 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

# scientific reports

### Check for updates

# **Combining ability analysis of yield OPEN and biomass allocation related traits in newly developed wheat populations**

**Kwame W. Shamuyarira1**\***, Hussein Shimelis1 , Sandiswa Figlan2 & Vincent Chaplot1,3**

**Increasing biomass allocation to the root system may increase soil-organic carbon stocks and confer drought adaptation in water-limited environments. Understanding the genetic bases and inheritance of biomass allocation is fundamental for drought tolerance breeding and soil health. The objective of this study was to determine the general and specifc combining ability, maternal efects and the mode of gene action controlling the major yield and biomass allocation related traits in wheat to identify good combiners for breeding and enhanced carbon sequestration. Ten selected wheat genotypes**  were crossed in a full diallel mating design, and 90 F<sub>2</sub> families were generated and evaluated in the **feld and greenhouse under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. Signifcant diferences were recorded among the tested families revealing substantial variation for plant height (PH), kernels per spike (KPS), root biomass (RB), shoot biomass (SB), total plant biomass (PB) and grain yield (GY). Additive gene efects conditioned PH, SB, PB and GY under drought, suggesting the polygenic inheritance for drought tolerance. Strong maternal and reciprocal genetic efects were recorded for RB across the testing sites under drought-stressed conditions. Line BW162 had high yield and biomass production and can be used to transfer favourable genes to its progeny. The parental line LM75 maintained the general combining ability (GCA) efects in a positive and desirable direction for SB, PB and GY. Early generation selection using PH, SB, PB and GY will improve drought tolerance by exploiting additive gene action under drought conditions. Higher RB production may be maintained by a positive selection of male and female parents to capture the signifcant maternal and reciprocal efects found in this study.**

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.,  $2n = 6x = 42$ , AABBDD) is an important grain crop contributing 20% of the calorie intake of the global population $1.2$ . Because of decreases in the frequency and amount of precipitation, drought-induced yield losses are projected to increase annually at a rate of 3% for wheat, necessitating the development of drought-tolerant cultivars adapted to semi-arid regions<sup>3,4</sup>. Root system traits (e.g. root biomass, root angle, root length, root length density and root surface area) are ideal attributes that can be exploited in breeding programs to increase water use efficiency and crop productivity under drought conditions<sup>5-7</sup>. A well developed and dense root system can capture moisture from untapped water deeper in the soil profile<sup>8</sup>. This is crucial to achieve dehydration avoidance in areas that experience terminal drought stress during the reproductive and grain filling stages in wheat<sup>9</sup>. In a study comparing root system plasticity among near-isogenic lines<sup>10</sup>, reported higher yield potential in lines that produced more root biomass than lines that had less root biomass. Old hexaploid wheat genotypes develop more root biomass associated with drought avoidance and better yield potential under severe drought than cultivated wheat<sup>11</sup>. Thus, increasing plant biomass allocation to the roots of modern wheat cultivars will be crucial for maintaining yield and adaptation to dry environments.

Environmental stresses cause plants to change biomass allocation patterns for adaptation, survival, and reproduction12. Based on the optimal partitioning theory (OPT), crop plants, including wheat, will allocate more biomass to the roots system under moisture stress<sup>13</sup>. Notably, different allelic combinations cause intraspecific

<sup>1</sup>African Centre for Crop Improvement, School of Agricultural, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X01, Scottsville, Pietermaritzburg 3209, South Africa. <sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, University of South Africa, Florida, South Africa. <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentations et Approches Numériques (LOCEAN), UMR 7159, IRD/C NRS/UPMC/ MNHN, IPSL, Paris, France. <sup>⊠</sup>email: kwameswilson@yahoo.com

variation in wheat biomass allocation resulting in different genotype responses to drought stress<sup>14,15</sup>. Hence, promising genotypes can be identifed and used in crossing programs to develop breeding populations to select transgressive segregants with high root biomass15,16. Increasing the root biomass of cultivated crops will further contribute carbon into soil via root biomass deposits and rhizodeposition that will lead to a net increase in soil carbon stocks for climate change mitigation<sup>17,18</sup>. Nevertheless, focusing on increasing root biomass alone without maximizing yield-related traits may lead to a loss of wheat productivity. In wheat breeding, plant height, kernels per spike and harvest index are key yield-related traits that have been targeted for drought tolerance breeding<sup>19,20</sup>. Multiple traits selection for high biomass production and grain yield-related attributes may be required to increase genetic gains in wheat breeding programs<sup>21</sup>.

Breeding gains can be achieved by understanding the genetic basis and inheritance of yield components and creating desirable progenies through combining ability  $(CA)$  analysis<sup>22</sup>. The goals of CA analysis are to identify genetically superior lines with high breeding values and to identify desirable cross combinations to improve average performances for cultivar development<sup>23,24</sup>. Combining ability analysis can be done at  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation without substantial loss of information on the breeding values of parental lines<sup>25</sup>. Several studies have conducted genetic analysis on  $F_2$  populations in self-pollinating crops such as wheat<sup>26,27</sup>, soybean<sup>28,29</sup>, common bean<sup>30</sup> and  $groundnut<sup>31</sup>$ .

Estimates of combining ability efects and the extent of variance components reveal the magnitude of both additive and non-additive gene action $32,33$ . In hybrid breeding, additive, dominance and epistatic interactions of non-allelic genes influence maximum heterosis<sup>34</sup>. On the other hand, additive gene effects are more important in line breeding with minimal contribution from non-additive gene efects, which are lost during segregation in early generations<sup>35</sup>. As a result, maternal effects (inheritance of cytoplasmic genes from mitochondria and chloroplasts and their interaction with nuclear genes), are often ignored in explaining variation among genotypes $36,37$ . In pure line cultivar development, maternal efects can be exploited to identify male and female lines in crosses to maximise genetic gain for traits with significant reciprocal effects<sup>38</sup>.

