

Characterization of bedrock mass-wasting at fault-bound abyssal hills

Alex Hughes, Jean-Arthur Olive, Luca C Malatesta, J. Escartin

To cite this version:

Alex Hughes, Jean-Arthur Olive, Luca C Malatesta, J. Escartin. Characterization of bedrock masswasting at fault-bound abyssal hills. 2024. insu-04734915

HAL Id: insu-04734915 <https://insu.hal.science/insu-04734915v1>

Preprint submitted on 14 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1. Introduction

 Abyssal hills cover approximately 65% of the Earth's seafloor. These elongated, regularly-spaced topographic highs form at mid-ocean ridges (MORs, Figure 1a) where normal faults uplift and tilt freshly-accreted basaltic seafloor (e.g., Macdonald et al., 1996). While the mechanisms responsible for fault formation and tectonic uplift at MORs are reasonably well understood (Goff, 1991; Buck et al., 2005; Olive et al., 2015), relatively little is known about the erosive processes sculpting these submarine landscapes. It is often assumed that erosion only has a second-order impact on the morphology of uplifting abyssal hills, and that bathymetry faithfully records tectono-magmatic processes (e.g., Cowie et al., 1993; Bohnenstiehl & Carbotte, 2001; Paulatto et al., 2015; Goff, 2020). This assumption is challenged by high-resolution seafloor surveys that reveal widespread rockslides on the active fault scarps that delineate MOR axes (Allerton et al., 1995; Goff and Tucholke, 1997; Searle et al., 1998; Mitchell et al., 2000; Cannat et al., 2013). These high-resolution studies are conducted primarily at local scales, near MOR axes, and we lack a global assessment of bedrock mass wasting. Researchers have previously attempted to quantify erosion and transport of pelagic sediments on the abyssal plain (Webb & Jordan, 1993; 2001; Mitchell, 1995; 1996). More recent endeavors have started to quantify active bedrock erosion on the ocean-floor more generally (Hilley et al., 2020; Hughes et al., 2021; 2023). Despite these efforts, a quantitative framework to describe the evolution of tectonically-active bedrock landscapes at the scale of the ocean floor has proven difficult to establish because the distribution, frequency, and magnitude of gravitational mass wasting remain largely unknown.

 On land, landslides are recognized as key drivers of landscape evolution (e.g., Densmore et al., 1997; Roering et al., 1999). The difficulty in subaerial studieslies in teasing out the influence of rainfall, soil strength, topographic stresses, vegetation cover, and seismic activity on the time-averaged erosion rates that result from repeated landslides (e.g., Rodríguez et al., 1999; Malamud et al., 2004; Hovius et al., 2011). Farther afield on the icy moons of Jupiter and Saturn, recent work identified ground accelerations from moonquakes as the principal driver of mass wasting along tectonics ridges (Mills et al., 2023). Back on Earth, fault scarps and fault-bound abyssal hills that formed along MORs (herein collectively referred to as abyssal hills) experience no precipitation-driven or vegetation-modulated erosion processes. The absence of these key variables, combined with rapid seismogenic uplift of lithologically-uniform basaltic bedrock make abyssal hills an ideal endmember setting to explore how mass wasting shapes topography on Earth and beyond.

 Earthquakes can be important drivers of submarine erosion (Hughes et al., 2021; 2023). Observations from bathymetry, side-scan sonar, and seismicity data show that abyssal hill faults grow within a 2 to 30 km wide window from the ridge axis (Alexander & Macdonald, 1996; McAllister & Cann, 1996; Searle et al., 1998; Bohnenstiehl & Carbotte, 2001; Smith et al., 2003). In areas with 71 pronounced ridge shoulders, abyssal hills may even experience a reduction in amplitude between ~ 10 and ~30 km off-axis, due to reverse slip along hill-bounding faults associated with reverse earthquakes (Deffeyse, 1970; Olive et al., 2024). The presence of thin fingers of fresh bedrock talus at the base of growing abyssal hills has been taken to indicate active faulting under the assumption that mass wasting

 is mostly triggered by earthquakes (Figure 2a; Searle et al., 1998; Escartín et al., 1999). As fault throw evolves, mass wasting erodes the fault scarp and buries its base before eventual blanketing by pelagic sedimentation (Figure 2a–b; Allerton et al., 1995; Goff & Tucholke, 1997). Prior studies have used seafloor slopes to calculate diffusivity values that describe the redistribution of pelagic sediments away 79 from the ridge axis at rates of $0.007-10$ m² yr⁻¹ (Webb & Jordan, 1993; 2001; Mitchell, 1995; 1996). However, without information on the spatial and temporal evolution of abyssal hill bedrock erosion, we have insufficient data to assess the impact of mass wasting on the morphology of growing abyssal hills. Ultimately, such estimates are required to use bathymetry as a reliable recorder of tectonic and 83 magmatic processes at MORs (Cowie et al., 1993; Bohnenstiehl & Carbotte, 2001; Paulatto et al., 2015).

 Abyssal hill morphology varies with spreading rate and embeds, to first order, the modulation of fault activity by MOR magmatism (Figure 1c; Goff, 1991; Buck et al., 2005; Roth et al., 2019; 86 Tucholke et al., 2023). At fast-spreading ridges $($ >75 mm yr⁻¹ full spreading rate), magmatic emplacement in the brittle lithosphere accounts for greater plate separation than atslow spreading ridges 88 (\leq 55 mm yr⁻¹). Faults at fast ridges rapidly migrate of f-axis where they are abandoned (Buck et al., 2005; Behn and Ito, 2008; Olive et al., 2015). As a result, abyssal hills at fast ridges have less relief $(\leq 100 \text{ m})$ and are more closely spaced than those at slow ridges, where they grow taller $(\sim 1000 \text{ m})$ and, eventually, more widely-spaced (e.g., Goff, 1991; Goff et al., 1997; Roth et al., 2019; Goff, 2020). As a corollary, faults at fast and slow spreading ridges should potentially slip at similar rates (e.g., a slip rate that is 10% of a fast spreading rate like 10 cm/yr is the same as 50% of a slow rate like 2 cm/yr). The seismogenic behavior of MOR faults also appears modulated by spreading rate, with a lesser seismic moment release rate measured at faster ridges (Cowie et al., 1993; Frohlich and Wetzel, 2007; Mark et al., 2018). To untangle the respective roles that the total duration of fault slip and the associated seismic moment release play in shaping abyssal hill morphology through gravitational mass wasting, we assembled an extensive dataset of fault scarp parameters, across a wide range of MOR spreading rates. We focused on the entire erosional history of abyssal hills starting at the ridge axis (Figure 1a, 2c) and measured the height and slope of global abyssal hill scarps to characterize spatiotemporal variations in morphology. We then used our global database to constrain a numerical model for abyssal hill erosion using non-linear topographic diffusion laws, as commonly applied to subaerial hillslopes (e.g., Roering et al., 1999) and to the redistribution of pelagic sediment on abyssal hills (e.g., Webb & Jordan, 2001). Outputs from the models were used to evaluate the ratio of rock uplift to erosion for abyssal hills globally.

2. Geomorphic Analysis

2.1 Quantification of scarp morphology

 We compiled scarp parameters from abyssal hills within 2500 km of the global mid-ocean ridge system to sample a broad range of erosional and tectonic histories across all spreading rates. We focused on areas with well-lineated abyssal terrain, and avoided transects across transform valleys. We also avoided complex tectonic areas such as those with prominent detachment faulting linked to reduced

 melt supply (e.g., Escartín et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2014) or non-transform offsets. We extracted 124 spreading-parallel transects (Figure 1a) in areas with high-resolution, publicly available, shipboard multibeam bathymetry data (Global Multi-Resolution Topography Data Synthesis: [https://www.gmrt.org/index.php;](https://www.gmrt.org/index.php) Ryan et al., 2009). The transect length (horizontal distance between first and last scarp crests), is limited by bathymetric data availability at each location and ranges from 45 to 490 km. We measured scarp morphology from digital elevation models (Figure 1b–f) and resampled all data at the highest common resolution of 100 m to avoid resolution-dependent slope artifacts.

 We measured the height, slope, and distance to the ridge axis for 5803 abyssal hill faults from the 124 transects following the method of Howell et al., (2016) illustrated in Figure 1, and described in the Supplementary Materials. We also recorded the crustal age and spreading rate for each fault, based on global grids of age and spreading rate (Seton et al., 2020). For our analysis, we binned the data based 124 on spreading rate as slow (<55 mm yr⁻¹), intermediate (55–75 mm yr⁻¹), and fast (\geq 75 mm yr⁻¹), with 3136, 1127, and 1540 hills in each bin, respectively. We combined ultra-slow with slow ridges and ultra-fast with fast ridges (e.g., Dick et al., 2003) to maximize the data in each bin.

