



HAL
open science

Poynting Fluxes, Field-Aligned Current Densities, and the Efficiency of the Io-Jupiter Electrodynamic Interaction

A. H. Sulaiman, J. R. Szalay, G. Clark, F. Allegrini, F. Bagenal, M. J. Brennan, J. E. P. Connerney, V. Hue, W. S. Kurth, R. L. Lysak, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

A. H. Sulaiman, J. R. Szalay, G. Clark, F. Allegrini, F. Bagenal, et al.. Poynting Fluxes, Field-Aligned Current Densities, and the Efficiency of the Io-Jupiter Electrodynamic Interaction. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2023, 50, 10.1029/2023GL103456 . insu-04505577

HAL Id: insu-04505577

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-04505577>

Submitted on 15 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Geophysical Research Letters[®]



RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2023GL103456

Key Points:

- Alfvénic Poynting fluxes and electron energy fluxes are highly correlated on magnetic field lines connected to Io's orbit
- The efficiency in the Main Alfvén Wing is ~10%, fully consistent with Alfvén wave filamentation via a turbulent cascade process
- Field-aligned current densities are quantified and exhibit a decay in magnitude down-tail of Io

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:














A. H. Sulaiman,
asulai@umn.edu

Citation:

Sulaiman, A. H., Szalay, J. R., Clark, G., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Brennan, M. J., et al. (2023). Poynting fluxes, field-aligned current densities, and the efficiency of the Io-Jupiter electrodynamic interaction. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50, e2023GL103456. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL103456>

Received 24 FEB 2023
Accepted 28 APR 2023

Poynting Fluxes, Field-Aligned Current Densities, and the Efficiency of the Io-Jupiter Electrodynamic Interaction

A. H. Sulaiman¹ , J. R. Szalay² , G. Clark³ , F. Allegrini^{4,5} , F. Bagenal⁶ , M. J. Brennan⁷ , J. E. P. Connerney^{8,9} , V. Hue¹⁰ , W. S. Kurth¹¹ , R. L. Lysak¹ , J. D. Nichols¹² , J. Saur¹³ , and S. J. Bolton⁴ 

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, Minnesota Institute for Astrophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA, ²Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA, ³Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University, Laurel, MD, USA, ⁴Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, USA, ⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA, ⁶Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA, ⁷Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, ⁸Space Research Corporation, Annapolis, MD, USA, ⁹NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ¹⁰Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CNES Institut Origines, LAM, Marseille, France, ¹¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA, ¹²School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK, ¹³Institute of Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Abstract Juno's highly inclined orbits provide opportunities to sample high-latitude magnetic field lines connected to the orbit of Io, among the other Galilean satellites. Its payload offers both remote-sensing and in-situ measurements of the Io-Jupiter interaction. These are at discrete points along Io's footprint tail and at least one event (12th perijove) was confirmed to be on a flux tube Alfvénically connected to Io, allowing for an investigation of how the interaction evolves down-tail. Here we present Alfvén Poynting fluxes and field-aligned current densities along field lines connected to Io and its orbit. We explore their dependence as a function of down-tail distance and show the expected decay as seen in UV brightness and electron energy fluxes. We show that the Alfvén Poynting and electron energy fluxes are highly correlated and related by an efficiency that is fully consistent with acceleration from Alfvén wave filamentation via a turbulent cascade process.

Plain Language Summary Io and Jupiter are electrodynamically coupled resulting in the Io footprint tail. This is one of the most persistent, stable, and recognizable features of Jupiter's aurora. The Juno spacecraft routinely samples magnetic field lines connected to Io's orbit, allowing for an investigation of this powerful coupling. We use data recorded by Juno to estimate a proxy for the strength of this interaction, that is, electromagnetic energy, and show its dependence downstream of Io and how the interaction decays. We further show that the available electromagnetic energy and electron energy are intimately linked, suggesting a transfer of energy between wave and particles. This is the basis upon which electrons end up precipitating into Jupiter's upper atmosphere and generate some of the brightest auroras.

1. Introduction

Jupiter's rotating magnetosphere has an azimuthal velocity, v_{ϕ} , that exceeds the orbital velocity, v_s , of each of the Galilean satellites. Io's ionosphere is electrically conducting and large compared with the characteristic thermal plasma length scales, therefore it acts as an obstacle to the rotating magnetospheric plasma. In the rest frame of Io, the magnetosphere sweeps over the satellite from its trailing side at speed $u = v_{\phi} - v_s$ with an initially unperturbed magnetic field, B_0 . The flow of plasma around Io produces vorticity in the flow, shearing magnetic field lines thus producing currents. The divergence-free requirement is satisfied by the development of field-aligned currents to and from Jupiter's ionosphere.