Diallel analysis can aid in partitioning the general combing ability (GCA) and specifc combining ability (SCA) efects. It also reveals the magnitude of maternal efect that will be useful in the breeding of wheat. Maternal effects contribute to early seedling development and biomass production of plants<sup>39</sup>. Understanding the magnitude of variation attributed to cytoplasmic DNA would greatly enhance selection efficiency, including for root biomass. Several studies have reported significant maternal effects in crops for agronomic traits<sup>40</sup> pointed out a maternal efect infuencing root traits in F1 reciprocal crosses in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Additionaly<sup>22</sup>, reported that salt stress tolerance levels in reciprocal crosses of wheat were related to the maternal plants of the respective progenies. In a study to improve pre-harvest sprouting in barley<sup>41</sup>, reported that seed dormancy was maternally inherited. Conversely, non-signifcant maternal efects have been reported for wheat grain yield, though small numbers of crosses reflected significant reciprocal effects<sup>42</sup>. Based on the reports mentioned above, there may be signifcant maternal and reciprocal efects for root biomass allocation and other traits of interest in wheat.

Biomass allocation is an important attribute in developing drought-tolerant crop ideotypes that contribute to yield gains and carbon sequestration. To our knowledge, there is not enough information on genetic analysis of biomass allocation for trait integration and to guide selection and breeding in wheat. To examine the pattern of genetic inheritance of biomass allocation, 10 selected bread wheat lines with contrasting root biomass and drought tolerance were crossed using a full-diallel mating design, and the developed crosses were advanced to the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the general and specific combining ability, maternal efects and the mode of gene action controlling the major yield-related traits and biomass allocation in wheat to identify good combiners for breeding and enhanced carbon sequestration. Information from this study will help determine the suitable parental selection criteria for the efficient breeding of drought-tolerant wheat cultivars.

#### **Results**

Analysis of variance. The combined analysis of variance with means squares and significant tests for biomass traits and yield components for parental lines and direct and reciprocal crosses at the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation is presented in Table 1. The effects of family and family × site interaction were significantly different for PH, SB, RB, PB and GY but were non-significant for HI. The interaction of family and water regime were only significant for GY. Non-significant differences were observed for family × water regime × site interaction.

**Mean performance of parental lines and F<sub>2</sub> families.** Drought stress had a substantial impact on all measured traits, with mean PH being reduced by 9.38 cm and 5.94 cm in the feld and greenhouse, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). The percentage drop in KPS due to drought stress was 19.18% in the field which was double that of 8.8% in the greenhouse. Drought-stress positively impacted root growth, which increased by 78% in the greenhouse but had a reduced efect on RB in the feld. Biomass traits were severely reduced by drought with SB experiencing losses of 41.09 g m<sup>-2</sup> and 100.82 g m<sup>-2</sup> while PB had losses of 181.40 g m<sup>-2</sup> and 327.39 g m<sup>-2</sup> in the feld and greenhouse, respectively. Grain yield reductions due to drought stress were up to 120.67 g m−2 in the feld and 410.31 g m−2 in the greenhouse. Tis represented a yield loss of 40.27% and 80.21% in the feld and greenhouse, respectively. Plant height and KPS had higher mean values in the feld than in the greenhouse under both water regimes. On the other hand, higher mean values were observed in the greenhouse than in the feld for RB and PB under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions.

Some parents and  $F_2$  families were more drought tolerant than others. For instance, parental lines LM75 and BW162 had the highest grain yield and biomass production than other parents under drought-stressed conditions (Supplementary Table 1). Kernels per spike were high in lines LM26 and LM75 under the same conditions.



**Table 1.** Mean squares and signifcant tests from a combined analysis of variance of ten bread wheat parental lines, 45 direct crosses and 45 reciprocal crosses in the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation for yield components and biomass traits evaluated at two sites under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. \*Signifcant at P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001, number of sampled plants = 5, SOV, source of variation; d.f, degrees of freedom; PH, plant height (cm); KPS , kernels per spike; SB,shoot biomass (g m−2); RB,root biomass (g m−2); PB,total plant biomass  $(g m^{-2})$ ; GY, grain yield  $(g m^{-2})$  and HI, harvest index (%).

The highest yielding parents under non-stressed conditions were BW140 (with a grain yield of 398.70 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and BW162 (355.67 g m<sup>-2</sup>) in the field, while BW152 (597.07 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and LM70 (505.65 g m<sup>-2</sup>) yielded better in the greenhouse conditions. The same genotypes scored high for SB, RB and PB. The tallest genotypes under non-stressed conditions were LM47 and LM70 in the field and greenhouse. Several F<sub>2</sub> families outperformed the parents for RB (e.g. BW141×LM26), PB (LM47×BW152) and GY (LM26×BW140) under drought-stressed conditions. Similar trends were observed under non-stressed conditions.

