

# Gravity-induced ice compaction and subsurface porosity on icy moons

Cyril Mergny, Frédéric Schmidt

## ▶ To cite this version:

Cyril Mergny, Frédéric Schmidt. Gravity-induced ice compaction and subsurface porosity on icy moons. Icarus, 2024, 413 (6), pp.247-263. 10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116008 . insu-04843762

# HAL Id: insu-04843762 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04843762v1

Submitted on 17 Dec 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Icarus

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/icarus

## Research Paper Gravity-induced ice compaction and subsurface porosity on icy moons

### Cyril Mergny<sup>a,\*</sup>, Frédéric Schmidt<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, GEOPS, Orsay, 91405, France <sup>b</sup> Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Europa Galilean satellites Ice porosity Ice physics

### ABSTRACT

Our understanding of the surface porosity of icy moons and its evolution with depth remains limited, including the precise scale at which ice compaction occurs under self-weight pressure. This parameter is of crucial interest for the correct interpretation of current remote sensing data (spectroscopy in the visible, infrared to passive microwave) but also for planetary exploration when designing a lander, a rover or a cryobot. In situ exploration of the ice crust would require knowledge about subsurface porosity. This study employs a compaction model solely driven by overburden pressure based on prior research. The formulation for density as a function of depth, incorporates an essential parameter: the ice compaction coefficient. To determine this coefficient, we fit our depth-dependent density model to existing data obtained from Earth-based measurements of ice cores in Antarctica and North Greenland. Our results yield a typical lengthscale for ice compaction on Earth of approximately  $20.1 \pm 0.6$  m, consistent with the existing literature. We apply the model to Europa, which due to its lower gravity, has a typical ice compaction scale of  $150 \pm 4$  m, when assuming an Earth-like compaction coefficient. We compare it with the depths scanned by current spaceborne data and find that porosity can be considered constant when accounting only for gravity-induced compaction.

#### 1. Introduction

Icy moons, characterized by an icy crust at the surface, present unresolved questions regarding the microscopic properties of their surface and subsurface. Various studies provide compelling evidence that the surface of icy satellites is made of a porous material. One significant piece of evidence comes from brightness temperature measurements, where thermal models obtain a thermal inertia significantly smaller than that of solid ice (Spencer et al., 1989; Rathbun et al., 2010). The most plausible explanation for this reduction in thermal inertia is to introduce porosity into the thermal properties, as it changes the density and conductivity of ice (Ferrari and Lucas, 2016). Other indications are found in spectroscopy measurements of icy moons' surfaces showing improved fits when incorporating a porosity factor (Mishra et al., 2021; Mermy et al., 2023) or from the potential existence of O2 molecules trapped in bubbles within Europa's near-surface ice (Oza et al., 2018), indicating the presence of a porous regolith. Various processes may contribute to the development of a porous surface, including the deposition of snow onto the surface or space weathering, which could potentially transform initially solidified ice into a porous material through constant bombardment by micrometeorites and particles.

Specifically, the exact texture of the near-surface remains unclear. Is the ice made of grains with high porosity that compact with increasing depth? Of a granular layer overlaying a denser slab? Or of any other possible configuration? Subsurface porosity is unknown but it constitutes a crucial parameter when building subsurface models for the analysis of spaceborne data, whether aiming to derive thermal properties or optical properties. A common assumption in remote sensing analysis, is that the probed material has a unique thermal inertia (Spencer et al., 1989). This implies that the subsurface is homogeneous, thus having constant porosity through all the depths probed. On Europa, the diurnal skin depth is estimated to be on the order of few centimeters (Ferrari and Lucas, 2016), while the seasonal skin depth may extend to dozens of meters. Hence it is unclear whether Europa's porosity changes at the depths probed by brightness temperature retrievals.

On Earth at these depths, notable changes in porosity from ice core measurements have been observed on Antarctica and North Greenland ice, with variation length spanning approximately dozens of meters (Alley et al., 1982; Hörhold et al., 2011; Gerland et al., 1999). Earlier work (Herron and Langway, 1980; Alley et al., 1982), identified critical density thresholds, such as  $550 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  for the transition from snow to firn and  $840 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  from firn to coarse firn, resulting in the proposal of three distinct empirical models to describe the density transitions within the snow structure. However, a more recent investigation (Hörhold et al., 2011), using a comprehensive measurements of 16 ice cores

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* cyril.mergny@universite-paris-saclay.fr (C. Mergny).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116008

Received 18 November 2023; Received in revised form 1 February 2024; Accepted 16 February 2024 Available online 17 February 2024







<sup>0019-1035/© 2024</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

from Antarctica and North Greenland did not reveal clear transitions in the ice density profile. This finding suggests the possibility of a unified formulation to describe the entire density variations in these ice cores. Other efforts have been made to model snow compaction more realistically on Earth (Cuffey, 2010), focusing on complex interactions involving pressure, temperature and sintering. These models (Wilkinson, 1988; KopystyńSki and Maeno, 1993; Arnaud et al., 2000; Meyer et al., 2020) often rely on the fundamental sintering equations formulated by Swinkels and Ashby (1981).

On Europa, studies (Nimmo et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2017; Howell et al., 2021) have primarily addressed the dynamic variations of porosity over time within the whole ice shell, predominantly attributed to ice viscosity. However, these studies tend to concentrate on deeper depths ( $\sim$ 10 s of km), where accurate modeling of the shallow subsurface ( $<\sim$ 10 s m) is not required. While exploring other compaction-related processes like sputtering, as observed in some planetary science studies (Raut et al., 2008; Schaible et al., 2017), and late-stage sintering (Molaro et al., 2019), holds promise for future research, our current focus remains on modeling ice compaction under the influence of overburden pressure due to gravity. Hence we address a fundamental question: What is the characteristic lengthscale of ice compaction on icy moons when considering solely the overburden pressure induced by gravity?