The interaction of the magnetospheric plasma with Io is accompanied by magnetic field disturbances at the fluid scale that correspond to the Alfvén magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) mode, along which energy is carried, and its propagation is strictly parallel or anti-parallel to the magnetic field in the rest frame of the moving plasma. The Alfvénic disturbances are associated with field-aligned currents that extend from Io's northern and southern hemispheres. These columns, called Alfvén wings, exhibit a bend-back with respect to B_0 by an angle $\alpha = \tan^{-1}(u/v_A)$, where v_A is the Alfvén speed, and are the tilted path of the energy transport (Drell et al., 1965; Neubauer, 1980;

© 2023. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Saur et al., 2013). The current-carrying magnetic flux tubes connect to Jupiter's northern and southern ionosphere, thereby establishing a long-range electrodynamic connection between Io and Jupiter. Where the Alfvén waves (and field-aligned currents) meet the ionosphere, auroral emissions are observed. Partial reflections of the Alfvén waves permit the interaction to persist down-tail of Io, resulting in secondary auroral spots and extended footprint tails with decaying power (Bonfond et al., 2017; Gurnett & Goertz, 1981; Jacobsen et al., 2010; Lysak et al., 2023; Schlegel & Saur, 2022). A leading hypothesis is that Alfvén waves nonlinearly interact with their reflected counterparts, undergoing a turbulent cascade from larger to smaller scales (Hess et al., 2010; Janser et al., 2022; Saur et al., 2002). At sufficiently small scales, namely, the electron inertial length, Alfvén waves in the inertial limit develop a parallel electric field that can accelerate auroral electrons at Jupiter's high latitudes (Damiano et al., 2019; Lysak et al., 2021; Saur et al., 2018). Io's auroral signature is one of the most persistent, stable, and recognizable of Jupiter's aurora, with a wealth of remote observations made across multiple wavelengths, namely, radio (e.g., Bigg, 1964; Queinnee & Zarka, 1998) ultraviolet (e.g., Bonfond et al., 2017; Clarke et al., 2002; Gérard, 2002; Hue et al., 2019; Prangé et al., 1996), infrared (Connerney et al., 1993; Mura et al., 2018), and visible (e.g., Ingersoll et al., 1998).

More recently, data returned from the Juno spacecraft have provided critical in-situ constraints on the Io-Jupiter interaction, afforded by Juno's highly inclined orbits that guarantee magnetic field lines mapping to Io's orbit are sampled near every perijove (PJ) pass. These results have shed light on the various facets of the interaction including electron and proton acceleration (Szalay et al., 2018; Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b; Szalay, Bagenal, et al., 2020), energetic particle dynamics (Clark et al., 2020; Paranicas et al., 2019), magnetic field fluctuations (Gershman et al., 2019), and cross-scale wave-particle interactions (Janser et al., 2022; Sulaiman et al., 2020). Although limited due to the relatively weaker interaction, field and particle observations connected to the orbits of Ganymede and Europa have also been reported (Allegrini et al., 2020; Hue et al., 2022; Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020a). However, a study dedicated to how the Alfvénic Poynting fluxes (PFs) are related to the electron energy fluxes, as well as estimating the field-aligned current densities of the Io-Jupiter interaction, remain essential pieces of the picture and will be the focus of this letter, where we analyze Io events during Juno's Prime Mission. Io's spatially constrained aurora provides a promising opportunity to investigate Jupiter's underlying auroral processes since the ambiguity of field line mapping is essentially absent (e.g., Allegrini et al., 2021; Mauk et al., 2020; Sulaiman et al., 2022). For this reason, Io's auroral spot serves as an important fiducial for mapping Jupiter's magnetic field since the location of Io in its orbit is always known (Connerney et al., 1998; Hess, Bonfond, et al., 2011).

2. Magnetic Field and Electron Observations

Juno crosses magnetic flux tubes connected to Io's orbit at least twice per PJ pass, in the high latitudes of the northern and the southern hemispheres. The tilt between Jupiter's dipole and spin axes occasionally allows additional crossings during the same PJ pass. At the time of any given flux tube crossing, there is a longitudinal separation between Juno and Io's instantaneous location. Measurements of the Io-Jupiter interaction are therefore made along discrete points down-tail of Io's auroral footprint path.

Four example crossings of flux tubes connected to Io's orbit at increasing distances down-tail from Io of 2°, 4°, 5°, and 7° during PJ12N, PJ5S, PJ22N, and PJ29N, respectively, are shown in the Supplementary Information S1 (Figure S2). The angular (longitudinal) separation, $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$, is that between Io and an Alfvén wave trajectory connected to Juno's footprint (empirically determined by Bonfond et al., 2017), along Io's orbit. Unlike a simple difference in longitudes or absolute distance down-tail, this parameter was found to be the most robust metric to explain down-tail changes in electron energy fluxes (Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b), revealing an exponentially decaying trend that is consistent with observations and theoretical descriptions of the UV tail emission (e.g., Bonfond et al., 2017).

Figure 1 shows the magnetic field, field-aligned current densities, and electron measurements for these events. Magnetic field measurements, \mathbf{B}_{obs} , were acquired by Juno's fluxgate magnetometer (MAG; Connerney et al., 2017). To assess the field-aligned currents operating on flux tubes connected to Io's orbit, we analyze the residual magnetic field perturbations, $\delta\mathbf{B}$, at the highest available cadence of 64 vectors/s. This is obtained by subtracting the most up-to-date internal magnetic field model (JRM33; Connerney et al., 2022; Wilson et al., 2023) from the measured magnetic field during the intervals of flux tube crossings, that is, $\delta\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{obs}} - \mathbf{B}_{\text{JRM33}}$. The external magnetic field contribution from Jupiter's current sheet is neglected since the measured magnetic field




Figure 1. (top panels) Time series of magnetic field perturbations, δB , when Juno crossed flux tubes connected to Io's orbit. All are presented on the same scale. The perturbations were computed by subtracting the JRM33 internal magnetic field model from the measured magnetic field. We further subtract the residuals from the interval average to bring the baseline field close to the zero line. The coordinate system is the radial-theta-phi with respect to Jupiter's JRM33 dipole axis. Perturbations of δB_ϕ are indicative of field-aligned currents with the sign of the gradients related to the current direction, that is, toward or away from Jupiter. (middle panels) Computed 1s-averaged field-aligned current densities from δB using Equation 1. (bottom panels) Pitch angle spectrograms of background (non-Io) subtracted differential energy flux. Overlaid as white dashed lines are the loss cone angles.

