

# Evolution and final closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean

Pan Zhao, Bei Xu, Yan Chen

# ► To cite this version:

Pan Zhao, Bei Xu, Yan Chen. Evolution and final closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. Science China Earth Sciences, 2023, 66 (11), pp.2497-2513. 10.1007/s11430-023-1165-9. insu-04359838

# HAL Id: insu-04359838 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04359838v1

Submitted on 8 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Evolution and final closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean
2	Pan Zhao <sup>1*</sup> , Bei Xu <sup>2</sup> , Yan Chen <sup>3</sup>
3	
4	1. State Key Laboratory of Lithospheric Evolution, Institute of Geology and
5	Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
6	2. Hebei Key Laboratory of Strategic Critical Mineral Resources, Hebei GEO
7	University, Shijiazhuang 050031, China
8	3. Univ. Orléans, CNRS, BRGM, ISTO, UMR 7327, F-45071, Orléans, France
9	*Corresponding author E-mail: panzhao@mail.iggcas.ac.cn
10	
11	
12	Abstract:
13	Mongol-Okhotsk Orogenic Belt is the last main orogen that constructs modern
14	tectonic framework of northeastern Asia. It has recorded the long-term evolution of the
15	Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (MOO) from its Early Paleozoic initial opening, through the
16	Late Paleozoic-Early Mesozoic subduction, to its Mesozoic final closure, leading to the
17	amalgamation of the Siberian Craton (SIB) and North China-Amuria Block (NCC-
18	AMB). Opening of the MOO can be traced to the early stage of the Early Paleozoic.
19	Northward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab beneath the southern
20	margin of the SIB initiated in the Silurian, whereas the southward subduction beneath
21	the northern margin of the NCC-AMB started in the Late Devonian. The bidirectional
22	subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab resulted in pulse arc magmatism, with
23	three main peaks in the earliest Carboniferous, Late Permian and Late Triassic-Early
24	Jurassic. In the Late Triassic, the collision between the AMB and Western Mongolian
25	Blocks led to the bending of the Western Mongolian Blocks, which caused the initial
26	closure of the MOO in its western segment. Due to the clockwise rotation of the SIB
27	and counterclockwise rotation of the NCC-AMB, the MOO showed a scissor-like
28	closure from west to east. The final closure of the MOO occurred in the Middle-Late
29	Jurassic, which also resulted in the formation of the Mongol Orocline. Since then, the

amalgamation of blocks in northeastern Asia has finished, and the northeastern Asian
continent went into the intraplate evolutional stage.

32

33 Keywords: Northeastern Asia; Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean; Paleozoic-Mesozoic,
34 Subduction initiation; Scissor-like closure

35

#### 36 **1. Introduction**

37 Orogenic belt is the one of the best windows to study continental crustal evolution and plate tectonics, therefore, it becomes the frontier and hot realm of the Earth sphere 38 interaction and Earth system science. According to the classical Wilson cycle, an 39 evolution of orogenic belt starts from opening of an oceanic basin, and due to the 40 subduction of oceanic slab, continental blocks approach each other gradually, leading 41 to final collision and formation of orogenic belt. The Mongol-Okhotsk orogenic belt, 42 located in northeastern Asia, recorded the subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean 43 (MOO), the collision between the Siberian Craton (SIB) and North China Craton 44 45 (NCC)-Amuria Block (AMB), as well as the post-orogenic continental uplift (Zonenshain et al., 1990; Zorin et al., 1999; Kelty et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2013; Xu et 46 al., 2013a; Khanchuk et al., 2015; Van der Voo et al., 2015; McDannell et al., 2018; 47 Meng et al., 2020; Sorokin et al., 2020; Yi and Meert., 2020), and it is the last main 48 orogenic belt that constructs the modern tectonic framework of northeastern Asia. 49 Different from a classic collisional orogenic belt, relative rotations of the SIB and NCC-50 AMB resulted in a scissor-like closure of the MOO from west to east (Zonenshain et 51 al., 1990; Xu et al., 2013a, b; Sun et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Khanchuk et al., 2015; 52 53 Yang et al., 2015; Gordienko et al., 2019; Sorokin et al., 2020) and the formation of the Mongol orocline (Xiao et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022). 54 Therefore, constraining evolution of the MOO in different stages from its initial 55 opening and subduction to final closure will be crucial to decipher the regional tectonics 56 of northeastern Asia. However, due to its long and complex evolutional history of 57 oceanic subduction and diachronous closure from the Paleozoic-Mesozoic, the tectonic 58 evolution of the MOO is still hotly debated. 59



Figure 1. Tectonic framework of northeastern Asia, emphasizing on the MongolOkhotsk suture (modified from Parfenov et al., 2009).

63

60

The Mongol-Okhotsk orogenic belt (original named as fold belt) was firstly 64 proposed by the Soviet geologist A.E. Fersman in 1926 (Fersman, 1926), suggesting 65 the final suture of the MOO. It stretches over 3000 km from the Khangay Mountain in 66 central Mongolia, via northeastern Mongolia and Heilongjiang, to the Uda bay of the 67 Okhotsk Sea (Figure 1). Due to the lack of geological record, the origin of the MOO is 68 not well constrained. Zonenshain et al. (1990) proposed a long-term evolution of the 69 MOO from the Silurian to Jurassic based on geological evidence including Paleozoic-70 71 Mesozoic marine deposits (Kelty et al., 2008; Bussien et al., 2011; Ruppen et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2015) and subduction related magmatic rocks (Donskaya et al., 2012; 2013; 72 Sun et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013a, b; Tang et al., 2014; 2016; Sheldrick et al., 2 020) 73 along the southern margin of the SIB and northern margin of the AMB (constituted by 74 the Ergun-Kerulen Block, South Mongolia accretionary system, Songliao-Bureya-75 Jiamusi-Xingkai Block; Fig 1). For the closure of the MOO, Zonenshain et al. (1990) 76

also suggested a gradual closure of the MOO from west to east based on the west-east 77 younging trend of marine deposits and continental arc magmatism along both sides of 78 the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. This model is supported by geological evidence of 79 multidiscipline and was named as "scissor-like closure" (Natal'in, 1993; Zorin et al., 80 1999; Sun et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Khanchuk et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; 81 Sorokin et al., 2020). However, the timing of the MOO's closure is still hotly debated. 82 In this paper, by integrating different aspects of geological and geophysical 83 84 evidence from recent and our own studies, we discussed the initial opening, subduction and final closure of the MOO, especially the most controversial issues and possible 85 breakthrough points. Based on the discussion, we try to constrain the evolution of the 86 MOO and its final closure. 87

#### 88 2. Main tectonic units of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture

The over 3000 km Mongol-Okhotsk suture extending from the Khangay Mountain to the Uda Bay shows different evolution histories from west to east, with different rock assemblages, kinematic and metamorphic features for different segments. We divided the Mongol-Okhotsk suture into four segments from west to east (Khangay Mountain, central Mongolia, northeastern Mongolia-Transbaikal and Ergun segments) and compared their rock assemblages, kinematic and metamorphic features in the following.

The Khangay Mountain segment represents the westernmost part of the Mongol-95 Okhotsk suture. Its basement is constituted by the Neoproterozoic-Early Cambrian 96 Bayan-Khongor accretionary complex including ophiolite, arc volcanic rocks, pelagic 97 and shallow marine sediments that was overlain unconformably by the Cambrian-98 Ordovician Dzag Formation Brachiopods-bearing flysch and carbonate (Figure 2; 99 100 Gordienko et al., 2019). Age of the Bayan-Khongor ophiolite is 655-636 Ma (Buchan et al., 2001, 2002; Osozawa et al., 2008; Jian et al., 2010), which is overlain by the 101 Cambrian-Ordovician meta-mudstone, meta-sandstone and limestone (Figure 2; 102 Buchan et al., 2001; Badarch et al., 2002). These Neoproterozoic-Early Paleozoic 103 geological units are unconformably overlain by the widespread Devonian-104 105 Carboniferous clastic rocks, which represent marginal marine clastic deposits (Figure 2; Zonenshain et al., 1990). Detrital zircon dating results from the Devonian-106

107 Carboniferous strata reveal a peak age of 359-357 Ma, indicating provenance from the
108 arc magmatic rocks due to bidirectional subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic
109 slab (Kelty et al., 2008; Bussien et al., 2011). The Paleozoic marine deposits were
110 unconformably overlain by the Upper Triassic non-marine clastic rocks (Badarch et al.,
111 2002; Zhao et al., under review) and intruded by the Late Triassic granitic rocks (Wang
112 et al., 2022).

The central Mongolia segment is located from western Ulaanbaatar to Ondorhaan 113 that is characterized by thick Silurian-Devonian pelagic deposits. Basalt has been 114 identified at the bottom of the Silurian-Devonian sedimentary sequence, which may 115 represent intra-oceanic arc volcanism (Figure 2; Kurihara et al., 2009). The late 116 Silurian-Devonian chert-turbidite are symmetrically distributed on both sides of the 117 suture and overlain by the Carboniferous thick clastic rocks (Minjin et al., 2006). The 118 Silurian-Carboniferous sedimentary sequence can be divided into four formations, 119 namely the Sergelen, Gorkhi, Altanovoo and Orgioch Formations from bottom to top 120 (Minjin et al., 2006). The Sergelen and Gorkhi Formations are mainly composed of 121 122 chert and turbidite. The Sergelen Formation is weakly metamorphosed without radiolarian or other fossils that can be used for dating, whereas the Gorkhi Formation 123 contains abundant radiolarian that assigned its depositional age of the Late Silurian-124 Late Devonian (Kashiwagi et al., 2004; Kurihara et al., 2009). The Altanovoo and 125 Orgioch Formations are over 5000m-thick with Early Carboniferous brachiopods and 126 bryozoon (Minjin et al., 2006). Two ophiolitic mélanges have been identified from this 127 128 segment in the Adaatsag and Khuhu Davaa regions, age of which has been constrained at 325-314 Ma by zircon U-Pb dating (Tomurtogoo et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2018; 2023a). 129 130 The Permian and Early Triassic sequences are also marine deposits, indicating that the MOO continued at least until to the Early Triassic in this segment (Zonenshain et al., 131 1990). Similar to the Khangay Mountain segment, these marine strata were 132 unconformably overlain by the Late Triassic non-marine deposits and intruded by the 133 Late Triassic granitoids (Figure 2). 134