2.2 Slope measurements in shipboard bathymetry

 Due to the limited resolution of shipboard multibeam bathymetry (typically 50–100 m resolution), small scarps (<100 m height) are best analyzed using near-bottom bathymetry data acquired with underwater vehicles at horizontal resolutions of a few meters (Le Saout et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2021). However, these datasets are scarce at MORs and focus primarily on the axial area (Cannat et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014; Le Saout et al., 2018). Studies of tall abyssal hill scarps (>100 m) at meter- scale resolution are restricted to very few sites (Cannat et al., 2013). Therefore, variations in abyssal hill morphology can only be studied globally using shipboard multibeam bathymetry (Figure 1).

 For small faults from 2D shipboard bathymetric profiles, slope can be underestimated due to the 136 data resolution (Figure 1g). Bathymetry gridded with a resolution of 100 m pixel⁻¹ cannot resolve slopes >45° for scarps shorter than 100 m. Even for scarps >100 m tall, shipboard bathymetry can underestimate the true local slope for stepped scarps on a scale not resolvable at that resolution (e.g., several adjacent faults; Figure 1g). When scarps grow taller than ~100–200 m, the slope measured from shipboard bathymetry approaches that measured in near-bottom, high-resolution bathymetry (Figure 141 1h). To minimize spurious slopes due to data resolution (see 4.1), we focused our analysis on faults >100 m in height (3516 out of 5804 faults) and on time-averaged mass wasting (as opposed to individual rocksliding events) on the largest abyssal hills. The full database of global abyssal hill morphology is included in the supplementary materials.

2.3 Trends in abyssal hill morphology

 From the database, we documented an overall decrease in scarp height with increasing spreading rate, consistent with independent studies (Figure 2c, 3a; e.g., Goff, 1991; Goff et al., 1997; Roth et al., 2019; Goff, 2020). This agreement confirms that our scarp picks constitute a representative abyssal hill population. Crucially, almost all measured slopes (3506 out of 3516) are below the 45–60° range of fault dips suggested for mid-ocean ridge faults (Figure 3b; Thatcher and Hill, 1995).

 To characterize scarp height and slope evolution with increasing age and distance from the ridge 152 axis (Figures 2b, 3c–f, S5–S7), we used the 95th percentile. Because the data show significant and 153 expected scatter, trends in the median value are subdued and the 95th percentile best records key overall trends in fault morphological evolution. There is a rapid increase in the height and slope of the largest 155 scarps within 10 km of the ridge axis, with maximum values of 1750 m and 55° by \sim 7 km and \sim 6 km 156 from the axis, respectively (Figures 3c–d, 4b–c). The $95th$ percentiles of height and slope decrease rapidly from a peak of 700 m and 37º at 5–10 km from the axis, before levelling off between 300–400 158 m and 20–25° at distances beyond 500 km (Figure 3c–d). The abrupt end to scarp height increase at \sim 10 km off-axis is consistent with the unfaulting process described by Olive et al. (2024). Farther off-axis 160 over increasingly old seafloor, there is a subtle but gradual decrease in the 95th percentile of scarp height 161 from 700 m at 20 Ma and 37° at 5 Ma down to ~500 m at ~60 Ma and ~30° at 40 Ma (Figure 3e). This slow decay, over hundreds of km, is likely related to pelagic sedimentation filling topographic lows. In fact, typical sedimentation rates are consistent with the observed rate of apparent relief reduction in old seafloor (Figure 3e). Plots of morphological data for slow, intermediate, and fast spreading rates are included in the supplementary materials (Figures S5–S7). 14 159

2.4 Erosion during scarp growth

 The geomorphological data documents the simultaneous growth and erosion of abyssal hills by fault slip and bedrock mass wasting. Abyssal hills grow within at least 10 km of the ridge axis, and possibly as far as 30 km (Figure 4b, Table S1; Alexander & Macdonald, 1996; McAllister & Cann, 1996; Searle et al., 1998; Bohnenstiehl & Carbotte, 2001; Smith et al., 2003). We herein refer to the area of abyssal-hill growth within ~30 km of the ridge axis as the "fault growth window", but fault activity within the ridge shoulder may combine reverse and normal slip (Deffeyse, 1970; Olive et al. 173 2024). In this window, many abyssal hills exhibit slopes below 30° and almost all abyssal hills >100 m 174 in height have slopes well below the assumed dip of underlying faults (45–60°; Figure 4c; Thatcher and Hill, 1995). We suggest this discrepancy indicates erosion via bedrock mass wasting during scarp growth. Slope degradation results from the combined removal of material from the scarp face and talus deposition at its base (Figure 2). In some cases, small, closely spaced scarps may be identified as a single unit in lower resolution data (Figure 2g). Removing scarps <100 m in height partially mitigates their inclusion in our analysis.

 The evolution of scarp slope as a function of scarp height thus captures the early tectonically driven scarp growth and decay with the increasing importance of mass wasting as a control on scarp morphology (Figure 5). We analyzed the smoothed median of abyssal hills within the fault growth window assuming that the upper and lower limits of the data envelope record minimum and maximum amounts of scarp degradation, respectively (Figure 5a). Overall, the smoothed median of slope for abyssal hill increases non-linearly with height (Figure 5a). The upper envelope of slope vs. scarp-height (upper black dashed line in Figure 5a) reaches a maximum value of ~55° for ~300 m high hills before 187 gradually decreasing to ~45° for the tallest hills. Conversely, the lower envelope increases gradually to a maximum slope of ~40º for the tallest scarps (~1750 m high, Figure 5a). A boundary for the theoretical maximum slope resolvable at a given horizontal resolution can be obtained using arctan(height/resolution) (black long-dashed line in Figure 5a for a horizontal resolution of 100 m). Notably, the upper envelope lies beneath the resolution envelope for scarps below ~300 m in height. This data gap could suggest efficient erosion lowering the scarp slope away from the fault plane dip angle during growth. Alternatively, this gap could be an artifact of the coarse resolution of the data.

 The evolution of slope against height can be framed as a hysteresis loop. The raising limb is defined by younger abyssal hills (<20 Ma), which have median slopes 3–5° higher than abyssal hills >20 Ma 196 (Figure 5b). Abyssal hills >20 Ma define the falling limb where the 95th percentile of slope for a given height is between $5-10^{\circ}$ lower than abyssal hills <20 Ma (Figure 5b). We interpret lowered slopes for older abyssal hills to represent the gentle smoothing of bathymetry by pelagic sediment deposition and redistribution (Figure 2b; e.g., Webb & Jordan, 2001; Tominaga et al., 2011).

3. Quantifying oceanic basement erosion

 We designed a numerical model to approximate how mass wasting reworks abyssal hills and infer global rates of seafloor bedrock mass wasting from the abyssal hill dataset.

3.1 Scarp evolution as a diffusive process

 We simulated 1-D (across-strike) scarp morphology based on realistic erosion parameters for comparison with the quantitative data from abyssal hills presented in section 2. At the 100 m resolution used here, one cell may encompass a wide range of erosional and depositional processes. The bathymetric data therefore reflects scarp evolution with mass transfer from steep erosive to gentle depositional sections of the scarp. We chose to parameterize mass wasting as a non-linear diffusive process, with local downslope mass flux increasing non-linearly with local topographic gradient and 210 increasing rapidly for slopes beyond a critical failure angle (θ_c) . This framework is commonly applied to model subaerial hillslopes (e.g., Roering et al., 1999), and similar diffusion equations have been used to study redistribution of pelagic sediment on abyssal hills (Webb & Jordan, 1993, 2001; Mitchell, 1995; 1996; Tominaga et al., 2011). To convey the efficiency of scarp diffusion as a competition between erosion and rock uplift, we non-dimensionalized our model inputs as an inverse Peclet number (Pe^{-1}) . Pe^{-1} is the ratio of diffusion (*K*) relative to advection (in this case fault throw rate) and is reflected in the evolution of abyssal hill slope with increasing height (Figure 5a). This approach also allowed us to quantify *K* for a given rate of rock uplift at abyssal hills.

 Our simplified approach includes a number of assumptions. We assumed that in situ bedrock is pervasively fractured as often observed in submersible dives from axial valley floors (e.g., Wright et al., 1995). We accounted for variation in the degree of disaggregation by running models using a critical angle of 35° and 40° (Table S2). The model also does not account for other processes that control effective strength, like cohesion or compressive strength. Additionally, sediment transport distance is a product of momentum as well as slope, but the model does not incorporate varying transport distance

 resulting from different failure methods (e.g., rockfall, debris flow etc.). In the model, deposited volume roughly equals sediment flux (volume conservation), so we assume that porosity and, therefore, volume of intact but pervasively fractured bedrock is similar to that of bedrock talus. The non-uniform 227 distribution of talus on hills of similar heights and at similar distance from the ridge axis (Figure 2; Allerton et al., 1995) suggests some spatial variability in effective rock strength and, therefore, critical failure angle. Conversely, the relatively flat geometry of the median slope for a given height in abyssal hills >20 Ma (Figure 5b) supports a threshold behavior inherent in our non-linear diffusion model. Nevertheless, our approach provides a first-order approximation of the time-averaged effect of bedrock mass wasting along abyssal hills. Alternative methods, like advection based on progressive rather than critical failure, or a lattice grain model for hillslope evolution (Tucker et al., 2018), may also be appropriate but were not considered further.