is predominantly of internal origin during the low-altitude, high-latitude passes of Jupiter where Juno crossed the flux tubes connected to an equatorial distance of $\sim 5.9 R_J$ ($1 R_J = 71,492 \text{ km}$; Jupiter equatorial radius) where Io's orbit is located. The relevant coordinate system is the spherical or radial-theta-phi (RTP) which is transformed from relative to Jupiter's spin axis to the dipole axis (JRM33). The unit vector, \hat{e}_r is in the direction from Jupiter's center to Juno, \hat{e}_θ in the direction of increasing magnetic co-latitude, and \hat{e}_ϕ in the direction of increasing magnetic east longitude. From Ampère's law, the circulation density of δB is directly associated with current densities. The magnetic field data for the remaining events are shown in the Supplementary Information S1 (Figures S3–S10).

The field-aligned current density, j_{\parallel} , is calculated using Ampère's law and neglecting the displacement current. In the low-altitude, high-latitude region where Juno makes these measurements, the field-aligned vector can be approximated as the radial vector, \hat{e}_r . We therefore estimate the field-aligned current as follows:

$$j_{\parallel} \approx \pm j_r = \frac{\pm 1}{\mu_0 r \sin \theta} \left[\frac{\partial(B_\phi \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial \phi} \right] \hat{e}_r \quad (1)$$

where μ_0 is the permeability of free space, r is the radial distance from Jupiter's center to Juno, θ and ϕ are the colatitude and east longitude of Juno, respectively, with respect to the JRM33 magnetic dipole axis, and the \pm signs correspond to the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively. A caveat with this approach is that the magnetic field fluctuations cannot be uniquely related to currents since single-spacecraft measurements cannot separate temporal and spatial dependences. The underlying assumption is therefore that these fluctuations are primarily spatial, and the gradients are along the spacecraft direction which is reasonable since the angle between the spacecraft velocity vector and B_0 is nearly orthogonal.

3. Analysis and Interpretation

The top and middle panels of Figure 1 show that the perturbations, primarily δB_ϕ , and their gradients and hence field-aligned current densities generally decrease with $\Delta \lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. This is expected since the strength of the interaction decays down-tail, thus relaxing the requirement to maintain field-aligned currents. Most notably for PJ12N,

which is understood to be the Main Alfvén Wing (MAW), the general current structure in the northern hemisphere is such that as Juno travels in the direction of increasing magnetic co-latitude, it measures downward then upward current regions with respect to Jupiter. This translates to an electric current flowing into Io's northern Alfvén wing on Io's sub-Jovian hemisphere and away on Io's anti-Jovian hemisphere. Current substructures are present on top of this general structure. The bottom panels of Figure 1 show the electron differential energy fluxes (DEF), measured by Juno's Auroral Distributions Experiment (JADE-E; McComas et al., 2017), and ordered by pitch angle at 1 s cadence with estimated loss cone angles overlaid (dashed white lines). The loss cone angle is estimated using the magnetic field model to determine which local pitch angle corresponds to a mirror point at the 1 bar level. Non-Io fluxes have been subtracted using the same method described in Szalay, Allegrini, et al. (2020b). Despite intermittent “blind spots” in the pitch angle coverage (gray regions), the loss cone is well resolved for each event revealing field-aligned electron populations during the flux tube crossings. The events in Figure 1 correspond to the respective passes in Figure S2 in Supplementary Information S1 and represent some of the largest field-aligned currents densities and peak electron energy fluxes (EF) in our sample. It is clear for the PJ12N MAW event that the electron travel directions are opposite to and therefore consistent with the current directions.

The PF was also calculated for each event. Similar to the technique employed by Gershman et al. (2019), we find the root-mean-square of the magnetic field, δB_{rms} , perturbations within a specified frequency range and calculate the PF as $\delta B_{\text{rms}}^2 c / \mu_0$. This assumes that the fluctuations are purely those of electromagnetic waves with an Alfvén speed approximating the speed of light, that is, $\delta E(f) \sim c \delta B(f)$. One caveat here is that phase mixing from the superposition of incident and reflected waves can alter $\delta E(f) / \delta B(f)$, however this cannot be addressed with the absence of a low-frequency vector electric field instrument. Unlike Gershman et al. (2019), however, rather than calculating δB_{rms} within a fixed frequency range for different events, we account for the variable altitudes among the sample by selecting a frequency range between 5×10^{-5} and 1×10^{-3} times the proton cyclotron frequency, f_{cH^+} , well below the theoretical upper limit of the Alfvén mode. The magnetometer's Nyquist frequency of 32 Hz for a cadence of 64 vectors/s, as well as attention to the spectral noise floor, guided the selection of this frequency range (see Supplementary Information S1 for more details on this technique). The calculated PF is therefore underestimated. That said, since most of the power resides in the lowest frequencies, we conclude that the underestimation is very mild and not dissimilar from the PF across the entire frequency range below f_{cH^+} , given the power law behavior of the spectral density. Indeed, we will show later a consistency between theoretical and observed powers. The peak electron energy flux (EF) was also calculated by summing the products of DEF and widths of energy bins over JADE-E's energy steps and accounting for the area-projected weighted size of the loss cone above Jupiter's atmosphere with a factor of π (Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b).