**Combining ability analysis for individual test environments.** Combining ability and maternal efects, GCA/SCA ratio and heritability for individual environments are shown in Table 4. Signifcant GCA efects were observed for PH, KPS and SB at both sites and for PB and GY in the feld and greenhouse under drought-stressed conditions. The SCA, reciprocal and non-maternal effects were important for PH, SB, RB and PB in the greenhouse condition. In the feld, KPS had signifcant SCA and non-maternal efects, while only RB had significant reciprocal effects. Significant maternal effects were observed for RB only in the greenhouse. The GCA/SCA ratio was>0.5 for PH, SB, PB and GY under feld conditions. Broad sense heritability was relatively low for all traits, with KPS (0.26) and PH (0.33) having relatively the highest values in the feld and greenhouse conditions, respectively. Under non-stressed conditions, all recorded traits had signifcant GCA efects except GY and RB in the field and greenhouse, respectively. There were significant SCA effects for PH and KPS in the feld and for PH, SB, PB and GY in the greenhouse. Reciprocal and non-maternal efects were signifcant in the greenhouse for SB, RB, PB and GY and for PH in the feld. Notably, maternal efects were signifcant in infuencing SB in both environments and PB in the greenhouse. The GCA/SCA ratio was close to 0.50 for SB and PB in the field and for RB at both sites. The rest of the traits had GCA/SCA ratios of < 0.50. All traits showed low  $H^2$ , with the highest being for PH and KPS in the feld.

**Combining ability analysis across sites.** Parental lines had significant (P<0.05) GCA effects for all recorded traits (Table 5). Significant SCA effects among F<sub>2</sub> families were observed for PH, KPS, SB, RB and PB. Reciprocal efects were signifcant for KPS and biomass traits such as SB, RB and PB with reciprocal crosses showing significant maternal effects only for KPS. The GCA × site interaction effect were significant for parents for PH, KPS, SB and PB. Similarly,  $SCA \times$  site effects for  $F_2$  families were significant for the same traits in addition to RB and GY. Reciprocal efects had signifcant interaction with sites for all traits except KPS. Maternal efects and site interaction infuenced SB, RB and GY.

**General combining ability effects.** The general combining ability of parental genotypes are recorded in Table 6 for drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. Under drought stress, parent BW141 had negative GCA efects for PH at both sites and positive GCA efects on GY in the greenhouse condition. Parental line LM26 showed signifcant and positive GCA efects for KPS with negative GCA's for lines BW140 and LM47. No parents showed significant GCA effects for RB under drought. The GCA effects for SB, PB and GY were signifcant in a desirable direction for LM75 in the feld. Parental lines LM70 had positive GCA efects on PH, SB and PB in the greenhouse. Under non-stressed conditions, BW140 maintained negative GCA efects for PH and PB while LM47 showed significant positive effects for PH in the field. The GCA effects for KPS were strong and positive for LM48 at both sites. Negative GCA efects for RB were observed in the feld for lines LM48 and LM70. Genotype LM75 showed positive GCA efects for RB in the feld and PH, SB, PB and GY in the greenhouse.

**Specific combining ability and reciprocal effects.** The SCA effects of direct crosses are shown in Table S3. Similar to the GCA effects of parental lines, no F<sub>2</sub> families maintained positive SCA effects for all the recorded traits across the sites. Positive SCA efects were observed for BW141×BW152 for PH under drought



**Table 2.** Mean values for yield components and biomass traits of the top two yielding bread wheat parental lines and their F<sub>2</sub> generation of direct and reciprocal crosses evaluated at two sites under drought-stressed conditions. Number of sampled plants = 5, PH, plant height (cm); KPS, kernels per spike; SB, shoot biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>); RB, root biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>); PB, total plant biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>) and GY, grain yield (g m<sup>-2</sup>); HI, harvest index (%); GH, greenhouse; LSD, least significant difference; SEM, standard error of mean; CV, coefficient of variance.

and for BW141×LM47 under non-stress condition. Family BW140×LM47 and LM48×LM71 had positive SCA efects for RB at both sites under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions, respectively. Signifcant SCA efects were observed for BW162×LM26 for SB, RB, PB and GY under drought and BW140×LM75 for the same traits under non-stressed conditions. Family BW140×BW152 had positive SCA efects in the greenhouse and negative SCA efects in the feld for KPS, SB, RB, PB and GY under drought conditions. Signifcant SCA efects



**Table 3.** Mean values for yield components and biomass traits of the top two yielding bread wheat parental lines and their F<sub>2</sub> generation of direct and reciprocal crosses evaluated at two sites under non-stressed conditions. Number of sampled plants = 5, PH, plant height (cm); KPS, kernels per spike; SB, shoot biomass (g m−2); RB,root biomass (g m−2); PB,total plant biomass (g m−2) and GY, grain yield (g m−2); HI,harvest index (%); GH, greenhouse; LSD, least significant difference; SEM, standard error of mean; CV, coefficient of variance.

were observed for BW162×LM48 under non-stressed conditions. Strong reciprocal efects were recorded in the F2 families LM47×BW152, LM26×BW140 and LM75×LM47 under drought-stressed conditions (Table S4).





**Table 5.** Summary mean squares and signifcant tests of combining ability and maternal efects for yield components and biomass traits for a full diallel cross at the  $F_2$  generation evaluated at two sites under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. \*Signifcant at P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001, number of sampled plants = 5, SOV, source of variation; df, degrees of freedom; PH, plant height (cm); KPS, kernels per spike; SB, shoot biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>); RB, root biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>); PB, total plant biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>); GY, grain yield (g m−2) and HI,harvest index (%); GCA, general combining ability; SCA,specifc combining ability efects; REC, reciprocal effects; MAT, maternal effects; NMAT, non-maternal effects.



**Table 6.** Estimates of general combining ability efects of 10 bread wheat parental lines, involving progeny at the F<sub>2</sub> generation for yield components and biomass traits evaluated under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions in the field and greenhouse. \*Significant at  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*P $< 0.01$ , \*\*\*P $< 0.001$ , number of sampled plants=5, PH, plant height (cm); KPS, kernels per spike; SB, shoot biomass (g m<sup>−2</sup>); RB, root biomass (g m<sup>−2</sup>); PB, total plant biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>), GY, grain yield (g m<sup>-2</sup>); HI, harvest index (%); GH, greenhouse.