The northeastern Mongolia-Transbaikal segment is located in the Choybalsan-Chita region, belonging to the middle part of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. The basement

is constituted by the Early Paleozoic accretionary complex, including the Late 137 Cambrian-Early Ordovician ophiolitic mélange, representing the early stage of the 138 evolution of the MOO (Figure 2; Gordienko et al., 2019). The Early Paleozoic 139 accretionary complex is overlain by the Devonian-Early Carboniferous volcanic-140 sedimentary sequence (Figure 2), representing the island arc magmatism related to 141 subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab, such as the Onon island arc in the 142 Mongolia-Russia border (Zorin, 1999). Paleomagnetic results reveal that the Onon 143 island arc was far away from both the SIB and AMB, indicating that the Onon arc 144 should be an intra-oceanic island arc within the MOO (Kuzmin and Kravchinsky, 1996). 145 The arc magmatism ceased before the Late Carboniferous and overlain by Late 146 Carboniferous-Permian shallow marine deposits (Figure 2; Zorin et al., 1995). The 147 second episode of arc magmatism of the Onon arc started from the Late Permian, 148 represented by the Late Permian-Triassic basaltic andesite and andesite. The youngest 149 age obtained from the Onon arc magmatic rocks is ca. 197 Ma, indicating that 150 subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab continued to the Early Jurassic in this 151 152 segment (Zorin, 1999). These island arc magmatic rocks were overlain by the Early Jurassic-Early Cretaceous non-marine deposits and intra-continental volcanic rocks, 153 suggesting that the MOO may have closed in the Early-Middle Jurassic in this segment 154 (Figure 2; Arzhannikova et al., 2020; 2022). 155

The Ergun segment is located to the north of the Heilongjiang River. This segment 156 is characterized by the Paleozoic marine sediments and Mesozoic turbidite that mainly 157 158 distributed in the Oldoy and Gaga-Sagayan Basins on the north margin of the Ergun-Mamyn Block (Kravchinsky et al., 2002a). The Ergun-Mamyn Block, considered as the 159 160 northeastern part of the AMB, is composed of the Late Archean-Neoproterozoic 161 basement and Neopreterozoic metamorphosed sedimentary sequence and Cambrian deformed sediments (Tang et al., 2013; Khanchuk et al., 2015; Sorokin et al., 2016). 162 The Ediacaran-Early Ordovician arc magmatic rocks have been identified from the 163 Ergun-Mamyn Block, which may represent continental arc magmatism during the 164 evolution of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (Sorokin et al., 2017). Magmatic rocks are scare 165 within the suture zone. The Pikan magmatic complex, containing mainly meta-gabbro 166

and diorite, was identified from the northern margin of the Ergun Block as tectonic lens 167 (Sorokin et al., 2007; 2020). Zircon U-Pb dating constrains the emplacement of the 168 Pikan magmatic complex in the Middle Ordovician-Early Devonian (468-415 Ma), 169 which was interpreted as continental marginal arc magmatic rocks that were drawn into 170 the suture zone during the evolution of the MOO (Sorokin et al., 2007; 2020). Within 171 172 the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, the Silurian-Jurassic marine sediments were well preserved (Figure 2). The Silurian strata are composed of metamorphosed sandstone, 173 174 siltstone and conglomerate. The Devonian strata consist of metamorphosed sandstone, siltstone, limestone and chert with tuff interlayers. The Carboniferous sequence is 175 composed of metamorphosed sandstone, siltstone and limestone. The Lower Permian 176 strata consist of mudstone, greenschist and meta-sandstone with lens of chert and 177 limestone (Figure 2; Turbin, 1994; Serezhnikov and Volkova, 2007). Ages of the 178 Devonian-Permian strata were determined by fossils within the strata including coral, 179 brachiopods and bryozoon. However, as these strata suffered from severe 180 metamorphism and deformation, these fossils are not well preserved, resulting in 181 182 questionable age assignment of these strata. Recent detrital zircon dating results from the Devonian Tungala, Dugda and Tangomen Formations in the Tukuringra terrane 183 revealed the youngest peak ages of 207 Ma, 181 Ma and 189 Ma, respectively, 184 indicating that they were Jurassic sediments (Sorokin et al., 2020). Meanwhile, the 185 youngest peak ages for the Carboniferous Dzheskogon and Nekter Formations and 186 lower Permian Bochagor Formation were obtained at 244 Ma, 202 Ma and 255 Ma, 187 188 respectively, suggesting that they were deposited in the Triassic-Jurassic rather than the Carboniferous-Permian (Sorokin et al., 2020). Therefore, most previously considered 189 190 Paleozoic strata may be deposited in the Triassic-Jurassic and the existence of Paleozoic marine strata is questionable that need further evidence. Detrital zircon dating results 191 also indicate that marine deposits in the Ergun segment continued at least to the Early 192 Jurassic, supporting previous consideration that there were Early Jurassic marine 193 deposition in this region (Parfenov et al., 2001). The transition from marine to non-194 195 marine sedimentary environment, occurred in the Middle Jurassic and represented by the Middle-Late Jurassic coal-bearing continental deposits in the Amur, Zeya and Mohe 196

Basins, suggests the closure of the MOO and formation of the Mongol-Okhotsk
orogenic belt (Figure 3; He et al., 2015; Smirnova et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2021).



199

Figure 2. Strata columns of different segments of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. (synthesized from 1:500,000 geological map of Mongolia and data from Badarch et al., 202 2002; Kurihara et al., 2009; Gordienko et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022). Chronological data of ophiolitic mélange are from Tomurgogoo et al., 2005 and Zhu et al., 2018.

204

#### 205 3. Origin and subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean

Due to the lack of geological evidence, the origin of the MOO has not been well 206 207 constrained. Nevertheless, based on the Ordovician-Silurian slices of oceanic slab identified in central and northern Mongolia, the opening of the MOO has been 208 connected with the evolution of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (Figure 3a; Zonenshain et al., 209 1990; Cocks and Torsvik, 2007; Ruppen et al., 2014). Gordienko et al. (2019) proposed 210 a rift-extension model that the MOO originated from extension of the Orkhon-Kharagol 211 basin between the Central Mongolian and Muya-Stanovoi Blocks (Figure 3b). Another 212 213 model suggests that subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic slab beneath the SIB in the Early Paleozoic caused back-arc extension that evolved into the MOO (Figure 3c; 214 Badarch et al., 2002; Bussien et al., 2011; Cocks and Torsvik, 2007). Both two models 215

resulted in the rifting and drifting of the Central Mongolian Block (constituting the 216 AMB since the Late Paleozoic) from the SIB with the opening of the MOO (Figure 3a; 217 218 Torsvik and Cocks, 2016). The similar rifting and drifting processes also occurred for the Western Mongolian Blocks (constituted by the Tuva-Mongolia, Zavkhan, Baydrag 219 and Tarvagatay Blocks). The Western Mongolian Blocks accreted to the margin of the 220 221 SIB during the Neoproterozoic-Ordovician (Badarch et al., 2002). After short-term coevolution, the Western Mongolian Blocks rifted from the SIB in the Silurian and 222 223 drifted southward, revealed by paleomagnetic results (Bold et al., 2016; Kilian et al., 2016). However, as no Early Paleozoic paleomagnetic result has ever been reported 224 from the AMB, it is still debatable whether the AMB was rifted from the SIB in the 225 Early Paleozoic or the oceanic basin between the SIB and AMB existed before the Early 226 Paleozoic that was named as the MOO since the Early Paleozoic. For example, both 227 Zorin (1999) and Li (2006) considered that the MOO was a gigantic bay of the Paleo-228 Pacific Ocean between the SIB and AMB. 229

The subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab initiated in the Silurian. Calc-230 231 alkaline granotoids and accretionary complex identified along the southern margin of the SIB are the direct evidence for subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic 232 subduction (Zorin, 1999; Donskaya et al., 2013; Ruppen et al., 2014). Meanwhile, 233 detrital zircon dating results obtained from the Devonian-Carboniferous strata to the 234 north of the suture display Silurian-Devonian age peaks (Ruppen et al., 2014), 235 indicating arc magmatism related to the subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic 236 237 slab beneath the southern margin (recent coordinates) of the SIB. The widely distributed Devonian-Carboniferous turbidites in the western and central parts of the Mongol-238 239 Okhotsk suture represent trench sediments along Andean-type continental margin 240 (Zorin, 1999). The Silurian-Devonian magmatic rocks have also been identified from the southern side of the suture, but it is still controversial whether they can represent 241 subduction-related magmatism or not. For example, the Late Silurian bimodal volcanic 242 rocks identified on the Ereendavaa Block (northeastern part of the AMB) was 243 interpreted as post-collisional magmatism following collision between the Ereendavaa 244 Block and the Idermeg Block (Narantsetseg et al., 2019). The Late Devonian A-type 245

246 granite reported from the Ergun Block resulted from the northwestward subduction of

247 the Heihe-Nenjiang oceanic slab beneath the Ergun Block (Li et al., 2017a). Meanwhile,

248 detrital zircon dating results show that different peak ages from the Silurian-Middle



249

Figure 3. Models of opening of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. (a) Paleogeography map
of the Siberian Craton and surrounding cratons in the Late Cambrian (modified from
Torsvik and Cock, 2016); (b) Intercontinental rift model (modified from Gordienko et
al., 2019); (c) Back-arc extension model (modified from Bussien et al., 2011). SIB:
Siberian Craton; CMB: Central Mongolian Block.