The main objective of this study is not to determine the precise surface porosity of icy moons, as the existing data currently available makes it challenging to constrain this parameter. Rather, our focus is to determine what would be the compaction profile of ice for any value of surface porosity. We first adapt the approach undertaken in Wilson and Head (1994) for the compaction of silicate rock on Earth, Mars and Venus to model the compaction processes of ice on icy worlds. Then, we propose an analogy with a viscous model initially proposed for magma (Fowler, 1985) and used by the planetary science community (Nimmo et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2017) to study the ice shell. The model will be tested on Earth cases and discussed for icy moons, with a particular focus on Europa.

#### 2. Methods

As pointed out by Earth based studies (Mellor, 1977), densification of snow under static loading remains unclear. On the one hand, compressive load result in depth-density relations that are almost timeinvariant (Bader, 1954, 1960), implying that an elastic model would be relevant. On the other hand, snow is known to creep, thus densification can be treated as a continuous time-dependent process, using a viscous flow formulation.

#### 2.1. Compaction model

#### 2.1.1. Generalized porosity expression

Following Wilson and Head (1994), a continuous density function can be used to model the variations of ice density  $\rho$  with depth *z* when compaction of the pore space is solely induced by the overburden pressure. The assumption made by the authors (Wilson and Head, 1994) is that the porosity  $\phi$  shows an exponential decay with increasing pressure *P*, leading to the form:

$$\phi(z,t) = \phi_0 \exp\left(-\lambda(z,t)P(z)\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi_0$  is the top surface porosity value and  $\lambda(z, t)$  will be called the compaction coefficient of the considered material. The compaction coefficient, due to its unit in Pa<sup>-1</sup>, can also be thought as the inverse of the characteristic pressure required for substantial material compaction. Unlike Wilson's approach, this generalized form uses a compaction coefficient  $\lambda(z, t)$  which may not be constant with depth or time. This anticipates that factors such as temperature, porosity, compaction time and others can influence the compaction coefficient, thereby affecting the porosity profile  $\phi(z, t)$ .

#### 2.1.2. Density profile for a constant compaction coefficient

To derive the density profile expression, we follow Wilson's assumption (Wilson and Head, 1994) of a constant compaction coefficient  $\lambda$ , with respect to depth. Although the limitations of such an assumption are discussed in 4.1, it is necessary in order to obtain a simplified expression of the compaction lengthscale.

It follows from the definitions of the bulk density  $\rho_{\rm b}$  and pore space that the density at any given depth is given by the relation:

$$\rho(z) = \rho_{\rm b}(1 - \phi(z)) \tag{2}$$

Since the pressure at depth z is given by the weight of the above ice layers, an increase of dz will increase dP by the relation

$$dP(z) = \rho(z)gdz \tag{3}$$

where g is the gravity acceleration at the considered body's surface.

Combining Eqs. (1), (2) and (3), leads to a differential equation for P(z), which after separation of variables and integration takes the form

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln\left(\phi_0 + (1 - \phi_0) \exp\left(\frac{z}{H}\right)\right).$$
(4)

and provides the expression for density:

$$\rho(z) = \rho_{\rm b} \left( 1 + \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_0} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{H}\right) \right)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

with H the characteristic lengthscale of compaction given by

$$H = \frac{1}{\lambda g \rho_{\rm b}}.\tag{6}$$

Using this formulation, the depth-density profile of an icy layer can be specified in terms of the three model parameters: the top surface porosity  $\phi_0$ , the ice compaction coefficient  $\lambda$  and the gravitational acceleration of the target body *g*.

#### 2.2. Elastic and viscous compaction

The assumption of an exponential decay of porosity  $\phi(z)$  with rising pressure P(z) made by Wilson and Head (1994), was revisited in Appendix. We demonstrated that it can be mathematically derived from both the stress–strain rate relationship of a purely elastic material or of a purely viscous material. The combination of high tidal heating and low gravity of many outer planet satellites leads to conditions where pore close more readily viscously due to high temperatures rather than due only to self gravity. Hence in many studies of Europa's ice shell (Nimmo et al., 2003; Howell et al., 2021), ice compaction is approached by considering it as the compression of a viscous flow, using Fowler's law of porosity (Fowler, 1985):

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(z,t) = -\phi(z,t)\frac{P(z)}{\eta(z,t)}$$
(7)

where *t* is the time of compaction, and  $\eta(z,t)$  the viscosity of the considered material at a specific depth and time. This differential equation can be derived from the stress–strain rate relationship of a purely viscous material, as demonstrated in Appendix A.2. This leads to the expression of porosity:

$$\phi(z,t) = \phi_{i}(z) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\eta(z,t)}P(z)\right)$$
(8)

where  $\phi_i(z)$  is the initial porosity profile at t = 0.

It is unclear whether near-surface ice on icy bodies undergoes elastic compaction, viscous creep, or a simultaneous combination of both processes. Determining the dominant compaction mechanism is challenging, primarily due to the poorly constrained viscosity of nearsurface porous ice at these conditions, which can vary on multiple orders of magnitude. The main focus of this study is not to quantify which compaction process dominates on icy moons, but rather to understand how each process would behave independently and can be related to single parameter: the compaction coefficient. Elastic compaction and viscous flow are two distinct compaction processes but derivation of compaction from both elastic or viscous processes result in the same general mathematical form of the porosity given by Eq. (1). The elastic derivation shows a direct inverse relationship between the compaction coefficient and the ice Young's modulus *E*:

$$\lambda \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{E}.$$
 (9)

while the viscous flow derivation leads to a relationship between the compaction coefficient  $\lambda$ , the compaction time *t*, and the viscosity  $\eta$ :

$$\lambda(z,t) \Leftrightarrow \frac{t}{\eta(z,t)}.$$
 (10)

The relationship of Eq. (10) elucidates that selecting a value for  $\lambda$  on any icy body imposes constraints on a parameter pair: viscosity and compaction time. If we adhere to Wilson's analogy method (Wilson and Head, 1994), we must assume that the compaction coefficient for a planetary ice body is comparable to the value observed on Earth. However, if the compaction coefficient deviates from the Earth's measurement, Eq. (10) provides the relationship between  $\lambda$ , t, and  $\eta$ .