#### **Discussion**

Significant differences were observed among the tested  $F_2$  families (Table 1), revealing substantial variation for PH, RB, SB, PB and GY. This offers an opportunity for an effective selection of biomass-related traits to improve drought tolerance and enhance carbon sequestration of cultivars for sustainable wheat production. The significant interaction of families by site (Table 1) showed that genotype ranking changed in the diferent sites indicating strong genotype by environment interaction afecting all the measured traits. Among all the assessed traits, GY was highly influenced by the interaction effect of families and water regime (Table 1). This suggests that GY is more sensitive to moisture fluctuations than the other traits, which may affect selection response. Therefore, to achieve yield stability, genotypes should be evaluated across multiple growing environments with diferent moisture availability to identify stable and drought-tolerant genotypes for water-limited environments<sup>48</sup>.

All other traits, including PH, KPS, SB, PB and GY were severely and negatively afected by drought stress (Tables 2 and 3). However, individual genotypes responded to drought stress diferently, with some parents and families showing high levels of drought tolerance compared to others. The different phenotypic performances observed allow for the targeted selection of better-performing families for genetic advancement, while parental lines can be selected to develop new breeding populations for either dryland or irrigated wheat production<sup>49</sup>. Some families, including BW141×LM26, LM47×BW152 and LM26×BW140 scored higher than all the parental genotypes for RB, PB and GY, respectively, indicating that parental genotypes were able to transmit favourable genes to their progeny under contrasting levels of soil moisture availability.

Evaluation of the test genotypes was done at contrasting growing conditions, namely greenhouse and feld environments. Data on the assessment of families in the greenhouse using pot experiments were included to capture and assess total root biomass in the pots, which cannot be completely recovered in feld experiments. In addition, root evaluation in pot experiments and growth chambers is easier, and more accurate as there is no mixture of roots from adjacent plants or different genotypes when collecting root samples<sup>50</sup>. In this study, plants allocated more biomass belowground to promote denser and deeper root growth for efficient water and nutrient uptake under drought-stressed conditions<sup>51</sup>. However, this increase in RB production was high in the greenhouse and small in the feld, indicating greater accuracy of greenhouse trials in studies involving root phenotyping. Diferent drought-induced root growth responses have been observed between greenhouse and feld conditions under different stress severity<sup>11</sup>. Many confounding environmental effects in field trials compared to greenhouse trials may contribute to the low accuracy of root phenotyping in the field<sup>52</sup>. Pot experiments represent suitable environments for controlled root evaluations but extrapolations based on such data sets would not accurately represent feld trials.

The significance of maternal effects for SB under non-stressed conditions (Table 4) showed that a portion of the non-additive gene action in the population could be captured by choice of parent used as a male or female in the mating design. The GCA/SCA ratio was slightly higher under drought-stressed conditions than under nonstressed conditions showing that water availability was able to alter the proportion of additive and non-additive gene action affecting the traits of interest. Similar results were observed by<sup>48</sup> for soybean. The GCA/SCA ratio was > 0.5 for PH, SB, PB and GY under drought-stressed conditions. These traits can be improved by exploiting additive gene action at early generations, thereby increasing selection efficiency and reducing the breeding cycles<sup>33</sup>. Several studies have reported quantitative trait loci (QTL) with additive gene effects for GY and related traits, allowing for the selection of transgressive progenies by crossing superior parents<sup>33,53,54</sup>. Conversely, under non-stressed conditions, there was a greater infuence of non-additive gene action, which opens an opportunity to exploit dominance and epistasis for hybrid breeding in irrigated environments. Heritability estimates were low for all measured traits, indicating that the phenotype was a poor measure of the genetic merit of the evaluated lines and families, which reduces the efectiveness of selection. Similarly, low heritability values have been reported in other studies<sup>55,56</sup>.

The presence of interaction effects of sites with combining ability and maternal effects for the majority of measured traits (Table 5) highlights the confounding efects of the environment on gene expression and the importance of choosing the correct selection environment to assess the genotypic value of genotypes. The environments showed that RB had signifcant reciprocal efects under drought-stressed conditions across sites. Based on those observations, choosing a male or female parent is important to ensure the inheritance of maternal genes for improved root biomass under drought-stress. Strong reciprocal efects infuencing root traits have been reported in wheat for different abiotic stresses such as salt stress<sup>22</sup> and cold stress<sup>57</sup>. Also, in a study evaluating interspecific hybrids of sunflower<sup>58</sup>, reported reciprocal effects on root traits that extended beyond the seedling stage and were expressed in mature individuals. Under non-stressed conditions, the maternal efects were observed for PH, SB, RB, PB and GY but were inconsistent across diferent sites limiting the usefulness of these efects for breeding purposes.

The GCA effects of parents change from positive to negative for all recorded traits across the test conditions (Table 6). This suggests that the environment can influence gene expression in individual genotypes. Parental line LM75 maintained GCA efects in a positive direction for SB, RB, PB and GY. It was the only genotype with a positive efect observed for root biomass under feld conditions. Tis genotype can be utilized to transmit additive quantitative trait loci for the improvement of biomass production and overall yield potential. Diferent genotypes were responsible for signifcant positive GCA efects for KPS under drought-stressed (LM26) and non-stressed (LM48) conditions. Tus, the genetic merit of an individual for KPS can only be maintained under specifc soil moisture conditions limiting the usefulness of the above-mentioned parental lines in breeding for increased kernel number under diferent environmental conditions. Employing several cycles of recurrent selection to increase the allele frequencies of favorable genes for KPS in the current genetic material may be warranted $^{26}$ .