Figures 2a and 2b present the calculated PFs and the electron energy fluxes as a function of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$, respectively, both in mW/m^2 . For the PF, the number of events that can be analyzed is limited by the signal-to-noise ratio in the magnetometer. Particularly close to Jupiter, identifying small and real perturbations in the magnetic field in the presence of a very strong background magnetic field can be challenging. For this reason, we have a greater proportion of events at low $\Delta \lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$ where δB is larger during these low-altitude passes.

Juno's altitudes during these events are all within 1 R_J . PF and EF are highly correlated, both exhibiting decays down-tail and differ consistently with one another by one order of magnitude. The correlation coefficient on the log-log regression yields $r^2 = 0.86$. It is worth noting that an event during PJ18N recorded a very strong interaction, likely on the MAW, however Juno was at an exceptionally high altitude of 3.33 R_J and closer to Io than Jupiter, with f_{cH^+} an order of magnitude lower than that of the other events, thus preventing a direct comparison of the PF to be made with the other events. Furthermore, the electron loss cone was not resolved for PJ18N and the energy flux could not be determined (Szalay, Bagenal, et al., 2020). PJ12N is a prime candidate for a MAW crossing as all in-situ instruments measured to date the largest electron and proton energy fluxes, electric and magnetic field spectral densities, and PF associated with a flux tube connected to Io's orbit (Clark et al., 2020; Gershman et al., 2019; Janser et al., 2022; Sulaiman et al., 2020; Szalay, Bagenal, et al., 2020). Here we show that δB , the field-aligned current densities, and power are also largest for this event.

Hess et al. (2010) estimated the theoretical efficiencies for three distributions of the power transferred from an Alfvén wave into accelerating electrons at 1 R_J above Jupiter's ionosphere and accounting for the fraction of Alfvén wave power escaping the torus. They compute: (a) a long-scale, unfilamented distribution where the wavelength of the Alfvén wave is large and refraction is high—the efficiency is 0.05%; (b) filamented waves




Figure 2. (a) Poynting fluxes (PFs), measured locally, as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. (b) Peak electron energy fluxes, measured locally, as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. (c) Efficiency, or fraction of electron energy to PFs, as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. The gray rectangle is the range of efficiencies (4%–10%) theoretically computed by Hess et al. (2010) for filamented waves obtained through a turbulent cascade. (inset) Illustration of how $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$ is defined (Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b).

obtained through a turbulent cascade with a power law spectrum between the injection and dissipation scales—the efficiency is 4%–10%; and (c) secondary short-scale distribution due to the filamentation of the long-scale Alfvén wave by compressional modes—the efficiency is $\sim 100\%$. In our analysis, Figure 2c shows our efficiencies, η , calculated as the ratio of EF to PF. PJ12N gives an efficiency of 13%, suggesting that the observations in the MAW are fully consistent with a filamented (power law) distribution. Indeed, using Galileo plasma wave spectra, Chust et al. (2005) postulated that observed high-frequency/small-scale electric field fluctuations in the equatorial region during Io flybys can be interpreted as signatures of strong filamentation of Io's Alfvén wings before they reflect off the density gradient of the Io torus. We further calculate the efficiencies for events down-tail and find mean and median efficiencies of 7.7% and 5.5%, respectively. We note that these studies by Chust et al. (2004) and Hess et al. (2010) focused on the MAW and not on the emission down-tail as these are complicated by reflected Alfvén waves. A transmission coefficient need not be taken into account for our calculated efficiencies because, at least for the MAW, the local measurements are compared to theoretically computed efficiencies at high latitudes. The efficiencies we derive should be taken as an upper limit, because the PFs in the Alfvén waves must invariably be larger than what is measured after a fraction of the electromagnetic energy is converted into electron kinetic energy.

The PF (in mW/m^2) in the MAW can be converted to the total power (in W) by multiplying by $\pi \times (1.3R_{\text{Io}})^2$ and by a scaling factor of $(B_{\text{Io}}/B_{\text{Juno}})$, where B_{Io} and B_{Juno} are the magnetic field strengths at Io's orbit and Juno, respectively, R_{Io} the radius of Io and the factor of 1.3 assumed to accommodate the effective radius due to Io's ionosphere (Saur et al., 2013). The measured PF of $4,350 \text{ mW/m}^2$ during PJ12N yields a power of $450 \times 10^9 \text{ W}$, well within the range of $288\text{--}1,660 \times 10^9 \text{ W}$ theoretically computed by Saur et al. (2013). Again, this should be taken as a lower limit since some fraction of the wave power is transferred to the electrons. The value at the lower end of the range is likely because Io was located at a high (southern) centrifugal latitude, where the interaction is weaker. Io and Juno were also on opposite hemispheres, so the actual power may be up to a factor of 2 greater




Figure 3. (a) Power as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. The power is calculated by multiplying the local Poynting flux (in mW/m^2) by the area of Io using an effective radius of $1.3R_{\text{Io}}$, and scaling to Io's orbit along the magnetic flux tube as $B_{\text{Io}}/B_{\text{Juno}}$. The blue vertical line on the PJ12N-MAW event represents the range of powers theoretically modeled by Saur et al. (2013). (b) Peak (of the modulus) of 1 s-averaged field-aligned current densities (j_{\parallel}), scaled to Io's orbit, as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. (c) Estimate of Io's centrifugal latitude at the time when the initial Alfvén wave was launched. (d) Estimate of Io's System-III west longitude corresponding to its centrifugal altitude in (c).

assuming a 50% transmission coefficient. The power for the down-tail events have also been estimated using this method, similarly exhibiting a decaying trend as shown in Figure 3a.