#### 3. Results

Number of reasons may lead to changes in the values of the compaction coefficient of ice between Earth and icy moons. The significantly lower temperature, lack of atmosphere and the different environment found on the icy moons could change how ice reacts to compression. To the best of our knowledge, we currently lack a method to properly obtain the compaction coefficient on icy moons. Therefore, in this section we will first describe how ice would compact due to the lower gravity on icy moons assuming the compaction coefficient is the same as on Earth. Then we explore how other compaction mechanisms like viscous creep may come into play, effectively changing the compaction coefficient.

#### 3.1. Constant earth-like compaction coefficient

To determine the value of the ice compaction coefficient on Earth, an analysis of density measurements with depth derived from Earthbased data is conducted. This analysis uses a dataset comprising measurements from ice cores located in North Greenland (77.3S, -49.2W) (Hörhold et al., 2011), Berkner Island, Antarctica A1 (79.3S, 45.4W) (Gerland et al., 1999), and Ridge BC, Antarctica A2 (82.5S, 136.4W) (Alley et al., 1982). While sintering and melting occur on Earth, on large ice sheets such as Greenland and Antarctica, they are only confined in the first few meters depths (Alley et al., 1982). Therefore, we conclude that these processes do not significantly impact the density variations spanning dozens of meters observed in these ice cores. Knowing the bulk ice density  $\rho_{\rm b} = 917 \, \rm kg \, m^{-3}$ , we have fitted the depth density profile from Eq. (2) to the three ice cores shown in Fig. 1. For example, for the B26 North Greenland ice core, the best fit results in an initial surface porosity  $\phi_0 = 48.5 \pm 0.7\%$  and an ice compaction coefficient of  $\lambda = 4.9 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ . The average value over the three locations studied here is  $\overline{\lambda} = 5.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$  and will be used as a reference for the compaction of ice on Earth for the remaining of this article.

Having obtained Earth's ice compaction coefficient  $\overline{\lambda}$ , we extend our analysis by applying the density-depth formulation presented in Eq. (2) to other planetary surfaces. While this method could be applied to any icy moon, our focus lies on Europa, where the surface gravitational acceleration is estimated to be  $g = 1.315 \text{ m s}^{-2}$  (Anderson et al., 1998). For any given surface porosity, the formulation of Eq. (5) enables us to determine the density profile at various depths, accounting only for self-weight-induced ice compaction. In Fig. 2, we present distinct density profiles for a range of initial porosities on Europa for an ice compaction coefficient  $\overline{\lambda}$ . It is evident that, regardless of the starting

#### Table 1

Ice compaction lengthscales under gravity-induced pressure for different bodies in the Solar System assuming a constant Earth-like compaction coefficient of ice  $\bar{\lambda} = 5.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{Pa}^{-1}$ . Note that other processes like sputtering or sintering, may also compact the ice leaving to different compaction lengths.

Name	Radius (km)	Surface gravity (m s <sup>-2</sup> )	Gravity-induced compaction length $H$ (m)
Earth	6370	9.81	20
Ganymede	2630	1.43	140
Europa	1560	1.32	150
Callisto	2410	1.24	160
Pluto	1190	0.62	320
Iapetus	1470	0.22	880
Enceladus	250	0.11	1750

porosity, significant compaction effects are not noticeable until reaching depths deeper than 100 m. This outcome aligns with the prediction from Eq. (6), which illustrates that the compaction lengthscale is largely independent of the initial porosity, as shown in Fig. 2.

When evaluating this expression for Europa, we derive a compaction lengthscale of  $H = 150 \pm 4$  m. At the subsurface, for example for depths under 5 m, the porosity change ranges from approximately 2% to less than 1%, depending on the initial porosity  $\phi_0$ . This result aligns with radar reflectivity models, which suggest that Europa has a surface layer with appreciable porosity that is at least meters thick (Johnson et al., 2017). Visible compaction effects only become prominent at greater depths, near the compaction lengthscale H.

We have applied the same analysis for various icy bodies in the Solar System and present in Table 1 their compaction lengthscale. We found that the lengthscales of gravity-induced compaction range from  $\sim 20 \,\mathrm{m}$  to  $\sim 2000 \,\mathrm{m}$  depending on gravity and thus size of the bodies. In general, due to a lower gravitational acceleration, ice compaction lengthscales are considerably higher than those observed on Earth. While our study focused on Solar System icy bodies, it anticipates that exoplanetary icy bodies, potentially discovered in the future, would benefit from these findings in interpreting surface properties through direct imaging (Hu et al., 2012; Berdyugina and Kuhn, 2019).

#### 3.2. Viscous creep scenario

The previous analysis proposed to use the compaction of Earth ice as an analog to the compaction of ice on an icy moons. However it is possible that the compaction coefficient  $\lambda$  for a given planetary ice has a different value than the one measured on Earth  $\overline{\lambda}$ . If the ice composition or its physical properties like viscosity are different than the one on Earth, the viscous compression model would be helpful to extend the previous work. Also, while the measurements from Earth ice cores have allowed particular time for ice to compact, we remain uncertain about the compaction time on icy moons.