The deviation in the expected performance of families as revealed by their SCA's varied greatly among the diferent sites and water regimes. Tis confounds the identifcation and selection of families and individual plants for genetic advancement. However, transgressive phenotypes with high SCA efects and involving at least one parent with high GCA effects can be highly heritable<sup>26</sup>. This is important to increase the adaptability of advanced material in water-limited and low-input environments where some parental genotypes perform poorly<sup>59</sup>. Families such as BW141×LM26 with high SCA efects and at least one parental genotype with high GCA efects for biomass traits and GY may be selected for genetic advancement for drought-prone areas. In addition, reciprocal crosses LM47×BW152, LM26×BW140 and LM75×LM47 with signifcant reciprocal efects for root biomass and grain yield should also be selected. These genotypes will contribute to soil carbon buildup while concurrently increasing the resilience of wheat productivity in low-input agricultural systems.

**Limitations of the study.** The plant density per plot was low due to the large number of genotypes evaluated, and the cumbersome process of root sampling through manual excavations further curtailed increasing plot sizes. Data acquired over one year could limit the repeatability of the experiment and the overestimation of variance components and heritability measurements needing multiple environmental analyses.

The  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation represents the most segregant populations during the breeding cycle, and individual plants are genetically dissimilar, rendering an increased coefficient of variation of the measured traits. The combining ability analysis was conducted in the  $F_2$  generation representing the maximum genetic variation to discern variance components, and breeding values of parental lines and families, given that hybrid breeding is not the primary objective. The present genetic analysis based on the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  generation provided valuable information enabling the selection of promising individuals and families for genetic advancement to develop homozygous and homogeneous lines in the advanced generations.

#### **Conclusion**

Signifcant genetic variation for grain yield, yield-related traits and biomass allocation was observed for the assessed parental genotypes and their families. Additive gene efects conditioned the inheritance of PH, SB, PB and GY under drought, whereas under non-stressed conditions, non-additive gene action was more predominant. Strong maternal and reciprocal genetic efects were recorded for RB across the testing sites under droughtstressed conditions. Genotype LM75 maintained GCA efects in a positive and desirable direction and will be selected for population development in breeding programmes. Early generation selection using PH, SB, PB and GY is recommended to improve drought tolerance by exploiting additive gene action under drought conditions. Higher RB production may be maintained by a positive selection of male and female parents to capture the signifcant maternal and reciprocal efects detected in this study.

#### **Materials and methods**

**Plant material, crosses and genetic advancement.** Ten genotypes were selected based on their genetic diversity, drought tolerance and ability to produce shoot and root biomass under drought conditions. The pedigree information and the drought sensitivity index (DSI)<sup>15</sup> of the genotypes is summarized in Table 7. Eight of the lines were drought and heat tolerant lines acquired from the CIMMYT drought, and heat nurseries and two lines were local checks with good drought resistance. Crossing blocks of the ten genotypes were established from April to June 2019 at the controlled environment facilities (CEF) at the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. The parental lines were stagger planted to allow synchronized flowering for emasculation and pollination. A total of 90 families were developed, including 45 direct crosses and 45 reciprocals using a full-diallel mating design. Successful  $F_1$  crosses were harvested from August to October 2019 and bulked to produce  $F_2$  seed in a generation advancement trial from December 2019 to March 2020.

**Phenotypic evaluation.** *Field evaluation.* One hundred genotypes, including 10 parents and 90 F<sub>2</sub> families, were planted at the Ukulinga Research Farm (29° 40′ S, 30° 24′ E; 806 m above sea level) in a feld trial in July 2020. The trial was laid out in a  $10 \times 10$  alpha lattice design with two replications. Fertilizer was broadcasted at a rate of 130 kg N ha−1, 50 kg P ha−1 and 40 kg K ha−1 before planting to supply plants with adequate nutrition. Test plots were 2 m long and spaced 0.5 m apart, with seven planting stations spaced 30 cm apart. Three seeds



**Table 7.** Names and pedigrees of bread wheat parental lines used in a full diallel crosses. DSI,drought sensitivity index. <sup>a</sup>Mathew et al.<sup>15</sup>.

were planted per planting station and were later thinned to two plants two weeks afer germination. Outer feld rows were planted with a local cultivar to reduce border efects. An automated drip irrigation system was used to provide water to all plants. The trials were conducted under two water regimes: drought-stressed and nonstressed. The experimental site was covered with a custom-made black plastic mulch to reduce evaporation and stop infltration of untimely rain water into the soil profle. Drought-stress was imposed by allowing depletion of water in the rooting zone to 35% feld capacity at the heading stage of growth in the drought-stressed treatment before rewatering. In the non-stressed treatment, irrigation was continued by maintaining watering at 80% of field capacity until crop maturity. The amount of water in the soil was monitored using a tensiometer (HOBO UX120, Onset, USA) located in each replication to a depth of 60 cm of the rooting zone. The tensiometer readings were used to schedule irrigation in the automated irrigation system for the diferent water regimes. Standard agronomic practices were kept constant in both water regimes for the duration of the trials according to wheat production guidelines in South Africa<sup>43</sup>. Weather conditions at the site are recorded and reported in<sup>20</sup>.