Figure 3b shows the peak 1 s-averaged j_{\parallel} , as a function of $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$ for 10 of the 12 events and scaled to Io's orbit. The gradients in $\delta\mathbf{B}$ for the two largest $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$ were too small to confidently calculate j_{\parallel} . Similarly, there is a clear, general trend of decaying j_{\parallel} as $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$ increases, consistent with the decaying UV brightness with down-tail distance (Bonfond et al., 2017; Gérard, 2002; Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b). The peak j_{\parallel} , scaled to Io's orbit, for the MAW was found to be $0.16 \mu\text{A}/\text{m}^2$ (1.0 s-averaged) or $0.18 \mu\text{A}/\text{m}^2$ (0.5 s-averaged). Considering an area of the interaction region, $\pi \times (1.3R_{\text{Io}})^2$, a simple estimate of the current is 2.8 or 3.2 MA, respectively. During the Voyager era, Acuña et al. (1981) derived 2.8 ± 0.1 MA assuming the currents flow on the periphery of a cylinder of Io's diameter. In practice, however, the interaction region is extended beyond Io's surface owing to its conductive ionosphere. There are differences that should be considered between the Voyager and Juno events, namely Io's position with respect to the centrifugal equator, and possible differences in ionospheric conductivity, as well as experimental differences in resolution and averaging. A current of ~ 1 MA is estimated from theoretical considerations (Hess et al., 2010) and 5 MA from plasma simulation of the Voyager event (Saur et al., 1999). Although these estimations are broadly consistent with one another, it is important to note that the current-carrying fluxtube is unlikely to be circular in the orbital plane, but rather extended along Io's wake. The structure of the current system is therefore more complex and needed for accurate conversion between current density and total current.

For each parameter discussed here there is also variability among events of similar $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$. We explore the possibility of a dependence from Io's position with respect to the centrifugal equator, the plane within which plasmas of iogenic origin are confined. This is geometrically defined by the loci of points where each magnetic field line is at its farthest distance from Jupiter's spin axis. The centrifugal plane is tilted from the jovigraphic equator at $\sim 2/3$ the dipole tilt and has a scale height of $\sim 1 R_{\text{J}}$ (Khurana et al., 2004). As a result, Io is subjected to variability in the local magnetic field and density at the synodic period as the centrifugal equator sweeps through in its rest frame

(Hess et al., 2010). The centrifugal latitude, θ_c , is solely a function of Jupiter's longitude with Io located at the centrifugal equator at $\sim 110^\circ$ and $\sim 290^\circ$ System-III west-longitude, λ_{SIII} . Figures 3c and 3d show the distributions of θ_c and λ_{SIII} of Io for each analyzed event. Strictly, this is Io's instantaneous position when the initial disturbance was launched instead of Io's present position when Juno measured the disturbance down-tail. This therefore brings the position of Io closer to Juno. Firstly, we magnetically map Juno's position to Jupiter, assumed to be instantaneous since the Alfvén speed is nearly the speed of light at the tenuous high latitudes. The mapped position, considered Io's footprint on Jupiter, is then used to infer Io's initial λ_{SIII} position from Bonfond et al. (2017). Finally, Io's λ_{SIII} is converted into θ_c using an empirically derived model by Phipps and Bagenal (2021).

It is expected that the interaction is strongest when Io is at the center of the centrifugal equator, that is, at the location of maximum density where collisions between the torus ions and Io's atmosphere lead to enhanced momentum exchange. However, this does not appear to explain the differences in PFs and current densities among neighboring events such as PJ5S and PJ22N for $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} \approx 5^\circ$, where one would expect an anti-correlation between the variables and $|\theta_c|$. PJ29N with a near-equatorial interaction also does not appear to have a markedly greater power or field-aligned current density. This lack of dependence is likely attributed to the increasing uncertainty in determining Io's original position, and thus original θ_c , as the angular separation between Io and Juno increases. This is due to the variable Alfvén bounce times to both hemispheres and back to the equator, itself a function of λ_{SIII} and ranges between 25 and 30 min (Hinton et al., 2019).

More importantly, while the average UV brightness of Io's auroral footprint (connected to the MAW) was shown to be modulated by Io's SIII longitude, it displayed significant variability for any given longitude, with asymmetries between hemispheres also present (Bonfond et al., 2013; Hue et al., 2019; Wannawichian et al., 2013). Hess et al. (2013) modeled the acceleration mechanism and Alfvén wave propagation effects showing that the variability could be explained by a modulation in the efficiency with longitude. There also exists a stark, and yet unexplained, asymmetry in UV brightness of the Io footprint between $\lambda_{\text{SIII}} \sim 110^\circ$ (stronger) and $\sim 290^\circ$ (weaker), both when Io is embedded in the centrifugal equator. The interaction is further complicated by finer details such as variations in inter-spot distances (Bonfond et al., 2008, 2017; Moirano et al., 2021) and the breaking of the tail symmetry into an alternating Alfvén spot street (Mura et al., 2018) that was recently explained by PF morphologies possibly due to the Hall effect in Io's ionosphere (Schlegel & Saur, 2022). Future work may attempt to address these phenomena as Juno progresses into its Extended Mission phase with more opportunities to sample the Io-Jupiter interaction. Finally, modeling will be required to constrain the detailed structure of the field-aligned current system between Io and Jupiter (e.g., Kotsiaros et al., 2022).