In this model, we can verify that the compaction coefficient  $\lambda$  is coherent with well known values of ice viscosity on Earth. Since glaciers are formed by accumulation at the top, we propose to relate the elapsed time of compaction at depth *z* to the accumulation rate of snow *A*. To achieve this, we use a simplified expression from Bader (1954, 1960):

$$t(z) = \frac{z}{4}.$$
(11)

For the Antarctica A2 ice core, a snow accumulation rate of  $A = 100 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  was estimated (Alley et al., 1982). Applying Eq. (11) to the characteristic compaction depth z = H = 19 m results in a compaction time of t = 190 yr. Then, from the compaction coefficient of the A2 ice core, we can use Eq. (10) to estimate the viscosity of the compacted snow in this region as  $\eta = 1.0 \times 10^{15} \text{ Pa s}$  (see Fig. 3, black square). This viscosity value is compatible with the compactive viscosity mentioned by Mellor (1974) for Antarctica ice, falling within



Fig. 1. Ice depth-density profiles for three ice cores (*Left*) North Greenland (77.3S, -49.2W), (*Middle*) Berkner Island, Antarctica A1 (79.3S, 45.4W), (*Right*) Ridge BC, Antarctica A2 (82.5S, 136.4W). Gray dots depict measured density data with associated error bars (Hörhold et al., 2011; Gerland et al., 1999; Alley et al., 1982), while blue lines represent the best-fit density function using Eq. (5). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 2.** Modeled ice densities as function of depth for Europa's ice shell and different starting porosities using Earth's compaction coefficient  $\bar{\lambda} = 5.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{Pa}^{-1}$ . For reference, the characteristic compaction length *H* for Europa is represented by the dotted black line. The orange zone shows the surface layers currently accessible by remote sensing instruments. We observe that porosity remains nearly constant around the thermal skin depth, due to Europa's low gravity. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 3.** Evolution of the compaction coefficient  $\lambda(\eta, t)$  with the ice viscosity and the compaction time. The average ice compaction coefficient measured on Earth is given by the yellow line  $\overline{\lambda} = 5.5 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{Pa}^{-1}$ . Black square represents the location of the Antarctica A2 dataset in this graph, estimated from the local accumulation rate of snow. White square represents our scenario for Europa, where the compaction time is taken to be the ice crust age and for a viscosity of  $\eta = 10^{21} \, \text{Pa}$ s (Jara-Orué and Vermeersen, 2011). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

the range of  $10^{14}\,{-}10^{18}\,{\rm Pa\,s.}$  The viscous ice model is compatible with this data.

In order to apply this model to Europa, we first need to establish a compaction scenario. While an accurate accumulation rate remains to be determined for Europa, we propose considering the compaction time to be the ice crust age, estimated at approximately t = 30 Myr (Zahnle et al., 2003). Using the surface age in this way provides an upper boundary value for compaction time.

The viscosity of Europa's subsurface ice remains unknown. It is challenging to model notably due to its high dependency on various parameters including temperature, stress, porosity and grain size. Here we first present a simple analytical form to estimate the viscosity of ice, then in Section 4.1 we discuss the relevance and limitations of such approach. Studies focusing on the deeper portion of the ice shell use a temperature-dependent viscosity law (Thomas and Schubert, 1986; Nimmo et al., 2003):

$$\eta(z) = \eta_{\rm b} \exp\left(\frac{Q}{R} \left[\frac{1}{T(z)} - \frac{1}{T_{\rm b}}\right]\right) \tag{12}$$

where  $\eta_b$  and  $T_b$  are the viscosity and temperature at the base of the ice shell, Q is an activation energy and R the gas constant. While this viscosity law is suitable for modeling the base of the ice shell, near the surface, Europa's low temperature ~100 K results in unrealistically high ice viscosity values exceeding  $10^{26}$  Pa s. As highlighted by Thomas and Schubert (1986), appropriately modeling the subsurface would require a warmer surface to counterbalance these excessively high viscosity.

To the best of our knowledge, there has not been a comprehensive study modeling the shallow subsurface viscosity of Europa. However, various authors (Jara-Orué and Vermeersen, 2011; Kihoulou, 2021) have proposed the use of a cut-off value for viscosity to address the exceptionally high values given by Eq. (12). Following the suggestion by Jara-Orué and Vermeersen (2011) for Europa's lithosphere, we consider  $\eta = 10^{21}$  Pas as a potential viscosity for Europa's icy subsurface. Using Eq. (10), we find that ice with such viscosity, compacted over 30 million years, yields a compaction coefficient value of  $\lambda = 0.9 \times 10^{-6}$  Pa<sup>-1</sup> and a compaction lengthscale of H = 880 m. It is noteworthy that the compaction coefficient value,  $\lambda$ , although falling within the large potential range of values given by Eq. (10), is of the same order of magnitude as Earth's value, as illustrated in (Fig. 3, white square).

Local-scale processes, such as the formation of chaos terrain or cryovolcanism on Europa, could result in more recent and locally warmer ice, thereby changing the compaction lengthscale.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Depth and time dependant viscosity

The viscosity of ice depends on various factors such as temperature, porosity, stress, and grain size. Across the depths considered in this study, these parameters may vary with depth (e.g., temperature due to a thermal gradient) or with time (e.g., porosity due to viscous pore closure). Our model, primarily focused on the near surface of icy moons, assumes a constant viscosity with depth and time. Here, we discuss both the relevance and limitations of this approach.