3.2 Model setup

236 In the model, 1-D seafloor topography along a spreading-parallel direction, $h(x,t)$, follows the following equation:

238
$$
\frac{Dh}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K(\theta) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right), \tag{1}
$$

239 where $\frac{dh}{dt}$ denotes the Lagrangian derivative of topography as it is advected both horizontally and 240 vertically by a steady tectonic velocity field $(v_x(x), v_z(x))$. We only considered relief growth on the 241 footwall side, i.e., $x \ge 0$, with $x = 0$ corresponding to the seafloor trace of the normal fault that shapes the abyssal hill. For simplicity, we assumed that down-warping of the hanging wall produces a 243 topographic low that is instantaneously filled through volcanic extrusion such that $h(x<0,t)=0$. In equation (1), *K* is the effective diffusivity of topography which depends on topographic gradient:

$$
\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right),\tag{2}
$$

according to the following equation:

247
$$
K = 10^{(\log_{10} K_{\min} + \log_{10} (\frac{K_{\max}}{K_{\min}})(0.5 + (\frac{1}{\pi}) \tan^{-1} (\frac{\theta - \theta_C}{w}))}
$$
(3)

248 In equation (3), K_{min} is the minimum diffusivity at very low gradient and K_{max} is a maximum value, typically three orders of magnitude greater than *K*min. This approach keeps diffusivity finite for all gradients and ensures a sharp increase in diffusivity over a narrow range of gradients around the critical 251 angle (θ_c) . *w* acts as a regularization parameter that controls how fast modeled gradients approach θ_c 252 where a larger *w* means a faster increase towards higher diffusivity at gradients approaching θ_c . In our 253 models, we set $\theta_c = 35-40^\circ$ and $w = 0.035$ and 0.042, respectively.

 Tectonic uplift and horizontal advection were parameterized in relation to the horizontal slip 255 rate, *U*, on the hill-bounding fault. Specifically, we used piece-wise linear functions $v_x(x)$ and $v_z(x)$ that 256 equal zero for all $x \le 0$, and reach maximum values respectively equal to *U*/2 and tan(θ_F)*U*/2 at $x =$ $Ut/2$ (θ_F denotes the dip of the fault). Beyond $x = Ut/2$, $v_x(x)$ is kept constant at $U/2$, and $v_x(x)$ decreases 258 linearly to 0 until *x* reaches $U_t/2 + S$, where *S* represents the width of the gently sloping side of the abyssal hill (non-axis-facing). We set *S* = 10 km in our calculations, but note that *S* has virtually no

 effect on the morphology of the steep side of the hill (the fault scarp), which was our primary interest. 261 This definition of $v_x(x)$ and $v_z(x)$ captures the uplift, advection, and tilting of abyssal hill topography as the normal fault slips without the complexities related to footwall flexure (e.g., Weissel and Karner, 263 1989). We also assumed a fault dip of $\theta_F = 45^\circ$ (after Thatcher and Hill, 1995), for simplicity: *U* can thus be viewed as a proxy for full heave and throw rate on the fault. Because the model does not account for the subsiding motion of the hanging wall, we assumed the representative rock uplift rate in the hill is *U*/2, which amounts to assuming symmetric uplift and subsidence in the footwall and hanging wall of a normal fault. To estimate *U*, we measured the fraction of horizontal extension taken up by faults for each transect. This fraction, *F,* is classically estimated as the slope of a cumulative fault heave vs. distance to the axis plot (e.g., Searle and Laughton, 1977). Multiplying *F* by the full spreading rate along the transect yields a representative horizontal fault slip rate or uplift rate (adopting a fault dip of 45º), assuming only one fault is active at any given time (e.g., Buck et al., 2005).

 Equation (1) was discretized with a backward-Euler finite difference scheme and solved with Newton iterations to handle the non-linearity of the diffusion law. Advection of topography within the $(v_x(x), v_z(x))$ field is achieved through a particle-in-cell method. We solved Equation 1 starting from a 275 flat topography to calculate how predefined values of diffusivity (K) and θ_c degrade the interpolated scarp face during fault growth.

3.3 Model results and scarp diffusivity

 Our numerical model simulates the evolution of a scarp subjected to diffusive erosion and deposition. Examples are shown in Supplementary Figure 6e–h. Early in the simulations, topographic scarps with very small throws are almost instantaneously leveled by diffusion. As a result, they initially develop very gentle slopes even though their underlying fault is steep. When tectonic throw exceeds a characteristic advection-diffusion length (*K* / *U* ~ 10 m), diffusion no longer keeps up with uplift, and scarp slope increases. This, in turn, increases diffusivity non-linearly, and caps slope at values near the critical angle. Overall, our model reproduces observed trends of increasing scarp slope vs. height which eventually plateau near 30–40º (Figure 6a).

 We compared our simulation results (using the maximum slope measured along modeled scarps) to the moving median of measured slopes with increasing height for scarps within the ~30 km-wide fault growth window (Figure 6a). Cropping the dataset at <30 km from the axis also partly mitigates any reduction in height or lowering of slopes by pelagic sediment deposition (Figure 5b). Goodness of fit was assessed through a root-mean-squared (RMS) residual relative to the smoothed median from the slope/height plots (thick red line in Figure 6a–d). The lower and upper bounds were calculated using 292 the RMS residuals relative to the smoothed $5th$ and $95th$ percentiles, respectively (thin red lines in Figure 6a–d). RMS residuals for each model run are shown in Figure S4.

Each model run was characterized by an inverse Peclet number Pe^{-1} defined as:

$$
Pe^{-1} = \frac{K}{lU} \t{,} \t(4)
$$

296 where *l* is a characteristic median scarp height for faults >100 m in height (*l* = 199 m). This is akin to dimensionless "uplift-erosion numbers" used in a variety of studies that couple tectonics and surface

298 processes (e.g., Olive et al., 2022; Wolf et al., 2022): a large Pe^{-1} means erosion dominates uplift and 299 relief is subdued. To estimate the Pe^{-1} of natural systems, we ran 40 simulations with a broad range of 300 *K* (0.0003–3 m² yr⁻¹, all other parameters kept constant) and report the Pe^{-1} value of the run yielding the 301 lowest RMS misfit of median slope vs. height trends. We note that changing *U, w,* θ_c and the subsurface $f(302)$ fault dip also affects the final values of Pe^{-1} (Figures S2, S3). Our chosen parameters are justified in the supplementary materials.

 Models indicate that scarp diffusion is relatively inefficient at leveling tectonically induced seafloor uplift, but still represents a significant processthat modifiesthe axis-facing slopes of abyssal hills during $f(306)$ fault growth. *Pe⁻¹* is 0.06–0.82 for faults >100 m in height within 30 km of the ridge axis (range is 307 defined by the 95th and 5th percentile of Pe^{-1} , respectively), with $U = 2.57$ mm yr⁻¹ (average *U* for all 308 faults >100 m in height) and $\theta_c = 40^\circ$ (Figure 6a; Table S2). When the same data is binned by spreading rate, *Pe-1* was 0.06–0.55 for slow (Figure 6b), 0.10–0.62 for intermediate (Figure 6c), and 0.14–0.82 for fast spreading rates (Figure 6d), respectively. Hence, we did not resolve a systematic variation of *Pe-1* 311 with spreading rate. Results using $\theta_c = 35^\circ$ broadly agree with results using $\theta_c = 40^\circ$ and are presented in the supplementary materials (Table S2).

4. Discussion

4.1 The balance between erosion and uplift

 There are possible differences in the tectono-magmatic conditions or seismogenic behavior of abyssal hill-bounding normal faults at different spreading rates (e.g., Frohlich & Wetzel, 2007). Nevertheless, to the first order, Pe^{-1} values indicate a similar ratio of erosion to uplift for fast, intermediate, and slow spreading rates (Figure 7a). This implies that, based on bathymetry derived from shipboard multibeam systems, potential variations in tectono-magmatic processes between spreading rates do not perturb the ratio of erosion to uplift. The results facilitate quantification of bedrock erosion rates for abyssal hills in terms of diffusivity, *K,* by rearranging equation 4:

$$
K = \frac{10}{Pe} \tag{5}
$$

For $U = 2.57$ mm yr⁻¹, $l = 199$ m (average height for all faults >100 m in height), and the overall Pe^{-1} values of 0.06–0.82, we obtained a *K* of 0.03–0.42 m² yr⁻¹ (Table S2). In our model, *K* scales linearly with *U* (Equation 5) so that individual *K* values only apply to a specific fault slip rate. Accordingly, we can use the full range of *U* from the transects measured here $(0.72-9.25 \text{ mm yr}^1)$; Figure 8a) to scale the smallest and largest *K* values derived from the median *U*. The resulting overall *K* using the full range of *U* is 0.01–1.51 m² yr⁻¹. This range is comparable to gravity driven failure and 329 turbidity flows of pelagic sediment from the MAR, which range from $0.04-1.00$ m² yr⁻¹ (Figure 7b; Mitchell, 1995; Webb and Jordan, 2001).