255

Devonian obtained from the northern side of the suture (Ruppen et al., 2014). However, 256 strata in the southern side of the suture display no Silurian-Middle Devonian age peak 257 (Bussien et al., 2011). The different detrital zircon age distribution patterns may 258 consequently suggest that there was no southward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk 259 oceanic slab beneath the northern margin of the AMB during the Silurian-Middle 260 261 Devonian. Detrital zircon dating results obtained from the Carboniferous strata in the western segment of the suture reveal a significant age peak at about 351 Ma and some 262 263 Late Devonian zircon ages (Kelty et al., 2008), indicating that the southward subduction of the MOO may have started in the Late Devonian and reached its climax in the Early 264 Carboniferous. Recent studies show that subduction of the MOO may have started since 265 the Cambrian-Ordovician. A new Cambrian-Ordovician Ikh-Mongol magmatic belt has 266 recently been identified around the Mongol-Okhotsk suture with coeval subduction-267 related high-pressure eclogite (Figure 4a; Janoušek et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2023b). But 268 how this magmatic belt was formed is not clear. Although it was considered as the result 269 of the subduction of the Paleo-Asian Ocean beneath the Tuva-Mongolia Block 270 271 (Janoušek et al., 2018), due to their distribution along the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, they may also represent the Cambrian-Ordovician subduction of the MOO. More studies are 272 need to decipher the tectonic origin of this magmatic belt. Meanwhile, two Ordovician 273 high-K granites have recently been identified with zircon U-Pb age of  $469 \pm 3$  Ma and 274  $440 \pm 3$  Ma (Ling et al., 2021). Both granitic bodies show A<sub>2</sub>-type geochemical 275 characteristics, which was interpreted as the result from slab roll-back during the 276 277 subduction of the MOO in the Middle Ordovician (Ling et al., 2021). Based on the study of the Late Carboniferous Adaatsag ophiolite, Zhu et al. (2023a) found that it has 278 279 similar rock assemblage with the Izu-Bonin-Mariana forearc magmatic rocks, therefore, they proposed that the southward subduction of the MOO may initiated from the Late 280 Carboniferous. However, this subduction initiation timing is not consistent with the 281 Early Carboniferous age peak of magmatic rocks along the suture (Kelty et al., 2008). 282

The bidirectional subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab resulted in abundant arc magmatic rocks along both continental margins of the SIB and AMB (Figure 4b; Donskaya et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2022). To the north of

the suture, arc magmatic rocks contain mainly of granite, granodiorite, monzogranite, 286 syenite and mafic rocks, showing typical continental margin arc magmatic geochemical 287 affinity (Donskaya et al., 2013). To the south of the suture, arc magmatic rocks are 288 mainly composed of I-type calc-alkaline to high-K calc-alkaline granite, monzogranite 289 and syenogranite (Zhao et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Sorokin et al., 2021). Detrital zircon 290 dating results obtained from Carboniferous strata within and along both sides of the 291 suture show a prominent Early Carboniferous age peak, supporting the widely 292 293 distributed subduction-related Early Carboniferous arc magmatism (Figure 5; Kelty et al., 2008; Bussien et al., 2011). Meanwhile, detrital zircon dating results reveal three 294 age peaks of Early Carboniferous (351-344 Ma), Late Permian (257-254 Ma) and Late 295 Triassic-Early Jurassic (207-192 Ma) for the arc magmatism related to the Mongol-296 297 Okhotsk oceanic subduction (Figure 5; Kelty et al., 2008; Sorokin et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022), indicating pulse magmatic events that may be related to changes of angle 298 and velocity of the subducted slab. By compilation of magmatic rocks along both sides 299 of the suture, Wang et al. (2022) found that ages of magmatic rocks show outside to 300 301 inside younging and west to east younging trends (Figure 4b-d). The Carboniferous-Early Triassic magmatic rocks have been identified around the whole suture (Tang et 302 al., 2014; 2016; Zeng et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2021; Sorokin et al., 2021; 303 Wang et al., 2022; Ovchinnikov et al., 2023), whereas the Jurassic magmatic rocks are 304 only distributed in the central and eastern segments of the suture (Figure 4c,d; Xu et al., 305 2013a; Sun et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Sheldrick et al., 2020), indicating that the 306 307 subduction in the western segment may have ceased at the Late Triassic.

Magmatic rocks along the southern margin of the SIB and northern margin of the 308 309 AMB reveal a bidirectional subduction of the MOO. However, seismic topography 310 results only show high velocity anomaly beneath the SIB, without high velocity anomaly beneath the AMB (Van der Voo et al., 1999; van der Meer et al., 2018), arguing 311 for a solo northward rather than bidirectional subduction of the MOO (Van der Voo et 312 al., 1999). However, the geodynamic modeling shows that due to eastward movement 313 and rotation of the Eurasia continent, if there was southward subduction, the subducted 314 oceanic slab could be preserved beneath the SIB (Fritzell et al., 2016). Therefore, the 315

absence of high velocity anomaly beneath the AMB cannot be considered as theevidence arguing against the southward subduction of the MOO beneath the AMB.



318

319 Figure 4. Distribution of magmatic rocks in different ages around the Mongol-Okhotsk

suture. (a) Ediacara-Ordovician (modified from Zhu et al., 2023b); (b) Carboniferous-

321 Permian (modified from Wang et al., 2022); (c) Triassic (modified from Wang et al.,



322 2022); (d) Jurassic (modified from Wang et al., 2022).

323

Figure 5. Detrital zircon distribution patterns of Carboniferous (a, b) and Jurassic-Cretaceous (c, d) strata from both sides of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, showing similar Earliest Carboniferous, Late Permian and Late Triassic-Early Jurassic age peaks from both sides of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. Detrital zircon U-Pb age data are from Kelty et al., 2008; Sorokin et al., 2020 and Chen et al., 2022.

329

#### 330 4. Closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean

331 The style and timing of closure are the most controversial problems for the evolution of the MOO. Geological evidence argues for a west to east scissor-like closure 332 of the MOO (Zonenshain et al., 1990; Zorin, 1999; Xu et al., 2013a; Gordienko et al., 333 2019; Sorokin et al., 2020; Arzhannikova et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). For example, 334 both transition timing from marine to non-marine sediments and ages of magmatic 335 rocks show an eastward younging trend along the suture (Zonenshain et al., 1990; Wang 336 et al., 2022). The scissor-like closure is also supported by paleomagnetic result. By 337 compilation of paleomagnetic data from blocks in eastern Asia, Zhao et al. (1996) 338 339 proposed that the western segment of the MOO was closed in the Late Permian-Triassic, whereas the eastern segment was closed in the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous. On the 340 contrary, both Enkin et al. (1992) and Van der Voo et al. (2015) considered that the 341

MOO was simultaneously closed in the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous. During the 342 Triassic-Jurassic, the SIB experienced ~45° clockwise rotation and moved southward, 343 and the NCC-AMB rotated ~90° counterclockwise and moved northward (Van der Voo 344 et al., 2015). In this model, the relative rotations of the SIB and NCC-AMB caused 345 narrowing of the MOO in a scissor-like way, resulting in the similar width of the MOO 346 from west to east in the Late Jurassic and closure of the MOO in the Late Jurassic-Early 347 Cretaceous. Recent sedimentological and detrital zircon dating studies on the western 348 Baikal region (central segment of the MOO) and the Dzhagda region (eastern segment 349 of the MOO) argue for that the central and eastern segments of the MOO should have 350 been closed in the Early-Middle Jurassic boundary (Arzhannikova et al., 2020; Sorokin 351 et al., 2020). However, remnant-oceanic basin still existed in the eastern Baikal region 352 353 that was closed in the Middle to Late Jurassic boundary, based on which Arzhannikova et al. (2022) proposed that the closure of the MOO may not be in the scissor-like way 354 and each segment of the MOO evolved independently. The main reason for this 355 controversy is that the timings for the initial and final closure of the MOO are not well 356 357 constrained.

#### 4.1 Timing of initial closure of the MOO in its western segment

Zonenshain et al. (1990) proposed that the initial closure of the MOO in its western 359 segment occurred in the Late Triassic-Early Jurassic based on the transition age from 360 marine to non-marine deposits and ages of magmatic rocks along the Mongol-Okhotsk 361 suture. This viewpoint is supported by the regional unconformity identified between 362 363 the Upper Triassic clastic deposits and underlying geological units (Badarch et al., 364 2002). Meanwhile, the Late Triassic alkaline magmatic rocks were identified from the 365 western segment of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, emplaced in an extensional setting, arguing for a Late Triassic closure of the MOO in its western segments (Donskaya et 366 al., 2013). Furthermore, the Late Triassic granites intruded into the Mongol-Okhotsk 367 suture as stitching plutons and the Jurassic arc magmatism did not exist anymore along 368 the western segment of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture (Figure 6; Wang et al., 2022). Based 369 on these evidence, a Late Triassic closure of the MOO in its western segment was 370 proposed, followed by scissor-like closure of the MOO (Wang et al., 2022). 371



372

Figure 6. Cross-section across-cut the western segment of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture with location marking in the upper map. Late Triassic granites intruded into the suture zone and Tarvagatay Block and Amuria Block on both sides of the suture. The suture zone is unconformbly overlain by the Upper Triassic continental clastic rocks. Magmatic and sedimentary evidence indicates that the western segment of the MOO should have been closed in the Late Triassic. Red stars represent locations of the measured Upper Triassic strata and paleomagnetic sampling.

380

Concerning the initial closure of the MOO, different models have been proposed 381 by paleomagnetic studies. By compilation of paleomagnetic data from the SIB and NCC, 382 383 Zhao et al. (1996) considered that the western segment of the MOO should have been closed during the Permian-Triassic. On the contrary, Van der Voo et al. (2015) proposed 384 a Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous simultaneous closure of the whole MOO from west to 385 east. The southern margin of the SIB aligned in the N-S direction in the Permian and 386 the Western Mongolian Blocks along the southwestern margin of the SIB (Figure 1) 387 should collide with the AMB firstly during the clockwise rotation of the SIB that led to 388 initial closure of the MOO. New paleomagnetic data obtained in the last decade show 389

that the Western Mongolian Blocks accreted to the southwestern margin of the SIB 390 during the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian (Bold et al., 2016). After a short-time coevolution, 391 392 the Western Mongolian Blocks rifted from the SIB in the Silurian and drifted southward to a middle- to low-latitude since then (Bold et al., 2016; Kilian et al., 2016) until at 393 least to the Carboniferous (Ren et al., 2021). Due to the lack of Permian-Middle Triassic 394 395 paleomagnetic result for the Western Mongolian Blocks, relative positions of the Western Mongolian Blocks and the SIB during this period cannot be confined. However, 396 397 considering that the SIB was located at high latitude during the Permian-Middle Triassic (e.g., the paleolatitude of the northern SIB was ~70-60° N during the Permian-398 Late Triassic; Walderhaug et al., 2005; Torsvik et al., 2012), the initial closure of the 399 MOO in its western segment should be caused by the collision between the Western 400 Mongolian Blocks and the AMB. Pruner (1992) firstly reported a Triassic paleolatitude 401 of  $32.8 \pm 16.8^{\circ}$  N from the Tarvagatay Block (a part of the Western Mongolian Blocks) 402 to the north of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. Although this paleolatitude yielded a big 403 uncertainty, it is consistent with coeval paleolatitude of the NCC-AMB (Wu et al., 1990; 404 405 Yang et al., 1991; Ma et al., 1993).



406

Figure 7. Photos of field outcrops of the Upper Triassic continental clastic rocks from
the western segment of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. Basal conglomerate (a) and

interbedded conglomerate and sandstone (b) from northern side of the suture, with
pebbles and cobbles of volcanic rocks, greenschist, chert, etc. Basal conglomerate (c)
and interbedded conglomerate and sandstone (d) from the southern side of the suture.