Greenhouse evaluation. The greenhouse experiment was conducted at the Controlled Environment Facilities at the University of KwaZulu-Natal (29° 37′ S, 30° 24′ E). The experiment was arranged in a  $10 \times 10$  alpha lattice design, with two replications following the pattern of the feld experiment. Seven seeds were sown in sterilized composited pine bark growing media in 15L capacity plastic pots with 30 cm and 28 cm height and diameter respectively. Germinated seedlings were thinned to fve plants per pot. Fertigation was supplied to the rooting zone using an automated irrigation system to provide sufficient water and fertilizer to the plants. The fertilizer was applied at the same rate as in the field experiment. The experiments were carried out under two water regimes, namely drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. At the heading stage, stress was imposed in the drought-stressed treatment by allowing the field capacity of the soil to drop to 35%. The non-stressed treatment continued to receive adequate watering to maintain pots at 80% of feld capacity until crop maturity. A hand-held moisture probe was used to monitor soil moisture availability in the pots. Insecticides (pyridine azomethine) and fungicides (triazole) were used to control aphids and powdery mildew. Day and night temperatures of 25 °C and 15 °C, respectively and humidity between 45 and 55% were maintained in the greenhouse for the duration of the trial.

**Data collection.** The following morpho-agronomic traits were measured and recorded on 5 selected plants for every individual plot in the feld and pot in the greenhouse: plant height (PH) was measured as the height of the plant from the soil to the tip of the spike using a calibrated meter rule in centimeters (cm); the number of kernels per spike (KPS) was recorded as the number of seeds manually counted from each individual spike. For the two traits, fve randomly selected plants were sampled and measured. Shoot biomass (SB) was recorded as the above-ground biomass cut from the base of the plant, including stems and leaves but excluding grain. Root biomass (RB) was recorded as the total root dry matter harvested per genotype per plot. Root samples for each plot were harvested to a depth of 50 cm using a  $30 \times 30 \times 30$  cm monolith sampling box. A singe planting station was selected at randomn for harvesting. Large roots were separated manually before washing under running water to remove soil particles. The remaining soil was mixed with water and the suspension was sieved through a 2 mm sieve to collect the fine roots. The fine roots collected from the sieve residue were added and weighed with the large roots. The shoot and root samples were dried at 70 °C for 48 h in an oven drier separately. Dry matter for SB and RB were weighed and expressed in g m−2. Total plant biomass (PB) was recorded as the total plant dry matter for each genotype in g m−2. It was calculated by summing up the weight for RB, SB and grain yield (GY), harvested for each genotype. Grain yield was recorded as the total harvested grain per genotype and weighed on a laboratory precision digital scale. The weight of the grain was adjusted to 12.5% moisture content and expressed in g m−2. Harvest index (HI) expressed in percent was calculated as the ratio of GY to SB, including grain yield as follows:  $HI = (GY/GY + SB) \times 100$ .

**Data analysis.** *Analysis of variance.* A separate analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Bartlet's test for homogeneity of variance for the two study sites showed signifcant diferences for genotypes and water regimes with homogeneous and comparable variances. Therefore, a combined ANOVA was conducted across the two study sites for the 10 parents and 90  $F<sub>2</sub>$  families using Genstat 18th edition<sup>44</sup>.

*Estimation of general and specifc combining ability efects.* Genetic analysis for a full diallel mating design was computed separately for each test environment using AGD-R statistical software<sup>45</sup>. The GCA and SCA estimates were determined according to<sup>46</sup> Diallel Method I, Model I, following the statistical method below:

$$
Y_{ij} = \mu + g_i + g_j + S_{ij} + r_{ij} + b_k + e_{ijk}
$$

where:  $Y_{ij}$  = phenotypic observation on a cross between the parents i and j,  $\mu$  = overall mean,  $g_i$  = GCA effect of parent i,  $g_i$  = GCA effect of parent j,  $S_{ij}$  = SCA effect of a cross between parent i and parent j,  $r_{ij}$  = reciprocal effect for the reciprocal crosses between the ith and jth parents,  $b_k$  = effect of the kth block,  $e_{ijk}$  = experimental error due to the environmental efect.

The AGD-R software also allowed the further partitioning of the reciprocal effects into maternal (general specifc) and non-maternal (specifc reciprocal) components.

The relative GCA and SCA ratio was calculated to determine the gene action for each trait using the following formula according to $47$ :

$$
\frac{GCA}{SCA} ratio = \frac{2\sigma_{gca}^2}{\left(2\sigma_{gca}^2 + \sigma_{sca}^2\right)}
$$

where:  $\sigma^2_{\rm gca}$ = variance due to GCA and  $\sigma^2_{\rm sca}$ = variance due to SCA.

The broad sense heritability of recorded traits was calculated using the formula below:

$$
H^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_p^2}
$$

where H<sup>2</sup> = broad sense heritability,  $\sigma_g^2$  = genetic variance and  $\sigma_p^2$  = phenotypic variance.

#### **Data availability**

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 6 March 2023; Accepted: 18 July 2023 Published online: 22 July 2023