The values of *U* for each transect do not support a systematic variation in fault slip rate, and therefore *K*, for different MOR spreading rates (Figure 8a). *U* ranges from 0.72–9.25 mm yr⁻¹ with a large spread encompassing most of this range for all spreading rates (Figure 8a). Previous estimates of 334 growth rates for abyssal hill faults based on the height and spacing of faults are $1-2$ mm yr⁻¹ from the

335 slow spreading MAR (McAlister and Cann, 1996) and $6-8$ mm yr⁻¹ from the EPR (Cowie et al., 1993). Our dataset shows a data gap for slow spreading faults with high fault growth rates (Figure 8a). This gap could be filled by accretion dominated by detachment faulting, which we excluded from our analysis. In such terrains, detachments may accommodate most of the spreading resulting in elevated 339 uplift rates like the >7 mm yr⁻¹ suggested at the Atlantis Massif on the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic ridge (Figure 8a; Escartin et al., 2022). We note that *U* values calculated here and fault growth rates from the literature do not account for processes such as partial or complete scarp burial by sedimentation or by volcanic deposits (e.g., Webb and Jordan, 2001; Escartín et al., 2007). Moreover, these growth rates are a high end-member because we assume only one fault is active at a time (Buck et al., 2005). The fault growth window is on the order of 10 to a few 10s of km, so several faults may be active simultaneously for fault spacings <10 km. Hence, we cannot argue for systematic variation in uplift rate with spreading rates.

 Our analysis has certain limitations. We note that the morphological evolution documented in Figure 3 is heavily weighted towards slow spreading ridges, because scarps >100 m high are most abundant at slow rates and scarce at fast rates (n=2258, 584, and 674 for slow, intermediate, and fast, respectively). Where possible, our analysis focused on areas with higher data density. For example, we only compared abyssal hills <1100 m tall at slow spreading rates with our model results to avoid the sparse data coverage above 1100 m height (Figure 6b). Due to the low scarp heights at fast spreading ridges (n = 180 >100 m in height and <30 km from the axis), the trend of the smoothed median line in Figure 6d is poorly constrained beyond \sim 300 m in height. Therefore, the calibration of Pe^{-1} against data 355 is limited at fast-spreading sites and the range of Pe^{-1} of 0.14–0.82 for fast faults is less robust than for faults developing at slower-spreading ridges (Figure 6b–c).

4.2 Processes driving mid-ocean ridge mass wasting

4.2.1 Earthquake controls on erosion during scarp growth

 Like terrestrial settings, if earthquakes are an important driver of abyssal hill bedrock erosion, then spatial variation in earthquake ground accelerations should be an important control on patterns in mass wasting (e.g., Croissant et al., 2019; Medwedeff et al., 2020). In simplest terms, ground acceleration depends on earthquake magnitude, depth, hypocentral distance, and the shear wave velocity of shallow bedrock (e.g., Abrahamson and Silva, 1997). In theory, differences in seismic behavior at different spreading rates should modify erosion parameters. To understand mass wasting behavior at different spreading rates requires an examination of how seismic parameters vary for different spreading rates.

 Seismic moment release and coupling are both thought to decrease with increasing spreading rate (Cowie et al., 1993; Frohlich & Wetzel, 2007; Mark et al., 2018). However, the normalization of the total seismic energy by distance along the ridge axis varies greatly at slow spreading ridges, covering the overall trend across spreading rates (Figure 8b). A similar trend is observed for the depth of microseismicity, although these experiments have limited spatial and temporal extents (Figure 8c). Shallow micro-seismicity is observed at all ridges, but deep micro-seismicity (e.g., >5–6 km) is only recorded at slow spreading rates (Figure 8c). Consequently, we speculate that while seismicity may be less

 frequent with slightly lower energy release at fast spreading ridges, the seismicity may be concentrated at shallow depths and result in similar ground shaking compared to slow spreading ridges. Similar average ground shaking for different spreading rates, despite possible variations in seismic parameters, 376 could be one factor that accounts for the similarity in Pe^{-1} across spreading rates.

 Seismicity at MORs occurs mostly within a few tens of kilometers of the ridge axis. The overall frequency of earthquakes measured with hydrophone arrays from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) and the Southwest Indian Ridge (SWIR) is greatest within 10–20 kilometers of the ridge axis and gradually decreases away from the axis with infrequent earthquakes occurring up to 200 km from the axis (Figure 4a; Smith et al., 2003; Tsang-hin-sun et al., 2016). These seismicity observations are consistent with previous estimations for the width of the fault growth window (Table S1). Previous estimates are derived from the distribution of seismicity or indirectly from the evolution of fault parameters (spacing, height) and the distance from the axis at which these stabilize (Table S1). A 20–30 km limit for the fault growth window is broadly consistent with the pronounced increase in height of the largest scarps within 386 10 km of the ridge axis (Figure 4b). Moreover, only \sim 15 % of all abyssal hills in our dataset are within 40 km of a transform fault, so transform seismicity is not considered to be a major contributor to abyssal-hill slope degradation here.

 The documentation of fresh bedrock talus at the ridge axis in sidescan sonar data (Figure 2a) and near-bottom bathymetry surveys (Figure S1) supports scarp average slopes being degraded via bedrock mass wasting within the fault growth window. Shipboard bathymetry and AUV sidescan sonar data from the Southeast Indian Ocean collected as part of the search for Malaysian Airlines flight MH370 (AusSeabed Marine Data Portal at: [https://portal.ga.gov.au/persona/marine\)](https://portal.ga.gov.au/persona/marine) constitute a substantial dataset to evaluate bedrock mass wasting outside the fault growth window. From data between 350– 2500 km from the axis, we identified possible thin fingers of fresh bedrock talus at the foot of abyssal 396 hill-bounding faults in just two out of 66 abyssal hills examined from a study area of \sim 98,000 km² (Figure 9; methods in the supplementary materials).

 The few observations of potential fresh talus at 350–2500 km from the axis indicates that bedrock mass wasting is extremely rare far off axis. Other seafloor geomorphic studies also report little evidence for fresh talus >30 km off axis at fast and slow spreading rates (e.g., Macdonald and Luyendyk, 1985; Escartin et al., 1999). The sidescan sonar data from the Southeast Indian Ocean records widespread low reflectivity seafloor, which indicates widespread sediment cover (Figure 9). Limited bedrock talus and 403 widespread sediment deposition are consistent with the levelling off in the 95th percentile of height and slope beyond ~500 km off-axis (Figure 3). Seismicity is also limited away from the ridge axis. Only two earthquakes were recorded in the deep-tow sonar survey area for the Southeast Indian Ocean between 1950–2021 (ANSS ComCat catalog, [https://earthquake.usgs.gov/data/comcat/;](https://earthquake.usgs.gov/data/comcat/) Figure 9a). These combined off-axis morphological and seismological observations indicate that bedrock mass wasting is not significant beyond ~30 km off axis and highlight the importance of coseismic shaking as a trigger for mass wasting near-axis.

4.2.2 Alteration processes

 Alteration may also facilitate mass wasting during scarp growth. Bedrock alteration (e.g., serpentinization, clay formation) and possibly fluid flow (changes in pore fluid pressure), can reduce the internal friction and shear strength of the bedrock and, theoretically, promote erosion (Tucholke et al., 1997). Bedrock alteration is thought to occur primarily at and in the vicinity of the ridge axis during exhumation (e.g., Cannat et al., 2010; Merdith et al., 2020). The degree of off-axis, shallow, bedrock alteration depends on sediment cover. Fluid ingress and egress, and accompanying bedrock alteration may preferentially occur at un-sedimented bathymetric highs with seafloor bedrock exposures (Figure 9; Coogan and Gillis, 2018). If alteration gradually weakens bedrock and promotes mass wasting, then an increase in the frequency of mass wasting with distance from the axis would be expected along with the corresponding evolution in abyssal hill morphology. The limited bedrock mass wasting documented outside of the fault growth window (Figure 9), coupled with a leveling off in scarp height and slope >500 km off axis (Figure 3), indicate that any potential long-term bedrock alteration does not noticeably enhance mass wasting.