#### 4.1.1. Porosity dependant viscosity

We expect the surface of icy Galilean moons to exhibit high porosity (Black, 2001; Johnson et al., 2017) and studies on porous media (Mackenzie, 1950; Sura and Panda, 1990) suggest that the viscosity decreases non-linearly with increasing porosity. These findings imply that the viscosity of the porous subsurface ice on Europa would be significantly lower than the viscosity of bulk ice. In fact, porous snow on Earth, with a viscosity in the range of  $10^4 - 10^9$  Pa s (Mellor, 1974; Bartelt and Moos, 2000; Camponovo and Schweizer, 2001), can be orders of magnitude less viscous than compacted ice at Earth's temperatures, which has a viscosity around  $10^{12}$  Pa s (Fowler, 1997).

Assuming that the viscosity changes with depth would be a more realistic approach but it would require solving both the porosity and viscosity evolution as an iterative and coupled process. While this would be valuable for future research, the primary goal in this study is to present a simple yet analytical form that helps making comparative planetology.

Elastic compaction tends to have porosity that decreases exponentially with depth (Clifford, 1993, 2001; Wieczorek et al., 2013). This can be intuited from Eq. (1), when considering a constant compaction coefficient with depth. Although Eq. (8) has an exponential form, the porosity profile with depth does not necessary follow an exponential curve. Indeed, for deeper portion of the ice shell the viscosity is the primary driver of ice compaction, and not the overburden pressure. Hence viscous flow tends to have a relatively constant porosity until the temperature is great enough at depth to rapidly close porosity in a geologically short period of time (Besserer et al., 2013; Wieczorek et al., 2013; Gyalay et al., 2020).

#### 4.1.2. Variations of temperature with depth

For modeling the compaction of near-surface ice, our model assumes a constant temperature, though temperatures may fluctuate within these depths due to a thermal gradient. Here, we quantify the variations of temperatures in the near-surface temperatures and explore their impact on viscosity. The temperature profile in the model by Nimmo et al. (2003) assumes a mean surface temperature of 100 K for Europa, with results indicating a constant temperature within the first kilometer. Similar results are observed at the near surface of Enceladus (Besserer et al., 2013). The authors (Besserer et al., 2013) propose to use the improved model of conduction, where the changes of conductivity with porosity are taken into account (Shoshany et al., 2002). Even with this refined model, their results indicate that temperature variations with depth is less than 5 K within the first hundred meters. At these depths, tidal heating is negligible (Tobie et al., 2003). Although the ice viscosity is strongly dependent on temperature, minor temperature fluctuations in the near surface, here inferior to 5 K, would only result in a change in viscosity of one order of magnitude. Hence in contrast with the substantial uncertainty in viscosity variations with porosity, temperature variations with depth near the surface do not induce significant viscosity changes. We conclude that adding a comprehensive description of the thermal profile would remove the simplicity of the analytical form, without gaining substantial additional information.

#### 4.1.3. Constitutive flow laws

An important aspect that has not been developed in our model is the rheology of ice under different stress and grain-size conditions. Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) have worked on formulating a constitutive equation for the effective viscosity (Besserer et al., 2013) (or strain-rate) under different creep regimes:

$$\eta(T, P, D) = \left[\eta_{\text{diff}}^{-1} + \eta_{\text{disl}}^{-1} + (\eta_{\text{bas}} + \eta_{\text{gbs}})^{-1}\right]^{-1}$$
(13)



Fig. 4. Viscosity vs stress using Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) constitutive equation and parameters for a temperature of 100 K and a grain size of  $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

where D is the grain size and the subscripts diff, disl, bas, and gbs refers to different creep regimes, namely diffusion flow, dislocation creep, basal slip, and grain boundary sliding.

Using the parameters from Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) [see Tables 5 and 6] we found that at 100 K, the viscosity does not vary significantly within the range of stresses inferior to 0.1 MPa, typical of the near surface conditions on icy moons (see Fig. 4). However, interpreting these results is challenging, as the temperatures used to obtain the activation energies, around 250 K, are significantly higher than those on icy moons, around 100 K. Since the experimental data have been collected at temperatures around 250 K (viscosity around  $10^{12}$  Pa s), it seems difficult to extrapolate these parameters to icy moons cold environment (viscosity of  $10^{31}$  Pa s on Fig. 4 at 100 K). Conducting experiments to observe creep behavior in an environment with temperatures similar to those found on icy moons would be beneficial. However, it remains challenging due to the significant timescale for relaxation of ice at such low temperatures.

#### 4.2. Comparison with cold compaction experiments

A set of cold compaction experiments (Durham et al., 2005) provided valuable insights into the compaction of cold ice at high pressure MPa) over relatively short timescales (~15 h). As the authors (> acknowledged, densification can occur at all pressures, including those lower than the range covered in the experiments. In our study, we have looked at the compaction of ice for lower pressure. At a depth of 100 m, with, for example, a porous ice density of approximately  $500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , the overburden pressure is around 0.05 MPa. Although we cannot precisely determine how ice would compact at these pressures, since it is outside the range of the experiments, their results at high pressure suggest that minimal elastic compaction would occur at lower pressure. Such experimental result would mean, that under elastic compression, the compaction coefficient of cold ice T < 100 K is significantly lower than the one measured at Earth's temperature,  $\overline{\lambda}$ . This would lead to a compaction lengthscale significantly higher than the one presented in Table 1. If anything, these experiments confirm that it is even more unlikely to see porosity variations at the depth probed by the current remote sensing instruments (except for the upcoming JUNO/MRW data, which may probe depths of dozens of kilometers).