#### **References**

- 1. Upadhyay, K. Correlation and path coefcient analysis among yield and yield attributing traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Arch. Agric. Environ. Sci.* **5**, 196–199 (2020).
- 2. Grote, U., Fasse, A., Nguyen, T. T. & Erenstein, O. Food security and the dynamics of wheat and maize value Chains in Africa and Asia. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **4**, 317 (2021).
- 3. Gupta, A., Rico-Medina, A. & Caño-Delgado, A. I. Te physiology of plant responses to drought. *Science* **368**, 266–269 (2020). 4. Brás, T. A., Seixas, J., Carvalhais, N. & Jägermeyr, J. Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last fve decades
- in Europe. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 065012 (2021). 5. Comas, L. H., Becker, S. R., Cruz, V. M. V., Byrne, P. F. & Dierig, D. A. Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. *Front. Plant Sci.* **4**, 442 (2013).
- 6. Janiak, A., Kwaśniewski, M. & Szarejko, I. Gene expression regulation in roots under drought. *J. Exp. Bot.* **67**, 1003–1014 (2016).
- 7. Siddiqui, M. N., Léon, J., Naz, A. A. & Ballvora, A. Genetics and genomics of root system variation in adaptation to drought stress in cereal crops. *J. Exp. Bot.* **72**, 1007–1019 (2021).
- 8. Becker, S. R. *et al.* Root traits contributing to drought tolerance of synthetic hexaploid wheat in a greenhouse study. *Euphytica* **207**, 213–224 (2016).
- 9. Neumann, P. M. Coping mechanisms for crop plants in drought-prone environments. *Ann. Bot.* **101**, 901–907 (2008).
- 10. Ehdaie, B., Layne, A. P. & Waines, J. G. Root system plasticity to drought infuences grain yield in bread wheat. *Euphytica* **186**, 219–232 (2012).
- 11. Li, P. *et al.* Wheat breeding highlights drought tolerance while ignores the advantages of drought avoidance: A meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Agron.* **122**, 126196 (2021).
- 12. Dolezal, J., Jandova, V., Macek, M. & Liancourt, P. Contrasting biomass allocation responses across ontogeny and stress gradients reveal plant adaptations to drought and cold. *Funct. Ecol.* **35**, 32–42 (2021).
- 13. Kobe, R. K., Iyer, M. & Walters, M. B. Optimal partitioning theory revisited: Nonstructural carbohydrates dominate root mass responses to nitrogen. *Ecology* **91**, 166–179 (2010).
- 14. Edwards, C. E., Ewers, B. E. & Weinig, C. Genotypic variation in biomass allocation in response to feld drought has a greater afect on yield than gas exchange or phenology. *BMC Plant Biol.* **16**, 1–19 (2016).
- 15. Mathew, I. *et al.* Selection of wheat genotypes for biomass allocation to improve drought tolerance and carbon sequestration into soils. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **205**, 385–400 (2019).
- 16. McCarthy, M. & Enquist, B. Consistency between an allometric approach and optimal partitioning theory in global patterns of plant biomass allocation. *Funct. Ecol.* **2007**, 713–720 (2007).
- 17. Mathew, I., Shimelis, H., Mutema, M. & Chaplot, V. What crop type for atmospheric carbon sequestration: Results from a global data analysis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **243**, 34–46 (2017).
- 18. Mathew, I., Shimelis, H., Mutema, M., Minasny, B. & Chaplot, V. Crops for increasing soil organic carbon stocks—a global meta analysis. *Geoderma* **367**, 114230 (2020).
- 19. Rivera-Amado, C. *et al.* Optimizing dry-matter partitioning for increased spike growth, grain number and harvest index in spring wheat. *Field Crop Res.* **240**, 154–167 (2019).
- 20. Shamuyarira, K. W., Shimelis, H., Figlan, S. & Chaplot, V. Path coefficient and principal component analyses for biomass allocation, drought tolerance and carbon sequestration potential in wheat. *Plants* **11**, 1407 (2022).
- 21. Reynolds, M. P. *et al.* Strategic crossing of biomass and harvest index—source and sink—achieves genetic gains in wheat. *Euphytica* 213, 1-23 (2017)
- 22. Aycan, M. *et al.* Direct contribution of the maternal genotype on the transgenerational salinity tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2021**, 104648 (2021).
- 23. Rukundo, P., Shimelis, H., Laing, M. & Gahakwa, D. Combining ability, maternal efects, and heritability of drought tolerance, yield and yield components in sweetpotato. *Front. Plant Sci.* **7**, 1981 (2017).
- 24. Yadav, S. *et al.* Improved genomic prediction of clonal performance in sugarcane by exploiting non-additive genetic efects. *Teor. Appl. Genet.* **134**, 2235–2252 (2021).
- 25. Bhullar, G., Gill, K. & Khehra, A. Combining ability analysis over F1–F5 generations in diallel crosses of bread wheat. *Teor. Appl. Genet.* **55**, 77–80 (1979).
- 26. Mwadzingeni, L., Shimelis, H. & Tsilo, T. J. Combining ability and gene action controlling yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought-stressed and nonstressed conditions. *Plant Breed.* **137**, 502–513 (2018).
- 27. Rembe, M., Zhao, Y., Jiang, Y. & Reif, J. C. Reciprocal recurrent genomic selection: An attractive tool to leverage hybrid wheat breeding. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 132, 687-698 (2019).
- 28. Ibanda, A. *et al.* Combining ability and heritability of soybean resistance to groundnut leaf miner. *Euphytica* **214**, 1–15 (2018).
- 29. Teodoro, L. P. R. *et al.* Understanding the combining ability for physiological traits in soybean. *PLoS ONE* **14**, e0226523 (2019). 30. Nkhata, W. *et al.* Combining ability analysis of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes for resistance to bean fy (*Ophiomyia* spp.), and grain yield and component traits. *Euphytica* **217**, 1–15 (2021).
- 31. Daudi, H., Shimelis, H., Mathew, I., Rathore, A. & Ojiewo, C. O. Combining ability and gene action controlling rust resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–12 (2021).
- 32. Fasahat, P., Rajabi, A., Rad, J. & Derera, J. Principles and utilization of combining ability in plant breeding. *Biomed. Biostat. Int. J.* **4**, 1–24 (2016).