 Conversely, alteration processes like mineral precipitation, may stabilize bedrock and reduce the potential for mass wasting. Palagonitization, for example, can increase the density and decrease the porosity and permeability of basalt (e.g., Weaver et al., 2020). We speculate that crack closure or surface precipitation may locally increase the internal friction of oceanic bedrock with a stabilizing effect acting against any potential alteration weakening. This is supported by subsurface geophysical observations. A rapid increase in seismic velocity at the top of layer 2 from 3.0 km/s at 0 Ma to 4.6 km/s at 10.5 Ma followed by a more gradual increase to 5.0 km/s at 170 Ma has been attributed to precipitation of hydrothermal alteration products within cracks (Christenson et al., 2019). Consequently, any potential weakening from alteration may be partially offset by strengthening from mineralization. Either way, based on the observations above, we suggest the primary trigger for bedrock mass wasting is coseismic shaking during scarp growth near-axis.

4.3 Global mass flux on abyssal hill

 To assess the global significance of abyssal hill mass wasting in term of detrital sediment fluxes, we quantified the erosional mass flux of bedrock associated with the growth of the largest abyssal hills. We calculated the average annual mass flux for an abyssal hill (*Q*ave) using the average area eroded and corresponding growth time for a representative large abyssal hill (i.e., 200 m tall; Figure S8). Next, we multiplied *Q*ave by the total length of the global MOR system of 60,000–70,000 km assuming only one abyssal hill is active per cross-axis transect. For a typical hill spacing of 3 km (from our global dataset) and a cross-axis width of 60 km for the fault growth window, we calculate that 20 abyssal hills are active in one cross-axis transect. Therefore, by multiplying the number of active abyssal hills by *Q*ave and considering all uncertainties, we calculate an annual earthquake-induced abyssal hill mass flux of $24-1428$ million m³ yr⁻¹. We verified our calculation based on model outputs with an alternative approach using simple trigonometry (full details on all calculations in the supplementary materials). This value records the combined effects of near-axis fault growth and erosion, with the associated uncertainties, and excludes other mass wasting environments (e.g., transform- and non-transform scarps, detachment-dominated seafloor, flanks of seamounts and volcanic islands, etc.)*.*

 For comparison, the total global mass flux from earthquake-induced terrestrial landslides is 451 estimated at $16.8-22.4$ billion $m^3 yr^{-1}$ (Broeckx et al., 2020). While up to two orders of magnitude less than subaerial sites, the total earthquake-induced, abyssal-hill, mass wasting is still a significant surface process shaping the oceanic crust, especially given the process is concentrated along a narrow strip of seafloor. Mass wasting denuding the oceanic lithosphere may impact alteration and modulate chemical fluxes between the lithosphere and the ocean (e.g., Merdith et al., 2020). Further investigations into submarine mass wasting are required to study its impact on weathering fluxes (Isson et al., 2019), on seafloor ecosystems (Stefanoudis et al., 2016), and on the safety of submarine infrastructure and resource extraction (Smith et al., 2018). Finally, complete quantification of ocean seafloor mass wasting should include other sites. Transform faults have cumulative lengths on the order of a third of the global MOR system, and are typically more seismically-active than their adjacent ridge segments. Transforms may thus increase the global mass flux of bedrock erosion by an amount commensurable to ridges. Intraplate volcanoes and seamounts are also ubiquitous and show pervasive, albeit unquantified, flank erosion (e.g., Mitchell et al., 2003).

4.4 Growth and decay of abyssal hills

 Based on the morphological and seismological data presented here, we posit that the overall morphology of abyssal hills is established by simultaneous tectonic rock uplift and coseismic bedrock mass wasting during fault growth (Figure 10). A rapid increase in height for young scarps within the fault growth window is accompanied by a simultaneous reduction in slope below the assumed fault dip of 45–60º (Figure 4c). As faults are rafted off-axis, the draping and redistribution of pelagic sediments by turbidity currents and sediment mass wasting smooths topography (Webb & Jordan, 2001; Tominaga et al., 2011). This is reflected in gentler slopes for a given height for abyssal hills >20 Ma compared to abyssal hills <20 Ma (Figure 5b). Sediment accumulation at the foot of scarps can potentially explain 473 the gradual decrease in the 95th percentile for scarp height and slope away from the ridge axis, although the data is somewhat noisy (Figure 3c–d). Isolated earthquakes and, possibly, isolated bedrock mass wasting, may occasionally occur outside of the fault growth window (e.g., Bergman & Solomon, 1984; 476 Figure 4a, 10). However, the overall decreasing trend in the 95th percentile of height and slope off axis indicates that these isolated events do not promote scarp growth, at least at the resolution of our data (Figure 5b, 10).

5. Conclusion

 Our global database on abyssal hill morphology supports a model in which coseismic shaking is the primary trigger for mass wasting events that reshape abyssal hills as they grow at or near the ridge axis. For the tallest abyssal hills (>100 m), height increases rapidly, but scarp slopes are generally degraded to <30° within 10 km of the ridge axis compared to an original underlying fault dip of 45– 60°. The rapid decrease in scarp slope during scarp growth records the cumulative effect of discrete

 coseismic mass wasting events. This result demonstrates that abyssal hill scarp degradation is active from fault inception at the ridge axis. Beyond 20–30 km from the ridge axis, seismogenic fault growth ceases and a gradual decrease in both the height and slope of the tallest scarps is attributed to the gradual smoothing of topography via the blanketing and redistribution of pelagic sedimentation with minimal bedrock erosion.

 We used numerical modelling and a quantitative database of abyssal hill fault scarp morphology from across the global mid-ocean ridge (MOR) system to investigate how cumulative coseismic erosion controls the morphology of abyssal hills. The results show that erosion is relatively inefficient at leveling the hills, but still profoundly alters the slopes of abyssal hill scarps. The balance between erosion and uplift is similar for slow, intermediate, and fast spreading rates, as expressed with the uplift-495 erosion number Pe^{-1} ranging between 0.06 and 0.82 with no discernable trend across spreading rates. Our results also provide the first constraint on bedrock scarp diffusivity with rates ranging from 0.01– $1.51 \text{ m}^2 \text{ yr}^1$. This study demonstrates that mass wasting during abyssal hill growth is a significant surface process operating along the global MOR system and provides a framework to incorporate bedrock mass wasting into future models of landscape evolution in actively uplifting extensional settings.

6. Acknowledgements

 All shipboard bathymetry data used in this study was downloaded from the Global Multi-Resolution Topography Data Synthesis [GMRT] available at:<https://www.gmrt.org/index.php> (Ryan et al., 2009). Near-bottom bathymetry used in Figures 1 and S1 were downloaded from the Marine Geosciences Data System available at[: https://www.marine-geo.org/index.php.](https://www.marine-geo.org/index.php) Shipboard bathymetry and sidescan sonar data used for Figure 9 were collected as part of the search for Malaysian Airlines flight MH370 and are publicly available from the AusSeabed Marine Data Portal at: [https://portal.ga.gov.au/persona/marine.](https://portal.ga.gov.au/persona/marine) This work was supported by the ANR project SERSURF (PI J. Escartín, ANR-17-CE31-0020) and the Pacific Rim Ocean Data Mobilization and Technology (PRODIGY) program. This manuscript greatly benefitted from constructive in-depth reviews by J. Goff and N. Mitchell.

Bibliography

 Alexander, R.T. and Macdonald, K.C., (1996). Sea Beam, SeaMARC II and ALVIN-based studies of faulting on the East Pacific Rise 9° 20′ N–9° 50′ N. Marine Geophysical Researches, 18, pp.557-587.

 Allerton, S., Murton, B. J., Searle, R. C., & Jones, M. (1995). Extensional faulting and segmentation of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge north of the Kane Fracture Zone (24° 00′ N to 24° 40′ N). Marine Geophysical Researches, 17(1), 37–61.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01268050> 51 516

 Abrahamson, N.A. and Silva, W.J., (1997). Empirical response spectral attenuation relations for shallow crustal earthquakes. Seismological research letters, 68(1), pp.94-127. 53 517 54 518

 Behn, M.D. and Ito, G., (2008). Magmatic and tectonic extension at mid‐*ocean ridges: 1. Controls on fault characteristics. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 9(8).* 56 519