4. Conclusions

This letter presents a study on the magnetic field signatures associated with the Io-Jupiter interaction during Juno's Prime Mission. We derive PFs and field-aligned current densities and relate these quantities to previously measured electron energy fluxes. Our main conclusions are as follows.

- The strongest PF and field-aligned current densities, inferred from the largest perturbations in the magnetic field were measured during Juno's crossing of Io's MAW during PJ12N. The electromagnetic power has a lower limit of 450×10^9 W and is consistent with theoretical predictions (Saur et al., 2013). Here, Io was south of the centrifugal equator, and we therefore anticipate even stronger power and currents when Io is embedded in the centrifugal equator.
- The available PFs from the magnetic field fluctuations exhibit a decaying trend down-tail of Io and are highly correlated with electron energy fluxes ($r^2 = 0.86$). The PFs are consistently about an order of magnitude greater than the electron energy flux indicating an acceleration efficiency of $\sim 10\%$ and fully consistent with theoretically derived efficiencies from energization of filamented waves obtained through a turbulent cascade (Hess et al., 2010; Hess, Delamere, et al., 2011).
- The field-aligned current densities exhibit a decaying trend down-tail of Io, consistent with measured UV brightness (Bonfond et al., 2017) and electron energy fluxes (Szalay, Allegrini, et al., 2020b).
- It is worth noting, in the interest of comparative planetology, that the MAW of Enceladus was also sampled by the Cassini spacecraft at Saturn's high latitudes (Sulaiman et al., 2018). The estimated equatorial field-aligned current is of ~ 10 kA, which is $\sim 10^2$ times weaker than the Io-Jupiter interaction. The total generated power is modeled to be $\sim 10^4$ times weaker (Hess, Bonfond, et al., 2011; Hess, Delamere, et al., 2011; Saur et al., 2013).

Data Availability Statement

MAG and JADE data used in this article are at <https://doi.org/10.17189/1519711> and <https://doi.org/10.17189/1519715>, respectively and are publicly accessible through the Planetary Plasma Interactions Node in the Planetary Data System (<https://pds-ppi.igpp.ucla.edu/>).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Masafumi Imai, Rob Wilson, Marissa Vogt, Matt James, and Gabby Provan who, in collaboration with co-authors, provided essential tools for modeling and tracing Jupiter's magnetic field. A.H.S. acknowledges Stavros Kotsiaros, Yash Sarkango, and Adam Masters for useful discussions. We are grateful for the support of MAG and JADE processing/distribution staff. A.H.S. and J.R.S. acknowledge NASA NFDAP Grant 80NSSC23K0276. The research at the University of Iowa was supported by NASA through contract 699041X with the Southwest Research Institute. We acknowledge the use of the Space Physics Data Repository at the University of Iowa supported by the Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust.