412



413

Figure 8. Paleolatitude versus time plot with the Late Triassic-Early Cretaceous 414 paleomagnetic data of the NCC, SIB, AMB and the Tarvagatay Block. 415 Paleolatitude data of the NCC are from Uno and Huang, 2003; Pei et al., 2011; 416 Ren et al., 2016; 2018 and Gao et al., 2021. Paleolatitude data of the SIB are from 417 Kent and Irving, 2010 and Kent et al., 2015. Paleolatitude data of the NCC and 418 SIB are calculated with reference point of 41°N, 121°E. Paleolatitude data of the 419 AMB and the Tarvagatay Block are from Pruner, 1992; Zhao et al., 2023 and Zhao 420 et al., under review. Paleolatitude data of the AMB and the Tarvagatay Block are 421 calculated with individual sampling location. 422

423

To test this latitudinal consistency, we performed sedimentological and paleomagnetic studies on the Upper Triassic strata from both sides of the western

segment of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture zone. The Upper Triassic strata from both sides 426 of the suture show similar terrestrial deposits (Figure 6), with thick-bedded 427 conglomerate at the bottom containing pebbles and cobbles of chert, greenschist, 428 volcanic rocks etc. (Figure 7a, c), interbedded conglomerate-sandstone in the middle 429 (Figure 7b, d), and thick-bedded sandstone in the upper part. The rock assemblages, 430 combining with abundant plant fossils discovered from sandstone layers, indicate that 431 the Late Triassic sedimentary sequence represents foreland basin deposits after the 432 closure of the western segment of the MOO (Figure 6; Zhao et al., under review). Our 433 paleomagnetic studies on the Upper Triassic strata show paleolatitudes of 31.1° ± 9.0°N 434 for the Tarvagatay Block, 32.1° ± 10.6°N~34.2° ± 9.2°N for the northern margin of the 435 AMB, and  $33.3^{\circ} \pm 4.6^{\circ}$ N for the southern margin of the AMB (Figure 8; Zhao et al., 436 2023). The consistent paleolatitudes for the Tarvgatay Block and the AMB indicate that 437 438 the MOO may have been closed in the Late Triassic.

By integrating sedimentological, magmatic, paleontological and paleomagnetic evidence, we propose that the Western Mongolian Blocks have collided with the NCC-AMB in the Late Triassic, and the initial closure of the western segment of the MOO occurred in the Late Triassic (Figure 9b).

#### 443 **4.2 Timing of final closure of the MOO in its eastern segment**

Multi-disciplinary studies have been performed on the final closure of the MOO 444 in its eastern segment. However, due to different methodologies and materials in focus, 445 timing of final closure of the MOO is still hotly debated, with three main viewpoints at 446 the Early-Middle Jurassic (Zorin, 1999; Sorokin et al., 2020; Yi and Meert, 2019), latest 447 Middle Jurassic (Zonenshain et al., 1990; Zhang, 2011; Li et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2013; 448 Xu et al., 2013a; Wang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018), and Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous 449 (Kravchinsky et al., 2002a, b; Cogné et al., 2005; Pei et al., 2011; Van der Voo et al., 450 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2018). 451

Based on detailed structural kinematic and magmatic studies, Russian geologists proposed that the SIB and NCC-AMB should have collided in the Early-Middle Jurassic (Zorin, 1999). Recent detrital zircon geochronological studies on the metasediments from terranes to the north of the Heilongjiang River revealed that their

provenance contains materials in the northern AMB (Sorokin et al., 2020). Meanwhile, 456 the absence of detrital zircon younger than 171 Ma implies that the final closure of the 457 MOO could have taken place at the boundary of the Early and Middle Jurassic as a 458 result of the collision between the SIB and NCC-AMB (Zaika et al., 2018; Sorokin et 459 al., 2020). Recent paleomagnetic study on the Lower-Middle Jurassic Nandaling 460 Formation (~174 Ma) in the northern margin of NCC shows a paleolatitude of  $61.7^{\circ} \pm$ 461 9.1°N for the NCC, which is consistent with that of the SIB (Figure 8), therefore 462 suggests that the MOO should have been closed at the Early and Middle Jurassic 463 boundary (Yi and Meert, 2020). 464

According to the eastward younging trend of sedimentary and magmatic rocks on 465 both sides of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, Zonenshain et al. (1990) proposed that the 466 main part of the MOO should have been closed in the Middle Jurassic, with only ~300 467 km width residual oceanic basin left in the easternmost segment. A same conclusion has 468 also been reached based on the ages of kinematic deformation and magmatic rocks 469 (Natal'in, 1993). Kinematic studies on the Jurassic strata in the Heilongjiang Basin 470 471 revealed a Late Jurassic top-to-the-south thrust, caused by regional compression related to the collision between the SIB and NCC-AMB, suggesting a Middle Jurassic closure 472 of the MOO (Zhang, 2011). Magmatic rocks in the Ergun and Xing'an Blocks show a 473 transition from Late Triassic-Early Jurassic calc-alkaline magmatism to Late Jurassic-474 Early Cretaceous A-type magmatism with a Middle Jurassic magmatic lull, suggesting 475 that the closure of the MOO should occur in the Middle Jurassic (Sun et al., 2013). 476 477 Meanwhile, Li et al. (2015) identified a Middle Jurassic (~168 Ma) muscovite granite in NE China, the primary magma of which was derived from partial melting of a 478 479 juvenile thickened lower crust resulted from closure of the MOO, therefore indicating a Middle Jurassic closure of the MOO. Moreover, the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous 480 magmatic rocks emplaced in extensional tectonic setting are widespread distributed in 481 NE Mongolia and western Great Xing'an Range of NE China (Sun et al., 2013; Li et 482 al., 2018; Tang et al., 2018). However, whether these magmatic rocks emplaced in a 483 post-orogenic extension after the closure of the MOO or back-arc extension related to 484 the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific oceanic slab is still controversial. The key to solve 485

this controversy is constraining regions of influence of both two tectonic regimes. Li et 486 al. (2017b) identified Middle-Late Triassic high-Mg adakitic andesites along the 487 southern margin of the Xing'an Block, and concluded that the Mongol-Okhotsk 488 tectonic regime extended at least as far as the eastern margin of the Xing'an Block. 489 Meanwhile, the Late Jurassic and early Early Cretaceous magmatic rocks are mainly 490 distributed in the Great Xing'an Range and to its west showing a southward migration, 491 while coeval magmatism is scare in the Lesser Xing'an-Zhangguangcai Ranges and 492 493 eastern Heilongjiang–Jilin Provinces (Xu et al., 2013a). Therefore, these Late Jurassic and early Early Cretaceous magmatic rocks should be formed in a post-collisional 494 tectonic setting after the closure of the MOO in the Middle Jurassic, rather than induced 495 by the Paleo-Pacific subduction (Sun et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013a; Tang et al., 2015; 496 Wang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2018). 497

Although paleomagnetic results from the NCC-AMB are inconsistent, most 498 available data indicate the existence of a wide oceanic basin between the SIB and the 499 NCC-AMB. For example, Late Jurassic paleomagnetic data obtained from northern 500 501 margin of the AMB and southern margin of the SIB show a 1700-2700 km distance between the SIB and AMB (Kravchinsky et al., 2002a, b; Cogné et al., 2005). Two ca. 502 155 Ma paleomagnetic poles were reported from the northeastern Inner Mongolia and 503 southern Mongolia of the AMB, indicating a paleolatitudinal difference of 10°-15° for 504 the SIB and AMB (Zhao et al., 1990; Ren et al., 2018). However, paleomagnetic studies 505 on the Late Jurassic Tiaojishan volcanic rocks display different results. Pei et al. (2011) 506 reported a paleomagnetic pole for the Tiaojishan volcanic rocks at 59.9°N/240.3°E, 507 with  $A_{95}=6.8^{\circ}$ , constraining a ~3000 km width of the MOO at ca. 155 Ma (Figure 8). 508 509 On the contrary, a new paleomagnetic study on the Tiaojishan volcanic rocks yielded a paleomagnetic pole at 69.6°N/203.0°E ( $A_{95}$ =5.6°), indicating a ~1600 km width of the 510 MOO at ca. 155 Ma (Figure 8; Ren et al., 2016). The Early Cretaceous paleomagnetic 511 data obtained from southern SIB (Cogné et al., 2005; Metelkin et al., 2010) and AMB 512 (Pruner, 1987; Halim et al., 1998; Hankard et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2018) show 513 consistent paleomagnetic pole and paleolatitude, indicating that the MOO should have 514 been closed in the Early Cretaceous. Meanwhile, the apparent polar wander paths 515

(APWPs) of both the NCC and the SIB are overlapped in the Early Cretaceous, also 516 supporting that final closure of the MOO in the early stage of the Early Cretaceous (Van 517 518 der Voo et al., 2015). This viewpoint is supported by sedimentary and magmatic evidence. Yang et al. (2015) identified a Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous transition from 519 marine to non-marine deposits in the eastern segment of the MOO. Meanwhile, the Late 520 Jurassic magmatic rocks in the eastern segment show arc magmatic geochemical 521 affinities, indicating that subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab was still 522 523 ongoing in the Late Jurassic and the final closure of the MOO occurred in the Latest Jurassic to Earlies Cretaceous (Ouyang et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2019). 524

In conclusion, geological evidence mainly supports a Middle-Late Jurassic closure 525 of the MOO (Figure 9). The inconsistency from different aspects of geological evidence 526 is mainly caused by the range of constraint on the timing of collision. For example, 527 transition from marine to non-marine deposits can constrain the final disappear of the 528 oceanic basin, however, this age can be much younger than the timing of collision as 529 after continental collision, marine sedimentary basin can still last for long time. For 530 531 example, after the collision between the Arabian Block and Eurasian continent in the Oligocene, marine deposits preserved until to present day in the Persian Gulf. Therefore, 532 marine to non-marine transition can only provide a loose constraint on the final closure 533 of the MOO. S-type granite represents syn-collision partial melting of thickened lower 534 crust, age of which may be closer to the timing of continental collision. Li et al. (2015) 535 identified a ca. 168 Ma S-type granite in the northern Great Xing'an Range, suggesting 536 a Middle Jurassic closure of the MOO (Li et al., 2018). Detrital zircon exchange can 537 constrain the upper limit of oceanic closure. Sorokin et al. (2020) found that the Upper 538 539 Jurassic from both sides of the suture display similar detrital zircon distribution pattern, 540 indicating that detrital zircon exchange has occurred in the Late Jurassic, therefore, the most likely timing for closure of the MOO should be the Middle Jurassic (Figure 9c). 541 However, paleomagnetic evidence mainly argues for a Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous 542 closure of the MOO, younger than that concluded from geological evidence. This 543 inconsistency from geological and paleomagnetic aspects should be noticed, and 544 integrated geological and paleomagnetic studies should be performed in the future in 545