Another result suggested by these experiments, is that even after high pressure compaction, substantial residual porosity remains. If this is indeed the case, then it would be necessary to change the expression of Eq. (1) by adding a residual porosity term:

$$\phi(z) = \left(\phi_0 - \phi_\infty\right) \exp\left(-\lambda P(z)\right) + \phi_\infty \tag{14}$$

where  $\phi_{\infty}$  is the residual porosity from elastic compaction. Experiments on Earth snow (Cuffey, 2010), suggest that packing cannot reduce porosity below 40% and other mechanism must be responsible for further densification. This porosity could be then be removed by viscous creep or by pressure sintering (Cuffey, 2010) over long period of time (millions of years at 120 K). The experiments from Durham et al. (2005) were conducted over relatively short timescales of about 15 h, much shorter than the relaxation time of viscous ice at these temperatures. In our study, we looked at the viscous creep of ice over timescales of 200 years on Earth and 30 millions years on Europa. We believe that changes in porosity due to viscous creep over such extended periods could not have been detected in Durham's experiments.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study has used Earth ice core measurements to determine a fundamental parameter related to the compaction of ice under the influence of gravity: the ice compaction coefficient. As a result of this analysis, we were able to infer the typical gravity-induced compaction length on Europa of  $H = 150 \pm 4$  m, assuming an Earth-like compaction coefficient of ice. By employing the same method, we determined that the compaction lengthscale for icy bodies within the Solar System spans a range from 20 m to 2000 m.

The compaction lengthscale significantly exceeds the wavelengths and penetration depths within the visible and near-infrared ranges, which can extend up to only a few centimeters. Thermal infrared emissions allow to estimate features as deep as the diurnal and seasonal thermal skin depth, which is typically less than ~10 cm and less than dozen of meters for Europa, depending on the thermal diffusivity. At such depths, we expect that gravity-induced compaction will lead to relatively minor changes in porosity. Still, recent observations from the JUNO MicroWave Radiometer could probe up to dozens of kilometers deep into the Galilean moons' surfaces (Brown et al., 2023). Incoming analysis of the observations for Europa would reveal new constraints on porosity of the ice shell.

The strength of the models presented in this article lies in their simplicity and analytical solutions. Enhancements can be made by considering the strong influence of porosity, temperature, stress and grain size on viscosity and developing a more precise compaction scenario for the crusts of icy moons. Additionally, other compaction mechanisms are likely to come into play near the surface, such as those induced by sputtering or sintering (Schaible et al., 2017; Raut et al., 2008), potentially observable by space instruments. The effect of these mechanisms on the ice porosity profile is not yet fully understood. While dry water ice metamorphism and sputtering-induced sintering have been studied for planetary surfaces (Molaro et al., 2019; Schaible et al., 2017), their quantitative connection to ice densification is yet to be established.

Given these results, upcoming missions should consider the use of large wavelength radar beams capable of penetrating deep into the subsurface to assess the porosity profile, such as REASON onboard Europa Clipper (Bayer et al., 2019) and RIME onboard JUICE (Bruzzone et al., 2013). Additionally, when considering the potential challenges for landers and rovers (Pappalardo et al., 2013; Hand et al., 2022) to operate on highly porous surfaces and when developing technologies like cryobots (do Vale Pereira et al., 2023) for exploring icy crusts and reaching subsurface oceans, it is imperative to account for this substantial compaction lengthscale. Therefore, selecting target locations with low surface porosity would be of major interest in mission planning.

#### Funding and competing interests

We acknowledge support from the "Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers" (INSU), the "Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique" (CNRS) and "Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales" (CNES) through the "Programme National de Planétologie".

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Cyril Mergny:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Frédéric Schmidt:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

#### Appendix. Appendix on the rheology of ice

Standard rheological models, for example the Burgers model (Mellor, 1974), have been used to study the mechanical behavior of snow. Nevertheless, as Mellor (1974) pointed at, snow is a much more complicated material than bulk ice, and we currently do not have enough available data to define accurate general constitutive equations. Hence we have to rely on simplifications, such as treating snow as a purely elastic (Wilson and Head, 1994) or purely viscous solid (Nimmo et al., 2003).

#### A.1. Purely elastic solid

For a purely elastic solid, the strain is related to the applied stress using Hooke's Law:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sigma}{E}.$$
 (15)

The strain rate can be expressed as the reduction of the pore radius *a* as in Fowler (1985):

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \frac{1}{a} \tag{16}$$

Then, following (Nimmo et al., 2003), we can express the strain rate as a function of the porosity:

$$\dot{\epsilon} = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\frac{1}{\phi}.$$
(17)

Thus, taking the differential of Eq. (15) and setting the stress equal to the overburden pressure P(z) results in:

$$\partial \epsilon = -\partial \phi \frac{1}{\phi} = \partial P \frac{1}{E}$$
(18)

where *E* is the elastic Young modulus. Integrating from z = 0 to *z*:

$$\int_{\phi_0}^{\phi(z)} \frac{1}{\phi} \partial\phi = -\frac{1}{E} \int_0^{P(z)} \partial P(z)$$
(19)

gives the expression of porosity that was assumed by Wilson and Head (1994):

$$\phi(z) = \phi_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{E}P(z)\right).$$
(20)

This expression elucidates that the assumption of exponential decay of porosity with pressure can be mathematically derived by assuming that the material is purely elastic. Additionally, using this, we can establish relationship between the compaction coefficient and the inverse of the Young modulus:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{E}.$$
(21)

#### A.2. Purely viscous solid

For a purely viscous solid, the strain rate relationship to the stress is given by Mellor (1974) and Fowler (1985):

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \frac{\sigma}{\eta} \tag{22}$$

By taking the stress to be equal to the overburden pressure P(z), and using (17), this leads to the differential equation found in Fowler (1985) and Nimmo et al. (2003):

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\phi \frac{P(z)}{\eta} \tag{23}$$

Leading to the expression of porosity given by Fowler (1985):

$$\phi(z,t) = \phi_{i}(z) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\eta}P(z)\right).$$
(24)