- *Bergman, E.A. and Solomon, S.C., (1984). Source mechanisms of earthquakes near mid-ocean ridges from body waveform inversion: implications for the early evolution of oceanic lithosphere. Journal of*
- *Geophysical Research, 89: 11415-11441.*
- *Bicknell, J.D., Sempere, J.C., Macdonald, K.C. and Fox, P.J., (1987). Tectonics of a fast spreading center: A Deep-Tow and Sea Beam survey on the East Pacific Rise at 19 30′ S. Marine Geophysical Researches, 9(1), pp.25-45.*
- *Bird, P., 2003. An updated digital model of plate boundaries. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 4(3).*
- *Bohnenstiehl, D. W. R., & Carbotte, S. M. (2001). Faulting patterns near 19°30′S on the East Pacific Rise: Fault formation and growth at a superfast spreading center. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 2(9).<https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GC000156>* 10 530 11 531
- *Broeckx, J., Rossi, M., Lijnen, K., Campforts, B., Poesen, J., & Vanmaercke, M. (2020). Landslide mobilization rates: A global analysis and model. Earth-Science Reviews, 201(September 2019), 102972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102972* 13 532 14 533
	- *Buck, W.R., Lavier, L.L. and Poliakov, A.N., (2005). Modes of faulting at mid-ocean ridges. Nature, 434(7034), pp.719-723.*
	- *Cannat, M., Mangeney, A., Ondréas, H., Fouquet, Y., & Normand, A. (2013). High-resolution bathymetry reveals contrasting landslide activity shaping the walls of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge axial valley. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 14(4), 996–1011.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20056>*
- *Cannat, M., Fontaine, F. and Escartin, J., (2010). Serpentinization and associated hydrogen and methane fluxes at slow spreading ridges. Diversity of hydrothermal systems on slow spreading ocean ridges, Geophysical Monograph Series. 188, pp.241-264.*
- *Choukroune, P., Francheteau, J. and Hekinian, R., (1984). Tectonics of the East Pacific Rise near 12 50′ N: A submersible study. Earth and planetary science letters, 68(1), pp.115-127.* 30 544
- *Christeson, G. L., Goff, J. A., & Reece, R. S. (2019). Synthesis of oceanic crustal structure from two*‐ *dimensional seismic profiles. Reviews of Geophysics, 57, 504–529. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2019RG000641* 32 545
- *Cowie, P. A., Scholz, C. H., Edwards, M., & Malinverno, A. (1993). Fault strain and seismic coupling on mid-ocean ridges. Journal of Geophysical Research, 98(B10).<https://doi.org/10.1029/93jb01567>*
- *Croissant, T., Steer, P., Lague, D., Davy, P., Jeandet, L. and Hilton, R.G., (2019). Seismic cycles, earthquakes, landslides and sediment fluxes: Linking tectonics to surface processes using a reduced- complexity model. Geomorphology, 339, pp.87-103.*
- *Densmore, A. L., Anderson, R. S., McAdoo, B. G., & Ellis, M. A. (1997). Hillslope evolution by bedrock landslides. Science, 275(5298), 369–372. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5298.369*
- *Deffeyes, K.S., (1970). The axial valley: a steady state feature in the terrain. In Megatectonics of continents and oceans, Eds. Johnson, J. & Smith, B.C., 194–222, Rutgers Univ. Press.* 48 556
- *Dick, H. J., Lin, J., & Schouten, H. (2003). An ultraslow*‐*spreading class of ocean ridge. Nature, 426(6965), 405–412. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature02128* 50 557 51 558
- *Escartín, J., Cowie, P.A., Searle, R.C., Allerton, S., Mitchell, N.C., MacLeod, C.J. and Slootweg, A.P., (1999). Quantifying tectonic strain and magmatic accretion at a slow spreading ridge segment, Mid*‐ *Atlantic Ridge, 29° N. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 104(B5), pp.10421-10437.* 53 559 54 560
- *Escartín, J., Soule, S. A., Fornari, D. J., Tivey, M. A., Schouten, H., & Perfit, M. R. (2007). Interplay between faults and lava flows in construction of the upper oceanic crust: The East Pacific Rise crest 9°25′-9°58′N. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 8(6).<https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001399>*

 Escartín, J., Smith, D.K., Cann, J., Schouten, H., Langmuir, C.H. and Escrig, S., (2008). Central role of detachment faults in accretion of slow-spreading oceanic lithosphere. Nature, 455(7214), pp.790- 794.

 Escartín, J., John, B., Cannat, M., Olive, J.A., Cheadle, M., Früh-Green, G. and Cotterill, C., (2022). Tectonic termination of oceanic detachment faults, with constraints on tectonic uplift and mass wasting related erosion rates. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 584, p.117449.

 Frohlich, C., & Wetzel, L. R. (2007). Comparison of seismic moment release rates along different types of plate boundaries. Geophysical Journal International, 171(2), 909–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03550.x

 Goff, J. A. (1991). A global and regional stochastic analysis of near-ridge abyssal hill morphology. Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(B13).<https://doi.org/10.1029/91jb02275> 10 574

 Goff, J.A., Ma, Y., Shah, A., Cochran, J.R. and Sempéré, J.C., (1997). Stochastic analysis of seafloor morphology on the flank of the Southeast Indian Ridge: The influence of ridge morphology on the *formation of abyssal hills. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 102(B7), pp.15521-15534.* 13 576 14 577

 Goff, J. A. & Tucholke, B. E. (1997). Multiscale spectral analysis of bathymetry on the flank of the Mid- Atlantic Ridge: Modification of the seafloor by mass wasting and sedimentation. Journal of Geophysical Research (Vol. 102).

 Goff, J. A. (2020). Identifying characteristic and anomalous mantle from the complex relationship between abyssal hill roughness and spreading rates. Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088162. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2020GL088162

 Hilley, G.E., Sare, R.M., Aron, F., Baden, C.W., Caress, D.W., Castillo, C.M., Dobbs, S.C., Gooley, J.T., Johnstone, S.A., Liu, F. and McHargue, T., (2020). Coexisting seismic behavior of transform faults revealed by high-resolution bathymetry. Geology, 48(4), pp.379-384. 28 587

 Hovius, N., Meunier, P., Lin, C. W., Chen, H., Chen, Y. G., Dadson, S., et al. (2011). Prolonged seismically induced erosion and the mass balance of a large earthquake. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 304(3–4), 347–355.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.02.005> 30 588

 Howell, S. M., Ito, G., Behn, M. D., Martinez, F., Olive, J. A., & Escartín, J. (2016). Magmatic and tectonic extension at the Chile Ridge: Evidence for mantle controls on ridge segmentation. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17(6), 2354–2373. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006380

- *Hughes, A., Escartín, J., Olive, J. A., Billant, J., Deplus, C., Feuillet, N., et al. (2021). Quantification of Gravitational Mass Wasting and Controls on Submarine Scarp Morphology Along the Roseau Fault, Lesser Antilles. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126(4), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005892>*
- *Hughes, A., Escartín, J., Billant, J., Leclerc, F., Andreani, M., Olive, J.A., Arnaubec, A., Dano, A., Delorme, A., Deplus, C. and Feuillet, N., et al., (2023). Seafloor earthquake ruptures and mass wasting* from the 2004 Mw 6.3 Les Saintes submarine earthquake. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1), *p.270.* 46 600
- *Isson, T.T., Planavsky, N.J., Coogan, L.A., Stewart, E.M., Ague, J.J., Bolton, E.W., Zhang, S., McKenzie, N.R. and Kump, L.R., (2020). Evolution of the global carbon cycle and climate regulation on earth. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34(2), p.e2018GB006061.*

 Kardell, D. A., Christeson, G. L., Estep, J. D., Reece, R. S., & Carlson, R. L. (2019). Long-Lasting Evolution of Layer 2A in the Western South Atlantic: Evidence for Low-Temperature Hydrothermal Circulation in Old Oceanic Crust. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(3), 2252–2273. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016925>

>

- *Kent, G.M., Swift, S.A., Detrick, R.S., Collins, J.A. and Stephen, R.A., (1996). Evidence for active normal faulting on 5.9 Ma crust near Hole 504B on the southern flank of the Costa Rica rift. Geology, 24(1), pp.83-86.*
- *Le Saout, M., Thibaud, R., & Gente, P. (2018). Detailed Analysis of Near Tectonic Features Along the East Pacific Rise at 16°N, Near the Mathematician Hot Spot. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(6), 4478–4499.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JB015301>*
- *Lee, S.M. and Solomon, S.C., (1995). Constraints from Sea Beam bathymetry on the development of normal faults on the East Pacific Rise. Geophysical research letters, 22(23), pp.3135-3138.*
- *Macdonald, K.C. and Luyendyk, B.P., (1985). Investigation of faulting and abyssal hill formation on the flanks of the East Pacific Rise (21 N) using Alvin. Marine Geophysical Researches, 7(4), pp.515- 535.* 9 617 10 618
- *Macdonald, K.C., Fox, P.J., Alexander, R.T., Pockalny, R. and Gente, P., (1996). Volcanic growth faults and the origin of Pacific abyssal hills. Nature, 380(6570), pp.125-129.* 13 620
- *Malamud, B. D., Turcotte, D. L., Guzzetti, F., & Reichenbach, P. (2004). Landslides, earthquakes, and erosion. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 229(1–2), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2004.10.018>* 16 622 $17\quad623$
	- *Mark, H. F., Behn, M. D., Olive, J.-A., & Liu, Y. (2018). Controls on mid-ocean ridge normal fault seismicity across spreading rates from rate-and-state friction models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123, 6719–6733. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2018JB015545*
	- *McAllister, E., & Cann, J. R. (1996). Initiation and evolution of boundary-wall faults along the Mid- Atlantic Ridge, 25-29°N. Geological Society Special Publication, 118(118), 29–48. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.118.01.03>*
- *Medwedeff, W.G., Clark, M.K., Zekkos, D. and West, A.J., (2020). Characteristic landslide distributions: An investigation of landscape controls on landslide size. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 539, p.116203.* 29 631 30 632
	- *Merdith, A. S., del Real, P. G., Daniel, I., Andreani, M., Wright, N. M., & Coltice, N. (2020). Pulsated global hydrogen and methane flux at mid*‐*ocean ridges driven by Pangea breakup. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 21, e2019GC008869. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008869*
	- *Mills, M.M., Pappalardo, R.T., Panning, M.P., Leonard, E.J. and Howell, S.M., (2023). Moonquake-triggered mass wasting processes on icy satellites. Icarus, 399, p.115534.*
	- *Mitchell, N. C. (1995). Diffusion transport model for pelagic sediments on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(B10). https://doi.org/10.1029/95jb01974*
	- *Mitchell, N. C. (1996). Creep in pelagic sediments and potential for morphologic dating of marine fault scarps. Geophysical Research Letters, 23(5), 483–486. https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL00421*
- *Mitchell, N. C., Tivey, M. A., & Gente, P. (2000). Seafloor slopes at mid ocean ridges from submersible observations and implications for interpreting geology from seafloor topography. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 183(3–4), 543–555. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(00\)00270-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00270-3)*
- *Mitchell, N.C., Dade, W.B. and Masson, D.G., (2003). Erosion of the submarine flanks of the Canary Islands. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 108(F1).* 51 646 52 647
- *Olive, J.A., Behn, M.D., Ito, G., Buck, W.R., Escartín, J. and Howell, S., (2015). Sensitivity of seafloor bathymetry to climate-driven fluctuations in mid-ocean ridge magma supply. Science, 350(6258), pp.310-313.* 54 648