### 547

Figure 9. Bidirectional subduction and closure model of the MOO. (a) Late Permian: 548 549 Bidirectional subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab beneath the southern margin of the SIB and northern margin of the AMB. In the western segment, the 550 Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab subducted beneath the Tarvagatay Block (TAR). (b) Late 551 Triassic: Collision between the TAR and AMB caused closure of the western segment 552 553 of the MOO; Bidirectional subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab continued in the eastern segment. (c) Middle-Late Jurassic: Closure of the MOO in its eastern 554 segment. Cartons in the left show relative orientations of both the SIB and AMB in 555 different periods (based on paleogeographic reconstruction in Van der Voo et al., 2015). 556 557 The cross-section A-B is located in the Khangay section and the cross-section C-D is located in the Ergun section of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. 558

559 5. Problems and opportunities about paleomagnetic studies on the evolution of the

560 **MOO** 

As a quantitative method constraining paleolatitude and paleogeography of plates, paleomagnetism can play an important role in the study of evolution of the MOO. However, paleomagnetic studies on the evolution of the MOO are insufficient and showing inconsistent or even contradictory results, resulting in the hot debate on this subject. Here, we will analyze possible reasons for this problem and hope this can contribute to the future paleomagnetic studies on the evolution of the MOO.

567

## 5.1 Problems about paleomagnetic constraints on the initial closure of the MOO

The initial closure of the MOO in its western segment is the consequence of 568 collision between the northwestern margin of the AMB and Western Mongolian Blocks 569 (Tuva-Mongolia Block and Tarvagatay Block) along the southern margin of the SIB. 570 Available sedimentological and magmatic evidence supports a Late Triassic initial 571 closure of the MOO (Badarch et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2022). However, as there is no 572 Mesozoic paleomagnetic data reported from the Tuva-Mongolia Block and Tarvagatay 573 Block to the north of the suture, or from the AMB to the south of the suture, 574 575 paleomagnetists used data from the SIB and NCC to constrain the evolution of the MOO and reached a conclusion that the MOO closed simultaneously in the Late 576 Jurassic-Early Cretaceous from west to east (Enkin et al., 1992; Van der Voo et al., 577 2015). The precondition for this kind of paleomagnetic comparison is that the Tuva-578 Mongolia Block and Tarvagatay Block stay at the southern margin of the SIB ever since 579 their accretion to the SIB in the Neoproterozoic-Early Paleozoic. However, recent 580 581 paleomagnetic studies show that after the accretion to the southern margin of the SIB, 582 the Western Mongolian Blocks coevolved with the SIB for a short-time period, and 583 rifted from the SIB since the Silurian and drifted away from the SIB (Kilian et al., 2016). 584 Since the Late Silurian, the SIB drifted northward to high latitude, whereas the Western Mongolian Blocks moved southward to low latitude (Kilian et al., 2016) and reached 585 ca. 25° N during the Late Carboniferous (ca. 315 Ma) close to the AMB (Ren et al., 586 2021). Due to the lack of Permian paleomagnetic data, the Permian paleoposition of the 587 Western Mongolian Block cannot be constrained. However, as the Late Triassic 588 paleolatitude of the Tarvagatay Block was at  $32.8 \pm 16.8^{\circ}$  N, indicating that the Western 589

590 Mongolian Blocks still located in low latitude in the Late Triassic (Pruner, 1992). Our 591 new Late Triassic paleomagnetic results confirmed that the Tarvagatay Block located 592 in the paleolatitude of 30-35°N during the Late Triassic, consistent with that of the 593 AMB, suggesting that the western segment of the MOO should have closed in the Late 594 Triassic (Zhao et al., 2023).

Therefore, for initial closure of the MOO, one should compare paleolatitudes of 595 the AMB with Western Mongolian Blocks directly rather than with those of the SIB. 596 597 Future paleomagnetic studies can focus on the Tuva-Mongolia Block and the Tarvagatay Block. From newly obtained Late Paleozoic and Triassic paleomagnetic 598 data, one can constrain the drifting history of the Western Mongolian Blocks and further 599 discuss initial closure of the MOO. Meanwhile, if the Western Mongolian Blocks stayed 600 in low latitude during the Late Paleozoic and Triassic, there must be a big latitudinal 601 difference between the Western Mongolian Blocks and SIB in this period. Does this 602 mean that there was an oceanic basin between them (an independent oceanic basin or a 603 branch of the MOO)? This will be another subject for the evolution of the MOO. 604

#### **5.2 Effect of the true polar wander (TPW) on the final closure of the MOO**

The TPW is due to the reorganization of Earth mass during Earth rotation resulting 606 in rotation of the crust and mantle relative to the liquid outer core. Plates show rapid 607 latitudinal drift and relative rotation during the TPW (Evan, 2003; Steinberger and 608 Torsvik, 2008; Kent and Irving, 2010). The TPW event in the Jurassic resulted in a 609 global clockwise rotation around an Euler pole at western Africa (0°N, 11°E; 610 Steinberger and Torsvik, 2008; Creveling et al., 2012; Torsvik et al., 2012). This TPW 611 was referred as the "monster shift" by Kent et al. (2015). As the SIB and eastern Asian 612 613 blocks located far away from the Euler pole, the clockwise rotation during this TPW 614 event can result in dramatic southward drift of these blocks (Muttoni and Kent, 2019; Yi et al., 2019; Fu et al., 2020), which will affect the evolution of the MOO. Li (1993) 615 firstly applied the TPW theory to study movement of the NCC during 200-120 Ma and 616 concluded that the Jurassic movement of the NCC was affected by this TPW event. Yi 617 et al. (2019) reported a Middle Jurassic (174 Ma) paleolatitude of the NCC at  $61.7^{\circ} \pm$ 618 9.1°N, consistent with that of the SIB. Therefore, they proposed that the MOO should 619

have been closed in the Early and Middle Jurassic boundary, and then the eastern Asian 620 blocks moved southward rapidly about 25° to  $36.6^{\circ} \pm 5.1^{\circ}$ N in the Late Jurassic (157 621 Ma; Yi and Meert, 2020). However, Gao et al. (2021) reported three paleomagnetic 622 poles (170 Ma, 165 Ma and 160Ma) from the Middle-Late Jurassic volcanic rocks in 623 the northern margin of the NCC, which show no obvious latitudinal movement of the 624 NCC, but the SIB moved southward rapidly during this period. This phenomenon was 625 explained as a result of combined influence of the Jurassic TPW and evolution of the 626 MOO (Gao et al., 2021). In the framework of the TPW, both the SIB and the NCC-627 AMB should move southward rapidly. However, due to the northward subduction of 628 the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab, the dragging force will pull the NCC-AMB 629 northward, which will counteract the TPW-induced southward movement. Therefore, 630 the NCC-AMB shows insignificant movement during this period (Gao et al., 2021). 631 Meanwhile, the paleolatitudes of the SIB and NCC-AMB have already overlapped in 632 the range of uncertainty at 155 Ma (Figure 8), indicating that the MOO should have 633 closed or have limited width. 634

635 Note that based on the model of Kent et al. (2015), the "monster shift" occurred in the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous (160-140 Ma). Therefore, the Early-Middle Jurassic 636 evolution of the MOO should not be affected by this true polar wander event. Due to 637 the lack of paleomagnetic poles in the age of 160-140 Ma for both the SIB and NCC, 638 we cannot evaluate whether the "monster shift" true polar wander affect the plate 639 motions in East Asia or the closure of the MOO. In this case, further paleomagnetic 640 studies are needed for blocks in East Asia. New high-quality Late Jurassic 641 paleomagnetic poles with good age constraints will provide us opportunities to discuss 642 643 the influence of this true polar wander event to East Asian blocks and closure of the MOO. 644

#### 645 **6. Conclusion**

As the last main ocean that affected the amalgamation and tectonic evolution of northeastern Asia, the MOO separated the SIB to the north, the NCC-AMB to the south and the Western Mongolian Blocks (including Tuva-Mongolia Block, Tarvagatay Block etc.) to the west. The MOO experienced long-term evolution from the Early Paleozoic

to Mesozoic. The opening of the MOO can be traced to its early stage at the Early 650 Paleozoic. The northward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic slab beneath the 651 652 southern margin of the SIB initiated in the Silurian, whereas its southward subduction beneath the north margin of the NCC-AMB started in the Late Devonian, relatively 653 later than the northward one. The bidirectional subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk 654 oceanic slab resulted in pulse arc magmatism, with three main peaks in the earliest 655 Carboniferous, Late Permian and Late Triassic-Early Jurassic. In the Late Triassic, due 656 to the collision between the AMB and the Western Mongolian Blocks, the ribbon-657 shaped Western Mongolian Blocks bended and caused the initial closure of the MOO 658 in its western segment. Due to the clockwise rotation of the SIB and counterclockwise 659 one of the NCC-AMB, the MOO showed a scissor-like closure pattern from west to 660 east. The final closure of the MOO occurred in the Middle-Late Jurassic, leading to the 661 formation of the Mongol-Okhotsk orogenic belt, which also resulted in the formation 662 of the Mongol Orocline. Since then, the amalgamation of main blocks in the 663 northeastern Asia has finished, and the northeastern Asian continent went into the 664 665 intraplate evolutional stage.

666

Acknowledgements: We thank Yanyang Wang, Yanjie Zhang, Yan Xu and Liyang Zhang for their assistant in the field, and Zhenhua Jia and Yifei Hou for their help in the paleomagnetic measuring process. We appreciate the Editor and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive suggestions, which improve our manuscript. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 92155203).