References

- Acuña, M. H., Neubauer, F. M., & Ness, N. F. (1981). Standing Alfvén wave current system at Io: Voyager 1 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *86*(A10), 8513–8521. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA086iA10p08513>
- Allegri, F., Gladstone, G. R., Hue, V., Clark, G., Szalay, J. R., Kurth, W. S., et al. (2020). First report of electron measurements during a Europa footprint tail crossing by Juno. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(18), e2020GL089732. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089732>
- Allegri, F., Kurth, W. S., Elliott, S. S., Saur, J., Livadiotis, G., Nicolaou, G., et al. (2021). Electron partial density and temperature over Jupiter's main auroral emission using Juno observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *126*(9), e2021JA029426. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029426>
- Bigg, E. K. (1964). Influence of the satellite Io on Jupiter's decametric emission. *Nature*, *203*(4949), 1008–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1038/2031008a0>
- Bonfond, B., Grodent, D., Gérard, J.-C., Radioti, A., Saur, J., & Jacobsen, S. (2008). UV Io footprint leading spot: A key feature for understanding the UV Io footprint multiplicity? *Geophysical Research Letters*, *35*(5), L05107. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032418>
- Bonfond, B., Hess, S., Gérard, J. C., Grodent, D., Radioti, A., Chantry, V., et al. (2013). Evolution of the Io footprint brightness I: Far-UV observations. *Planetary and Space Science*, *88*, 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2013.05.023>
- Bonfond, B., Saur, J., Grodent, D., Badman, S. V., Bisikalo, D., Shematovich, V., et al. (2017). The tails of the satellite auroral footprints at Jupiter. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *122*(8), 7985–7996. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024370>
- Chust, T., Roux, A., Kurth, W. S., Gurnett, D. A., Kivelson, M. G., & Khurana, K. K. (2005). Are Io's Alfvén wings filamented? Galileo observations. *Planetary and Space Science*, *53*(4), 395–412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2004.09.021>
- Clark, G., Mauk, B. H., Kollmann, P., Szalay, J. R., Sulaiman, A. H., Gershman, D. J., et al. (2020). Energetic proton acceleration associated with Io's footprint tail. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(24), e2020GL090839. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090839>
- Clarke, J. T., Ajello, J., Ballester, G., Ben Jaffel, L., Connerney, J., Gérard, J.-C., et al. (2002). Ultraviolet emissions from the magnetic footprints of Io, Ganymede and Europa on Jupiter. *Nature*, *415*(6875), 997–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1038/415997a>
- Connerney, J. E. P., Acuña, M. H., Ness, N. F., & Satoh, T. (1998). New models of Jupiter's magnetic field constrained by the Io flux tube footprint. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *103*(A6), 11929–11939. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JA03726>
- Connerney, J. E. P., Baron, R., Satoh, T., & Owen, T. (1993). Images of excited H_3^+ at the foot of the Io flux tube in Jupiter's Atmosphere. *Science*, *262*(5136), 1035–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.262.5136.1035>
- Connerney, J. E. P., Benn, M., Bjarno, J. B., Denver, T., Espley, J., Jorgensen, J. L., et al. (2017). The Juno magnetic field investigation. *Space Science Reviews*, *213*(1), 39–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-017-0334-z>
- Connerney, J. E. P., Timmins, S., Oliverson, R. J., Espley, J. R., Joergensen, J. L., Kotsiaros, S., et al. (2022). A new model of Jupiter's Magnetic field at the completion of Juno's prime mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *127*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE007055>
- Damiano, P. A., Delamere, P. A., Stauffer, B., Ng, C.-S., & Johnson, J. R. (2019). Kinetic simulations of electron acceleration by dispersive Scale Alfvén waves in Jupiter's magnetosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(6), 3043–3051. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081219>
- Drell, S. D., Foley, H. M., & Ruderman, M. A. (1965). Drag and propulsion of large satellites in the ionosphere: An Alfvén propulsion engine in space. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *70*(13), 3131–3145. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ070i013p03131>
- Gérard, J.-C. (2002). Excitation of the FUV Io tail on Jupiter: Characterization of the electron precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *107*(A11), 1394. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JA009410>
- Gershman, D. J., Connerney, J. E. P., Kotsiaros, S., DiBraccio, G. A., Martos, Y. M., Viñas, A. F., et al. (2019). Alfvénic fluctuations associated with Jupiter's auroral emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(13), 7157–7165. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082951>
- Gurnett, D. A., & Goertz, C. K. (1981). Multiple Alfvén wave reflections excited by Io: Origin of the Jovian decametric arcs. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *86*(A2), 717. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA086iA02p00717>
- Hess, S. L. G., Bonfond, B., Chantry, V., Gérard, J.-C., Grodent, D., Jacobsen, S., & Radioti, A. (2013). Evolution of the Io footprint brightness II: Modeling. *Planetary and Space Science*, *88*, 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2013.08.005>
- Hess, S. L. G., Bonfond, B., Zarka, P., & Grodent, D. (2011). Model of the Jovian magnetic field topology constrained by the Io auroral emissions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *116*(A5). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016262>
- Hess, S. L. G., Delamere, P., Dols, V., Bonfond, B., & Swift, D. (2010). Power transmission and particle acceleration along the Io flux tube. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*(A6). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JA014928>
- Hess, S. L. G., Delamere, P. A., Dols, V., & Ray, L. C. (2011). Comparative study of the power transferred from satellite-magnetosphere interactions to auroral emissions. *Space Physics*, *116*(A1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015807>
- Hinton, P. C., Bagenal, F., & Bonfond, B. (2019). Alfvén wave propagation in the Io plasma torus. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(3), 1242–1249. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081472>
- Hue, V., Greathouse, T. K., Bonfond, B., Saur, J., Gladstone, G. R., Roth, L., et al. (2019). Juno-UVS observation of the Io footprint during solar eclipse. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *124*(7), 5184–5199. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA026431>
- Hue, V., Szalay, J. R., Greathouse, T. K., Bonfond, B., Kotsiaros, S., Louis, C. K., et al. (2022). A comprehensive set of Juno In situ and remote sensing observations of the Ganymede auroral footprint. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *49*(7). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096994>
- Ingersoll, A. P., Vasavada, A. R., Little, B., Anger, C. D., Bolton, S. J., Alexander, C., et al. (1998). Imaging Jupiter's aurora at visible wavelengths. *Icarus*, *135*(1), 251–264. <https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1998.5971>
- Jacobsen, S., Saur, J., Neubauer, F. M., Bonfond, B., Gérard, J.-C., & Grodent, D. (2010). Location and spatial shape of electron beams in Io's wake: Location of electron beams in Io's wake. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*(A4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JA014753>
- Janser, S., Saur, J., Clark, G., Sulaiman, A. H., & Szalay, J. R. (2022). Properties of turbulent alfvénic fluctuations and wave-particle interaction associated with Io's footprint tail. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *127*(12), e2022JA030675. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JA030675>
- Khurana, K. K., Kivelson, M. G., Vasyliunas, V. M., Krupp, N., Woch, J., Lagg, A., et al. (2004). The configuration of Jupiter's magnetosphere. In F. Bagenal, T. E. Dowling, & W. B. McKinnon (Eds.), *Jupiter: Planet, satellites, magnetosphere, CUP 2004*.
- Kotsiaros, S., Connerney, J. E., Joergensen, J. L., Hecceg, M., & Martos, Y. M. (2022). Juno probes the electrodynamic interaction between Jupiter and Io. In *AGU fall meeting 2022*.