- *Olive, J.A., Malatesta, L.C., Behn, M.D. and Buck, W.R., (2022). Sensitivity of rift tectonics to global variability in the efficiency of river erosion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119(13), p.e2115077119.*
- *Olive, J.A., Ekström, G., Buck, W.R., Liu, Z., Escartín, J. and Bickert, M., (2024). Mid-ocean ridge unfaulting revealed by magmatic intrusions. Nature, pp.1-6.*

 Paulatto, M., Canales, J. P., Dunn, R. A., & Sohn, R. A. (2015). Heterogeneous and asymmetric crustal accretion: New constraints from multibeam bathymetry and potential field data from the Rainbow area of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (36°15'N). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 16(9), 2994–3014. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC005743

- *Pinzón, N., & Vargas, C. A. (2021). Global variation of seismic energy release with oceanic lithosphere age. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80475-y* 10 660
- *Rodríguez, C. E., Bommer, J. J., & Chandler, R. J. (1999). Earthquake-induced landslides: 1980-1997. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 18(5), 325–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267- 7261(99)00012-3* 13 662

 Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W., & Dietrich, W. E. (1999). Evidence for nonlinear, diffusive sediment transport on hillslopes and implications for landscape morphology. Water Resources Research, 35(3), 853–870. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998WR900090

 Roth, S., Granot, R., & Downey, N. J. (2019). Discrete characterization of abyssal hills: Unraveling temporal variations in crustal accretion processes at the 10°30′N segment, East Pacific Rise. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 525, 115762.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115762>

 Ryan, W. B. F., et al. (2009), Global multi-resolution topography synthesis, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 10, Q03014, doi:10.1029/2008GC002332.

 Searle, R.C. & Laughton, A.S. (1977). Sonar studies of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and Kurchatov Fracture Zone. Journal of Geophysical Research. 82, 5313–5328 30 674

- *Searle, R. C., Cowie, P. A., Mitchell, N. C., Allerton, S., MacLeod, C. J., Escartin, J., et al. (1998). Fault structure and detailed evolution of a slow spreading ridge segment: The Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 29°N. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 154(1–4), 167–183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(97)00160-x) [821x\(97\)00160-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(97)00160-x)*
- *Seton, M., Müller, R. D., Zahirovic, S., Williams, S., Wright, N. M., Cannon, J., et al. (2020). A global data set of present*‐*day oceanic crustal age and seafloor spreading parameters. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 21, e2020GC009214. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2020GC009214*
- *Smith, D. K., Escartin, J., Cannat, M., Tolstoy, M., Fox, C. G., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., & Bazin, S. (2003). Spatial and temporal distribution of seismicity along the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge (15°-35°N). Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jb001964*
- *Smith, D. K., Schouten, H., Dick, H. J. B., Cann, J. R., Salters, V., Marschall, H. R., et al. (2014). Development and evolution of detachment faulting along 50 km of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge near 16.5N. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 15(12), 4692–4711. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005563* 48 687
- *Smith, D.J., Naden, J., Miles, A.J., Bennett, H. and Bicknell, S.H., (2018). Mass wasting events and their impact on the formation and preservation of submarine ore deposits. Ore Geology Reviews, 97, pp.143-151.* 50 688
- *Stefanoudis, P. V., Schiebel, R., Mallet, R., Durden, J. M., Bett, B. J., & Gooday, A. J. (2016). Agglutination of benthic foraminifera in relation to mesoscale bathymetric features in the abyssal NE Atlantic (Porcupine Abyssal Plain). Marine Micropaleontology, 123, 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2015.12.005*

 Thatcher, W., & Hill, D. P. (1995). A simple model for the fault-generated morphology of slow- spreading mid-oceanic ridges. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(B1), 561–570. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB02593

 Tominaga, M., Lyle, M., & Mitchell, N. C. (2011). Seismic interpretation of pelagic sedimentation regimes in the 18-53 Ma eastern equatorial Pacific: Basin-scale sedimentation and infilling of abyssal valleys. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 12(3).<https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003347>

 Tsang-Hin-Sun, E., Royer, J.Y. and Perrot, J., (2016). Seismicity and active accretion processes at the ultraslow-spreading Southwest and intermediate-spreading Southeast Indian ridges from hydroacoustic data. Geophysical Journal International, 206(2), pp.1232-1245.

Tucholke, B. E., Stewart, K. W., & Kleinrock, M. C. (1997). Long-term denudation of ocean crust in the *central North Atlantic Ocean. Geology, 25(2), 171–174. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025%3c0171:LTDOOC%3e2.3.CO;2) [7613\(1997\)025<0171:LTDOOC>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025%3c0171:LTDOOC%3e2.3.CO;2)* 10 704 11 705

Tucholke, B. E., Parnell-Turner, R., & Smith, D. K. (2023). The global spectrum of seafloor morphology *on mid-ocean ridge flanks related to magma supply. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 128, e2023JB027367. https://doi. org/10.1029/2023JB027367* 14 707

 U.S. Geological Survey (2017). Earthquake hazards program, advanced national seismic system (ANSS) comprehensive catalog of earthquake events and products: Various, available at https:// earthquake.usgs.gov/data/comcat/ (last accessed October 2023).

 Webb, H. F., & Jordan, T. H. (1993). Quantifying the distribution and transport of pelagic sediments on young abyssal hills. Geophysical Research Letters, 20(20), 2203–2206. https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL01881 25 715

 Webb, H. F., & Jordan, T. H. (2001). Pelagic sedimentation on rough seafloor topography 2. Inversion results from the North Atlantic Acoustic Reverberation Corridor. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 106(B12), 30451–30473. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jb900274 28 717

 Weissel, J. K., & Karner, G. D. (1989). Flexural uplift of rift flanks due to mechanical unloading of the lithosphere during extension. Journal of Geophysical Research, 94(B10). <https://doi.org/10.1029/jb094ib10p13919> 33 721

 Wilcock, W.S., Purdy, G.M., Solomon, S.C., DuBois, D.L. and Toomey, D.R., (1992). Microearthquakes on and near the East Pacific Rise, 9–10 N. Geophysical research letters, 19(21), pp.2131-2134. 35 722

 Wilcock, W.S.D., Toomey, D.R., Purdy, G.M. and Solomon, S.C., (1993). The renavigation of Sea Beam bathymetric data between 9 N and 10 N on the East Pacific Rise. Marine geophysical researches, 15, pp.1-12.

 Wolf, S.G., Huismans, R.S., Braun, J. and Yuan, X., (2022). Topography of mountain belts controlled by rheology and surface processes. Nature, 606(7914), pp.516-521.

 Wright, D.J., Haymon, R.M. and Fornari, D.J., (1995). Crustal fissuring and its relationship to magmatic and hydrothermal processes on the East Pacific Rise crest (9° 12′ to 54′ N). Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 100(B4), pp.6097-6120.