673

#### 674 **References:**

Arzhannikova A V, Demonterova E I, Jolivet M, Arzhannikov S G, Mikheeva E A,
Ivanov A V, Khubanov V B, Pavlova L A. 2020. Late Mesozoic topographic
evolution of western Transbaikalia: evidence for rapid geodynamic changes from
the Mongol-Okhotsk collision to widespread rifting. Geosci Front 11: 1695–1709
Arzhannikova A V, Demonterova E I, Jolivet M, Mikheeva E A, Ivaanov A V,
Arzhannikov S G, Khubanov V B, Kamenetsky V S. 2022. Segmental closure of
the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean: Insight from detrital geochronology in the East

Transbaikalia Basin. Geosci Front 13: 101254 682 Badarch G, Cunningham W D, Windley B F. 2002. A new block subdivision for 683 Mongolia: implications for the Phanerozoic crustal growth of Central Asia. J Asian 684 Earth Sci 21: 87-110 685 Bold U, Crowley J, Smith E, Sambuu O, Macdonald F. 2016. Neoproterozoic to early 686 Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the Zavkhan terrane of Mongolia: Implications for 687 crustal growth in the Central Asian orogenic belt. Lithosphere, 8: 729-750 688 Buchan C, Cunningham D, Windley B, Tomurhuu D. 2001. Structural and lithological 689 characteristics of the Bayankhongor Ophiolite Zone, Central Mongolia. J Geol Soc 690 158(3): 445-460 691 692 Buchan C, Pfänder J, Kröner A, Brewer T S, Tomurtogoo O, Tomurhuu D, Cunningham D, Windley B F. 2002. Timing of accretion and collisional deformation in the 693 694 Central Asian Orogenic Belt: Implications of granite geochronology in the Bayankhongor Ophiolite Zone. Chem Geol 192: 23-45 695 Bussien D, Gombojav N, Winkler W, Von Quadt A. 2011. The Mongol-Okhotsk Belt in 696 Mongolia-a new appraisal of the geodynamic development by the study of sand-697 stone provenance and detrital zircons. Tectonophysics 510: 132-150 698 699 Chen L, Liang C, Neubauer F, Liu Y, Zhang Q, Song Z. 2022. Sedimentary processes and deformation styles of the Mesozoic sedimentary succession in the northern 700 margin of the Mohe basin, NE China: Constraints on the final closure of the 701 Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. J Asian Earth Sci 232: 105052 702 Cocks L R M, Torsvik T H. 2007. Siberia, the wandering northern terrane, and its 703 changing geography through the Palaeozoic. Earth-Sci Rev 82(1-2): 29-74 704 Cogné J-P, Kravchinsky V, Halim N, Hankard F. 2005. Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous 705 closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean demonstrated by new Mesozoic 706 palaeomagnetic results from the Trans-Baïkal area (SE Siberia). Geophys J Int 707 708 163(2): 813-832 Creveling J R, Mitrovica J X, Chan N H, Latychev K, Matsuyama I. 2012. Mechanisms 709 for oscillatory true polar wander. Nature 491: 244-248 710 Donskaya T V, Gladkochub D P, Mazukabzov A M, De Waele B, Presnyakov S L. 2012. 711 The Late Triassic Kataev volcanoplutonic association in western Transbaikalia, a 712 fragment of the active continental margin of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. Russian 713 Geol Geophys 53(1): 22-36 714 715 Donskaya T V, Gladkochub D P, Mazukabzov A M, Ivanov A V. 2013. Late Paleozoic - Mesozoic subduction-related magmatism at the southern margin of the Siberian 716 continent and the 150 million-year history of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. J Asian 717 Earth Sci 62: 79–97 718 Duan X, Zhou C, Yang H, Cai Y, Wei X, Xu J, Zhao J. 2021. Detrital zircon U-Pb dating 719 and geochemical characteristics of the Ershi'erzhan Formation in western Mohe 720 basin and its provenance significance. Acta Geologica Sinica 95(11): 3317-3334 721 Enkin R J, Yang Z Y, Chen Y, Courtillot V. 1992. Paleomagnetic constraints on the 722 geodynamic history of the major blocks of China from the Permian to the present. 723 J Geophys Res 97: 13953-13989 724 Evans D A D. 2003. True polar wander and supercontinents. Tectonophysics 362: 303-725

726 320

- Fersman A E. 1926. Mongol–Okhotsk metallic belt. Surface Bowels 4, 28–38 (in
  Russian)
- Ftzell E H, Bull A L, Shephard G E. 2016. Closure of the Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean:
  Insights from seismic tomography and numerical modelling. Earth Planet Sci Lett
  445: 1–12
- Fu J Y, Na F C, Li Y C, Sun W, Zhong H, Yang H, Yang X P, Zhang G Y, Liu Y C, Yang
  Y J. 2021. Southward subduction of the Mongo-Okhotsk Ocean: Middle Triassic
  magmatic records of the "Luomahu Group" in northwest of Lesser Khingan
  Mountains. Geol Bull China 40(6): 889-904
- Fu R R, Kent D V, Hemming S R, Gutiérrez P, Creveling J R. 2020. Testing the
  occurrence of Late Jurassic true polar wander using the La Negra volcanics of
  northern Chile. Earth Planet Sci Lett 529: 115835
- Gordienko I V, Metelkin D V, Vetluzhskikh L .I. 2019. The Structure of the MongolOkhotsk Fold Belt and the Problem of Recognition of the Amur Microcontinent.
  Russian Geol Geophys 60: 267-286
- Hankard F, Cogne J-P, Kravchinsky V. 2005. A new Late Cretaceous paleomagnetic
  pole for the west of Amuria block (Khurmen Uul, Mongolia). Earth Planet Sci Lett
  236(1-2): 359-373
- Halim N, Kravchinsky V, Gilder S, Conge J-P, Alexyutin M, Sorokin A, Coutillot V,
  Chen Y. 1998. A palaeomagnetic study from the Mongol–Okhotsk region: rotated
  Early Cretaceous volcanics and remagnetized Mesozoic sediments. Earth Planet
  Sci Lett 159: 133-145
- He Z J, Li J Y, Mo, S G, Sorokin A A. 2005. Geochemical discriminations of sandstones
  from the Mohe Foreland basin, northeastern China: tectonic setting and
  provenance. Science in China Series D Earth Sciences 48 (5): 613–621
- Janoušek V, Jiang Y, Buriánek D, Schulmann K, Hanžl P, Soejono I, Kröner A,
  Altanbaatar B, Erban V, Lexa O, Ganchuluun T, Košler J. 2018. Cambrian–
  Ordovician magmatism of the Ikh–Mongol Arc System exemplified by the
  Khantaishir Magmatic complex (Lake Zone, south–Central Mongolia). Gondwana
  Res 54: 122–149
- Ji Z, Ge W C, Yang H, Bi J H, Yu Q, Dong Y. 2018. The Late Trassic Andean-type
  andesite from the central Great Xing'an Range: Products of the southward
  subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic plate. Acta Petrol Sinica 34(10): 29172930
- Jian P, Kröner A, Windley B F, Shi Y, Zhang F, Miao L, Tomurhuu D, Zhang W, Liu D.
  2010. Zircon ages of the Bayankhongor ophiolite mélange and associated rocks:
  Time constraints on Neoproterozoic to Cambrian accretionary and collisional
  orogenesis in Central Mongolia. Precambrian Res 177(1–2): 162–180
- Kashiwagi K, Tsukada K, Minjin C. 2004. Paleozoic spherical radiolarians from the
  Gorkhi Formation, southwest Khentei range, central Mongolia; a preliminary
  report. Mongolian Geoscientist 24: 17–26
- Kelty T K, Yin A, Dash B, Gehrels G E, Ribeiro A E. 2008. Detrital-zircon
   geochronology of Paleozoic sedimentary rocks in the Hangay–Hentey basin,

- north-central Mongolia: implications for the tectonic evolution of the MongolOkhotsk Ocean in central Asia. Tectonophysics 451: 290-311
- Kent D V, Irving E. 2010. Influence of inclination error in sedimentary rocks on the
  Triassic and Jurassic apparent pole wander path for North America and
  implications for Cordilleran tectonics. J Geophys Res 115: https:// doi.org
  /10.1029/2009JB007205.
- Kent,D V, Kjarsgaard B A, Gee J S, Muttoni G, Heaman L M. 2015. Tracking the Late
  Jurassic apparent (or true) polar shift in U-Pb-dated kimberlites from cratonic
  North America (Superior Province of Canada). Geochem Geophys, Geosyst 16:
  983-994
- Khanchuk A I, Didenko A N, Popeko L I, Sorokin A A, Shevchenko B F. 2015. Structure
  and Evolution of the Mongol-Okhotsk Orogenic Belt, in Kröner, A., eds., The
  Central Asian Orogenic Belt. Geology, Evolution, Tectonics, and Models.
  Borntraeger Science Publishers, Stuttgart, Germany, 211–234.
- Kilian T M, Swanson-Hysell N L, Bold U, Crowley J, Macdonald F A. 2016.
  Paleomagnetism of the Teel basalts from the Zavkhan terrane: Implications for
  Paleozoic paleogeography in Mongolia and the growth of continental crust.
  Lithosphere 8, 699-715
- Kravchinsky V A. Sorokin A A, Courtillot V. 2002a. Paleomagnetism of Paleozoic and
   Mesozoic sediments from the southern margin of Mongol-Okhotsk ocean, far
   eastern Russia. J Geophys Res 107 (B10), 2253
- Kravchinsky V A, Cogné J-P, Harbert W P, Kuzmin M I. 2002b. Evolution of the
  Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean as constrained by new palaeomagnetic data from the
  Mongol–Okhotsk suture zone, Siberia. Geophys J Int 148: 34-57
- Kurihara T, Tsukada K, Otoh S, Kashiwagi K, Chuluun M, Byambadash D, Boijir B,
  Gonchigdorj S, Nuramkhan M, Niwa M, Tokiwa T, Hikchi G, Kozuka T. 2009.
  Upper Silurian and Devonian pelagic deep-water radiolarian chert from the
  Khangai–Khentei belt of Central Mongolia: Evidence for Middle Paleozoic
  subduction–accretion activity in the Central Asian Orogenic Belt. J Asian Earth
  Sci 34: 209–225
- Kuzmin M I, Kravchinsky V A. 1996. Preliminary paleomagnetic data on the
   Mongolia–Okhotsk fold belt. Russian Geol Geophys 37 (1): 54–62
- Li J Y, 2006. Permian geodynamic setting of Northeast China and adjacent regions:
  closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate. J
  Asian Earth Sci 26: 207–224
- Li P. 1993. Interpretation of Mesozoic crustal movements in North China-Ture polar
  wander model. Geol Rev 39(5): 390-394
- Li Y, Ding L L, Xu W L, Wang F, Tang J, Zhao S, Wang Z J. 2015. Geochronology and
  geochemistry of muscovite granite in Sunwu area, NE China: Implication for the
  timing of closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. Acta Petrol Sinica 31(1): 56-66
- Ling J, Li P, Yuan C, Sun M, Zhang Y, Narantsetseg T, Wang X, Jiang Y, Hu W. 2021.
  Ordovician to Devonian granitic plutons in the Hangay Range, Central Mongolia:
  Petrogenesis and insights into the Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the westernmost
  Mongol-Okhotsk Orogen. Lithos 404-405: 106463