- 33. Mohammadi, M., Mirlohi, A., Majidi, M. M. & Kartalaei, E. S. Emmer wheat as a source for trait improvement in durum wheat: A study of general and specifc combining ability. *Euphytica* **217**, 1–20 (2021).
- 34. Whitford, R. *et al.* Hybrid breeding in wheat: Technologies to improve hybrid wheat seed production. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 5411–5428 (2013).
- 35. Adhikari, A., Ibrahim, A. M., Rudd, J. C., Baenziger, P. S. & Sarazin, J. B. Estimation of heterosis and combining abilities of US winter wheat germplasm for hybrid development in Texas. *Crop Sci.* **60**, 788–803 (2020).
- 36. Roach, D. A. & Wulf, R. D. Maternal efects in plants. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **18**, 209–235 (1987).
- 37. Yildirim, M., Bahar, B., Genç, İ, Hatipoğlu, R. & Altintaş, S. Reciprocal efects in anther cultures of wheat hybrids. *Biol. Plant.* **52**, 779–782 (2008).
- 38. Mahgoub, G. M. Partitioning of general and specifc combining ability efects for estimating maternal and reciprocal efects. *J. Agric. Sci.* **3**, 213 (2011).
- 39. Iida, S., Kadono, Y. & Kosuge, K. Maternal efects and ecological divergence in aquatic plants: A case study in natural reciprocal hybrids between *Potamogeton perfoliatus* and *P. wrightii*. *Plant Spec. Biol.* **28**, 3–11 (2013).
- 40. Singh, J., Clavijo Michelangeli, J. A., Gezan, S. A., Lee, H. & Vallejos, C. E. Maternal efects on seed and seedling phenotypes in reciprocal F1 hybrids of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 42 (2017).
- 41. Nakamura, S. *et al.* Quantitative trait loci and maternal efects afecting the strong grain dormancy of wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. spontaneum). *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 1840 (2017).
- 42. Easterly, A. C. *et al.* Evaluation of hybrid wheat yield in Nebraska. *Crop Sci.* **60**, 1210–1222 (2020).
- 43. South African Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF). Wheat production guideline. Pretoria: Directorate Plant Production, DAFF, (2016).
- 44. VSN International. Genstat for Windows 22nd Edition. VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, UK. (2022).
- 45. Rodríguez, F., Gregorio, A., Ángela, P., José, C. & Juan, B. AGD-R (Analysis of genetic designs with R for Windows) version 5.0, Vol. 14 (Elbatan, Mexico: CIMMYT Research Data & Sofware Repository Network) (2015).
- 46. Grifng, B. Concept of general and specifc combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **9**, 463–493  $(1956)$
- 47. Baker, R. Issues in diallel analysis. *Crop Sci.* **18**, 533–536 (1978).
- 48. Chiipanthenga, M. K., Labuschagne, M. T., Fandika, I. R. & van der Merwe, R. Combining ability of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) yield performance and related traits under water-limited stress conditions. *Euphytica* **217**, 1–17 (2021).
- 49. Shamuyarira, K. W., Shimelis, H., Tapera, T. & Tsilo, T. J. Genetic advancement of newly developed wheat populations under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. *J. Crop. Sci. Biotechnol.* **22**, 169–176 (2019).
- 50. Amos, B. & Walters, D. Maize root biomass and net rhizodeposited carbon: An analysis of the literature. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **70**, 1489–1503 (2006).
- 51. Ober, E. S. *et al.* Wheat root systems as a breeding target for climate resilience. *Teor. Appl. Genet.* **134**, 1645–1662 (2021).
- 52. Ho, M. D., Rosas, J. C., Brown, K. M. & Lynch, J. P. Root architectural tradeofs for water and phosphorus acquisition. *Funct. Plant Biol.* **32**, 737–748 (2005).
- 53. Zhang, L. Y. *et al.* Genomic distribution of quantitative trait loci for yield and yield-related traits in common wheat. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **52**, 996–1007 (2010).
- 54. Mwadzingeni, L., Shimelis, H., Dube, E., Laing, M. D. & Tsilo, T. J. Breeding wheat for drought tolerance: Progress and technologies. *J. Integr. Agric.* **15**, 935–943 (2016).
- 55. Collaku, A. & Harrison, S. Heritability of waterlogging tolerance in wheat. *Crop Sci.* **45**, 722–727 (2005).
- 56. Manal, H. E. Estimation of heritability and genetic advance of yield traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought condition. *Int. J. Genet. Mol. Biol.* **1**, 115–120 (2009).
- 57. Skinner, D. Z., Cuevas, C. & Bellinger, B. S. Cytoplasmic and nuclear genetic components of membrane stability of winter wheat plants exposed to sub-zero temperatures. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **205**, 334–340 (2019).
- 58. Hernández, F., Vercellino, R. B., Fanna, I. & Presotto, A. Maternal control of early life history traits afects overwinter survival and seedling phenotypes in sunfower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Plant Biol.* **23**, 307–316 (2021).
- 59. Mackay, I. J., Cockram, J., Howell, P. & Powell, W. Understanding the classics: Te unifying concepts of transgressive segregation, inbreeding depression and heterosis and their central relevance for crop breeding. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **19**, 26–34 (2021).

#### **Author contributions**

K.W.S. and H.S. contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and data analysis were performed by K.W.S. The first draft of the manuscript was written by K.W.S. H.S., S.F. and V.C. commented and edited all previous versions of the manuscript. H.S., S.F. and V.C. were responsible for project administration and funding acquisition. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

#### **Funding**

Tis work was funded by Water Research Commission of South Africa (WRC) Project No. C2020.2021-00646. Technical support was received from the African Centre for Crop Improvement (ACCI).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-38961-6) [10.1038/s41598-023-38961-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-38961-6).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to K.W.S.

#### **Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** Tis article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\overline{\odot}$  $\odot$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 $© The Author(s) 2023$