#### References

- Alley, R.B., Bolzan, J.F., Whillans, I.M., 1982. Polar firn densification and grain growth. Ann. Glaciol. 3, 7–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/s0260305500002433.
- Anderson, J.D., Schubert, G., Jacobson, R.A., Lau, E.L., Moore, W.B., Sjogren, W.L., 1998. Europa's differentiated internal structure: Inferences from four galileo encounters. Science 281 (5385), 2019–2022. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.281. 5385.2019.
- Arnaud, L., Barnola, J.M., Duval, P., 2000. Physical modeling of the densification of snow/firn and ice in the upper part of polar ice sheets. Phys. Ice Core Rec..
- Bader, H., 1954. Sorge's Law of Densification of Snow on High Polar Glaciers. SNOW, ICE AND PERMAFROST RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT.
- Bader, 1960. Theory of Densificatioof Dry Snow on HighPolar Glaciers. U S ARMY SNOW ICE AND PERMAFROST RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT.
- Bartelt, P., Moos, M.V., 2000. Triaxial tests to determine a microstructure-based snow viscosity law. Ann. Glaciol. 31, 457–462. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/ 172756400781819761.
- Bayer, T., Bittner, M., Buffington, B., Dubos, G., Ferguson, E., Harris, I., et al., 2019. Europa clipper mission: Preliminary design report. In: 2019 IEEE Aerospace Conference. IEEE.
- Berdyugina, S.V., Kuhn, J.R., 2019. Surface imaging of proxima b and other exoplanets: Albedo maps, biosignatures, and technosignatures. Astron. J. 158 (6), 246. http: //dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab2df3.
- Besserer, J., Nimmo, F., Roberts, J.H., Pappalardo, R.T., 2013. Convection-driven compaction as a possible origin of enceladus's long wavelength topography. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 118 (5), 908–915. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgre.20079.
- Black, G., 2001. Icy galilean satellites: 70 cm radar results from arecibo. Icarus 151 (2), 160–166. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6615.
- Brown, S., Zhang, Z., Bolton, S., Bonnefoy, L.E., Ermakov, A., Feng, J., et al., 2023. Microwave observations of ganymede's sub-surface ice: I. Ice temperature and structure. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 128 (6), http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2022je007609.
- Bruzzone, L., Plaut, J.J., Alberti, G., Blankenship, D.D., Bovolo, F., Campbell, B.A., et al., 2013. RIME: Radar for icy moon exploration. In: 2013 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium - IGARSS. IEEE.
- Camponovo, C., Schweizer, J., 2001. Rheological measurements of the viscoelastic properties of snow. Ann. Glaciol. 32, 44–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/ 172756401781819148.
- Clifford, S.M., 1993. A model for the hydrologic and climatic behavior of water on Mars. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 98 (E6), 10973–11016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 93je00225.
- Clifford, S., 2001. The evolution of the martian hydrosphere: Implications for the fate of a primordial ocean and the current state of the northern plains. Icarus 154 (1), 40–79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6671.
- Cuffey, K.M., 2010. The Physics of Glaciers, fourth ed. Elsevier Science and Technology, San Diego, Description based on publisher supplied metadata and other sources,
- do Vale Pereira, P., Durka, M.J., Hogan, B.P., Richmond, K., Smith, M.W.E., Winebrenner, D.P., et al., 2023. Experimental validation of cryobot thermal models for the exploration of ocean worlds. Planet. Sci. J. 4 (5), 81. http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/psj/acc2b7.
- Durham, W.B., McKinnon, W.B., Stern, L.A., 2005. Cold compaction of water ice. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32 (18), n/a-n/a. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005gl023484.
- Ferrari, C., Lucas, A., 2016. Low thermal inertias of icy planetary surfaces. Astron. Astrophys. 588, A133. http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527625.
- Fowler, A.C., 1985. A mathematical model of magma transport in the asthenosphere. Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 33 (1–4), 63–96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 03091928508245423.
- Fowler, A.C., 1997. Glaciers and Ice Sheets. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 301–336. Gerland, S., Oerter, H., Kipfstuhl, J., Wilhelms, F., Miller, H., Miners, W.D., 1999. Density log of a 181 m long ice core from Berkner Island, Antarctica. Ann. Glaciol. 29, 215–219. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/172756499781821427.