- Li P, Sun M, Narantsetseg T, Jourdan F, Hu W, Yuan C. 2022. First structural
  observation around the hinge of the Mongolian Orocline (Central Asia):
  Implications for the geodynamics of oroclinal bending and the evolution of the
  Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. Geol Soc Am Bull 134: 1994-2006
- Li Y, Xu W-L, Wang F, Tang J, Zhao S, Guo P. 2017a. Geochronology and geochemistry
  of late Paleozoic–early Mesozoic igneous rocks of the Erguna Massif, NE China:
  Implications for the early evolution of the Mongol–Okhotsk tectonic regime. J
  Asian Earth Sci 144: 205–224
- Li Y, Xu W-L, Wang F, Pei F P, Tang J, Zhao S. 2017b. Triassic volcanism along the
  eastern margin of the Xing'an Massif, NE China: Constraints on the spatial–
  temporal extent of the Mongol–Okhotsk tectonic regime. Gondwana Res 48: 205223
- Li Y, Xu W-L, Tang J, Pei F P, Wang F, Sun C Y. 2018. Geochronology and
  geochemistry of Mesozoic intrusive rocks in the Xing'an Massif of NE China:
  Implications for the evolution and spatial extent of the Mongol–Okhotsk tectonic
  regime. Lithos 304-307: 57-73
- Liu Y, Li W, Ma Y, Feng Z, Guan Q, Li S, Chen Z, Liang C, Wen Q. 2021. An orocline
  in the eastern Central Asian Orogenic Belt. Earth Sci Rev 221: 103808
- Ma X, Xing L, Yang Z, Xu S, Zhang J. 1993. Paleomagnetic study since late Paleozoic
  in the Ordos Basin. Acta Geophys Sinica 36(1): 68-79
- McDannell K T, Zeitler P K, Idleman B D. 2018. Relict topography within the Hangay
  Mountains in central Mongolia: Quantifying long-term exhumation and relief
  change in an old landscape. Tectonics 37: 2531–2558
- Meng Q R, Wu G L, Fan L G, Wei H H, Wang E. 2020. Late Triassic uplift, magmatism
  and extension of the northern North China block: Mantle signatures in the surface.
  Earth Planet Sci Lett 547: 116451
- Metelkin D V, Vernikovsky V A, Kazansky AYu, Wingate M T D. 2010. Late Mesozoic
  tectonics of Central Asia based on paleomagnetic evidence. Gondwana Res 18(23): 400-419
- Minjin Ch, Tomurtogoo O, Dorjsuren B, 2006. Devonian-Carboniferous accretionary
  complex of the Ulaanbaatar terrane. In: Tomurhuu, D., Natal'in, B.A.,
  Ariunchimeg, Y., Khishigsuren, S., Erdenesaikhan, G. (Eds.), Structural and
  Tectonic Correlation across the Central Asia Orogenic Collage: Implications for
  Continental Growth and Intracontinental Deformation (Second International
  Workshop and Field Excursions for IGC Project 480), abstract and excursion
  guidebook, pp. 100–106.
- Muttoni G, Kent D V, 2019. Jurassic Monster Polar Shift Confirmed by Sequential
  Paleopoles From Adria, Promontory of Africa. J Geophys Res: Solid Earth 124:
  3288-3306
- Narantsetseg T, Orolmaa D, Yuan C, Wang T, Guo L, Tong Y, Wang X, Enkh-Orshikh
  O, Oyunchimeg T-U, Delgerzaya P, Enkhdalai B. 2019. Early-Middle Paleozoic
  volcanic rocks from the Ereendavaa terrane (Tsarigiin gol area, NE Mongolia)
  with implications for tectonic evolution of the Kherlen massif. J Asian Earth Scis
  175: 138–157

- Natal'in B, 1993. History and modes of Mesozoic accretion in Southeastern Russia.
  Island Arc 2: 15–34
- Osozawa S, Tsolmon G, Majigsuren U, Sereenen J, Niitsuma S, Iwata N, Pavlis T, Jahn
  B M. 2008. Structural evolution of the Bayanhongor region, west-central
  Mongolia. J Asian Earth Sci 33(5): 337–352
- Ovchinnikov R O, Sorokin A A, Xu W L, Kudryashov N M. 2023. Late Paleozoic and
  early Mesozoic granitoids in the northwestern Bureya Massif, Central Asian
  Orogenic Belt: Timing and tectonic significance. Int Geol Rev DOI:
  10.1080/00206814.2023.2178035
- Ouyang H G, Mao J W, Zhou Z H, Su H M. 2015. Late Mesozoic metallogeny and
  intracontinental magmatism, southern Great Xing'an Range, northeastern China.
  Gondwana Res 27: 1153–1172
- Parfenov L M, Popeko L I, Tomurtogoo O. 2001. Problems of tectonics of the MongolOkhotsk Orogenic Belt. Geology of Pacific Ocean 16 (5): 797–830
- Parfenov L M, Badarch G, Berzin N A, Khanchuk A I, Kuzmin M I, Nokleberg W J,
  Prokopiev A V, Ogasawara M, Yan H. 2009. Summary of Northeast Asia
  geodynamics and tectonics. Stephan Mueller Spec. Publ. Ser., 4: 11–33
- Pei J L, Sun Z M, Liu J, Liu J, Wang X S, Yang Z Y, Zhao Y, Liu H B. 2011. A
  paleomagnetic study from the Late Jurassic volcanics (155 Ma), North China:
  implications for the width of Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean. Tectonophysics 510: 370–
  380
- Pruner P. 1987. Palaeomagnetism and palaeogeography of Mongolia in the Cretaceous,
  Permian and Carboniferous-preliminary data. Tectonophysics 139: 155-167
- Pruner P. 1992. Palaeomagnetism and palaeogeography of Mongolia from the
  Carboniferous to the Cretaceous-final report. Phys Earth Planetary Inter 70: 169–
  177
- Ren Q, Zhang S, Wu H, Liang Z, Miao X, Zhao H, Li H, Yang T, Pei J, Davis G A.
  2016. Further paleomagnetic results from the ~ 155 Ma Tiaojishan Formation,
  Yanshan Belt, North China, and their implications for the tectonic evolution of the
  Mongol–Okhotsk suture. Gondwana Res 35: 180-191
- Ren Q, Zhang S, Wu Y, Yang T, Gao Y, Turbold S, Zhao H, Wu H, Li H, Fu H, Xu B,
  Zhang J, Tomurtogoo O. 2018. New Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous
  Paleomagnetic Results From North China and Southern Mongolia and Their
  Implications for the Evolution of the Mongol-Okhotsk Suture. J Geophys Res:
  Solid Earth 123(12): 10,370-310,398
- Ren Q, Zhang S, Sukhbaatar T, Zhao H, Wu H, Yang T, Li H, Gao Y, Jin X. 2021. Did
  the Boreal Realm extend into the equatorial region? New paleomagnetic evidence
  from the Tuva–Mongol and Amuria blocks. Earth Planet Sci Lett 576: 117246
- Ruppen D, Knaf A, Bussien D, Winkler W, Chimedtseren A, von Quadt A. 2014.
  Restoring the Silurian to Carboniferous northern active continental margin of the
  Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean in Mongolia: Hangay–Hentey accretionary wedge and
  seamount collision. Gondwana Res 25(4): 1517-1534
- Serezhnikov A N, Volkova Yu R. 2007. State Geological Map of the Russian Federation.
   Third Generation. Far East Series. Scale 1:000 000. Sheet N-52, Zeya. Vol'skii,

- 902 A.S., Eds. St. Petersburg, VSEGEI.
- Sheldrick T C, Barry T L, Millar I L, Barfod D N, Halton A M, Smith D J. 2020.
  Evidence for southward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic plate:
  implications from Mesozoic adakitic lavas from Mongolia. Gondwana Res 79:
  140-156
- Smirnova Y N, Sorokin A, Popeko L, Kotov A, Kovach V P. 2017. Geochemistry and
  provenances of the Jurassic terrigenous rocks of the Upper Amur and Zeya–Dep
  troughs, eastern Central Asian fold belt. Geochem Inter 55(2): 163–183
- Sorokin A A, Kotov A B, Sal'nikova E B, Kudryashov N M, Kovach V P. 2007. Early
  Paleozoic gabbro-granitoid associations in eastern segment of the MongolianOkhotsk foldbelt (Amur River basin): Age and tectonic position. Stratigraphy and
  Geological Correlation 15(3): 241-257
- Sorokin A A, Kotov A B, Kudryashov N M, Kovach V P. 2015. First evidence of
  Ediacaran magmatism in the geological history of the Mamyn Terrane of the
  Central Asian fold belt. Russian Journal of Pacific Geology 9(6): 399-410.
- Sorokin A A, Kudryashov N M, Kotov A B, Kovach V P. 2017. Age and tectonic setting
  of the early Paleozoic magmatism of the Mamyn Terrane, Central Asian Orogenic
  Belt, Russia. J Asian Earth Sci 144: 22-39
- Sorokin A A, Zaika V A, Kovach V P, Kotov A B, Xu W, Yang H. 2020. Timing of
  closure of the eastern Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean: Constraints from U–Pb and Hf
  isotopic data of detrital zircons from metasediments along the Dzhagdy Transect.
  Gondwana Res 81: 58-78
- Sorokin A A, Zaika V A, Kudryashov N M. 2021. Timing of formation and tectonic
  setting of Paleozoic granitoids in the eastern Mongol–Okhotsk Belt: Constraints
  from geochemical, U Pb, and Hf isotope data. Lithos 388-389: 106086
- Steinberger B, Torsvik T H. 2008. Absolute plate motions and true polar wander in the
  absence of hotspot tracks. Nature 452: 620-623
- Sun D Y, Gou J, Wang T H, Ren Y S, Liu Y J, Guo H Y, Liu X M, Hu Z C. 2013.
  Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the Erguna massif basement,
  NE China–subduction history of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic crust. Inter Geol
  Rev 55: 1801-1816
- Tang J, Xu W-L, Wang F, Wang W, Xu M J, Zhang Y H. 2013. Geochronology and
  geochemistry of Neoproterozoic magmatism in the Erguna Massif, NE China:
  Petrogenesis and implications for the breakup of the Rodinia supercontinent.
  Precambrian Res 224: 597-611
- Tang J, Xu W-L, Wang F, Wang W, Xu M, Zhang Y. 2014. Geochronology and
  geochemistry of Early–Middle Triassic magmatism in the Erguna Massif, NE
  China: Constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean.
  Lithos 184-187: 1-16
- Tang J, Xu W-L, Wang F, Zhao S, Li Y. 2015. Geochronology, geochemistry, and
  deformation history of Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous intrusive rocks in the
  Erguna Massif, NE China: Constraints on the late Mesozoic tectonic evolution of
  the Mongol–Okhotsk orogenic belt. Tectonophysics 658: 91-110
- 945 Tang J, Xu W-L, Wang F, Zhao S, Wang W. 2016. Early Mesozoic southward