 Figure 1. Summary of datasets and methods. a) Global spreading rate map [Seaton et al., 2020] and location of the transects measured in this study. Plate boundaries are from Bird et al. [2003]. The green star locates example profile in Figure 1e. Thin black lines are non-ridge plate boundaries. b) Shaded relief bathymetry, c) slope, and d) curvature maps used to identify faults at the Southwest Indian Ridge. UTM = Universal Transverse Mercator. Maps in UTM zone 34S. e) Example profile extracted from black line in b–d) showing picks for fault scarp crests (blue lines) and bases (red lines) used to constrain

 scarp height. f) Schematic diagram showing how we measured height and slope. Upper: slope profile (blue dots); lower: bathymetry (blue line). Here, slope is the average form a slope profile section (from the red dots) along the steepest scarp section (red line). g) Comparison of scarp morphology between shipboard bathymetry (90 m resolution) and near-bottom bathymetry (1 m resolution) data showing the potential underestimation of slope by shipboard data. h) Comparison of scarp morphology between shipboard bathymetry and near-bottom bathymetry data showing general agreement of slopes for scarps >200 m height. See Figure S1 for line and location of profiles in parts f–h.

 Figure 2. a) Deep-towed sonar image from Searle et al. [1998] showing recent active bedrock mass wasting at 29° N on the Mid-Atlantic ridge. Strong acoustic backscatter is white and indicates bedrock or bedrock talus while weak acoustic reflections are dark gray and represent sediment cover. Black areas are acoustic shadows. In the interpretation sketch, bedrock or fresh bedrock talus on the scarp face is highlighted in white and sediment in grey. Location is shown in Figure 1a. b) Schematic diagram showing scarp evolution with increasing distance from the ridge axis after Allerton et al. [1995]. Rates for sediment diffusivity are from Webb and Jordan [2001]. Range of fault growth rates are from McAllister and Cann [1996] and Cowie et al. [1993]. c) Density plot of height as a function of spreading 755 rate for scarps analyzed here. Density is the number of hills within each bin of width \sim 3.33 mm yr⁻¹ and height ~35 m. Average values for each transect (white circles) are for comparison with the root-mean squared heights of abyssal hills from Roth et al. [2019] (purple diamonds).

 Figure 3. Density plots showing the morphological data for abyssal hills >100 m in height. Red lines 760 are smoothed 95th percentiles and the dashed lines are areas with no data. a–b) Height and slope as a 761 function of increasing full spreading rate. The bin width for spreading rate is \sim 3.3 mm/yr, height is 762 binned in ~41 m increments, and slope in ~1.3° increments. The 95th percentile is smoothed using a window of +/ 5 mm/yr. c–d) Height and slope as a function of distance from the ridge axis. The bin 764 width for distance is ~50 km, height is binned in ~36 m increments, and slope in ~1.0° increments. The 95th percentile is smoothed using a window of $\pm/25$ km. e-f) Height and slope as a function of age. The 766 bin width for age is 2 Ma, height is binned in \sim 52 m increments, and slope in \sim 1.6° increments. The 767 95th percentile is smoothed using a window of $+/$ 10 Ma. The sedimentation rate in e) shows the reduction in fault scarp height (initially 700m), assuming infill of adjacent topographic lows. Sedimentation rates are based on a value of 6.5 +/- 2.3 m/Myr (Webb and Jordan, 2001).

 Figure 4. a) Decreasing earthquake frequency with increasing distance from the ridge axis in 10 km bins recorded with a hydrophone array from the Mid-Atlantic ridge for the period 1999–2003 (Bohnenstiehl et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2002, 2003) and the Southwest Indian Ridge for the period 2012–2013 (Tsang-Hin-Sun et al., 2016). Both datasets have a magnitude range between ~205–245 dB $(-M_w 2.5-6.5)$ and include some transform seismicity. b) Density plot for abyssal hills >100 m in height showing a rapid increase in scarp height within 10 km of the ridge axis for the largest scarps defined by

 Figure 5. a) Density plot of slope as a function of height for global abyssal hills taller than 100 m and within 30 km of ridge axis overlain with interpretation. Maximum and minimum erosion envelopes are hand drawn. The thick red line is the smoothed moving median from 10 m bins of height and the thinner 787 red lines are the $5th$ and 95th percentiles. b) Comparison of height and slope for abyssal hills younger and older than 20 Ma. The >20 Ma bracket includes hills up to 67 Ma. The yellow (<= 20 Ma) and blue (> 20 Ma) shaded areas show the range of the data for each age bracket defined by the $5th$ (lower) and 790 95th (upper) percentiles. The inset shows schematically how abyssal hill morphology evolves similar to a hysteresis loop due to a temporal evolution in erosional and depositional process.

 Figure 6. a–d) Model results plotted on scarp data for all hills (a), slow (b), intermediate (c), and fast (d) spreading rates. Scarp data comprises abyssal hills <30 km from the ridge axis. Red lines represent the best-fit model runs relative to the median (middle line), 5th (lower line), and 95th (upper line) percentile of the scarp data (black lines). Goodness of fit is based on a root-mean-squared residual relative to the smoothed percentiles from the scarp data. To avoid comparing model results with areas with very few hills, the upper height limit of the red domain is capped at 1100 m for all hills and slow spreading rates, 600 m for intermediate spreading rates, and 400 m for fast ridges. Model parameters 800 are fault dip = 45°, $w = 0.042$, and critical angle $(\theta_c) = 40^\circ$. e–f) Time steps in the modelled evolution of an uplifting abyssal hill for hill height (e) and slope (f) using the same input parameters as parts a-d. g–h) Morphology of a modeled abyssal hill at ~1100 m height (i.e., limit of red lines in part a) for hill height (g) and slope (h) using different diffusivity. Models use the same input parameters as in a–d) and 804 a horizontal slip rate of 2.57 mm yr^{-1} .

Figure 7. a) Plot of inverse Peclet number (Pe^{-1}) and diffusivity (K) with increasing spreading rate. The 808 colored boxes represent the spreading rates bounds (slow = \leq 55 mm yr⁻¹, intermediate = 55-75 mm yr⁻¹ 809 ¹, and fast = >75 mm yr⁻¹) and the 5th and 95th percentiles of Pe^{-1} or *K* for a given uplift or horizontal 810 slip rate (U) (assuming a fault dip of 45°). The boxes assume $U = 2.57$ mm yr⁻¹ based on the median *U* for faults >100 m in height. b) Comparison of bedrock *K* calculated in this study with *K* for erosion of pelagic sediment over different length scales (amended from Webb and Jordan [2001]). The black boxes represent ranges of uncertainty whereas stars have no uncertainty. The red box is bounded by the

814 minimum and maximum *K* values (using $U = 0.72 \& 9.25$ mm yr⁻¹), and we define the length scale using fault heave with a minimum of 100 m and a maximum of 1750 m.

 Figure 8. a) Minimum fault growth rates versus spreading rate for every transect measured here. The grey boxes are ranges of previously published estimates for fault growth rates based on the references shown here. b) Seismic moment release rates from Frohlich and Wetzel [2007] normalized by ridge axis length. Data provided in Table S3. c) Depth range of microseismicity across spreading rates. References and data for part c are included in Table S4.

 Figure 9. Side scan sonar (5 m horizontal resolution) and bathymetry data (40–60 m horizontal resolution) from the Southeastern Indian Ocean. a) Map locating the data relative to the Southeast Indian Ridge axis (area of (a) is shown in Figure 1a). Earthquakes are from the USGS Advanced National 826 Seismic System Comprehensive Earthquake Catalog (USGS, 2017) for earthquakes $> M_b 2.5$ from 1950 to the present. b–d) AUV side scan sonar data (top) above corresponding shaded relief, ship-board, bathymetry (bottom) covering the same area. Roman numerals represent features of interest described here. In the sonar data sedimented seafloor is darker brown or orange whereas exposed bedrock is pale brown or yellow. b) Representative abyssal hill. i: smooth, linear contact between sediment and bedrock indicates no recent mass wasting. c) Isolated abyssal hill with possible recent bedrock mass wasting. ii: Possible fingers of fresh talus. iii: Sedimented talus. d) A relay zone. iv: Possible recent bedrock mass wasting which could also be sedimented talus. v: Chutes or fingers of talus on the relay scarp. e) Bathymetric profiles across X-X' and Y-Y' from b) and c). Pale grey domain sketches extent of pelagic sediment and dark grey is schematic talus. Bathymetry and sonar data are from Geoscience Australia. Sonar maps show artifacts indicated by green arrows and that generally run WSW-ENE (nadir of sonar swath, differences in absolute acoustic backscatter across adjacent tracks, mosaicking seams).

 Figure 10. Summary of the morphological evolution of mid-ocean ridge fault scarps and abyssal hills with increasing distance from the ridge axis. The geomorphic data and model results presented in this study allow us to add quantitative bedrock erosion parameters to previously existing tectonic models of abyssal hill evolution. All values are from this study except the diffusivity value for pelagic sediment, which is from Webb and Jordan [2001]. The block models are amended from Allerton et al. [1995]. Faults in red are potentially active and faults in black are inactive.