- Goldsby, D.L., Kohlstedt, D.L., 2001. Superplastic deformation of ice: Experimental observations. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth 106 (B6), 11017–11030. http://dx.doi. org/10.1029/2000jb900336.
- Gyalay, S., Nimmo, F., Plesa, A., Wieczorek, M., 2020. Constraints on thermal history of mars from depth of pore closure below insight. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47 (16), http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2020gl088653.
- Hand, K.P., Phillips, C.B., Murray, A., Garvin, J.B., Maize, E.H., Gibbs, R.G., et al., 2022. Science goals and mission architecture of the Europa lander mission concept. Planet. Sci. J. 3 (1), 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/psj/ac4493.
- Herron, M.M., Langway, C.C., 1980. Firn densification: An empirical model. J. Glaciol. 25 (93), 373–385. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/s0022143000015239.
- Hörhold, M.W., Kipfstuhl, S., Wilhelms, F., Freitag, J., Frenzel, A., 2011. The densification of layered polar firm. J. Geophys. Res.: Earth Surf. 116 (F1), http: //dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009jf001630, n/a-n/a.
- Howell, S.M., Leonard, E.J., Lovelace-Sims, K., Mills, A., Senske, D.A., Patthoff, D.A., 2021. Fomenting chaos: Formation on Europa through dry porous compaction. In: 52nd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p. 2423, Available from: https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021LPI. 52.2423H.
- Hu, R., Ehlmann, B.L., Seager, S., 2012. Theoretical spectra of terrestrial exoplanet surfaces. Astrophys. J. 752 (1), 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637x/752/1/7.
- Jara-Orué, H.M., Vermeersen, BLA., 2011. Effects of low-viscous layers and a non-zero obliquity on surface stresses induced by diurnal tides and non-synchronous rotation: The case of Europa. Icarus 215 (1), 417–438. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus. 2011.05.034.
- Johnson, B.C., Sheppard, R.Y., Pascuzzo, A.C., Fisher, E.A., Wiggins, S.E., 2017. Porosity and salt content determine if subduction can occur in Europa's ice shell. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 122 (12), 2765–2778. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017je005370.
- Kihoulou, M., 2021. Impact Crater Relaxation Throughout the Solar System (Ph.D. thesis).
- KopystyńSki, J.L., Maeno, N., 1993. Ice/rock porous mixtures: compaction experiments and interpretation. J. Glaciol. 39 (133), 643–655. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/ s0022143000016543.
- Mackenzie, J.K., 1950. The elastic constants of a solid containing spherical holes. Proc. Phys. Soc. Sect. B 63 (1), 2–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0370-1301/63/1/302.
- Mellor, 1974. A review of basic snow mechanics. In: The International Symposium on Snow Mechanics.
- Mellor, M., 1977. Engineering properties of snow. J. Glaciol. 19 (81), 15–66. http: //dx.doi.org/10.3189/s002214300002921x.
- Mermy, G.C., Schmidt, F., Andrieu, F., Cornet, T., Belgacem, I., Altobelli, N., 2023. Selection of chemical species for Europa's surface using Galileo/NIMS. Icarus 394, 115379. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2022.115379.
- Meyer, C.R., Keegan, K.M., Baker, I., Hawley, R.L., 2020. A model for french-press experiments of dry snow compaction. Cryosphere 14 (5), 1449–1458. http://dx. doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1449-2020.
- Mishra, I., Lewis, N., Lunine, J., Hand, K.P., Helfenstein, P., Carlson, R.W., et al., 2021. A comprehensive revisit of select galileo/NIMS observations of Europa. Planet. Sci. J. 2 (5), 183. http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/psj/ac1acb.
- Molaro, J.L., Choukroun, M., Phillips, C.B., Phelps, E.S., Hodyss, R., Mitchell, K.L., et al., 2019. The microstructural evolution of water ice in the solar system through sintering. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 124 (2), 243–277. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2018je005773.
- Nimmo, F., Pappalardo, R.T., Giese, B., 2003. On the origins of band topography, Europa. Icarus 166 (1), 21–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2003.08.002.
- Oza, A.V., Johnson, R.E., Leblanc, F., 2018. Dusk/dawn atmospheric asymmetries on tidally-locked satellites: O2 at Europa. Icarus 305, 50–55. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.icarus.2017.12.032.
- Pappalardo, R.T., Vance, S., Bagenal, F., Bills, B.G., Blaney, D.L., Blankenship, D.D., et al., 2013. Science potential from a Europa lander. Astrobiology 13 (8), 740–773. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/ast.2013.1003.
- Rathbun, J.A., Rodriguez, N.J., Spencer, J.R., 2010. Galileo PPR observations of Europa: Hotspot detection limits and surface thermal properties. Icarus 210 (2), 763–769. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2010.07.017.
- Raut, U., Famá, M., Loeffler, M.J., Baragiola, R.A., 2008. Cosmic ray compaction of porous interstellar ices. Astrophys. J. 687 (2), 1070–1074. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1086/592193.
- Schaible, M.J., Johnson, R.E., Zhigilei, L.V., Piqueux, S., 2017. High energy electron sintering of icy regoliths: Formation of the PacMan thermal anomalies on the icy saturnian moons. Icarus 285, 211–223. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2016.08. 033.
- Shoshany, Y., Prialnik, D., Podolak, M., 2002. Monte Carlo modeling of the thermal conductivity of porous cometary ice. Icarus 157 (1), 219–227. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1006/icar.2002.6815.
- Spencer, J.R., Lebofsky, L.A., Sykes, M.V., 1989. Systematic biases in radiometric diameter determinations. Icarus 78 (2), 337–354. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(89)90182-6.
- Sura, V.M., Panda, P.C., 1990. Viscosity of porous glasses. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 73 (9), 2697–2701. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1990.tb06748.x.
- Swinkels, F.B., Ashby, M.F., 1981. A second report on sintering diagrams. Acta Metall. 29 (2), 259–281. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(81)90154-1.

C. Mergny and F. Schmidt

- Thomas, P.J., Schubert, G., 1986. Crater relaxation as a probe of Europa's interior. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth 91 (B4), 453–459. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ jb091ib04p0d453.
- Tobie, G., Choblet, G., Sotin, C., 2003. Tidally heated convection: Constraints on Europa's ice shell thickness. J. Geophys. Res.: Planets 108 (E11), http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003je002099.
- Wieczorek, M.A., Neumann, G.A., Nimmo, F., Kiefer, W.S., Taylor, G.J., Melosh, H.J., et al., 2013. The crust of the moon as seen by GRAIL. Science 339 (6120), 671–675. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1231530.
- Wilkinson, D.S., 1988. A pressure-sintering model for the densification of polar firm and glacier ice. J. Glaciol. 34 (116), 40–45. http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/ s002214300009047.
- Wilson, L., Head, J.W., 1994. Mars: Review and analysis of volcanic eruption theory and relationships to observed landforms. Rev. Geophys. 32 (3), 221. http://dx.doi. org/10.1029/94rg01113.
- Zahnle, K., Schenk, P., Levison, H., Dones, L., 2003. Cratering rates in the outer solar system. Icarus 163 (2), 263–289. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0019-1035(03)00048-4.