- 946 subduction history of the Mongol–Okhotsk oceanic plate: Evidence from
  947 geochronology and geochemistry of Early Mesozoic intrusive rocks in the Erguna
  948 Massif, NE China. Gondwana Res 31: 218–240
- Tang J, Xu W L, Wang F, Ge W C. 2018. Subduction history of the Paleo-Pacific slab
  beneath Eurasian continent: Mesozoic-Paleogene magmatic records in Northeast
  Asia. Sci China Earth Sci 48(5): 549-583
- Tomurtogoo O, Windley B F, Kroner A, Badarch G, Liu D Y. 2005. Zircon age and
  occurrence of the Adaatsag ophiolite and Muron shear zone, central Mongolia:
  constraints on the evolution of the Mongol–Okhotsk ocean, suture and orogen. J
  Geol Soc 162: 125-134
- Torsvik T H, Van der Voo R, Preeden U, Mac Niocaill C, Steinberger B, Doubrovine P
  V, van Hinsbergen D J J, Domeir M, Gaina C, Tohver E, Meert J G, McCausland
  P J A, Cocks R M. 2012. Phanerozoic polar wander, paleogeography and dynamics.
  Earth-Sci Rev 114: 325–368
- Torsvik T, Cocks L. 2016. Earth History and Palaeogeography. Cambridge: Cambridge
   University Press. doi:10.1017/9781316225523
- Turbin M T, (Ed.), Decisions of IV Inter-Organizational Regional Stratigraphic Meeting
  for Precambrian and Phanerozoic Epoch at south of far east and eastern TransBaikalia, (in Russian), 124 pp., Khabarovsk State Mine-Geol. Co. House,
  Khabarovsk, Russia, 1994.
- van der Meer D G, van Hinsbergen D J J, Spakman W. 2018. Atlas of the underworld:
  Slab remnants in the mantle, their sinking history, and a new outlook on lower
  mantle viscosity. Tectonphysics 723: 309-448
- van der Voo R, Spakman W, Bijwaard H. 1999. Mesozoic subducted slabs under Siberia.
  Nature 397: 246–249
- Van der Voo R, van Hinsbergen D J J, Domeier M, Spakman W, Torsvik T H. 2015.
  Latest Jurassic–earliest Cretaceous closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean: A
  paleomagnetic and seismological-tomographic analysis, in Anderson, T.H.,
  Didenko, A.N., Johnson, C.L., Khanchuk, A.I., and MacDonald, J.H., Jr., eds.,
  Late Jurassic Margin of Laurasia-A Record of Faulting Accommodating Plate
  Rotation. Geological Society of America Special Paper 513, 589–606.
- Walderhaug H J, Eide E A, Scott R A, Inger S, Golionko E G. 2005. Palaeomagnetism
  and 40Ar/39Ar geochronology from the South Taimyr igneous complex, Arctic
  Russia: a Middle–Late Triassic magnatic pulse after Siberian flood-basalt
  volcanism. Geophys J Inter 163: 501–517
- Wan L, Lu C, Zeng Z, Mohammed A S, Liu Z, Dai Q, Chen K. 2019. Nature and
  significance of the late Mesozoic granitoids in the southern Great Xing'an range,
  eastern Central Asian Orogenic Belt. Inter Geol Rev 61: 584–606
- Wang T, Tong Y, Xiao W, Guo L, Windley B F, Donskaya T, Li S, Tserendash N, Zhang
  J. 2022. Rollback, scissor-like closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean and
  formation of an orocline: magmatic migration based on a large archive of age data.
  Natl Sci Rev 9(5): nwab210
- Wang T, Guo L, Zhang L, Yang Q, Zhang J, Tong Y, Ye K. 2015. Timing and evolution
   of Jurassic–Cretaceous granitoid magmatisms in the Mongol–Okhotsk belt and

- adjacent areas, NE Asia: Implications for transition from contractional crustal
  thickening to extensional thinning and geodynamic settings. J Asian Earth Sci 97:
  365–392
- Wu H, Zhu R, Liu C, Chang C. 1990. Paleomagnetic observations in North China Block:
  from Late Paleozoic to Triassic. Acta Geophys Sinica 33(6): 694-701
- Xiao W, Windley B F, Han C, Liu W, Wan B, Zhang J, Ao S, Zhang Z, Song D. 2018.
  Late Paleozoic to early Triassic multiple roll-back and oroclinal bending of the
  Mongolia collage in Central Asia. Earth-Sci Rev 186: 94-128
- Xu W L, Pei F P, Wang F, Meng E, Ji W Q, Yang D B, Wang W. 2013a. Spatial-temporal
  relationships of Mesozoic volcanic rocks in NE China: Constraints on tectonic
  overprinting and transformations between multiple tectonic regimes. J Asian Earth
  Sci 74: 167–193
- Xu W L, Wang F, Pei F P, Meng E, Tang J, Xu M J, Wang W. 2013b. Mesozoic tectonic
   regimes and regional ore-forming background in NE China: Constraints from
   spatial and temporal variations of Mesozoic volcanic rock associations. Acta
   Petrol Sinica 29(2): 339-353
- Yang Y T, Guo Z X, Song C C, Li X B, He S. 2015. A short-lived but significant
  Mongol–Okhotsk collisional orogeny in latest Jurassic–earliest Cretaceous.
  Gondwana Res 28: 1096–1116
- Yang Z, Ma X, Besse J, Courtillot V, Xing L, Xu S, Zhang J. 1991. Paleomagnetic
  results from Triassic sections in the Ordos Basin, North China. Earth Planetary Sci
  Lett 104: 258-277
- Yi Z, Liu Y, Meert J. 2019. A true polar wander trigger for the Great Jurassic East Asian
   Aridification. Geology 47(12): 1112-1116
- Yi Z, Meert J G. 2020. A closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean by the Middle Jurassic:
   Reconciliation of paleomagnetic and geological evidence. Geophys Res Lett 47:
   e2020GL088235.
- Zaika V A, Sorokin A A, Xu B, Kotov A B, Kovach V P. 2018. Geochemical Features
  and Sources of Metasedimentary Rocks of the Western Part of the Tukuringra
  Block of the Mongol–Okhotsk Fold Belt. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation
  26: 157–178
- 1021 Zhang Y. 2011. Main Characteristics of Late Jurassic-Cretaceous Tectonic Framework
   1022 in Northeast Asia. J Jilin University (Earth Sci Edition) 41(5): 1267-1284

I023 Zhao P, Xu B, Jahn B M. 2017. The Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean subduction-related
I024 Permian peraluminous granites in northeastern Mongolia: Constraints from zircon
I025 U-Pb ages, whole-rock elemental and Sr-Nd-Hf isotopic compositions. J Asian
I026 Earth Sci 144: 225–242

- 1027 Zhao P, Appel E, Deng C, Xu B. 2023. Bending of the western Mongolian blocks
  1028 initiated the Late Triassic closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean and formation of
  1029 the Tuva-Mongol Orocline. Tectonics 42(5): e2022TC007475,
  1030 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022TC007475.
- 1031 Zhao P, Jia Z, Xu B, Sukhbaatar T, Appel E, Chen Y. 2023. Late Triassic initial closure
  1032 of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean in the western segment: constraints from
  1033 sedimentology, detrital zircon ages and paleomagnetic evidence. Gondwana Res

1034 under review.

- 1035 Zhao X, Coe R S, Zhou Y, Wu H, Wang J. 1990. New paleomagnetic results from
  1036 northern China: collision and suturing with Siberia and Kazakhstan.
  1037 Tectonophysics 181: 43-81
- Zhao X, Coe R S, Gilder S A, Frost G M. 1996. Palaeomagnetic constraints on the
  palaeogeography of China: implications for Gondwanaland. Australian J Earth Sci
  43: 643-672
- Zhu M, Zhang F, Miao L, Baatar M, Anaad C, Yang S H, Li X B. 2018. The late
  Carboniferous Khuhu davaa ophiolite in northeastern Mongolia: Implications for
  the tectonic evolution of the Mongol-Okhotsk ocean. Geol J 53: 1263-1278
- Zhu M, Pastor-Galan D, Miao L, Zhang F, Ganbat A, Li S, Yang S, Wang Z. 2023a.
  Evidence for early Pennsylvanian subduction initiation in the Mongol–Okhotsk
  Ocean from the Adaatsag ophiolite (Mongolia). Lithos 436-437: 106951
- Zhu M, Zhang F, Smit M A, Pastor-Galan D, Guilmette C, Miao L, Zou Y, Yang S,
  Ganbat A, Tual L, Wang Z. 2023b. Discovery of a >1,000 km Cambrian EclogiteBearing High-Pressure Metamorphic Belt in the Central Asian Orogenic Belt:
  Implications for the Final Closure of the Pan-Rodinian Ocean. J Geophys Res:
  Solid Earth 128(1): doi: 10.1029/2022JB025388.
- Zeng W S, Zhou J B, Dong C, Cao J L, Wang B. 2014. Subduction record of Mongol Okhotsk Ocean: Constrains from Badaguan metamorphic complexes in the Erguna
   massif, NE China[J]. Acta Petrol Sinica 30(7): 1948-1960
- Zonenshain L P, Kuzmin M I, Natapov L M. 1990. Geology of the USSR: plate tectonic
   synthesis. American Geophysical Union Geodynamics Series 21: 1-242
- Zorin Y A, Belichenko V G, Turutanov E K, Mazukabzov A M, Sklyarov E V,
   Mordvinova V V. 1995. The East Siberia Transect. Int Geol Rev 37,: 154–175
- Zorin Y A. 1999. Geodynamics of the western part of the Mongolia–Okhotsk collisional
   belt, Trans-Baikal region (Russia) and Mongolia. Tectonophysics 306: 33–56
- 1061 1062