- Lysak, R. L., Song, Y., Elliott, S., Kurth, W., Sulaiman, A. H., & Gershman, D. (2021). The Jovian ionospheric Alfvén resonator and auroral particle acceleration. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 126(12). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029886>
- Lysak, R. L., Sulaiman, A. H., Bagenal, F., & Cray, F. (2023). A numerical model for the interaction of Io-generated Alfvén waves with Jupiter's magnetosphere and ionosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 128(4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JA031180>
- Mauk, B. H., Clark, G., Gladstone, G. R., Kotsiaros, S., Adriani, A., Allegrini, F., et al. (2020). Energetic particles and acceleration regions over Jupiter's polar cap and main aurora: A broad overview. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 125(3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA027699>
- McComas, D. J., Alexander, N., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Beebe, C., Clark, G., et al. (2017). The Jovian Auroral Distributions Experiment (JADE) on the Juno mission to Jupiter. *Space Science Reviews*, 213(1–4), 547–643. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-013-9990-9>
- Moirano, A., Mura, A., Adriani, A., Dols, V., Bonfond, B., Waite, J. H., et al. (2021). Morphology of the auroral tail of Io, Europa, and Ganymede from JIRAM L-band imager. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 126(9). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029450>
- Mura, A., Adriani, A., Connerney, J. E. P., Bolton, S., Altieri, F., Bagenal, F., et al. (2018). Juno observations of spot structures and a split tail in Io-induced aurorae on Jupiter. *Science*, 361(6404), 774–777. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat1450>
- Neubauer, F. M. (1980). Nonlinear standing Alfvén wave current system at Io: Theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 85(A3), 1171–1178. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA085iA03p01171>
- Paranicas, C., Mauk, B. H., Haggerty, D. K., Clark, G., Kollmann, P., Rymer, A. M., et al. (2019). Io's effect on energetic charged particles as seen in Juno Data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(23), 13615–13620. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085393>
- Phipps, P., & Bagenal, F. (2021). Centrifugal equator in Jupiter's plasma sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 126(1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028713>
- Prangé, R., Rego, D., Southwood, D., Zarka, P., Miller, S., & Ip, W. (1996). Rapid energy dissipation and variability of the Io–Jupiter electrodynamic circuit. *Nature*, 379(6563), 323–325. <https://doi.org/10.1038/379323a0>
- Queinnee, J., & Zarka, P. (1998). Io-controlled decameter arcs and Io–Jupiter interaction. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(A11), 26649–26666. <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JA02435>
- Saur, J., Grambusch, T., Duling, S., Neubauer, F. M., & Simon, S. (2013). Magnetic energy fluxes in sub-Alfvénic planet star and moon planet interactions. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 552, A119. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118179>
- Saur, J., Janser, S., Schreiner, A., Clark, G., Mauk, B. H., Kollmann, P., et al. (2018). Wave-particle interaction of Alfvén waves in Jupiter's magnetosphere: Auroral and magnetospheric particle acceleration. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 123(11), 9560–9573. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA025948>
- Saur, J., Neubauer, F. M., Strobel, D. F., & Summers, M. E. (1999). Three-dimensional plasma simulation of Io's interaction with the Io plasma torus: Asymmetric plasma flow. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(A11), 25105–25126. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JA900304>
- Saur, J., Politano, H., Pouquet, A., & Matthaeus, W. H. (2002). Evidence for weak MHD turbulence in the middle magnetosphere of Jupiter. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 386(2), 699–708. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020305>
- Schlegel, S., & Saur, J. (2022). Alternating emission features in Io's footprint tail: Magnetohydrodynamical simulations of possible causes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 127(5). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA030243>
- Sulaiman, A. H., Hospodarsky, G. B., Elliott, S. S., Kurth, W. S., Gurnett, D. A., Imai, M., et al. (2020). Wave-particle interactions associated with Io's auroral footprint: Evidence of Alfvén, ion cyclotron, and whistler modes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(22). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088432>
- Sulaiman, A. H., Kurth, W. S., Hospodarsky, G. B., Averkamp, T. F., Ye, S., Menietti, J. D., et al. (2018). Enceladus auroral hiss emissions during Cassini's grand finale. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(15), 7347–7353. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078130>
- Sulaiman, A. H., Mauk, B. H., Szalay, J. R., Allegrini, F., Clark, G., Gladstone, G. R., et al. (2022). Jupiter's low-altitude auroral zones: Fields, particles, plasma waves, and density depletions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 127(8). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JA030334>
- Szalay, J. R., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S. J., Bonfond, B., Clark, G., et al. (2020a). Alfvénic acceleration sustains Ganymede's footprint tail aurora. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086527>
- Szalay, J. R., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S. J., Bonfond, B., Clark, G., et al. (2020b). A new framework to explain changes in Io's footprint tail electron fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(18), e2020GL089267. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089267>
- Szalay, J. R., Bagenal, F., Allegrini, F., Bonfond, B., Clark, G., Connerney, J. E. P., et al. (2020). Proton acceleration by Io's Alfvénic interaction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 125(1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA027314>
- Szalay, J. R., Bonfond, B., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S., Clark, G., et al. (2018). In situ observations connected to the Io footprint tail aurora. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 123(11), 3061–3077. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005752>
- Wannawichian, S., Clarke, J. T., Bagenal, F., Smyth, W. H., Peterson, C. A., & Nichols, J. D. (2013). Longitudinal modulation of the brightness of Io's auroral footprint emission: Comparison with models: Modulation of Io footprint brightness. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 118(6), 3336–3345. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50346>
- Wilson, R. J., Vogt, M. F., Provan, G., Kamran, A., James, M. K., Brennan, M., & Cowley, S. W. H. (2023). Internal and external Jovian magnetic fields: Community code to serve the magnetospheres of the outer planets community. *Space Science Reviews*, 219(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-023-00961-3>