

# The quest for quakes on Venus

Iris van Zelst, Fabio Crameri, Barbara de Toffoli, Raphaël F Garcia, Richard Ghail, Anna J P Gülcher, Anna Horleston, Taichi Kawamura, Sara Klaasen, Maxence Lefevre, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Iris van Zelst, Fabio Crameri, Barbara de Toffoli, Raphaël F Garcia, Richard Ghail, et al.. The quest for quakes on Venus . Europlanet Science Congress 2024, Sep 2024, Berlin, Germany. pp.EPSC2024-1010, 10.5194/epsc2024-1010 . insu-04676973

## HAL Id: insu-04676973 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04676973v1

Submitted on 24 Aug 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



EPSC Abstracts Vol. 17, EPSC2024-1010, 2024, updated on 24 Aug 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/epsc2024-1010 Europlanet Science Congress 2024 © Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



### The quest for quakes on Venus

**Iris van Zelst**<sup>1</sup>, Fabio Crameri<sup>2</sup>, Barbara De Toffoli<sup>3,4</sup>, Raphaël F. Garcia<sup>5</sup>, Richard Ghail<sup>6</sup>, Anna J. P. Gülcher<sup>7</sup>, Anna Horleston<sup>8</sup>, Taichi Kawamura<sup>9</sup>, Sara Klaasen<sup>10</sup>, Maxence Lefevre<sup>11</sup>, Philippe Lognonné<sup>9</sup>, Julia Maia<sup>1</sup>, Sven Peter Näsholm<sup>12</sup>, Mark Panning<sup>7</sup>, Ana-Catalina Plesa<sup>1</sup>, Leah Sabbeth<sup>7</sup>, Krystyna Smolinski<sup>10</sup>, Celine Solberg<sup>12</sup>, and Simon Stähler<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Planetary Research, Germany (iris.vanzelst@dlr.de)

<sup>2</sup>International Space Science Institute, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>National Institute for Astrophysics INAF-IAPS, Rome, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institut Supérieur de l'Aéronautique et de l'Espace/SUPAERO, Toulouse University, Toulouse, France

<sup>6</sup>Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, UK

<sup>7</sup>California Institute of Technology and Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA

<sup>8</sup>School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

<sup>9</sup>Université Paris Cité, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, CNRS, Paris, France

<sup>10</sup>Institute of Geophysics, Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>11</sup>LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France

<sup>12</sup>Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Last year, the first active lava flow on Venus was discovered by Herrick & Hensley (2023), adding to the growing body of evidence that Venus is currently volcanically active with frequently erupting volcanoes (Byrne & Krishnamoorthy, 2022; Van Zelst, 2022). This discovery immediately begged the question as to whether Venus is seismically active at present as well. Indeed, more and more theoretical studies show that Venus could be seismically active today (Van Zelst et al., 2024). In the next decade, we will unravel some of Venus' secrets through a multitude of Venus-bound missions, but determining the seismic activity of the planet is not one of their main goals. Missions to Mars and the Moon have shown the wealth of information that can be gleaned from seismic studies of a planet. We argue here that the seismological exploration of Venus — 'the quest for quakes on Venus' — should be the next priority of space agencies.

We first estimate upper and lower bounds on the expected annual seismicity of Venus by scaling the seismicity of the Earth. We consider different scaling factors for different tectonic settings and account for the lower seismogenic zone thickness of Venus. We find that 95 – 296 venusquakes equal to or larger than moment magnitude ( $M_w$ ) 4 per year are expected for an inactive Venus, where the global seismicity rate is assumed to be similar to that of continental intraplate seismicity on Earth. For the active Venus scenarios, we assume that the coronae, fold belts, and rifts of Venus are currently seismically active. This results in 1,161 – 3,609 venusquakes equal to or larger than  $M_w$  4 annually as a realistic lower bound and 5,715 – 17,773 venusquakes equal to or larger than  $M_w$  4 per year as a maximum upper bound for an active Venus.

To assess whether any quakes could occur at all at Venus' high temperatures, we estimate the seismogenic thickness of the planet in three independent ways: through estimates from flexure,

through geodynamic models, and through estimates from mantle density anomalies. For all these estimates, we look at the depths of the 600°C and 800°C isotherms as the maximum limit of brittle failure and hence the maximum depth of the seismogenic zone. The seismogenic thickness estimates we find show a large range depending on the assumptions we make for each different method, but in general show that the seismogenic thickness on Venus is on average approximately 10 to 35 km globally.

To learn more about venusquakes and deduce the interior structure of Venus from them, the detection of seismic waves is crucial. Various concepts to measure seismic waves on Venus have already been explored in the past decades. These detection methods include typical geophysical ground sensors already deployed on Earth, the Moon, and Mars; pressure sensors on balloons; and airglow imagers on orbiters to detect ground motion, the infrasound signals generated by seismic waves, and the corresponding airglow variations in the upper atmosphere. Here, we provide a first comparison between the detection capabilities of these different measurement techniques and our estimates of Venus' seismic activity. In addition, we discuss the performance requirements and measurement durations required to detect seismic waves with the various detection methods.

We also briefly suggest target regions with a high likelihood of significant surface deformation and/or seismicity for current and future missions. These targets are particularly useful for the upcoming VERITAS (Venus Emissivity, Radio Science, InSAR, Topography and Spectroscopy) and EnVision missions. They would specifically benefit from the repeat pass interferometry of VERITAS, which detects surface deformation and can therefore in principle constrain the maximum displacement of surface faulting at locations that are visited twice during the mission.

Our extensive study into the potential seismicity of Venus could be used to drive the design of future mission concepts aiming to study the seismicity of Venus.

#### References

Byrne, P. K., & Krishnamoorthy, S. (2022). Estimates on the frequency of volcanic eruptions on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *127*(1), e2021JE007040.

Herrick, R. R., & Hensley, S. (2023). Surface changes observed on a Venusian volcano during the Magellan mission. *Science*, *379*(6638), 1205-1208.

Van Zelst, I. (2022). Comment on "Estimates on the Frequency of Volcanic Eruptions on Venus" by Byrne and Krishnamoorthy (2022). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *127*(12), e2022JE007448.

Van Zelst, I., Maia, J., Plesa, A.-C., Ghail, R. C., Spühler, M. (2024). Estimates on the possible annual seismicity of Venus. *EarthArxiv*, 10.31223/X5DQ0C